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## 1 Introduction

Extended objects known as branes play important roles in string theories. They have been utilized for studying supersymmetric gauge theories [1], the AdS/CFT correspondence [2] and model buildings for particle physics in string theories [3]. In particular, the U-duality [4] is an important nature to understand the whole picture of string theories. When the eleven-dimensional M-theory is compactified on $T^{d}$, there appears the U-duality symmetry group $E_{d(d)}(\mathbb{R})$ in lower dimensions. BPS branes which preserve fractions of supersymmetry in lower dimensions are classified according to the U-duality symmetry group $E_{d(d)}(\mathbb{R})$. The higher dimensional origin of these lower-dimensional BPS branes are wrapped/unwrapped F-strings, D-branes, NS5-branes, Kaluza-Klein (KK) 5-branes and waves. There are exceptions, however, in lower than eight-dimensional space-time. BPS states whose origin cannot be traced back to these ordinary branes appear in the $E_{d(d)}(\mathbb{R})$ U-duality multiplet [5-7]. These BPS states are called exotic states whose higher dimensional origin are known as exotic branes $[8,9]$.

Among other things, an exotic brane called $5_{2}^{2}$-brane in type II string theories have been intensively studied $[10-28]$. The exotic $5_{2}^{2}$-brane is a solitonic five-brane of codimension two, whose tension is proportional to $g_{s}^{-2}$, as its name stands for [6]. The $5_{2}^{2}$-brane has the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ isometry along the transverse directions to the brane worldvolume. It is a defect brane $[25,29]$ and has a number of specific properties. For example, exotic branes
are non-geometric objects [30-33], namely, the background metric and other supergravity fields for an exotic brane are governed by multi-valued functions of space-time. However, monodromies associated with the exotic branes are indeed given by the U-duality group. This means that the geometry is patched together by the symmetry and it is completely a consistent solution in string theories. In this sense, they are not described by ordinary manifolds but their generalizations, called U-folds. The $5_{2}^{2}$-branes in type II supergravities are obtained through the chain of T-duality transformations of the NS5-branes. The monodromy of the $5_{2}^{2}$-brane geometry is given by the $O(2,2)$ T-duality group. Therefore it is an explicit example of the T-fold. Some efforts has been devoted to understand the exotic branes in type II string theories. However, exotic branes in heterotic and type I string theories are poorly understood.

In our previous paper [34], we have studied the T-duality chain of five-branes in heterotic supergravity. It is known that there are three distinct five-branes in heterotic theories [35, 37-39]. They are called the symmetric, neutral and gauge types [35, 37]. In order to perform the T-duality transformations of these five-branes, we have introduced $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ isometry along the transverse directions to the branes by the smearing procedure. Since the heterotic supergravity action contains the kinetic term of the non-Abelian gauge field and the $R^{2}$ term which are the leading order in the $\alpha^{\prime}$ corrections, the well-known Buscher rule $[40,41]$ is modified in heterotic theories [42-44]. Accordingly, the generalized metric is also modified from the one in type II theories. Using the modified Buscher rule in heterotic theories we have derived the KK5-branes and $5_{2}^{2}$-branes of the three kinds. We found that the monodromies of the $5_{2}^{2}$-branes for the symmetric and the neutral types are given by the $O(2,2)$ T-duality group and they are therefore non-geometric objects. On the other hand, we were faced with some difficulties for the gauge type five-brane. We found that the smearing procedure makes the metric of the gauge type solution ill-defined. A function that governs the solution becomes negative valued at some regions in space-time and the dilaton $\phi$ becomes imaginary valued in there. In order to make the gauge type solution of codimension two well-defined, we need to abandon the smearing procedure and re-consider the gauge five-brane from the first principle.

In this paper we make a complementary study of the gauge five-brane of codimension two in heterotic supergravity. We will re-construct the gauge five-brane based on the wellbehaved monopole solution of codimension two which is known as a monopole chain [45]. We will show that the geometry is asymptotically Ricci flat and the solution is well-behaved except the origin of the brane position. We will perform the chain of the T-duality transformations on the solution and find that the $55_{2}^{2}$-brane obtained through the new gauge five-brane is not a non-geometric object. The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we introduce the gauge five-brane solution in heterotic supergravity. In section 3, we introduce the Nahm construction of monopole in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ in the large $S^{1}$ limit. In section 4, we write down the gauge five-brane solution of codimension two. In section 5 , we analyze the T-duality transformations of the gauge five-brane. The background supergravity fields for the gauge KK5-brane and $5_{2}^{2}$-brane are obtained. Section 6 is conclusion and discussions. A version of the KK5-brane in another T-duality route is found in appendix A .

## 2 Five-brane solutions in heterotic supergravity

The low-energy effective theory of heterotic string theory is the ten-dimensional heterotic supergravity. The action in the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ is given by [46, 47]

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & \frac{1}{2 \kappa_{10}^{2}} \int d^{10} x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2 \phi}\left[R(\omega)-\frac{1}{3} \hat{H}_{M N P}^{(3)} \hat{H}^{(3) M N P}+4 \partial_{M} \phi \partial^{M} \phi\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{Tr} F_{M N} F^{M N}+R_{M N A B}\left(\omega_{+}\right) R^{M N A B}\left(\omega_{+}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the convention $\frac{\kappa_{10}^{2}}{2 g_{10}^{2}}=\alpha^{\prime}$ where $\kappa_{10}$ and $g_{10}$ are the gravitational and the gauge coupling constants in ten dimensions. The dilaton is denoted as $\phi$. The metric $g_{M N}(M, N=0, \ldots, 9)$ is defined by the vielbein as $g_{M N}=\eta_{A B} e_{M}{ }^{A} e_{N}{ }^{B}$. Here $A, B=$ $0, \ldots, 9$ are indices in the local Lorentz frame and we employ the mostly plus convention of the flat metric $\eta_{A B}=\operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1)$. The local Lorentz indices $A, B, \ldots$ are lowered and raised by $\eta_{A B}$ and its inverse $\eta^{A B}$. The Ricci scalar $R(\omega)$ and the Riemann tensor $R^{A B}{ }_{M N}$ are defined by the spin connection $\omega_{M}{ }^{A B}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
R(\omega) & =e^{M}{ }_{A} e^{N}{ }_{B} R^{A B}{ }_{M N}(\omega), \\
R^{A B}{ }_{M N}(\omega) & =\partial_{M} \omega_{N}{ }^{A B}-\partial_{N} \omega_{M}{ }^{A B}+\omega_{M}{ }^{A D} \eta_{C D} \omega_{N}{ }^{C B}-\omega_{N}{ }^{A D} \eta_{C D} \omega_{M}{ }^{C B} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The spin connection is expressed by the vielbein $e_{M}{ }^{A}$ and its inverse:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{M}^{A B}=\frac{1}{2}\left[e^{A N}\left(\partial_{M} e_{N}^{B}-\partial_{N} e_{M}^{B}\right)-e^{B N}\left(\partial_{M} e_{N}^{A}-\partial_{N} e_{M}^{A}\right)-e^{A P} e^{B Q}\left(\partial_{P} e_{Q C}-\partial_{Q} e_{P C}\right) e_{M}^{C}\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riemann tensor in the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ action (2.1) is defined through the modified spin connection $\omega_{ \pm M}{ }^{A B}$. This is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{ \pm M}{ }^{A B}=\omega_{M}^{A B} \pm \hat{H}_{M}^{(3) A B} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}_{M}^{(3)} A B=e^{N A} e^{P B} \hat{H}_{M N P}^{(3)}$ is the modified $H$-flux. The modified flux $\hat{H}_{M N P}^{(3)}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{M N P}^{(3)}=H_{M N P}^{(3)}+\alpha^{\prime}\left(\Omega_{M N P}^{\mathrm{YM}}-\Omega_{M N P}^{\mathrm{L}+}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\prime 2}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $H_{M N P}^{(3)}$ is the ordinary field strength of the NS-NS $B$-field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{M N P}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{M} B_{N P}+\partial_{N} B_{P M}+\partial_{P} B_{M N}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Yang-Mills and the Lorentz Chern-Simons terms in (2.5) are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{M N P}^{\mathrm{YM}}=3!\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{[M} \partial_{N} A_{P]}+\frac{2}{3} A_{[M} A_{N} A_{P]}\right), \\
& \Omega_{M N P}^{\mathrm{L}+}=3!\left(\eta_{B C} \eta_{A D} \omega_{+\left[M^{A B} \partial_{N} \omega_{+P]}^{C D}+\frac{2}{3} \eta_{A G} \eta_{B C} \eta_{D F} \omega_{+[M}^{A B} \omega_{+N}^{C D} \omega_{+P]}^{F G}\right) .} .=1{ }^{F G}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $A_{M}=A_{M}^{I} T^{I}$ is the Yang-Mills gauge field and $T^{I}(I, J, K=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G})$ are the generators of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ associated with the gauge group $G$. The gauge group $G$ is $\mathrm{SO}(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ depending on the heterotic string theories we consider. The symbol $\left[M_{1} M_{2} \cdots M_{n}\right]$ stands for the anti-symmetrization of indices with weight $\frac{1}{n!}$. The modified $H$-flux $\hat{H}^{(3)}$ obeys the Bianchi identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \hat{H}^{(3)}=\alpha^{\prime}(\operatorname{Tr} F \wedge F-\operatorname{Tr} R \wedge R)+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{A B}=\frac{1}{2!} R^{A B}{ }_{M N} d x^{M} \wedge d x^{N}$ is the $\mathrm{SO}(1,9)$-valued curvature 2-form. The component of the Yang-Mills gauge field strength 2-form $F=\frac{1}{2!} F_{M N} d x^{M} \wedge d x^{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{M N}^{I}=\partial_{M} A_{N}^{I}-\partial_{N} A_{M}^{I}+f_{J K}^{I} A_{M}^{J} A_{N}^{K} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{I}{ }_{J K}$ is the structure constant for $\mathcal{G}$.
The $1 / 2$ BPS ansatz for the five-brane solution is given by $[35,37]$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d s^{2} & =\eta_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}+H(x) \delta_{m n} d x^{m} d x^{n}, \\
\hat{H}_{m n p}^{(3)} & =\mp \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{m n p q} \partial_{q} H(x), & e^{2 \phi}=H(x), \\
F_{m n} & = \pm \tilde{F}_{m n}= \pm \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{m n p q} F^{p q}, & A_{\mu}=0, \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

