nature

ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-04474-4

OPEN

Soft Coulomb gap and asymmetric scaling towards metal-insulator quantum criticality in multilayer MoS₂

Byoung Hee Moon^{1,2}, Jung Jun Bae¹, Min-Kyu Joo¹, Homin Choi^{1,2}, Gang Hee Han¹, Hanjo Lim³ & Young Hee Lee ^{1,2}

Quantum localization-delocalization of carriers are well described by either carrier-carrier interaction or disorder. When both effects come into play, however, a comprehensive understanding is not well established mainly due to complexity and sparse experimental data. Recently developed two-dimensional layered materials are ideal in describing such meso-scopic critical phenomena as they have both strong interactions and disorder. The transport in the insulating phase is well described by the soft Coulomb gap picture, which demonstrates the contribution of both interactions and disorder. Using this picture, we demonstrate the critical power law behavior of the localization length, supporting quantum criticality. We observe asymmetric critical exponents around the metal-insulator transition through temperature scaling analysis, which originates from poor screening in insulating regime and conversely strong screening in metallic regime due to free carriers. The effect of asymmetric scaling behavior is weakened in monolayer MoS₂ due to a dominating disorder.

¹ Center for Integrated Nanostructure Physics, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea. ² Department of Energy Science, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea. ³ Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34047, Republic of Korea. These authors contributed equally: Byoung Hee Moon, Jung Jun Bae. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.H.M. (email: ibhmoon@skku.edu) or to Y.H.L. (email: leevoung@skku.edu)

he first experimental observation of possible metal-insulator transition (MIT) in Si metal-oxide-field-effect transistors (Si-MOSFETs)¹ is not just phenomenological but conceptual leap against the widespread belief that the metallic phase is unviable in two-dimensional (2D) systems, which is suggested in the wellknown non-interacting scaling theory of localization². With successive experimental evidences^{3, 4}, carrier-carrier interactions are reassessed as the origin of MIT in 2D systems^{5, 6} from the viewpoint of quantum phase transition (QPT). The mechanism of MIT is usually categorized into three phenomena, i.e., Mott, Anderson, and Mott-Anderson transition upon the relative importance of interactions and disorder. When strong interactions restrict the carrier hopping among localized atomic orbitals, this leads to an interaction-driven insulating state, called Mott insulator. On the other hand, when strong disorder is introduced and dominant over interactions, carriers can be localized by the quantum interference effect. This leads to a disorder-driven insulating state, called Anderson insulator. These two mechanisms are relatively well comprehended. However, if both interactions and disorder are comparable, the interplay between two effects is predicted to yield the complexity. In general, it has been known that a soft Coulomb gap appears around the Fermi energy in a strongly disordered system due to Coulomb interactions⁷, and glassy features are likely concomitant in the deep insulating phase. However, it remains inconclusive near MIT as to how their interplay would change this trend in a critical region, although there are numerous studies typically in Si-MOSFETs due to their diffusive characters⁸. A theory predicts some unusual transport properties such as an intermediate state9, which has been reported experimentally and characterized as a glassy state exhibiting non-Fermi liquid behavior in the metallic phase side^{10, 11}. More complications¹² likely exist depending on the systems. The electronic Griffiths phase could be one of them¹³. The screening effect across MIT could also be modified depending on the existence of such states, thus, drastically changing critical phenomena¹⁴. The theoretical phase boundary between metal and Mott- or Anderson-like insulator, depending on the relative strength of disorder and interactions, suggests a disorder screening by interactions¹³, implying that Mott-like transition can occur in the presence of quite strong disorder if interactions are sufficiently large. These subjects still draw considerable interests and more data for new material systems need to be accumulated to investigate all these complexities in strongly correlated disordered systems.

Recently developed 2D layered semiconductors, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), are ideal platforms for such mesoscopic system because of the coexistence of strong carrier-carrier Coulomb interactions¹⁵ and disorder. In addition,

the degree of interaction and disorder can be further modulated by the thickness of the film, surface passivation, and substrate engineering, providing diverse physical conditions. Interactions increase in general but disorder decreases in proportion to the thickness of TMDs.

Here, we report the electrical transports and scaling analysis near MIT in multilayer MoS_2 including monolayer. We observe that the transport in insulating phase of multilayer MoS_2 is consistent with the Coulomb gap description, and using this picture, we further demonstrate the criticality of MIT with the power law behavior of the localization length near MIT. The predicted glass state in the metallic phase side in the presence of Coulomb gap is nonexistent or exists in the narrow region of carrier density. With this feature, the observed asymmetric critical exponents in multilayer are ascribed to the different screenings across MIT. Lastly, the MIT is attributed to be interaction-driven in multilayer and disorder-driven in monolayer, which suggests the substantial screening of disorder induced by strong correlation effects in multilayer.

