Online Submissions: wjg.wjgnet.com www.wjgnet.com wjg@wjgnet.com

RAPID COMMUNICATION

World J Gastroenterol 2008 January 7; 14(1): 70-75 World Journal of Gastroenterology ISSN 1007-9327 © 2008 WJG. All rights reserved.

# Genetic changes of *p53*, K-*ras*, and microsatellite instability in gallbladder carcinoma in high-incidence areas of Japan and Hungary

Masayuki Nagahashi, Yoichi Ajioka, Istvan Lang, Zoltan Szentirmay, Miklos Kasler, Hiroto Nakadaira, Naoyuki Yokoyama, Gen Watanabe, Ken Nishikura, Toshifumi Wakai, Yoshio Shirai, Katsuyoshi Hatakeyama, Masaharu Yamamoto

Masayuki Nagahashi, Yoichi Ajioka, Gen Watanabe, Ken Nishikura, Division of Molecular and Diagnostic Pathology, Niigata University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Niigata 951-8510, Japan

**Istvan Lang,** Department of Medical Oncology "B", National Institute of Oncology, Budapest H-1122, Hungary

Zoltan Szentirmay, Department of Molecular Pathology, National Institute of Oncology, Budapest H-1122, Hungary

Miklos Kasler, Department of Head and Neck Surgery, National Institute of Oncology, Budapest H-1122, Hungary

Hiroto Nakadaira, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Social Welfare, and Psychology, Niigata Seiryo University, Niigata 951-8510, Japan

Naoyuki Yokoyama, Toshifumi Wakai, Yoshio Shirai, Katsuyoshi Hatakeyama, Division of Digestive and General Surgery, Niigata University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Niigata 951-8510, Japan

Masaharu Yamamoto, Department of Community Preventive Medicine, Niigata University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Niigata 951-8510, Japan

**Correspondence to: Yoichi Ajioka, MD, PhD,** Division of Molecular and Diagnostic Pathology, Niigata University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, 1-757 Asahimachi-dori, Chuo-ku, Niigata 951-8510,

Japan. ajioka@med.niigata-u.ac.jp

Telephone: +81-25-2272098 Fax: +81-25-2270760 Received: August 15, 2007 Revised: September 22, 2007

## Abstract

**AIM:** To disclose geographic differences in genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis between two distinct high-incidence areas of Japan and Hungary.

**METHODS:** We examined 42 cases of gallbladder carcinoma: 22 Japanese and 20 Hungarian cases. *p53* mutations at exons 5 to 8 and K-*ras* mutations at codon 12 were tested by direct sequencing. Microsatellite instability was determined from fluorescent dye-labeled PCR amplifications of five-microsatellite markers (*BAT-25*, *BAT-26*, *D2S123*, *D5S346*, and *D17S250*).

**RESULTS:** Mutations of *p53* were detected in 11 of 22 Japanese cases and 6 of 18 Hungarian cases (11/22 *vs* 6/18, P = 0.348). Transition at CpG sites was found in none of 11 Japanese cases and 2 of 6 Hungarian cases; the difference was marginally significant (0/11 *vs* 2/6,

P = 0.110). K-*ras* mutations were detected in only one of the Hungarian cases. Eight of 19 (42.1%) Japanese cases were MSI-high (presence of novel peaks in more than one of the five loci analyzed), whereas only 1 of 15 (6.7%) Hungarian cases was MSI-high (P = 0.047).

**CONCLUSION:** It appears that the p53 mutations and MSI differ in patients with gallbladder carcinoma between two distinct high-incidence areas. Geographic variation might exist in the process of gallbladder carcinogenesis.

© 2008 WJG. All rights reserved.

Key words: Gallbladder; Gallbladder Neoplasms; K-ras; Microsatellite instability; *p53* 

http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.14.70

Nagahashi M, Ajioka Y, Lang I, Szentirmay Z, Kasler M, Nakadaira H, Yokoyama N, Watanabe G, Nishikura K, Wakai T, Shirai Y, Hatakeyama K, Yamamoto M. Genetic changes of *p53*, K-*ras*, and microsatellite instability in gallbladder carcinoma in high-incidence areas of Japan and Hungary. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008; 14(1): 70-75

