

LSHTM Research Online

Hanifa, Y; (2019) Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in South Africa. PhD (research paper style) thesis, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17037/PUBS.04655980

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/id/eprint/4655980/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.17037/PUBS.04655980

Usage Guidelines:

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$

 $\label{eq:available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/$



Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in South Africa

Yasmeen Hanifa

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of London May 2019

Department of Clinical Research

Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases

LONDON SCHOOL OF HYGIENE & TROPICAL MEDICINE

Funding support from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant number OPP1034523)

Declaration



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

DECLARATION OF OWN WORK

All students are required to complete the following declaration when submitting their thesis.

Please note: Assessment misconduct includes any activity that compromises the integrity of your research or assessment of is will be considered under the Assessment Irregularity Policy. This includes plagiarism, cheating and failure to follow correct progression and examination procedures.

Please see the following documents for further guidance:

- <u>Research Degrees Handbook</u>
- Assessment Irregularities Policy

Supervisors should be consulted if there are any doubts about what is permissible.

1. STUDENT DETAILS

Student ID Number	079810	Title	Dr
First Name(s)	Yasmeen		
Surname/Family Name	Hanifa		
Programme of Study	Doctor of Philosophy		
LSHTM Email (if this is no longer active, please provide an alternative)	yasmeen.hanifa@lshtm.ac.uk		

2. TITLE OF THESIS

Title of Thesis Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-pos adults in South Africa	itive
---	-------

3. DECLARATION

I have read and understood the LSHTM's definition of plagiarism and cheating. I declare that this thesis is my own work, and that I have acknowledged all results and quotations from the published or unpublished work of other people.

I have read and understood the LSHTM's definition and policy on the use of third parties (either paid or unpaid) who have contributed to the preparation of this thesis by providing copy editing and, or, proof reading services. I declare that no changes to the intellectual content or substance of this thesis were made as a result of this advice, and, that I have fully acknowledged all such contributions.

I have exercised reasonable care to ensure that the work is original and does not to the best of my knowledge break any UK law or infringe any third party's copyright or other intellectual property right.

Student Signature		
Date	30th May 2019	

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Abstract



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

ABSTRACT OF THESES

1. STUDENT DETAILS

Student ID Number	079810	Title	Dr
First Name(s)	Yasmeen		-
Surname/Family Name	Hanifa		
Programme of Study	Doctor of Philosophy		
LSHTM Email (if this is no longer active, please provide an alternative)	yasmeen.hanifa@lshtm.ac.uk		

2. TITLE OF THESIS

Title of ThesisInvestigaadults in	tion pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive South Africa
-----------------------------------	--

3. NOTES FOR CANDIDATES

- Type your abstract on the page two of this document
- Use single-space typing
- · Limit your abstract to one side of the sheet
- Submit your Abstract to the Assessments team in the Registry: https://www.lshtm.ac.uk/study/studentservices/registry-services
- This abstract will be forwarded to the LSHTM Library, which will send this sheet to the British Library and to ASLIB (Association of Special Libraries and Information Bureau) for publication in <u>Index to</u> <u>Theses</u>.

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Background and aims: The World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation for regular tuberculosis (TB) screening of people living with HIV (PLHIV) using a symptom screen (WHO tool), with Xpert MTB/RIF (Xpert) as the initial diagnostic test has major resource implications. This thesis examined alternative investigation pathways, including Determine TB-LAM (LF-LAM) for TB screening, a clinical score to triage symptomatic individuals for Xpert, and repeating Xpert if the initial test was negative.

Design and setting: Prospective cohort of PLHIV, attending four HIV clinics in South Africa.

Methods: A systematic sample of adults attending for routine HIV care were enrolled in the XPHACTOR study, which tested a novel algorithm for prioritising investigation with Xpert. At enrolment sputum was collected from all and sent for immediate Xpert if any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, body mass index (BMI) <18.5kg/m², CD4 <100 cells/mm³ (or <200 if pre-ART) or weight loss \geq 10%; otherwise, sputum was stored. Urine was stored if CD4 <200 cells/mm³.

At attendance for immediate Xpert result, further investigations were facilitated per national guidelines. For those at highest risk of TB, who had negative initial Xpert result, a repeat sputum sample was stored. Participants were reviewed monthly to 3 months, when sputum and blood were taken for mycobacterial culture. At study completion stored sputa were tested with Xpert, and urine with LF-LAM.

We defined TB as "confirmed" if Xpert, line probe assay or culture for *M. tuberculosis* within six months of enrolment were positive, and "clinical" if TB treatment was started without microbiological confirmation.

Results: 3722 participants enrolled into XPHACTOR, and 167/3678 (4.5%) fulfilled case definitions for TB (124 confirmed, 43 clinical); 32.6% reported WHO tool symptoms. Amongst 424 participants with LF-LAM results, 56/424 (13%) had TB (40 confirmed, 16 clinical). Using grade 1 cut-off on pre-2014 reference card, LF-LAM sensitivity for confirmed TB (all clinical TB excluded) in CD4<100 vs. CD4 \geq 100 was 16.7% (95% CI 4.7%, 37.4%) vs. 6.3% (95% CI 0.2%, 30.2%).

1048 participants who were WHO tool positive at enrolment provided data for development of a clinical prediction model for TB. The final model comprised ART status; BMI; CD4; number of WHO symptoms. When converted to a clinical score, a cut-off score of \geq 3 identified those with TB with sensitivity and specificity of 91.8% and 34.3% respectively. If investigation was prioritised for individuals with score of \geq 3, 68% (717/1048) symptomatic individuals would be tested, among whom the prevalence of TB would be 14.1% (101/717); 32% (331/1048) of tests would be avoided, but 3% (9/331) with TB would be missed amongst those not tested.

Amongst 227 participants with an initial negative Xpert result, 28 (12%) had TB diagnosed during study follow-up (16 confirmed, 12 clinical); stored sputum tested positive on Xpert in 5/227 (2%).

Conclusion: Sensitivity of LF-LAM as a screening test is too low for use. Our clinical score, which requires external validation, may help prioritise TB investigation among symptomatic individuals. Amongst PLHIV with a negative Xpert result, further investigation using appropriate diagnostic modalities is more likely to lead to TB treatment than immediately repeating sputum for Xpert. More efficient TB case finding strategies are needed for PLHIV established in care, to minimise unnecessary investigation of large numbers who do not have TB.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I wish to thank my supervisor, Alison Grant, who gave me the opportunity to undertake this journey; and without whose expertise, guidance, patience, time and support, this thesis would not have been possible. I thank my advisory panel, Katherine Fielding and Ginny Bond for their expert input and guidance. Katherine's patience, support, and clarity in explaining complex concepts have been invaluable. My gratitude to the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation for generously funding the XPHACTOR study, to all study investigators for their input, and to the staff at all the study clinics for their support. I would especially like to acknowledge the friendship and guidance provided by Alan Karstaedt and Faieza Sahid.

Thank you to my Aurum family in South Africa, and in particular to my dear colleagues in the XPHACTOR team, who went that extra mile to actually make the study happen. Thank you: Violet Chihota, Salome Charalambous, Gavin Churchyard, Nontobeko Ndlovu, Jessie Witkoei, Soneni Maphosa, Kutlwano Mmine, Khethekile Ntsontso, Mphonyana Motsapi, Mateboho Rantho, Simphiwe Ntshuntshe, Ndumiso Sithole, Johanna Masanabo, Crawford Maesela, Sanah Mutau, Jeffrey Molepe, Mokgadi Letsatsi, Nontobeko Mokone, Lebogang Masia, Snenhlanhla Zondi, Nondumiso Masango, Monde Phasha, Matimba Chauke, Keolebogile Ntshamane, Mapaseka Pooe, Heather Mogola, Minty van der Meulen, and Sisi Gertrude Monkoe (may you rest in peace). My thanks to Sandra Toro Silva, without whose hard work, particularly for "Aim 3", XPHACTOR could not have been completed; and Udesh Chetty and William Brumskine who assisted with clinical evaluations.

My gratitude to everyone whose kind words and support have spurred me along to complete this work, including colleagues and friends from LSHTM, QMUL, and South Africa; patients and colleagues from my day job in General Practice; and my family. Thank you to the carers who have looked after my father so that I could toil away at the computer!

I am immensely grateful to all those who so generously gave their time to take part in this research, so that others might benefit in the future; and for the opportunity I had to spend time with study participants at all sites. I am humbled by the difficulties that many have faced and continue to face in their lives, and I hope this experience has made me a better doctor.

I would like to dedicate this work to my father who died shortly after I submitted my thesis. His bravery and resilience never ceased to amaze me, his beautiful smile kept me going, and he showed me by example, that with hard work and dedication anything is possible. I miss him very much.

Table of Contents

Dec	larat	tion 2
Abs	tract	
Ack	nowl	edgements
Tab	le of	Contents
List	of ta	ables12
List	of fi	igures13
Acr	onym	ns14
1)	Intro	oduction16
1	.1.	Background
	1.1.1	1. Global burden of tuberculosis16
	1.1.2	2. HIV-associated tuberculosis17
	1.1.3	3. Ending the tuberculosis epidemic18
1	.2.	Addressing the burden of TB in PLHIV
	1.2.1	1. Intensified TB case-finding19
	1.2.2	2. Antiretroviral therapy21
	1.2.3	3. Preventive therapy for treatment of latent TB infection
	1.2.4	4. Impact of the Three I's22
	1.2.5	5. WHO 4-symptom TB screening algorithm (WHO tool)23
	1.2.6	6. Diagnostic tests for active TB25
	S	mear microscopy25
	Ν	٨ycobacterial culture26
	C	Cepheid® Xpert® MTB/RIF assay26
	Т	esting for urine lipoarabinomannan29
1	.3.	Context of the work conducted for this thesis
	1.3.1	1. Country setting: South Africa
	1.3.2	2. TB investigation pathways and HIV care in South Africa
1	.4.	Rationale
1	.5.	Aims and objectives of this thesis
1	.6.	Structure of the thesis
1	.7.	Role of the candidate
1	.8.	Ethical clearance
1	.9.	Funding
2)	Lite	rature review40

2.1. I	ntroduction	40
2.2. V	WHO 4-symptom TB screening tool	40
2.2.1.	Studies in the original meta-analysis	.40
2.2.2.	Performance of WHO tool in individuals on ART	.46
2.2.3.	Frequency of WHO tool symptoms amongst PLHIV attending for routine care	.51
2.2.4.	Summary	.51
2.3. l	Jrine lipoarabinomannan as an alternative TB screening tool for PLHIV	55
2.3.1.	Introduction	.55
2.3.2.	Performance of LF-LAM as a screening test for TB in outpatient settings	.56
2.3.3.	Summary	.60
2.4. C	Clinical prediction models as alternative TB screening tools or as triage tools fo matic PLHIV	r 61
2.4.1.	Introduction	.61
2.4.2.	Recommended strategies for developing prediction models	.61
Ch	oice of model	.61
Са	ndidate predictors	.62
Sa	mple size	.62
На	ndling of missing data	.63
Mo	odel building	.63
As	sessing the performance of a prediction model	.63
Eva	aluation of a prediction model	.64
2.4.3.	Prediction models for prevalent active TB amongst PLHIV	65
Sea	arch strategy	65
Inc	clusion and exclusion criteria	.66
Re	sults	.66
2.4	1.4. Summary	.74
2.5. I	nvestigation pathways for PLHIV following a negative Xpert result	75
2.5.1.	Introduction	.75
2.5.2.	Studies performing Xpert on multiple samples obtained at enrolment	.77
2.5.3.	Studies undertaking repeat Xpert following an initial negative result	.79
2.5.4.	Factors improving the yield of Xpert from sputum	.79
2.5.5.	Summary	.80
2.6. 0	Causes of symptoms suggestive of TB amongst PLHIV	82
2.6.1.	Summary	.84
3) XPHA	CTOR study methods	87
3.1. >	(PHACTOR study aims and objectives	87

	3.2.	XPH	IACTOR study setting	. 90
	3.3.	XPH	IACTOR study population and recruitment	. 90
	3.4.	XPH	IACTOR procedures	. 91
	3.4	.1.	Enrolment	91
	3.4	.2.	Follow-up	92
	3.5.	Lab	oratory methods	. 94
	3.5	.1.	Xpert MTB/RIF	94
	3.5	.2.	Mycobacterial culture	94
	3.5	.3.	LF-LAM	94
	3.6.	Cas	e Definitions	. 95
	3.6	.1.	TB case definitions	95
	3.6	.2.	Radiological definitions	96
	3.7.	Sam	nple size	. 96
	3.8.	Ethi	ical issues due to delaying diagnostic testing	. 97
4)	XP	HACT	OR study key results	. 98
	4.1.	Cha	racteristics of study participants	. 98
	4.2.	Pre	valence of TB	101
	4.3.	Per	formance of the XPHACTOR algorithm and the WHO tool	101
	4.4.	"Na	tural history" of symptoms suggestive of TB in XPHACTOR	106
	4.4	.1.	Introduction, aim and objectives	106
	4.4	.2.	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for this analysis	106
	4.4	.3.	Statistical methods	106
	4.4	.4.	Results	107
		Chara	cteristics of participants	109
		Frequ	ency of TB symptoms during study follow-up	109
		Sputu	m samples tested with Xpert	111
	4.4	.5.	Discussion	111
		Comp	arison with studies reporting frequency of symptoms suggestive of TB	112
		Poten	tial reasons for high frequency of reported cough	115
		Stren	gths and limitations	116
		Concl	usions	116
5)	Pa	per 1:	: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adu	ults
wi	th ad	lvance	ed immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa	118
	5.1.	Cov	er sheet	118
	5.2.	Res	earch paper	120

6) Pap adults a	per 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among attending HIV clinics in South Africa	; 132
6.1.	Cover sheet	132
6.2.	Research paper	134
6.3.	Material provided as supplementary online appendices	154
7) Pap	per 3: The utility of repeat Xpert MTB/RIF testing to diagnose tuberculosis in HI	V -
positive	e adults with initial negative result	159
7.1.	Cover sheet	159
7.2.	Research paper	161
7.3.	Material provided as supplementary online appendices	172
7.4.	Peer reviewers' reports	177
8) Pap	<i>per 4</i> : What causes symptoms suggesting TB in HIV-positive people with negative nyestigations?	2 181
8 1	Cover sheet	181
8.2.	Research paper	183
8.3.	Material provided as supplementary online appendices	192
9) Dise	cussion and conclusions	198
9.1.	Introduction	198
9.2.	Summary of findings and comparison with published studies	199
9.2.	.1. Options for screening for TB among people attending for HIV care	. 199
Ņ	WHO 4-symptom TB screening tool	. 199
	Alternative TB screening and diagnostic algorithms examined in this thesis	. 204
I	Published studies reporting other TB screening options	. 205
Ņ	WHO high priority target product profile (TPP) for a triage test ⁸⁴	. 206
	Alternative screening methods to replace the WHO tool	. 209
-	Triage test to prioritise PLHIV with WHO tool symptoms for Xpert	.212
9	Summary	.213
9.2.	.2. Alternative pathways following a negative initial Xpert result	.214
9.2.	.3. Other causes for TB symptoms	. 215
9.3.	Implications of this research	216
Imp	pact of recent changes in HIV care and TB diagnostics on this research	.218
9.4.	Limitations and strengths	219
9.4.	.1. Limitations	.219
9.4.	.2. Strengths	. 220
9.5.	Conclusions and recommendations	221
10)	Appendices	222

10.	1.	Ethical approvals	222
10.	2.	XPHACTOR participant information sheet and consent form	226
10.	3.	XPHACTOR enrolment questionnaire	231
10. sym	4. Ipton	Standard operating procedures for clinician assessment for "Causes of TB ns" study	250
10. Stu	5. dy (P	Evaluation of WHO 4-Symptom Tool to Rule Out TB: Data from the XPHACTO oster)	R 264
10. ant	6. iretro	Frequency and seasonal variation of TB symptoms amongst people taking oviral therapy in South Africa (Poster)	265
11)	List	t of References	266

List of tables

Table 1-1 Relationship between result of screening tool with 50% specificity and
identification of true negatives
Table 1-2 Relationship between result of a more specific screening tool and identification
of true negatives
Table 2-1 Characteristics of studies contributing data to the WHO meta-analysis ⁸⁰ 43
Table 2-2 Performance of the WHO tool in different populations ^{42, 80}
Table 2-3 Sensitivity and specificity of the WHO tool for TB in adults on ART
Table 2-4 Prevalence of WHO tool symptoms amongst HIV-positive adults attending for
routine care
Table 2-5 Performance of LF-LAM for TB screening amongst PLHIV in outpatient settings. 58
Table 2-6 Search terms used in MEDLINE to identify clinical prediction studies
Table 2-7 Studies developing clinical prediction models for prevalent TB in the context of
TB screening for PLHIV68
Table 2-8 Performance of clinical prediction models for prevalent TB in the context of TB
screening for PLHIV
Table 2-9 Search terms used in MEDLINE to identify studies reporting causes of symptoms
suggestive of TB82
Table 2-10 Diagnoses amongst PLHIV with symptoms suggestive of TB in LMIC or Sub-
Saharan Africa
Table 4-1 Baseline characteristics of XPHACTOR participants N=3722 100
Table 4-2 Prevalence of TB in XPHACTOR study 101
Table 4-3 Performance of the XPHACTOR algorithm and WHO tool for TB screening at
enrolment
Table 4-4 Characteristics of participants in frequency of symptoms suggestive of TB
analysis N=3202
Table 9-1 Alternative TB screening and investigation options for ambulatory PLHIV in LMIC

List of figures

Figure 1-1. 2012 South African Department of Health algorithm for Xpert ¹²⁴	3
Figure 1-2. Aims of this thesis	6
Figure 3-1. XPHACTOR study flow and entry points for research papers in this thesis 8	8
Figure 3-2. XPHACTOR algorithm at enrolment84	9
Figure 3-3. XPHACTOR study sites9	1
Figure 3-4. XPHACTOR algorithm at monthly follow up9	3
Figure 4-1. XPHACTOR profile99	9
Figure 4-2. Flow chart of participants included in the evaluation of XPHACTOR algorithm	
	2
Figure 4-3. Number of participants who were XPHACTOR high priority vs. number WHO too	l
positive (N=2950) 10	3
Figure 4-4. Flow chart of participants in "frequency of symptoms suggesting TB" 10	7
Figure 4-5. Overall percentage with WHO tool symptoms during follow-up (N=3202) 104	9
Figure 4-6. WHO tool symptoms during follow-up amongst those on ART (N=2306) 110	0
Figure 4-7. WHO tool symptoms during follow-up amongst those not on ART (N=896) 110	0
Figure 4-8. Evolution of symptoms amongst those symptomatic at enrolment (N=957)11	1

Acronyms

AFB	Acid-fast bacilli
AIDS	Acquired immune deficiency syndrome
AOR	Adjusted odds ratio
ART	Antiretroviral therapy
AUROC/AUC	Area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve
BMI	Body mass index
CAO	Chronic airways obstruction
CART	Classification and regression tree
CD4	CD4-lymphocyte count
СНС	Community health campaign
CI	Confidence interval
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
СРТ	Cotrimoxazole preventive therapy
CRI	Credible Intervals
CRP	C-reactive protein
CXR	Chest radiograph
DHS	Demographic and health survey
DST	Drug-susceptibility test
EPTB	Extrapulmonary TB
EPV	Events per variable
FAST	Fast alcohol screening test
FIND	Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics
HFIAS	Household food insecurity access score
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
НТС	HIV testing and counselling services
ICF	Intensified case finding
IGRA	Interferon gamma release assay
ILI	Influenza-like illness
IPC	Infection prevention and control
IQR	Interquartile range
IRIS	Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome
LAM	Lipoarabinomannan
LF-LAM	Lateral-flow LAM assay (Determine TB-LAM; Alere, USA)
L-J	Löwenstein-Jensen medium
LMIC	Low- and middle-income countries

LPA	Line probe assay		
LRTI	Lower respiratory tract infection		
LSHTM	London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine		
MeSH	Medical subject headings		
MGIT	Mycobacterium growth indicator tube		
MDR	Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis		
MSF	Médecins Sans Frontières		
МТВ	Mycobacterium tuberculosis		
MUAC	Mid-upper arm circumference		
NIMART	Nurse-initiated management of antiretroviral treatment		
NPV	Negative Predictive Value		
NTM	Non-tuberculous mycobacteria		
OR	Odds ratio		
PHC	Primary health clinic		
PLHIV	People living with HIV		
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction		
PHQ9	Patient Health Questionnaire-9		
POC	Point of care		
PPV	Positive predictive value		
РТВ	Pulmonary TB		
ТВ	Tuberculosis		
ULTRA	Xpert Ultra (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA)		
UN	United Nations		
UNAIDS	Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS		
URTI	Upper respiratory tract infection		
USS	Ultrasound scan		
VL	Viral load		
WHO	World Health Organization		
Xpert	Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA)		
XPHACTOR	Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review?		
XTEND	Xpert for TB: evaluating a new diagnostic		

1) Introduction

This chapter provides a background to the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) -related tuberculosis (TB) epidemic in sub-Saharan Africa, and the steps that have been taken to address it. It then describes the changing TB diagnostic landscape in 2011 and the implications thereof for resource-limited settings, which form the rationale for the research undertaken in South Africa for this thesis.

1.1. Background

1.1.1. Global burden of tuberculosis

Tuberculosis (TB) has caused illness and death in human beings for thousands of years, and in 2016 was the tenth most common cause of death globally,¹ responsible for the deaths of an estimated 1.3 million HIV-negative and 300,000 HIV-positive individuals.² It disproportionately afflicts people living with HIV (PLHIV), who are at around twenty-fold greater risk of TB than HIV-negative individuals,³ and much more likely to die during and after treatment.^{4, 5} Untreated, the 10-year case fatality rate for pulmonary TB in HIV-negative individuals is estimated at 20% for smear-negative and 70% for smear-positive disease.⁶ However, once infected with *M. tuberculosis* (MTB), most individuals with a competent immune system do not develop clinically manifest active TB,^{7, 8} but are thought to have latent infection, "a state of persistent immune response to stimulation by MTB antigens."⁹ Globally there is a large reservoir of latent TB infection (LTBI), around one-quarter of the world's population in 2014,¹⁰ who have a 5-15% lifetime risk of developing disease;⁷ the risk of reactivation is far greater in people living with HIV (PLHIV).¹¹

Effective drug treatment for TB has been available since the 1940's, and prior to this TB incidence and mortality had been declining in Western Europe alongside improvements in living standards.¹² However, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, the global HIV epidemic has resulted in a resurgence of TB.¹¹ In 1993 TB was declared a global health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO)¹³ when identified in the top six contributors to global disease by the 1990 Global Burden of Disease Study.¹⁴ The burden of TB remains vast, mainly affecting South East Asia and Africa, and there were an estimated 10 million incident TB cases globally in 2017.² Around one-third of this estimated total were not notified to national TB programmes and deemed "missing"; presumably undiagnosed, unreported, or reflecting the uncertainty around the estimates.² Going forward the WHO

goal is to use national TB case notification data directly as a proxy for TB incidence; prerequisites for this are accurate reporting and diagnosis of TB, high quality TB surveillance systems and good coverage of quality healthcare.¹⁵ For 2017, TB incidence estimates were derived for most countries from national case notification data adjusted to account for gaps in case-detection, and for the 23 countries accounting for 60% of global incident cases using data from TB prevalence surveys.¹⁶

1.1.2. HIV-associated tuberculosis

HIV infection is the strongest risk factor for TB, increasing the risk of disease both after recent infection and due to reactivation of latent infection;⁴ recent transmission plays a greater role amongst PLHIV in settings of high HIV and TB prevalence.¹⁷ Immunosuppression caused by the destruction of CD4⁺ T lymphocytes by HIV infection greatly increases the risk of developing active TB,⁴ with risk increasing as CD4 cell counts progressively decline.¹⁸ Sub-Saharan Africa, home to over half of all PLHIV,¹⁹ has borne the brunt of the HIV epidemic, which has fuelled the dramatic resurgence of TB in this region. In 2017, 9% of global TB cases were attributable to HIV infection, with most (72%) residing in Africa.² TB remains the leading cause of death amongst PLHIV, responsible for about one-third of all HIV-related deaths,²⁰ of which again the majority occurred in Africa in 2017.²

Early diagnosis with prompt treatment is a key strategy to help reduce HIV-related TB morbidity and mortality, yet delay in treatment for pulmonary TB amongst adults in sub-Saharan Africa is well documented, due to delays in presentation for care and at health system level.²¹⁻²³ Tackling TB in PLHIV is made much harder by limitations in existing diagnostic tests, most of which lose accuracy as immunosuppression progresses, and the increased frequency of atypical presentations such as extrapulmonary and disseminated disease.²⁴ Classical chest radiograph features such as cavitation, known to be associated with increased bacillary load in sputum,²⁵ are less likely with advanced immunosuppression, ²⁶ and PLHIV are more likely to have smear-negative pulmonary TB.²⁷⁻²⁹

1.1.3. Ending the tuberculosis epidemic

The first global response to the resurgence of TB in the early 1990's was the WHO Directly Observed Treatment Short-course (DOTS) strategy.³⁰ DOTS standardised the anti-TB treatment regimen, and emphasised diagnosis using quality-ensured sputum microscopy to enable treatment of those most infectious, i.e. smear-positive TB, setting targets for detecting 70% of estimated TB cases and curing 85%. In addition, sustained political and financial commitment, good health-system infrastructure to enable an uninterrupted supply of anti-TB medication, and standardised data collection to enable monitoring of national TB programmes were prioritised. Subsequent global strategies to reduce the burden of TB broadened in scope to address HIV-associated and multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB and set targets firstly within the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Stop TB strategy. MDG 6C to "halt and reverse TB incidence" was attained globally by 2015,³¹ and the additional Stop TB partnership targets³² to halve TB mortality and prevalence compared with 1990 levels by 2015 were almost reached globally at 47% and 42% respectively.³¹

Post-2015 targets lie within the broader Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)³³ which succeeded the MDGs and the WHO End TB strategy.³⁴ SDG 3 focusses on health and includes aims to end the epidemics of HIV, TB, malaria and neglected tropical diseases by 2030, and achieve universal health coverage.³³ End TB aims for a 95% reduction in TB deaths and a 90% reduction in the TB incidence rate by 2035 compared with 2015, for zero TB-affected households to experience catastrophic costs due to TB by 2020, and universal drug susceptibility testing (DST).³⁴ Progress needs to be accelerated to reach the End TB targets. In order to reach the first End TB milestones by 2020 the decline in TB incidence which was 2% per year in 2015 has to reach 4-5%, and TB mortality has to decline from 16% of TB cases to 10%.³⁵ This slow decline in TB incidence is likely due to ongoing transmission because of delayed diagnosis in individuals with active TB, progression to active TB amongst individuals from the large reservoir of those with LTBI,³⁶ and a need to also address the social determinants of TB.³⁷ In September 2018, at a high-level United Nations (UN) meeting, political leaders reaffirmed their commitment to ending the global TB epidemic by 2030. Their pledges included screening all PLHIV regularly for TB, finding the missing people with TB, and by 2022 to have treated 40 million people for TB and 30 million for LTBI (including 6 million PLHIV).³⁸

1.2. Addressing the burden of TB in PLHIV

In response to the huge burden of HIV-related TB, the WHO produced guidance in 2004³⁹ which was updated in 2012, recommending a 12 point package of collaborative TB/HIV activities.⁴⁰ These comprise activities to ensure delivery of integrated TB/HIV services; "the Three I's for HIV/TB" to reduce the burden of TB in PLHIV and initiate early ART; and to reduce the burden of HIV in patients with presumptive or diagnosed TB. The Three I's strategy comprises: intensified TB case-finding (ICF); providing isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) for those eligible and early ART; and ensuring TB infection, prevention and control (IPC). ICF, treatment for LTBI and early ART are discussed in further detail below.

1.2.1. Intensified TB case-finding

Intensified TB case-finding, provider-initiated regular screening to identify active TB, aims to diagnose TB earlier and therefore help to reduce suffering, mortality and TB transmission.³⁶ ICF also enables a healthcare worker (HCW) to rule out active TB in PLHIV prior to treatment for LTBI and ART initiation, thus avoiding inadvertent LTBI treatment for individuals who need TB treatment and minimising the risk of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS).⁴¹

A screening tool for TB aims to distinguish those individuals *likely to have TB* from those who *probably do not have TB*. Individuals identified as likely to have TB require investigation with a **diagnostic test** to detect MTB.³⁶ In order to reliably rule out TB the tool used for screening requires high sensitivity, i.e. the proportion of individuals with disease correctly identified (*true positives*). The specificity of a screening tool refers to the proportion of individuals without disease who are correctly identified (*true negatives*). There is always a trade-off between sensitivity and specificity, hence a screening tool designed to maximise sensitivity will lose specificity, but combining it with a diagnostic test with high specificity can create an algorithm with high combined sensitivity and specificity.³⁶

A TB screening tool with low specificity will result in a large proportion of those screened, many of whom will not have TB, requiring a diagnostic test for TB. This will place a strain on scarce resources in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) and potentially hinder scale-up of LTBI treatment. For example, if 1000 HIV-positive individuals are screened for TB in a setting where TB prevalence is 5%, using a tool with sensitivity and specificity of 80% and 50% respectively (reflecting the performance of the recommended WHO TB screening tool in PLHIV), then 475 individuals would undergo unnecessary TB investigation (Table 1-1).

Table 1-1 Relationship between result of screening tool with 50% specificity and	d
identification of true negatives	
identification of true negatives	

Result of screening tool	TB disease	No TB disease	Total
Positive	40	475	515
Negative	10	475	485
Total	50	950	1000

*Assumes prevalence of TB is 5%, and screening tool has sensitivity and specificity of 80% and 50% respectively

In the same setting, using a screening tool with sensitivity and specificity of 50% and 70% respectively (reflecting the performance of the recommended WHO TB screening tool in PLHIV on ART),⁴² will result in fewer (285) individuals requiring unnecessary investigation for TB (Table 1-2).

Table 1-2 Relationship between result of a more specific screening tool and identification of true negatives

Result of screening tool	TB disease	No TB disease	Total
Positive	25	285	310
Negative	25	665	690
Total	50	950	1000

*Assumes prevalence of TB is 5%, and screening tool has sensitivity and specificity of 50% and 70% respectively

Health care workers (HCW) may not use a screening tool if they perceive it to be inaccurate and thus poor use of their time in already overstretched services. Crosssectional surveys from South Africa of consecutive adults leaving primary health clinics, which enrolled those with symptoms suggestive of TB when screened at exit by research staff, found only 4-50% had been asked about TB symptoms and less than 25% to submit a sputum sample by clinic staff.^{23, 43, 44} Even amongst those specifically attending for TBrelated symptoms, less than 40% reported that clinic staff had requested a sputum sample.

Limitations of these studies include reliance largely on participant self-report of HCW asking about TB symptoms or for sputum, and a large number⁴⁴ or lack of data²³ regarding the number of individuals not screened for study eligibility which limits generalisability. The aforementioned studies were conducted between 2011 to 2015,^{23, 43, 44} and the low rates of TB screening and investigation are concerning, particularly as the researchers identified bacteriologically confirmed TB in 5% of those who were not investigated by clinic staff.^{23, 44} The WHO recommended tool for TB screening and further evaluation of those identified as more likely to have TB are discussed in more detail later, as this is central to the rationale for the research undertaken in this thesis.

1.2.2. Antiretroviral therapy

ART reduces the incidence of TB by about 65%, irrespective of baseline CD4 count, with the greatest reduction in those with advanced immunosuppression.⁴⁵ Since 2015 the WHO has recommended ART for all PLHIV ("treat-all"),⁴⁶ based on evidence of marked reduction in severe HIV-related (mainly TB and invasive bacterial disease) and non-HIV related illness and death,^{47, 48} improved likelihood of restoration of immune function,⁴⁷ and reduction in HIV transmission.⁴⁹ This strategy increases ART uptake,^{50, 51} and modelling using data from sub-Saharan Africa suggests that annual HIV testing with immediate ART could avert 40% of TB cases between 2015 and 2050.⁵² Fast-Track targets established to rapidly scale up HIV treatment and prevention strategies for SDG 3, set the first milestone to reach "90-90-90" targets by 2020.⁵³ These comprise 90% of PLHIV knowing their status, 90% of those HIV-positive receiving ART, and 90% on ART to be virally suppressed; and thus for 73% of all PLHIV to have suppressed viral loads.

In South Africa, at a time when ART eligibility criteria comprised CD4 count ≤ 200 cells/mm³ or advanced WHO clinical stage, almost 20% of ART-eligible individuals systematically screened for TB had previously undiagnosed bacteriologically-confirmed pulmonary TB.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ A systematic review of studies published between 2000 and 2012 estimated a TB incidence rate of 4.17 per 100 person-years (P-Y) in individuals on ART in higher TB burden settings, greatest in those with lower CD4 cell counts.⁵⁷ TB is one of the most common causes of mortality within 12 months of starting ART in sub-Saharan Africa.⁵⁸ These data highlight the importance of screening for TB prior to and at regular intervals following ART initiation.

1.2.3. Preventive therapy for treatment of latent TB infection

Treatment of LTBI in PLHIV reduces the risk of developing active TB by at least onethird,^{59, 60} and is of greatest benefit in those with a positive tuberculin skin test (TST) in whom the risk of TB is reduced by about two-thirds,⁵⁹ when compared with placebo. Amongst adults attending HIV clinics in Botswana, extending IPT to 36 months was more effective, largely amongst those with positive TST, in preventing TB than the standard 6 month course; ART had an additive beneficial effect.⁶⁰ In South Africa, amongst individuals established or recently initiating ART, 12 months of IPT reduced TB incidence by 37%;⁶¹ and a similar degree of benefit was shown in Ivory Coast when IPT was given for 6 months shortly after early ART initiation.⁴⁷ 2018 WHO LTBI guidelines recommend extended IPT (36 months rather than 6 months) in TB endemic settings for adults and adolescents with positive or unknown TST status.⁹ TB disease must be excluded prior to preventive therapy, and scale up of LTBI treatment therefore requires a reliable TB screening tool. In South Africa TST is not required prior to IPT; for those on ART IPT duration is 12 months if TSTnegative or TST-unknown, and at least 36 months if TST-positive.⁶² Here HCW concerns about accurately ruling out TB have been identified as a barrier to IPT implementation.^{63,} 64

1.2.4. Impact of the Three I's

Advanced HIV disease related illness, in particular TB, remained the leading global cause of both admission and in-hospital mortality amongst PLHIV in a systematic review covering the decade commencing 2007.⁶⁵ Low CD4 cell counts were associated with admission for TB, and less than half of patients were on ART at admission.⁶⁵ A review of post-mortem studies of PLHIV in LMIC published between 1992 and 2012 reported a pooled estimate of autopsy prevalence of TB in adults of 40%.⁶⁶ A study which prospectively enrolled PLHIV from primary care clinics in South Africa reported a similar prevalence of TB on autopsy.⁶⁷ Almost half of TB cases in the review were only diagnosed at post-mortem, and in most cases TB was deemed the primary cause of death and disease was disseminated suggesting advanced immunosuppression.⁶⁶ The studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa suggested an increase, by about 5% each decade between 1992 and 2012, in the autopsy prevalence of TB in adults.⁶⁶ However as autopsy studies are generally undertaken in a highly selected group of hospitalised patients, it is not possible to infer that this trend is truly representative of deaths amongst HIV-positive individuals during this period.

The aforementioned burden of TB in hospitalized PLHIV together with reports of poor adherence to TB screening⁶⁸ and diagnostic^{23, 43, 64, 69} guidelines by healthcare workers in sub-Saharan Africa indicate that much more still needs to be done to tackle HIV-related TB. However recent descriptive analyses suggest a possible population level impact of ART on TB control in countries where HIV has driven the resurgence of TB.^{2, 70} In six Southern African countries with HIV-related TB epidemics, between 2010 to 2017 (a period of rapid increase in HIV care and ART coverage) there has been a sustained decline in TB case notifications.² South Africa is one of these six countries and here TB incidence is estimated to have declined rapidly at an average annual rate of 7%.² An analysis of publicly available data from 2010 to 2015 identified a more rapid decline in estimated TB case notification rates amongst PLHIV than HIV-negative individuals in sub-Saharan Africa, with greater decline in countries with greater ART coverage.⁷⁰ It is not however possible to assign causality from these ecological studies, and factors other than ART rollout may also have played a role, e.g. strengthening of health care systems or expansion in IPT provision.^{2, 70}

1.2.5. WHO 4-symptom TB screening algorithm (WHO tool)

Screening for TB in order to rule out active disease is the entry point to LTBI treatment, and is recommended before initiation of ART, and at every clinical encounter in an effort to address HIV-related TB.⁴⁰ The performance characteristics and cost of the selected screening tool for ICF and the diagnostic test for TB, as well as the prevalence of TB in the population to be screened, are key considerations to ensure both appropriate care and efficient allocation of limited resources. Measures of diagnostic accuracy include predictive values which relate to sensitivity and specificity through disease prevalence. Positive predictive value (PPV) is the proportion of individuals with a positive test result who have disease, and negative predictive value (NPV) is the proportion of individuals who screen negative who are disease-free. The number needed to be screened (NNS) refers to the number of individuals who need to be screened in order to identify one case of TB, is dependent on the characteristics of the screening tool and varies with disease prevalence. NNS is 1/prevalence if the screening test is perfect (100% sensitivity and 100% specificity), and increases as disease prevalence decreases. PPV of a test increases as disease prevalence increases, with most of the gain occurring with increases from the lowest rates of prevalence,⁷¹ and NPV reduces as disease prevalence increases. Another characteristic measured, which is not dependant on the prevalence of the disease, is the negative

Page | 23

likelihood ratio (LRN) which indicates the change in the odds of having a condition if a screening test is negative; the smaller the LRN, the greater the reduction in odds.