Results

Basic device properties. We fabricated a FET using a ~5-nm thick multilayer MoS₂ with four Au electrodes, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1a. Because this thickness is much smaller than the reported coherence length, $L_{\phi} \sim 20$ nm at temperature $T = 10 \text{ K}^{16}$, this system is effectively 2D. Since L_{ϕ} decreases as T increases, the crossover from 2D to 3D character would appear at higher temperature. Thus, our scaling analysis will be limited below 150 K in our experiment. Figure 1a shows the backgate bias (V_{BG}) dependent conductivity (σ) for temperatures from 300 to 2 K. The conductivity crossing, $\Delta\sigma/\Delta T$ >0and $\Delta\sigma/\Delta T$ <0 below ~200 K, occurs around $V_{BG} = 10$ V, which is designated as a critical field separating insulating and metallic phases. This separation can be rationalized more clearly in the field-dependent conductivity (Fig. 1b) displayed with the channel voltage $V_{ch} = V_{ds} - I_D R_c$, where V_{ds} is applied drain-source voltage, I_D drain-source current, and R_c contact resistance. Two different field dependences of σ around $V_{BG} = 10$ V unmistakably distinguish the metallic and the insulating regimes. The inset presents the differential conductivity $\sigma_{\rm diff} = {\rm d}I_{\rm D}/{\rm d}V_{\rm ch}$ for a small range, which equally supports the determination of critical field.

At $V_{\rm BG} = 10$ V, the critical carrier density $n_{\rm c}$ is estimated to be $\sim 3.37 \times 10^{12}$ cm⁻² using the simple approximation, $n_{\rm c} = C_{\rm ox} (V_{\rm BG} - V_{\rm TH})/q$, where $C_{\rm ox}$ is geometrical oxide capacitance, $V_{\rm TH}$ threshold voltage, and q elementary charge, yielding $r_{\rm s} \sim 9.0$ (~ 2.3 for Si-MOSFET for the



Fig. 1 Basic transport properties and mobilities. **a** Conductivity σ vs. backgate bias V_{BG} for various temperatures at a 0.1 drain-source voltage and the optical image of the device (inset). **b** σ vs. channel voltage V_{ch} at 2 K for various V_{BG} 's from -20 (bottom trace) to 70 V (top trace) in 5 V steps. Inset displays the differential conductivity dI_D/dV_{ch} . **c** Temperature-dependent 4-probe mobility



Fig. 2 Transport properties with Coulomb gap and critical behavior. **a** σ vs. $T^{-1/2}$ and fitting parameters T_{ES} (inset) for selected values of V_{BG} . **b** Logarithmic plot of T_{ES} as a function of carrier density difference from the critical carrier density $n_{c'} |n_{2D} - n_c|$. **c** σ vs. $E^{-1/2}$, where *E* is the electric field, and fitting parameters E_{ES} (inset) for selected V_{BG} at 2 K. **d** Logarithmic plot of ξ as a function of $|n_{2D} - n_c|$.

same n_c), and the Fermi temperature $T_F \sim 200$ K. Here, $r_{\rm s} \equiv E_{\rm C}/E_{\rm F} = m^* q^2 g_{\rm V}/4\pi \varepsilon \epsilon_0 \hbar^2 \sqrt{n_{\rm 2D}}$ is the ratio of Coulomb energy $E_{\rm C}$ to kinetic energy $E_{\rm F}$ at the Fermi level, usually used as a measure of carrier-carrier interaction, where m^* is effective electron mass, g_V valley degeneracy, $\varepsilon \approx 8$ relative dielectric constant for multilayer MoS_2^{17} , ε_0 vacuum dielectric constant, and n_{2D} carrier density. This value of $r_{s}(\sim 9.0)$ is large for $n_{c} \sim$ $3.37 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This indicates that Coulomb interactions are important in this system. The actual interaction effect is expected to be larger because of the poor screening by the underlying SiO₂ substrate ($\varepsilon_{SiO_2} \approx 3.9$) and vacuum environment ($\varepsilon_{vac} \approx 1$). Although the approximation may underestimate n_c value, the importance of interactions in this system is not diminished appreciably, and more importantly this does not affect the determination of critical exponents in scaling analysis as long as the linear relation between n_{2D} and V_{BG} holds (See Supplementary Note 1). One of the physical quantities that evaluate disorder is the field effect mobility $\mu_{\rm FE} = (1/C_{\rm ox})({\rm d}\sigma/{\rm d}V_{\rm BG})$. In this device structure, unavoidable scatterings from the substrate and the top surface strongly limit the carrier mobility. Figure 1c presents four-probe FET mobility as a function of T for a given V_{ds} , where $\mu_{\rm FE}$ decreases as $V_{\rm ds}$ increases similar to the metallic phase (blue) in Fig. 1b. The largest μ_{FE} at 10 K is ~720 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at $V_{ds} = 0.1$ V (See Supplementary Note 2 for details). From these estimations, we conclude that this system is largely disordered but strongly interacting.