where the indices $\mu, \nu=0,5,6,7,8,9$ stand for the world-volume while $m, n=1,2,3,4$ represent the transverse directions to the five-branes. The Levi-Civita symbol is denoted as $\varepsilon_{m n p q}$. The gauge field $A_{m}$ satisfies the (anti)self-duality condition in the transverse four dimensions. By using the Bianchi identity (2.8) together with the ansatz (2.10), we find that the equation for the " $H$-function" $H(x)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square H= \pm \alpha^{\prime} \operatorname{Tr}\left[F_{m n} \tilde{F}^{m n}\right]+\cdots \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\square$ is the Laplacian in the four-dimensional transverse space and $\cdots$ are terms involving the Riemann curvature. The equation (2.11) means that the source term in the right hand side of the Poisson equation for $H(x)$ is given by the Yang-Mills instanton density and the Riemann curvatures.

There are three distinct solutions to the $1 / 2$ BPS five-brane conditions (2.10). They are so called symmetric, neutral [35] and gauge five-brane solutions [37]. The symmetric solution is an exact solution in $\alpha^{\prime}$-expansion while the neutral and the gauge solutions are valid in $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$. For the neutral and the gauge solutions, the Riemann curvature terms in (2.11) become higher orders in $\alpha^{\prime}$ expansion and are neglected. The bulk gauge field becomes trivial in the neutral solution and it is just the NS5-brane in type II supergravities. On the other hand, the gauge solution involves the non-trivial bulk Yang-Mills field configuration. Indeed, the gauge field is given by the instanton configuration with the non-zero topological charge $k$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=-\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{Tr}[F \wedge F] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is defined in the transverse four-space. Once a Yang-Mills instanton solution is obtained, the $H$-function $H(x)$ is determined through the relation (2.11). The other quantity that distinguishes the five-branes is the charge $Q$ associated with the modified $H$-flux:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{S^{3}} \hat{H}^{(3)} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $S^{3}$ is the asymptotic three-sphere surrounding the five-branes. The symmetric, neutral and the gauge five-branes have charges $(k, Q)=(1, n),(0, n),(1,8)$ respectively.

In the previous paper, we studied the T-duality transformations of these heterotic fivebrane solutions. In order to perform the T-duality transformations, we introduced $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ isometry along the transverse directions to the five-branes. To this end, we employed the smearing method for which the dimensionality of the space, where the $H$-function is defined, is effectively reduced [48]. Then we wrote down the explicit solutions of the codimension two five-branes with the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ isometry. After performing the chain of T-dualities, we constructed the $5_{2}^{2}$-branes of three kinds. We found that the symmetric and the neutral $5_{2}^{2}-$ branes are T-fold with the non-trivial $O(2,2)$ monodromy. On the other hand, the gauge $5_{2}^{2}$-brane solution is ill-defined in the sense that the dilaton becomes imaginary valued in some regions of space-time. This is due to the fact that the right hand side of the equation (2.11) that we have assumed is not appropriate one.

For the concreteness, we start from the gauge five-brane of codimension four. A typical example of the self-dual solution is provided by the BPST one-instanton in the nonsingular gauge [49]. By employing this configuration as the Yang-Mills field, the solution is given by [35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}=-\frac{\sigma_{m n} x^{n}}{r^{2}+\rho^{2}}, \quad H(r)=e^{2 \phi_{0}}+8 \alpha^{\prime} \frac{r^{2}+2 \rho^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad B_{m n}=\Theta_{m n}, \quad(\text { constant }), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{2}=\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}$ and the gauge field takes value in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ subgroup of $G$. Here $\sigma_{m n}$ is the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ Lorentz generator and $\phi_{0}, \rho$ are constants. In order to introduce the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ isometries in the transverse directions and reduce the codimension of the solution, we look for the self-duality solution to the Yang-Mills gauge field in lower dimensions. It is well known that the self-duality equation for the Yang-Mills gauge field becomes that of monopoles of codimension three by the dimensional reduction. Indeed, in $[50,51]$, the gauge five-brane solutions of codimension three based on the regular BPS monopoles was obtained. In the previous paper [34], we constructed a gauge five-brane of codimension three by introducing the smeared instantons in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}$ in the right hand side of (2.11). This is a naive limit of the solution in $[50,51]$ where the radius in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}$ becomes small (see figure 3 in section 6). Proceeding further in this way, we have constructed the gauge five-brane solution of codimension two based on the smeared monopole in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. We found that the $H$-function $H(\vec{x})$ associated with this solution is given by [34]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=e^{2 \phi_{0}}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}}{2 r^{2}\left(\tilde{h}_{0}-\frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{2} \log (r / \mu)\right)^{2}}, \quad r^{2}=\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{0}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{h}_{0}, \mu$ are constants. This is obviously not positive definite. As a result, the dilation becomes imaginary valued at some points near the core of the brane. This indicates the fact that the smeared monopole does not work as a source of the well-defined brane geometry of codimension two.

In the following, we replace the right hand side of (2.11) with more appropriate solution, namely, the well-behaved periodic monopole and examine the gauge five-brane solution of codimension two again.

## 3 Nahm construction for monopoles of codimension two

In this section, we introduce the monopole solution of codimension two which will provide a well-defined brane geometry. There is a systematic mathematical program to find analytic solutions of monopoles, known as the Nahm construction [52, 53]. The monopole of codimension two that we consider in this paper is just the small $S^{1}$ limit of a periodic monopole defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. In the following, we write down the explicit field configuration that is based on the periodic monopole solution discussed in [45].

The BPS monopole equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is defined as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i} \Phi=-B_{i}, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Phi$ is an adjoint scalar field and $B_{i}$ is the magnetic field defined through the gauge field $A_{i}$. They belong to the adjoint representation of a gauge group $G$ with an anti-hermitian matrix. For definiteness, we consider the $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge group. The relevant quantities are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i} \Phi=\partial_{i} \Phi+\left[A_{i}, \Phi\right], \quad F_{i j}=\partial_{i} A_{j}-\partial_{j} A_{i}+\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right], \quad B_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} F_{j k} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the equation (3.1) is obtained via the dimensional reduction of the (anti)selfduality equation $F_{m n}=-\tilde{F}_{m n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The adjoint scalar field $\Phi$ is identified with the gauge field component of the compact direction.