In 2008 absence of a simple, standardised, evidence-based tool to rule out TB amongst PLHIV in resource limited settings was identified as contributing to lack of scale up of IPT. Many TB screening studies have been published, from different settings and at different stages in an individual's pathway through HIV care, and each identified a different optimal combination of symptoms and/or clinical features.^{55, 72-79} In order to produce a standardised tool WHO facilitated an individual participant data meta-analysis of observational screening studies published between 2002 and 2010,⁸⁰ using a gold standard of culture-confirmed TB from any specimen with most studies collecting only sputum samples. The screening tool developed comprises any one of four symptoms (current cough, fever, weight loss or night sweats). It was designed to rule out TB so maximises sensitivity (78.9%), and to minimise the LRN for TB, and for ease of use. However, a consequence of maximising sensitivity is lack of specificity (49.6%), so half of those without TB are incorrectly identified as requiring further evaluation (as illustrated in **Table 1-1**).

The WHO tool has a very high NPV, 97.7% at a TB prevalence of 5% in PLHIV, so absence of all symptoms effectively rules out TB; and in the meta-analysis a NNS of 12 (amongst all participants) was reported at 5% TB prevalence.⁸⁰ However its PPV is only 8% (at a TB prevalence of 5%), so the vast majority of those who screen positive will not have TB (475/515 [92%] as illustrated in **Table 1-1**), yet will require further evaluation for TB. This simple screening tool, primarily designed to rule out TB prior to preventive therapy for LTBI in PLHIV, is the recommended tool for ICF in PLHIV at every clinical encounter.^{40, 81} Since 2010 WHO has recommended Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA; henceforth Xpert) as the initial diagnostic test for TB in PLHIV.⁸² The combination of a screening tool that lacks specificity with an expensive diagnostic test for TB poses a huge challenge in resource-constrained settings, as discussed further below.

The original WHO meta-analysis and a systematic review undertaken for the 2018 WHO LTBI guidelines to determine the accuracy of the WHO tool to rule out TB in individuals on ART are discussed in further detail in the literature review (**Chapter 2**).^{9, 42, 80}

1.2.6. Diagnostic tests for active TB

In 2017, only 64% (6.4 million), 51% (464,633), and 29% (160,684) of the global estimated number of people with an incident TB episode, HIV-related TB, and drug-resistant TB respectively were actually notified to national programmes and then reported to WHO.² 14% of notified incident TB diagnoses were extrapulmonary, and amongst pulmonary TB diagnoses only 56% were bacteriologically confirmed. Individuals with coincident pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB are notified as pulmonary TB, hence the actual proportion with extrapulmonary TB is likely to be greater.⁸³ Access to a rapid, accurate diagnostic test for TB is one key element to addressing the gaps between estimated and notified TB diagnoses, and the three main tests currently used (microscopy, culture and nucleic acid amplification assays), as well as testing for lipoarabinomannan (LAM) which is not recommended for general use are discussed below.

In 2014 WHO identified four high priority areas for new TB diagnostics.⁸⁴ These comprised three point-of-care (POC) tests, one non-sputum-based test to detect all forms of TB, one to triage patients for confirmatory testing, and one sputum-based test for pulmonary TB to replace smear microscopy. The fourth product identified was a rapid drug-susceptibility test (DST) for use in lower tiers of laboratory service closer to primary health care. As highlighted by this report, there is a great need in resource-constrained settings for a simple triage test for use at lower levels of care after screening for TB. A triage test would identify amongst symptomatic individuals those at greatest risk of TB who should have confirmatory testing, so that the volume of individuals requiring an expensive diagnostic test (Xpert) can be reduced. Minimum sensitivity (>90%) and specificity (>70%) requirements were agreed by consensus for this test.⁸⁴

Smear microscopy

First developed in the 1880s, smear microscopy of sputum or other specimens using the Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) bacteriological stain to identify acid-fast bacilli (AFB) is still widely used in resource-limited settings to identify mycobacteria. It is simple, inexpensive, rapid, and requires little infrastructure, thus making it suitable for use at peripheral laboratory level. However it is insensitive against a gold standard of culture-confirmed MTB, requiring an estimated 5,000 bacilli per milliliter of sputum for a positive result, and cannot distinguish MTB from non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM).^{85, 86} The reported sensitivity of smear microscopy is highly variable, from 20% to 80%.⁸⁵ Sensitivity is further reduced

amongst PLHIV who are more likely to have paucibacillary and extrapulmonary disease.^{54, 75, 87} Replacement of conventional microscopy by light-emitting diode (LED) fluorescence microscopy which is 10% more sensitive, inexpensive, and faster, is now recommended by WHO.⁸⁶

Mycobacterial culture

Mycobacterial culture is the current gold standard test for identifying MTB, requiring only 10 to 100 bacilli per millilitre of sputum. However, culture takes time, ranging from 7-14 days (longer if bacillary load is lower) for automated systems using liquid media which are more prone to contamination, to four weeks for a positive result using traditional solid media. Mycobacterial culture is also expensive, requiring infrastructure which may not be feasible at peripheral laboratories, and thus access is limited in resource-constrained settings.⁸⁵

Cepheid® Xpert® MTB/RIF assay

In 2010 WHO recommended Xpert as the initial diagnostic test for PLHIV, replacing sputum microscopy.⁸² Xpert is an automated polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based test that provides rapid (turnaround time 2 hours) and simultaneous detection of both TB and rifampicin resistance, and requires only 131 bacilli per millilitre of sputum for detection. Sample processing and PCR take place within the GeneXpert cartridge, so that after the sputum sample is inserted all further processes are fully automated, enabling near patient testing with minimal operator requirements.

A 2014 Cochrane review reported pooled sensitivity and specificity of Xpert for culturepositive pulmonary TB in PLHIV of 79% (95% credible intervals [CrI] 70-86%) and 98% (95% CrI 96-99%) respectively, which is far superior to the performance of sputum smear microscopy.⁸⁸ Xpert performs less well for smear-negative, culture-positive pulmonary TB in PLHIV;^{56, 89} with pooled sensitivity of 61% (95% CrI 40-81%) vs. 97% (95% CrI 90-99%) respectively for smear-negative vs. smear-positive, culture-positive TB.⁸⁸ There does appear to be an incremental yield from additional samples which is discussed further in the literature review (**Chapter 2**).

In order to further improve the diagnosis of HIV-related and paucibacillary TB, Cepheid have developed Xpert Ultra (henceforth Ultra), which is more sensitive than Xpert (90% vs. 77%) for sputum culture-positive TB in PLHIV. Overall, Ultra is also more sensitive than Xpert for sputum smear-negative and culture-positive TB (63% vs. 46%), however specificity is reduced (96% vs. 98%) and this is speculated to be due to detection of DNA from non-viable MTB, which may result in inappropriate TB treatment in individuals without TB.⁹⁰ Ultra uses the same GeneXpert platform as Xpert, and WHO has endorsed its use as an alternative to Xpert. A truly POC, battery-operated device, GeneXpert Omni, which is suitable for use in health care facilities is currently in development.⁸⁵ Cepheid have, in the interim, launched GeneXpert Edge, a portable, battery-powered, single module system compatible with both Xpert and Ultra cartridges.⁹¹

In 2013 the recommendations for Xpert were updated to include use in children, for extrapulmonary samples such as cerebrospinal fluid or lymph nodes, and if resource allowed for consideration as the initial diagnostic test in all adults.⁹² Although designed to be used near-patient, rollout of Xpert to peripheral health centres has been limited by cost, requirements for an uninterrupted power supply, and a maximum recommended operating temperature of the GeneXpert platform of 30°C. The cost per Xpert cartridge, even with preferentially negotiated pricing which is available only to the public sector in LMIC, is US\$9.98.93 Laboratory cost analysis in South Africa indicates a cost per test conducted (including consumables, equipment, labour and overheads) of US\$14.93 for Xpert vs. US\$2.25 for ZN smear microscopy (US\$3.40 for fluorescence smear microscopy). The cost for liquid culture (Mycobacterial Growth Indicator 960 automated liquid culture system [MGIT]) was US\$12.16 in this analysis, so comparable to Xpert.⁹⁴ In spite of WHO recommendations, a 2017 Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) survey of national TB policies and practices undertaken in 29 countries (all but three featuring in at least one WHO high burden category for TB), reported that only 15/28 (54%) had implemented on a wide scale Xpert as the initial diagnostic test for PLHIV and other high risk groups.⁹⁵

In 2011, South Africa made a policy decision, to replace sputum microscopy with Xpert as the initial diagnostic test for TB. From 2010 to 2016, South Africa purchased both the most Xpert cartridges under concessional pricing (11 million) and the most GeneXpert Xpert MTB/RIF modules (four thousand), globally; and in 2016 alone purchased almost 2.5 million cartridges.⁹⁶ In spite of the cost implications of replacing microscopy with a much more expensive test, mathematical modelling predicted cost-effectiveness of this strategy in sub-Saharan Africa because of reduction in early mortality on ART⁹⁷ and increased TB case finding.⁹⁸ Subsequent modelling, using data collected during the rollout of Xpert in South

Africa, found that this strategy had little impact on either cost or cost-effectiveness of TB evaluation and treatment (within 6 months of the initial diagnostic test).⁹⁹ From 2017 South Africa commenced a phased rollout of Ultra to replace Xpert.

Two randomized trials in Southern Africa in "real-world" settings, which compared testing sputum with Xpert vs. microscopy in symptomatic patients attending primary health facilities, did not find differences in morbidity, mortality, or the overall proportion of adults starting TB treatment.^{100, 101} TB-NEAT was a pragmatic multicenter trial, in which patients were randomly assigned to either on-site Xpert (performed by a nurse) or microscopy (performed by a technician at an attached or nearby laboratory) with provision of same-day test result.¹⁰¹ Patients who could provide two spot sputum samples were enrolled. One sample underwent mycobacterial culture and the other either same day Xpert or microscopy; patients were asked to wait for the test results, during which time they underwent chest radiography. More patients in the Xpert vs. microscopy arm started same day TB treatment (17% vs. 9%), mainly based on positive Xpert. TB-NEAT reported a high level of empiric TB treatment, largely based on chest radiograph. This ease of access to chest radiography and point-of-care Xpert is not generalizable to most clinics in sub-Saharan Africa and does not reflect routine clinical care in these settings. Although about 15% of culture-confirmed cases were missed in the microscopy group, the proportions of culture-negative patients who received TB treatment did not vary between Xpert and microscopy arms.¹⁰¹ XTEND was a pragmatic cluster-randomised trial, embedded within the national roll out of Xpert in South Africa, with clusters (laboratories) allocated to either Xpert or microscopy for adults investigated for TB by clinic staff. Investigators found between the two groups no difference in 6-month mortality, the proportion who had started TB treatment, or time to treatment in those with a positive test result. Although mycobacterial culture was not routinely done due to the pragmatic nature of XTEND, in accordance with TB-NEAT these findings also suggested that Xpert had replaced empirical treatment rather than improving TB case-finding.¹⁰⁰

Analysis of programme data from Cape Town, over a period (2010 to 2014) spanning the national Xpert rollout (2011-2013), found amongst HIV-positive individuals a reduction in TB notification rates of 19% and halving of empiric TB treatment rates, with a slight increase of 3% in the rate of bacteriologically confirmed TB.¹⁰² A similar pattern was seen in notification rates amongst HIV-negative individuals. At the end of the period, however, more than a quarter of HIV-positive TB patients were still treated empirically. The authors postulate that rates of empiric treatment and/or TB case notification rates may have declined during this period, but firm conclusions could not be drawn using this

observational data. The high levels of empiric TB treatment, also reported globally,² indicate a great need for accessible, low cost, non-sputum based diagnostic tests capable of detecting both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB.⁸⁴

Testing for urine lipoarabinomannan

LAM, a cell wall lipopolysaccharide specific to mycobacteria that is detectable in urine, can be tested for using a POC lateral flow LAM assay (LF-LAM) (Determine TB-LAM; Alere, USA). LF-LAM has many attractive attributes, including relatively low cost (around US\$ 3.50 per test), rapid results (within 25 minutes), low biosafety risk, and ease of sample collection; but it has poor sensitivity (45% in symptomatic PLHIV for bacteriologically-confirmed TB).¹⁰³ LF-LAM sensitivity, against a gold standard of bacteriologically-confirmed TB, is inadequate for use as a screening tool for TB either prior to ART initiation,^{104, 105} or on receiving a new HIV-positive diagnosis;^{106, 107} studies evaluating its utility as a screening test are discussed in the literature review.

LF-LAM sensitivity increased when evaluated in hospitalised HIV-positive patients with TB symptoms in Uganda and South Africa, particularly amongst those with advanced immunosuppression for whom very high specificity (99%)¹⁰⁸ suggests possible utility as a rule-in test for TB in this population.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ Even in symptomatic, hospitalised PLHIV with advanced immunosuppression, LF-LAM sensitivity is suboptimal; therefore 2015 WHO guidance supports its use only to assist TB diagnosis in symptomatic PLHIV with CD4 counts \leq 100 cells/mm³, or those who are seriously ill irrespective of CD4 count.¹¹² This recommendation is supported by the recently published STAMP trial.¹¹³ STAMP evaluated the impact on 56-day mortality of screening HIV-positive inpatients with urine LF-LAM, within 48 hours of admission.¹¹³ Participants were randomly assigned to standard-of-care evaluation by the attending clinician, or additional urine LF-LAM test. At enrolment sputum was requested from all participants and tested with Xpert. The results of all TB screening tests were reported to the responsible clinical teams only as positive or negative so that the study groupings were not revealed. There was no difference in overall 2-month mortality between groups, but in three pre-specified high-risk groups (CD4 <100 cells/mm³, severe anaemia, clinically suspected TB) mortality was lower in the group who underwent LF-LAM testing. A more sensitive LAM test, Fujifilm SILVAMP TB LAM, developed by the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) is undergoing clinical evaluation.114

1.3. Context of the work conducted for this thesis

1.3.1. Country setting: South Africa

South Africa is classified by the World Bank as an upper middle-income country, but has high levels of poverty disproportionately affecting black South Africans. It is one of the most unequal countries in the world, and levels of inequality have increased since the end of apartheid in 1994.¹¹⁵ South Africa is home to the world's largest HIV epidemic, 19% of the world's HIV-positive individuals; and has the world's largest HIV treatment programme.¹¹⁶ 2017 data estimate between seven¹¹⁷ to eight¹¹⁸ million PLHIV in a country with a population of just 56 million in 2016. Major strides have been made in the fight against HIV, and the country is in the process of ensuring universal health coverage through implementation of national health insurance.¹¹⁹ In 2015 South Africa rolled out immediate lifelong ART for all PLHIV irrespective of CD4 cell count, and recommended viral load as the preferred option for monitoring treatment success.¹²⁰ The following year pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for key populations was approved.¹²¹

The Fifth South African national HIV prevalence survey, a cross-sectional household survey undertaken in 2017, reported adult (ages 15 to 49 years) HIV prevalence of 20.6% (26.3% vs. 14.8% in females vs. males), disproportionately affecting black Africans, adolescent girls, and young women; and with a marked geographical variation from 12.6% in Western Cape to 27% in KwaZulu-Natal.¹¹⁸ In this survey 82% of households approached completed an interview, and amongst eligible adults (ages 15 to 64 years) 68% vs. 58% of women vs. men provided blood for HIV testing. Lower participation rates might explain the low reported HIV prevalence in males as it is not clear if the prevalence estimates reported were adjusted for non-response. The estimated annual HIV incidence in adults (ages 15-49 years) was 0.79%, a reduction compared with 1.79% in the previous 2012 survey.¹²²

UNAIDS 2017 data estimate adult (ages 15-49) HIV prevalence of 18.8% and indicate South Africa has reached the first of the 90-90-90 targets, with 90% of all estimated PLHIV aware of their status, 61% of whom are accessing ART, and 47% of those on ART with suppressed viral load.¹¹⁷ 2017 national survey data report attaining 85%-71%-86% with respect to the 90-90-90 targets.¹¹⁸ Between 2010 and 2017, the annual number of new HIV infections reduced by 31%, and AIDS-related deaths reduced by 43%, to 270,000 and 110,000 respectively in all age groups.¹¹⁷

South Africa has one of the world's worst TB epidemics, driven by its HIV epidemic. In 2017 it ranked second in the world in terms of the percentage of TB patients who were HIV-positive (60%), TB mortality amongst PLHIV (99/100,000 population), and the overall per capita TB incidence (567 per 100,000 population); as well as accounting for 3% of the global total of incident TB cases.² 89% of TB cases notified (new and relapse) were reported to have pulmonary disease, and amongst those 65% were reported to be bacteriologically confirmed (largely by Xpert). South Africa appears in all three of the WHO high-burden country lists for TB, TB/HIV, and multidrug resistant (MDR) TB, and ranks ninth amongst the top ten countries with the largest gaps between notification of incident TB cases and best estimate of TB incidence.² WHO TB incidence estimates for South Africa are derived from case-notification data adjusted using expert opinion to estimate case-detection gaps. TB incidence estimates have recently been revised in light of the consistent downward trend in TB case notifications; a national TB prevalence survey is currently underway and will better inform incidence estimates. The overall prevalence of drug resistance was found to be high in the 2012-2014 South African TB drug resistance survey.¹²³ It was 2.8%, 4.6% and 9.3% respectively for MDR TB, any rifampicin and any isoniazid resistance respectively.¹²³ Compared with the previous 2001-2002 survey the overall MDR TB prevalence was similar, but the prevalence of rifampicin resistance in new cases was much greater; overall prevalence of both MDR and rifampicin-resistant TB was much greater in HIV-positive vs. HIV-negative individuals with TB (3.1% vs. 2.0% for MDR TB, and 4.9% vs. 3.2% for rifampicin resistant TB respectively).

South Africa performs well in terms of some, but not all, TB/HIV collaborative activity statistics. In 2017, 94% of TB cases had known HIV status, with 89% of those who were HIV-positive on ART, and 53% of PLHIV newly enrolled in care were on TB preventive therapy.² As in previous years South Africa accounted for the largest proportion of those newly enrolled in HIV care on IPT (39%) globally. 2015 National Department of Health (NDOH) ART¹²⁰ and 2014 TB¹²⁴ guidelines recommend regular symptom screening for TB in PLHIV based on the WHO tool, comprising any of: "current cough of any duration, persistent fever >2 weeks, unexplained weight loss of >1.5kg in a month, or drenching night sweats." Subsequent 2017 South African HIV clinicians society guidelines encourage ART initiation on the day of receiving an HIV diagnosis or CD4 count result, and recommend the WHO tool for TB screening prior to ART and IPT initiation.⁶² These guidelines advise, prior to ART initiation, further investigation of those with any WHO tool symptom using both Xpert and mycobacterial culture on sputum, plus urine LAM if the CD4 count is <100 cells/mm³. In addition they recommend, if feasible, sputum mycobacterial culture for all individuals with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ as part of TB screening prior to IPT initiation.

Page | 31

1.3.2. TB investigation pathways and HIV care in South Africa

The research undertaken for this PhD was part of the XPHACTOR study ("Xpert MTB/RIF for people attending HIV care: an interventional cohort study to guide rational implementation"). XPHACTOR methodology and how this thesis links in are described in **Chapter three**.

XPHACTOR was conceptualized in 2011 at a time when the diagnostic landscape for TB was changing, and South Africa had decided to replace sputum microscopy with Xpert as the initial diagnostic test for TB across its entire laboratory service. Prior to this the only available diagnostic tests for TB were microscopy which is insensitive in PLHIV; and mycobacterial culture which is not available at lower tiers of laboratory, is slow and relatively expensive. The role of testing for LAM had yet to be defined for screening or diagnosis of TB in PLHIV, but it had become available as the POC LF-LAM.

The replacement of microscopy with the far more expensive Xpert test, in the context of a highly symptomatic population of people attending for HIV care, was foreseen to have major resource implications. Resource constraints in LMIC were likely to limit use of this rapid diagnostic test, anticipated at the time to be "game-changing", in the very regions with HIV-related TB epidemics which stood to gain the most. Anecdotal experience from South Africa at the time was that in many clinics ICF guidelines for PLHIV were not followed, possibly because they were considered impractical, and therefore little screening or testing for TB was carried out.

XPHACTOR enrolment and follow-up were conducted between 2012 and 2014, during the national Xpert rollout in South Africa. At this time ART eligibility comprised CD4 \leq 350 cells/mm³ or WHO clinical stage \geq 3. There was also a clear division between pre-ART care for PLHIV not yet eligible for ART, which was generally only provided in primary care clinics, and care for those on ART which was provided at all levels of care. Historically ART care had been provided at hospital-based clinics with doctor-led ART initiation, but since 2010 nurse-initiated management of antiretroviral treatment (NIMART) has been rolled out, enabling decentralisation of and expanded access to ART.

2014 NDOH guidance, which was also standard-of-care during the XPHACTOR study, recommends further evaluation of ambulatory PLHIV with a negative initial sputum Xpert result aligned with the 2007 WHO algorithm for smear-negative TB.¹²⁴ This comprises

clinical reassessment, chest radiograph if available, sputum for mycobacterial culture, and treatment with an antibiotic if clinically indicated (**Figure 1-1**).¹²⁴ Mathematical modelling using a decision model from South Africa¹²⁵ suggested that replacing sputum culture with a second Xpert (estimated to be cheaper than culture) would reduce loss to follow-up so 1% more patients would start TB treatment,¹²⁶ and save an estimated US\$17.4 million per year.¹²⁶ This model assumed, based on limited data, the same sensitivity for the second Xpert test as for the first, guidelines would be correctly followed, and only 1% of those with TB symptoms would start TB treatment based on a clinical diagnosis.^{125, 126} The strategy of sending a repeat Xpert for HIV-positive individuals whose initial Xpert result was negative had not been evaluated empirically at the time of the XPHACTOR study. However of note, current (2016) WHO ART guidelines, post-dating the XPHACTOR study, recommend that further evaluation in those with negative initial Xpert who are not seriously ill should include chest radiograph, clinical assessment and a repeat Xpert on a fresh sputum sample, with mycobacterial culture where feasible.¹²⁷



Figure 1-1. 2012 South African Department of Health algorithm for Xpert¹²⁴

1.4. Rationale

The rationale for the XPHACTOR study was that the combination of regular TB screening of PLHIV with the WHO tool, which would generate large numbers of patients requiring further investigation (of whom only a small proportion would have TB), and Xpert (the recommended initial diagnostic test), which is more expensive than smear microscopy, would pose a huge challenge in resource constrained settings. Targeting testing to those at greatest risk of TB in these settings was envisaged as a strategy to prioritise resources. XPHACTOR was a prospective cohort study that evaluated an algorithm (described in **Chapter 3**) which aimed to identify, among HIV-positive clinic attendees, those deemed "high priority" for immediate investigation with Xpert, and allowed watchful waiting for those assessed as lower priority.

XPHACTOR provided an opportunity to attempt to answer key questions arising from WHO ICF recommendations, as detailed in the thesis objectives below. Firstly, amongst those reporting WHO tool symptoms, could individuals at greatest risk of TB be identified to enable prioritisation of testing with Xpert? Secondly, amongst those for whom TB had been excluded but who continued to report symptoms, what were the causes for and "natural history" or evolution of these symptoms? Finally, LF-LAM had recently become available, affording an opportunity to evaluate its potential as a screening test for individuals attending for routine HIV care.

1.5. Aims and objectives of this thesis

The aim of this thesis is to explore, within the context of regular TB screening for individuals attending for routine HIV care, alternative screening and investigation pathways (to standard of care) at different stages of an individual's journey through evaluation for TB (**Figure 1-2**).

This thesis comprises four studies which address each of the following objectives.

Objective 1 (Chapter 5 - Research Paper 1, "TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic")

Firstly, starting with TB screening itself, to evaluate the role of LF-LAM for screening individuals with advanced immunosuppression.

• To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of LF-LAM among adults with advanced immunosuppression (CD4 cell count <200 cells/mm³) established in HIV care, i.e. not those newly diagnosed HIV-positive.

Objective 2 (Chapter 6 - Research Paper 2, "Clinical Score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa")

Secondly, to identify a simple "second step" algorithm (triage tool) to prioritise investigation amongst those identified by the WHO symptom tool as requiring further evaluation. This tool would help HCW decide whom to prioritise for immediate sputum test with Xpert. Again the focus was on individuals established in HIV care.

• To develop a clinical prediction model using data from the XPHACTOR study, comprising elements readily available in primary care, to predict the probability of TB in adults attending for routine HIV care screened for TB and found to be WHO tool positive.

Objective 3 (Chapter 7 - Research Paper 3, "Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative")

Thirdly, amongst those who have been investigated for TB, but have a negative Xpert result, to evaluate the strategy of sending a second sputum sample for Xpert.

 To describe the diagnostic yield from two different strategies for investigating adults with HIV who are suspected of having TB, but whose first Xpert test is negative. These were immediate repeat sputum tested with Xpert, compared to sequential further investigation guided by NDOH recommendations (sputum for mycobacterial culture, chest radiograph, trial of antibiotics if clinically indicated).

Objective 4 (Chapter 8 - Research Paper 4, "Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults")

Finally, amongst those who have been investigated for and found not to have TB, to attempt to identify the cause for persistent symptoms suggestive of TB.
• To determine causes for persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB amongst ambulatory adults attending for HIV care who have negative initial TB investigations.





¹ TB treatment commenced if positive Xpert

 2 TB treatment commenced if positive mycobacteriology or indicated by CXR (chest radiograph) or clinical evaluation

CXR, chest radiograph

Introduction

1.6. Structure of the thesis

This thesis is structured in research paper style format.

Chapter 2 presents the literature review which addresses each thesis objective, focusing on existing evidence relevant to PLHIV in LMIC settings, and highlighting gaps in the knowledge. Firstly, evidence pertaining to the role of urine LAM as a screening test for TB in individuals attending for routine HIV care. Secondly, an overview of recommended strategies for developing clinical prediction rules to inform further investigation of patients, and then a review of current prediction rules for TB in PLHIV. Thirdly, the evidence pertaining to the utility of repeating Xpert on sputum in PLHIV who have an initial negative sputum Xpert result; and fourthly the causes identified for persistent symptoms suggestive of TB from studies amongst PLHIV in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Chapters 3 to 4 provide an overview of the XPHACTOR study methods and present key results. Chapter 3 describes the study design, and how the objectives addressed in this thesis flow from XPHACTOR. The frequency of symptoms suggestive of TB in XPHACTOR study participants, both at enrolment and monthly follow up visits is reported in chapter 4. These data provide an indication of the potential volume of testing using Xpert required following screening with the WHO tool, and the extent to which repeat testing may be needed.

Chapters 5 to 8 provide, in the form of papers which are either published or in press, the methods, results and discussions for each study. **Chapter 5** (**Paper 1**) provides the evaluation of LF-LAM to screen ambulatory PLHIV for TB, and has been published in PLoS One.¹²⁸ **Chapter 6** (**Paper 2**) presents the clinical score for TB as published in PLoS One.¹²⁹ **Chapter 7** (**Paper 3**) reports the diagnostic yield from repeat Xpert on sputum amongst HIV-positive individuals at high risk of TB, but with initial negative result. This paper has been published by Gates Open Research, but requires revision (which I am currently undertaking) before passing peer review. This chapter, therefore, presents the paper prior to revision. **Chapter 8** (**Paper 4**) presents the causes for persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB, as published in the International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease.¹³⁰

Chapter 9 summarizes the main findings of this research, the implications of these results for clinical practice and wider policy, limitations and generalizability, conclusions and recommendations for future research.

Introduction

The **appendices** provide the ethical approval documents, consent form, XPHACTOR study enrolment questionnaire, standard operating procedures (SOPs) for Paper 4, and research posters describing the evaluation of the WHO tool for ruling out TB undertaken using XPHACTOR study data¹³¹ and the frequency and seasonal variation of TB symptoms amongst participants on ART.¹³²

1.7. Role of the candidate

I was the research manager for XPHACTOR, based in South Africa from 2012 to 2014, where I ran the study. The study was conceived and funding acquired by Prof Alison Grant and Dr. Katherine Fielding from the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), and colleagues at the Aurum Institute and the University of Cape Town in South Africa.

I contributed to protocol development, study design, and obtaining all required regulatory approvals and permissions. I consulted with stakeholders in South Africa at proposed study sites (community health clinics and hospitals), laboratories, and provincial and district level regulatory bodies. In collaboration with colleagues at the Aurum Institute and supervised by Prof Grant, I set up and managed the XPHACTOR study on a day-to-day basis. This included recruiting and training all research staff; monitoring study sites; developing all standard operating procedures (SOPs) and case report forms; assisting with database development, data entry and data cleaning.

I conceptualized and designed the standard set of investigations for participants with persistent symptoms suggestive of TB, assisted by Dr. Sandra Toro Silva from LSHTM, and we personally undertook clinical evaluation of participants together with two clinicians from the Aurum Institute. We also extracted relevant data from participants' medical records.

I conducted all the statistical analyses and interpretation reported in this thesis. I wrote the original drafts of all manuscripts for the research papers presented, incorporated feedback from co-authors, finalized and submitted them for publication.

1.8. Ethical clearance

Ethical approval for the XPHACTOR study was provided by ethics committees at the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (approval # 6165), University of the Witwatersrand in South Africa (approval # M120343), and University of Cape Town in South Africa (approval # 106/2012).

1.9. Funding

The research for this thesis was undertaken while I was a staff member at LSHTM. My salary support was provided through the funding awarded for the XPHACTOR study by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant number OPP1034523).

2) Literature review

2.1. Introduction

This thesis explored alternative pathways for finding TB in HIV-positive individuals established in care. The literature review, therefore, commences by examining the recommended tool for TB screening at each clinical encounter, the WHO symptom screen. The studies in the original systematic review which developed the WHO tool, in the update which investigated its performance in PLHIV on ART, and studies which report the frequency of WHO tool symptoms amongst those on ART are discussed. These studies provide comparison, with respect to the extent to which diagnostic testing for TB may be needed in the context of routine screening, for XPHACTOR which enrolled a population established in HIV care.

Subsequent sections of the review examine the published literature relating to alternative screening and diagnostic pathways for PLHIV in outpatient settings, starting with the utility of LF-LAM as an alternative to TB screening using the WHO tool. Published clinical prediction models are reviewed, both as alternative TB screening tools and also in a triage role, i.e. to prioritise diagnostic testing for individuals who have reported WHO tool symptoms during screening. The review then moves down the Xpert algorithm (Figure 1-1) to discuss the literature pertaining to the investigation of individuals with an initial negative Xpert result, specifically looking at the likely diagnostic yield from a repeat Xpert test and the factors that might improve this yield. Finally, in order to guide the investigation of patients who persistently report WHO tool symptoms on screening, the aetiology of these symptoms are summarised from studies investigating PLHIV in outpatient settings. The findings from the review put into context those from this thesis, and also helped to identify gaps in the literature which inform the objectives of this research.

2.2. WHO 4-symptom TB screening tool

2.2.1. Studies in the original meta-analysis

The original WHO meta-analysis used data from twelve studies which, irrespective of the presence of symptoms suggestive of TB, undertook mycobacterial culture (mainly on sputum) for all participants.⁸⁰ Amongst almost 10,000 PLHIV, mostly from sub-Saharan

Africa, median CD4 count was 248 cells/mm³ (available for 36% of participants), and overall TB prevalence was 5.8% (39% smear-positive pulmonary TB, 52% smear-negative pulmonary TB, 5% extrapulmonary TB only). The final tool comprised presence of any of current cough, fever, night sweats or unintentional weight loss. It had sensitivity and specificity for culture-confirmed TB of 78.9% (95% CI 58.3, 90.9) and 49.6% (95% CI 29.2, 70.1) respectively, and was more sensitive in a clinical setting.

The primary analysis was undertaken in just over 8,000 individuals with complete data from nine of these studies (Table 2-1), and investigated the performance of 23 combinations of five candidate symptoms, which were asked about in all studies and deemed easy to assess at all levels of healthcare (current cough, haemoptysis, fever, night sweats and weight loss). Participants in these nine studies were screened for TB in a wide range of settings including HIV testing and counselling (HTC) services;^{73, 79} prior to ART initiation;^{72, 133} and prior to the widespread availability of ART within the public sector, namely home-based pre-ART care,⁷⁶ community-based HIV-TB prevalence surveys,^{74, 134, 135} and occupational health services for gold miners.¹³⁶ Most of the participants (60%) were contributed by three studies, two household prevalence surveys^{74, 134} and one workplace survey.¹³⁵ These community-based studies unsurprisingly reported low prevalences of TB (0.4-1.9%), which might also relate to their more stringent criteria for defining cultureconfirmed TB. In these studies, if the initial sputum was culture-positive for MTB, then further sputum samples were collected for microbiology and chest radiography was performed; and more than one sputum culture-positive for MTB was required to fulfil the case definition for confirmed TB.

The phrasing of the symptom screen naturally varied between studies, in particular with respect to the duration of symptoms, and the time frame for reporting presence of symptoms.⁸⁰ Cough > 21 days rather than current cough was stipulated by two studies.^{73, 76} The timeframe for reported weight loss varied from presence of symptom within the last $1,^{72, 134} 2^{79}$ or $6^{135, 136}$ months; and for night sweats and fever within the last $1,^{72}$ or 2^{79} months. These differences might have impacted on the overall diagnostic accuracy of the WHO tool which requires "current" presence of symptom(s). When utilised in a screening tool, stipulating a duration for a symptom will reduce sensitivity but improve specificity; increasing the period during which a symptom can be present will increase sensitivity at the expense of specificity.

Cain *et al*, whose data were included in the meta-analysis, evaluated more than eighty million combinations of between one to five variables (easily obtainable symptoms or

signs) to rule out culture-confirmed TB in clinic attendees prior to ART initiation.⁷² Their best performing combinations of three or four predictors (sensitivity 93%, specificities 35-37%, NPV 97%), using data from 1748 participants of whom 15% had TB, all included cough, fever, and night sweats. The findings of their "exhaustive" search support the utility of these symptoms within the WHO tool, and suggest that even though the meta-analysis evaluated only five candidate symptoms, potentially little would have been gained if additional predictors had been included.

A strength of the meta-analysis was the use of individual participant data, but the studies included were largely conducted prior to the widespread availability of ART,^{74, 76, 134-136} or if available in individuals who had not yet started ART,^{72, 73, 79, 133} and only one study⁷² collected non-sputum samples, limiting the ability to identify extrapulmonary TB. The WHO tool, therefore, cannot be assumed to perform similarly in a population on ART; and hence the rationale for undertaking a subsequent review, discussed later in this chapter, to determine its accuracy amongst individuals on ART.⁴² Fifteen percent (1478/9629) of participants were excluded due to missing data, rather than using statistical methods such as imputation, which might have resulted in biased estimates of sensitivity and specificity.

The WHO tool has higher sensitivity (90.1%) in clinical settings and amongst individuals who have not been previously screened for TB (88%) (Table 2-2).⁸⁰ Sensitivity of a screening tool may decline if applied at regular intervals to the same population, and this may have implications when the WHO tool is used, as recommended, at every clinical encounter for PLHIV.¹³⁶ This decline in sensitivity can be explained in part by the successful detection of TB by the screening tool (followed by TB treatment) in prior rounds of screening.¹³⁷ This changes the characteristics of those remaining, who are less likely to have TB and are also likely to be less symptomatic. The proportion of individuals with active TB will be reduced and this will reduce the PPV of the WHO tool.^{74, 136} The three studies which contributed to the assessment of the performance of the WHO tool in a previously screened populations were a household survey,⁷⁴ a workplace survey,¹³⁵ and one that enrolled gold miners at annual occupational health screening;¹³⁶ overall 52/3191 (1.7%) had culture-confirmed TB (Table 2-1). It seems unlikely that the sensitivity estimate for the WHO tool in previously screened populations of 40.5% (95% CI: 16.6, 69.9) can be generalised to active TB case finding in HIV clinics. The uncertainty around the sensitivity estimate is wide due to the small number of TB diagnoses. In these studies solid culture media, which is less sensitive than liquid media, was used and may have missed some TB diagnoses, impacting upon the estimation of the sensitivity of the WHO tool for TB in previously screened populations.