Hopping conduction mechanism. The hopping conduction in the insulating phase of interacting disordered system, according to theory by Efros and Shklovskii⁷, follows as

$$\sigma(T) \propto \exp\left[\left(-\frac{T_{\rm ES}}{T}\right)^{1/2}\right],$$
 (1)

where $T_{\rm ES} = \frac{Cq^2}{\epsilon\epsilon_{\rm g}k_{\rm B}}$. Here, $C=6.2^{18}$, ξ localization length, and $k_{\rm B}$ Boltzmann constant. Figure 2a shows the conductivity in a logarithmic scale as a function of $T^{-1/2}$ that is fitted with Eq. (1) for different $V_{\rm BG}$. The parameter $T_{\rm ES}$ is obtained with fairly reasonable fitting (inset of Fig. 2a). For critical phenomena, ξ is scaled as $\xi \sim |n_{\rm 2D} - n_{\rm c}|^{-\nu}$, where ν is the correlation length exponent. To extend the Efros–Shklovskii theory to the region near MIT, a phenomenological scaling ansatz for ε is adopted as $\varepsilon \sim \xi^{\eta-1} \sim |n_{\rm 2D} - n_{\rm c}|^{-\zeta}$, implying that Coulomb interactions become weaker near the transition region when approaching from the insulating phase^{19, 20}. Thus, $T_{\rm ES} \sim |n_{\rm 2D} - n_{\rm c}|^{\eta\nu}$, where η is defined by $\zeta \equiv \nu(\eta - 1)$ and usually $1 < \eta < 3$. Figure 2b shows the power law behavior for $T_{\rm ES}$ yielding $\eta\nu = 2.60$, supporting the criticality.

In low-temperature and high-field regime, $E = V_{\rm ch}/L \gg k_{\rm B}T/q\xi$ (*L* is the channel length), the conduction is driven by a field with a negligible thermal effect. In the presence of Coulomb gap, the field-driven conductivity in insulating phase is described as^{21, 22}

$$\sigma(E) \propto \exp\left[\left(-\frac{E_{\rm ES}}{E}\right)^{1/2}\right],$$
 (2)

where $E_{\rm ES} = \frac{k_B T_{\rm ES}}{2q\xi}$. Here $T_{\rm ES}$ is the same parameter as in Eq. (1). Figure 2c shows *E*-dependent conductivity, which is fitted by Eq.



Fig. 3 Scaling analysis for *T* and *E*. **a** σ vs. *T* for several V_{BG} 's at $V_{ds} = 0.1 \text{ V}$ **b** Renormalized conductivity σ/σ_c by the conductivity at n_c as a function of rescaled temperature T/T_0 . **c** Temperature scaling parameter T_0 vs. $|\delta n|$, where $\delta n = (n_{2D} - n_c)/n_c$. **d** σ vs. *E* for several V_{BG} 's at 2 K. **e** Renormalized conductivity σ/σ_c by the conductivity at n_c as a function of rescaled electric field E/E_0 . **f** Electric field scaling parameter E_0 vs. $|\delta n|$

(2). The higher field regions deviate from fitting lines due to the group velocity saturation. The fitting parameter $E_{\rm ES}$ is displayed in the inset of Fig. 2c. In principle, the localization length ξ can be determined from two fitting parameters $T_{\rm ES}$ and $E_{\rm ES}$ using Eq. (2). However, as it approaches to the transition, Eq. (2) applies rather poorly due to possibly partial heating effects and inapplicability of Eq. $(2)^{23}$ near MIT, which prevents from determining the accurate ξ . Figure 2d shows the results in log–log scale. Since data points are scattered, it is not evident to determine ν . For the guideline, we draw two lines as in Fig. 2d, which give $\nu \approx 2.34$ (red color) and 1.80 (blue color). In this ν range, $\eta = 1.11 \sim 1.44$, and consequently $\zeta = 0.26 \sim 0.80$. From $\varepsilon \sim [n_{2D} - n_c]^{-\zeta}$, smaller ζ indicates that the dielectric screening in insulating phase is lower near the phase transition. As we will discuss later, this lower screening in insulating phase would be one of the reasons for the asymmetry in critical exponents around MIT.

Scaling analysis. Scaling behavior is one of the hallmarks of a second-order phase transition in which the correlation length diverges at the transition. In quantum case, scaling the system size is equivalent to scaling the temperature. Figure 3a shows the temperature-dependent conductivity for several $V_{\rm BG}$ at a fixed $V_{\rm ds} = 0.1$ V. The conductivity at $V_{\rm BG} = 10$ V is chosen as the critical conductivity σ_c for renormalization (closed circles). At finite temperatures, the effective sample size $L_{\rm eff} \sim T^{-1/z}$, leading to the scaling for conductivity $\sigma(T, \delta n) / \sigma_c(T) = F_{\rm T}[T/T_0(\delta n)]$, where *F* is universal scaling function, $\delta n \equiv (n_{\rm 2D}/n_c - 1)$, $T_0(\delta n) \sim |\delta n|^{z\nu}$ and *z* is dynamical exponent. This equation implies that the renormalized conductivity σ/σ_c for different $n_{\rm 2D}$ (or $V_{\rm BG}$) near the transition collapses into a single curve after the proper rescaling of temperature for each $n_{\rm 2D}$, and the scaling parameter T_0 should follow the power law²⁴ for δn with the exponent $z\nu$. This scaling yields two collapsed branches for metallic (upper) and insulating phases (lower) (Fig. 3b). T_0 is

given in log–log scale and the exponents $z\nu$ is determined by linear fits (Fig. 3c).