We now compactify one of the three-dimensional direction in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ to $S^{1}$ and consider the equation (3.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. We define the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ by $\vec{x}=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \equiv(x, y, z)$ and the $S^{1}$ direction has the periodicity $z \sim z+\beta$. Here $\beta=2 \pi R$ and $R$ is the radius of $S^{1}$. We are looking for the solution to the equation (3.1) in the small- $\beta$ limit. In this limit, the equation (3.1) is effectively defined in two dimensions. For the ordinary 't Hooft monopole of codimension three, the gauge group is broken down to $\mathrm{U}(1)$ at infinity and the asymptotic behavior of the solution is governed by the Abelian Dirac monopole. We therefore employ the same boundary condition for our case. By using the Bianchi identity, the Abelian reduction of the monopole equation (3.1) on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Phi=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]This is the Laplace equation in two dimensions whose spherically symmetric solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=c_{1} \log r+c_{2}, \quad r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. This is the boundary condition of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ monopoles in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. Cherkis and Kapustin claimed that the BPS monopoles defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ are the Nahm dual to solutions to the Hitchin system in $\mathbb{R} \times S^{1}[54]$. By the Nahm transformation, the solution to (3.1) with the boundary condition (3.4) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\dot{\mathrm{i}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\pi / \beta}^{\pi / \beta} d v u \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi, \quad A_{i}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\pi / \beta}^{\pi / \beta} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \partial_{i} \Psi, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(u, v)$ are coordinates of the dual space $\mathbb{R} \times S^{1}$ and $2 \pi / \beta$ is the dual period of $S^{1}$. The "Dirac zero-mode" $\Psi=\Psi(u, v ; \vec{x})$ has a $2 \times 2$ matrix representation satisfying the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Psi=0, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\pi / \beta}^{\pi / \beta} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi=\mathbf{1}_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Delta$ is the Dirac operator given by [54]

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Delta & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \partial_{\bar{s}}-z & P(s) \\
P^{*}(\bar{s}) & 2 \partial_{s}+z
\end{array}\right], & P(s) & =C \cosh (\beta s)-\zeta \\
s & =u+\dot{\mathrm{i}} v, u \in \mathbb{R}, v \in[-\pi / \beta, \pi / \beta), & \zeta=x+\dot{\mathrm{i}} y, \quad z \sim z+\beta \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

Note that $x, y, z$ have the mass dimension -1 while the dual coordinates $s, u, v$ have the dimension +1 . The function $P$ is determined by the periodic Hitchin fields. A dimensionful constant $C$ is recognized as the size of the monopole and it can be compared with the period $\beta$. The small $C$ means $C \ll \beta$, namely, the decompactification limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit, the Hitchin equation reduces to the Nahm equation for the monopole in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ [55-57].

We are interested in the solution in the large- $C$ (or equivalently small- $\beta$ ) limit. In this limit, the radius of the physical circle in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ becomes small $R \rightarrow 0$ and the monopoles exhibit the isometry along $S^{1}$. Now we solve the Dirac equation $\Delta \Psi=0$. To this end, we look for functions $f=f(u, v ; \vec{x}), g=g(u, v ; \vec{x})$ that satisfy

$$
\Delta\left[\begin{array}{l}
g  \tag{3.8}\\
f
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 g_{\bar{s}}-z g+P(s) f \\
2 f_{s}+z f+P^{*}(\bar{s}) g
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

As discussed in [45], in the region where $P(s)$ is not zero, the solution to the equation (3.8) becomes trivial $f=g=0$. The exception is the points where $P(s)=0$. In order to find the non-trivial solution for $f, g$, we first define a zero point of $P\left(s_{0}\right)=0$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=u_{0}+\dot{\mathrm{i}} v_{0}=\frac{1}{\beta} \cosh ^{-1}(\zeta / C) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or more explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=\frac{1}{\beta} \cosh ^{-1} \frac{1}{2 C}\left(\sqrt{(C+x)^{2}+y^{2}}+\sqrt{(C-x)^{2}+y^{2}}\right), \\
& v_{0}=\frac{1}{\beta} \cos ^{-1} \frac{1}{C}\left(\sqrt{(C+x)^{2}+y^{2}}-\sqrt{(C-x)^{2}+y^{2}}\right)+n, \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z}) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\cosh (x)$ is an even function of $x$, the zeros are in fact given by $s= \pm s_{0}$. When these zeros are degenerate, $s_{0}=-s_{0}$, we find $x= \pm C, y=0$. On top of the zero $s=s_{0}$ we have $P=0$ and the solutions to the above equation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\exp \left(\frac{z}{2} \bar{s}\right), \quad f=\exp \left(-\frac{z}{2} s\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When one leaves from the zero $s=s_{0}$, we have $f=g=0$ as discussed. Indeed, $P$ is a continuous function of $s$ and one can reach the point $s_{0}$ continuously. Therefore $f, g$ are continuous functions of $s=u+\mathrm{i} v$ whose support is localized around $s \simeq s_{0}$. In order to find a solution, we expand $P(s)$ around $s=s_{0}$ and find

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(s)=P\left(s_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{\partial P}{\partial s}\right|_{s=s_{0}}\left(s-s_{0}\right)+\cdots \simeq \beta \xi\left(s-s_{0}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have defined $\xi(x, y)=C \sinh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)$. Then, around the zero $s \sim s_{0}$, the equation (3.8) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 g_{\bar{s}}-z g+\beta \xi\left(s-s_{0}\right) f=0,  \tag{3.13}\\
& 2 f_{s}+z f+\beta \bar{\xi}\left(\bar{s}-\bar{s}_{0}\right) g=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to confirm that $E\left(s-s_{0}\right)$ defined by the following function satisfies the equations (3.13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(s)=\exp \left[-\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi| s \bar{s}-\frac{z}{2}(s-\bar{s})\right] . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function $E\left(s-s_{0}\right)$ has a peak at $s \sim s_{0}$ and decays exponentially outside the support. Using this expression together with the fact that the zero points are indeed $s= \pm s_{0}$, we have solutions to (3.8): $f(s)=\frac{|\xi|}{\xi} E\left(s \pm s_{0}\right), g(s)= \pm E\left(s \pm s_{0}\right)$. Then, by using these functions, the solution to the Dirac equation is given by

$$
\Psi \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2 \pi}}|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\xi E\left(s-s_{0}\right) & -\xi E\left(s+s_{0}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
|\xi| E\left(s-s_{0}\right) & |\xi| E\left(s+s_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here we have introduced the overall factor for the normalization. Indeed, one calculates

$$
\Psi^{\dagger} \Psi \simeq=\frac{\beta}{\pi}|\xi|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left|E_{-}\right|^{2} & 0  \tag{3.16}\\
0 & \left|E_{+}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here we have defined $E_{ \pm}=E\left(s \pm s_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{ \pm}\right|^{2}=\exp \left[-2 \pi|\xi|\left(u \pm u_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \exp \left[-2 \pi|\xi|\left(v \pm v_{0}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration by $u$ in (3.6) is just the Gaussian type and it is easy to perform. Similarly, the $v$-integration is well-approximated by the Gaussian in the small $\beta$ limit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} d v \exp \left[-\beta|\xi|\left(v \pm v_{0}\right)^{2}\right] \simeq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d v \exp \left[-\beta|\xi|\left(v \pm v_{0}\right)^{2}\right]=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta|\xi|}} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we find the Dirac zero-mode $\Psi$ in (3.15) is correctly normalized:

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi \simeq \frac{\beta}{\pi}|\xi| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d v\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left|E_{-}\right|^{2} & 0  \tag{3.19}\\
0 & \left|E_{+}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{1}_{2} .
$$

Now we have found the Dirac zero-mode (3.15). Through the Nahm transformation (3.5), we are going to write down the solution for the physical fields. In the following, we derive the explicit monopole solution to the equation (3.1).

### 3.1 Adjoint scalar field

The solution to the adjoint scalar field is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\dot{i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u d u \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi \simeq-\dot{i} u_{0} \tau_{3}=-\dot{i} \operatorname{Re}\left(s_{0}\right) \tau_{3}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have approximated the $v$-integration by the Gaussian in the small $\beta$ limit. As we have indicated, at the zero $s_{0}=0$, where $x= \pm C, y=0$, we have $r_{0}=0$ and $\Phi$ becomes trivial. Using the explicit form of $u_{0}$ given in (3.10), in the asymptotic region $x, y \gg C$, the solution (3.20) behaves like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \sim \text { const. }-\frac{\dot{\mathrm{i}}}{\beta} \log \left(\frac{r}{C}\right) \tau_{3} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$. This is the desired asymptotic behavior of the monopole (3.4). Note that this $\tau_{3}$ represents a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. A gauge invariant quantity $\operatorname{Tr} \Phi^{2}$ is evaluated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{2}\right]=-2 u_{0}^{2} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

One observes that this is completely $z$-independent which implies that the solution represents a codimension two object.