Page | 42

Author	Study population	TB Case definition	Number of PLHIV	C+ TB prevalence in PLHIV ⁸⁰	Comments
Country	Procedures		contributed to meta-	n/N (%)	
Tear	Exclusions from analysis		analysis ^{oo} Median CD4 cells/mm ³		
Getahun ⁸⁰ 2011 Metanalysis Ayles ¹³⁴ 2009 Zambia X-sectional	 12 studies (N=9626) including PLHIV Had to collect sputum & symptoms/sign from ALL, & ≥1 sample for MTB culture Identified 5 symptoms common to all studies (C,F,S,H,W) Community TB/HIV prevalence survey; 2005 Randomly sampled households Adults > 15y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum (culture), HIV test on oral fluid Further evaluation if C+ MTB: 2 sputa (SM, culture) + CXR >90% consented + had culture result N=8044 in analysis 	 C+ Confirmed: 2 C+; or 1 C+ & 1 SM+ve 3+ Unconfirmed: 1 C+ & TB Rx (based on CXR / symptoms) Subclinical: 1 C+, & no symptoms & CXR normal, & no other positive microbiology 	Median CD4 cells/mm ³ Meta-analysis included 9 studies (N=8148) evaluable on C,F,S,H,W CD4 248 (N=3489) N=2145	557/9626 (5.8%) • 288/557 (52%) SM-ve PTB • 218/557 (39%) SM+ve PTB • 28/557 (5%) EPTB only • 23/557 (4%) site unknown 41/2145 (1.9%)	 1478/9626 (15%) excluded as incomplete symptom data Sensitivity: 78.9% (58.3, 90.9) Specificity: 49.6 (29.2, 70.1) ART data not collected, but low availability reported
Cain ⁷² 2010 Cambodia Thailand Vietnam X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees; 2006-2008 Consecutive sample Age > 6y (median age 31y) ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen + exam; CXR; SM and culture on sputa (x3), urine, blood, stool, LNA if appropriate; FBC + CD4	C+ on any sample "Not TB": at least 1 sputum C-ve & 1 non- sputum C-ve	N=1721 CD4 242	267/1721 (15.5%)	Excluded those on ART
Chheng ⁷³ 2008 Cambodia X-sectional	HCT attendees Consecutive sample, aged ≥ 19y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 3 sputa (SM, culture)	C+ or ≥2 SM+ve	N=123	20/123 (16.3%)	L-J media only

Table 2-1 Characteristics of studies contributing data to the WHO meta-analysis⁸⁰

Author Country Year	Study population Procedures Exclusions from analysis	TB Case definition	Number of PLHIV contributed to meta- analysis ⁸⁰	C+ TB prevalence in PLHIV ⁸⁰ n/N (%)	Comments
Design			Median CD4 cells/mm ³		
Corbett ¹³⁵ 2007 Zimbabwe Cohort	 Employees; 2001-2002 ALL approached: HIV test at enrolment Access to OHS (TB Ix, HTC, HIV care [CPT, IPT, no regular TB screening]) After 2 years - prevalence survey (>90% consented): symptom screen & sputum culture & HIV test. Further evaluation if TB symptoms or C+: CXR & sputum SM & culture HIV prevalence 19%: 	Prevalent TB: • Definite: 2 C+, or 1 C+ & CXR TB • Probable: CXR TB & response to TB Rx within 2m	N=797	3/797 (0.4%)	Contributed to "previously screened" population in metanalysis 18/1233 (1.5%) on ART at enrolment 6% of PLHIV received IPT If asymptomatic: pooled sputum cultured & SM read only if C+ L-J media only
	 Baseline 1233/6440 After 2 years 874/4668 13/874 HIV-Pos fulfilled TB case definitions, of whom 5 C+ 				
Corbett ⁷⁴ 2010 Zimbabwe X-sectional	Community TB/HIV prevalence survey; 2005-2006 Randomly sampled households Adults > 15y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 2 sputa (culture), HIV test • Further evaluation if C+ or TB symptom: Sputum (SM, culture) + CXR >90% consented and submitted sputum HIV prevalence 1858/8979 (21%) • 48/1858 fulfilled TB case definition	 Definite: 2 C+, Probable: 1 C+ & compatible symptoms / CXR, & response to TB Rx within 1m Probable C-ve MTB: C-ve MTB: C-ve MTB, & compatible symptoms / CXR, & response to TB Rx within 1m 	N=1834	31/1834 (1.7%)	Contributed to "previously screened" population in metanalysis If asymptomatic: pooled sputum cultured & SM read only if C+ L-J media only
Kimerling ⁷⁶ 2002 Cambodia X-sectional	Home based HIV care service; 2000 Adults ≥ 15y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum (culture) • 40/427 (9.4%) previously undiagnosed C+ MTB, & 14/441 already on TB Rx	C+	N=393	36/393 (9.2%)	Unable to verify HIV status for all participants. Only 441/787 (56%) registered with service were surveyed L-J media only

Author Country Year	Study population Procedures Exclusions from analysis	TB Case definition	Number of PLHIV contributed to meta- analysis ⁸⁰	C+ TB prevalence in PLHIV ⁸⁰ n/N (%)	Comments
Design			Median CD4 cells/mm ³		
Lawn ¹³³ 2009 SA X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees prior to ART start Adults ≥ 18y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, CXR, 2 sputa (culture), urine stored (LAM)	C+	N=218 CD4 125	57/218 (26.1%)	
Lewis ¹³⁶ 2009 SA X-sectional	58/235 (25%) fulfilled TB case definition Gold miners at annual OHS screen (mini CXR + urine); 2000-2001 Alternate attendees sampled ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, mini CXR, 2 sputa (culture), urine HIV • Further evaluation if C+, SM+, TB symptoms, CXR changes: sputa x3 (SM, culture) + CXR HIV prevalence 567/1995 (29%) • 20/567 (4%) fulfilled TB case definition	 Definite: 1 C+ & compatible symptoms / CXR; or 2 C+ Probable: Compatible symptoms & response to TB Rx within 2 m & SM+/ new CXR abnormalities 	N=560	18/560 (3.2%)	Contributed to "previously screened" population in metanalysis ART not available L-J media only
Shah ⁷⁹ 2009 Ethiopia X-sectional	New HIV-pos from HTC 2005-2006 Consecutive sample; Adults ≥ 18y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen + exam, CXR, sputa x3 (SM + culture); Blood (CD4) 32/438 (7%) fulfilled TB case definition (5 SM+ C-ve)	 SM+ TB: SM+ or C+ SM- TB: 3 SM -ve & C+ "Not TB": none of the above 	N=427 CD4 181	27/427 (6.3%)	L-J media only

ART, antiretroviral therapy; C,F,S,H,W = current cough, fever, night sweats, haemoptysis, weight loss, C+, culture-positive MTB; CI, confidence interval; CPT, cotrimoxazole preventive therapy; CXR, chest radiograph; FBC, full blood count, HIV-pos, HIV-positive; HTC, HIV testing & counselling services; IPT, isoniazid preventive therapy; LNA, lymph node aspirate; OHS, occupational health service; SA, South Africa; SM, TB microscopy; SM+, smear-positive; SM-, smear-negative; TB Rx, TB treatment; L-J, Löwenstein-Jensen media

Population screened	TB prevalence %	WHO tool positive ¹ %	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
Original meta-analysis ⁸⁰				
Overall (N=8148)	5.8% (557/9626)	49.8%	78.9% (58.3,90.9)	49.6% (29.2, 70.1)
	(range: 0.4-25.7)	(3981/8148)		
Screened in clinical setting			90.1% (76.3, 96.2)	
Not previously screened for TB			88.0% (76.1, 94.4)	
Screened in community setting			67.1% (41.7, 85.3)	
Previously screened for TB			40.5% (16.6, 69.9)	
Any WHO tool symptom or			90.6% (66.7, 97.9)	38.9% (12.8, 73.3)
abnormal CXR ² (N=2805)				
Update from systematic review ⁴²				
On ART (N=4640)	1.5% ³	29.7% ³	51.0% (28.4, 73.2)	70.7% (47.8, 86.4)
	(IQR: 0.6, 3.5%)	(IQR: 14.3, 45.7)		
Not on ART (N=8664)		71.2% ³	89.4% (83.0, 93.5)	28.1% (18.6, 40.1)
		(IQR: 46.7, 87.1)		
Any WHO tool symptom or			84.6% (69.7, 92.9)	29.8% (26.3, 33.6)
abnormal CXR ² (N=646)				

Table 2-2 Performance of the WHO tool in different populations^{42, 80}

ART, antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; CXR, chest radiograph; IQR, interquartile range ¹ Any of: cough, fever, night sweats or weight loss

² Any of: abnormal chest radiograph or any WHO tool symptom ³ Median

2.2.2. Performance of WHO tool in individuals on ART

A systematic review and meta-analysis were undertaken for the 2018 WHO LTBI guidelines, to determine the accuracy of the WHO tool to rule out TB in individuals on ART, and to further assess the impact of addition of abnormal chest radiograph to the WHO tool which had been reported to increase sensitivity in the original meta-analysis.^{9, 42} **Table 2-2** summarises the findings from the original meta-analysis and the systematic review. The prevalence of TB amongst those on ART was much lower (1.5%) compared to the prevalence in the pre-ART population from the original meta-analysis (5.8%). The systematic review reported a lower pooled sensitivity of the WHO tool (51.0% vs. 89.4%) and greater pooled specificity (70.7% vs. 28.1%) for those on ART vs. those not on ART; estimates did not vary by CD4 count in meta-regression. The estimated NPV in those on ART vs. those not on ART was 99.3% vs. 99.6% at 1% TB prevalence, and 96.5% vs. 98.0% at 5% TB prevalence, enabling TB to be ruled out prior to preventive therapy. Addition of any abnormal chest radiograph findings to the WHO tool increased sensitivity to 84.6% for those on ART.

Seven studies provided data for individuals on ART for the systematic review and these are summarised in **Table 2-3**.^{131, 138-143} These studies enrolled participants prospectively, and

evaluated all for TB irrespective of the presence of TB symptoms, against a reference standard of bacteriologically confirmed TB (smear, culture and/or Xpert). The study reporting the highest sensitivity for the WHO tool (49/50 [98%]) enrolled a convenience sample and findings will be biased. It is likely to have overestimated the sensitivity of the WHO tool.¹⁴⁰ Five studies excluded enrolled individuals for whom a final TB outcome could not be assigned, usually because sputum samples were not submitted at enrolment or results were contaminated or not available.^{131, 138, 141-143} Three of these studies excluded almost 10% or more of enrolees from their analyses because of lack of sputum mycobacteriology and additional reasons including either data integrity concerns,¹³⁸ or failure to complete other study procedures (chest radiograph / TST), or missing data.¹⁴³ This will have introduced selection bias, perhaps improving sensitivity estimates, and impacts on the generalisability of findings of these studies. Individuals who cannot produce sputum are less likely to have reported current cough; which may also explain why cough is often the most commonly reported of the WHO tool symptoms in these studies (Table 2-4). Exclusion of individuals unable to produce sputum is likely to have impacted on the studies in the original meta-analysis, but it is not possible to assess this due to lack of clear reporting of whether these exclusions occurred.

Rangaka et al undertook a secondary analysis of data from their clinical trial which investigated the impact of IPT in individuals on ART, in order to evaluate the effect of ART on the performance of the WHO tool.¹⁴³ In the trial a consecutive sample of HIV clinic attendees underwent TB symptom screening and had sputum collected for mycobacterial culture. The WHO tool was retrospectively applied to data collected from these individuals, and all analyses in this study were performed on a dataset with complete data. 661/2090 (32%) of individuals without data for predetermined predictors, or unable to produce sputum, or without culture results were excluded from their analysis.¹⁴³ Patients were enrolled from a clinic where TB screening at every visit was already standard of care. Visit were undertaken at 2-4 weekly intervals for individuals preparing for ART, and 4-8 weekly for those on ART. Therefore, those enrolled to this trial had probably been repeatedly pre-screened for TB, and it is unlikely that symptomatic patients were considered for screening for the trial. These factors probably explain the very low proportion (6.6%) of participants who reported WHO tool symptoms, and thus the lack of sensitivity of the tool (23.8%), which as already discussed is less sensitive amongst individuals previously screened for TB.⁸⁰ In spite of this, the prevalence of cultureconfirmed TB was high and was 5.4%, amongst those on ART. One might speculate that having experienced repeated rounds of screening, participants were more reluctant to

report symptoms or self-identify as symptomatic, or this might have arisen from the retrospective application of the WHO tool.

Two of the studies in the systematic review were conducted amongst pregnant and lactating women.^{139, 141} Data for one study undertaken in Swaziland, which appeared to require duration of symptoms for fever or night sweats of two weeks to qualify as a positive symptom screen, were only available as a conference presentation.^{139, 144} The WHO tool lacks sensitivity for culture-confirmed TB in pregnancy, which may reflect the impact of ART and previous TB screening. Furthermore, pregnancy itself may influence the presence of TB symptoms, in particular reported weight loss, and other measures of weight loss such as mid-upper arm circumference require evaluation. In this population, the addition of presence of TB symptoms in household members¹⁴¹ or reported exposure to a TB case¹⁴⁵ is reported to improve the performance of the WHO tool.

Data from XPHACTOR, of which the research undertaken for this thesis forms part, are also included in the updated systematic review.¹³¹ One hundred and forty-three individuals were excluded from the XPHACTOR analysis because of unclassifiable TB outcome (did not satisfy "TB" or "not TB" case definitions), but we did not exclude participants unable to produce sputum at enrolment, therefore our results are less likely to be biased. Strengths of the study include follow up of participants for 3 months, and collection of samples for mycobacteriology at both enrolment and 3-month visit, irrespective of presence of TB symptoms. All other studies presented in **Table 2-3** were cross-sectional with samples collected only at enrolment.

In summary, the findings of the updated systematic review indicate that the WHO tool is less sensitive but more specific amongst PLHIV on ART, compared with those pre-ART.⁴² At a median reported TB prevalence amongst those on ART of 1.5% the NPV of the tool was high, enabling TB to be ruled out prior to the provision of IPT. Limitations of the studies in the updated review include exclusions of large numbers of participants who did not produce sputum samples and the inclusion of ANC attendees who might not be representative of PLHIV attending for routine care. A reference standard of cultureconfirmed pulmonary TB was used, hence limiting applicability to diagnosing extrapulmonary TB.

Author Country	Study population Procedures	TB Case definition	Number in analysis	TB prevalence n/N (%)	WHO- Positive	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Comments
Year Design	Exclusions from analysis		Median CD4 cells/mm ³		n/N (%)			
Ahmad Khan ¹³⁸ SA 2014 X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 3 sputa (2 smears & 1 culture), CXR	SM+ or C+	737 in analysis • 522 on ART (70.8%) CD4 = 365	On ART: 31/522 (5.9%)	On ART: 233/522 (45%)	On ART: 51.6% (33.1,69.9)	On ART: 55.8% (51.3,60.3)	Excluded from analysis if: no sputum / submitted >14 days after enrolment (15), or data integrity concern (73)
Hanifa ¹³¹ SA 2015 Cohort	HIV clinic attendees ¹ Systematic sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum (GXP) All at 3 months: Blood & 1 sputum for culture	Confirmed = GXP+ / C+ Clinical = TB Rx without +ve MTB microbiology Not TB: No +ve MTB microbiology (≥ 1 specimen) & alive ≥3m after enrolled.	3229 in analysis • 2439 on ART (75.5%) CD4 = 439	On ART: Confirmed: 61/2421 (2.5%) All TB: 79/2439 (3.2%)	On ART: 736/2439 (30%)	On ART: 60.7% (47.3,72.9) (confirmed TB)	On ART: 71.1% (69.2,72.9)	Excluded from analysis if: unclassifiable TB outcome (143) or clinical TB (18)
Kufa ¹⁴⁰ SA 2012 X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees Convenience sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum & blood (culture), CD4, urine LAM	Confirmed = C+ Probable = SM+, compatible histology or CXR	422 in analysis • 196 on ART (68.1%) CD4 = 264	On ART: Confirmed: 8/196 (4.1%) All TB: 20/196 (10.2%)	On ART: 162/196 (82.7%)	On ART: 98.0% ² (89.4,>99.9) (confirmed TB)	Not reported	Convenience sample
Calnan ¹³⁹ Swaziland 2016 X-sectional	Ante- & postnatal MCH clinic attendees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, sputum (GXP & culture), urine LAM, TST, IGRA, CXR, CD4 if HIV-pos	Confirmed = C+	990 in analysis 470 HIV-pos 	3.4% amongst HIV-pos	Not reported	14.3% overall	82.2% overall	Conference presentation TB screening tool specifies duration of 2 w for fever & night sweats Exclusions not reported Sensitivity & specificity did not vary by HIV / pregnancy status ART use not reported; but 90% PMTCT coverage nationally

Table 2-3 Sensitivity and specificity of the WHO tool for TB in adults on ART

Author Country Year Design	Study population Procedures Exclusions from analysis	TB Case definition	Number in analysis Median CD4 cells/mm ³	TB prevalence n/N (%)	WHO- Positive n/N (%)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% Cl)	Comments
LaCourse ¹⁴¹ Kenva	ANC attendees Consecutive sample	C+	288 in analysis (CD4 = 437)	Overall: 7/288 (2.4%) ³	Overall: 56/288	Overall: 42.9%	Overall: 81.1%	Excluded from analysis if: unable to produce sputum
2016	ALL at enrolment: Symptom		• 165 on ART	()	(19%)	(9.9, 81.6)	(76.1,85.5)	or contaminated culture
X-sectional	screen, 2 sputa (1GXP & 1 culture), Urine LAM, TST		(57.3%) • 62 PMTCT			On ART: 1/4 (25%)		(18)
Nguyen ^{142,} ¹⁴⁶ Viet Nam 2011 X-sectional	HIV clinic enrollees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 2 sputa (smear & culture), TST, CXR	C+	397 in analysis ⁴ (CD4 = 336) • 230 on ART (57.9%)	Overall: 28/397 (7.1%)	Overall: 147/397 (37.0%)	Overall: 50%	Overall: 64%	Excluded from analysis if: Did not complete all procedures or NTM / contaminated culture (39)
Rangaka ¹⁴³ SA 2012 X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees, on / about to start ART, undergoing screening for clinical trial Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum (smear & culture)	C+	1429 • 775 on ART (54.2%) CD4=289	On ART: 42/775 (5.4%)	On ART⁵: 51/775 (6.6%)	On ART: 23.8% (12.1,39.5)	On ART: 94.4% (92.5,96)	Excluded from analysis if: unable to produce sputum within 1 m of enrolment or missing results; or missing symptoms or predetermined predictors (357 on ART; 304 pre- ART)

ANC, antenatal clinic; ART, antiretroviral therapy; C+, culture-positive MTB; CI, confidence interval; CXR, chest radiograph; GXP+, Xpert-positive; HIV-pos, HIV-positive; IGRA, interferon gamma release assay; m, month; MCH, maternal child health clinic; NTM, nontuberculous mycobacteria; PMTCT, ART for prevention of mother-to-child transmission; SA, South Africa; SM+, smear-positive; TB Rx, TB treatment; TST, tuberculin skin test; w, week

¹ Analysis of data collected for the XPHACTOR study

² 49/50 of all participants who fulfilled case definition for either confirmed or probable TB were WHO tool positive

³One additional participant with positive Xpert result was classified as not TB

⁴ Data stratified by ART status not reported

⁵ WHO tool retrospectively applied

2.2.3. Frequency of WHO tool symptoms amongst PLHIV attending for routine care

The frequency of WHO tool symptoms amongst HIV clinic attendees provides an indication of the volume of testing with Xpert that might be required when it is used for TB screening. Table 2-4 summarises the frequency of WHO tool symptoms in seven published studies (four of which are already described in **Table 2-3**)^{138, 141-143} which systematically enrolled and screened PLHIV established in care, as opposed to studies screening individuals at new HIV diagnosis or before initiation of ART. The proportion reporting any WHO tool symptom varies from 37%-45% in studies including those on ART from HIV clinics,^{138, 142, 146, 147} 16-19% in ANC attendees,^{141, 145} 33% in those postpartum,¹⁴⁸ and 71% in an exclusively pre-ART group.¹³⁸ The most common symptom reported was cough, except by those exclusively pre-ART who most often reported weight loss. The exclusion of individuals unable to produce sputum samples from four of these studies may have introduced selection bias and resulted in a greater proportion reporting cough than would otherwise be found in the context of routine HIV care.^{138, 141-143} Adelman¹⁴⁷ et al investigated only those who were symptomatic, and Cranmer¹⁴⁸ et al did not report on TB diagnoses or investigations; hence it is not possible to estimate TB prevalence in these studies. However, these two studies which enrolled a representative sample of PLHIV, are likely to provide an accurate reflection of the proportion of individuals established in care who have WHO tool symptoms. The data presented in Table 2-4 suggest that screening for TB using the WHO tool could identify one-third to almost half of individuals on ART, who are attending for routine HIV care, as requiring a diagnostic test for TB.

2.2.4. Summary

The WHO tool was designed to rule out TB prior to IPT using individual level participant data from PLHIV who were pre-ART, and from a disparate range of studies, from community-based TB/HIV prevalence surveys (who supply most of the participants but few TB diagnoses), to HIV clinic attendees. The performance of the WHO tool amongst individuals previously screened for TB utilised data in the original meta-analysis from settings far removed from routine HIV care, i.e. community-based surveys and an occupational health service for gold miners. A subsequent systematic review suggests that it is less sensitive and more specific amongst those on ART.⁴² The studies included in the aforementioned review are at risk of bias, having excluded participants unable to provide sputum at enrolment (possibly because they did not have cough) from analyses, limiting

generalisability and an accurate reflection of the impact of previous screening on the performance of the WHO tool. These studies indicate, however, that a minimum of one-third of those on ART report WHO tool symptoms (mainly cough and weight loss) and therefore require a diagnostic test.

Author Country	Study population Procedures	TB Case definition	Number Median CD4 cells/mm ³	TB prevalence n/N (%)	Pr	evalence	e of TB sy	mptoms	%	Comments
Year Design	Exclusions from analysis				WHO+	С	W	F	N	
Ahmad Khan ¹³⁸	HIV clinic attendees Consecutive sample	SM+ or C+	737 in analysis • 522 on ART (70.8%)	On ART: 31/522 (5.9%)	45% ¹ N=522	30%	17%	7%	19%	Excluded if: no sputum / submitted >14 days after
2014 X-sectional	screen, 3 sputa (2 smears & 1 culture), CXR		• 215 pre-ART (29%) CD4 = 200	Pre-ART: 34/215 (15.8%)	71% N=215	46%	50%	15%	30%	integrity concern (73)
Nguyen ^{142,} ¹⁴⁶ Viet Nam 2011 X-sectional	HIV clinic enrollees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 2 sputa (smear & culture), TST, CXR	C+	 397 in analysis (CD4 = 336) 230 on ART (57.9%) 	Overall: 28/397 (7.1%)	37% ¹ N=397	27%	20%	7%	3%	Excluded from analysis if: did not complete all procedures or NTM / contaminated culture (39)
Rangaka ¹⁴³ SA 2012 X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees, on / about to start ART, undergoing screening for clinical trial Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum (smear & culture)	C+	1429 • 775 on ART (54.2%) CD4=289	On ART: 42/775 (5.4%)	On ART ^{1,2} : 51/775 (6.6%)	5%	2%3	NR	1%	Excluded from analysis if: unable to produce sputum or missing results, or missing symptoms or predetermined predictors (661)
Adelman ¹⁴⁷ Ethiopia 2015 X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees Consecutive sample At enrolment: Symptom screen & IF symptomatic 3 sputa (smear, Xpert & culture)	GXP+ or C+	828 in analysis (CD4 = 420 [mean]) • 730 on ART (89%)	13/217 (6.0%) who provided sputum had TB	39% N=828	34%	13%	19%	21%	First 5 symptomatic patients enrolled per day. Investigated only if symptomatic (n=321), of whom 35% did not provide sputum.
Hoffman ¹⁴⁵ SA 2013 X-sectional	ANC attendees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, sputa (smear & culture)	C+	 1403 not on TB Rx at enrolment + 12 on Rx Amongst all 1415 (CD4 = 394) Median gest 24 wks 39% no ART agent 	35/1403 (2.5%)	16% N=1403	8%	7%	4%	3%	WHO tool sensitivity 28%, specificity 84% for previously undiagnosed TB

Table 2-4 Prevalence of WHO tool symptoms amongst HIV-positive adults attending for routine care

Author Country	Study population Procedures	TB Case definition	Number Median CD4 cells/mm ³	TB prevalence n/N (%)	Prevalence of TB symptoms %				%	Comments
Year Design	Exclusions from analysis				WHO+	C	W	F	N	
LaCourse ¹⁴¹ Kenya 2016 X-sectional	ANC attendees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 2 sputa (1GXP & 1 culture), Urine LAM, TST	C+	288 in analysis (CD4 = 437) • Median gest 26 wks • 26% no ART agent	7/288 (2.4%)	19% ¹ N=288	15%	1%	5%	7%	Excluded from analysis if: unable to produce sputum or contaminated culture (18)
Cranmer ¹⁴⁸ Kenya 2017 X-sectional	Postpartum MCH attendees for 6-wk or 9-month infant immunisation Systematic sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen + if symptomatic further evaluation arranged by clinic.	N/A	N=498 (6 wks n=260, 9 months n=238) • 313 on ART (63%) • CD4 483	Not known	33% N=498	19%	11%	15%	9%	Analysis of data from HIV- positive mothers from national PMTCT-MCH survey. No data re TB investigations / results. 15% reported IPT

ANC, antenatal clinic; ART, antiretroviral therapy; C+, culture-positive MTB; CXR, chest radiograph; GXP+, Xpert-positive; MCH, maternal child health clinic; PMTCT, ART for prevention of mother-to-child transmission; SA, South Africa; SM+, smear-positive; TB Rx, TB treatment; TST, tuberculin skin test; WHO +, WHO tool positive

C W F N, cough, unintentional weight loss, fever, night sweats

¹ Sensitivity and specificity of WHO tool in this population presented in Table 2-2; ² WHO tool retrospectively applied; ³ Self-report or documented

2.3. Urine lipoarabinomannan as an alternative TB screening tool for PLHIV

2.3.1. Introduction

Testing for LAM is unique within the array of available TB diagnostics, as firstly it has greater sensitivity for TB in HIV-positive compared with HIV-negative individuals,^{149, 150} and secondly amongst HIV-positive individuals sensitivity is greater in those with more advanced immunosuppression.¹⁰³ The test itself has gone through a number of iterations, with a commercially available laboratory-based urine LAM Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) (Clearview TB-ELISA; Alere, USA) preceding the current LF-LAM formulation; as has the reference card for LF-LAM. Prior to 2014, the manufacturer's reference card for LF-LAM comprised five grades of colour intensity. The least intense band was assigned grade 1, absence of a band graded negative, and absence of a control band deemed a failed test. In order to improve the specificity of the test, in January 2014 the reference card was revised to include only four bands, with band intensity grade 1 on the new card corresponding to grade 2 on the previous card. Therefore, evaluations of the diagnostic accuracy of LF-LAM have used varying cut-offs to define a positive LF-LAM test, which needs to be considered when comparing the performance of LF-LAM between studies.

A 2016 Cochrane review of LF-LAM for diagnosing TB in PLHIV reported its utility, against a reference standard of microbiologically-confirmed TB, firstly as a diagnostic test for individuals who were unwell (largely from inpatient studies) and secondly when used as a screening test in outpatient settings.¹⁰³ In the review a grade 2 cut-off on the pre-2014 reference card was deemed a positive LF-LAM result. For diagnosing bacteriologically-confirmed TB amongst symptomatic PLHIV the median pooled sensitivity and specificity were 45% (95% CrI 29%, 63%) and 92% (95% CrI 80%, 97%) respectively. Sensitivity was greater amongst those with CD4 \leq 100 vs. >100 cells/mm³, 56% (95% CrI 41%, 70%) vs. 26% (95% CrI 16%, 46%); although specificity was slightly less at 90% (95% CrI 81%, 95%) vs. 92% (95% CrI 78%, 97%) respectively.

Performance of LF-LAM for TB screening was reported from three studies in the Cochrane review, and sensitivity and specificity ranged from 0-44% and 94-95% respectively in these studies.¹⁰³ 2015 WHO guidance¹¹² and the Cochrane review¹⁰³ therefore advise against the use of LF-LAM as a screening test for TB because of its poor sensitivity in this context. However, because its sensitivity is better in symptomatic PLHIV, particularly amongst those with very low CD4 cell counts and its specificity is consistently high, it is suggested as an ancillary test to assist TB diagnosis in symptomatic PLHIV with CD4 counts \leq 100 cells/mm³, or those who are seriously ill (presence of any of respiratory rate > 30/minute,

temperature >39°C, heart rate > 120/minute, or unable to walk unaided) irrespective of CD4 count.¹¹² 2017 South African HIV clinicians society guidelines, in keeping with this guidance, recommend that all who have WHO tool symptoms when screened prior to ART initiation should be investigated with both Xpert and mycobacterial culture on sputum, and LF-LAM if CD4 count <100 cells/mm³.⁶²

At the time the research was commenced for this thesis, LF-LAM had recently become available, and the opportunity was taken to investigate how it performed as a TB screening test for individuals established in HIV care. Published studies reporting the diagnostic accuracy of LF-LAM as a screening test for PLHIV in outpatient settings, but not those undertaken in inpatient settings are discussed below.

2.3.2. Performance of LF-LAM as a screening test for TB in outpatient settings

Eight published studies report LF-LAM performance for screening ambulatory PLHIV for TB. These can be divided into those screening PLHIV at first HIV-positive diagnosis (3),^{106, 107, 151} prior to ART initiation (3),^{104, 105, 152} and those established in care (2).^{141, 153} Two of these studies are not discussed further, because LF-LAM performance data is not reported separately for inpatient vs. outpatients,¹⁵² or because the data report the same population of participants from a subsequent study.¹⁰⁷ The remaining six studies are summarised in **Table 2-5**, four^{104-106, 141} of which were included in the 2016 Cochrane review.

Two studies screened clinic attendees established in care, both using the current recommended cut-off to define positive LF-LAM result. Thit *et al* enrolled patients from a tertiary level hospital in Myanmar, a country where levels of HIV-TB coinfection are lower than in sub-Saharan Africa where most other LF-LAM studies have been performed.¹⁵³ Participants were followed for six months to confirm TB diagnoses, and 70% were on ART. Against a reference standard of bacteriologically-confirmed TB (Xpert or culture) they report an unusually high sensitivity of 63% and an unusually low specificity of 69%, using the current recommended cut-off for positive LF-LAM. The investigators do not report data regarding study exclusions or those who declined to take part, so selection bias is possible. All LF-LAM testing was undertaken by research doctors who were blinded to clinical details, and the investigators question whether the poor specificity arose from the particular batch of tests used, or the study doctors' reading of the test result. LaCourse *et al* screened ANC attendees, of whom around 80% were either established on ART or had commenced it as part of prevention of mother to child transmission (PMTCT). ¹⁴¹ In this

cross-sectional study, 20% of those screened declined to participate, and there were only seven culture-confirmed TB diagnoses, none of which were LF-LAM positive.

Drain *et al* screened individuals at new HIV-positive diagnosis, using LF-LAM performed by study nurses on fresh urine samples, and reported sensitivity of 30.9% and specificity of 92% for culture-confirmed TB, using the pre-2014 grade 1 cut-off to define a positive LAM result.¹⁵¹ Using the same more sensitive cut-off, two other studies which screened participants prior to ART initiation, reported sensitivities and specificities for bacteriologically confirmed TB of 25.8-28.2% and 92.9-98.6% respectively.^{104, 105} The aforementioned studies froze urine samples, for later laboratory-based testing with LF-LAM.^{104, 105}

Only one study undertook prospective follow up to confirm TB diagnoses and collected extrapulmonary samples for TB culture (if clinically indicated), however submission of at least one sputum sample was one of the study inclusion criteria, introducing bias.¹⁵⁴ Lawn *et al* screened all participants at enrolment (unless pregnant) with chest radiograph.¹⁰⁵ Underdiagnosis, in particular of extrapulmonary TB is likely in these studies, because of limited investigation for extrapulmonary TB, and this might have resulted in underestimation of LF-LAM sensitivity. Very few participants enrolled to these studies are reported (where data are available) to have been unable to provide a urine sample, suggesting ease of sample collection. However it is likely that those unable to produce urine declined to participate, so urine sample collection might not be as straightforward as suggested.

Table 2-5 Performance of LF-LAM for TB screening amongst PLHIV in outpatient settings

Author Country Year Design	Study population Procedures	TB Case definition LF-LAM cut-off	Number in analysis Median CD4 cells/mm ³	TB prevalence n/N (%)	LAM- Positive n/N (%)	Sensitivity n/N, % (95% CI)	Specificity n/N, %	Comments
Screened a	t new HIV-positive diagnosis							
Drain ¹⁵¹ 2016 SA X-sectional	Newly diagnosed HIV-pos (outpatients) ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum induced if necessary (solid & liquid culture), urine LF-LAM, CXR if indicated.	Definite TB: C+ Positive LF-LAM = Gd 1 pre-2014 card	726/757 (95.9%) produced urine 675 in analysis CD4 = 213	Definite: 123/675 (18.2%)	89/675 (13.2%)	Definite TB: 38/123, 30.9%	Definite TB: 508/552, 92.0%	Excluded from analysis if: • No culture result (51) • No urine (31) LF-LAM by study nurse Includes same study population as below ¹⁰⁶
Drain ¹⁰⁶ 2015 SA X-sectional	Newly diagnosed HIV-pos (outpatients) ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 1 sputum induced if necessary (solid & liquid culture), urine LF-LAM, CXR if indicated.	Definite TB: C+ Clinical TB: Started TB Rx within 9 m without +ve MTB microbiology Positive LF-LAM = Gd 1 pre-2014 card or Gd 2	351 enrolled 320 in analysis CD4=248 (n=288)	Definite: 54/320 (16.9%) Clinical: 14/320 (4.4%)	Either test Gd 1: 43/320 (13.4%) Gd 2: 32/320 (10.0%)	Definite TB: Gd 1 either test: 22/54, 40.7% • CD4<100: 55.6% (35-75) Gd 2 either test: 15/54, 27.8% • CD4<100: 37.0% (19-58)	Definite TB: Gd 1 either test: 242/266, 91.0% Gd 2 either test: 249/266, 93.6%	Excluded from analysis • No LAM or TB culture result (31) 2 LF-LAM tests per sample by study nurse. Similar sensitivity / specificity to 1 test per sample
Screened p	rior to ART initiation							
Balcha ¹⁰⁴ 2014 Ethiopia Prospective	HIV clinic attendees ART-eligible Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, ≥1 sputum (liquid culture, GXP), blood (FBC, CD4), 50 ml urine LNA (culture & GXP) if indicated Outcomes reviewed after 6m	Definite TB: GXP+ / C+ Clinical TB: TB Rx without +ve MTB microbiology Not TB: None of the above Positive LF-LAM = Gd 1 pre-2014 card	757/812 (93.2%) produced urine 757 in analysis: CD4 = 211	Definite: 128/757 (16.9%); 126 PTB Clinical: 20/757 (2.6%); 15 PTB	78/757 (10.3%)	Definite TB ¹ : 33/128, 25.8% • CD4<100: 52.8% (35.7– 69.3)	Definite TB ¹ : 566/609, 92.9%	Study exclusion criteria: • Unable to produce sputum Excluded from analysis if: • No urine (55) Urine frozen & tested when all enrolment completed LF-LAM by lab technician blinded to clinical details

Author Country Year Design	Study population Procedures	TB Case definition LF-LAM cut-off	Number in analysis Median CD4 cells/mm ³	TB prevalence n/N (%)	LAM- Positive n/N (%)	Sensitivity n/N, % (95% CI)	Specificity n/N, %	Comments
Lawn ¹⁰⁵ 2012 SA X-sectional	HIV clinic attendees prior to ART start Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, CXR, 2 sputa (liquid culture, GXP induced if necessary), urine for LF-LAM	Definite TB: C+ Positive LF-LAM = Gd 1 pre-2014 card	595/602 (98.8%) produced urine 516 in analysis CD4 = 170	Definite: 85/516 (16.5%)	30/516 (5.8%)	Definite TB: 24/85, 28.2% CD4<100: 51.7% (95% CI, 32·5– 70·6)	Definite TB: 425/431, 98.6%	Excluded from analysis if: • Unable to produce sputum (60) • Culture contaminated or no GXP result (19) • No urine (7) Urine frozen prior to testing in laboratory
Screening F	PLHIV established in care							
Thit ¹⁵³ 2017 Myanmar Prospective	Hospital attendees (in & outpatients) – tertiary level Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen + exam, 1 sputum induced if necessary (L-J culture, GXP), CXR, urine LF-LAM Outcomes reviewed after 6m	Definite TB: GXP+ / C+ Clinical TB: TB Rx without +ve MTB microbiology Not TB: None of the above Positive LF-LAM = Gd 1 post-2014 card	517 in analysis • 463 outpatients • 54 inpatients CD4 = 270 On ART = 360/517 (70%)	Outpatients: Definite: 46/463 (9.9%) Clinical: 103/463 (22.2%)	Outpatients: 166/463 (35.9%)	Outpatients: Definite TB ¹ : 29/46, 63.0%	Outpatients: Definite TB ¹ : 217/314, 69.1%	Exclusions / number declining not reported, possible selection bias LF-LAM by research doctor blinded to clinical details. Clinical team unaware of LF- LAM result.
LaCourse ¹⁴¹ 2016 Kenya X-sectional	ANC attendees Consecutive sample ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 2 sputa (1GXP & 1 liquid culture), Urine LAM within 8 hours of collection, TST	Definite TB: C+ Positive LF-LAM = Gd 1 post-2014 card	288 in analysis CD4 = 437 On ART = 165/288 (57.3%) PMTCT = 62/288 (20.3%)	Overall: 7/288 (2.4%) ³	13/266 (4.9%)	Definite TB: 0/7	Definite TB: 95.1%	 76/388 (19.6%) screened, declined to participate Excluded from analysis if: Unable to produce sputum (14) Contaminated sputum (4)

ANC, antenatal clinic; ART, antiretroviral therapy; C+, culture-positive MTB; CI, confidence interval; CXR, chest radiograph; GXP+, Xpert-positive; HIV-pos, HIV-positive;; PMTCT, ART for prevention of mother-to-child transmission; SA, South Africa; SM+, smear-positive; TB Rx, TB treatment

¹ Excluded clinical TB

2.3.3. Summary

In spite of its relatively low cost and ease of sample collection, LF-LAM evaluations undertaken in outpatient settings demonstrate inadequate sensitivity (even if CD4 <100 cells/mm³) to replace the WHO symptom screen for TB screening. Limitations of these studies include lack of prospective follow-up, and reliance on an imperfect reference standard of culture-positive pulmonary samples which will not identify TB in those who have solely extrapulmonary disease.