One remarkable feature is the asymmetrical values of $z\nu$ for metallic (≈ 0.88) and insulating phase (≈ 1.63). This difference is too large to be erroneous. A similar small value $z\nu = 0.6$ was reported for metallic phase in multilayer ReS₂²⁵. In general, critical exponents are independent of microscopic details but mainly subject to the symmetry of Hamiltonian and the dimensionality due to the diverging characteristic length scale near MIT. This universality is known to also be influenced by disorder and the range of Coulomb interactions. Experimentally, there seems to be a tendency that $z\nu$ increases with disorder^{11, 26}. The screening or Coulomb interactions can be also modulated across MIT, which can lead to asymmetric scaling behavior^{27, 28}. Further discussion will be presented in a later section.

Besides this temperature scaling (*T*-scaling), the nonlinear transport property near MIT for an electric field *E* allows for electric field scaling (*E*-scaling)²⁹ with an associated characteristic length $\ell_{\rm E} \sim E^{-1/(1+z)}$, which strongly corroborates the quantum phase transition. This leads to the scaling form, $\sigma(E, \delta n)/\sigma_{\rm c}(E) = F_{\rm E}[E/E_0(\delta n)]$, where $E_0(\delta n) \sim |\delta n|^{(1+z)\nu}$. Figure 3d reproduces the electric field-dependent conductivity, which yields *E*-scaling (Fig. 3e). The scaling parameter E_0 for δn is plotted in Fig. 3f. The extracted exponent, $(1 + z)\nu = 3.50$ for metallic and 4.32 for insulating regime. From these two scaling analyses, exponents ν and z are separately determined; $\nu_{\rm M} = 2.62$ and $z_{\rm M} = 0.34$ for metallic regime, while $\nu_{\rm I} = 2.69$ and $z_{\rm I} = 0.61$ for insulating regime.

Hot electron effect and the relevance of *E*-scaling. At this point, we point out that *E*-scaling should be taken into account with caution. The critical exponents in *E*-scaling may deviate if there is a significant heating effect on electrons³⁰. In general, the input power P = IV is used to make electrons hot if no phonons are generated. Energy of hot electrons is dissipated by releasing heat



Fig. 4 Field-induced hot electron effect. **a** σ vs. *T* for several V_{BG} 's at $V_{ds} = 0.2$ V. **b** σ vs. *E* for several V_{BG} 's at 4 K. **c**, **d** σ vs. V_{ch} for several temperatures at $V_{BG} = 58$ V and $V_{BG} = 34$ V, respectively. **e** Conductivity σ_0 in $V_{ch} \rightarrow 0$ limit for each temperature from (**c**) and (**d**). **f**, **g** Power P = IV to make electron hot with respect to the effective electron temperature T_e at $V_{BG} = 58$ V and $V_{BG} = 34$ V, respectively. Arrows in (**a**) and (**b**) indicate σ at critical backgate bias $V_c = 37$ V

to phonons. At low temperature, electron-phonon coupling is reduced by the reduced phonons and thus hot electrons can be generated more easily than high temperature. This effect is expressed by a following power law relation,

$$P = IV = g(T_e^{\alpha} - T^{\alpha}), \qquad (3)$$

where g is the effective coupling constant and α exponent of the power law. In disordered thin film including monolayer MoS₂ at low temperature, where only acoustic phonons are relevant, i.e., below Bloch Grüneisen temperature, it has been observed that $\alpha = 4 \sim 6^{31-34}$. This value decreases with temperature increasing. For example, this value becomes 1 in monolayer MoS₂³³.

In order to check the relevance of E-scaling in obtaining the critical exponents in multilayer MoS₂ systems, we fabricated another MoS₂ device of 3.5 nm thickness and performed E-scaling at wide temperature range (4K-300K) with many more data points (See Supplementary Note 3). Figures 4a, b show the temperature-dependent conductivity for several backgate biases and electric field-dependent conductivity at T = 4 K, respectively. The arrows in these figures indicate the traces corresponding to the critical field $V_c = 37 \text{ V} (n_c \sim 2.65 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2})$. From these two measurements, we again determine the critical exponents $z_{\rm M}\nu_{\rm M} = 1.33$, $z_{\rm M} = 0.56$, and $\nu_{\rm M} = 2.37$ for metallic phase and $z_{\rm I}\nu_{\rm I} = 2.06$, $z_{\rm I} = 0.80$, and $\nu_{\rm I} = 2.58$ for insulating phase. We obtain the qualitatively similar features to the first MoS₂ sample, asymmetric $z\nu$ values and small z (<1) value. Also, we find that this system is well described by Efros and Shklovskii variable range hopping (ES-hopping) (See Supplementary Note 3). To demonstrate the hot electron effect, we choose two electric fielddependent conductivity traces, one in metallic corresponding to $V_{\rm BG} = 58$ V and the other in insulating phase corresponding to $V_{\rm BG} = 34$ V. Figures 4c, d show the conductivity behaviors of these two traces as a function of V_{ch} at several different temperatures. The zero voltage limit of conductivity σ_0 for each