### 3.2 Gauge field

We proceed to construct the gauge field configuration. It is convenient to combine the gauge field as the $\zeta$ and $\bar{\zeta}$ components. Then, the Nahm transformation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\zeta} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{x}-\dot{\mathrm{i}} A_{y}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \partial_{\zeta} \Psi, \\
A_{\bar{\zeta}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{x}+\dot{\mathrm{i}} A_{y}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \Psi, \\
A_{z} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d u \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\beta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} d v \Psi^{\dagger} \partial_{z} \Psi . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\partial_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x}-\dot{i} \partial_{y}\right), \partial_{\bar{\zeta}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x}+\dot{i} \partial_{y}\right)$.

We first evaluate the $u, v$-integrations in $A_{z}$. Since the $z$-dependence is only inside the $E_{ \pm}$, we have

$$
\Psi^{\dagger} \partial_{z} \Psi=-\frac{\dot{\mathrm{i}} \beta|\xi|}{\pi}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(v-v_{0}\right) e^{-\beta|\xi|\left\{\left(u-u_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(v-v_{0}\right)^{2}\right\}} & 0  \tag{3.24}\\
0 & \left(v+v_{0}\right) e^{-\beta|\xi|\left\{\left(u+u_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(v+v_{0}\right)^{2}\right\}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Again, in the small- $\beta$ limit, the $u, v$-integrals of the Nahm transformation is approximated by the Gaussian and variants of it. Then we find the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{z}=0 . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we calculate $A_{\zeta}$. After tedious calculations, we have

$$
\Psi^{\dagger} \partial_{\zeta} \Psi=\frac{\beta}{2 \pi \sqrt{|\xi|}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\bar{\xi} \psi_{11}+|\xi| \psi_{21}\right)\left|E_{-}\right|^{2} & \left(\bar{\xi} \psi_{12}+|\xi| \psi_{22}\right) E_{-}^{*} E_{+}  \tag{3.26}\\
\left(-\bar{\xi} \psi_{11}+|\xi| \psi_{21}\right) E_{+}^{*} E_{-} & \left(-\bar{\xi} \psi_{12}+|\xi| \psi_{22}\right)\left|E_{+}\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Here the terms in each component are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{11}=\frac{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\left[\frac{3}{4} C \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)+\xi\left\{-\frac{\beta C}{4|\xi|} \bar{\xi}\left|s-s_{0}\right|^{2} \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|\left(\bar{s}-\bar{s}_{0}\right)+\frac{z}{2}\right)\right\}\right], \\
& \psi_{12}=\frac{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\left[-\frac{3}{4} C \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)+\xi\left\{\frac{\beta C}{4|\xi|} \bar{\xi}\left|s+s_{0}\right|^{2} \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|\left(\bar{s}+\bar{s}_{0}\right)+\frac{z}{2}\right)\right\}\right], \\
& \psi_{21}=\frac{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\left[\frac{\bar{\xi} C}{4|\xi|} \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)+|\xi|\left\{-\frac{\beta}{2}\left|s-s_{0}\right|^{2} \frac{\bar{\xi} C}{2|\xi|} \cosh \left(2 \pi s_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|\left(\bar{s}-\bar{s}_{0}\right)+\frac{z}{2}\right)\right\}\right], \\
& \psi_{22}=\frac{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\left[\frac{\bar{\xi} C}{4|\xi|} \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)-|\xi|\left\{\frac{\beta}{2}\left|s+s_{0}\right|^{2} \frac{\bar{\xi} C}{2|\xi|} \cosh \left(\beta s_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|\left(\bar{s}+\bar{s}_{0}\right)+\frac{z}{2}\right)\right\}\right] . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we perform the integrations over $u, v$. Again, the integrations are approximated by the Gaussian or its variants in the small- $\beta$ limit. After calculations, we find

$$
A_{\zeta}(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\zeta}{2 \xi}+\frac{z}{\beta} & -\frac{\zeta}{2 \xi} e^{-2 \mathrm{i} v_{0} z} e^{-\beta|\xi|\left|s_{0}\right|^{2}}  \tag{3.28}\\
-\frac{\zeta}{2 \xi} e^{2 \mathrm{i} v_{0} z} e^{-\beta|\xi|\left|s_{0}\right|^{2}} & \frac{\zeta}{2 \xi}-\frac{z}{\beta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

One notices that this expression does not exhibit the traceless condition of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ algebra. However, we observe that the expansion of the following quantity in the $C \gg x, y$ region,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cosh ^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{x}{C}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{C}\right)^{2}}+\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{x}{C}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{C}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{y}{C}-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{y}{C}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y}{C}\right)\left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{2}+\cdots . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

exhibits the leading order behavior of each quantity in the expression (3.28) in the large- $C$. Namely, using the expression (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{y}{C}\right), \quad v_{0} \sim \frac{\pi}{2 \beta}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{C}\right), \quad\left|s_{0}\right|^{2}=u_{0}^{2}+v_{0}^{2} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{4 \beta^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{2},\left(\frac{y}{C}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have chosen the $n=0$ branch in the definition of $v_{0}$. Then, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta|\xi|\left|s_{0}\right|^{2} \sim \frac{C}{\beta}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{2},\left(\frac{y}{C}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the off-diagonal parts in (3.28) behave like $\sim e^{-\frac{C}{\beta}}$ and they are exponentially suppressed and ignored compared to the diagonal part in the large- $C$ (or small- $\beta$ ) limit. Furthermore, the term $\frac{\zeta}{2 \xi}$ in the diagonal part is small compared to $\frac{z}{\beta}$ and suppressed over a $\mathcal{O}(\beta / C)$ quantity. Therefore, we find the gauge field solution in the large- $C$ (and small- $\beta$ ) limit is

$$
A_{\zeta} \sim \frac{z}{2 \beta \sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{3.32}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This expression satisfies the traceless condition of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ algebra as expected. In summary, we obtain the gauge field of the codimension two monopole as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{x}=\frac{z}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\right) \tau_{3} \\
& A_{y}=\frac{z}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta^{2}-C^{2}}}\right) \tau_{3}, \quad A_{z}=0 \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Surprisingly, the monopole solution (3.20), (3.33) we have obtained in the large- $C$ limit via the Nahm construction is an exact solution to the BPS equation (3.1). One can easily confirm that the solution (3.20), (3.33) satisfies the equation (3.1) for any values of $C$. We will comment on this issue later.

Since the gauge invariant quantity (3.22) is independent of $z$, the $z$-dependence in (3.33) is just due to the gauge artifact. In order to see this fact explicitly, we express the leading order form of the solution in $\mathcal{O}\left(x^{i} / C\right)$. First, at large- $C$, we find the approximated solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x} \simeq \frac{\dot{1} z}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \quad A_{y} \simeq 0, \quad A_{z} \simeq 0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{x} \simeq 0, \quad B_{y} \simeq \frac{\dot{1}}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \quad B_{z} \simeq 0 . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using the expansion of (3.29), we find the leading order behavior of the adjoint scalar field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=-\mathrm{i} u_{0} \tau_{3} \simeq-\mathrm{i} \frac{y}{\beta C} \tau_{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{3} / C^{3}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

One confirms that these expressions indeed satisfy the BPS equation (3.1). It is now straightforward to find a gauge transformation that makes the solution be $z$-independent. The gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^{\prime}=U \Phi U^{\dagger}, \quad A_{i} \rightarrow A_{i}^{\prime}=U A_{i} U^{\dagger}+U \partial_{i} U^{\dagger}, \quad U \in \mathrm{SU}(2) . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\mathbf{1}_{2}+\dot{\mathrm{i}} \frac{x z}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \quad U^{\dagger}=\mathbf{1}_{2}-\dot{\mathrm{i}} \frac{x z}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes it possible to remove the $z$-dependence. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}^{\prime} \sim 0, \quad A_{y}^{\prime} \sim 0, \quad A_{z}^{\prime} \sim-\frac{\mathrm{i} x}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \quad \Phi^{\prime} \sim-\frac{\dot{\mathrm{i}} y}{\beta C} \tau_{3} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the solution represents completely codimension two object. This is similar to the situation where the 't Hooft monopole of codimension three is obtained by the periodic instanton on $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times S^{1}[58,59]$. In there the $S^{1}$ dependence of the periodic instanton solution is completely gauged away and the resulting solution is independent of the periodic direction.