LF-LAM's high specificity has been suggested as indicating a role as a rule in test for TB. However a retrospective record review of a small number of HIV-positive inpatients with disseminated nontuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) disease identified false-positive LF-LAM result in 19/21 who had negative TB microbiology.¹⁵⁵ These patients had advanced HIV disease, with median CD4 count of 5 cells/mm³, and represented only a tiny fraction of the 1687 inpatients evaluated by the infectious disease consultation service in the tertiary level hospital in South Africa over a one-year period. However the authors highlight that caution is required to ensure that a positive LF-LAM result in a seriously unwell PLHIV, in whom TB treatment may well be clinically highly appropriate, does not preclude investigation and treatment for other likely diagnoses.

2.4. Clinical prediction models as alternative TB screening tools or as triage tools for symptomatic PLHIV

2.4.1. Introduction

In order to reduce the volume of Xpert testing undertaken, the WHO has highlighted the need for a low-cost triage test, to identify amongst symptomatic individuals, those requiring confirmatory testing for TB.⁸⁴ A suitable triage test has not yet been identified and possible candidate tests are discussed in **Chapter 9.** A clinical prediction model, used as a "second step algorithm" in symptomatic individuals could fulfil this role. Clinical prediction models (also known as clinical prediction rules, prognostic models or risk scores) combine the characteristics of an individual and/or a particular disease (*predictors*), to predict a particular outcome. Diagnostic prediction models predict the likelihood that an outcome, e.g. TB disease is present, whereas prognostic models predict the probability that a particular event might occur in the future.^{156, 157}

Clinical prediction models are increasingly abundant in the literature, with variable quality of construction as well as reporting, as highlighted by the TRIPOD statement which presents a recommended reporting framework,^{157, 158} and the CHARMS checklist for systematic reviews of prediction modelling studies.¹⁵⁹ Developing a clinical prediction model is not a straightforward process, with consensus yet to be reached on key steps such as selection of candidate predictors and model building.

In this section I will present an overview of recommended strategies for developing a clinical prediction model,^{159, 160} and subsequently using these assess published prediction models for active TB in PLHIV undertaken in outpatient settings in LMIC.

2.4.2. Recommended strategies for developing prediction models

Choice of model

Regression models are the most widely used statistical models for clinical prediction, and multivariable logistic regression the most commonly used technique for developing diagnostic prediction models. Alternative statistical models include classification and regression tree modelling (CART) and artificial neural networks, both of which require large datasets.¹⁵⁶ The CART method is based on splitting patients into pairs of groups based on cut-off levels of predictors which maximally separate (discriminate between) the

two subgroups in terms of the outcome, but within the subgroups there is minimal variability.¹⁵⁶ The predictor which causes the largest separation is placed at the top of the tree, and splitting continues until a minimum size is reached or groups become homogenous. However, although these models provide a simple graphical display which is easy to understand, they must always categorise continuous variables thereby losing information, and have limited power as they quickly run out of "cases" within branches.

In neural networks the relationship between the outcome and the input variables is determined entirely by the data, with errors from initial predictions fed back into the network, so the network learns by example.¹⁵⁶ Candidate predictors based on clinical knowledge or literature review cannot be *a priori* included in the final model developed using a neural network. This type of model is less likely to be used in a clinical setting, where the structure of the model and the predictions need to be clinically credible to a healthcare worker, who holds responsibility for the consequences of all decision making.¹⁶¹

Candidate predictors

Candidate predictors are all the variables that are considered for possible inclusion in the model, and not just those in the final selected multivariable model.¹⁶⁰ There is no consensus on the best method for selecting candidate variables, but suggested approaches include using literature review, clinical knowledge and studying the distribution of predictors in the study data.^{156, 157, 160} Dichotomising continuous predictors is discouraged, as this results in both loss of information and statistical power.¹⁶² Continuous predictors cannot be assumed to have a linear relationship with the outcome, and non-linearity should always be explored using appropriate statistical techniques.¹⁶⁰

Sample size

The sample size requirements for prediction studies are determined by the number of outcome events.^{156, 159} In order to reduce the risk of overfitting, i.e. fitting a model that describes well the features of the data studied (including any quirks of the data), but does not predict reliably in new individuals the number of outcomes in the data relative to the number of predictive variables (events-per-variable [EPV]) is a key consideration.^{156, 159} These predictive variables include not only all candidate predictors, but also the indicator variables for categorical predictors and transformations for continuous predictors. EPV of at least ten is recommended to ensure predictive accuracy.¹⁶³

Handling of missing data

The recommended strategy for handling missing data in prediction studies is to use the multiple imputation method, which replaces missing observations with values estimated from the available data. Omitting all data from participants who have missing values (complete-case analysis) risks developing a prediction model in a subset of individuals who are not representative of the original sample, ¹⁵⁹ but might be considered if less than 5% of observations are missing.¹⁶⁰

Model building

A common method of selecting predictors for inclusion in the multivariable modelling (predictor pre-selection) is based on the strength of their univariable association with the outcome. This method risks predictor selection bias, i.e. predictors with large but spurious associations with the outcome are selected, and important predictors which may become associated with the outcome after adjustment for other variables are rejected.^{159, 160}

There is no consensus on how best to select variables during multivariable modelling, but backward elimination and the full model approach are considered to reduce the risk of overfitting. In the full model approach, all candidate predictors are included in the model. In backward elimination the model starts with all candidate predictors, then a sequence of statistical tests is run to select predictors. The least significant candidate predictor at each step is sequentially eliminated according to a pre-specified criterion, e.g. Wald *p*-value >0.05 if logistic regression is used. Backward elimination risks predictor selection bias, but the full model approach is not straightforward as it may not be possible to define the full model, or practical to include all candidate predictors.

Assessing the performance of a prediction model

The performance of a clinical prediction model is commonly described using two statistical measures, discrimination and calibration. Discrimination refers to the ability of a model to differentiate individuals with from those without disease. Calibration refers to the accuracy of the model in predicting the outcome, i.e. the agreement between model-predicted outcomes and the actual observed outcomes. The concordance (C) statistic or

index is often used to quantify discrimination, and in logistic regression models corresponds to the area under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve or AUROC. An AUROC of 0.5 indicates that the model cannot discriminate between those with and without disease. AUROC values of 0.7-0.79, 0.8-0.89, and \geq 0.9, are respectively considered acceptable, excellent and outstanding discrimination.¹⁶⁴ Calibration is assessed visually using calibration plots which plot the predicted outcome probabilities against the observed outcome frequencies within quantiles of predicted risk. Calibration can also be assessed statistically using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test, and a *p*-value of <0.05 indicates lack of model fit (poor calibration), but this test has limited statistical power to detect poor calibration unless the sample size is large and the outcome frequent.¹⁶⁰

Evaluation of a prediction model

A prediction model is designed to optimally fit the data from which it was developed, so there is a potential that a model will be overfitted, and therefore the assessment of its predictive performance (C index) is likely to be "optimistic"; this is particularly so if the number of outcomes is small and the EPV is small.¹⁶⁵ Internal validation, using the data in which the model was developed, is recommended to estimate overfitting and optimism in model performance. Strategies for internal validation include the commonly used method of splitting the sample (randomly, non-randomly, or temporally), with model development in one portion (development sample), followed by assessment of predictive performance in the second portion (validation sample).

The split sample method is considered statistically inefficient as not all available data is used to develop the prediction model, and the development and validation samples tend to be similar. The preferred method for internal validation is to use a resampling procedure called the bootstrap.¹⁵⁹ Bootstrapping draws with replacement (to introduce a random element) a study sample of the same size as the original dataset from the entire dataset; thereby mimicking the process of sampling from the underlying population. Firstly, a prediction model is constructed using the entire dataset, and its performance assessed. Then, several hundred bootstrap samples are drawn, and each step of model development is repeated in every sample. Different models may be yielded in each bootstrap sample, and the performance of each of these models is evaluated in the original dataset. This enables estimation of the optimism in performance of the model developed in the original sample, and adjustment for this to the C index and the

estimated regressions coefficients in the final model.^{156, 165} This adjusted performance therefore corrects for optimism and helps to "fine-tune" the model to the data.

In order to be clinically useful a prediction model needs to accurately predict the outcome in individuals outside of the development data. External validation, the process of evaluating the performance of the model in new data, is strongly recommended for all prediction models. This enables the updating or adjustment of the model if it performs poorly in the new data, thereby improving its generalisability.¹⁶⁶

2.4.3. Prediction models for prevalent active TB amongst PLHIV

The aim of this section is to describe and assess the quality of clinical prediction models for previously undiagnosed prevalent active TB in HIV-positive adults. The review focusses on models designed to identify prevalent active TB when screening PLHIV in LMIC outpatient settings.

Search strategy

The Medline database was searched for publications in the English language up to 1st May 2019. The Cochrane Library, and abstracts of world conferences of the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease from 2013 to 2018 were also searched. In addition a systematic review of prediction models for pulmonary TB in adults published in 2017 was checked for further references.¹⁶⁷ This review identified six studies in total, only two of which reported models developed for screening PLHIV in outpatient settings.^{143, 146} and which are discussed below. The authors concluded that the level of reporting on model development and evaluation of the studies in the review was poor, and that those reported were not useful for TB screening.

Recommended search terms for diagnostic prediction studies were used in Medline, including the Ingui search filter¹⁶⁸ updated with an additional search string as recommended by Geersing *et al.*¹⁶⁹ The search strategy is detailed in **Table 2-6**.

Ingui Filter ¹⁶⁸	#1	validat* OR predict*[Title] OR rule*				
	#2	predict* AND (outcome* OR risk* OR model*)				
	#3	(history OR variable* OR criteria OR scor* OR characteristic* OR				
		finding* OR factor*) AND (predict* OR model* OR decision* OR				
		identif* OR prognos*)				
	#4	decision* AND (model* OR clinical* OR logistic models[MeSH				
		Terms])				
	#5	prognostic AND (history OR variable* OR criteria OR scor* OR				
		characteristic* OR finding* OR factor* OR model*)				
Geersing updated	#6	Stratification OR ROC Curve [MeSH Terms] OR discrimination OR				
search string ¹⁶⁹		discriminate OR c-statistic OR c statistic OR area under the				
		curve OR AUC OR calibration OR indices OR algorithm OR				
		multivariable				
Additional string	#7	clinical scor*				
for clinical score						
Tuberculosis	#8	"Tuberculosis" [Mesh Terms] OR tuberculosis OR TB				
search string						
HIV search string	#9	"HIV"[MeSH Terms] OR acquired immune deficiency				
		syndrome[MeSH Terms] OR HIV OR human immunodef* OR AIDS				
		OR acquired immune def* OR acquired immunodef*				
F	inal search	(#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7) AND (#8 AND #9)				
Filt	ers applied	Humans; English; Adult: 19+ years; Adolescent: 13-18 years				

Table 2-6 Search terms used in MEDLINE to identify clinical prediction studies

MeSH: Medical subject headings

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included if they developed or validated a clinical prediction model for prevalent active tuberculosis in an outpatient setting and included HIV-positive individuals. Those which excluded or did not report enrolment of PLHIV, were conducted in high income countries or inpatient settings, or used tests which were unlikely either to be routinely available or used for tuberculosis investigation (e.g. biomarkers undergoing evaluation or computed tomography [CT] imaging) were excluded.

Results

3809 publications were identified, and studies were excluded based on title or abstract. Four studies which developed prediction models for smear-negative TB in PLHIV,¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷³ and one which developed a model for incident TB in PLHIV were excluded,¹⁷⁴ as the focus of this thesis was screening individuals for prevalent TB as part of routine HIV care. Only

three studies were identified that fulfilled the eligibility criteria for this review, and these are described in **Table 2-7**.^{146, 175} Two of these studies developed prediction models to identify prevalent active TB in PLHIV, the majority of whom were on ART, who were screened for TB in outpatient settings.^{146, 175} The other study developed a model to triage individuals for further investigation, amongst those who had WHO tool symptoms when screened prior to ART initiation.¹⁷⁶ The final models and their performance are detailed in **Table 2-8**, and the studies themselves are discussed below.

Author Country Year Design	Aim of model Setting / population Enrolment procedures Exclusions	Туре	Participants TB case definitions	Outcome In analysis	Candidate predictor selection N	Events per variable	Model development	Validation	Comments
Balcha ¹⁷⁶ Ethiopia 2014 Cohort	To triage WHO+ve PLHIV identified by screening for TB investigation ART-eligible clinic attendees (N=812), CD4 212 Consecutive sample Not eligible: if had ART, TB Rx within 2w ALL screened at enrolment: symptom, 2 sputa (C, GXP), FBC, CD4. If indicated: LNA (C & GXP) Outcomes reviewed after 6m	D	N=625 WHO+ve • Definite: C+ / GXP+ • Clinical: TB Rx without +ve bacteriology • Not TB: Negative bacteriology & no TB Rx within 3m	Definite TB N=116 Total in analysis = 569	Preselected by univariate analysis N=15	<10	Multivariable logistic regression + backwards elimination Complete case analysis Continuous variables categorized	Not done	Enrolled only if able to produce paired sputa – not generalisable • Excluded from analysis: Missing values 56/625 (9%) • Clinical TB 21 Predictor selection bias Transformation to score: 1 point assigned to each item
Nanta ¹⁷⁵ Thailand 2011 Cohort	To predict TB in PLHIV screened for TB PLHIV attending OPD, ART & TB clinics, and IP Not eligible: if had IPT or TB Rx within 1y ALL screened at enrolment: symptom, CD4, FBC • TB investigations arranged as part of routine care Followed for 2m	D	N=257 • TB = any of: SM+, C+, compatible histology, clinical or radiological response to TB Rx.	TB N=66 Total in analysis = 257 171 (66.5%) on ART	Preselected by univariate analysis N not reported	<10	Multivariable logistic regression + backwards elimination Complete case analysis Continuous variables categorized	Not done	Sampling unclear, exclusions and missing data not reported. Likely selection bias + enrolment from TB clinics Verification bias. Reference standard relied on routine investigation Proportion IP not reported Transformation to score: Weighted by coefficient from logistic regression model

Table 2-7 Studies developing clinical prediction models for prevalent TB in the context of TB screening for PLHIV

Author Country Year Design	Aim of model Setting / population Enrolment procedures Exclusions	Туре	Participants TB case definitions	Outcome In analysis	Candidate predictor selection N	Events per variable	Model development	Validation	Comments
Nguyen ¹⁴⁶ Viet Nam 2011 X-sect	To predict C+ PTB in PLHIV screened for TB HIV clinic attendees (N=436), CD4 336 Consecutive sample Not eligible: if screened for TB within 3m, TB Rx within 1y ALL at enrolment: Symptom screen, 2 sputa (smear & culture), TST, CXR	D	N=436 • TB: C+	TB N= 28 (6 AFB+) Total in analysis = 397 230 (57.9%) on ART	Clinically important predictors & additional preselected by univariate analysis N not reported	<10	Multivariable logistic regression Complete case analysis	Not done	Excluded from analysis: • Did not complete all procedures (36) • NTM / contaminated culture (3) Symptom screen positive if present ≥2w in previous 4w Final model includes diagnostic tests (CXR, sputum smear), not feasible for screening

C, mycobacterial culture; C+, Culture positive; CXR, chest radiograph; D, development; FBC, full blood count; GXP, Xpert; HIV-P, HIV-positive; IP, inpatient; OP, outpatient; SA, South Africa; SM, smear microscopy; SM-Neg, smear-negative; TB Rx, TB treatment; TST, tuberculin skin test; WHO+ve, WHO-tool positive; X-sect, Cross-sectional

Author	Outcome predicted	Final model / score	Performance of score
Country	Population		
Year	n/N (% with outcome)		
Balcha ¹⁷⁶	C+ or GXP+ve TB	1 point each:	Amongst WHO+ve (N=569)
Ethiopia		Cough	≤1: PPV 20/255 (7.8%, 95% CI 4.9, 11.9)
2014	WHO+ve ART-eligible	 Karnofsky ≤80 	2-3: PPV 77/280 (27.5%, 95% CI 22.4, 33.1)
Cohort	individuals, screened in	 MUAC <20 cm 	≥4: PPV 19/34 (55.9%, 95% CI 37.9, 72.8)
	primary care	 Lymphadenopathy 	
		• HB <10 a/dL	Amongst N=791: WHO tool followed by
	137/791 (17.3%)	3.4	investigation if score ≥4 AUC 0.75 vs. 0.70
			using WHO tool alone
Nguyen ¹⁴⁶	C+ TB	Any of:	Amongst WHO+ve (N=147)
Viet Nam		• CD4 <200	 Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 59%
2011	HIV clinic attendees,	 Sputum AFB+ve 	 PPV 20%, NPV 100%
X-sect	screened for TB	CXR compatible with TB	
		•	AUC 0.83 (N=397)
	28/397 (7.1%)		
Nanta	TB (confirmed or clinical)	Points assigned	≤2: PPV 1/126 (0.8%, 95% CI 0.02, 4.3)
Thailand		• BMI <19 = 2	3-7: PPV 21/57 (36.8%, 95% CI 24.4, 50.7)
2011	PLHIV attending hospital	• Cough > 2w = 3	>7: PPV 16/22 (72.7%, 95% CI 49.8, 89.2)
Cohort	OP, ART or TB clinics, or	 Shaking chills ≥1w = 3 	
	inpatients; who were	• On ART = 3	AUC 0.92 (N=257)
	screened for TB	• CD4 <200 = 2	
		• Previous TB = 3	
	66/257 (25.7%)		

Table 2-8 Performance of clinical prediction models for prevalent TB in the context of TBscreening for PLHIV

AUC, area under ROC curve; C+, Culture positive; CXR, chest radiograph; GXP, Xpert; HB, haemoglobin; HIV-P, HIV-positive; IP, inpatient; OP, outpatient; WHO+ve, WHO-tool positive; X-sect, Cross-sectional

Prediction models for prevalent active TB in PLHIV undergoing TB screening

Nanta *et al* enrolled PLHIV from two hospitals in Thailand who were attending outpatient clinics or had been admitted, between 2009 and 2010.¹⁷⁵ All participants underwent symptom screen and had blood collected for CD4 and full blood counts, but investigation for TB was only undertaken as part of routine care; all were followed for two months. A very high proportion, 66/257 (26%) of those enrolled, fulfilled study case definitions for TB (bacteriologically confirmed or clinical), and over half were on ART. The final prediction model for TB, developed using multivariable logistic regression, comprised six items (BMI, cough, shaking chills, ART status, CD4 count, previous TB) which are easily obtainable at primary care level in LMIC. After transformation to a clinical score, the authors report AUC of 92%. ROC AUC is not the best measure for assessing utility of screening tests, as it assigns equal importance to sensitivity and specificity; whereas better screening tests

need to increase sensitivity at high specificity in order to reduce the number of falsepositives requiring further evaluation.¹⁷⁷ The aforementioned study has many limitations, in particular the sampling strategy is unclear, participants were enrolled from TB clinics, the proportion enrolled from inpatient departments and study exclusions were not reported, and no information was provided regarding handling of missing data. The reference standard was poor, relying solely on investigation undertaken as part of routine care, although the authors did follow participants for two months in order not to miss TB diagnoses. The proportion diagnosed with TB was very high due to selection bias as participants were enrolled from TB clinics and inpatient departments, but the number of candidate predictors was too large (EPV < 10) and the model is likely to have been overfitted. The study is likely to be extremely biased in terms of participant selection and assignment of reference standard, the findings may not be generalisable to individuals attending clinics for routine HIV care, and validation was not undertaken. However, the final model is simple and the predictors are clinically logical, although "shaking chills" may not translate well in all settings.

Nguyen et al developed a clinical prediction model for use as a TB screening tool in PLHIV attending for routine HIV care in Viet Nam.¹⁴⁶ The investigators enrolled consecutive HIV clinic attendees and at enrolment, all underwent symptom screen, TST, chest radiography and had two sputa collected for mycobacterial culture. Over half of participants were on ART and 28/397 (7%) fulfilled their reference standard of culture-confirmed TB. However, around 10% of those enrolled were excluded from model development because of missing data. This will have introduced bias, if for example those who did not return for TST reading were unable to do so because they were too unwell because they had TB, and alternative statistical methods for dealing with missing data should have been considered. The final selected model comprised three items (CD4 count, sputum microscopy result and chest radiograph compatible with TB), hence including indicator variables the EPV was less than 10. Two of the three variables in Nguyen's final model were the results of diagnostic tests, sputum microscopy (which would have contributed to the reference standard) and chest radiograph; so the model's reported sensitivity of 100% amongst individuals with WHO tool symptoms is unsurprising. A model that requires chest radiograph or sputum investigation is not suitable for use as a screening tool for PLHIV at every clinical encounter for TB. Individuals who had been screened for TB in the preceding three months were excluded from this study, limiting applicability to routine HIV care settings at the time the study was conducted, when PLHIV are likely to have attended on a monthly basis for ART pick up. No form of validation was undertaken by the authors.
Triage tool for prevalent active TB for use after WHO symptom screen

Balcha *et al* performed a secondary analysis of data collected for a study undertaken in Ethiopa, which compared the diagnostic yield of Xpert on sputum with microscopy and culture, amongst adults screened for TB prior to ART initiation.¹⁷⁶ The authors developed a prediction model for triaging those who reported WHO tool symptoms for TB investigation. 116/569 (20%) of those who reported WHO tool symptoms fulfilled their case definition for bacteriologically-confirmed TB. The authors selected candidate predictors using univariate analysis and excluded 9% of those eligible for the analysis because of missing data. EPV was less than ten, suggesting an overfitted model, and validation was not undertaken. A major limitation of this analysis, is that the original study appears to have excluded individuals who could not produce a paired sputa sample, introducing bias. This also limits generalisability of the study to routine clinic settings, where not everyone is able to produce even one sputum sample.

The authors' final model comprised five predictors (cough, Karnofsky score, mid-upper arm circumference [MUAC], peripheral lymphadenopathy and haemoglobin), but did not perform much better than using the WHO tool alone when assessed using the receiveroperating characteristic curve (AUROC). In busy routine clinic settings, where HIV care is often delivered by nursing staff, and adherence to TB screening algorithms is poor,⁴³ it is unlikely that a second step triage tool which incorporates Karnofsky score, recent haemoglobin level and examination for peripheral lymphadenopathy will be utilised. ROC AUC is not the best measure for assessing utility of screening tests as it is an average across all possible cut-offs for a test, including those that might not be clinically relevant; and is not an intuitive concept to understand. Furthermore it assigns equal weighting to sensitivity and specificity, but a better screening test is one that increases sensitivity at high specificity, so that the number of false-positives requiring further evaluation is reduced.¹⁷⁷

Other screening tools developed using statistical modelling

An additional two studies, which are relevant to TB screening in PLHIV, but do not strictly fulfil the eligibility criteria for this review are discussed below.^{72, 143} These comprise Cain *et al*'s algorithm,⁷² which was included in the original WHO meta-analysis (**Table 2-1**), because it uses CART modelling to guide investigation; and Rangaka *et al* who evaluated

whether additional predictors might improve the discriminatory ability of the WHO tool.¹⁴³ The latter study has already been described in detail as it was included in the systematic review to determine the accuracy of the WHO tool to rule out TB in individuals on ART (Table 2-3).

Cain *et al* developed a TB screening algorithm for PLHIV prior to ART initiation which has been implemented and evaluated in a number of HIV clinics in Cambodia, Viet Nam and Thailand.⁷² The improving diagnosis of TB among people living with HIV (ID-TB/HIV) algorithm, comprises cough of any duration, fever of any duration, or night sweats lasting \geq 3 weeks in the preceding 4 weeks. The presence of any of the aforementioned symptoms triggers investigation for TB. Derivation of this tool has already been discussed, and it has higher sensitivity (93%) but lower specificity (36%) than the WHO tool. The investigators used CART analysis to develop a simple prediction model to guide further investigation of those who had a positive symptom screen, and in particular to prioritise mycobacterial culture. The diagnostic algorithm provides an alternative to the smear-negative pathway and started with collection of two sputum samples for microscopy, followed by chest radiography if both sputa were negative. If the radiograph was abnormal then empiric TB treatment could be commenced whilst awaiting confirmatory mycobacterial culture result, as 33% in this group had confirmed TB. If the radiograph was normal, but TB was still suspected, then the risk of TB was further stratified by CD4 cell count. If CD4 count was <350 cells/mm³ empirical TB treatment (as 10% had TB in this group) with confirmatory mycobacterial culture could be considered. In those with CD4 count \geq 350 cells/mm³, as only 5% in this group had culture-confirmed TB, then a strategy of monitoring instead of mycobacterial culture could be considered. The symptom screen has been evaluated in Kenya in individuals aged > 7 years who were newly diagnosed HIV-positive and performs similarly in terms of sensitivity and specificity to the WHO tool.¹⁷⁸ The yield from the entire screening and diagnostic algorithm has been investigated in clinics in Thailand, Viet Nam and Cambodia, amongst ART-naive adults attending for routine HIV care; but culture was not undertaken systematically for all participants, limiting ability to compare sensitivity and specificity of this algorithm with the WHO tool.¹⁷⁹

Rangaka *et al* undertook a secondary analysis of data collected for an IPT trial, and limitations of this analysis, in particular selection bias, have already been discussed **(Table 2-3)**. The authors investigated whether additional predictors might improve on the performance of the WHO tool amongst individuals on ART. Six predetermined predictors (all categorised) were added in multivariable logistic regression to a model which comprised only the WHO tool. The authors undertook backwards elimination, starting with

the full model, retaining variables deemed statistically significant, and compared the final model with the WHO tool using AUC. In multivariable analysis, BMI, CD4 count, and ART duration of less than three months were independent predictors of TB amongst those on ART. Addition of these variables to the WHO tool improved the discrimination of the tool, as measured by the AUC, from 59% (95% CI, 53%-66%) to an acceptable 70% (95% CI, 60%-79%) amongst those on ART. ROC AUC is not the best measure for assessing utility of screening tests, as already discussed above. The authors' findings are however pertinent when choosing predictors for prevalent TB in clinical prediction model development, and this is relevant to Research Paper 2.

2.4.4. Summary

There is a paucity of published clinical prediction models developed for use either as a screening tool for TB in PLHIV attending for routine care, or as a second step triage tool to prioritise investigation for those who report symptoms when screened using the WHO tool. Published models suffer from methodological flaws, in particular inadequate sample size, selection bias, failure to deal adequately with large volumes of missing data, and lack of validation.

2.5. Investigation pathways for PLHIV following a negative Xpert result

2.5.1. Introduction

In 2012, at the time the research for this thesis commenced, the algorithm devised for investigating PLHIV with a negative Xpert result¹²⁴ (Figure 1-1) was identical to the 2007 WHO algorithm for diagnosing smear-negative TB in ambulatory adults which commenced with sputum microscopy for all with chronic cough.¹⁸⁰ The aim of the 2007 algorithm was to expedite treatment of smear-negative TB in PLHIV, within a maximum of four visits, and thereby reduce the high mortality previously arising from protracted evaluations for TB in this population. Additionally, the use of mycobacterial culture and chest radiograph early in the pathway aimed to improve the accuracy of diagnosis. The case definition for smear-negative pulmonary TB was revised for HIV-prevalent settings, requiring either one positive mycobacterial culture and compatible symptoms; or two sputa negative on TB microscopy, with compatible chest radiograph and a decision to commence TB treatment. If the initial sputum microscopy was negative, then at the second visit which was envisaged to occur the following day, all of chest radiography, a second sputum sample (for microscopy and mycobacterial culture), and a clinical assessment regarding empiric TB treatment were required. The third visit was for review of the chest radiograph and second microscopy result; and if TB was deemed unlikely then to provide antibiotics to treat, as appropriate, either bacterial or *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia. The purpose of the fourth visit was to assess the response to the antibiotic trial and to re-evaluate for TB those patients whose symptoms had not resolved. The antibiotic trial was not intended as a diagnostic aid, but rather to treat any commonly co-existing bacterial infection in PLHIV, with advice to reattend if symptoms that responded to treatment recurred.

The Xpert-negative algorithm for PLHIV, compared with the smear-negative pathway, reduced the number of attendances required for evaluation from four to three, by providing the antibiotic trial at the second visit (**Figure 1-1**). This pathway is still onerous, and still requires good access to chest radiography and a lengthy wait for the result of mycobacterial culture. Innovative ways of getting around this include upfront collection of two sputum samples from all who are symptomatic, with testing of the second sample determined by the result of the initial Xpert (e.g. mycobacterial culture if the initial Xpert result is negative), as is policy in Cape Town, South Africa.^{181, 182} If resources permit, then access to on-site chest radiography or laboratory would be the ideal solution, but this is rarely feasible outside of a clinical research setting in most LMICs.^{101, 181}

Schnippel et al modeled the costs and impact, for symptomatic PLHIV investigated for TB who had a negative initial Xpert, of replacing the entire pathway with a second Xpert test.¹²⁶ The authors concluded that this strategy could save an estimated US\$17.4 million per year at national programme level in South Africa. The assumptions made in their model included sensitivity estimates for Xpert based on Boehme et al's implementation study,¹⁸³ i.e. 100% and 79% for smear-positive and smear-negative culture-confirmed TB, respectively; 1% of symptomatic individuals started empiric TB treatment based on antibiotic trial and/or chest radiograph;¹²⁵ loss to follow-up of 13% and 26% following the first and second visits respectively; and it did not consider extrapulmonary TB. It is unclear if the model assumed that everyone with a negative Xpert result was able to produce a further sputum sample, but this would have equally impacted either pathway, as both require a second sputum sample. Repeating the Xpert test is very attractive compared to the Xpert-negative algorithm, as it is far simpler and could markedly reduce diagnostic delay. However, in the aforementioned model all further evaluation stopped if the repeat Xpert result was negative, risking missing TB diagnoses, which the authors estimated at 2% fewer diagnoses made compared with the culture-based pathway. Interestingly, 2016 WHO ART guidelines do recommend a repeat Xpert test on a fresh sample, but this is in addition to chest radiograph and clinical assessment, and if feasible submission of sputum for mycobacterial culture.¹²⁷

The rationale for a repeat Xpert in the 2016 WHO ART guidelines appears likely to be the evidence of an improved diagnostic yield from repeating Xpert, which is described in diagnostic accuracy or TB screening studies that have tested multiple sputum samples collected at study enrolment using Xpert. These studies are discussed below, together with factors likely to improve yield. At the time the research for this thesis was undertaken, the strategy of repeating the Xpert test had not been empirically evaluated in the context of investigating PLHIV established in HIV care, who had been identified as needing confirmatory testing by TB screening. It is likely that the sensitivity of any diagnostic pathway will be lower in PLHIV identified through TB screening, a scenario where the prevalence of TB will be lower and individuals will be less symptomatic, compared with patients attending because of TB symptoms, or those preparing for ART who are at greater risk of TB and likely to have higher sputum bacillary load.

Page | 76

2.5.2. Studies performing Xpert on multiple samples obtained at enrolment

Data regarding the yield from a second sputum sample tested with Xpert are derived from two main sources, firstly the original multicenter evaluation of Xpert amongst HIV-positive and -negative individuals attending for care because they were unwell, and secondly studies screening PLHIV for TB, which investigated all using Xpert irrespective of the presence of symptoms. In general, in both scenarios, multiple sputum samples were collected either at a single visit or for the initial diagnostic process, which does not reflect how the Xpert-negative pathway is followed in real life. Studies were generally crosssectional in design, with no prospective follow-up.

Boehme *et al* undertook a large, multi-country evaluation in individuals with symptoms suggestive of TB, collecting three sputum samples (two spot and one morning sample) which appear to have been spontaneously produced.¹⁸⁴ Two samples were first decontaminated, followed by centrifugation, then sputum deposits underwent microscopy after resuspension in phosphate buffer; following this, each sample underwent both Xpert test (after further processing) and mycobacterial culture (on both liquid and solid media, therefore four cultures in total). The third sample underwent direct microscopy and Xpert testing. Overall, 50.6% (741/1462) of participants had culture-confirmed TB, and 40% of participants were HIV-positive. The sensitivity of testing one (untreated sample) vs. two vs. all three samples using Xpert was 92.2% (675/732) vs. 96.0% (1423/1482) vs. 97.6% (732/741) for all culture-confirmed TB; and 72.5% (124/171) vs. 85.1% (296/348) vs. 90.2% (157/174) for smear-negative, culture-positive TB. The denominator for testing on two samples included two observations per participant, the first observation combined the first and third samples, and the second observation combined the second and third samples. The sensitivity data for repeat testing was not reported stratified by HIV status. However, the investigators did report that amongst HIV-positive participants, there was no difference between the sensitivity of Xpert on decontaminated vs. untreated sputum, which is of relevance for research studies which store decontaminated pellets for later testing with Xpert.

6% of those fulfilling study eligibility criteria were not enrolled to the aforementioned study, mainly because they could not produce three sputum samples, so the authors are likely to have overestimated the sensitivity of Xpert.¹⁸⁴ Almost half of all participants had previously been treated for TB. False-positive Xpert results can arise from detection of dead bacilli, thus potentially reducing test specificity, but specificity was high in this evaluation (99.2% vs. 98.6% vs. 98.1% for one vs. two vs. three samples).^{185, 186} The study

was undertaken only in reference facilities, limiting generalizability to other settings, and in routine care settings patients are unlikely to be able to produce this many sputum samples.

Lawn *et al* investigated the diagnostic accuracy of Xpert in a different context in South Africa, namely for screening PLHIV for TB prior to ART initiation.⁵⁶ Median CD4 count in participants was 171 cells/mm³. Two sputum samples were collected from all participants; the first was a spot sample (induced if necessary), and the second was induced for all participants. The findings from studies which induce sputum samples are not generalizable to routine HIV care settings. Both sputum samples were decontaminated and processed as detailed above,¹⁸⁴ prior to undergoing microscopy, testing with Xpert, and mycobacterial culture using liquid media. About 15% of those enrolled did not contribute to the analysis as they could not produce two sputum samples, and about one-quarter of those enrolled had previously been treated for TB. This exclusion potentially results in an overestimation of the sensitivity of Xpert and underestimation of its specificity. In this study the prevalence of culture-confirmed TB was 17.3% (81/468; 5.3% smear-positive, and 12% smear-negative), and the reported sensitivities of Xpert for one vs. two samples were 58.3% (42/72) vs. 72.2% (52/72) for all culture-positive TB, and 43.4% (23/53) vs. 62.3% (33/53) for smear-negative, culture-positive TB.

Cavanaugh et al, screened consecutive individuals at enrolment to HIV care in 24 Kenyan facilities, specifically excluding those who had received TB treatment within the preceding one year to reduce the risk of false-positive Xpert results.¹⁸⁷ Three sputum samples (one morning, and two spot specimens) were requested, lymph node aspiration (LNA) undertaken if appropriate, and stool samples were collected at three facilities. Xpert was undertaken on one spot sputum (unprocessed), and on the morning sample (processed as detailed above to enable mycobacterial culture)¹⁸⁴ only if the sample was of sufficient volume. Mycobacterial culture using liquid media was undertaken on two sputum samples (one morning and one spot), LNA, and stool. Median CD4 count in participants was 343 cells/mm³, and the case definition for TB of positive mycobacteriology on culture or Xpert was fulfilled by 11.3% (88/778) of participants, of whom 8% (7/88) were diagnosed by Xpert alone. Amongst 74 TB diagnoses made in participants with two sputa tested with Xpert, 57% (42/74) vs. 66% (49/74) respectively were identified by the spot vs. morning samples. The morning sample identified 20% (14) TB diagnoses undetected by the spot sample, suggesting that a morning sample might improve yield compared with a spot specimen; and therefore, sensitivity of Xpert for one vs. two samples of 42/74 (57%) vs. 56/74 (76%). Amongst 69 participants with TB diagnosed and CD4 cell count results, two

Page | 78

Xpert tests were reported to identify more TB diagnoses in those with CD4 counts <100 vs. \geq 100 cells/mm³ (22/24 [92%] vs. 30/45 [67%]). However, the numbers in this analysis were small, and not all participants had a repeat Xpert test on the morning sample, potentially introducing bias. Limitations of this study include a case definition which included Xpert results and limits comparability with the aforementioned studies. The yield of a repeat Xpert could not be accurately estimated from this study because not all participants submitted the morning sputum sample on which the repeat Xpert was performed.