temperature is shown in Fig. 4e. Based on the observed σ_0 , we convert the voltage (or *E* field)- dependent conductivity at T = 4 K to the effective electron temperature $T_{\rm e}$. Figures 4f, g show the injected power as a function of $T_{\rm e}$ for $V_{\rm BG} = 58$ V and $V_{\rm BG} = 34$ V, respectively.

For metallic phase (Fig. 4f), the exponent $\alpha \sim 3.4$, which is close to 4 at low temperature ($< T_{BG} \sim 20 \text{ K}^{35}$, Bloch Grüneisen temperature). At high temperature (>20K), α is 1.3, close to 1, which is predicted in monolayer MoS₂. Therefore, the possibility of contribution from hot electron effects cannot be excluded in metallic phase. The non-monotonic behavior at $T_e \ge 100 \text{ K}$ in Fig. 4f implies additional effects and remain elusive at current stage. On the other hand, $\alpha \sim 11$ in the insulating phase as shown in Fig. 4g is too large to have any significant hot electron effects.

There is one more requirement for E-scaling to be valid. Escaling is based on the standard scaling theory of localization for disorder-driven transitions. In principle, this should hold in the diffusive regime, i.e., lowest temperature. Since 2 K or 4 K is just a small fraction of the Fermi temperature (150 ~ 200 K) and lower than Dingle temperature $T_{\rm D} \sim 10$ K in our samples, the diffusive regime appears to be accomplished. On the other hand, T-scaling is generally accepted to hold for the interaction-driven transition also and unsubject to hot electron effects as long as the drainsource voltage V_{ds} (or channel voltage V_{ch}) is small. We used V_{ds} = 0.1 V, which results in nearly zero channel voltage limit (See Supplementary Note 3). Hence, the fact of asymmetric critical exponents $z\nu$ across the MIT is affirmative regardless of Escaling. However, the separation of z and ν at least for the metallic phase is not reliable due to the heating effect. Even in insulating phase, each value of critical exponents should be taken with a caution. We will discuss this issue in the discussion section.

Screening and asymmetric critical exponents. The change in screening properties across the MIT has been suggested for an asymmetric $\nu^{28, 36}$. It is argued that z = 2 for the non-interacting

case or short-range interactions and z = 1 for long-range interactions for superconductor-insulator transition^{37–40}. Although this argument for the *z* value may not be exact for the interacting 2D MIT case^{41, 42}, it appears that both *z* and ν are susceptible to the range of interaction.

The screening effect is generally stronger in metallic phase. Moreover, an apparent intermediate metallic feature with a power law-dependent conductivity, in which screening is expected to be poor, is not clearly visible or exists in a very narrow range¹⁰ (See Supplementary Note 4 for more details). This characteristic with poor screening in insulating phase even near MIT appears to result in an asymmetric scaling property. The possibility of a drastic change in critical phenomena across the MIT due to the change of screening was noted early by Mott²⁷. However, it has not been discussed by most conventional theories for its importance. It requires further theoretical and experimental studies. In contrast, monolayer MoS₂ shows significantly more symmetry, which is ascribed to the severer disorder effect. In other words, the MIT in monolayer MoS₂ is more likely disorderdriven. However, the possibility of inaccuracy inherent from rather high drain-source voltage (0.5 V) especially at low temperature in scaling analysis for monolayer cannot be excluded (See Supplementary Note 5 for more details).



Fig. 5 Asymmetric critical exponent. T_0 vs. δn for monolayer and multilayer MoS₂. Red solid lines are power law fits to yield critical exponents $z\nu$ and dashed line is the symmetric counterparts to the insulating phase

Discussion

The distinct features of two multilayer MoS₂ with additional monolayer MoS₂ are demonstrated in Fig. 5. $z\nu$ value increases and asymmetry is reduced as the thickness decreases. The origin is not clear but it is consistent with aforementioned experimental observations that $z\nu$ tend to increase in more disordered systems, or they are in a different universality class. The asymmetric critical exponent is interpreted as a result of different screening across the MIT, which naturally indicates the importance of correlation in this system. In addition, relatively small field effect mobility entails the soft Coulomb gap description, and data well fitted by ES-hopping transport in the insulating phase support this description. One important feature here is the power law behavior of $T_{\rm ES}$ as in Fig. 2b, which demonstrates the criticality of MIT as the evidence opposing to the viewpoint of crossover phenomena between strong and weak localization effects at rather high temperature for 2D MIT⁴³.