http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/14/70.asp

## INTRODUCTION

Although considerable progress has been made regarding the molecular pathogenesis of human neoplasms such as colorectal carcinoma<sup>[1,2]</sup>, pancreatic carcinoma<sup>[3,4]</sup>, and breast carcinoma<sup>[5,6]</sup>, there is only limited information about the genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis<sup>[7,8]</sup>. Gallbladder carcinoma shows striking geographic and ethnic variation<sup>[9,10]</sup>. The high-incidence areas are scattered throughout the world: Latin America, Eastern Europe, northern India, and Japan<sup>[9-13]</sup>. The highest mortality rate of gallbladder carcinoma in the world, 35 per 100 000 inhabitants, is found in Southern Chile<sup>[9]</sup>. Japanese standardized mortality rates for gallbladder carcinoma were world's second highest for males and fifth highest for females in 1996 with a steady increase in incidence (up to 5 per 100 000)<sup>[9,10,14]</sup>. On the other hand, Zatonski reported that Hungarian mortality rates of this tumor were the highest in Europe (4 per 100 000 males and 7 per 100 000 females)<sup>[13]</sup>. This marked geographic variation implies that a combination of genetic and environmental etiologic factors affects the process of carcinogenesis of the gallbladder<sup>[10]</sup>. Previously, we revealed the geographic variation of gallbladder carcinogenesis between Japan and Chile (a representative of Latin American countries), both of which were known as distinct high-incidence countries, in terms of the *p53* mutational spectra<sup>[14]</sup>. Only a few other investigators also have reported such geographic and ethnic differences in genetic changes of this tumor<sup>[15-17]</sup>. Thus, there has been a paucity of evidence regarding the geographic diversity of genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis.

The aim of this study was to disclose geographic differences in genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis by comparing gallbladder carcinomas from two distinct high-incidence areas, Japan and Hungary (a representative country of Eastern Europe), in terms of p53 mutations, K-ras mutations, and microsatellite instability (MSI).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Tissue specimens

From 1982 to 1996, 22 patients with gallbladder carcinoma underwent a resection at Niigata University General Hospital and its affiliated institutions in Niigata Prefecture; the surgical specimens were collected and stored (Japanese cases). All the patients were Japanese. The Japanese cases in this study were identical with the Japanese cases in our previous study<sup>[14]</sup>. From 1982 to 2001, 20 patients with gallbladder carcinoma underwent a resection in hospitals in Budapest, Hungary; the surgical specimens were collected and stored (Hungarian cases) through the courtesy of a Hungarian oncologist (I.L.) and surgical pathologist (Z.S.). All the patients were residents in Budapest. Both the Japanese cases and the Hungarian cases (a total of 42 cases) formed the basis of this retrospective study. All of the patients gave informed consent for pathologically examining the specimens. No patient in this series was diagnosed with anomalous union of the pancreatic and biliary ducts (AUPBD), and had a family history suggestive of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC).

The surgical specimens were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. One or two representative sections of each specimen were used for DNA analysis. Histopathological findings were described according to the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system<sup>[18]</sup>.

## **DNA** preparation

Five serial slices 10-µm thick were cut from each representative histologic section and deparaffinized. Cancer tissue in each slice was dissected under microscopic guidance as described previously<sup>[14]</sup>. Non-neoplastic tissue in each slice was used as a source of constitutional DNA. DNA was extracted using a DNA Isolator PS Kit (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) as described previously<sup>[14]</sup>.



Figure 1 Fragment pattern of case No 1 (Table 1) showing microsatellite instability at two loci (*BAT25*, *BAT26*). N: Normal DNA; T: Tumor DNA.

#### Analysis of p53 mutations

p53 mutations at exons 5 to 8 were tested by nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and direct sequencing as described previously<sup>[14]</sup>.

#### Analysis of K-ras mutations

K-*ras* mutations at codon 12 were tested by nested PCR, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism, and direct sequencing as described elsewhere<sup>[19]</sup>.

#### Analysis of MSI

Fluorescent dye-labeled PCR amplification was performed using the five microsatellite markers (BAT-25, BAT-26, D2S123, D5S346, and D17S250) recommended by the National Cancer Institute workshop<sup>[20,21]</sup>. Fluorescent dye-labeled and unlabeled primers were obtained (Applied Biosystems Japan Ltd., Tokyo, Japan); the 5' oligonucleotide was end-labeled with 6FAM (BAT-25, D2S123), VIC (BAT-26, D17S250), or NED (D5S346) fluorescent dyes. Amplification by PCR was performed using the Temp Control System PC-700 (ASTEC Co., Ltd., Fukuoka, Japan) in 30-µL reaction volumes containing 100 ng of DNA, 0.75 U of AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems Japan Ltd.), and 10 pmol of each primer. The cycling profile was: denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, annealing at 55°C for 40 s and extension at 72°C for 40 s followed by a 7-min final extension step. A  $1.0-\mu$ L aliquot of each fluorescent dye-labeled PCR product was combined with 12  $\mu$ L of formamide and 0.5  $\mu$ L of the GENESCAN 350 [ROX] size standard (Applied Biosystems Japan Ltd.) and analyzed on an ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer using GeneScan Analysis Software (Applied Biosystems Japan Ltd.). MSI experiments were repeated twice to exclude the possibility of a false positive result due to PCR amplification artifacts in an independent PCR reaction. The sequencing results were verified by a second independently generated PCR product.

