

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Achieve Inc. (2013). *Next generation science standards*. Diakses pada 12 Mei 2019 pukul 19.29. www.nextgenscience.org/next-generation-science-standards.
- Ambrose, B. S., Heron, P. R., Vokos, S., & Mcdermott, L. C. (1999). Student understanding of light as an electromagnetic wave: Relating the formalism to physical phenomena. *American Journal of Physics*, 67(10), 891-898.
- Bama, Akhmad A. (2015). *Mengenal Fisika dari Paradigma, Metodologi hingga Implementasi*. SIMETRI: Palembang.
- Bianchini, J. A. (1997). Where knowledge construction, equity, and context intersect: Student learning of science in small groups. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34(10), 1039–1066.
- Blumenfeld, P., Fishman, B. J., Krajcik, J., Marx, R. W., & Soloway, E. (2000). Creating usable innovations in systemic reform: Scaling up technology-embedded project-based science in urban schools. *Educational Psychologist*, 35(3), 149–164.
- Branch, R. M. (2009). *Instructional Design: The ADDIE Approach*. New York: Springer.
- Brown, B. A., & Ryoo, K. (2008). Teaching science as a language: A “content-first” approach to science teaching. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(5), 529-553.
- Brown, J. S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher*, 18(1), 32–42.
- Bunch, G. C. (2013). Pedagogical language knowledge: Preparing mainstream teachers for English learners in the new standards era. *Review of Research in Education*, 37(1), 298–341.
- Bybee, R. W. & Fuchs, B. (2006). Preparing the 21st century workforce: A new reform in science and technology education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43(4), 349-352.
- Bybee, R. W. (2013). *Translating the NGSS for classroom instruction*. Amerika Serikat: NSTA Press.
- ChanLin, L. (2008). Technology integration applied to project-based learning in science. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 45(1), 55–65.
- Chiu, C. Y. & Cheng, S. (2007). Toward a social psychology of culture and globalization: Some social cognitive consequences of activating two

- cultures simultaneously. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 1(1), 84 - 100.
- Choi, K., Lee, H., Shin, N., Kim, S. & Krajcik, J. (2011). Re-conceptualization of scientific literacy in South Korea for the 21st century. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48(6), 670-697.
- Cohen, L., Manion L., & Morrison K. 2007. *Research Methods in Education 6th Edition*. USA: Routledge.
- Collette, A. T. & Chiappetta, E. L. (1994). *Science Instruction in Middle and Secondary Schools (Edisi Ketiga)*. New York: Merrill.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2006). Constructing 21st-century teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 57(10), 1-15.
- Darmodjo, H. & Kaligis, J. R. E. (1992). *Pendidikan IPA II*. Jakarta: Depdikbud.
- Deboer, G. (2000). Scientific literacy: Another look at its historical and contemporary meanings and its relationship to science education reform, *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(6), 582-601.
- Dede, C. (2005). Planning for neomillennial learning styles. *Educause Quarterly*, 28(1), 7-12.
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. (2008). *Panduan Pengembangan Bahan Pelajaran*. Jakarta: Depdiknas.
- Driver, R., Asoko, H., Leach, J., Mortimer, E., & Scott, P. (1994). Constructing scientific knowledge in the classroom. *Educational Researcher*, 23(7), 5-12.
- Fang, Z. (2005). Scientific literacy: A systemic functional linguistics perspective. *Science Education*, 89(2), 335-347.
- Fleiss, J. L. (1981). *Statistical Methods for rates and Proportions 2nd Editions*. United States: John Wiley & Sons.
- Gravemeijer, K. (2012). Aiming for 21st. century skills. *International Journal for Mathematics in Education*, 4(1), 30-42.
- Grotzer, T. & Basca, B. (2003). How does grasping the underlying causal structures of ecosystems impact students' understanding?. *Journal of Biological Education*, 38(1), 16-29.
- Hake, Richard R. (1998). *Interactive Engagment vs. Traditional Methods: A Six Thousand Student Survey of Mechanics Test Data for Introductory Physics Courses*. National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA.
- Hand, B. C., Wallace, C., & Yang, E. (2004). Using the science writing heuristic to enhance learning outcomes from laboratory activities in seventh-grade