2.5.3. Studies undertaking repeat Xpert following an initial negative result

There is only one published study reporting the yield of repeat Xpert testing following an initial negative test result.¹⁸⁸ This was undertaken in the context of screening PLHIV for TB prior to ART initiation in Mozambique. All participants underwent TB screening using the WHO tool, urine LF-LAM and Xpert on sputum. If the initial Xpert was negative then a second sample was collected after two to three days for a repeat Xpert test; this was undertaken irrespective of the presence of WHO tool symptoms. TB was diagnosed based on either a positive Xpert or LF-LAM result. Amongst 972 participants included in the analysis, representing 96% of those eligible, median CD4 count was 278 cells/mm³; and 10.1% (98/972) were diagnosed with TB (positive Xpert, 90; positive LF-LAM, 34). The first Xpert was positive in 74/972 (7.6%) of participants. Repeat Xpert was undertaken in all 898 participants with a negative initial Xpert, and identified an additional 16 TB diagnoses. The sensitivity of Xpert testing of one vs. two samples was therefore 74/98 (76%) vs. 90/98 (92%). Limitations of this study include the inclusion of the Xpert result itself (and LF-LAM) in the reference standard and the absence of mycobacterial culture.

2.5.4. Factors improving the yield of Xpert from sputum

Acuna-Villaorduna *et al* undertook a secondary analysis of data collected for a study evaluating a new TB microscopy method.¹⁸⁶ The authors enrolled adults attending outpatient clinics in Uganda who had symptoms of TB, defined as $cough \ge 2$ weeks plus one other of the WHO tool symptoms, and reported convenience sampling to include more HIVpositive participants. Three sputa were collected (two spot and one morning), of which all underwent microscopy and TB culture on liquid media, and one spot sample was tested with Xpert. Amongst 860 participants in the analysis, 205 (24%) fulfilled the TB case

definition of positive mycobacterial culture on any sample; and 69% were HIV-positive. The authors reported lower Xpert sensitivity and greater specificity in mucosalivary vs. mucopurulent samples (sensitivity 82.5% [52/63]) vs. 95.8% [136/142]; specificity 95.6% [282/295] vs. 97.5% [350/359]).¹⁸⁶ Multivariate analysis was undertaken to investigate factors associated with discordant Xpert and culture results (both Xpert and culture positive [n=188] vs. Xpert-positive, culture-negative [n=22]). The final model was adjusted for age, sex, weight loss, fever, previous TB treatment, HIV infection, and sputum quality (salivary vs. purulent). Salivary sputum (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 95% CI 4.1, 1.1-14.6), previous TB treatment (aOR 8.3, 2.1-32.0), and fever (aOR 0.23, 0.1-0.7) were independently associated with discordant results. The confidence intervals are wide, reflecting the small number with discordant results, and approach 1 for sputum quality. Limitations of this study include potential bias due to convenience sampling, and the strict definition of TB symptoms which is in contrast to the usual criteria of the presence of any WHO tool symptom in PLHIV and thus limits generalisability. The authors did not undertake any prospective follow up to confirm TB diagnoses in those with discordant results so may have missed TB diagnoses. In addition, the number of discordant results reported by the authors was small, reflected in the broad confidence intervals which almost approach unity for sputum quality. The findings suggest that Xpert might perform less well in salivary samples, but the authors also postulate that the discordant results might reflect worse yield of TB culture compared with Xpert from salivary samples.

Griesel *et al* report from their study which developed a clinical prediction model for TB in seriously ill HIV-positive inpatients in South Africa, a significantly greater yield of Xpert with sputum induction compared with spontaneous sputum samples (51.9% [162/312] vs. 41.7% [68/163]).¹⁸⁹ This may not be generalisable to PLHIV in outpatient settings attending for routine care.

2.5.5. Summary

An increased yield of TB diagnoses from performing Xpert on multiple samples has been reported from studies where multiple samples have been taken at study enrolment for screening prior to ART initiation,⁵⁶ or investigation of HIV-positive and HIV-negative symptomatic individuals.¹⁸⁴ Increased yield was also reported from one further study screening PLHIV prior to ART initiation, in which Xpert was repeated on a further sputum sample collected after a few days if the initial sample was negative, irrespective of whether symptoms were reported. ¹⁸⁸ Induction of sputum rather than spontaneous

expectoration, morning rather than spot specimens, and possibly also mucopurulent rather than salivary samples may improve the yield from Xpert testing. However, prior to the research undertaken in this thesis, the strategy of repeating Xpert testing on a fresh sputum sample had not been undertaken in the context of the Xpert-negative pathway after screening individuals established in HIV care for TB.

2.6. Causes of symptoms suggestive of TB amongst PLHIV

The aim of this section is to describe the findings from published studies which report the aetiology of symptoms suggestive of TB among PLHIV in LMIC settings, focussing on those conducted in outpatient settings, and in particular on chronic cough.

The Medline database was searched for publications in the English language up to 1st May 2019 using the search strategy detailed in **Table 2-9**.

Table 2-9 Search terms used in MEDLINE to identify studies reporting causes of symptoms suggestive of TB

#1	Cough OR fever OR sweats OR weight loss OR cachexia
#2	chronic airflow obstruction OR post-TB OR tuberculosis-associated OR post-
	tuberculous OR post tuberculous
#3	Lung Diseases, Obstructive[MeSH Major Topic]) OR Airway Obstruction [MeSH Major
	Topic]) OR Airways Obstruction OR Obstructive Airway Disease OR Obstructive
	Airways Disease OR Pulmonary Emphysema OR Emphysema OR asthma
#4	"Africa South of the Sahara" [Mesh Terms]
#5	"HIV"[MeSH Terms] OR acquired immune deficiency syndrome[MeSH Terms] OR HIV
	OR human immunodef* OR AIDS OR acquired immune def* OR acquired immunodef*
Final	(#1 OR #2 OR #3) AND (#4 AND #5)
search	
Filters	Humans; English; Adult: 19+ years; Adolescent: 13-18 years
applied	

MeSH: Medical subject headings

957 publications were identified, and studies were excluded based on title or abstract. Seven relevant studies evaluating mainly ambulatory PLHIV with persistent cough or reporting diagnoses amongst individuals attending for routine HIV care are summarized in Table 2.10.

Four studies focussed on extensively investigating patients with chronic symptoms who were sputum smear negative or febrile, aiming to identify serious infectious causes for symptoms.¹⁹⁰⁻¹⁹³ Amongst smear-negative patients with chronic cough, tuberculosis, bacterial pneumonia, lower respiratory tract infections, *Pneumocystis* pneumonia and pulmonary Kaposi's sarcoma were the most frequent diagnoses, with most participants having multiple aetiologies.^{190, 192-194} Hargreaves *et al*, who performed bronchoscopy on patients with chronic cough reported that over half of TB cases were diagnosed on repeat sputum microscopy taken prior to bronchoscopy, although the proportion of these who were HIV-infected is not reported.¹⁹² In this study no causative organism was identified in

7% of participants who made a full recovery and they were categorized as having a non-TB chest infection. Okwera *et al* also identified no causative organism in induced sputum samples from more than half of their study participants, who were smear-negative PLHIV with a previous history of tuberculosis undergoing investigation for chronic cough.¹⁹³ Serious blood stream infections, in particular, non-typhoidal Salmonellae and cryptococcosis were also identified as responsible for chronic symptoms suggestive of tuberculosis and acute fever amongst PLHIV.¹⁹⁰ One further study in which ART clinic attendees underwent limited (and not systematic) evaluation as part of routine care, with no access to mycobacterial culture, also reported tuberculosis as the most common diagnosis.¹⁹¹ Limitations of these studies include the focus on investigating only for an infectious cause, selection bias,¹⁹⁰ and findings from studies which included only smearnegative individuals may not be generalisable to a population attending for routine HIV care.

Two studies investigated populations including PLHIV for non-communicable diseases (NCD) as causes of chronic cough.^{194, 195} Munyati *et al* evaluated primary care attendees in Zimbabwe with chronic cough and unsuprisingly amongst 454 newly-diagnosed HIV-positive patients, the majority of diagnoses were infectious (TB 46%, lower respiratory tract infection 31%).¹⁹⁴ Munyati also identified a high proportion of NCD diagnoses, in particular post-tuberculous disease, asthma and heart failure.¹⁹⁴ Calligaro found that one-third of a cohort of patients on ART in South Africa, who had no features of acute respiratory disease, reported respiratory symptoms and that this was associated with current smoking. ¹⁹⁵ In this cohort one-third of patients reported a smoking history and 7% had chronic airflow obstruction on lung function testing. If these findings are generalisable it is likely that smoking may contribute to respiratory symptoms amongst PLHIV,¹⁹⁵ although smoking itself is a recognised risk factor for TB disease.¹⁹⁶ One further study in which electronic and paper records of a consecutive sample of adults on ART were reviewed for NCD diagnoses, reported that 4% of study participants had a diagnosis of asthma and 2% of heart failure.¹⁹⁷ Both diagnoses can cause chronic cough, but a limitation of this study is reliance on documentation of diagnoses, rather than confirming the criteria used to assign diagnoses.

Post-tuberculous lung disease is increasingly recognised amongst PLHIV in LMIC settings. Allwood *et al* in a systematic review, largely comprising an HIV-negative population, reported an association between a past history of tuberculosis and the presence of spirometrically-confirmed chronic airflow obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV1] / forced vital capacity [FVC] <0.70 or less than lower limit of normal [LLN]),

which was independent of cigarette smoking.¹⁹⁸ A systematic review of studies undertaken in South Africa, in community and occupational health settings, found an increased prevalence of respiratory symptoms amongst individuals who had previously been treated for TB.¹⁹⁹ In a prospective cohort of PLHIV in South Africa who underwent annual spirometry over a period of three years, at study enrolment prevalent spirometricallyconfirmed obstructive lung disease (FEV1/FVC<0.70) was found to be associated with older age, current smoking, and higher C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. 25% of this cohort were on ART at enrolment. Amongst individuals with a previous history of tuberculosis, the authors reported a greater decline in lung function (FEV1 and FVC reductions of 35 ml/year and 57 ml/year respectively) compared to those with no previous tuberculosis. In multivariable analysis (adjusted for time-updated CD4 cell counts, viral load, ART use at enrolment) the authors reported that only ever having smoked and previous tuberculosis were independently associated with excess loss in FEV1.²⁰⁰ Smoking and a previous history of TB are common among PLHIV, so it is likely that both contribute to the aetiology of chronic or recurrent cough in this population. However, it is also possible that asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are underdiagnosed in busy primary health care settings in LMIC.

2.6.1. Summary

Published studies focus mainly on identifying infectious aetiology for symptoms suggestive of tuberculosis, although a few report non-communicable diseases such as asthma and cardiac causes for respiratory symptoms. Some studies report multiple aetiologies in patients for these symptoms. In all studies where infectious aetiologies are sought, the most frequent diagnosis, where a cause is found, is active tuberculosis. Post-tuberculous lung disease is increasingly recognised in PLHIV in LMIC settings. Large-scale epidemiological studies are needed to describe this phenomenon better, and to provide better evidence to guide criteria to distinguish this from active TB and guide optimal management.

Page | 84

Author	Study population	Inclusion criteria	Study procedures	Key findings & comments
Country	Median CD4 count cells/mm ³			
Design	(N)			
Magoro 2016 ¹⁹⁷ Zimbabwe Cross-section	Adults attending HIV clinic All on ART Median CD4 191 (N=1033) Consecutive sample	Adults on ART Excluded if not already registered at clinic	Systematic review of all paper and electronic records for NCD diagnoses after patient had attended clinic	 NCD identified from record review: Hypertension 106 (10%) Asthma 45 (4%) Type 2 diabetes mellitus 22 (2%) Cancer 19 (1.8%) Congestive cardiac failure 16 (2%) Stroke 10 (1%) Other 39 (4%) Retrospective record review with no validation of diagnoses
Okwere 2013 ¹⁹³ Uganda Cross-sectional	Smear negative HIV-positive adults undergoing evaluation for recurrent PTB at TB clinic 47% on ART Median CD4 261 (N=178) Consecutive sample	Sputum smear negative & previous history of TB & cough > 2w Excluded if other severe illness (cardiac disease or asthma)	Sputum (induced and spot) for TB culture + bacterial pathogens + <i>Pneumocystis</i> <i>jirovecii</i> PCR FBC, CD4	 Pathogens identified in sputa: 95 (53%) no bacteria 33 (19%) bacteriologically confirmed TB 48 (27%) other bacteria (most commonly S. pneumoniae [10), M. catarrhalis [8]), H. influenzae [8]) 12 (6.7%) Pneumocystis jirovecii Not generalisable to routine HIV care settings Authors looked only for infectious causes
Damtie 2013 ¹⁹¹ Ethiopia Cross-sectional	Adults attending ART clinic 78% on ART 52% had CD4 >350 (median not reported) (N=360) Random sample	Adults on ART Exclusion criteria not reported	Routine clinical investigation by clinician in accordance with clinic protocol, mainly clinical diagnoses If cough>2w: sputum smear, + CXR if smear-negative FNA if indicated for TB microscopy Diarrhoea: stool microscopy	 Diagnoses: 35 (10%) TB of which 30 PTB (22 smear-negative), 5 EPTB 18 (5%) Oral candidiasis 12 (3%) Diarrheal disease (strongyloides [2], Schistosoma [1]) 6 (2%) Pneumonia 5 (1%) Skin fungal infection 12 (3%) Other No systematic investigation of participants. Diagnoses were made during routine clinical care

Table 2-10 Diagnoses amongst PLHIV with symptoms suggestive of TB in LMIC or Sub-Saharan Africa

Author Country	Study population Median CD4 count cells/mm ³	Inclusion criteria	Study procedures	Key findings & comments
Design	(N)			
Bedell 2012 ¹⁹⁰ Malawi Prospective cohort	Ambulatory adults prior to ART initiation Median CD4 129 (N=469) Potential participants referred by clinicians undertaking routine clinical care	3 negative sputum smears & unexplained weight loss and/or chronic fever / diarrhoea / unable to cough Excluded if TB treatment in past month or pregnant	Blood culture (MTB + other pathogens) CrAg Sputum (induced): TB culture FBC, CD4 CXR	Diagnoses: 52 (11%) bacteriologically confirmed TB 50 (11%) positive (non-TB) blood culture +/or CrAg-pos. Non typhoidal Salmonellae most common blood culture pathogens (6% of participants and 52% bloodstream isolates). Selection bias likely as referred to study by clinicians
Calligaro 2011 ¹⁹⁵ South Africa Cross-sectional	Cohort of patients on ART Median CD4 380 On ART median 2.1 years (N=152)	No features of acute respiratory disease Stable on ART for at least 3 months	Respiratory questionnaire Pulmonary function tests pre- and post- bronchodilator	32.9% - history of smoking 31% - respiratory symptoms Any respiratory symptom (cough, phlegm, wheeze or dyspnoea) associated with current smoking (OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.05-6.2) CAO in 7% & associated with ever smoking (OR 6.4, 95% CI 1.6-25.9)
Munyati 2005 ¹⁹⁴ Zimbabwe Prospective cohort	Ambulatory adults with chronic cough CD4 not reported (N=544) Systematically sampled	Cough ≥ 3 weeks Excluded if danger signs requiring admission / on TB treatment	HIV test Evaluation using standardised set of investigations including CXR, sputum (TB culture + bacterial pathogens)	 454/544 (83%) HIV-pos, (13% >1 diagnosis) >90% reported fever, NS and UWL 46% (207) TB diagnosed microbiologically-confirmed (n=162) smear and culture negative TB (n=45) 17% bacterial pneumonia 31% LRTI 7% fibrotic lung disease 3% heart failure 3% asthma 2% Pneumocystis pneumonia 1% Cryptococcosis
Hargreaves 2001 ¹⁹² Malawi Prospective cohort	Ambulatory patients about to start treatment for smear- negative TB N=352 Consecutive sample	Cough ≥ 3 weeks Fulfilled national TB control programme criteria for diagnosis of smear-negative TB	HIV test Clinical assessment Sputum + blood for TB culture Bronchoscopy and BAL examined for TB, <i>Pneumocystis</i> <i>jirovecii</i> and other fungi.	 278/313 (89%) of those tested HIV-pos (81% met the WHO case definition for AIDS) Diagnoses: 137 (39%) microbiologically confirmed TB 17 (5%) Pneumocystis pneumonia 27 (7%) no organism identified but full recovery 10 (3%) pulmonary Kaposi's sarcoma

CAO, chronic airflow obstruction; CrAg, Cryptococcal antigen test; CXR, chest radiograph; EPTB, extrapulmonary TB; FNA, fine needle aspiration; FBC, full blood count; LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; NCD, non-communicable disease; NS, night sweats; UWL, unintentional weight loss; PTB, pulmonary TB

3) XPHACTOR study methods

The research undertaken for this PhD forms part of the XPHACTOR study. This chapter details the methods for XPHACTOR and how the research papers presented in this thesis flow from XPHACTOR (Figure 3-1).

XPHACTOR evaluated an algorithm which was designed to identify, among HIV-positive clinic attendees, those deemed "high priority" for immediate investigation with Xpert MTB/RIF, and allowed watchful waiting for those assessed as lower priority. The study hypothesis was that an algorithm which prioritised immediate testing for the high priority group while allowing deferral of investigation for those assigned lower priority would reduce health service costs with minimal risk to patients. Investigation was prioritised for individuals at highest risk of death due to TB, and/or those at highest risk of transmitting TB to others, using markers readily available in primary care clinics in South Africa. The markers selected were body mass index (BMI) and CD4 count, which are known to be risk factors for TB and mortality,^{140, 201-204} and cough as a clinical marker of smear positivity (as the best indicator of infectiousness).^{27, 205, 206} The study algorithm is presented in **Figure 3-2**.

3.1. XPHACTOR study aims and objectives

The aims of the XPHACTOR study were:

- Aim 1: to determine the sensitivity and specificity of the study algorithm and to compare the outcomes (sensitivity of the algorithm, time to TB diagnosis) and costs of the strategy with modelled outcomes and costs assuming immediate testing with Xpert MTB/RIF for all symptomatic individuals, as defined by the WHO tool.
- Aim 2: to describe the diagnostic yield from two different strategies for investigating adults with HIV who are suspected of having TB, but whose first Xpert test is negative.
 (Chapter 7 Research Paper 3).
- Aim 3: to determine causes for persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB amongst ambulatory adults attending for HIV care who have negative initial TB investigations. (Chapter 8 Research Paper 4)
- Aim 4: to determine the natural history of TB symptoms among individuals without a final diagnosis of TB, in order to estimate the likely demand for repeat Xpert testing among patients attending for HIV care. (Chapter 4).





In addition, the opportunity was taken to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of LF-LAM for TB at enrolment to XPHACTOR amongst participants with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ (Chapter 5 - Research Paper 1).

A secondary analysis of data collected for XPHACTOR was used to develop the clinical score for TB (**Chapter 6 - Research Paper 2**).

Figure 3-2. XPHACTOR algorithm at enrolment



BMI = Body mass index

3.2. XPHACTOR study setting

XPHACTOR was conducted in Gauteng province in South Africa, at two hospital-based and two community health centre (CHC) clinics. The two hospital-based clinics were at Chris Hani Baragwanath hospital, south of Johannesburg in Soweto, and Mamelodi hospital which is nearer Pretoria. The two community health clinics (CHC) were Ramokonopi and Jabulane Dumane CHCs, in Ekurhuleni district (**Figure 3-3**).

At the time the study was conducted, ART eligibility comprised CD4 \leq 350 cells/mm³ or WHO clinical stage \geq 3. National guidelines for TB investigation during this time have already been described in **section 1.3** (Figure 1-1).

3.3. XPHACTOR study population and recruitment

We enrolled a systematic sample of adults (aged ≥18 years) attending for HIV care, irrespective of the presence of symptoms suggestive of TB. Patients taking antituberculosis treatment within the previous 3 months and those who were acutely unwell requiring urgent referral to higher level care were excluded. Patients were enrolled into three groups: "on antiretroviral therapy (ART)" (currently taking or ART-experienced) group; "pre-ART" (in HIV care but not yet taking ART) group; and "HIV Testing and Counselling (HTC)" (newly-diagnosed HIV-positive). We recruited to the on ART group from hospital clinics because their patient population solely comprised those ARTexperienced; and pre-ART and HTC groups were recruited from CHCs.

The sampling strategy varied between study sites due to differences in clinic flow and numbers of patients. At the hospital-based clinics the numbers of patients attending were too large to invite consecutive patients to participate in our study. One of these sites had a clinic register which we used to systematically invite patients, at a predetermined frequency, to hear further information about the study. The other site had no register so we used simple random sampling; all patients in the waiting area were invited to select a stick or sweet hidden in a bag, and those who pulled a predetermined colour were invited to participate. The CHCs were smaller, and therefore consecutive patients attending the clinic were invited to participate.



Figure 3-3. XPHACTOR study sites

HTC = HIV Testing and Counselling

3.4. XPHACTOR procedures

3.4.1. Enrolment

At enrolment, research staff administered a standardised questionnaire which incorporated the WHO tool, collected details of TB and HIV treatment, and basic demographic and socioeconomic information. Staff measured height and weight, MUAC, and recorded most recent clinic CD4 cell count. Further investigation was prioritised according to the XPHACTOR algorithm (**Figure 3-2**) with an immediate spot sputum sample sent for Xpert for individuals at *a priori* highest risk of active TB: (i) all assigned high priority; (ii) those in the pre-ART group with CD4 <200 cells/mm³ at enrolment (iii) all in the HTC group (whose CD4 count was unknown) at enrolment. For all other participants, a spot sputum sample was collected at enrolment and frozen at -80 °C within 24 hours, for smear microscopy and testing with Xpert at the end of the study. Testing of this sample enabled comparison of the sensitivity and specificity of the XPHACTOR study algorithm (Aim 1) to detect TB cases against the sensitivity and specificity if Xpert had been performed immediately for all with any WHO tool symptom; and to determine whether any smear-positive patients had been missed.

Individuals in the HTC group and those in the pre-ART group with CD4 <200 cells/mm³ did not contribute to XPHACTOR aim 1 (evaluation of the study algorithm). This was because of *a priori* high risk of active TB, hence these participants underwent immediate testing with Xpert at enrolment and were not prioritised for testing using the study algorithm. These individuals contributed to XPHACTOR aim 2 (Chapter 7 - Research Paper 3, "Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative"), if the immediate Xpert was negative.

3.4.2. Follow-up

Participants were reviewed monthly to three months, with repeat WHO symptom screen and a spot sputum sample was requested for Xpert if high priority by the study algorithm at that visit (**Figure 3-4**), with the exception of those in the on ART group who were asymptomatic at enrolment, who were telephoned at 1 and 2 months to update locator information but were not asked about TB symptoms. The protocol was modified for these individuals, because we identified after enrolling around 1000 participants to the on ART group, that almost no TB diagnoses had been made at 1- and 2-month follow up in those assigned low priority at enrolment.

The study algorithm at follow up visits varied very slightly to ensure that participants who had persistent night sweats ≥4 weeks were investigated for TB, as night sweats of any duration were assigned medium priority at enrolment (**Figure 3-4**). At the 3-month visit sputum (induced if necessary) and blood were collected for mycobacterial culture on liquid media (Bactec MGIT 960 and 9240 systems, BD Diagnostics) from all study participants, regardless of symptoms. We allowed a broad window period around the scheduled 3-month visit, until around six months, in order to maximise study follow-up.





BMI = Body mass index

Participants who submitted an Xpert sample were reviewed within one week. If Xpertpositive, TB treatment was initiated; if negative, research staff repeated the WHO symptom screen and facilitated the Xpert-negative algorithm which comprised chest radiograph, spot sputum for TB culture, and antibiotic trial if clinically appropriate. The Xpert-negative algorithm was also facilitated, because of *a priori* high risk of active TB, for all pre-ART participants with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ who had submitted sputum for immediate Xpert at enrolment to XPHACTOR.

Chest radiographs were reported by a single reader (consultant radiologist or physician), and data extracted onto a standardised form. Investigation results were returned to clinic staff, who were responsible for management decisions. Clinic records were reviewed at the end of the study to ascertain any additional relevant investigations and/or TB diagnoses. Deaths were identified through reports from participant-nominated contacts, clinic staff, and by accessing the Department of Home Affairs vital statistics database using participants' South African identification (ID) numbers if they were South African citizens.

Methods relevant to specific research aims are detailed in the relevant papers (**chapters 5** to 8).

3.5. Laboratory methods

3.5.1. Xpert MTB/RIF

Xpert testing was undertaken at the routine National Health Laboratory Services (NHLS) for sputum samples for immediate Xpert requested at Chris Hani Baragwanath hospital. For all other sites (due to their resource limitations) and for all stored samples Xpert testing was undertaken at the research laboratory (Centre for Tuberculosis, which is a national reference laboratory) by experienced research laboratory technologists.

3.5.2. Mycobacterial culture

Sputum for mycobacterial culture requested as part of the Xpert-negative algorithm was generally undertaken at the routine NHLS laboratories. Sputum samples collected for the XPHACTOR 3-month visit were processed at the research laboratory, by fluorochrome staining for acid-fast bacilli and fluorescence microscopy, and cultured using BACTEC[™] Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube (MGIT) 960 (BD, Sparks, MD, USA). Line probe assay (LPA) was performed on smear-positive or cultured isolates (GenoType MTBDRplus, Hain Lifesciences) to identify MTB complex and resistance to isoniazid or rifampicin. If resistance was identified, then further drug susceptibility testing was undertaken. Mycobacterial culture on 3-month visit blood samples was performed using the BD Bactec[™] 9240 system.

3.5.3. LF-LAM

At the end of the study urine samples were thawed to ambient temperature and tested with LF-LAM by the research laboratory technologists in accordance with training provided by Alere representatives. The technologists did not have access to other bacteriological results when performing the LF-LAM tests. Each test was graded once, using the pre-January 2014 manufacturer's reference card comprising five grades of colour intensity with the least intense band assigned grade 1, absence of a band graded negative, and absence of a control band deemed a failed test.²⁰⁷

3.6. Case Definitions

A 2005 community-based HIV and TB prevalence survey in South Africa reported a large burden of previously undiagnosed bacteriologically-confirmed pulmonary TB, mainly amongst those HIV-positive, of which two-thirds of cases were asymptomatic.²⁰⁸ This study estimated mean time before initiation of TB treatment of around 1 year irrespective of smear or HIV status. Mathematical modelling estimates a nine month period of subclinical disease prior to a diagnosis of TB being made.²⁰⁹ Studies amongst PLHIV with LTBI which have used highly sensitive imaging modalities,^{210, 211} and the discovery of a blood biomarker which predicts the risk of active TB within 12 months,²¹² provide evidence for a continuum of disease from infection with MTB to clinically active disease, and potentially a long infectious period.²¹³ The estimates for the duration of subclinical disease in the aforementioned study were considered when assigning case definitions for prevalent TB in XPHACTOR.²⁰⁹

3.6.1. TB case definitions

A diagnosis of **"confirmed TB"** was assigned to individuals with a positive result on i) Xpert (on sputum sample) or ii) LPA (GenoType MTBDR*plus*, Hain Lifesciences) performed on smear-positive or cultured isolate or iii) *M. tuberculosis* (MTB) culture, from any sample collected within six months of enrolment to the XPHACTOR study.

A diagnosis of **"clinical TB"** was assigned to individuals who commenced TB treatment within six months of enrolment to XPHACTOR in the absence of microbiological confirmation.

Participants who died within three months of enrolment without fulfilling TB case definitions or who were diagnosed with TB more than 6 months after enrolment were deemed to have **"unclassifiable"** TB outcome and excluded from all analyses.

For evaluating the accuracy of LF-LAM (**Chapter 5**, **Paper 1**), the XPHACTOR algorithm, and the WHO tool for TB screening in XPHACTOR participants, "not TB" was defined as

fulfilling all of the following: absence of criteria for confirmed or clinical TB; alive at least 3 months after enrolment; and no positive microbiology for MTB (at least 1 MTB culture or Xpert result) from any sample within 6 months of enrolment. Participants who did not fulfil the case definitions for TB or "not TB" were excluded from these analyses.

3.6.2. Radiological definitions

"<u>Probable radiological TB</u>" was defined as the presence of i) any of cavitation, predominantly upper lobe infiltrates, pleural or pericardial effusion, or clear miliary picture on chest radiograph or ii) any of abdominal lymphadenopathy, splenic microabscesses, pleural or pericardial effusion on ultrasound scan.

"<u>Possible radiological TB</u>" was defined as the presence of any of lymphadenopathy (hilar or mediastinal), pulmonary nodules or other infiltrates.

Participants with "probable" or "possible" radiological TB features, but without bacteriological confirmation, who started TB treatment within six months of enrolment (or within six months of the 3-month visit if participating in the "Causes of TB symptoms" aim) were assigned "clinical" TB.

3.7. Sample size

The sample size for XPHACTOR was based on estimating the sensitivity, with reasonable precision, of the study algorithm for undiagnosed TB amongst HIV-positive clinic attendees. The sample size calculation assumed a prevalence of bacteriologically-confirmed undiagnosed TB of 5% amongst HIV clinic attendees. If the sensitivity of the algorithm was 95%, 90%, and 85% respectively, then with 150 TB diagnoses, the sensitivity could be estimated with 95% confidence intervals respectively of 90.6-98.1%, 84.0-94.3%, and 78.6-90.6%. In order to identify 150 TB diagnoses, 3000 HIV-positive clinic attendees needed to be recruited, and assuming that 80% were followed up to 3-months, the total sample size required was 3750.

3.8. Ethical issues due to delaying diagnostic testing

Ethical issues were discussed with a member of the University of Cape Town (UCT) ethics committee when the protocol was being developed and were detailed in the study protocol which was approved by LSHTM and local ethics committees. Firstly, the study was conducted amongst those who would potentially benefit from the results, as individuals attending for HIV care are at high risk of both having undiagnosed TB and are at risk of acquiring TB from others with undiagnosed TB in the clinic. Secondly, although in theory the study withheld investigation from some individuals who, according to ICF guidelines, should have been investigated, experience from these clinics was that these guidelines were not being implemented, and were unlikely to be so in resource-limited settings because of the high cost. XPHACTOR was considered likely to promote effective screening, by generating an evidence-base for rational screening policy, which would ultimately benefit HIV clinic attendees.

There were potential issues around collecting sputum samples and storing them for later, rather than immediate testing with Xpert, for participants categorised as "medium" or "low" priority at enrolment. The strategy of storing for later testing was important in order to evaluate the study algorithm. Participants assigned "low priority" (no TB symptoms) at enrolment were highly unlikely to have had TB, so delayed testing of their sputum was unlikely to have delayed TB diagnosis in this group who would not have had sputum collected under routine circumstances. Delaying testing might have delayed TB diagnosis in participants assigned "medium priority", but research staff always advised participants to return to the clinic (who were responsible for their care) if their symptoms worsened. Furthermore, these participants were reviewed at monthly intervals, and would have undergone investigation with Xpert if they became "high priority". Any participant with cough was always assigned high priority, and therefore would not have had delayed testing, and therefore the risk to other patients at the clinic would be minimised.

4) XPHACTOR study key results

This chapter details key results from the XPHACTOR study which provide context for the findings of the research papers, and enable comparison with the published literature pertaining to TB screening in PLHIV in LMIC. The results presented in this section comprise the study profile and baseline characteristics of the participants, the prevalence of TB, the performance of the XPHACTOR study algorithm for TB screening, and the frequency of WHO tool symptoms.

4.1. Characteristics of study participants

From September 2012 to February 2014, 3722 participants were enrolled into XPHACTOR (2602 on ART, 906 pre-ART, and 214 from HTC services of whom 107 were enrolled from antenatal HTC services [ANC]) (**Figure 4-1**). 3473 (93%) of participants were followed to 3 months and all the 3-month visits were completed by May 2014.

Table 4-1 summarises the baseline characteristics of XPHACTOR participants. The median CD4 cell counts amongst on ART vs. pre-ART vs. HTC vs. ANC groups were 436 vs. 402 vs. 248 vs. 379 cells/mm³. In the on ART group the median duration on ART was 4 years (interquartile range [IQR] 2-6) and 74.7% had suppressed viral load. At enrolment 1213/3722 (32.6%) of all participants reported at least one WHO tool symptom (on ART 30.1%, pre-ART 38.6%, HTC 61.7%, ANC 13.1%). 1997/3722 (53.7%) of participants were able to produce a sputum sample at enrolment for testing with Xpert (either immediate testing or stored for testing at the end of the study). The most common WHO tool symptoms reported were cough 750/3722 (20.2%) and weight loss 544/3721 (14.7%).

Figure 4-1. XPHACTOR profile



¹ At on ART sites 4956 patients were approached & from one site data is available regarding reasons 1522/3186 declined to be screened (594 not interested; 567 no time;151 agreed to screen at next visit; 118 no reason; 92 other), at pre-ART sites all patients were referred by clinic staff and data is not available regarding those who declined screening; ² 50 died within 6 months of enrolment (on ART = 23; pre-ART = 25; HTC = 2);

³ Undertaken at enrolment or during follow-up to 3m visit & participants could have >1 sample positive for MTB;

⁴ Routine or for study purposes; ⁵ 1 *MTB* in pleural fluid; ⁶ No CXR or TB microbiology

ANC, New HIV+ enrolled from antenatal services; HTC, New HIV+ enrolled from HIV testing and counselling services; GXP = sputum Xpert; 3m Sp cul = Sputum TB culture at 3-month visit; 3m bld cul = Blood TB culture at 3-month visit.

Table 4-1 Baseline characteristics of XPHACTOR participants N=3722

Characteristic	On ART	Pre-ART	HTC	ANC
	N=2602	N=906	N=107	N=107
Demographics:	1			
Age, years - Median (IQR)	41 (35-48)	35 (29-42)	35 (30-41)	30 (25-33), N=105
Female – N (%)	1838 (70.6%)	623 (68.8%)	57 (53.3%)	107 (100%)
Black African – N (%)	2560 (98.4%), N=2601	904 (99.8%)	105 (98.1%)	107 (100%)
HIV/TB history				
Duration since HIV diagnosed, months - Median (IQR)	66 (38-99), N=2585	7 (1-30), N=899	N/A	N/A
ART commenced during study follow-up n (%)	N/A	396 (43.7%)	57 (53.3%)	8 (7.5%)
Duration on ART, months - Median (IQR)	50 (28-79), N=2601	N/A	N/A	N/A
Previous IPT – N (%)	63 (2.4%), N=2601	167 (18.4%)	N/A	N/A
Current IPT – N (%)	19 (0.7%)	172 (19.0%)	N/A	N/A
Previous TB treatment – N (%)	1028 (39.5%)	71 (7.8%)	10 (9.4%)	4 (4.7%)
>1 previous episode of TB treatment – N (%)	166 (6.3%)	7 (0.8%)	0	1 (0.9%)
CD4 / Viral load / BMI at enrolment				
CD4, cells/mm ³ - Median (IQR)	436 (278-621), N=2599	402 (224-555), N=905	248 (106-421), N=103	379 (234-556), N=104
Viral load suppressed (<20 copies/ml) – N (%)	1624 (74.7%), N=2174	N/A	N/A	N/A
BMI, kg/m ² - Median (IQR)	25 (21.6-29.4), N=2598	24.6 (20.9-29.5)	23.4 (20.2-28.6)	29 (26.6-32.8), N=106
WHO tool positive at enrolment – N (%)	783 (30.1%)	350 (38.6%)	66 (61.7%)	14 (13.1%)
Cough – N (%)	500 (19.2%)	200 (22.1%)	40 (37.4%)	10 (9.4%)
Unintentional weight loss – N (%)	295 (11.3%), N=2601	206 (22.7%)	41 (38.3%)	2 (1.9%)
Night sweats – N (%)	176 (6.8%)	113 (12.5%)	25 (23.4%)	2 (1.9%)
Fever – N (%)	121 (4.7%)	74 (8.2%)	19 (17.8%)	3 (2.8%)
>1 WHO tool symptom reported – N (%)	225 (8.6%)	162 (17.9%)	38 (35.5%)	1 (0.9%)
Reported history of smoking or respiratory disease				
Ex- or current smoker ¹ – N (%)	586 (22.5%) N=2600	268 (29.6%)	33 (30.8%)	13 (13.1%)
Chronic respiratory disease (asthma, COPD, silicosis) - N (%)	121 (4.7%) N=2600	33 (3.6%)	3 (2.8%)	4 (3.7%)

BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IPT, isoniazid preventive therapy; IQR, interquartile range; HTC, New HIV+ enrolled from HIV testing and counselling services; ANC, New HIV+ enrolled from antenatal services; N/A, not applicable; ¹ Smoker defined as having ever smoked ≥ 100 cigarettes

4.2. Prevalence of TB

The prevalence of TB, overall and stratified by each group is shown in **Table 4-2** for 3678 participants, having excluding 44 participants with unclassifiable outcome (28 died within 3 months of enrolment without a TB diagnosis, 15 were diagnosed with TB > 6 months after enrolment, 1 participant did not attend for study follow up after enrolment). 167/3678 (4.5%) of participants fulfilled the study case definitions for TB, and for 153 the site of TB was recorded (pulmonary only 133/153 [86.9%], extrapulmonary only 15/153 [9.8%], and both 5/153 [3.3%]).