The presence of MSI was determined from the PCR amplifications of the five-microsatellite markers. Microsatellite instability was defined as the presence of novel peaks that were not found in non-neoplastic tissue (Figure 1). A tumor was defined as having high microsatellite instability (MSI-high) if more than one of the five loci analyzed showed unequivocal instabilities; a tumor was defined as having low microsatellite instability (MSI-low) if only one locus showed instability; and a tumor was defined as microsatellite stable if no microsatellite instability was found. In this study, MSI-low tumors and microsatellite-stable tumors were categorized together into one group (MSI-low/none), as proposed by recent authors<sup>[16,22]</sup>.

## Statistical analysis

Fisher's exact test was used to compare the frequencies of genetic alterations between the two groups. All statistical evaluations were performed using the SPSS 11.5J software package (SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan). All tests were two-sided and a P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# RESULTS

## p53 mutation

Mutations of p53 at exons 5 to 8 were detected in 11 of 22 (50.0%) Japanese cases and 6 of 18 (33.3%) Hungarian cases (P = 0.348; Table 1). Among the 17 cases with p53 mutations, transversion was found in 4 of 11 Japanese cases and 1 of 6 Hungarian cases (P = 0.600). Transition at CpG sites was found in none of 11 Japanese cases and 2 of 6 Hungarian cases; the difference was marginally significant (P = 0.110).

### K-ras mutation

K-*ras* mutations at codon 12 were detected in none of 22 Japanese cases and 1 of 20 Hungarian cases (P = 0.476) (Table 1).

#### MSI

Eight of 19 (42.1%) Japanese cases were MSI-high, whereas only one of 15 (6.7%) Hungarian cases was MSI-high; the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.047; Table 1).

# Association between p53 mutation and MSI in gallbladder carcinoma

Both *p53* mutation and MSI were analyzed successfully in 34 cases: 19 Japanese cases and 15 Hungarian cases (Table 1). When the cases were stratified into Japanese cases and Hungarian cases, *p53* mutations were not associated significantly with MSI in both groups. In all 34 cases, *p53* mutations were associated significantly with MSI (Table 2; P = 0.033).

## DISCUSSION

Although earlier epidemiologic studies have suggested that the incidence of gallbladder carcinoma varies geographically or ethnically<sup>[9,10]</sup>, there is a paucity of evidence regarding the geographic diversity of molecular changes associated with this tumor<sup>[7,8]</sup>. This prompted us to conduct the current study. In 1998, we reported that the *p53* mutational spectra of gallbladder carcinoma differed considerably between Japan and Chile, both of which were known as high-incidence countries<sup>[14]</sup>. The current study first demonstrates that the process of gallbladder carcinogenesis differs in terms of *p53* mutations and microsatellite instability between two distinct highincidence areas: Japan and Hungary (a representative country of Eastern Europe).

Reported prevalences of p53 mutation for gallbladder carcinoma ranged from 31% to 70%<sup>[14,23-26]</sup>, suggesting that p53 mutation plays an important role in the development of this tumor<sup>[8]</sup>. The prevalences of p53 mutation in this series (50% in Japanese cases and 33% in Hungarian cases) are comparable with the reported figures. In various malignancies, endogenous carcinogenesis is characterized by transitions at CpG sites, whereas transversions imply the presence of an exogenous mutational process<sup>[27-29]</sup>. In our previous study, the prevalence of transitions at CpG sites was significantly higher in the Chilean cases than in the Japanese cases<sup>[14]</sup>. In the current study, there was a trend toward a higher prevalence of transitions at CpG sites in the Hungarian cases. Taken together, these observations suggest that an endogenous mutational process contributed considerably to carcinogenesis of the gallbladder in the Chilean and Hungarian cases. In contrast, considering that there were no transitions at CpG sites and four transversions in the Japanese cases, it appears that exogenous mutations often happen during the process of carcinogenesis in the Japanese cases. Therefore, geographic variation might exist in carcinogenesis of the gallbladder among three distinct areas.

Reported prevalences of K-*ras* mutation for gallbladder carcinoma without AUPBD ranged from 0% to 17%<sup>[16,30-33]</sup>, suggesting that frequency of K-*ras* mutation is relatively low in gallbladder carcinoma without AUPBD. This is consistent with our results: K-*ras* mutation was found in only one of the Hungarian cases. Taken together, most gallbladder carcinoma appears to develop from a K-*ras*-independent pathway.