## 4 Heterotic gauge five-brane with monopole of codimension two

In this section, based on the monopole of codimension two discussed in the previous section, we construct the gauge five-brane solution in heterotic supergravity. In the ansatz (2.10), we compactify the transverse directions $x^{3}$ and $x^{4}$ to $T^{2}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$ and consider the small $T^{2}$ limit. The self-duality equation for the gauge field effectively reduces to that in two dimensions $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. As a solution to this equation, we employ the small $S^{1}$ limit of the monopole solution in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. The solution is given by (3.20) and (3.33). In particular, we identity the adjoint scalar field $\Phi$ with $A_{4}$ component. The Poisson equation (2.11) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i}^{2} H=4 \alpha^{\prime} \partial_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left[B_{i} \Phi\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{\prime 2}\right), \quad(i=1,2,3), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the source term in the right hand side is provided by the one for the monopole of codimension two. Using the monopole equation (3.1) and the solution (3.22), the first term in the right hand side is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \alpha^{\prime} \partial_{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left[B_{i} \Phi\right]=-2 \alpha^{\prime} \partial_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{2}\right]=4 \alpha^{\prime} \partial_{i}^{2} u_{0}^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the $H$-function is determined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y)=h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2}}\left[\cosh ^{-1} \frac{1}{2 C}\left(\sqrt{(x+C)^{2}+y^{2}}+\sqrt{(x-C)^{2}+y^{2}}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $h_{0}$ is a constant. The metric, dilaton and the NS-NS $B$-field are determined through the BPS ansatz (2.10). A particular emphasis is placed on the fact that the dilaton field $e^{2 \phi}=H(x, y)$ never becomes imaginary valued when $h_{0} \geq 0$. This is contrasted with the gauge solution based on the smeared monopole [34]. Even more, the metric governed by the $H$-function (4.3) is well-defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The asymptotic behavior of the harmonic function looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(r) \sim \frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2}}\left[\log \left(\frac{r}{C}\right)\right]^{2}, \quad(r \rightarrow \infty) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The energy (topological charge) density $\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[F_{m n} \tilde{F}_{m n}\right]=\partial_{i}^{2} v_{0}^{2}$ for the monopole in the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ plane (left). The parameters are $C=1, \beta=1$. The absolute value of the Ricci scalar in the ( $x^{1}, x^{2}$ ) plane (right).

This is compared with the smeared solution $H(r) \sim h_{0}-\frac{2 \alpha^{\prime}}{r^{2}[\log (r / \mu)]^{2}}(r \rightarrow \infty)$. We note that the asymptotic behavior (4.4) of the gauge five-brane is quite different from that of an authentic harmonic function $H(r) \sim \log r(r \rightarrow \infty)$ for codimension two branes in type II theories.

The Ricci scalar for the geometry is calculated to be

$$
\begin{align*}
R(\omega)= & -\frac{24 h_{0} \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{4}}{\sqrt{(x-C)^{2}+y^{2}} \sqrt{(x+C)^{2}+y^{2}}} \\
& \times\left(h_{0} \beta^{2}+4 \alpha^{\prime} \operatorname{arccosh}^{2} \frac{1}{2 C}\left(\sqrt{(x-C)^{2}+y^{2}}+\sqrt{(x+C)^{2}+y^{2}}\right)\right)^{-3} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the definition of the Ricci scalar is given in (2.2). One observes that the Ricci curvature of the geometry asymptotically vanishes. Remarkably, we find that all the components of the Ricci tensor $R_{M N}$ vanishes at the infinity of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Therefore, the geometry is asymptotically Ricci flat. This is in contrast to the codimension two stand-alone objects in type II string theories [29, 60, 61]. Indeed, any supergravity solutions for stand-alone codimension two objects obtained so far have only their description near the core of branes [10].

The plots of the energy density for the monopole and the absolute value of the Ricci scalar are found in figure 1. One finds that there are two poles in the energy density and the scalar curvatures where the quantities diverge. The origin of these two poles is obvious from the viewpoint of the Nahm construction in compact spaces. As is evident from the expression (3.7), the Hitchin field solution $P(s)$ on the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^{1}$ clearly breaks the spherical symmetry in the physical space even for the single monopole case. This substantially leads to the axially symmetric monopole solution (3.20), (3.33). This breakdown of spherical symmetry seems a fate of codimension two monopoles [55-57].

As we have mentioned before, the solution (3.20) and (3.33) is valid for any values of $C$. The parameter $C$ corresponds to the distance between two centers of the energy
peaks and the supergravity solution becomes axially symmetric due to the existence of the $C$-parameter. This symmetry is quite different from the known five-brane solutions which have spherical symmetry. It is worthwhile to examine the $C \rightarrow 0$ limit of the solution and look for a spherically symmetric solution. It is easy to take a $C \rightarrow 0$ limit for the gauge fields. However, it is not straightforward to consider the limit $C \rightarrow 0$ for the adjoint scalar field. We evaluate only a dominant term for the adjoint scalar in the $C \rightarrow 0$ limit:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\Phi\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =\lim _{C \rightarrow 0} \frac{\dot{1}}{\beta} \cosh ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}{C} \tau_{3}, \\
\left.A_{x}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =\frac{z}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}-\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}\right) \tau_{3},\left.\quad A_{y}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=\frac{z}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}+\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}\right) \tau_{3},\left.\quad A_{z}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=0, \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this expression, $D_{i} \Phi$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.D_{1} \Phi\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=\lim _{C \rightarrow 0} \frac{\dot{\mathrm{i}} x}{\beta \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \sqrt{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-C^{2}}} \tau_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{i} x}{\beta\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} \tau_{3}, \\
& \left.D_{2} \Phi\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=\lim _{C \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathrm{i} y}{\beta \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \sqrt{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-C^{2}}} \tau_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{i} y}{\beta\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} \tau_{3}, \\
& \left.D_{3} \Phi\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} x}{\beta\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} \tau_{3}, \quad B_{2}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} y}{\beta\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} \tau_{3}, \quad B_{3}=0 . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we confirm that the $C \rightarrow 0$ expressions (4.7) and (4.8) indeed satisfy the BPS monopole equation (3.1). We then find a heterotic five-brane solution based on (2.10) as

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left.H\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =h_{0}+\lim _{C \rightarrow 0} \frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2}}\left[\cosh ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}{C}\right]^{2}, & e^{\left.2 \phi\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}}=\left.H\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}, \\
\left.g_{\mu \nu}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =\eta_{\mu \nu},\left.\quad g_{m n}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=e^{2 \phi \mid C \rightarrow 0} \delta_{m n}, & \left.\hat{H}_{m n p}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =-\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{m n p q} \partial_{q} H\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}, \\
\left.A_{x}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =\frac{z}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}-\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}\right) \tau_{3}, & \left.A_{y}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=\frac{z}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}+\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}\right) \tau_{3},\left.\quad A_{z}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=0, \\
\left.A_{4}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0} & =\lim _{C \rightarrow 0} \frac{\dot{\mathrm{i}}}{\beta} \cosh ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}{C} \tau_{3}, & \left.A_{\mu}\right|_{C \rightarrow 0}=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{array}
$$

Under the $C \rightarrow 0$ limit, we find that the Ricci tensor converges to zero. This means that the spherical symmetric solution becomes globally Ricci flat.