30/3678 (0.8%) of study participants who were diagnosed with TB did not report any WHO tool symptoms at enrolment. These comprised 27/124 (21.8%) with confirmed TB and 3/43 (7.0%) with clinical TB.

Group	Number	All TB	Confirmed TB	Clinical TB
		n/N	n/N	n/N
		% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
On ART	2576	79/2576	61/2576	18/2576
		3.1% (2.4, 3.8)	2.4% (1.8, 3.0)	0.7% (0.4, 1.1)
Pre-ART	890	65/890	45/890	20/890
		7.3% (5.7, 9.2)	5.1% (3.7, 6.7)	2.3% (1.4, 3.4)
HTC	105	22/105	17/105	5/105
		21.0% (13.6, 30.0)	16.2% (9.7, 24.6)	4.8% (1.6, 10.7)
ANC	107	1/107	1/107	0
		0.9% (<0.001, 5.1)	0.9% (<0.001, 5.1)	
Overall	3678	167/3678	124/3678	43/3678
		4.5% (3.9, 5.3)	3.4% (2.8, 4.0)	1.2% (0.8, 1.6)

Table 4-2 Prevalence of TB in XPHACTOR study

ANC, New HIV+ enrolled from antenatal services; HTC, HIV testing and counselling services

4.3. Performance of the XPHACTOR algorithm and the WHO tool

This analysis was undertaken using XPHACTOR enrolment data. Participants in the HTC and ANC groups, and those who were pre-ART with CD4 <200 cells/mm³ at enrolment were excluded, because they were all investigated with immediate Xpert at enrolment due to their high risk of TB. Participants for whom we did not have microbiological confirmation of "not TB" from at least one sample, i.e. a negative TB culture or negative Xpert result;

and those currently on IPT were also excluded. The latter were excluded as they were likely to have recently undergone investigation for TB, and hence were effectively "prescreened" for TB.

Figure 4-2 details the flow of participants who were included in this analysis. Among 3722 participants enrolled to XPHACTOR, 604 were excluded as they were either enrolled through HTC or ANC (n=214), pre-ART with CD4<200 cells/mm³ at enrolment (n=206), or were on IPT at enrolment (n=184). A further 168 participants were excluded due to unclassifiable TB outcome (no sputum result for MTB microbiology within 6 months of enrolment [135], died within 3 months of enrolment without a TB diagnosis [18], TB diagnosis from specimens taken more than 6 months after enrolment [14], did not attend for any study follow up [1]), leaving 2950 participants in the analysis (2444 on ART, 506 pre-ART).





IPT, isoniazid preventive therapy; DNA, did not attend ANC, New HIV+ enrolled from antenatal services; HTC, HIV testing and counselling services

916/2950 (31.1%) of participants fulfilled XPHACTOR high priority criteria, and 926/2950 (31.4%) reported WHO tool symptoms (**Figure 4-3**). 735/2950 (24.9%) of participants were

both XPHACTOR high priority and reported WHO tool symptoms, amongst whom the most commonly reported symptom was cough (n=578).

Figure 4-3. Number of participants who were XPHACTOR high priority vs. number WHO tool positive (N=2950)



98/2950 (3.3%; 95% CI 2.7, 4.0) of participants in this analysis fulfilled case definitions for TB (73 confirmed, 25 clinical). The sensitivity and specificity for TB (confirmed and clinical combined) was 69.4% and 70.3% for the XPHACTOR algorithm vs. 72.4% and 70.0% for the WHO tool (**Table 4-3**). The XPHACTOR algorithm had greater sensitivity for TB (confirmed and clinical combined) in the on ART vs. pre-ART group (70.9% vs. 63.2%), compared with the WHO tool which was less sensitive amongst those on ART vs. pre-ART (68.4% vs. 89.5%).

The performance of the study algorithm, in terms of overall sensitivity and specificity for TB, was therefore similar to that of the WHO tool in our study population, and this was largely because cough was common and the main driver of both algorithms (**Figure 4-3**).

The prevalence of TB, performance of the XPHACTOR algorithm and performance of the WHO tool in our study population are discussed and compared with the published literature in **Chapter 9**.

Table 4-3 Performance of the	XPHACTOR algorithm and	d WHO tool for TB	screening at enrolment

	Sensitivity	Specificity	NPV	PPV
	n/N	n/N	n/N	n/N
Confirmed and clinical TB (98/2950)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
XPHACTOR high priority	68/98	2004/2852	2004/2034	68/916
	69.4% (59.3, 78.3)	70.3% (68.6, 71.9)	98.5% (97.9, 99.0)	7.4% (5.8, 9.3)
On ART	56/79	1652/2365	1652/1675	56/769
	70.9% (59.6, 80.6)	69.9% (68.0, 71.7)	98.6% (97.9, 99.1)	7.3% (5.6, 9.4)
Pre-ART	12/19	352/487	352/359	12/147
	63.2% (38.4, 83.7)	72.3% (68.1, 76.2)	98.1% (96, 99.2)	8.2% (4.3, 13.8)
WHO tool positive	71/98	1997/2852	1997/2024	71/926
	72.4% (62.5, 81.0)	70.0% (68.3, 71.7)	98.7% (98.1, 99.1)	7.7% (6.0, 9.6)
On ART	54/79	1673/2365	1673/1698	54/746
	68.4% (56.9, 78.4)	70.7% (68.9, 72.6)	98.5% (97.8, 99.0)	7.2% (5.5, 9.3)
Pre-ART	17/19	324/487	324/326	17/180
	89.5% (66.9, 98.7)	66.5% (62.1, 70.7)	99.4% (97.8, 99.9)	9.4% (5.6, 14.7)
Confirmed TB ¹ (73/2925)				
XPHACTOR high priority	48/73	2004/2852	2004/2029	48/896
	65.8% (53.7, 76.5)	70.3% (68.6, 71.9)	98.8% (98.2, 99.2)	5.4% (4.0, 7.0)
On ART	39/61	1652/2365	1652/1674	39/752
	63.9% (50.6, 75.8)	69.9% (68.0, 71.7)	98.7% (98.0, 99.2)	5.2% (3.7, 7.0)
Pre-ART	9/12	352/487	352/355	9/144
	75.0% (42.8, 94.5)	72.3% (68.1, 76.2)	99.2% (97.6, 99.8)	6.3% (2.9, 11.5)
WHO tool positive	49/73	1997/2852	1997/2021	49/904
	67.1% (55.1, 77.7)	70.0% (68.3, 71.7)	98.8% (98.2, 99.2)	5.4% (4.0, 7.1)
On ART	38/61	1673/2365	1673/1696	38/730
	62.3% (49.0, 74.4)	70.7% (68.9, 72.6)	98.6% (98.0, 99.1)	5.2% (3.7, 7.1)
Pre-ART	11/12	324/487	324/325	11/174
	91.7% (61.5, 99.8)	66.5% (62.1, 70.7)	99.7% (98.3, >99.9)	6.3% (3.2,11.0)

CI, confidence interval; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value ¹Clinical TB excluded from analysis

4.4. "Natural history" of symptoms suggestive of TB in XPHACTOR

4.4.1. Introduction, aim and objectives

Published studies in which PLHIV have been systematically screened for TB prior to initiation of ART⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ or IPT²¹⁴ report a high proportion with symptoms suggestive of TB, although most do not have TB. At the time that the XPHACTOR study was commenced there was a paucity of published data regarding the prevalence of symptoms suggestive of TB amongst individuals established in HIV care.

The aim of this analysis was to determine the "natural history" of TB symptoms among individuals without a final diagnosis of TB, in order to estimate the likely demand for repeat diagnostic testing for TB among patients attending for HIV care.

The objective was, using data collected for the XPHACTOR study (from monthly follow-up visits during the entire study duration i.e. October 2012 to May 2014), to describe the frequency of WHO tool symptoms reported by individuals attending for HIV care at monthly intervals up to the 3-month visit.

4.4.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for this analysis

This analysis was restricted to XPHACTOR study participants who had WHO tool symptom data available at both enrolment and 3-month visit. The following individuals were excluded from the analysis: i) those without sputum mycobacterial culture results from the 3-month visit, in order to ensure there was microbiological confirmation that TB had been excluded; ii) all those who fulfilled case definitions for TB at any point during study follow-up.

4.4.3. Statistical methods

Positive WHO tool screen (any of self-reported cough, fever, night sweats or unintentional weight loss) and frequency of WHO tool symptoms were summarised at enrolment and at each monthly follow up visit. The same variables were summarised in a separate analysis restricted to those who reported WHO tool symptoms at enrolment to XPHACTOR.

The number of sputum samples collected as part of routine care or for study purposes because participants were: i) deemed at high risk of TB (pre-ART with CD4<200 or newly diagnosed HIV-positive at enrolment) or XPHACTOR high priority; or ii) WHO tool positive at enrolment was summarised.

4.4.4. Results

Amongst 3722 participants enrolled into XPHACTOR (2602 on ART, 906 pre-ART, and 214 from HTC services of whom 107 were enrolled from antenatal services), 3-month visit data were available for 3473 (93%) of participants. 3202 XPHACTOR study participants fulfilled the criteria for this analysis (**Figure 4-4**), and their characteristics are summarized in **table 4-4**.

Figure 4-4. Flow chart of participants in "frequency of symptoms suggesting TB"



¹ Positive result on sputum Xpert or TB culture during follow-up, but reinvestigated by clinic as asymptomatic and repeat sputum mycobacteriology was negative so not treated for TB
Table 4-4 Characteristics of participants in frequency of symptoms suggestive of TB analysis N=3202

Characteristic	On ART	Pre-ART	HTC	ANC
	N=2306	N=743	N=71	N=82
Demographics:	·			
Age, years - Median (IQR)	41 (35-48)	35 (29-42)	34 (29-41)	29 (25-32), N=81
Female – N (%)	1638 (71.0%)	519 (69.9%)	36 (50.7%)	82 (100%)
Black African– N (%)	2271 (98.5%)	741 (92.5%)	99 (96.1%)	82 (100%)
HIV/TB history				
Duration since HIV diagnosed, months - Median (IQR)	66 (39-99), N=2290	9 (1-33), N=737	N/A	N/A
ART commenced during study follow-up – N (%)	N/A	307 (41.3%)	42 (59.2%)	76 (92.7%)
Duration on ART, months - Median (IQR)	50 (28-79)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Previous IPT– N (%)	51 (2.2%), N=2305	151 (20.3%)	N/A	N/A
Current IPT– N (%)	17 (0.7%)	144 (19.4%)	N/A	N/A
Previous TB treatment – N (%)	900 (39.0%)	56 (7.5%)	4 (5.6%)	5 (6.1%)
>1 previous episode of TB treatment – N (%)	142 (6.2%)	4 (0.5%)	0	1 (1.2%)
CD4 / Viral load / BMI at enrolment				
CD4, cells/mm ³ - Median (IQR)	441 (285-626), N=2304	415 (252-561)	271 (151-436), N=69	374 (217-530), N=81
Viral load suppressed (<20 copies/ml) – N (%)	1463 (75.1%), N=1947	N/A	N/A	N/A
BMI, kg/m ² - Median (IQR)	25.2 (21.8-29.5), N=2303	25.3 (21.5- 30.0)	24.7 (21.3-29.0)	29.4 (26.6-32.8)
WHO tool positive at enrolment – N (%)	653 (28.3%)	255 (34.3%)	37 (52.1%)	12 (14.6%)
Cough – N (%)	413 (17.9%)	146 (19.7%)	21 (29.6%)	9 (11.0%)
Unintentional weight loss – N (%)	226 (9.8%), N=2305	135 (18.2%)	23 (32.4%)	1 (1.2%)
Night sweats – N (%)	141 (6.1%)	76 (10.2%)	11 (15.5%)	1 (1.2%)
Fever – N (%)	101 (4.4%)	52 (7.0%)	9 (12.7%)	1 (1.2%)
>1 WHO tool symptom reported – N (%)	168 (7.3%)	105 (14.1%)	18 (25.3%)	0
Reported history of smoking or respiratory disease				
Ex- or current smoker ¹ – N (%)	522 (22.7%), N=2304	211 (28.4%)	21 (29.6%)	11 (13.4%)
Chronic respiratory disease (asthma, COPD, silicosis) – N (%)	108 (4.7%), N=2305	23 (3.1%)	1 (1.4%)	3 (3.7%)

BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IPT, isoniazid preventive therapy; IQR, interquartile range; HTC, New HIV+ enrolled from HIV testing and counselling services; ANC, New HIV+ enrolled from antenatal services; N/A, not applicable

¹ Smoker defined as having ever smoked \geq 100 cigarettes

Characteristics of participants

Amongst 3202 participants included in this analysis, at enrolment 2306 (72%) were on ART for a median of 4 years (interquartile range [IQR] 2-6), 743 (23%) were pre-ART, 71(2%) and 82 (3%) were newly diagnosed HIV-positive from HTC and ANC respectively. Overall 957/3202 (30%) were WHO tool positive at enrolment, of whom 291/957 (30%) reported more than one symptom. The most common WHO tool symptoms reported were cough 589/3202 (18%) and weight loss 385/3201 (12%).

Frequency of TB symptoms during study follow-up

At 1, 2, and 3-month visits respectively, 325/2148 (15%) vs. 273/2017 (14%) vs. 325/3202 (10%) of participants reported at least one WHO tool symptom. The 3-month visit was undertaken at median 85 days (IQR 84-110; N=3196) from enrolment. At all visits the most commonly reported symptoms were cough and weight loss (**Figure 4-5**). A similar pattern was seen when the analysis was restricted to participants who were established on ART at enrolment (N=2306, **Figure 4-6**) or those not on ART at enrolment (N=896, **Figure 4-7**).



Figure 4-5. Overall percentage with WHO tool symptoms during follow-up (N=3202)



Figure 4-6. WHO tool symptoms during follow-up amongst those on ART (N=2306)



Figure 4-7. WHO tool symptoms during follow-up amongst those not on ART (N=896)



When the analysis was restricted to 957 participants who were symptomatic at enrolment, although the percentage reporting any WHO tool symptom reduced at each follow-up visit, 16% remained symptomatic at the 3-month visit, with again cough and weight loss the most commonly reported symptoms (**Figure 4-8**).



Figure 4-8. Evolution of symptoms amongst those symptomatic at enrolment (N=957)

Sputum samples tested with Xpert

1243/3202 (39%) of participants had an Xpert on sputum within 90 days of enrolment, either because they were WHO-tool positive at enrolment, XPHACTOR high priority, or as part of routine care. 316/3202 (10%) had more than one sample tested. Amongst those in the on ART vs. pre-ART vs. HTC vs. ANC groups respectively, 770/2306 (33%) vs. 364/743 (49%) vs. 55/71 (78%) vs. 54/82 (66%) had at least one sputum sample tested with Xpert, and 213/2306 (9%) vs. 95/743 (13%) vs. 7/71 (13%) vs. 1 (1.2%) had more than one sample tested.

Amongst participants who were reported WHO tool symptom(s) at enrolment, 786/957 (82%) had at least one sputum tested with Xpert during study follow-up. The proportions having one, two, three, or greater than four samples tested were 548/957 (57%), 155/957 (16%), 64/957 (7%), and 19/957 (2%) respectively.

4.4.5. Discussion

This analysis, from which participants diagnosed with TB were excluded, demonstrates that individuals attending for HIV care were highly symptomatic (30% WHO tool positive at

enrolment). Cough and weight loss were the most commonly reported symptoms. Unsurprisingly we found that those newly diagnosed from HTC services were the most symptomatic; these individuals often present to services because they are unwell. The data presented span a duration of more than a year, i.e. are not confined to winter. We have previously shown, by linking symptom frequency to national sentinel influenza surveillance data that influenza-like illness (ILI) appears unlikely to be a major contributor to reported cough.¹³² (**Appendix 10.6**) There are no other published data, to the best of my knowledge, which report the natural history of WHO tool symptoms on repeated screening amongst PLHIV who have had TB excluded. However, data from household TB prevalence surveys and studies which have screened clinic attendees for TB in sub-Saharan Africa are available, and provide comparitors in the general community and clinic settings for our reported frequency of WHO tool symptoms. These studies are discussed below.

Comparison with studies reporting frequency of symptoms suggestive of TB

In the 2013-2014 Zambian national TB prevalence survey adult (aged > 15 years) household members from 66 randomly-selected clusters across all provinces were systematically screened for TB, using both a symptom screen and chest radiography, and offered HTC.²¹⁵ Individuals with abnormal chest radiographs or those reporting \geq 2 weeks duration of either cough, fever or chest pain underwent sputum smear and TB culture. Eighty-four percent of those eligible participated, around 46,000 individuals, amongst whom 10% reported symptoms suggestive of TB. Two-thirds of participants underwent HIV testing, amongst whom the prevalence of HIV was 7%. Most (92%) of the participants who fulfilled the criteria for requesting sputum submitted at least one sample, amongst whom 4% (265/6123) had bacteriologically-confirmed TB.

The 2016 Kenyan national TB prevalence survey was also a nationwide household survey of adults aged > 15 years from 100 randomly selected clusters.²¹⁶ All participants underwent symptom and chest radiograph screening, with sputum requested from those with cough > 2 weeks or abnormal chest radiography, or from those who did not undergo chest radiography. Sputum samples underwent microscopy, culture and testing with Xpert. Eighty-three percent of those eligible participated, around 63,000 individuals, amongst whom 38% reported symptoms suggestive of TB (cough [15%], night sweats [12%], fever [8%], weight loss [3%]; N= 63,050). Most (94%) of the participants who fulfilled criteria for requesting sputum submitted samples, amongst whom 305/9715 (3%) had bacteriologically-confirmed TB. HTC was undertaken only for participants with confirmed

TB, amongst whom 17% (41/245) were HIV-positive. However, half of all the prevalence survey participants knew their HIV status, amongst whom 5% (1627/32386) reported they were HIV-positive.²¹⁷ The aforementioned national prevalence surveys, in which very few participants had bacteriologically-confirmed TB, and the majority were HIV-negative, indicate that the prevalence of symptoms suggestive of TB in the community at large ranges from 10-38%.^{215, 216} This range includes our finding that overall 30% of participants reported WHO tool symptom(s) at enrolment and the proportion reporting cough in the Kenyan national TB prevalence survey (15%)²¹⁶ was very similar to ours (18%). It is possible that some TB diagnoses were missed in both of the aforementioned national surveys as only those with persistent symptoms and/or abnormal chest radiographs underwent investigation, but the prevalence of TB in community-based surveys in which all participants have undergone mycobacterial culture on sputum is generally low.^{134, 208} Therefore it appears that significant proportions of individuals in the general community also report symptoms suggestive of TB and in particular cough.

Ssemmondo et al undertook symptom-based TB screening in rural Uganda during mobile multidisease community health campaigns (CHC) which incorporated HIV testing.²¹⁸ Their study was undertaken between 2013-2014 in seven out of thirty-two previously enumerated communities participating in a cluster-randomised trial of universal HIV testing and treatment in Kenya and Uganda. CHCs were undertaken over a two-week period, one month after the baseline study census enumeration of all residents, at convenient locations within each community. TB screening comprised enquiring about current cough and sputum was requested for microscopy for AFB if cough had been present for > 2 weeks. The authors reported that 74% (27,214) of all adults (age \ge 15 years old) enumerated in the baseline census attended the campaigns, of whom 99% underwent HIV testing and 3.5% (941/26813) were HIV-positive with median CD4 cell count of 474 cells/mm³. Twenty-one percent of adults reported current cough and 11% reported cough > 2 weeks. The proportion of participants reporting prolonged cough increased with age and was greater in HIV-positive (17%) compared with HIV-negative (10%) adults. Only 38% (1099/2876) of participants with cough > 2 weeks were able to produce a sputum sample and ten had smear-positive TB, of whom three were HIV-positive. Individuals attending the campaigns are more likely to have attended because they were unwell and thus symptomatic. Therefore, the proportion of adults reporting cough is likely to be biased, and most likely an overestimation of the proportion of adults with cough in the community. It is also likely that some TB diagnoses were missed because investigation was restricted to those with prolonged cough and the majority of those requiring investigation

could not produce sputum. However their data also indicate that a significant proportion of adults participating in this rural community health campaign reported cough.

Owiti et al retrospectively analysed programme data collected from individuals attending for routine HIV care in Kenya between 2015 and 2016.²¹⁹ In this setting patients should have been screened for TB at every clinical encounter using a standardized form which was subsequently electronically captured. Amongst around 90,000 individuals, the majority (>75%) were aged over 19 years and on ART, median follow-up time was 1.5 years, and the median number of clinical encounters per individual was eight. The authors reported documentation of TB screening at almost 90% of all encounters, with 96% of PLHIV never reporting symptoms, and 3.6% and 0.4% of PLHIV reporting symptoms at only one encounter and at more than one encounter respectively. The most commonly reported symptom was cough, but only 7% of symptomatic individuals had documentation of investigation for TB (sputum microscopy or chest radiograph). The authors did not report the prevalence of TB. The proportion of PLHIV reporting symptoms in this study was much lower than amongst XPHACTOR study participants on ART. This may be due to the limitations of the retrospective design of the analysis which relied on routinely collected programme data. Forms might not have been completed fully due to lack of time in busy clinics, or the lack of symptoms might reflect a more mature population who had been on ART for a longer duration than those in XPHACTOR, although this was not ascertainable as the median CD4 cell count and duration on ART were not reported.

Adelman *et al*, as already discussed in the literature review (**Chapter 2**) systematically screened HIV clinic attendees in Ethiopia, of whom 90% were on ART, and reported the presence of WHO tool symptoms in 39% of attendees.¹⁴⁷ The authors did not investigate all participants with symptoms for TB and therefore could not exclude them from the proportion reporting symptoms, and this might explain the higher proportion reporting symptoms in their study compared with XPHACTOR. Chihota *et al* provide some data from primary health clinics (PHCs).⁴³ The authors screened consecutive adults leaving PHCs participating in the XTEND trial in order to ascertain the proportion of those reported that about 50% (4098/8104) of those approached were eligible for their study, i.e. reported at least one of the WHO tool symptoms. This figure appears exceptionally high for PHC attendees and may reflect a heightened awareness of TB symptoms and willingness to report these at a research trial site, or perhaps those with no symptoms were missed.

Even amongst our participants who were established on ART, 28% reported WHO tool symptoms at enrolment, and 10% at the 3-month visit. Of note, in this group, amongst those for whom viral load data were available, only 75% had viral load suppression. The proportion of those on ART reporting symptoms at enrolment is slightly lower than from comparable studies screening individuals established in HIV care (33-39%).^{142, 146-148} This probably reflects the exclusion of individuals diagnosed with TB from this analysis, whereas the aforementioned studies reported data from all enrolled.^{142, 146-148} Furthermore 16% of our 957 participants who reported WHO tool symptoms at enrolment also reported symptoms at the 3-month follow-up visit. Amongst these participants 25% (238/957) had more than one sputum sample tested using Xpert during study follow-up. These data provide an indication of the volume of testing with Xpert that may arise as a result of regular screening in this population using the WHO tool. Our findings highlight the potential resource implications in LMIC settings of screening using a tool that lacks specificity and generates a large proportion of patients requiring a diagnostic test for TB. This might in part explain variations in adherence to TB screening guidelines.⁶⁸ There are no other published studies of the evolution of WHO tool symptoms at subsequent clinic visits amongst those symptomatic at enrolment who have had TB excluded.

Potential reasons for high frequency of reported cough

Cough was the most frequently reported symptom overall, both at enrolment and followup visits. Potential reasons for reported cough other than TB include non-communicable diseases such as asthma and COPD, smoking, use of biomass fuels and post-tuberculous chronic lung disease. A significant proportion of our study participants were either ex- or current smokers, i.e. around 25% of those established in HIV care, 30% of those from HTC and 13% of those from ANC. This is comparable with data from South Africa's 2016 demographic and health survey (DHS) which reported amongst adult (> 15 years old) males 38% were current tobacco smokers and 6% ex-smokers compared with adult females amongst whom 7% were current smokers and 2% were ex-smokers.²²⁰ Smoking itself may increase the risk of TB infection.²²¹ At the time the study was undertaken nicotine replacement therapy and other interventions to assist smoking cessation were not available in the public sector, the only option was advice and signposting to pharmacies. In resource-limitted settings, even if smoking cessation aids are now available in the public sector, it is unlikely that these are provided free-of-charge. In the 2016 DHS asthma symptoms were reported by 3%-4% of adults and COPD symptoms by 2% of adults, which is comparable to our findings of 1-5% reporting chronic respiratory disease.²²⁰ However less than 1% of DHS participants reported using any medications for these conditions. The use of wood as a cooking fuel was more common in rural vs. urban households (32% vs. 2%) in the DHS, but our study was conducted in an urban setting and therefore here the current use of biomass fuel is less likely to have been responsible for cough. The prevalence of previous TB treatment in our study participants was high, ranging from 6% in the HTC group to 39% in the on ART group (amongst whom 6% reported more than one previous episode of TB treatment). Therefore post-TB chronic lung disease may have been responsible for cough in some participants and better criteria are needed to identify this condition and to guide management. The large proportion of individuals reporting cough also highlights the need for better access to pulmonary function testing at PHC level or simpler tests such as the six-minute walk test, and if appropriate treatments such as inhalers or pulmonary rehabilitation.²²²

Strengths and limitations

In keeping with other studies which enrolled ANC attendees, which report 16^{145} - $19\%^{141}$ with WHO tool symptoms, we found those newly diagnosed from ANC were less symptomatic (15% WHO tool positive), although the number in this group was small. As discussed in the literature review (**Chapter 2**) a limitation of the WHO tool is that pregnancy itself may impact on the presence of TB symptoms, and in particular on reported weight loss. In this population measured weight loss, failure to gain weight appropriate to the trimester of pregnancy, or MUAC require further evaluation.

A strength of our study was that the WHO tool was administered systematically by trained research staff, in the preferred language of the participant using standardized translations, thus ensuring that symptom screening questions were asked in a consistent manner.

Conclusions

Given the burden of symptoms suggestive of TB clear guidelines for further evaluation and management of the underlying cause of these symptoms, providing TB is excluded, are needed. The high prevalence of previous TB in this population highlights the need for guidelines to assist with the indentification and optimal management of post-TB chronic

lung disease and to differentiate active TB from previous TB in those who have had treatment in the past. This is particularly important with task-shifting and differentiated models of ART delivery, and given that cough appears to predominate, consideration should be given to developing simpler tests of pulmonary function and better access to respiratory specialists in primary care. The Practical Approach to Lung Health in South Africa (PALSA) guideline was developed from the WHO Practical Approach to Lung Health strategy to assist management by primary care nurses of adults with respiratory symptoms.²²³ The syndromic algorithms presented in the guideline equip primary care nurses, who are often the first port of call for patients and have limited access to doctors, to make diagnoses other than TB and enable them to manage common respiratory diseases. The Integrated Management of Adolescent and Adult Illness (IMAI) manuals provide similar but higher level guidance aimed at district level clinicians.²²⁴ Sufficient time and human resources are needed to follow these guidelines, but both are too often lacking in resource-limited settings.

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

5) *Paper 1*: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

5.1. Cover sheet



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646

F:+44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed for each research paper included within a thesis.

SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	079810	Title	Dr			
First Name(s)	Yasmeen					
Surname/Family Name	Hanifa					
Thesis Title	Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in South Africa					
Primary Supervisor	Alison Grant					

If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

SECTION B - Paper already published

Where was the work published?	PLoS One		
When was the work published?	June 2016		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	N/A		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes

*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

SECTION C - Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

	vork		
For multi-authored work, give f your role in the research includ paper and in the preparation of (Attach a further sheet if neces	ull details of ed in the the paper. sary)	I managed the study, conduc wrote the paper.	ted the data analysis, and
SECTION E		1	
Student Signature			
Date	28th	May 2019	
Supervisor Signature			
Date	28 N	0 2019	

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

5.2. Research paper





G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Chihota VN, Adonis L, Charalambous S, Karstaedt A, et al. (2016) Diagnostic Accuracy of Lateral Flow Urine LAM Assay for TB Screening of Adults with Advanced Immunosuppression Attending Routine HIV Care in South Africa. PLoS ONE 11(6): e0156866. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155866

Editor: Petros Isaakidis, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), INDIA

Received: December 10, 2015

Accepted: May 19, 2016

Published: June 7, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Hanifa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2182729.

Funding: The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant No. OPP1034523;http://www.gatesfoundation. org). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Diagnostic Accuracy of Lateral Flow Urine LAM Assay for TB Screening of Adults with Advanced Immunosuppression Attending Routine HIV Care in South Africa

Yasmeen Hanifa¹*, Katherine L. Fielding¹, Violet N. Chihota^{2,3}, Lungiswa Adonis⁴, Salome Charalambous^{2,3}, Alan Karstaedt^{3,5}, Kerrigan McCarthy², Mark P. Nicol^{6,7}, Nontobeko T. Ndlovu², Faieza Sahid^{3,5}, Gavin J. Churchyard^{1,2,3,8}, Alison D. Grant^{1,3}

1 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, 2 Aurum Institute, Johannesburg, South Africa, 3 University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 4 Mamelodi Hospital, Pretoria, South Africa, 5 Department of Medicine, Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital, Johannesburg, South Africa, 6 National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa, 7 University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, 8 Advancing Care and Treatment (ACT) for TB/HIV, South African Medical Research Council Collaborating Centre for HIV and TB, Cape Town, South Africa

* yasmeen.hanifa@lshtm.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

We assessed the diagnostic accuracy of Determine TB-LAM (LF-LAM) to screen for tuberculosis among ambulatory adults established in HIV care in South Africa.

Methods

A systematic sample of adults attending for HIV care, regardless of symptomatology, were enrolled in the XPHACTOR study, which tested a novel algorithm for prioritising investigation with Xpert MTB/RIF. In this substudy, restricted to participants with enrolment CD4<200x10⁶/ I, urine was stored at enrolment for later testing with LF-LAM. Sputum was sent for immediate Xpert MTB/RIF if any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, body mass index (BMI)<18.5kg/m², CD4<100x10⁶/I (or <200x10⁶/I if pre-ART), weight loss \geq 10% or strong clinical suspicion were present; otherwise, sputum was stored for Xpert testing at study completion. Participants were reviewed monthly, with reinvestigation if indicated, to 3 months, when sputum and blood were taken for mycobacterial culture. We defined tuberculosis as "confirmed" if Xpert, line probe assay or culture for *M. tuberculosis* within six months of enrolment were positive, and "clinical" if tuberculosis treatment started without microbiological confirmation.

Results

Amongst 424 participants, 61% were female and 57% were taking ART (median duration 22 months); median age, CD4 and BMI were 39 years, 111×10^6 /l, and 23 kg/m². 56/424 (13%) participants had tuberculosis (40 confirmed, 16 clinical). 24/424 (5.7%) vs. 8/424

1/12

PLOS ONE

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

(1.9%) were LAM-positive using grade 1 vs. grade 2 cut-off. Using grade 1 cut-off, sensitivity for confirmed TB (all clinical TB excluded) was 12.5% (95% Cl 4.2%, 26.8%) and in CD4<100x10⁶/l vs. CD4 \geq 100x10⁶/l was 16.7% (95% Cl 4.7%, 37.4%) vs. 6.3% (95% Cl 0.2%, 30.2%). Specificity was >95% irrespective of diagnostic reference standard, CD4 stratum, or whether grade 1 or grade 2 cut-off was used.

Conclusion

Sensitivity of LF-LAM is too low to recommend as part of intensified case finding in ambulatory patients established in HIV care.

Introduction

The global HIV-associated tuberculosis (TB) epidemic remains a huge public health challenge, with sub-Saharan Africa accounting for the vast majority of HIV-positive individuals diagnosed with and dying from TB. [1] Diagnosis of TB in people living with HIV (PLHIV) is complicated by limitations of available diagnostics and the effect of immunosuppression on clinical presentation of TB, e.g. reliance on sputum samples, the high proportion with smear-negative or extrapulmonary disease, [2] and slow turnaround time for mycobacterial culture. The World Health Organization recommends, as part of activities to address HIV-related TB, regular screening for active TB of all PLHIV followed by Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) as the primary diagnostic test. [3] Xpert MTB/RIF has far greater sensitivity than smear and provides results in under two hours, but like mycobacterial culture is expensive and laboratory-based, which presents challenges for resource-limited settings. [3]

Testing for lipoarabinomannan (LAM), a cell wall lipopolysaccharide specific to mycobacteria that is detectable in urine, is attractive as a screening tool for PLHIV, given a low-cost point-of-care lateral-flow LAM assay (LF-LAM) (Determine TB-LAM; Alere, USA), potential for rapid TB diagnosis, low biosafety risk, and ease of sample collection. Evaluations of LF-LAM as a screening tool for TB have been undertaken in ambulatory patients in Ethiopia and South Africa either prior to antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation, [4, 5] or on receiving a positive HIV diagnosis at HIV counselling and testing services (HCT). [6, 7] In these groups LF-LAM sensitivity, compared to bacteriologically-confirmed TB, was inadequate as a standalone test, though improved at lower CD4 cell counts. When evaluated amongst hospitalised HIV-positive patients with TB symptoms in Uganda and South Africa sensitivity was much greater, particularly amongst those with advanced immunosuppression, suggesting utility as a rule-in test in this population. [8–10]

There are no published studies, to our knowledge, evaluating LF-LAM as a screening tool for TB as part of intensified case finding for ambulatory patients established in HIV care (rather than at their initial assessment). The aim of our study was to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of LF-LAM among adults with advanced immunosuppression (CD4 <200x10⁶/l) established in HIV care. Our study contributed data to a systematic review of LF-LAM for the diagnosis and screening of active TB in PLHIV, which informed the recently published World Health Organization (WHO) policy guidance.[11]

Methods

This "LAM" study was part of XPHACTOR, a prospective cohort study evaluating a risk-based algorithm to prioritise Xpert MTB/RIF testing amongst adults attending for routine HIV care

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

in South Africa. Fig 1 depicts XPHACTOR study flow and how participants entered the LAM substudy.

XPHACTOR study population and recruitment

We enrolled a systematic sample of adults (aged \geq 18 years) attending four clinics in Gauteng province for HIV care, irrespective of presence of symptoms suggestive of TB. Patients taking anti-tuberculosis treatment within the previous 3 months were excluded. Patients were enrolled into "on ART" (currently taking ART) and "pre-ART" (in HIV care but not taking ART) groups. At the time of the study, ART eligibility comprised CD4 \leq 350x10⁶/l or WHO clinical stage \geq 3.

XPHACTOR procedures

Enrolment. At enrolment, research staff administered a standardised questionnaire incorporating the WHO TB screening tool (any of current cough, fever, night sweats or unintentional weight loss), measured height and weight, and recorded most recent clinic CD4 cell count. Further investigation was prioritised according to the XPHACTOR algorithm with an immediate spot sputum sample sent for Xpert MTB/RIF for (i) all assigned "high priority" (any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss \geq 10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); (ii) those in the pre-ART group with CD4<200x10⁶/l at enrolment because of *a priori* high risk of active TB. For all other participants a spot sputum sample was frozen at -80°C within 24 hours for testing with Xpert MTB/RIF at the end of the study.

Follow-up. Participants were reviewed monthly to three months, with repeat WHO symptom screen and a spot sputum requested for Xpert MTB/RIF if "high priority" by the study algorithm at that visit. Those in the "on ART" group who were asymptomatic at enrolment were telephoned at 1 and 2 months to update locator information but were not asked about TB symptoms. At the 3-month visit, sputum (induced if necessary) and blood were collected for mycobacterial culture in liquid media (Bactec MGIT 960 or 9240 systems) from all study participants. We allowed the 3-month visit to be undertaken more than three months post-enrolment in order to maximise study follow up.

Participants who submitted an Xpert sample were reviewed and if Xpert-positive, TB treatment was initiated; if negative, further investigation in accordance with national guidelines was facilitated (chest radiograph, sputum culture and trial of antibiotics).

Clinic medical records were reviewed at the end of the study to ascertain any additional TB diagnoses. We recorded deaths through reports from participant-nominated contacts, clinic staff, and by accessing the Department of Home Affairs vital statistics database using participants' South African identification (ID) numbers, which enabled us to track vital status several months after final study visit for those with valid ID numbers.