Microsatellite instability, which represents replication errors, results from DNA mismatches due to environmental or hereditary factors and leads to genomic instability<sup>[34,35]</sup>. Reported prevalences of MSIhigh for sporadic gallbladder carcinoma range from 0% to  $10\%^{[15,16,36-38]}$ , whereas the prevalence was high (42.1%) in our cases. This suggests that environmental or hereditary factors contribute to carcinogenesis of the gallbladder in some of our cases. In the current study, the prevalence of MSI-high was significantly higher in the Japanese cases than in the Hungarian cases. Considering that there were no patients with HNPCC in this series, the above finding suggests that the high prevalence of MSI-high in the Japanese cases may be due to environmental factors, which remain unknown.

There are inverse relationships between p53 mutations and MSI in colorectal cancer<sup>[39,40]</sup>. Regarding gallbladder carcinoma, earlier authors have failed to identify such relationships between p53 mutations and MSI<sup>[16,38]</sup>. In this study, p53 mutations were associated significantly with MSI in all cases. When the cases were stratified into Japanese cases and Hungarian cases, no such associations between p53 mutation and MSI were found, probably due to the small sample size. Taken together, the above observations suggest that the pathway of carcinogenesis differs between the gallbladder and the colon and rectum.

The current study has limitations. Firstly, it was a

Table 1 Genetic alterations observed in gallbladder carcinomas from Japan and Hungary