## 5 Kaluza-Klein gauge five-brane and gauge $5_{2}^{2}$-brane

In this section, we perform the T-duality transformations along the two isometries on the solution found in the previous section. We will write down the regular solutions for the KK5- and $5_{2}^{2}$-branes associated with the gauge five-brane. Specifically, we are interested in


Figure 2．The picture indicates the T－duality relations among heterotic five－branes．Since the gauge five brane has two isometry directions $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$ ，there are two routes to obtain heterotic $5_{2}^{2}$－brane．
the $z$－independent solution obtained in（3．39）：

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}} y^{2}, & e^{2 \phi} & =H, \\
B_{34} & =-\alpha^{\prime} \frac{4 x y}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}, & A_{3} & =\dot{\mathbb{i}} \frac{x}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \tag{5.1}
\end{align*} A_{4}=-\dot{i} \frac{y}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, ~ g_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu},
$$

The other components are zero．We note that this solution is available up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{3} / C^{3}\right)$ ．
For the heterotic supergravity action in the first order in $\alpha^{\prime}$ ，the T－duality transforma－ tion rule，called heterotic Buscher rule，is written as［34，62］，

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{M N} & =g_{M N}-B_{M N}+2 \alpha^{\prime} \operatorname{tr} A_{M} A_{N}, \\
\tilde{g}_{M N} & =g_{M N}+\frac{1}{G_{n n}^{2}}\left(g_{n n} G_{n M} G_{n N}-G_{n n} g_{n M} G_{n N}-G_{n n} G_{n M} g_{n M}\right), \\
\tilde{B}_{M N} & =B_{M N}+\frac{1}{G_{n n}}\left(G_{n M} B_{N n}-G_{n N} B_{M n}\right), \quad \tilde{g}_{n M}=-\frac{g_{n M}}{G_{n n}}+\frac{g_{n n} G_{n M}}{G_{n n}^{2}}, \quad \tilde{g}_{n n}=-\frac{g_{n n}}{G_{n n}^{2}}, \\
\tilde{B}_{n M} & =-\frac{1}{G_{n n}}\left(B_{n M}+G_{n M}\right), \quad \tilde{\phi}=\phi-\frac{1}{2} \log \left|G_{n n}\right|, \quad \tilde{A}_{n}^{I}=-\frac{A_{n}^{I}}{G_{n n}}, \quad \tilde{A}_{M}^{I}=A_{M}^{I}-\frac{G_{n M}}{G_{n n}} A_{n}^{I}, \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the index＂$n$＂means the T－dualized（isometry）direction and the tilde represents dualized fields．The combination ${ }^{2} g_{M N}-B_{M N}$ is a primitive metric in the double field theory（DFT）［63］．

Since the fields（5．1）have two isometry directions，there are two routes to obtain the $5_{2}^{2}$－brane．We follow the route 1 in figure 2 and show the Kaluza－Klein gauge five－brane and $5_{2}^{2}$－brane in this section．For another Kaluza－Klein gauge five－brane，we show it in the appendix in detail．

## 5．1 Kaluza－Klein gauge five－brane

First，we perform a T－duality transformation with the gauge solution and obtain a T－ dualized object－the KK5－brane of codimension two．When we take the heterotic T－

[^1]duality with respect to the $x^{4}$-direction for the fields (5.1), we obtain the Kaluza-Klein gauge five-brane which corresponds to "II. KK gauge five brane" in figure 2:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}} y^{2}, & e^{2 \phi^{(4)}} & =\frac{H}{h_{0}}, & \\
g_{a b}^{(4)} & =g_{a b}=H \delta_{a b}, & g_{33}^{(4)} & =H, & g_{44}^{(4)}=\frac{1}{h_{0}^{2}} H, \\
B_{34}^{(4)} & =\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{h_{0}} \frac{4 x y}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}, & \left(A_{3}\right)^{(4)} & =\mathrm{i} \frac{x}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, & \left(A_{4}\right)^{(4)}=\frac{\dot{\mathrm{i}}}{h_{0}} \frac{y}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where (4) means the T-dualized direction and the indices $a, b=1,2$. It is obvious that the $B^{(4)}$ field has a non-zero component and the dilaton $e^{2 \phi^{(4)}}$ is regular. Unlike the usual Kaluza-Klein five brane (Taub-NUT), the solution has non-zero components of the $B$-field and the gauge field. Therefore, we can regard the brane as a source of the $H$-flux. We also confirm that the gauge field satisfies the self-duality condition, $F_{m n}=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{m n}{ }^{p q} F_{p q}$ in two dimensions. This sign flip originates from the convention of the heterotic Buscher rule [34].

The fields (5.3) are almost the same with (5.1) except for the constant coefficient. The reason is that the component of the extended metric $G_{44}$ becomes a constant, and somehow it is the same situation as in the smeared gauge solution discussed in [34, 35].

### 5.2 Heterotic $5_{2}^{2}$-brane

Now, we perform the second T-duality transformation along the $x^{3}$ direction on the KaluzaKlein solution. As a result, the solution obtained corresponds to the "III. Heterotic $5_{2^{2}}^{2}$ brane" in figure 2:

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}} y^{2}, & e^{2 \phi^{(43)}} & =\frac{1}{h_{0}^{2}}\left(h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}} x^{2}\right) \\
g_{a b}^{(43)} & =H \delta_{a b}, & g_{33}^{(43)} & =\frac{1}{h_{0}^{2}}\left(h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}\left(2 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)\right), \\
g_{34}^{(43)} & =-8 \frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{h_{0}^{2}} \frac{x y}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}, & g_{44}^{(43)} & =\frac{H}{h_{0}^{2}}, \\
B_{34}^{(43)} & =4 \frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{h_{0}^{2}} \frac{x y}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}, & \left(A_{3}\right)^{(43)} & =-\frac{\dot{1}}{h_{0}} \frac{x}{\beta C} \tau_{3},
\end{align*}\left(A_{4}\right)^{(43)}=\eta_{\mu \nu}, \underline{\dot{\mathrm{i}}} \frac{y}{h_{0}} \frac{y C}{\beta C} \tau_{3} . ~ l
$$

Here, the fields are written in $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{2} / C^{2}\right)$. It is clear that the dilaton $\phi^{(43)}$ is regular and does not take a negative value, unlike the one in [34].

For this solution, the components of the $B$-field are non-zero. One can easily write down the generalized metric [62] associated with the solution (5.4). By the generalized metric, we find that the monodromy around the gauge $5_{2}^{2}$-brane solution we obtained is trivial and it does not exhibit any non-geometric feature. In other words, the gauge $5_{2}^{2}-$ brane in heterotic theories is not a non-geometric but a geometric object. This is in sharp contrast to the exotic $5_{2}^{2}$-branes of the neutral and symmetric types [34] and those in type II theories [30, 31]. We also find that the gauge field strength in (5.4) satisfies the anti self-duality condition, $F_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{m n}{ }^{p q} F_{p q}$.

## 6 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we studied the BPS gauge five-brane solution of codimension two in heterotic supergravity. The $1 / 2$ BPS ansatz reveals the fact that the $H$-function is determined through the source term given by the monopoles of codimension two. The desired monopole is described by the small circle limit of the monopole chain defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. An analytic solution of the monopole chain is explicitly written down by the Nahm construction discussed in [45]. Using this solution, we find the explicit form of the gauge five-brane of codimension two. We found two poles in the curvature of the geometry for the gauge five-brane. This is due to the fact that the monopole we constructed preserves only the axial symmetry in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This may be interpreted from the viewpoint of solitons in compact spaces. The multipole structure of solutions is quite common in the case of periodic instantons where these multipoles correspond to monopole constituents of a single instanton [64]. It is well known that solitons in compact spaces with non-trivial asymptotic holonomy possesses constituents inside each energy peak. In the analysis of the monopole, we employed the boundary condition where the solution behaves like the U(1) Dirac monopole at asymptotics. In other words, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge symmetry is broken down to $\mathrm{U}(1)$ at infinity. This breaking is characterized by the asymptotic holonomy. As discussed in [55-57], for the monopole in two dimensions, there is always a non-trivial asymptotic holonomy due to the logarithmic growth of the adjoint scalar fields. This substantially leads to the introduction of constituents for the monopoles. This phenomenon is interpreted as D-brane configurations [65] in type II theories. It is interesting to explore whether the same kind of interpretation is possible in heterotic theories.

The parameter $C$ in the solution controls the breaking of the spherical symmetry. We made an analysis on the $C \rightarrow 0$ limit of the solution where the spherical symmetry is expected to be realized. We also found that the asymptotic geometry is Ricci flat which is consistent with the fact that the monopole charge density becomes zero at the asymptotic region. Despite this fact, however, the total energy (or the topological charge) associated with the monopole of codimension two diverges. This is an inevitable fate of codimension two objects.

The $H$-function that governs the solution behaves like $H(x, y) \sim[\log r]^{2}$ in the asymptotics which is contrasted with the gauge solution based on the smeared monopole discussed in our previous paper [34]. The geometry based on the smeared monopole has been illdefined in some regions in space-time and the dilaton becomes imaginary valued in there. We stress that the new solution based on the monopole chain in this paper overcome this problematic property.