LAM substudy procedures

All participants with $CD4 < 200x10^6/l$ were eligible for this substudy. Eligible participants were asked to provide a spot urine sample in a sterile container at enrolment, which was stored at 2–8°C prior to freezing at -80°C within 24 hours of collection. At the end of the study samples were thawed to ambient temperature and tested with LF-LAM by two trained laboratory technologists in accordance with training provided by Alere representatives. The technologists did not have access to other bacteriological results when performing LF-LAM tests. Each test was graded once, using the pre-January 2014 manufacturer's reference card comprising five grades

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

PLOS ONE

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic





Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

PLOS ONE

sweats, measured weight loss <10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms. ³ Samples tested with Xpert MTB/RIF at the end of the study. ⁴ High priority (any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, night sweats \geq 4 weeks, body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss \geq 10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156866.g001

of colour intensity with the least intense band assigned grade 1, absence of a band graded negative, and absence of control band deemed a failed test. [12]

TB Case Definitions

"Confirmed" TB was defined as a positive result on i) Xpert MTB/RIF or ii) line probe assay (LPA) performed on cultured isolate for identification and susceptibility or iii) *M. tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) culture, from any sample taken within six months of enrolment. Individuals who started TB treatment within six months of enrolment, in the absence of microbiological confirmation (including those with treatment starts reported at verbal autopsy) and those smear-positive in the absence of an associated positive culture or LPA result, were assigned "clinical" TB. This was based on the assumption that an HIV-positive adult with a positive test result or starting TB treatment within 6 months after enrolment likely had active TB at enrolment, supported by data from Zimbabwe which estimated the mean duration of smear-positivity prior to TB diagnosis amongst HIV-positive adults to be 18–33 weeks. [13] Furthermore individuals diagnosed with clinical TB based on findings at the 3-month visit would only have started treatment after the 3-month visit.

"Not TB" was defined as fulfilling all of the following: absence of criteria for confirmed or clinical TB; alive at least 3 months after enrolment; and ≥ 1 MTB culture or Xpert result from any sample within 6 months of enrolment. Participants who did not fulfil the case definitions for TB or "not TB" were deemed "unclassifiable" and excluded from the main analysis.

Statistical methods

Data were analysed using Stata 14 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

We did not undertake a formal sample size calculation for the LAM substudy as the sample size was all those eligible from the parent study. The target sample size for XPHACTOR was based on estimating the sensitivity of the study algorithm, the main aim of the study, with reasonable precision.

We calculated sensitivity, specificity and predictive values with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for LF-LAM using cut-offs of grade \geq 1+ and \geq 2+ to define LAM-positive against a diagnostic reference standard of i) confirmed plus clinical TB, and ii) confirmed TB with clinical TB excluded from numerator and denominator. We also calculated these parameters for grade \geq 1+ cut-off for subgroups stratified by CD4<100x10⁶/l and CD4 \geq 100x10⁶/l.

We undertook an exploratory assessment of mortality at six months in all participants who provided a urine specimen, assuming all without record of demise were alive: i) six months after enrolment if they had valid South African ID number, or ii) at latest study / clinic visit date (hereafter last visit) if no valid South African ID. Person-time was calculated from the date of study enrolment until: date of death if death recorded within six months of enrolment, and for all others six months from enrolment if valid South African ID or date of last visit if no valid South African ID. We constructed Kaplan-Meier curves of survival probability by LAM positivity using LAM grade \geq 1+ to define positivity, and compared mortality using Cox regression.



TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the ethics committees at the University of the Witwatersrand, University of Cape Town, and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. All participants gave written informed consent, or witnessed verbal consent if unable to write. For illiterate participants, an impartial witness was present during the consenting process, and signed the witness section of the consent form. All ethics committees approved this consent procedure. Consent and participation in the study was voluntary. Participants were able to refuse to take part, with no consequences to their healthcare or any other services as a result of refusal.

Results

Between September 2012 and March 2014 we enrolled 3508 participants established in HIV care, of whom 586 had CD4 <200x10⁶/l and were eligible for the LAM substudy. 80% (469/ 586) provided a urine sample, and the remaining 20% (117/586) did not, as unable (93) or reason not recorded (24) (Fig 2); 67% (395/586) provided a spot sputum sample at enrolment. 44 participants were excluded because unclassifiable: no TB diagnosed but absence of any TB microbiology results (26); death within three months of enrolment (14); and TB diagnosed >6 months after enrolment (4); and one sample could not be tested as damaged, leaving 424 eligible for evaluation of diagnostic accuracy of LF-LAM.

There was little difference in WHO-tool positivity or gender amongst those providing (N = 469) vs. not providing urine (N = 117): 52% vs. 44% WHO-tool positive (p = 0.1) and 61% vs. 62% female (p = 0.7). Median CD4 was lower in those providing urine compared with



Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

PLOS ONE

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

Table 1.	Baseline c	haracteris	stics of s	study part	icipants	
					•	

	All participants N = 424
Age-years	
Median (IQR)	39 (32, 45)
Sex	
Female	258 (60.8%)
Ethnic group	
Black/African	421 (99.3%)
Participant category	
Pre-ART	182 (42.9%)
On ART	242 (57.1%)
ART duration for those on ART, months median (IQR)	22 (6, 52)
Previous TB treatment	
Yes	125 (29.5%)
Ever had IPT	
Yes	18 (4.2%)
Ever had CPT	
Yes	276 (65.1%)
Current diuretic use	
Yes	10 (2.4%)
Enrolment WHO symptom screen	
Positive	224 (52.8%)
Enrolment BMI-kg/m ² (N = 423)	
Median (IQR)	23 (20, 27)
Enrolment CD4 x10 ⁶ /l ¹	
Median (IQR)	111 (56, 161)

Numbers are median (Interquartile range [IQR]) or number (%)

ART = Antiretroviral therapy; CPT = Co-trimoxazole preventive therapy; IPT = Isoniazid preventive therapy; BMI = body mass index

WHO symptom screen positive = self-report of any of current cough, fever, night sweats or unintentional weight loss

¹ All participants had CD4<200x10⁶/l

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156866.t001

those who did not (110 vs. 140×10^6 /l [p = 0.01]); and a greater proportion also provided sputum at enrolment (74% vs. 42% [p<0.001]).

Participant characteristics

Characteristics of the 424 LAM substudy participants are presented in Table 1. The majority of participants were female (61%), WHO-tool positive at enrolment (53%), and in the "on ART" group (57%) amongst whom median duration of ART was 22 months (interquartile range [IQR] 6, 52). Median age, CD4 and BMI at enrolment were respectively 39 years, 111x10⁶/l, and 23 kg/m²; and 30% had previously been treated for TB. In the "pre-ART" group, for 97% (176/182) participants with reported date of first positive HIV test recorded, median duration since HIV diagnosis was 20 days (IQR 10, 65). 94% (171/182) of "pre-ART" initiated ART within median 9 days (IQR 4, 24) from enrolment (initiation date available for 170/171).

The proportion reporting each WHO-tool symptom was cough, 32% (134/424) with median duration 14 days (interquartile range [IQR] 7,38); unintentional weight loss, 31% (131/

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

424); night sweats, 15% (65/424); fever, 10% (44/424); and 24% (102/424) reported more than one symptom.

Tuberculosis diagnoses

13% (56/424) of participants fulfilled our case definitions for tuberculosis (7% [16/242] "on ART" and 22% [40/182] "pre-ART"), amongst whom treatment start date was available for 53/ 56, and was started at a median of 13 (IQR 5, 95) days from enrolment. 40/56 had confirmed TB (25 Xpert-positive, 7 Mtb culture-positive, 7 both Xpert and Mtb culture-positive, 1 pleural fluid cultured isolate LPA-positive) of whom 36/40 had pulmonary TB, 3/40 both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB, and 1/40 extrapulmonary TB only. 16/56 had clinical TB for whom diagnosis was based on compatible chest radiograph or abdominal ultrasound (8), persistent cough and weight loss (1), positive sputum smear (1), and unknown (6) including TB treatment reported at verbal autopsy (2). Amongst those with clinical TB the site was pulmonary (10/16), extrapulmonary (4/16), and not recorded (2/16).

Performance of urine LAM

LAM results were available for 424 participants. A positive LF-LAM result using grade 1 vs. grade 2 cut-off was observed in 5.7% (24) vs. 1.9% (8) of participants. The distribution of results was negative, 94% (400); grade 1, 3.8% (16); grade 2, 1.2% (5); grade 3, 0.5% (2); and grade 5, 0.2% (1).

Table 2 summarises the performance of LF-LAM in our study population. Sensitivity for all TB (clinical and confirmed) using grade 1 cut-off was 14.3% (95% CI 6.4%, 26.2%), similar if reference standard was confirmed TB with all clinical TB excluded (12.5% [95% CI 4.2%, 26.8%]), but lower if grade 2 cut-off utilised (5.4% [95% CI 1.1%, 14.9%] for all TB). Sensitivity was greater in participants with enrolment CD4<100 vs. CD4 \geq 100x10⁶/l: using grade 1 cut-off 17.1% (95% CI 6.6%, 33.6%) vs. 9.5% (95% CI 1.2%, 30.4%) for all TB, and 16.7% (95% CI 4.7%, 37.4%) vs. 6.3% (95% CI 0.2%, 30.2%) for confirmed TB. Specificity of the test was >95% irrespective of reference standard, CD4 stratum, or whether positivity was defined using grade 1 or grade 2 cut-off.

In a sensitivity analysis we included the 40 participants whom we deemed to have unclassifiable TB outcome, and considered those excluded because deceased within 3 months of enrolment to have TB (N = 14), and those excluded because of absence of microbiology to not have TB (N = 26). Against a reference standard for all TB (clinical and confirmed) and using grade 1 cut-off, the sensitivity and specificity of LF-LAM was 15.7% (95% CI 8.1, 26.4) and 95.7% (95% CI 93.2, 97.5) respectively. If a grade 2 cut-off was used the sensitivity and specificity of LF-LAM was 8.6% (95% CI 3.2, 17.7) and 98.7% (95% CI 97.1, 99.6) respectively.

There were five false positive LF-LAM rests using the grade 2 cut-off, of these one participant with CD4 of $4x10^6$ /l at enrolment had *M. avium* isolated from sputum culture but was not treated and was alive at six months. All of the remaining four participants with false positive LF-LAM had negative sputum mycobacteriology during follow up and were alive at six months.

Mortality

Amongst 468 participants with evaluable urine samples, 6% (28/468) were LF-LAM positive using grade 1 cut-off, of whom 14% (4/28) died within six months of enrolment. Among the 440 who were LF-LAM negative, 5% (20/440) died (hazard ratio 3.6 [95%CI 1.2, 10.5], p = 0.04; Fig 3).

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

PLOS ONE

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

	Prev o	alence f TB	Preva of po L/	alence ositive AM	ę	Sensitivity	s	pecificity		PPV		NPV
Gold standard = confirmed* and clinical [†] TB	n/N	%	n/N	%	n/ N	% (95% CI)	n/N	% (95% CI)	n/ N	% (95% CI)	n/N	% (95% CI)
Grade 1 [‡] cut-off	56/ 424	13.2%	24/ 424	5.7%	8/ 56	14.3% (6.4, 26.2)	352/ 368	95.7% (93.0, 97.5)	8/ 24	33.3% (15.6, 55.3)	352/ 400	88.0% (84.4, 91.0)
CD4 <100	35/ 187	18.7%	12/ 187	6.4%	6/ 35	17.1% (6.6, 33.6)	146/ 152	96.1% (91.6, 98.5)	6/ 12	50.0% (21.1, 78.9)	146/ 175	83.4% (77.1, 88.6)
$CD4 \ge 100$	21/ 237	8.9%	12/ 237	5.1%	2/ 21	9.5% (1.2, 30.4)	206/ 216	95.4% (91.7, 97.8)	2/ 12	16.7% (2.1, 48.4)	206/ 225	91.6% (87.1, 94.8)
Grade 2 [§] cut-off	56/ 424	13.2%	8/ 424	1.9%	3/ 56	5.4% (1.1, 14.9)	363/ 368	98.6% (96.9, 99.6)	3/8	37.5% (8.5, 75.5)	363/ 416	87.3% (83.7, 90.3)
Gold standard = confirmed* TB (all clinical [†] TB excluded) (N = 408)												
Grade 1 [‡] cut-off	40/ 408	9.8%	21/ 408	5.1%	5/ 40	12.5% (4.2, 26.8)	352/ 368	95.7% (93.0, 97.5)	5/ 21	23.8% (8.2, 47.2)	352/ 387	91.0% (87.6, 93.6)
CD4 <100	24/ 176	13.6%	10/ 176	5.7%	4/ 24	16.7% (4.7, 37.4)	146/ 152	96.1% (91.6, 98.5)	4/ 10	40.0% (12.2, 73.8)	146/ 166	88.0% (82.0, 92.5)
$CD4 \ge 100$	16/ 232	6.9%	11/ 232	4.7%	1/ 16	6.3% (0.2, 30.2)	206/ 216	95.4% (91.7, 97.8)	1/ 11	9.1% (0.2, 41.3)	206/ 221	93.2% (89.1, 96.2)
Grade 2 [§] cut-off	40/ 408	9.8%	8/ 408	2.0%	3/ 40	7.5% (1.6, 20.4)	363/ 368	98.6% (96.9, 99.6)	3/8	37.5% (8.5, 75.5)	363/ 400	90.8% (87.5, 93.4)

* Confirmed TB = positive on Xpert MTB/RIF or line probe assay or M. tuberculosis culture, from any sample taken within 6 months of enrolment

† Clinical TB = started TB treatment within 6 months of enrolment, in the absence of microbiological confirmation and those smear-positive in the absence of an associated culture

‡ Grade 1 positive: > = 1+

§ Grade 2 positive: > = 2+

NPV = Negative predictive value; PPV = Positive predictive value; CI = confidence interval

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156866.t002



Fig 3. Kaplan-Meier curve comparing mortality between LAM positive (dashed line) and LAM negative (solid line) participants using grade 1 cut-off. Y-axis range for cumulative mortality is 0 to 0.2

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156866.g003

PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0156866 June 7, 2016

9/12

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

PLOS ONE

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

Discussion

We found very low sensitivity of LF-LAM for TB (whether confirmed, or also including clinical diagnoses) among ambulatory outpatients established in HIV care with CD4<200x10⁶/l, which does not support its use for TB screening in this study population. Recent WHO LF-LAM policy guidance recommends against use for TB screening, based on the systematic review to which our data contributed, which reported (using grade 2 cut-off and microbiological reference standard) a pooled sensitivity of only 23% for screening HIV-positive outpatients.[11] Our study is the first reporting performance of LF-LAM among outpatients established in HIV care. It adds to the published evaluations of LF-LAM amongst HIV-positive adult outpatients screened for TB, which to date have only been undertaken in those newly diagnosed [6, 7] or about to initiate ART, [4, 5] populations likely to be sicker than those already in HIV care.

In our study, LF-LAM had a sensitivity of 13% using the grade \geq 1 cut-off (against confirmed TB as a gold standard) vs. reported 26-29% [4, 5] in those about to initiate ART, and 28-41% [6, 7] amongst those with a new HIV diagnosis. In those evaluations, sensitivity improved greatly at lower CD4 counts, e.g. for confirmed TB from around 20% if $CD4 \ge 100 \times 10^6$ /l to >50% if $CD4 < 100 \times 10^6$ /l; our improvement from 10% to 17% is in accord although still not useful for screening. [4-7] The sensitivity of LF-LAM is greater in those who are sicker, and this test is most useful as a rapid rule-in tool for TB when used for HIV-positive inpatients with symptoms suggestive of TB and advanced immunosuppression, a population with high mortality; and its use in this setting is supported by the recent WHO guidance.[11] In these populations sensitivity of 59–66% [9, 10] for culture-confirmed TB has been reported from evaluations in South Africa and Uganda, increasing to 85% [10] when combined with sputum Xpert MTB/RIF, thus potentially enabling rapid initiation of TB treatment. In contrast our participants were established in HIV care, and we were evaluating the usefulness of LF-LAM as part of a routine screening algorithm for intensified TB case finding in HIV clinic attendees, although restricted to those with CD4 counts below 200x10⁶/l for whom LF-LAM was most likely to be useful. The median CD4 in our study population was 111x10⁶/l which is lower than the 170-248x10⁶/l reported in outpatient evaluations, [4-7] and our participants were frequently symptomatic, but in spite of this we found poor sensitivity for TB, possibly as patients were less ill.

An obvious advantage of a urine-based diagnostic test is ease of specimen collection, although privacy is clearly required. However, we found 20% of eligible patients did not provide a urine sample which, although less than the 33% unable to produce sputum spontaneously at enrolment, is still greater than 1–3% unable to produce urine in other outpatient studies. [5, 7] We found no indication that those unable to produce urine were more unwell than those who could, so this is unlikely to have affected our findings, although we acknowledge this as a limitation of our study. Our study procedures were fitted around routine appointments in busy public sector clinics, and we postulate that time limitations may have contributed, as these patients were also less likely to provide sputum at enrolment.

We found increased mortality amongst patients who were LF-LAM positive, consistent with studies of sicker inpatient HIV-positive populations reporting LAM-positivity as a predictor for mortality. [14, 15]

Strengths of our study include our systematic sampling and longitudinal follow-up, which minimised the number of TB diagnoses missed. All samples for mycobacteriology collected during the course of our study, many of which were sputum samples collected at enrolment, contributed to our reference standard of "confirmed" TB. We undertook all LF-LAM tests at study completion using frozen samples, but this is consistent with other published studies, and

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

all our samples were stored in accordance with manufacturer's recommendation with only one freeze-thaw cycle, and processed within one year from collection. [16] A limitation of our study is the exclusion of participants (N = 40) who were not diagnosed with TB but either did not have any TB microbiology results or died within three months of enrolment, but our sensitivity analysis shows that this made little difference to the performance of LF-LAM.

Conclusion

Despite the appeal of LF-LAM as a cheap, non-sputum based, point-of-care TB screening tool, the low sensitivity in this population with advanced immunosuppression, of whom 13% had TB, precludes recommendation for its use to screen for TB in ambulatory patients established in HIV care in accordance with the recent WHO LF-LAM policy guidance.[11]

Acknowledgments

We thank the study participants; the nursing and medical staff of Chris Hani Baragwanath and Mamelodi hospitals, Ramokonopi and Jabulani Dumane community health clinics, South Africa; the staff of National Health Laboratory Services, South Africa; and the staff of Aurum Institute for their essential contributions to this study.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YH KLF VNC LA SC AK KM MPN GJC ADG. Performed the experiments: YH VNC LA AK NTN FS ADG. Analyzed the data: YH KLF ADG. Wrote the paper: YH KLF VNC LA SC AK KM MPN NTN FS GJC ADG.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2014 [17th April 2015]. Available: http://www. who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/.
- Samb B, Sow PS, Kony S, Maynart-Badiane M, Diouf G, Cissokho S, et al. Risk factors for negative sputum acid-fast bacilli smears in pulmonary tuberculosis: results from Dakar, Senegal, a city with low HIV seroprevalence. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 1999; 3(4):330–6. PMID: 10206504
- World Health Organization. WHO policy on collaborative TB/HIV activities: guidelines for national programmes and other stakeholders 2012 [1st May 2013]. Available: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/ 2012/tb_hiv_policy_9789241503006/en/.
- Balcha TT, Winqvist N, Sturegård E, Skogmar S, Reepalu A, Jemal ZH, et al. Detection of lipoarabinomannan in urine for identification of active tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in Ethiopian health centres. Trop Med Int Health. 2014; 19(6):734–42. doi: 10.1111/tmi.12308 PMID: 24684481
- Lawn SD, Kerkhoff AD, Vogt M, Wood R. Diagnostic accuracy of a low-cost, urine antigen, point-ofcare screening assay for HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis before antiretroviral therapy: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2012; 12(3):201–9. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70251-1 PMID: 22015305
- Drain PK, Losina E, Coleman SM, Giddy J, Ross D, Katz JN, et al. Value of urine lipoarabinomannan grade and second test for optimizing clinic-based screening for HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015; 68(3):274–80. doi: 10.1097/QAI.000000000000436 PMID: 25415288
- Drain PK, Losina E, Coleman SM, Giddy J, Ross D, Katz JN, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a point-ofcare urine test for tuberculosis screening among newly-diagnosed HIV-infected adults: a prospective, clinic-based study. BMC Infect Dis. 2014; 14:110. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-110 PMID: 24571362
- Nakiyingi L, Moodley VM, Manabe YC, Nicol MP, Holshouser M, Armstrong DT, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a rapid urine lipoarabinomannan test for tuberculosis in HIV-infected adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014; 66(3):270–9. doi: 10.1097/QAI.00000000000151 PMID: 24675585
- Peter JG, Theron G, van Zyl-Smit R, Haripersad A, Mottay L, Kraus S, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a urine lipoarabinomannan strip-test for TB detection in HIV-infected hospitalised patients. Eur Respir J. 2012; 40(5):1211–20. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00201711 PMID: 22362849

PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0156866 June 7, 2016

11/12

Paper 1: Diagnostic accuracy of lateral flow urine LAM assay for TB screening of adults with advanced immunosuppression attending routine HIV care in South Africa

PLOS ONE

TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic

- Shah M, Ssengooba W, Armstrong D, Nakiyingi L, Holshouser M, Ellner JJ, et al. Comparative performance of urinary lipoarabinomannan assays and Xpert MTB/RIF in HIV-infected individuals. AIDS. 2014; 28(9):1307–14. doi: 10.1097/QAD.00000000000264 PMID: 24637544
- World Health Organization. The use of lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay (LF-LAM) for the diagnosis and screening of active tuberculosis in people living with HIV. Policy guidance 2015 [20th November 2015].
- Peter J, Theron G, Chanda D, Clowes P, Rachow A, Lesosky M, et al. Test characteristics and potential impact of the urine LAM lateral flow assay in HIV-infected outpatients under investigation for TB and able to self-expectorate sputum for diagnostic testing. BMC Infect Dis. 2015; 15:262. doi: 10.1186/ s12879-015-0967-z PMID: 26156025
- Corbett EL, Bandason T, Cheung YB, Makamure B, Dauya E, Munyati SS, et al. Prevalent infectious tuberculosis in Harare, Zimbabwe: burden, risk factors and implications for control. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009; 13(10):1231–7. PMID: 19793427
- Manabe YC, Nonyane BA, Nakiyingi L, Mbabazi O, Lubega G, Shah M, et al. Point-of-care lateral flow assays for tuberculosis and cryptococcal antigenuria predict death in HIV infected adults in Uganda. PLoS One. 2014; 9(7):e101459. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101459 PMID: 25000489
- Talbot E, Munseri P, Teixeira P, Matee M, Bakari M, Lahey T, et al. Test characteristics of urinary lipoarabinomannan and predictors of mortality among hospitalized HIV-infected tuberculosis suspects in Tanzania. PLoS One. 2012; 7(3):e32876. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032876 PMID: 22412939
- 16. Alere Ltd. Alere Determine TB LAM Package Insert (Multilingual) December 2013 [14 October 2015]. Available: http://www.alere.com/en/home/support/doc-search.html.

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

6) Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

6.1. Cover sheet



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed for each research paper included within a thesis.

SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	079810	Title	Dr		
First Name(s)	Yasmeen				
Surname/Family Name	Hanifa				
Thesis Title	Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in South Africa				
Primary Supervisor	Alison Grant				

If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

SECTION B - Paper already published

Where was the work published?	PLoS One		
When was the work published?	August 2017		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	N/A		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes

*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

SECTION C - Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

SECTION D – Multi-authored work	T
For multi-authored work, give full details of your role in the research included in the paper and in the preparation of the paper. (Attach a further sheet if necessary)	I managed the study, conducted the data analysis, and wrote the paper.

SECTION E

Student Signature			·
Date	28 m	May	2019

Supervisor Signature		
Date	28 Ma	2019

Improving health worldwide

, Page 2 of 2

www.lshtm.ac.uk

6.2. Research paper

PLOS ONE



G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Chihota VN, Adonis L, Charalambous S, Foster N, et al. (2017) A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa. PLoS ONE 12(8): e0181519. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519

Editor: Graciela Andrei, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Rega Institute for Medical Research, BELGIUM

Received: August 18, 2016

Accepted: June 21, 2017

Published: August 3, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Hanifa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The XPHACTOR Clinical Score dataset has been uploaded to the LSHTM Data Compass repository at https://doi. org/10.17037/DATA.204 and is made available on request. Our study participants consented to the use of information collected from the XPHACTOR study for HIV and TB related research. Users of our data need to agree to this condition in order to fulfil the study's ethical obligations to research participants. The study team wish to avoid RESEARCH ARTICLE

A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

Yasmeen Hanifa¹*, Katherine L. Fielding¹, Violet N. Chihota^{2,3}, Lungiswa Adonis⁴, Salome Charalambous^{2,3}, Nicola Foster⁵, Alan Karstaedt^{6,7}, Kerrigan McCarthy², Mark P. Nicol^{8,9}, Nontobeko T. Ndlovu², Edina Sinanovic⁵, Faieza Sahid^{6,7}, Wendy Stevens^{9,10}, Anna Vassall¹, Gavin J. Churchyard^{1,2,3,11}, Alison D. Grant^{1,3,12}

1 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom, 2 The Aurum Institute, Johannesburg, South Africa, 3 School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 4 Mamelodi Hospital, Pretoria, South Africa, 5 Health Economics Unit, School of public health and family medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, 6 Department of Medicine, Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital, Johannesburg, South Africa, 7 7 University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 8 Division of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, 9 National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa, 10 Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, 11 Advancing Treatment and Care for TB/HIV, South Africa Medical Research Council Collaborating Centre for HIV and TB, Johannesburg, South Africa, 12 School of Nursing and Public Health, Africa Health Research Institute, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

* yasmeen.hanifa@lshtm.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation for regular tuberculosis (TB) screening of HIV-positive individuals with Xpert MTB/RIF as the first diagnostic test has major resource implications.

Objective

To develop a diagnostic prediction model for TB, for symptomatic adults attending for routine HIV care, to prioritise TB investigation.

Design

Cohort study exploring a TB testing algorithm.

Setting

HIV clinics, South Africa.

Participants

Representative sample of adult HIV clinic attendees; data from participants reporting \geq 1 symptom on the WHO screening tool were split 50:50 to derive, then internally validate, a prediction model.



unnecessary barriers to access and will seek to respond to data requests as quickly as possible.

Funding: GC received funding for the study from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, grant number OPP1034523 (Churchyard). URL: http:// www.gatesfoundation.org. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Outcome

TB, defined as "confirmed" if Xpert MTB/RIF, line probe assay or *M. tuberculosis* culture were positive; and "clinical" if TB treatment started without microbiological confirmation, within six months of enrolment.

Results

Overall, 79/2602 (3.0%) participants on ART fulfilled TB case definitions, compared to 65/ 906 (7.2%) pre-ART. Among 1133/3508 (32.3%) participants screening positive on the WHO tool, 1048 met inclusion criteria for this analysis: 52/515 (10.1%) in the derivation and 58/533 (10.9%) in the validation dataset had TB. Our final model comprised ART status (on ART > 3 months vs. pre-ART or ART < 3 months); body mass index (continuous); CD4 (continuous); number of WHO symptoms (1 vs. >1 symptom). We converted this to a clinical score, using clinically-relevant CD4 and BMI categories. A cut-off score of \geq 3 identified those with TB with sensitivity and specificity of 91.8% and 34.3% respectively. If investigation was prioritised for individuals with score of \geq 3, 68% (717/1048) symptomatic individuals would be tested, among whom the prevalence of TB would be 14.1% (101/717); 32% (331/ 1048) of tests would be avoided, but 3% (9/331) with TB would be missed amongst those not tested.

Conclusion

Our clinical score may help prioritise TB investigation among symptomatic individuals.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends, as part of activities to address the vast global burden of HIV-related tuberculosis (TB), regular screening for active TB of all people living with HIV (PLHIV) followed by Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) as the primary diagnostic test. [1] The recommended TB screening tool, which comprises any one of current cough, fever, weight loss or night sweats (subsequently referred to as the WHO tool), was developed for use in resource limited settings. [2] This simple tool, which was designed to rule out TB prior to the provision of isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) to PLHIV, maximises sensitivity (78.9%) and negative predictive value (97.7% at TB prevalence of 5% in PLHIV), but has low specificity (49.6%) and positive predictive value (8% at TB prevalence of 5% in PLHIV). [2] South Africa, which is home to the world's largest HIV epidemic [3] and where 62% of individuals with TB are also HIV-positive, [4] has rolled out Xpert as the initial diagnostic test for all individuals with symptoms suggesting TB. [5] Regular TB screening of PLHIV with a tool that generates large numbers of patients requiring further investigation, of whom only a small proportion will have TB, combined with a diagnostic test that is currently far more expensive than smear microscopy, poses a huge challenge in resource constrained settings. In these settings prioritising testing for those at greatest risk of TB will help preserve resources.

Multivariable prediction models estimate the probability that an individual either has or will develop a particular condition. These models are increasingly abundant in the literature, with variable quality of construction as well as reporting, as highlighted by the recent TRIPOD

PLOS ONE

statement which presents a recommended reporting framework. [6, 7] Clinical scoring algorithms have been developed for PLHIV with symptoms suggestive of TB to prioritise investigation for those with greatest probability of having TB prior to antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation, [8] and improve case finding, [9] but these algorithms have not been validated or applied to patients on ART.

The aim of our study was to develop a score, comprising elements readily available in primary care, to predict probability of TB in adults attending for routine HIV care screened for TB and found WHO tool positive. This score was used to develop a simple tool to help health care workers in resource limited settings decide whom to prioritise for TB investigation.

Methods

We used data collected for "Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review?" (XPHACTOR), a prospective cohort study evaluating a risk-based algorithm to prioritise Xpert MTB/RIF testing amongst adults attending for routine HIV care in South Africa, to develop and validate our clinical score. Fig 1 depicts XPHACTOR study flow.

XPHACTOR study population and recruitment

We enrolled a systematic sample of adults (aged \geq 18 years) attending two hospital-based and two community health centre (CHC) clinics in Gauteng province, South Africa, for HIV care, irrespective of presence of symptoms suggestive of TB. Patients taking anti-tuberculosis treatment within the previous 3 months were excluded. Patients were enrolled into three groups: "on antiretroviral therapy (ART)" (currently taking or ART-experienced) group; "pre-ART" (in HIV care but not yet taking ART) group; and "HIV Testing and Counselling (HTC)" (newly-diagnosed HIV-positive). We recruited to the on ART group from hospital clinics because their patient population solely comprised those ART-experienced; and pre-ART and HTC groups were recruited from CHC clinics. At the time of the study, ART eligibility comprised CD4 \leq 350 cells/mm³ or WHO clinical stage \geq 3.

XPHACTOR procedures

Enrolment. At enrolment, research staff administered a standardised questionnaire incorporating the WHO TB screening tool (any of current cough, fever, night sweats or unintentional weight loss), measured height and weight, mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), and recorded most recent clinic CD4 cell count. Further investigation was prioritised according to the XPHACTOR algorithm with an immediate spot sputum sample sent for Xpert MTB/RIF for (i) all assigned "high priority" (any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, body mass index [BMI] <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss \geq 10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); (ii) those in pre-ART group with CD4 < 200 x10⁶/l at enrolment (iii) all in HTC group at enrolment, the latter two categories (who were recruited for XPHACTOR substudies) because of *a priori* high risk of active TB. For all other participants a spot sputum sample was frozen at -80°C within 24 hours, for testing with Xpert at the end of the study.

Follow-up. Participants were reviewed monthly to three months, with repeat WHO symptom screen and a spot sputum requested for Xpert MTB/RIF if "high priority" by the study algorithm at that visit, with the exception of those in the "on ART" group who were asymptomatic at enrolment who were telephoned at 1 and 2 months to update locator information but were not asked about TB symptoms. At the 3-month visit sputum (induced if necessary) and blood were collected for mycobacterial culture on liquid media (Bactec MGIT 960

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa



Fig 1. XPHAC I OH study now. ¹ High priority (any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, body mass index (BMI) < 18.5 kg/m², CD4 < 100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss \geq 10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); medium priority (any of: fever < 3 weeks, night sweats, measured weight loss <10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms. ² Samples tested with Xpert MTB/RIF at the end of the study. ³ High priority (any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, night sweats \geq 4 weeks, body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss \geq 10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); medium priority (any of: fever < 3 weeks, night sweats <4 weeks, measured weight loss <10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.g001

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

4/20

PLOS ONE

and 9240 systems) from all study participants. We allowed a broad window period around the scheduled 3-month visit, till around six months, in order to maximise study follow-up.

Participants who submitted an Xpert sample were reviewed and if Xpert-positive, TB treatment was initiated; if negative, further investigation in accordance with national guidelines was facilitated (chest radiograph, sputum culture and trial of antibiotics).

Results of all investigations were fed back to clinic staff, who were responsible for management decisions. Clinic medical records were reviewed at the end of the study to ascertain any additional relevant investigations and/or TB diagnoses. Deaths were identified through reports from participant-nominated contacts, clinic staff, and by accessing the Department of Home Affairs vital statistics database using participants' South African identification (ID) numbers.

Development and validation of the prediction model

Participants. We restricted our analysis to all XPHACTOR participants who were WHO tool positive at enrolment and established in care (i.e. not newly testing HIV positive); and excluded those taking isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) at enrolment, as those on IPT were likely to have recently undergone investigation for TB, and hence were effectively "prescreened" for TB.

To be deemed clinically useful a prediction model should demonstrate accurate prediction of the outcome in data other than that in which the model was developed. We developed our prediction model using part of our dataset, and undertook internal validation of model performance using the remainder of the dataset. [10] Enrolment to XPHACTOR was staggered by site, commencing with hospital clinics; hence the dataset was stratified by site and split 50:50 by median date of enrolment within site. Data from the earlier half were used to derive our prediction model (derivation dataset), and from the latter half for validation (validation dataset). Data were analysed using Stata 14 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA), as detailed below.

Outcome. Our outcome was confirmed or clinical TB versus "not TB", ascertained within 6 months of enrolment to XPHACTOR, as defined below.

"Confirmed" TB was defined as a positive result on i) Xpert MTB/RIF or ii) line probe assay (LPA) performed on smear-positive or cultured isolate (GenoType MTBDR*plus*, Hain Lifesciences) or iii) *M. tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) culture, from any sample (including stored sputum and those requested by the health care provider) collected within six months of XPHACTOR enrolment. Individuals who started TB treatment within six months of enrolment (including those with treatment starts reported in the context of a separate verbal autopsy sub-study), in the absence of microbiological confirmation, were assigned "clinical" TB. This was based on the assumption that an HIV-positive adult with a positive bacteriological test result or starting TB treatment within six months after enrolment likely had active TB at enrolment, supported by data from Zimbabwe which estimated the mean duration of smear-positivity prior to TB diagnosis amongst HIV-positive adults to be 18–33 weeks. [11]

"Not TB" was defined as fulfilling all of the following: absence of criteria for confirmed or clinical TB; and alive at least 3 months after enrolment. Participants who did not fulfil the case definitions for TB or "not TB" were deemed to have an unclassifiable outcome and excluded from the analyses.

Pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB were classified in accordance with WHO definitions. [12]

Candidate predictor selection. There is no consensus on the best method for selecting candidate variables, but suggested approaches include using literature review, clinical knowledge and studying the distribution of predictors in the study data. [6, 13, 14] It is

PLOS ONE

recommended, to ensure predictive accuracy, that the total number of candidate predictors is limited so that there are at least 10 outcomes for each candidate predictor studied. [6, 13] We considered predictors from data collected at enrolment to XPHACTOR known to be associated with prevalent and/or incident TB amongst PLHIV: age, sex, previous TB treatment, smoking, alcohol use, history of ART, duration on ART, previous IPT, previous cotrimoxazole preventive therapy (CPT), presence of individual WHO tool symptoms, duration of WHO tool symptoms, BMI, MUAC, CD4 count, haemoglobin, and viral load. [15–25] History of mining, [26] health care work, [27] and incarceration, [28] although established risk factors for TB were not considered as <10% participants fell into each category. The following variables were also excluded: MUAC, measured weight loss, haemoglobin and viral load, due to >20% missing data; and previous IPT, as there was only one outcome amongst participants with previous IPT.

A priori we combined history of ART with duration on ART to generate "ART status" categorised as: pre-ART or on ART <3 months vs. on ART for >3 months, as amongst patients on ART, duration of <3 months is a predictor for prevalent TB. [29] A priori we considered ART status, CD4 cell count, and BMI for our adjusted model, and used univariable screening to select additional candidate predictors with P-value (p)<0.25.

Model building procedures in derivation dataset. We undertook multivariable logistic regression of candidate predictors, sequentially removing the variable with the largest Wald *p*-value >0.05 (stepwise backward elimination), to generate our final model. [13] A complete-case analysis was undertaken, excluding participants with missing information relating to any of the candidate predictors. A model that categorised the number of WHO symptoms as 1 vs. >1 symptom (model A) was compared with one that included individual WHO tool symptoms (model B), aiming to select the simplest and most practical model to implement in primary care. We also considered a model without CD4 count for settings where this might not be easily available.

Transformations of continuous variables (BMI and CD4) were assessed using fractional polynomials. In our final selected model we tested for interactions between remaining variables and "ART status".