Japanese casesTypeGradeExon/codonBase changeBase change171FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25, BAT26274FADG1Exon 5/codon 132AAG to GAGNoneBAT25, D25123362FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25, D25123476FADG1Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26578FADG2Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26670FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND771FADG1None-NoneNone771FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231349FPAPG1None-NoneNone1471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT26, D251231349FPAPG1None-NoneNoneNone1471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to AAANoneBAT25, D251231570M	Case No	Age (yr)	Sex Histology <sup>1</sup>		<i>p53</i> mutation		K-ras mutation	Microsatellite instability				
Japanese cases171FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25, BAT26274FADG1Exon 5/codon 132AAG to GAGNoneBAT25, D25123362FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25476FADG1Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD251231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, D251231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D251231653MADG1Exon 7/codon 280AGA to AAANoneNone				Туре	Grade	Exon/codon	Base change	Base change				
171FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25, BAT26274FADG1Exon 5/codon 132AAG to GAGNoneBAT25, D2S123362FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25476FADG1Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneNOne670FADG1Exon 5/codon 166TCA to ACANoneNone771FADG1None-NoneNone879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneNone1263MPAPG1None-NoneD251231349FPAPG1None-NoneNone1471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to AAANoneD251231570MADG2Exon 7/codon 280AGA to AAANoneND251231653MADG1None-NoneND25123	Japanese cases											
274FADG1Exon 5/codon 132AAG to GAGNoneBAT25, D2S123362FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25476FADG1Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneNone879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT26, D2S1231570MADG1None-NoneD2S123D2S1231653MPAPG1None-NoneD2S123D2S1231653MADG1NoneAGA to AAANoneBAT26, D2S123	1	71	F	PAP	G1	None	-	None	BAT25, BAT26			
362FPAPG1None-NoneBAT25476FADG1Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND578FADG1Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneNone879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231263MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231349FPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneND1653MADG1None-NoneNoneNone	2	74	F	AD	G1	Exon 5/codon 132	AAG to GAG	None	BAT25, D2S123			
476FADG1Exon 6/codon 193CAT to AATNoneBAT25, BAT26578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140ACC to ATCNoneND670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneBAT26, D25123879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231349FPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT26, D251231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 280GAG to AAANoneBAT25, D251231653MADG1Exon 7/codon 280GT to CGTNoneND	3	62	F	PAP	G1	None	-	None	BAT25			
578FADG2Exon 5/codon 140 Exon 5/codon 166ACC to ATC TCA to ACANoneND670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneBAT26, D2S123879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D2S1231349FPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, D2S1231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 280GGA to AAANoneBAT25, D2S1231653MADG1None-NoneNone	4	76	F	AD	G1	Exon 6/codon 193	CAT to AAT	None	BAT25, BAT26			
Final ResultExon 5/codon 166TCA to ACA670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneBAT26, D25123879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D251231263MPAPG1None-NoneBAT26, D31261349FPAPG1None-NoneD251231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D251231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneNone	5	78	F	AD	G2	Exon 5/codon 140	ACC to ATC	None	ND			
670FADG1Exon 8/codon 276GCC to CCCNoneNone771FADG1None-NoneBAT26, D2S123879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT261263MPAPG1Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D2S1231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneNone						Exon 5/codon 166	TCA to ACA					
771FADG1None-NoneBAT26, D2S123879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT261263MPAPG1Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D2S1231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneNone1653MADG1None-NoneNoneNone	6	70	F	AD	G1	Exon 8/codon 276	GCC to CCC	None	None			
879FADG1None-NoneNone966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT261263MPAPG1Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D2S1231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneNone	7	71	F	AD	G1	None	-	None	BAT26, D2S123			
966FPAPG1None-NoneNone1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT261263MPAPG1Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD251231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D251231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneNone	8	79	F	AD	G1	None	-	None	None			
1060FPAPG1None-NoneNone1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT261263MPAPG1Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D2S1231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneND1653MADG1None-NoneNone	9	66	F	PAP	G1	None	-	None	None			
1180MPAPG1None-NoneBAT261263MPAPG1Exon 8/codon 294GAG to GAANoneBAT25, BAT261349FPAPG1None-NoneD2S1231471MADG2Exon 8/codon 280AGA to AAANoneBAT25, D2S1231570MADG1Exon 7/codon 238TGT to CGTNoneND1653MADG1NoneNoneNoneNone	10	60	F	PAP	G1	None	-	None	None			
12   63   M   PAP   G1   Exon 8/codon 294   GAG to GAA   None   BAT25, BAT26     13   49   F   PAP   G1   None   -   None   D2S123     14   71   M   AD   G2   Exon 8/codon 280   AGA to AAA   None   BAT25, D2S123     15   70   M   AD   G1   Exon 7/codon 238   TGT to CGT   None   ND     16   53   M   AD   G1   None   -   None   None	11	80	М	PAP	G1	None	-	None	BAT26			
13 49 F PAP G1 None - None D2S123   14 71 M AD G2 Exon 8/codon 280 AGA to AAA None BAT25, D2S123   15 70 M AD G1 Exon 7/codon 238 TGT to CGT None ND   16 53 M AD G1 None None None	12	63	М	PAP	G1	Exon 8/codon 294	GAG to GAA	None	BAT25, BAT26			
14     71     M     AD     G2     Exon 8/codon 280     AGA to AAA     None     BAT25, D2S123       15     70     M     AD     G1     Exon 7/codon 238     TGT to CGT     None     ND       16     53     M     AD     G1     None     None     None	13	49	F	PAP	G1	None	-	None	D2S123			
15 70 M AD G1 Exon 7/codon 238 TGT to CGT None ND   16 53 M AD G1 None None None	14	71	М	AD	G2	Exon 8/codon 280	AGA to AAA	None	BAT25, D2S123			
16 53 M AD G1 None - None None	15	70	М	AD	G1	Exon 7/codon 238	TGT to CGT	None	ND			
To be in the of the the the the	16	53	М	AD	G1	None	-	None	None			
17     79     F     AD     G3     Exon 8/codon 271     GAG to AAG     None     None	17	79	F	AD	G3	Exon 8/codon 271	GAG to AAG	None	None			
18 62 M AD G3 Exon 6/codon 205 TAT to TGT None None	18	62	М	AD	G3	Exon 6/codon 205	TAT to TGT	None	None			
19 61 M AD G1 None - None D175250, D25123	19	61	М	AD	G1	None	-	None	D17S250, D2S123			
20     69     M     AD     G2     Exon 7/codon 231     ACC to ATC     None     BAT25, D17S250	20	69	М	AD	G2	Exon 7/codon 231	ACC to ATC	None	BAT25, D17S250			
21 58 F AD G2 Exon 5/codon 160 ATG to GTG None ND	21	58	F	AD	G2	Exon 5/codon 160	ATG to GTG	None	ND			
Exon 6/codon 220 TAT to AAT						Exon 6/codon 220	TAT to AAT					
22 76 F AD G3 None - None None	22	76	F	AD	G3	None	-	None	None			
Hungarian cases	Hungarian cas	ses										
23 71 M AD G2 Exon 7/codon 249 AGG to ACG None BAT26	23	71	М	AD	G2	Exon 7/codon 249	AGG to ACG	None	BAT26			
24 69 F AD G3 Exon 6/codon 213 CAG to CGG None None	24	69	F	AD	G3	Exon 6/codon 213	CAG to CGG	None	None			
25 69 F AD G2 None - None None	25	69	F	AD	G2	None	-	None	None			
26 76 F AD G2 None - None None	26	76	F	AD	G2	None	-	None	None			
27 61 F AD G3 None - None None	27	61	F	AD	G3	None	-	None	None			
28 58 F AD G3 None - None BAT25	28	58	F	AD	G3	None	-	None	BAT25			
29 69 F AD G3 None - None None	29	69	F	AD	G3	None	-	None	None			
30 75 F PAP G1 None - None None	30	75	F	PAP	G1	None	-	None	None			
31 66 F AD G3 None - None None	31	66	F	AD	G3	None	-	None	None			
32 65 F AD G1 Exon 6/codon 219 CCC to TCC None ND	32	65	F	AD	G1	Exon 6/codon 219	CCC to TCC	None	ND			
33 61 F AD G3 ND - None ND	33	61	F	AD	G3	ND	-	None	ND			
34 Unknown F PAP G1 Exon 5/codon 138 GCC to GCT None BAT25, D175250	34	Unknown	F	PAP	G1	Exon 5/codon 138	GCC to GCT	None	BAT25, D17S250			
Exon 5/codon 167 CAG to CAA						Exon 5/codon 167	CAG to CAA					
Exon 5/codon 170 ACG to ACA						Exon 5/codon 170	ACG to ACA					
35 Unknown F PAP G1 Exon 5/codon 158 CGC to TGC GGT to AGT ND	35	Unknown	F	PAP	G1	Exon 5/codon 158	CGC to TGC	GGT to AGT	ND			
Exon 6/codon 223 CCT to TCT						Exon 6/codon 223	CCT to TCT					
36 Unknown F AD G3 Exon 6/codon 210 AAC to AAT None ND	36	Unknown	F	AD	G3	Exon 6/codon 210	AAC to AAT	None	ND			
Exon 7/codon 228 GAC to AAC						Exon 7/codon 228	GAC to AAC					
37 Unknown F AD G2 ND - None ND	37	Unknown	F	AD	G2	ND	-	None	ND			
38 53 F AD G3 None - None None	38	53	F	AD	G3	None	-	None	None			
39 72 Unknown AD G1 None - None None	39	72	Unknown	AD	G1	None	-	None	None			
40 69 M AD G1 None - None BAT26	40	69	М	AD	G1	None	-	None	BAT26			
41 57 F AD G1 None - None None	41	57	F	AD	G1	None	-	None	None			
42 74 F AD G1 None - None BAT25	42	74	F	AD	G1	None	-	None	BAT25			