There is an approach to check the self-consistency for the quantum phase transition. The critical conductivity σ_c , in general, has the power law with temperature as $\sigma_c \sim T^x$. From the Wegner scaling, it is expected that x = (d-2)/z. Thus, x = 0 for two dimension (d=2), i.e., σ_c is temperature-independent. However, it was argued that $x \neq 0$ does not contradict any fundamental principle for 2D systems^{44, 45}. Usually, when strong disorder is introduced into a system, it was experimentally shown that the relation $\mu = x(z\nu)$ is applied^{11, 44}, where μ is the exponent in the relation $\sigma(n_{2D}, T = 0) \sim \delta n^{\mu}$. Here, $\sigma(n_{2D}, T = 0)$ is the conductivity in the limit of zero temperature. For a low disorder system, it has been experimentally known that x is usually indecisive and $\mu \approx 1-1.5^{45, 46}$. We have applied the relation $\mu = x(z\nu)$ to our 5 nm thick MoS₂. We obtained $x \sim 0.91$ and $\mu \sim 0.87$. The value $x(z\nu) = 0.91 \times 0.88 = \sim 0.80$ agrees well with $\mu \sim 0.87$ as shown in Fig. 6.

Finally, we discuss the value of z. The dynamic critical exponent z is defined by $\tau_c \sim \xi^z$, physically describing how fast the system restores equilibrium against fluctuation, where τ_c is the characteristic time for the decay of fluctuation. As the transition approaches, τ_c increases with a diverging ξ , i.e., the system slows down. Thus, a smaller value of z indicates faster equilibrium. However, z < 1 as in our multilayer MoS₂ is unusual based on the majority theories that evaluate $z \ge 1$ for most phase transitions. For the 2D MIT case, it is shown that the compressibility χ in the presence of Coulomb gap vanishes at the transition as it approaches from metallic phase⁴⁷. Since $\chi \sim \delta n^{\nu(2-z)}$, z is bounded by z < 2 with an unknown lower bound. In a 2D superconductor-insulator transition, it is argued that the



Fig. 6 Conductivity at critical density and zero temperature for 5 nm thick MoS₂. **a** Critical conductivity σ_c at critical carrier density as a function of temperature. **b** Conductivity in the limit of zero temperature as a function of $\delta n = |n_{2D} - n_c|/n_c$ for metallic phase

relevance of long-range Coulomb interaction requires $z = 1^{40}$. The theoretical finding of z < 1 is attributed to the emergence of new low energy excitation⁴⁸. At current stage, the origin of z < 1 in multilayer MoS₂ is unidentified. Perhaps, *E*-scaling requires the lower temperature than 4 K to be valid in strong correlation or it is a new class of universality in this Mott–Anderson transition type. This requires further study. Assuming that our *E*-scaling is unreliable due to some non-negligible heating effects or the measurement at rather high temperature to be in diffusive regime, and thus, in fact, $z \gtrsim 1$, we can exclude a disorder-dominated transition in this thick multilayer MoS₂ since $z_M \nu_M = 0.88$, which is congruent with previous report of small value (0.6) in a few layer ReS₂²⁵, violates Harris criterion^{49, 50}, i.e., $\nu_M < 1$. For thinner multilayer MoS₂, $z \approx 0.80$ (for insulating phase) seems quite close to 1 considering all the difficulties in *E*-scaling.

When both disorder and interaction effects are important, it was predicted that the metallic glass phase can appear with the power law temperature dependence⁹, i.e., $\sigma_c(n_c, T) \propto T^{3/2}$, and experimentally observed^{10, 44}. In our multilayer MoS₂, such phase seems to possibly exist in a narrow window of a carrier density, which suggests an interaction-dominated transition also. This Mott-like transition in multilayer MoS₂, which is quite strongly disordered in terms of the field effect mobility, supports the theoretical prediction that disorder tends to be screened by correlation effects¹³.

In summary, we observed MIT in multilayer MoS_2 . In this system, disorder and interactions are both important, leading to soft Coulomb gap picture to describe hopping transport in insulating phase. However, the metallic glass feature is rarely seen possibly due to strong interaction effects. The *T*-scaling analysis and a criticality in localization length are consistent with quantum phase transition. The asymmetric critical behavior across the MIT is interpreted as a result of asymmetric screening. Although *E*-scaling is uncertain for its validity, the clear feature of MIT in electric field-dependent conductivity is in harmony with quantum phase transition. While the transition in multilayer MoS_2 is likely interaction-dominated, a disorder-dominated transition is speculated in monolayer MoS_2 .

Methods

Device fabrication and electrical transport measurement. A multilayer MoS_2 flake was mechanically exfoliated on a highly doped 300 nm SiO₂/Si substrate. To pattern the electrodes, PMMA A4 was spin-coated (3000 rpm, 50 s) and then, the electron beam lithography (EBL) was performed, followed by Cr/Au (2/60 nm) evaporation in high vacuum ($\sim 10^{-6}$ Torr). For monolayer MoS₂ device, multilayer (15–20 nm thick) h-BN flakes were, first, mechanically exfoliated on a highly doped 300 nm SiO₂/Si substrate, and then a CVD-grown monolayer MoS₂ flake was transferred onto it. The post processes, EBL and metal evaporation, were the same as those of the multilayer MoS₂ device. The dimensions of multilayer MoS₂ (thickness = 5 nm, length = 7.1 μ m, and width = 6.4 μ m for first sample and thickness = 3.5 nm, length = 11.1 μ m and width = 10.4 μ m) were confirmed by an atomic force microscope (SPA 400, SEIKO).