- science: Quantitative and qualitative aspects. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26(2), 131-149.
- Hargreaves, A. (2003). *Teaching in the knowledge society: Education in the age of insecurity*. NY: Teachers College Press.
- Harris, T. R. & Brophy, S. P. (2005). Challenge-based instruction in biomedical engineering: A scalable method to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of teaching and learning in biomedical engineering. *Medical Engineering & Physics*, 27(7), 617-624.
- Helen, B. B. (2017). Smart social networking: 21st century teaching and learning skills. *Research in Pedagogy*, 7(1), 21-29.
- Hogan, K., & Maglienti, M. (2001). Comparing the epistemological underpinnings of students' and scientists' reasoning about conclusions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(6), 633-687.
- Hong, Y., & Cheon, B. K. (2017). How does culture matter in the face of globalization?. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12(5), 810-823.
- Hsu, P.-S., Van Dyke, M., Chen, Y., & Smith, T. J. (2014). The effect of a graph-oriented computer-assisted project-based learning environment on argumentation skills. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 31(1), 32-58.
- Ifeanyi, I. P., & Chukwuere, J. E. (2018). The impact of using smartphones on the academic performance of undergraduate students. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, 10(3), 290-308.
- Johnson, L. & Adams, S. (2011). *Challenge Based Learning: The Report from the Implementation Project*. Austin, Texas: The New Media Consortium.
- Johnson, L. F., Smith, R. S., Smythe, J. T. & Varon, R. K. (2009). *Challenge-Based Learning: An Approach for Our Time*. Austin, Texas: The New Media Consortium.
- Joyce, B., & Weil, M. (1986). *Models of Teaching (Third Edition)*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Kelly, G. J., Crawford, T., & Green, J. (2001). Common task and uncommon knowledge: Dissenting voices in the discursive construction of physics across small laboratory groups. *Linguistics and Education*, 12(2), 135-174.
- Krajcik, J., Codere, S., Dahsah, C., Bayer, R. & Mun, K. (2014). Planning instruction to meet the intent of the next generation science standards. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 25(2), 157-175.
- Kudryashova, A., Gorbatova, T., Rybushkina, S., & Ivanova, E. (2016). Teacher's roles to facilitate active learning. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(1), 460-466.

- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A Quantitative Approach To Content Validity. *Personnel Psychology*, 28(4), 563-575.
- Lead States. (2013). *Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By States*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Lee, O., Quinn, H., & Valdés, G. (2013). Science and language for english language learners in relation to next generation science standards and with implications for common core state standards for english language arts and mathematics. *Educational Researcher*, 42(4), 223-233.
- Martiyono. (2012). *Perencanaan Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Aswaja.
- Moore, T. J., Tank, K. M., Glancy, A. W., & Kersten, J. A. (2014). NGSS and the landscape of engineering in K-12 state science standards. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 52(3), 296-318.
- Mundilarto. (2012). *Penilaian Hasil Belajar Fisika*. Yogyakarta: UNY Press.
- Munthe, Bermawi. (2009). *Desain Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Insan Madani.
- National Research Council. (2012). *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2013). *Monitoring Progress Toward Successful K-12 STEM Education: A Nation Advancing?*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2014). *Developing Assessments for the Next Generation Science Standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2015). *Guide to Implementing the Next Generation Science Standards*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Nichols, M., Cator, K., and Torres, M. (2016) *Challenge Based Learner User Guide*. Redwood City, CA: Digital Promise.
- Nuhoglu, H., & Yalcin, N. (2006). The effectiveness of the learning cycle model to increase students' achievement in the physics laboratory. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 3(2), 28-30.
- O'Mahony, T. K., et al. (2012). A comparison of lecture-based and challenge-based learning in a workplace setting: Course designs, patterns of interactivity, and learning outcomes. *The Journal of The Learning Sciences*, 21(1), 182-206.
- Pannen, P. & Purwanto. (2001). *Penulisan Bahan Ajar*. Jakarta: PAU-PPAI, UT.