<sup>1</sup>According to the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system<sup>[15]</sup>, PAP: Papillary carcinoma; AD: Adenocarcinoma; ND: Not detected; G1: Well differentiated; G2: Moderately differentiated; G3: Poorly differentiated.

Table 2 Associa carcinoma	ation between	1 <i>p53</i> mutation	on and MSI in gall	bladder
		MSI-high	MSI-low/none	Р
p53 mutation	Present	6	5	0.033
	Absent	3	20	

MSI: Microsatellite instability.

retrospective analysis of a small number of patients.

Secondly, DNA preparation from paraffin-embedded tissue sections was unsuccessful in some patients. Thirdly, only limited clinical information was available in the Hungarian cases to protect the patients' privacy. To our knowledge, however, this study demonstrates more clearly the geographic diversity of gallbladder carcinogenesis than earlier reports<sup>[15-17]</sup>.

In conclusion, it appears that the p53 mutations and MSI differ in patients with gallbladder carcinoma between two distinct high-incidence areas. Exogenous mutations

and unknown environmental factors may play roles in gallbladder carcinogenesis in the Japanese cases, whereas the Hungarian cases are characterized by an endogenous mutational process. Thus, geographic variation might exist in the process of gallbladder carcinogenesis.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Hiroki Shimizu for helpful advice, and Ayako Sato, Kazue Kobayashi, and Naoyuki Yamaguchi for technical assistance.

## COMMENTS

#### Background

Although considerable progress has been made regarding the molecular pathogenesis of human neoplasms, there is only limited information about the genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis. Gallbladder carcinoma shows striking geographic and ethnic variation, however there is a paucity of evidence regarding the geographic diversity of molecular changes associated with this tumor.

### **Research frontiers**

In 1998, we reported that the *p*53 mutational spectra of gallbladder carcinoma differed considerably between Japan and Chile, both of which were known as high-incidence countries. Only a few other investigators also have reported such geographic and ethnic differences in genetic changes of this tumor. The aim of this study was to disclose geographic differences in genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis by comparing gallbladder carcinomas from two distinct high-incidence areas, Japan and Hungary.

#### Innovations and breakthroughs

The current study first demonstrates that the p53 mutations and MSI differ in patients with gallbladder carcinoma between two distinct high-incidence areas: Japan and Hungary. Exogenous mutations and unknown environmental factors may play roles in gallbladder carcinogenesis in the Japanese cases, whereas the Hungarian cases are characterized by an endogenous mutational process. Thus, geographic variation might exist in the process of gallbladder carcinogenesis.

#### Applications

Gallbladder carcinoma is a highly lethal disease with a poor prognosis. Therefore, it would be very beneficial to identify the molecular mechanism responsible for this condition. Advances in the understanding of the genetic changes of this tumor will help in understanding the pathogenesis of this miserable disease.