Four-probe electrical measurements were performed in high vacuum ($\sim 10^{-6}$ Torr) using a commercial semiconductor characterization system (4200-SCS, Keithley) for monolayer MoS₂, and a cryostat (PPMS, Quantum Design, Inc.) with a characterization system (B1500A, Keysight Technologies).

Data availability. The data supporting this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Received: 25 June 2017 Accepted: 30 April 2018 Published online: 24 May 2018

References

 Kravchenko, S. V., Kravchenko, G., Furneaux, J., Pudalov, V. M. & d'Iorio, M. Possible metal-insulator transition at B = 0 in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B* 50, 8039–8042 (1994).

- Abrahams, E., Anderson, P., Licciardello, D. & Ramakrishnan, T. Scaling theory of localization: absence of quantum diffusion in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 42, 673–676 (1979).
- Kravchenko, S. V. et al. Scaling of an anomalous metal-insulator-transition in a 2-dimensional system in silicon at B = O. *Phys. Rev. B* 51, 7038–7045 (1995).
- Kravchenko, S. V., Simonian, D., Sarachik, M. P., Mason, W. & Furneaux, J. E. Electric field scaling at a B=0 metal-insulator transition in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 4938–4941 (1996).
- Finkelshtein, A. M. Influence of Coulomb interaction on the properties of disordered metals. Sov. Phys. JETP 57, 97–108 (1983).
- Finkel'stein, A. M. Metal-insulator transition in a disordered system. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 86, 367–380 (1984).
- Efros, A. & Shklovskii, B. Coulomb gap and low temperature conductivity of disordered systems. J. Phys. C. 8, 149–151 (1975).
- Finkel'stein, A. M. Disordered electron liquid with interactions. Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 24, 1855–1894 (2010).
- 9. Dalidovich, D. & Dobrosavljevic, V. Landau theory of the Fermi-liquid to electron-glass transition. *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 081107 (2002).
- Bogdanovich, S. & Popovic, D. Onset of glassy dynamics in a two-dimensional electron system in silicon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 236401 (2002).
- Lin, P. V. & Popović, D. Critical behavior of a strongly disordered 2D electron system: the cases of long-range and screened Coulomb interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114, 166401 (2015).
- Punnoose, A. & Finket'stein, A. M. Metal-insulator transition in disordered two-dimensional electron systems. *Science* **310**, 289–291 (2005).
- Aguiar, M. C. O. & Dobrosavljevic, V. Universal quantum criticality at the Mott-Anderson transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110, 066401 (2013).
- Dobrosavljevic V. Introduction to metal-insulator transitions. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.6166 (2011).
- Radisavljevic, B. & Kis, A. Mobility engineering and a metal-insulator transition in monolayer MoS2. *Nat. Mater.* 12, 815–820 (2013).
- Neal, A. T., Liu, H., Gu, J. J. & Ye, P. D. D. Magneto-transport in MoS2: phase coherence, spin-orbit scattering, and the Hall factor. *Acs Nano* 7, 7077–7082 (2013).
- Chen, X. L. et al. Probing the electron states and metal-insulator transition mechanisms in molybdenum disulphide vertical heterostructures. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6088 (2015).
- Shklovskii B. I. & Efros A. L. Electronic properties of doped semiconductors. Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin (2013).
- Capizzi, M., Thomas, G., DeRosa, F., Bhatt, R. & Rice, T. Observation of the approach to a polarization catastrophe. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 44, 1019–1022 (1980).
- Lee, M., Massey, J. C., Nguyen, V. L. & Shklovskii, B. I. Coulomb gap in a doped semiconductor near the metal-insulator transition: Tunneling experiment and scaling ansatz. *Phys. Rev. B* 60, 1582–1591 (1999).
- Zavaritskaya, E. Hopping conductivity of germanium bicrystais in strong electric fields at T 1 K. *JETP Lett.* 41, 279–284 (1985).
- Liu, H., Pourret, A. & Guyot-Sionnest, P. Mott & Efros-Shklovskii variable range hopping in CdSe quantum dots films. Acs Nano 4, 5211–5216 (2010).
- Rosenbaum, R. Crossover from Mott to Efros-Shklovskii variable-rangehopping conductivity in In_xO_y films. *Phys. Rev. B* 44, 3599–3603 (1991).
- Dobrosavljevic, V., Abrahams, E., Miranda, E. & Chakravarty, S. Scaling theory of two-dimensional metal-insulator transitions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 455–458 (1997).
- 25. Pradhan, N. R. et al. Metal to insulator quantum-phase transition in fewlayered ReS₂. *Nano. Lett.* **15**, 8377–8384 (2015).
- Pudalov, V. M., Brunthaler, G., Prinz, A. & Bauer, G. Lack of universal oneparameter scaling in the two-dimensional metallic regime. *Jetp Lett.* 68, 442–447 (1998).
- 27. Mott, N. F. Metal-insulator transition. (Taylor and Francis, London, 1990).
- Amini, M., Kravtsov, V. E. & Muller, M. Multifractality and quantum-toclassical crossover in the Coulomb anomaly at the Mott-Anderson metalinsulator transition. *New J. Phys.* 16, 015022 (2014).
- Sondhi, S. L., Girvin, S. M., Carini, J. P. & Shahar, D. Continuous quantum phase transitions. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 69, 315–333 (1997).
- Parendo, K. A., Tan, K. H. S. B. & Goldman, A. M. Hot-electron effects in the two-dimensional superconductor-insulator transition. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 134517 (2006).
- Sergeev, A. & Mitin, V. Electron-phonon interaction in disordered conductors: Static and vibrating scattering potentials. *Phys. Rev. B* 61, 6041–6047 (2000).
- Karvonen, J. T., Taskinen, L. J. & Maasilta, I. J. Observation of disorderinduced weakening of electron-phonon interaction in thin noble-metal films. *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 012302 (2005).
- Kaasbjerg, K., Bhargavi, K. S. & Kubakaddi, S. S. Hot-electron cooling by acoustic and optical phonons in monolayers of MoS₂ and other transitionmetal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 165436 (2014).