- Pee, B., Woodman, T., Fry, H., & Davenport, E. S. (2002). Appraising and assessing reflection in students writing on a structured worksheet. *Medical Education, 36*(6), 575-585.
- Pritchard, A & John, W. (2010). *Psychology for the Classroom: Constructivism and Social Learning*. New York: Taylor & Francis e-Library.
- Podolefsky, N. S. & Finkelstein, N. D. (2006). Use of analogy in learning physics: The role of representations. *Physical Review Special Topics–Physic Education Research, 2*(2), 1-10.
- Prastowo, Andi. (2011). *Panduan Kreatif Membuat Bahan Ajar Inovatif*. Yogyakarta: Diva Press.
- Rivet, A. E. & Krajcik, J. S. (2004). Achieving Standards in Urban Systemic Reform: An Example of a Sixth Grade Project-Based Science Curriculum. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41*(7), 669-692.
- Roselli, R. J. & Brophy S. P. (2003). Redesigning a biomechanics course using challenge-based instruction. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine, 22*(4), 66-70.
- Roselli, R. J., & Brophy, S. P. (2006). Effectiveness of challenge-based instruction in biomechanics. *Journal of Engineering Education, 95*(4), 311–324.
- Saavedra, A. R., & Opfer, V. D. (2012). Learning 21st-century skills requires 21st-century teaching. *Phi Delta Kappan, 94*(2), 8–13.
- Sahlberg, P. (2006). Education reform for raising economic competitiveness. *Journal of Educational Change, 7*(4), 259-287.
- Samimi P., & Jenatabadi, H.S. (2014). Globalization and economic growth: Empirical evidence on the role of complementarities. *PLoS ONE, 9*(4), 1-7.
- Sampson, V., & Blanchard, M. R. (2012). Science teachers and scientific argumentation: Trends in views and practice. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49*(9), 1122–1148.
- Sandoval, W., & Millwood, K. (2005). The quality of students' use of evidence in written scientific explanations. *Cognition and Instruction, 23*(1), 23–55.
- Schleppegrell, M. J. (2001). Linguistic features of the language of schooling. *Linguistics and Education, 12*(4), 431–459.
- Solikhah, I. (2015). KKNi dalam Kurikulum berbasis Learning Outcome. *Lingua, 12*(1), 1-22.
- Starkey, L. (2011). Evaluating learning in the 21st century: A digital age learning matrix. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 20*(1), 19-39.

- Swanson, L. H., Bianchini, J. A. & Lee, J. S. (2013). Engaging in argument and communicating information: A case study of english language learners and their scienceteacher in an urban high school. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 51(1), 31–64.
- Trianto (2009). *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif Progresif*. Surabaya: Kencana.
- Varelas, M., Pappas, C. C., Kane, J. M., Arsenault, A., Hanks, J., & Cowan, B. M. (2008). Urban primary-grade children think and talk science: Curricular and instructional practices that nurture participation and argumentation. *Science Education*, 92(1), 65–95.
- Warren, B., Ballenger, C., Ogonowski, M., Rosebery, A. S., & Hudicourt-Barnes, J. (2001). Rethinking diversity in learning science: The logic of everyday sense-making. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(5), 529–552.
- Wong, H. K. & Rosemary T. W. (2001). *How To Be An Effective Teacher The First Days of School*. Mountain View, CA: Harry T. Wong Publications, Inc.
- Yamin, M. 2013. *Paradigma Baru Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Referensi Ciputat Mega Mall.
- Yore, L. D., Florence, M. K., Pearson, T. W., & Weaver, A. J. (2006). Written discourse in scientific communities: A conversation with two scientists about their views of science, use of language, role of writing in doing science, and compatibility between their epistemic views and language. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(2), 109–141.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35–62.