#### Terminology

Microsatellite instability (MSI) is caused by a failure of the DNA mismatch repair system to repair errors that occur during the replication of DNA and is characterized by the accelerated accumulation of single nucleotide mutations and alterations in the length of simple, repetitive microsatellite sequences that occur ubiquitously throughout the genome. MSI is seen in most HNPCC tumors and proportion of nonhereditary colorectal tumors. The presence of MSI in tumor tissue is associated with certain unique clinical and pathological characteristics.

#### Peer review

This study aimed to determine the difference of some genetic changes involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis in high-prevalence areas of Japan and Hungary. This is a well written paper and has a nice finding. While it is simply an observational study, I think it is an important observation.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Grady WM, Markowitz SD. Genetic and epigenetic alterations in colon cancer. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2002; **3**: 101-128
- 2 **Niv Y**. Microsatellite instability and MLH1 promoter hypermethylation in colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; **13**: 1767-1769

- 3 Schneider G, Schmid RM. Genetic alterations in pancreatic carcinoma. *Mol Cancer* 2003; **2**: 15
- 4 Biankin AV, Kench JG, Dijkman FP, Biankin SA, Henshall SM. Molecular pathogenesis of precursor lesions of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Pathology* 2003; 35: 14-24
- 5 Veronesi U, Boyle P, Goldhirsch A, Orecchia R, Viale G. Breast cancer. *Lancet* 2005; **365**: 1727-1741
- 6 **Simpson PT**, Reis-Filho JS, Gale T, Lakhani SR. Molecular evolution of breast cancer. J Pathol 2005; **205**: 248-254
- 7 Rashid A. Cellular and molecular biology of biliary tract cancers. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2002; **11**: 995-1009
- 8 **Wistuba II**, Albores-Saavedra J. Genetic abnormalities involved in the pathogenesis of gallbladder carcinoma. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 1999; **6**: 237-244
- 9 Lazcano-Ponce EC, Miquel JF, Munoz N, Herrero R, Ferrecio C, Wistuba II, Alonso de Ruiz P, Aristi Urista G, Nervi F. Epidemiology and molecular pathology of gallbladder cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 2001; 51: 349-364
- 10 Misra S, Chaturvedi A, Misra NC, Sharma ID. Carcinoma of the gallbladder. *Lancet Oncol* 2003; 4: 167-176
- 11 Randi G, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C. Gallbladder cancer worldwide: geographical distribution and risk factors. *Int J Cancer* 2006; 118: 1591-1602
- 12 **Pandey M**. Risk factors for gallbladder cancer: a reappraisal. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2003; **12**: 15-24
- 13 Zatonski W, La Vecchia C, Levi F, Negri E, Lucchini F. Descriptive epidemiology of gall-bladder cancer in Europe. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 1993; 119: 165-171
- 14 Yokoyama N, Hitomi J, Watanabe H, Ajioka Y, Pruyas M, Serra I, Shirai Y, Hatakeyama K. Mutations of p53 in gallbladder carcinomas in high-incidence areas of Japan and Chile. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1998; 7: 297-301
- 15 Roa JC, Roa I, Correa P, Vo Q, Araya JC, Villaseca M, Guzman P, Schneider BG. Microsatellite instability in preneoplastic and neoplastic lesions of the gallbladder. J Gastroenterol 2005; 40: 79-86
- 16 Rashid A, Ueki T, Gao YT, Houlihan PS, Wallace C, Wang BS, Shen MC, Deng J, Hsing AW. K-ras mutation, p53 overexpression, and microsatellite instability in biliary tract cancers: a population-based study in China. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002; 8: 3156-3163
- 17 House MG, Wistuba II, Argani P, Guo M, Schulick RD, Hruban RH, Herman JG, Maitra A. Progression of gene hypermethylation in gallstone disease leading to gallbladder cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2003; 10: 882-889
- 18 Greene FL, Page DL, Fleming ID, Fritz AG, Balch CM, Haller DG, Morrow M. American Joint Committee on Cancer staging manual. 6th ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2002: 145-150
- 19 Ohshima S, Shimizu Y, Takahama M. Detection of c-Ki-ras gene mutation in paraffin sections of adenocarcinoma and atypical bronchioloalveolar cell hyperplasia of human lung. *Virchows Arch* 1994; **424**: 129-134
- 20 Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN, Srivastava S. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 1998; 58: 5248-5257
- 21 Umar A, Boland CR, Terdiman JP, Syngal S, de la Chapelle A, Ruschoff J, Fishel R, Lindor NM, Burgart LJ, Hamelin R, Hamilton SR, Hiatt RA, Jass J, Lindblom A, Lynch HT, Peltomaki P, Ramsey SD, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Vasen HF, Hawk ET, Barrett JC, Freedman AN, Srivastava S. Revised Bethesda Guidelines for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome) and microsatellite instability. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004; **96**: 261-268
- 22 Laiho P, Launonen V, Lahermo P, Esteller M, Guo M, Herman JG, Mecklin JP, Jarvinen H, Sistonen P, Kim KM, Shibata D, Houlston RS, Aaltonen LA. Low-level microsatellite instability in most colorectal carcinomas. *Cancer Res* 2002; 62: 1166-1170
- 23 Takagi S, Naito E, Yamanouchi H, Ohtsuka H, Kominami R, Yamamoto M. Mutation of the p53 gene in gallbladder cancer. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 1994; 172: 283-289