ARTICLE

- 34. Ovadia, M., Sacepe, B. & Shahar, D. Electron-phonon decoupling in disordered insulators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 176802 (2009).
- Kim, S. et al. High-mobility and low-power thin-film transistors based on multilayer MoS2 crystals. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1011 (2012).
- Misra, R., Hebard, A. F., Muttalib, K. A. & Wolfle, P. Asymmetric metalinsulator transition in disordered ferromagnetic films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107, 037201 (2011).
- Fisher, M. P., Grinstein, G. & Girvin, S. Presence of quantum diffusion in two dimensions: Universal resistance at the superconductor-insulator transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64, 587-590 (1990).
- Sørensen, E. S., Wallin, M., Girvin, S. & Young, A. P. Universal conductivity of dirty bosons at the superconductor-insulator transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69, 828–831 (1992).
- Wallin, M., Sørensen, E. S., Girvin, S. & Young, A. Superconductor-insulator transition in two-dimensional dirty boson systems. *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 12115–12139 (1994).
- Herbut, I. F. Quantum critical points with the Coulomb interaction and the dynamical exponent: When and why z=1. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 137004 (2001).
- Burmistrov, I. S., Bera, S., Evers, F., Gornyi, I. V. & Mirlin, A. D. Wave function multifractality and dephasing at metal-insulator and quantum Hall transitions. *Ann. Phys. New Y.* 326, 1457–1478 (2011).
- Belitz, D. & Kirkpatrick, T. R. Scaling theory of a compressibility-driven metal-insulator transition in a two-dimensional electron fluid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117, 236803 (2016).
- Simmons, M. Y. et al. Weak localization, hole-hole interactions, and the "metal"-insulator transition in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 2489–2492 (2000).
- Feng, X. G., Popovic, D., Washburn, S. & Dobrosavljevic, V. Novel metallic behavior in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 2625–2628 (2001).
- Belitz, D. & Kirkpatrick, T. R. The anderson-mott transition. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 66, 261–380 (1994).
- Fletcher, R., Pudalov, V. M., Radcliffe, A. D. B. & Possanzini, C. Critical behaviour of thermopower and conductivity at the metal-insulator transition in high-mobility Si-MOSFETs. Semicond. Sci. Tech. 16, 386–393 (2001).
- Pastor, A. A. & Dobrosavljevic, V. Melting of the electron glass. *Phys. Rev.* Lett. 83, 4642–4645 (1999).
- Makivic, M., Trivedi, N. & Ullah, S. Disordered bosons: Critical phenomena and evidence for new low energy excitations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2307–2310 (1993).
- Harris, A. B. Effect of random defects on the critical behaviour of Ising models. J. Phys. C. 7, 1671–1692 (1974).
- Kirkpatrick, T. R. & Belitz, D. Quantum phase transition in a clean twodimensional electron system. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110, 035702 (2013).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge valuable discussions with V. Dobrosavljević and D. Popović. This work was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R011-D1),

Author contributions

B.H.M. and J.J.B. initiated and conducted the low-temperature transport including the device fabrication, data analysis, and authoring this manuscript as main contributors. Y. H.L. organized the experimental plans and data analysis, as well as manuscript preparation as a prime investigator. M.J. helped with the data analysis. H.C. helped to fabricate multilayer devices. G.H.H grew the monolayer sample and did AFM measurements and H. L helped with the data analysis and writing the manuscript. All authors participated in scientific discussion and commented on the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04474-4.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018