Since the solution exhibits the $\mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ isometry along the transverse directions to the brane world-volume, we can perform the chain of the T-duality transformations. By applying the modified Buscher rule in heterotic theories, we performed the T-duality transformations of the gauge five-brane of codimension two. We wrote down the KK gauge five-brane and the gauge $5_{2}^{2}$-brane. The latter is a candidate of exotic branes in heterotic string theories. We find that the monodromy of the gauge $5_{2}^{2}$-brane is trivial and it is totally a geometric object. This is contrasted to the symmetric and the neutral $5_{2}^{2}$-branes discussed in [34].


Figure 3. The relationships among five-branes of various codimensions.

It is also interesting to make contact with the heterotic gauge five-branes of various codimensions (figure 3). As we have discussed in the main body of this paper, the codimension three gauge five-brane based on the smeared instanton worked out in [34] just corresponds to the small circle limit of the one found in [50]. There is an implication that the large circle limit of the Ward's monopole chain becomes the ordinary 't Hooft monopole in three dimensions [55-57]. We therefore expect that the codimension two five-brane we have obtained reduces to the codimension three five-brane based on the 't Hooft monopole in this limit (the relation (i) in figure 3). On the other hand, we naively expect that a strict $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit of our solution results in the five-brane based on the smeared monopole (the relation (ii) in figure 3). However, it is difficult to observe this issue due to the lack of the spherical symmetry of the Ward's solution. It seems plausible that more subtle limit need to be considered as in the case of the monopole limit of periodic instantons [66].

There are more interesting issues related to works done here and [34]. We have worked out the explicit T-duality chains of five-branes in heterotic theories. We have found that the three distinct five-branes exhibit totally different behavior under the chain of the Tduality. The $5_{2}^{2}$-brane of the symmetric and the neutral types are non-geometric while the one of the gauge type is geometric. What nature does it clarify this property? The group theoretical classification of BPS multiplets in heterotic theories based on Abelian gauge symmetries has been studied [67]. It would be interesting to study the structure of the BPS multiplet, especially the T-duality brane orbit [68] in toroidary compactified heterotic theories.

It was also discussed that when the instanton in the gauge five-brane of codimension four shrinks to zero size $\rho \rightarrow 0$, a gauge multiplet on the brane world-volume becomes massless and the enhanced $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge symmetry is expected to appear [69]. This small instanton limit of the gauge five-brane in the $\mathrm{SO}(32)$ heterotic string theory is related to the D5-brane in type I theory via the S-duality. The fact that the moduli spaces of periodic monopoles have hyperKähler metrics [70] together with the discussion [69] may lead to an interpretation of the gauge five-brane discussed in this paper in type I theory side. Even more, it is interesting to study relations among various five-branes in heterotic and type I theories. We will come back on these issues in the future.
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## A Another Kaluza-Klein gauge five-brane

Here we show another Kaluza-Klein gauge five-brane solution which corresponds to "IV. Another Kaluza-Klein gauge five-brane" in figure 2. This is another configuration of the Kaluza-Klein gauge five-brane. Since the $(x, y)$ dependence of the fields in (5.1) is asymmetric, the form of the T-dualized fields is slightly different from the one in (5.3). The fields can be obtained by the heterotic T-duality along $x^{3}$-direction for the solution (5.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}} y^{2}, \quad e^{2 \phi^{(3)}}=\frac{1}{h_{0}}\left(h_{0}+\frac{4 \alpha^{\prime}}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}\right), \\
& g_{a b}^{(3)}=H \delta_{a b}, \\
& g_{34}^{(3)}=8 \frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{h_{0}} \frac{x y}{\beta^{2} C^{2}}, \\
& g_{44}^{(3)}=H, \\
& \left(A_{3}\right)^{(3)}=-\frac{\dot{1}}{h_{0}} \frac{x}{\beta C} \tau_{3}, \quad\left(A_{4}\right)^{(3)}=-\dot{\mathbb{i}} \frac{y}{\beta C} \tau_{3} . \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The fields are written in $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{2} / C^{2}\right)$. There is a non-zero off-diagonal metric and the form of the dilaton $\phi^{(3)}$ and a component of the metric $g_{33}^{(3)}$ are different from (5.3). However, the gauge fields in (5.3) and (A.1) are gauge equivalent. When we take a T-dual transformation along $x^{4}$ for (A.1), we can obtain the heterotic $5_{2}^{2}$-brane (5.4) as in figure 2 . Therefore, we confirm that the T-duality web for the heterotic gauge five brane is closed.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