- 24 Fujii K, Yokozaki H, Yasui W, Kuniyasu H, Hirata M, Kajiyama G, Tahara E. High frequency of p53 gene mutation in adenocarcinomas of the gallbladder. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1996; 5: 461-466
- 25 Hanada K, Itoh M, Fujii K, Tsuchida A, Hirata M, Iwao T, Eguchi N, Sasaki T, Matsubara K, Kajiyama G. TP53 mutations in stage I gallbladder carcinoma with special attention to growth patterns. *Eur J Cancer* 1997; 33: 1136-1140
- 26 Itoi T, Watanabe H, Yoshida M, Ajioka Y, Nishikura K, Saito T. Correlation of p53 protein expression with gene mutation in gall-bladder carcinomas. *Pathol Int* 1997; **47**: 525-530
- 27 Greenblatt MS, Bennett WP, Hollstein M, Harris CC. Mutations in the p53 tumor suppressor gene: clues to cancer etiology and molecular pathogenesis. *Cancer Res* 1994; 54: 4855-4878
- 28 Hussain SP, Harris CC. Molecular epidemiology and carcinogenesis: endogenous and exogenous carcinogens. *Mutat Res* 2000; 462: 311-322
- 29 Biggs PJ, Warren W, Venitt S, Stratton MR. Does a genotoxic carcinogen contribute to human breast cancer? The value of mutational spectra in unravelling the aetiology of cancer. *Mutagenesis* 1993; 8: 275-283
- 30 Almoguera C, Shibata D, Forrester K, Martin J, Arnheim N, Perucho M. Most human carcinomas of the exocrine pancreas contain mutant c-K-ras genes. *Cell* 1988; 53: 549-554
- 31 Tada M, Yokosuka O, Omata M, Ohto M, Isono K. Analysis of ras gene mutations in biliary and pancreatic tumors by polymerase chain reaction and direct sequencing. *Cancer* 1990; 66: 930-935
- 32 Wistuba II, Sugio K, Hung J, Kishimoto Y, Virmani AK, Roa I, Albores-Saavedra J, Gazdar AF. Allele-specific mutations

involved in the pathogenesis of endemic gallbladder carcinoma in Chile. *Cancer Res* 1995; **55**: 2511-2515

- 33 Saetta A, Lazaris AC, Davaris PS. Detection of ras oncogene point mutations and simultaneous proliferative fraction estimation in gallbladder cancer. *Pathol Res Pract* 1996; 192: 532-540
- 34 **Peltomaki P.** DNA mismatch repair gene mutations in human cancer. *Environ Health Perspect* 1997; **105** Suppl 4: 775-780
- 35 Jackson AL, Chen R, Loeb LA. Induction of microsatellite instability by oxidative DNA damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998; 95: 12468-12473
- 36 Yoshida T, Sugai T, Habano W, Nakamura S, Uesugi N, Funato O, Saito K. Microsatellite instability in gallbladder carcinoma: two independent genetic pathways of gallbladder carcinogenesis. J Gastroenterol 2000; 35: 768-774
- 37 Yanagisawa N, Mikami T, Yamashita K, Okayasu I. Microsatellite instability in chronic cholecystitis is indicative of an early stage in gallbladder carcinogenesis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2003; **120**: 413-417
- 38 Saetta AA, Gigelou F, Papanastasiou PI, Koilakou SV, Kalekou-Greca H, Miliaras D, Michalopoulos NV, Patsouris E. High-level microsatellite instability is not involved in gallbladder carcinogenesis. *Exp Mol Pathol* 2006; 80: 67-71
- 39 Salahshor S, Kressner U, Pahlman L, Glimelius B, Lindmark G, Lindblom A. Colorectal cancer with and without microsatellite instability involves different genes. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 1999; 26: 247-252
- 40 **Samowitz WS**, Holden JA, Curtin K, Edwards SL, Walker AR, Lin HA, Robertson MA, Nichols MF, Gruenthal KM, Lynch BJ, Leppert MF, Slattery ML. Inverse relationship between microsatellite instability and K-ras and p53 gene alterations in colon cancer. *Am J Pathol* 2001; **158**: 1517-1524

S-Editor Zhu LH L-Editor Negro F E-Editor Yin DH