[1] A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, Brane dynamics and gauge theory, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71 (1999) 983 [hep-th/9802067] [INSPIRE].
[2] J.M. Maldacena, The large-N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113 [hep-th/9711200] [inSPIRE].
[3] R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs, D. Lüst and S. Stieberger, Four-dimensional String Compactifications with D-branes, Orientifolds and Fluxes, Phys. Rept. 445 (2007) 1 [hep-th/0610327] [inSPIRE].
[4] C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, Unity of superstring dualities, Nucl. Phys. B 438 (1995) 109 [hep-th/9410167] [inSPIRE].
[5] S. Elitzur, A. Giveon, D. Kutasov and E. Rabinovici, Algebraic aspects of matrix theory on $T^{d}$, Nucl. Phys. B 509 (1998) 122 [hep-th/9707217] [INSPIRE].
[6] N.A. Obers and B. Pioline, U-duality and M-theory, Phys. Rept. 318 (1999) 113 [hep-th/9809039] [inSPIRE].
[7] M. Blau and M. O’Loughlin, Aspects of U duality in matrix theory, Nucl. Phys. B 525 (1998) 182 [hep-th/9712047] [inSPIRE].
[8] E. Eyras and Y. Lozano, Exotic branes and nonperturbative seven-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 573 (2000) 735 [hep-th/9908094] [INSPIRE].
[9] E. Lozano-Tellechea and T. Ortín, 7-branes and higher Kaluza-Klein branes, Nucl. Phys. B 607 (2001) 213 [hep-th/0012051] [INSPIRE].
[10] T. Kikuchi, T. Okada and Y. Sakatani, Rotating string in doubled geometry with generalized isometries, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 046001 [arXiv:1205.5549] [InSPIRE].
[11] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, Gauged linear $\sigma$-model for exotic five-brane, Nucl. Phys. B 876 (2013) 493 [arXiv:1304.4061] [INSPIRE].
[12] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, Worldsheet instanton corrections to $5_{2}^{2}$-brane geometry, JHEP 08 (2013) 126 [arXiv:1305.4439] [inSPIRE].
[13] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, Worldsheet Description of Exotic Five-brane with Two Gauged Isometries, JHEP 03 (2014) 128 [arXiv:1310.6163] [inSPIRE].
[14] D. Andriot and A. Betz, NS-branes, source corrected Bianchi identities and more on backgrounds with non-geometric fluxes, JHEP 07 (2014) 059 [arXiv:1402.5972] [InSPIRE].
[15] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and M. Yata, World-volume Effective Actions of Exotic Five-branes, JHEP 07 (2014) 127 [arXiv:1404.5442] [inSPIRE].
[16] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and M. Yata, Hyper-Kähler with torsion, T-duality and defect ( $p, q$ ) five-branes, JHEP 03 (2015) 076 [arXiv:1411.3457] [INSPIRE].
[17] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and M. Yata, World-volume Effective Action of Exotic Five-brane in M-theory, JHEP 02 (2016) 168 [arXiv:1601.05589] [inSPIRE].
[18] A. Chatzistavrakidis, F.F. Gautason, G. Moutsopoulos and M. Zagermann, Effective actions of nongeometric five-branes, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 066004 [arXiv:1309.2653] [InSPIRE].
[19] T. Kimura, Defect $(p, q)$ five-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 893 (2015) 1 [arXiv:1410.8403] [inSPIRE].
[20] T. Kimura, $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ gauged linear $\sigma$-models for defect five-branes, arXiv:1503.08635 [InSPIRE].
[21] T. Kimura, Gauge-fixing Condition on Prepotential of Chiral Multiplet for Nongeometric Backgrounds, PTEP 2016 (2016) 023B04 [arXiv:1506.05005] [inSPIRE].
[22] T. Kimura, Semi-doubled $\sigma$-models for Five-branes, JHEP 02 (2016) 013 [arXiv:1512.05548] [INSPIRE].
[23] T. Kimura, Supersymmetry projection rules on exotic branes, PTEP 2016 (2016) 053B05 [arXiv:1601.02175] [inSPIRE].
[24] T. Kimura, Exotic Brane Junctions from F-theory, JHEP 05 (2016) 060 [arXiv:1602.08606] [INSPIRE].
[25] T. Okada and Y. Sakatani, Defect branes as Alice strings, JHEP 03 (2015) 131 [arXiv:1411.1043] [INSPIRE].
[26] Y. Sakatani, Exotic branes and non-geometric fluxes, JHEP 03 (2015) 135 [arXiv:1412.8769] [INSPIRE].
[27] Y. Sakatani and S. Uehara, Branes in Extended Spacetime: Brane Worldvolume Theory Based on Duality Symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 191601 [arXiv:1607.04265] [INSPIRE].
[28] K. Lee, S.-J. Rey and Y. Sakatani, Effective action for non-geometric fluxes duality covariant actions, JHEP 07 (2017) 075 [arXiv:1612.08738] [InSPIRE].
[29] E.A. Bergshoeff, T. Ortín and F. Riccioni, Defect Branes, Nucl. Phys. B 856 (2012) 210 [arXiv:1109.4484] [INSPIRE].
[30] J. de Boer and M. Shigemori, Exotic branes and non-geometric backgrounds, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 251603 [arXiv:1004.2521] [InSPIRE].
[31] J. de Boer and M. Shigemori, Exotic branes in string theory, Phys. Rept. 532 (2013) 65 [arXiv:1209.6056] [INSPIRE].
[32] C.M. Hull, A geometry for non-geometric string backgrounds, JHEP 10 (2005) 065 [hep-th/0406102] [INSPIRE].
[33] F. Haßler and D. Lüst, Non-commutative/non-associative IIA (IIB) Q-and R-branes and their intersections, JHEP 07 (2013) 048 [arXiv:1303.1413] [INSPIRE].
[34] S. Sasaki and M. Yata, Non-geometric five-branes in heterotic supergravity, JHEP 11 (2016) 064 [arXiv: 1608.01436] [INSPIRE].
[35] C.G. Callan Jr., J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, World sheet approach to heterotic instantons and solitons, Nucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 611 [INSPIRE].
[36] C.G. Callan Jr., J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Worldbrane actions for string solitons, Nucl. Phys. B 367 (1991) 60 [InSPIRE].
[37] A. Strominger, Heterotic solitons, Nucl. Phys. B 343 (1990) 167 [Erratum ibid. B 353 (1991) 565] [INSPIRE].
[38] M.J. Duff and J.X. Lu, Elementary five-brane solutions of $D=10$ supergravity, Nucl. Phys. B 354 (1991) 141 [inSPIRE].
[39] S.J. Rey, On string theory and axionic strings and instantons, in Proceedings of Particles and fields '91. Vol. 2, Vancouver Canada (1991), pg. 876, SLAC-PUB-5659.
[40] T.H. Buscher, A Symmetry of the String Background Field Equations, Phys. Lett. B 194 (1987) 59 [InSPIRE].
[41] T.H. Buscher, Path Integral Derivation of Quantum Duality in Nonlinear $\sigma$-models, Phys. Lett. B 201 (1988) 466 [inSPIRE].
[42] A.A. Tseytlin, Duality and dilaton, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 1721 [INSPIRE].
[43] E. Bergshoeff, B. Janssen and T. Ortín, Solution generating transformations and the string effective action, Class. Quant. Grav. 13 (1996) 321 [hep-th/9506156] [inSPIRE].
[44] M. Serone and M. Trapletti, A note on T-duality in heterotic string theory, Phys. Lett. B 637 (2006) 331 [hep-th/0512272] [inSPIRE].
[45] R.S. Ward, Periodic monopoles, Phys. Lett. B 619 (2005) 177 [hep-th/0505254] [InSPIRE].
[46] E. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Terms in Ten-dimensions, Phys. Lett. B 218 (1989) 210 [inSPIRE].
[47] E.A. Bergshoeff and M. de Roo, The Quartic Effective Action of the Heterotic String and Supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 439 [inSPIRE].
[48] T. Ortin, Gravity and Strings, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. (2015).
[49] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwartz and Yu. S. Tyupkin, Pseudoparticle Solutions of the Yang-Mills Equations, Phys. Lett. 59B (1975) 85 [inSPIRE].
[50] R.R. Khuri, A heterotic multimonopole solution, Nucl. Phys. B 387 (1992) 315 [hep-th/9205081] [INSPIRE].
[51] J.P. Gauntlett, J.A. Harvey and J.T. Liu, Magnetic monopoles in string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 409 (1993) 363 [hep-th/9211056] [inSPIRE].
[52] W. Nahm, A Simple Formalism for the BPS Monopole, Phys. Lett. 90B (1980) 413 [INSPIRE].
[53] N.J. Hitchin, On the Construction of Monopoles, Commun. Math. Phys. 89 (1983) 145 [INSPIRE].
[54] S.A. Cherkis and A. Kapustin, Nahm transform for periodic monopoles and $N=2$ super Yang-Mills theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 218 (2001) 333 [hep-th/0006050] [INSPIRE].
[55] R. Maldonado, Periodic monopoles from spectral curves, JHEP 02 (2013) 099 [arXiv:1212.4481] [INSPIRE].
[56] R. Maldonado, Higher charge periodic monopoles, arXiv:1311.6354 [InSPIRE].
[57] R. Maldonado, Scaling limits of periodic monopoles, JHEP 01 (2015) 062 [arXiv:1405.3641] [INSPIRE].
[58] P. Rossi, Propagation Functions in the Field of a Monopole, Nucl. Phys. B 149 (1979) 170 [inSPIRE].
[59] B.J. Harrington and H.K. Shepard, Periodic Euclidean Solutions and the Finite Temperature Yang-Mills Gas, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 2122 [InSPIRE].
[60] B.R. Greene, A.D. Shapere, C. Vafa and S.-T. Yau, Stringy Cosmic Strings and Noncompact Calabi-Yau Manifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 1 [InSPIRE].
[61] G.W. Gibbons, M.B. Green and M.J. Perry, Instantons and seven-branes in type IIB superstring theory, Phys. Lett. B 370 (1996) 37 [hep-th/9511080] [inSPIRE].
[62] O. Hohm, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Heterotic effective action and duality symmetries revisited, JHEP 02 (2015) 079 [arXiv:1411.5696] [INSPIRE].
[63] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, Double field theory, JHEP 09 (2009) 099 [arXiv:0904.4664] [inSPIRE].
[64] T.C. Kraan and P. van Baal, Monopole constituents inside $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ calorons, Phys. Lett. B 435 (1998) 389 [hep-th/9806034] [inSPIRE].
[65] K.-M. Lee and P. Yi, Monopoles and instantons on partially compactified D-branes, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 3711 [hep-th/9702107] [inSPIRE].
[66] D.J. Gross, R.D. Pisarski and L.G. Yaffe, $Q C D$ and Instantons at Finite Temperature, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53 (1981) 43 [inSPIRE].
[67] E.A. Bergshoeff and F. Riccioni, Heterotic wrapping rules, JHEP 01 (2013) 005 [arXiv:1210.1422] [INSPIRE].
[68] E.A. Bergshoeff, A. Marrani and F. Riccioni, Brane orbits, Nucl. Phys. B 861 (2012) 104 [arXiv:1201.5819] [inSPIRE].
[69] E. Witten, Small instantons in string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996) 541 [hep-th/9511030] [INSPIRE].
[70] S.A. Cherkis and A. Kapustin, Periodic monopoles with singularities and $N=2$ super $Q C D$, Commun. Math. Phys. 234 (2003) 1 [hep-th/0011081] [inSPIRE].


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the following we employ the minus sign in the right hand side. It is possible, of course, to find the solution for the other sign.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here，we have chosen the combination $g_{M N}-B_{M N}$ not $g_{M N}+B_{M N}$ which may be widely used in literature．This choice originates from the convention related to the generalized spin connection $\omega_{ \pm}$．Since we have defined the $R^{2}$ term in the action by $\omega_{+}$，we have to choose the abovementioned combination in $G_{M N}$ ．Otherwise the $O(d, d)$ T－duality symmetry is not realized．