Assessing model performance in derivation dataset. We assessed model calibration, the agreement between probability of TB predicted by the model and observed probability of TB within quantiles of predicted risk, graphically in a calibration plot; and statistically using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. We assumed p<0.05 from the Hosmer-Lemeshow test as indicating lack of model fit (poor calibration), although the test has limited statistical power to detect poor calibration unless the sample size is large and the outcome frequent. [13] We assessed discrimination, the ability of our model to differentiate patients with TB vs. those without, using the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (AUROC). AUROC 0.7 to 0.79, 0.8–0.89, \geq 0.9 are respectively considered acceptable, excellent and outstanding discrimination. [30]

Transformation from regression model to clinical score in derivation dataset

Continuous variables in the final model were categorised in a clinically meaningful manner based on their functional form, and each beta coefficient from this logistic regression model was divided by the smallest coefficient and rounded to the nearest integer to assign points to each variable. The total number of points was summed for each participant to calculate the clinical score.

Internal validation. We used the beta coefficients and intercept from the final regression models (before and after categorisation of continuous variables) generated from the derivation

PLOS ONE

dataset to calculate the risk score for each participant in our validation dataset. We converted the risk score into predicted risk using predicted risk = $1/(1+e^{-risk score})$, [13] and assessed performance of the regression model in the validation dataset by evaluating calibration and discrimination.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the ethics committees at the University of the Witwatersrand, University of Cape Town, and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. All consenting participants gave written consent or, for illiterate participants, witnessed verbal consent. For illiterate participants, there was an impartial witness present during the consenting process, who then signed the relevant witness section of the consent form. All ethics committees approved the consent form, including the section on the use of witnessed oral consent for illiterate participants, at the beginning of the study. Principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki were followed in the conduct of this research.

Results

We enrolled 3508 participants established in care (i.e. not newly testing HIV positive) to XPHACTOR. Overall, among patients taking ART, 783/2602 (30.1%) reported one or more symptom in the WHO tool and 79/2602 (3.0%) had TB. Among pre-ART patients 350/906 (38.6%) reported \geq 1 symptom and 65/906 (7.2%) had TB. For this analysis, 2418/3508 were excluded because WHO tool negative (2227) or on IPT at enrolment (191), and a further 25 participants were excluded because of "unclassifiable" outcome leaving 1065 who were WHO tool positive and eligible for our analysis (Fig 2). We undertook a complete-case analysis and therefore excluded a further 17 participants with missing candidate predictor data (S1 Table), leaving 1048 for our analysis.

Characteristics of study participants

Table 1 compares the characteristics of participants in the derivation and validation datasets. There were 515 participants in the derivation dataset, enrolled between September 2012 and September 2013, amongst whom 52 (10.1%) participants fulfilled case definitions for TB (36 confirmed, 16 clinical). In the validation dataset there were 533 participants enrolled between May 2013 and March 2014, amongst whom 58 (10.9%) participants fulfilled case definitions for TB (39 confirmed, 19 clinical). The proportion with pulmonary vs. extrapulmonary disease in derivation vs. validation datasets amongst those with confirmed TB was pulmonary (35/36 vs. 37/39) and extrapulmonary (1/36 vs. 2/39); and amongst those with clinical TB was pulmonary (9/16 vs. 7/19), extrapulmonary (4/16 vs. 5/19) and not recorded (3/16 vs. 7/19). [12] The median time from enrolment to earliest of positive TB test or date TB treatment was started amongst all participants diagnosed with TB (derivation and validation datasets combined) was 7 days (IQR 0, 63), with 90% of diagnoses made within 120 days of enrolment.

In derivation and validation datasets, median age was 41 years, 72% were in the on ART group, most participants were female (67% vs. 71%), and the most common WHO tool symptoms reported at enrolment were cough (59% vs. 66%) and weight loss (46% vs. 42%; Table 1). At enrolment median CD4 was greater in derivation compared with validation dataset (378 vs. 334 cells/mm³), and median BMI was similar (24 kg/m²). Participants in the derivation dataset were more likely to report previous IPT than those in the validation dataset (9.9% vs. 3.6%).

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

PLOS ONE

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa



Fig 2. Flow chart of study participants. ¹ 28/2227 TB diagnosed within six months of enrolment (25 confirmed TB and 3 clinical TB), of whom 25 on ART and 3 pre-ART. ² 4/191 confirmed TB diagnosed within six months of enrolment, all pre-ART BMI = body mass index. IPT = Isoniazid preventive therapy. WHO tool negative = self-report of absence of all of: current cough, fever, night sweats and unintentional weight loss.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.g002

Development of regression model (derivation dataset)

Table 2 summarises the candidate predictors considered for model A, which categorised number of WHO symptoms reported (1 symptom vs. > 1 symptom), and the final multivariable model. We excluded age, alcohol status and previous history of TB as p>0.25 in univariable analysis. Our final model (model A) comprised: ART status (on ART > 3 months = 0 v pre-ART or ART <3 months = 1); BMI (continuous, linear); CD4 (continuous, linear); number of WHO symptoms (1 symptom = 0 v > 1 symptom = 1). A linear relationship with log odds of the outcome was found to be adequate using fractional polynomials for both BMI and CD4 count. No evidence was found for statistical interactions between ART status and CD4 count, BMI, or number of WHO symptoms (Wald p-value \geq 0.9). This model had Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic p = 0.65 and AUROC 0.79 (95% confidence intervals [CI] 0.73–0.86) indicating statistically adequate calibration and discrimination in the derivation dataset (Fig 3, S2 Table). In a sensitivity analysis where we excluded all clinical TB and used a gold standard of bacteriologically-confirmed TB, we obtained the same final multivariable model (S3 Table).

Univariable screening to select candidate predictors may result in the rejection of important predictors. [6, 13] When we repeated our multivariable analysis without univariable screening, and included all candidate predictors considered for model A, using stepwise backward elimination we obtained the same final model.

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

PLOS ONE

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

Characteristic	Derivation dataset (N = 515)	Validation dataset (N = 533)	
	Value	Value N (%)	
	N (%)		
Demographics			
Age, years	Median (IQR)	41 (34,48)	41 (34,48)
Sex	Female	345 (67.0%)	377 (70.7%)
Alcohol history	Never 1	308 (59.8%)	353 (66.2%)
Smoking history	Never ²	354 (68.7%)	383 (71.9%)
HIV/TB history			
Participant category	On ART ³	370 (71.8%)	381 (71.5%)
Duration since HIV diagnosed, months	Median (IQR)	56 (21,95) (N = 505)	51 (6,97) (N = 531)
Duration on ART, months	Median (IQR)	55 (26,85) (N = 370)	51 (28,83) (N = 381)
Ever had IPT	Yes	51 (9.9%)	19 (3.6%)
Ever had CPT	Yes	370 (71.8%)	355 (66.6%)
Previous TB treatment	Yes	201 (39.0%)	199 (37.3%)
WHO symptoms at enrolment			
	Cough	304 (59.0%)	350 (65.7%)
	Weight loss	235 (45.6%)	221 (41.5%)
	Night sweats	131 (25.4%)	130 (24.4%)
	Fever	97 (18.8%)	88 (16.5%)
Number of symptoms	1	341 (66.2%)	352 (66.0%)
	2	114 (22.1%)	122 (22.9%)
	3	42 (8.2%)	43 (8.1%)
	4	18 (3.5%)	16 (3.0%)
Duration of WHO symptoms ⁴ , days	Median (IQR)	30 (8,89)	28 (7,84)
CD4 / BMI at enrolment			
CD4, cells/mm ³	Median (IQR)	378 (228,543)	334 (168,559)
	Range	1–1630	2–1577
Time from CD4 to enrolment, days	Median (IQR)	147 (45,259)	116 (27,267) (N = 528)
BMI, kg/m ²	Median (IQR)	24.0 (20.6,28.4)	24.0 (20.2,28.4)
	Range	13.4–47.2	15.0–57.9
TB diagnoses over 6 months follow-up			
	Total	52 (10.1%)	58 (10.9%)
	Confirmed TB	36 (7.0%)	39 (7.3%)
	Clinical TB	16 (3.1%)	19 (3.6%)
Time from enrolment to TB diagnosis ⁵ , days	Median (IQR)	7 (0,31) (N = 52)	8 (0,83) (N = 57)
Follow up			
Time from enrolment to most recent of last study / clinic ⁶ visit, days	Median (IQR)	280 (203,350) (N = 514)	179 (133,231) (N = 532)
Alive 6 months after enrolment ⁷	Yes	98% (477/487)	98% (463/473)

Table 1. Characteristics of participants in derivation and validation datasets.

¹ compared with any alcohol in last 1 year

² compared with ever/ex-smoker

³ compared with pre-ART group

⁴ duration WHO tool positive

 $^{\rm 5}$ defined as earliest of positive TB test or date TB treatment started

⁶ Most recent clinic visit at time of clinic file review

⁷ Amongst participants with most recent study/clinic visit <6 months from enrolment, if participant had valid South African ID number and demise not reported by Department of home affairs / participant-nominated contacts / clinic staff within 6 months of enrolment, participant assumed to be alive at 6 months after enrolment.

IPT = isoniazid preventive therapy; CPT = cotrimoxazole preventive therapy; IQR = interquartile range

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.t001

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

9/20

PLOS ONE

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

Predictor		Patients with TB N = 52/515 n/N (%)	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value (Wald)	Adjusted ³ odds ratio (95% CI) Model A	P value	Adjusted β coefficient (log [adjusted OR])								
															(95% CI)
								Age ¹ , years			1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.96			
Sex	Male	23/170 (13.5%)	1												
	Female	29/345 (8.4%)	0.59 (0.32, 1.05)	0.07											
Smoking status	Never smoked	28/354 (7.9%)	1												
	Current or ex-smoker	24/161 (14.9%)	2.04 (1.14, 3.64)	0.02											
Alcohol status	Current	23/207 (11.1%)	1												
	None in last 1 year	29/308 (9.4%)	0.83 (0.47, 1.48)	0.53											
ART status	On ART \geq 3 months	24/347 (6.9%)	1		1		0								
	Pre-ART / ART <3 months	28/168 (16.7%)	2.69 (1.51, 4.80)	0.001	2.22 (1.17, 4.22)	0.01	0.80 (0.16, 1.44)								
Ever had CPT	No / don't know	19/145 (13.1%)	1												
	Yes	33/370 (8.9%)	0.65 (0.36, 1.18)	0.16											
Previous history of TB	No	33/314 (10.5%)	1												
	Yes	19/201 (9.5%)	0.89 (0.49, 1.61)	0.70											
Number of WHO symptoms	1 symptom	18/341 (5.3%)	1		1		0								
	> 1 symptom	34/174 (19.5%)	4.36 (2.38, 7.98)	<0.001	3.45 (1.83, 6.49)	<0.001	1.24 (0.60, 1.87)								
Duration of WHO tool symptoms	<1 week	3/97 (3.1%)	1												
	≥ 1 week	49/418 (11.7%)	4.16 (1.27, 13.64)	0.02											
BMI ^{1,2} , kg/m ²			0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	<0.001	0.89 (0.83, 0.95)	0.001	-0.12 (-0.19, -0.05)								
CD4 ^{1,2} , cells/mm ³			0.997 (0.995, 0.998)	<0.001	0.998 (0.996, 0.999)	0.006	-0.002 (-0.004, -0.0006)								

¹ Age, BMI and CD4 count were modelled as continuous variables

² In the multivariable analysis BMI and CD4 count were modelled as continuous variables, a linear relationship with log odds of outcome was found to be adequate after modelling using fractional polynomials.

³ Adjusted for all variables shown in column. 100 unit increase in CD4 corresponds to reduction in adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of TB of 0.80 (95% CI 0.68, 0.94); 5 unit increase in BMI corresponds to reduction in aOR of TB of 0.56 (95% CI 0.39, 0.79).

Intercept (log odds) for multivariable model is 0.39.

In the validation dataset the risk score was calculated using the formula: risk score = 0.39 + 0.80 (if pre-ART / ART < 3months)-(0.002 x CD4 count)-(0.12 x BMI) + 1.24 (if > 1 symptom)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.t002

Internal validation of final regression model

The risk score and predicted risk were calculated for the validation dataset using model A and showed that calibration and discrimination were adequate (Hosmer-Lemeshow p = 0.31 [S2 Table], AUROC 0.75 [95% CI 0.68-0.82]), though the calibration plot demonstrates over-prediction at higher deciles of risk (Fig 3).

Alternative prediction models

S4 Table presents an alternative multivariable model developed using individual WHO tool symptoms rather than total number of symptoms (model B). Model B comprised ART status, BMI, cough, night sweats and unintentional weight loss. In the derivation dataset there was evidence that presence of cough was modified by ART status (p = 0.03 for interaction term); and the model had adequate calibration and discrimination (Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

10/20
PLOS ONE

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.g003

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

PLOS ONE

p = 0.81, AUROC 0.82 [95% CI 0.76–0.88]). In the validation dataset this model had poor calibration (Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic p = 0.01) although discrimination was acceptable (AUROC 0.75 [95% CI 0.69–0.82]).

We repeated our multivariable analysis using all candidate predictors considered for model A removing CD4 count, for use in a setting where CD4 count is not easily obtainable. This model containing ART status, BMI and number of WHO symptoms (data not shown), performed adequately in the derivation dataset (Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic p = 0.54, AUROC 0.77 [95% CI 0.71–0.84]). In the validation dataset this model had poor calibration (Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic p = 0.02) although discrimination was acceptable (AUROC 0.70 [95% CI 0.63–0.77]).

We selected model A as our final model to develop the risk score because it was simpler and performed better in the validation dataset.

Transformation from regression model to clinical score

We used WHO BMI categorisation of <18.5 kg/m² as underweight, 18.5–24.9 kg/m² as normal weight, and \geq 25 kg/m² as overweight. CD4 count was categorised as <200 cells/mm³, 200–349 cells/mm³ and \geq 350 cells/mm³ to reflect clinically relevant cut-offs and the skewed CD4 count distribution amongst HIV-infected patients with TB. [31] The multivariable model with categorisation of these continuous variables in the derivation dataset is presented in Table 3. This model had statistically adequate discrimination in both derivation (AUROC 0.79 [95% CI 0.73, 0.86]) and validation datasets (AUROC 0.72 [95% CI 0.65, 0.79]). The Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic *p*-value was 0.89 in the derivation dataset but 0.02 in the validation dataset indicating poor calibration in the validation dataset.

The clinical score for each predictor was generated and the possible range for the total score was 0 to 16 (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the percentage of patients diagnosed with TB at each value of clinical score in derivation and validation datasets, and S1 Fig boxplot illustrates the distribution of clinical score, stratified by dataset, amongst those diagnosed with TB vs. those not diagnosed with TB.

Selection of cut-off for clinical score. Fig 4 shows the performance of the clinical score at different cut-offs, in terms of sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value and AUROC in the entire dataset. A cut-off of clinical score of \geq 3 to trigger TB investigation had sensitivity of 91.8% (95% CI 85, 96.2), specificity 34.3% (95% CI 31.3, 37.5), negative predictive value 97.3% (94.9, 98.7) and AUROC 63.1% (95% CI 60.1, 66.1). Increasing the cut-off to \geq 7, where

Table 3. Multivariable model and clinical score in the derivation dataset (N = 515).

Predictor		Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	β coefficient	P value	Score*
ART status	On ART \geq 3 months 1		0		0
	Pre-ART / ART < 3 months	2.34 (1.22,4.46)	0.85	0.01	3
BMI, kg/m²	≥25	1	0		0
	18.5–24.9	2.23 (1.05,4.74)	0.80	0.04	2
	<18.5	6.79 (2.61,17.62)	1.91	<0.0001	6
CD4, cells/mm ³	\geq 350	1	0		0
	200–349	1.40 (0.63,3.11)	0.34	0.4	1
	< 200	2.55 (1.23,5.30)	0.94	0.01	3
Number of WHO symptoms reported	1 symptom	1	0		0
	> 1 symptom	3.59 (1.90,6.80)	1.28	<0.0001	4
Intercept (log odds)	-4.23				

* Each coefficient was divided by 0.335 (the smallest coefficient in our model, CD4 200–349 cells/mm³) and rounded to the nearest integer to form the score for that predictor

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.t003

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017



Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

Clinical score	Derivation dataset		Validation dataset			
	Total with score	Number diagnosed with TB (%) ¹	Total with score	Number diagnosed with TB (%) ¹		
0	69	1 (1.5)	90	2 (2.2)		
1	24	0	32	1 (3.1)		
2	62	2 (3.2)	54	3 (5.6)		
3	74	3 (4.1)	50	7 (14)		
4	33	3 (9.1)	37	3 (8.1)		
5	52	3 (5.8)	42	2 (4.8)		
6	54	4 (7.4)	54	2 (3.7)		
7	41	6 (14.6)	21	4 (19.1)		
8	23	6 (26.1)	33	6 (18.2)		
9	21	2 (9.5)	25	2 (8.0)		
10	27	7 (25.9)	34	4 (11.8)		
11	7	1 (14.3)	4	1 (25)		
12	18	8 (44.4)	41	18 (43.9)		
13	7	4 (57.1)	6	0		
14	0		2	0		
16	3	2 (66.7)	8	3 (37.5)		
TOTAL	515	52	533	58		

Table 4. Performance of clinical score in derivation and validation datasets.

¹ Row percentages shown

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.t004

sensitivity and specificity were closest offered the best discrimination (AUROC 70.1% [95% CI 65.8, 75.1]), with improvement in specificity to 73.7% (95% CI 70.7, 76.5), but sensitivity was only 67.3% (95% CI 57.7, 75.9) although negative predictive value was maintained at 95% (95% CI 93.2, 96.5).

We selected a cut-off of clinical score \geq 3 to trigger TB investigation as we deemed that in this population, in order to avoid missing TB diagnoses, maintaining a higher sensitivity was more important than optimising discrimination. Investigating patients who had a clinical score of \geq 3 would have resulted in no further investigation of 30% (155/515) patients in the derivation dataset, and missed 6% (3/52) of TB diagnoses. The same cut-off for investigation in the validation dataset would have resulted in no further investigation of 33% (176/533) patients and missed 10% (6/58) of TB diagnoses. Amongst the nine patients with clinical score < 3 and TB diagnosed (4 confirmed TB, 5 clinical TB) all had had been on ART for \geq 3 months and all reported only one symptom which was cough; median BMI was 24.3 kg/m² (range 20.3–30.8) and median CD4 was 429 cells/mm³ (range 241–1183).

Fig 5 presents a proforma of how this scoring system, using combined data from both derivation and validation datasets to demonstrate the prevalence of TB by clinical score group, could be used in practice (combined data sets, N = 1048). If investigation was prioritised for individuals with a score of \geq 3, 68% (717/1048) of symptomatic individuals would be tested, among whom the prevalence of TB would be 14.1% (101/717). 32% (331/1048) of tests would be avoided using this strategy, at the cost of missing 8% (9/110) individuals with TB or 3% (9/ 331) with TB amongst those not tested.

Discussion

Our study is the first to derive and internally validate a clinical score for patients attending for routine HIV care, both ART-experienced and pre-ART, for use as a second step after TB

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa



Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa



Fig 4. Performance of clinical score at different cut-offs offs (derivation and validation datasets combined; N = 1048). NPV = negative predictive value. AUROC = area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve.

screening with the WHO tool. The score is designed to assist health care workers in resource limited settings to identify whom to prioritise for TB investigation. Our score uses elements which should be readily available at any level of health care and is simple to use, highlighting to less experienced clinicians those at greatest risk of TB, and providing a useful tool for other cadres of health care worker. In our study population, not investigating those who have a clinical score <3, amongst whom the prevalence of TB is 3% (9/331), would avoid investigation of 32% (331/1048) of those reporting WHO symptom(s), whilst missing only 8% (9/110) of TB diagnoses. We hypothesise that the WHO tool positive patients with clinical score <3 who had

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.g004

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

Risk factor	Category	Select one	Associated points	Assigned score
	Pre-ART		3	
ART status	ART < 3 months		3	
	$ART \ge 3 months$		0	Δ
	< 18.5		6	
ВМІ	18.5-24.9		2	
	≥ 25		0	
	<200		3	U
CD4	200-349		1	
	≥ 350		0	
Number of	>1		4	U
who symptoms	1		0	



Fig 5. Clinical score and prevalence of TB.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519.g005

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

PLOS ONE

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

TB diagnoses missed were more likely to have less advanced disease and more favourable prognosis. This is suggested by their clinical characteristics (all on ART with normal weight and CD4 count >240 cells/mm³), and consistent with findings from other studies. [32–34] In the broader context of the original XPHACTOR study population, not investigating the 2227 who were WHO tool negative at enrolment would have missed the 28 TB diagnoses in this group (Fig 2). The overall risk of TB in those who were WHO tool negative or had clinical score <3 was 1% (37/2558), and the two step strategy (WHO tool followed by clinical score) would have avoided investigating 78% (2558/3275) of clinic attendees (Fig 2). The TB diagnoses missed using this strategy, 27% (37/138) of TB diagnoses, were mainly amongst those who were WHO tool negative.

Our clinical scoring system compares favourably, in terms of simplicity and ability to identify patients with lowest prevalence of TB, with that derived by Balcha et al, also as a second step after WHO symptom screen, for ART naïve patients attending for HIV care in Ethiopia. [8] In this smaller and as yet unvalidated (internally or externally) study, amongst 569 WHO tool positive patients, a more complex score which included Karnofsky status, MUAC, peripheral lymphadenopathy and anaemia, using a cut off of \geq 2 was able to avoid investigation of 45% (255/569) of whom 8% (20/255) had culture confirmed TB. Rudolf et al derived TBscore II from a population in Bissau who were seeking care for symptoms suggestive of TB, of whom only 164 were HIV-positive. [9] Their score is also more complex than our score, incorporating physical signs in addition to symptoms and also requires both internal and external validation.[9]

The majority of our study population were established on ART in contrast to those in the meta-analysis which derived the WHO tool who were largely pre-ART, [2] and the populations used to derive clinical scores by Balcha [8] and Rudolf. [9] Thus our study addresses a key question concerning operationalisation of TB screening among the increasingly large population of adults on ART. Our study participants were established in HIV care and thus likely had had previous screening, which is known to reduce sensitivity of the WHO tool for bacteriologically confirmed TB, [2] as also is ART use. [35] Rangaka et al evaluated the utility of the WHO tool to rule out TB prior to IPT in a population similar to ours, i.e. both pre-ART and on ART although duration on ART was shorter (median 12 months), against a gold standard of culture-confirmed TB. [29] Their study suggested that amongst those on ART addition of BMI and CD4 to the tool could be considered, but recommended sputum culture first for all prior to IPT. [29] We ensured that in our clinical score we included BMI, CD4 and a measure of ART status which incorporated duration on ART, and believe that our score therefore will prove useful for all patients screened for TB during routine HIV care. Our score obviates the need for a separate tool for those pre-ART vs. on ART, although people with newly diagnosed HIV have such a high TB prevalence that investigation for all may be justified. [36]

In contrast with other studies deriving clinical algorithms or evaluating performance of the WHO rule, [2, 8, 29, 33, 35] our case definition for TB included clinical TB. This reflects the real life scenario of high TB burden resource-limited settings, and is a strength of our study. Most of our TB diagnoses were bacteriologically-confirmed pulmonary TB, which is what the WHO tool was largely designed to rule out prior to provision of IPT. [2] In sensitivity analysis restricted to bacteriologically-confirmed TB we obtained the same final multivariable model (S3 Table).

We assumed that all participants starting TB treatment or with a sample which was bacteriologically confirmed collected within six months of enrolment were likely to have had active TB at enrolment. We based this decision on data from a community survey and TB notification data in Zimbabwe, estimating a mean duration of smear-positivity prior to TB diagnosis amongst HIV-positive adults of 18–33 weeks. [11] In actual fact 90% of our study participants

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

PLOS ONE

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

who started TB treatment commenced within four months of enrolment. In the derivation vs. validation dataset the interquartile range for time from enrolment to TB diagnosis is shorter (0–31 vs. 0–83 days) and this may reflect implementation of a substudy later in the course of XPHACTOR evaluating causes for persistent TB symptoms in patients without TB diagnosis by the 3-month visit. There were 47 participants (with 10 TB diagnoses) in this substudy in the validation dataset compared with 7 in the prediction dataset (with 1 TB diagnosis). We undertook the majority of our case notes reviews towards the end of the XPHACTOR study and this is reflected in the longer duration of follow up in the derivation vs. validation dataset, which may have resulted in ascertainment bias in terms of TB diagnoses made in the derivation dataset, although the total number of TB diagnoses was similar in both groups. Differences between the derivation and validation datasets represent a strength in terms of evaluating our predictive model, as non-random splitting which reduces the similarity of the two datasets is preferred for internal validation.[6]

We developed our score in accordance with TRIPOD recommendations [6] and internal validation of our final multivariable model (model A) demonstrated adequate calibration and discrimination in our validation dataset. The multivariable model resulting from our categorisation of BMI and CD4 in a clinically meaningful manner, also showed acceptable and clinically useful discrimination in the validation dataset. Our model requires external validation in order to confirm that it predicts well in individuals outside of our dataset [37] and, following this, impact studies to assess patient outcomes and cost effectiveness of this strategy. [38] Assuming external validity, our suggested threshold for investigation (clinical score \geq 3), could be varied depending on available resources. We have suggestions for updating our prediction model, which we were unable to evaluate due to insufficient data: MUAC, which is simpler to measure than BMI; haemoglobin, because anaemia is a strong independent predictor of TB amongst those poised to initiate ART; [39] and viral load. [19] Recent WHO guidelines recommend ART initiation for all PLHIV at any CD4 count suggesting that in settings where viral load monitoring can be assured that CD4 count for monitoring purposes may be reduced or stopped. [40] CD4 count itself is not always easily available, and in these settings viral load monitoring is also unlikely to be easily available, but given this new guidance models without CD4 should be considered.

Strengths of our study include systematic evaluation of a representative sample of adults attending for routine HIV care who underwent rigorous assessment for TB and longitudinal follow-up which minimised the number of TB diagnoses missed, and model development and validation in accordance with TRIPOD guidelines. [6]

Conclusions

We have developed and internally validated a simple clinical score comprising ART status, BMI, CD4 count and number of WHO symptoms, for patients attending for routine HIV care in resource limited settings. Our score is designed to identify, amongst those reporting WHO tool symptom(s), whom should be prioritised for TB investigation. Our findings are highly relevant given the national roll out of Xpert MTB/RIF in South Africa.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Characteristics of eligible participants and missing values (N = 1065). (PDF)

S2 Table. Hosmer-Lemeshow test for calibration of final model (model A). (PDF)

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

PLOS ONE

S3 Table. Model A Multivariable logistic regression analysis in derivation dataset after exclusion of all clinical TB (N = 499). (PDF)

S4 Table. Model B: Multivariable logistic regression analysis in derivation dataset (N = 515).

(PDF)

S1 Fig. Boxplot illustrating distribution of clinical score in individuals with and without TB.

(PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank the study participants; the nursing and medical staff of Chris Hani Baragwanath and Mamelodi hospitals, Ramokonopi and Jabulani Dumane community health clinics, South Africa; the staff of National Health Laboratory Services, South Africa; and the staff of Aurum Institute for their essential contributions to this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: YH KLF VNC LA SC NF AK KM MPN ES WS AV GJC ADG.

Data curation: KLF VNC SC NTN GJC ADG.

Formal analysis: YH KLF ADG.

Funding acquisition: GJC ADG.

Investigation: YH VNC AK NTN FS.

Methodology: YH KLF VNC LA SC AK KM MPN WS GJC ADG.

Project administration: YH VNC NTN.

Resources: KLF VNC LA SC AK FS GJC ADG.

Supervision: KLF SC GJC ADG.

Visualization: YH KLF AV ADG.

Writing – original draft: YH KLF ADG.

Writing – review & editing: YH KLF VNC LA SC NF AK KM MPN NTN ES FS WS AV GJC ADG.

References

- World Health Organization. WHO policy on collaborative TB/HIV activities: guidelines for national programmes and other stakeholders 2012 [1st May 2013]. Available from: http://www.who.int/tb/ publications/2012/tb_hiv_policy_9789241503006/en/.
- Getahun H, Kittikraisak W, Heilig CM, Corbett EL, Ayles H, Cain KP, et al. Development of a standardized screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings: individual participant data meta-analysis of observational studies. PLoS Med. 2011; 8(1):e1000391 https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000391 PMID: 21267059
- Shisana O, Rehle T, LC S, Zuma K, Jooste S, N. Z, et al. South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012 Cape Town: HSRC Press; 2014 [17th April 2015]. Available from: http://www.hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/4565/SABSSM%20IV%20LEO%20final.pdf.

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

	ONE
--	-----

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2014 [17th April 2015]. Available from: <u>http://</u> www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/.
- Department of Health—Republic of South Africa. National Tuberculosis Management Guidelines 2014 [2nd April 2015]. Available from: http://www.doh.gov.za/docs/hivAids/ NationalTBManagementGuidelines.pdf.
- Moons KG, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JP, Macaskill P, Steyerberg EW, et al. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): explanation and elaboration. Annals of internal medicine. 2015 Jan 6; 162(1):W1–73 https://doi.org/10.7326/ M14-0698 PMID: 25560730
- Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. BMJ. 2015; 350:g7594 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7594 PMID: 25569120
- Balcha TT, Skogmar S, Sturegard E, Schon T, Winqvist N, Reepalu A, et al. A Clinical Scoring Algorithm for Determination of the Risk of Tuberculosis in HIV-Infected Adults: A Cohort Study Performed at Ethiopian Health Centers. Open forum infectious diseases. 2014 Dec; 1(3):ofu095 https://doi.org/10.1093/ ofid/ofu095 PMID: 25734163
- Rudolf F, Haraldsdottir TL, Mendes MS, Wagner AJ, Gomes VF, Aaby P, et al. Can tuberculosis case finding among health-care seeking adults be improved? Observations from Bissau. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2014 Mar; 18(3):277–85 https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.13.0517 PMID: 24670561
- Altman DG, Vergouwe Y, Royston P, Moons KG. Prognosis and prognostic research: validating a prognostic model. BMJ. 2009; 338:b605 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b605 PMID: 19477892
- Corbett EL, Bandason T, Cheung YB, Makamure B, Dauya E, Munyati SS, et al. Prevalent infectious tuberculosis in Harare, Zimbabwe: burden, risk factors and implications for control. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009 Oct; 13(10):1231–7 PMID: 19793427
- World Health Organization. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis–2013 revision (updated December 2014) 2013 [20th April 2015]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ 10665/79199/1/9789241505345_eng.pdf?ua=1.
- Royston P, Moons KG, Altman DG, Vergouwe Y. Prognosis and prognostic research: Developing a prognostic model. BMJ. 2009; 338:b604 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b604 PMID: 19336487
- Steyerberg EW. Clinical Prediction Models: A Practical Approach to Development, Validatin, and updating. New York: Springer; 2009.
- Hanrahan CF, Golub JE, Mohapi L, Tshabangu N, Modisenyane T, Chaisson RE, et al. Body mass index and risk of tuberculosis and death. AIDS. 2010 Jun 19; 24(10):1501–8 https://doi.org/10.1097/ QAD.0b013e32833a2a4a PMID: 20505496
- Hermans SM, Kiragga AN, Schaefer P, Kambugu A, Hoepelman AI, Manabe YC. Incident tuberculosis during antiretroviral therapy contributes to suboptimal immune reconstitution in a large urban HIV clinic in sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS One. 2010; 5(5):e10527 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010527 PMID: 20479873
- Kibret KT, Yalew AW, Belaineh BG, Asres MM. Determinant factors associated with occurrence of tuberculosis among adult people living with HIV after antiretroviral treatment initiation in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a case control study. PLoS One. 2013; 8(5):e64488 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0064488 PMID: 23762214
- Kufa T, Mngomezulu V, Charalambous S, Hanifa Y, Fielding K, Grant AD, et al. Undiagnosed tuberculosis among HIV clinic attendees: association with antiretroviral therapy and implications for intensified case finding, isoniazid preventive therapy, and infection control. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012 Jun 1; 60(2):e22–8 https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e318251ae0b PMID: 22627184
- Lawn SD, Brooks SV, Kranzer K, Nicol MP, Whitelaw A, Vogt M, et al. Screening for HIV-Associated Tuberculosis and Rifampicin Resistance before Antiretroviral Therapy Using the Xpert MTB/RIF Assay: A Prospective Study. PLoS Med. 2011 Jul; 8(7):e1001067 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed. 1001067 PMID: 21818180
- Liu E, Makubi A, Drain P, Spiegelman D, Sando D, Li N, et al. Tuberculosis incidence rate and risk factors among HIV-infected adults with access to antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2015 Jul 17; 29(11):1391–9 https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.00000000000705 PMID: 26091295
- Nicholas S, Sabapathy K, Ferreyra C, Varaine F, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Frontieres AWGoMS. Incidence of tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients before and after starting combined antiretroviral therapy in 8 sub-Saharan African HIV programs. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011 Aug 1; 57(4):311–8 https:// doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e318218a713 PMID: 21423023
- 22. Peck RN, Luhanga A, Kalluvya S, Todd J, Lugoba S, Fitzgerald DW, et al. Predictors of tuberculosis in first 6 months after initiation of antiretroviral therapy: a case-control study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2012 Aug; 16(8):1047–51 https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.11.0772 PMID: 22691942

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

	ONE
--	-----

Clinical score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa

- Van Rie A, Westreich D, Sanne I. Tuberculosis in patients receiving antiretroviral treatment: incidence, risk factors, and prevention strategies. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011 Apr; 56(4):349–55 <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181f9fb39 PMID: 20926954
- Amoakwa K, Martinson NA, Moulton LH, Barnes GL, Msandiwa R, Chaisson RE. Risk factors for developing active tuberculosis after the treatment of latent tuberculosis in adults infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Open forum infectious diseases. 2015 Jan; 2(1):ofu120 https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ ofu120 PMID: 26034751
- Ku NS, Choi YH, Kim YK, Choi JP, Kim JM, Choi JY. Incidence of and risk factors for active tuberculosis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients in South Korea. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013 Jun; 17 (6):777–81 https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.12.0607 PMID: 23676161
- Corbett EL, Churchyard GJ, Clayton TC, Williams BG, Mulder D, Hayes RJ, et al. HIV infection and silicosis: the impact of two potent risk factors on the incidence of mycobacterial disease in South African miners. AIDS. 2000 Dec 1; 14(17):2759–68 PMID: 11125895
- Claassens MM, van Schalkwyk C, du Toit E, Roest E, Lombard CJ, Enarson DA, et al. Tuberculosis in healthcare workers and infection control measures at primary healthcare facilities in South Africa. PLoS One. 2013; 8(10):e76272 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076272 PMID: 24098461
- Baussano I, Williams BG, Nunn P, Beggiato M, Fedeli U, Scano F. Tuberculosis incidence in prisons: a systematic review. PLoS Med. 2010; 7(12):e1000381 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000381</u> PMID: 21203587
- Rangaka MX, Wilkinson RJ, Glynn JR, Boulle A, van Cutsem G, Goliath R, et al. Effect of antiretroviral therapy on the diagnostic accuracy of symptom screening for intensified tuberculosis case finding in a South African HIV clinic. Clin Infect Dis. 2012 Dec; 55(12):1698–706 https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis775 PMID: 22955441
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied Logistic Regression, Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
- Gupta RK, Lawn SD, Bekker LG, Caldwell J, Kaplan R, Wood R. Impact of human immunodeficiency virus and CD4 count on tuberculosis diagnosis: analysis of city-wide data from Cape Town, South Africa. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013 Aug; 17(8):1014–22 https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.13.0032 PMID: 23827024
- Balcha TT, Sturegard E, Winqvist N, Skogmar S, Reepalu A, Jemal ZH, et al. Intensified tuberculosis case-finding in HIV-positive adults managed at Ethiopian health centers: diagnostic yield of Xpert MTB/ RIF compared with smear microscopy and liquid culture. PLoS One. 2014; 9(1):e85478 https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0085478 PMID: 24465572
- Cain KP, McCarthy KD, Heilig CM, Monkongdee P, Tasaneeyapan T, Kanara N, et al. An algorithm for tuberculosis screening and diagnosis in people with HIV. N Engl J Med. 2010 Feb 25; 362(8):707–16 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0907488 PMID: 20181972
- Lawn SD, Kerkhoff AD, Vogt M, Ghebrekristos Y, Whitelaw A, Wood R. Characteristics and early outcomes of patients with Xpert MTB/RIF-negative pulmonary tuberculosis diagnosed during screening before antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2012 Apr; 54(8):1071–9 https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir1039 PMID: 22318975
- Ahmad Khan F, Verkuijl S, Parrish A, Chikwava F, Ntumy R, El-Sadr W, et al. Performance of symptom-based tuberculosis screening among people living with HIV: not as great as hoped. AIDS. 2014 Jun 19; 28(10):1463–72 https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000278 PMID: 24681417
- Ndlovu N, Chihota V, Hanifa Y, Fielding K, Grant A, Maesela C, et al., editors. Routine testing with Xpert MTB/RIF for people testing HIV positive at antenatal clinics and HIV counselling and testing. Abstract (A2638640) 4th SA TB Conference; 2014 Durban, South Africa.
- Moons KG, Kengne AP, Grobbee DE, Royston P, Vergouwe Y, Altman DG, et al. Risk prediction models: II. External validation, model updating, and impact assessment. Heart. 2012 May; 98(9):691–8 https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2011-301247 PMID: 22397946
- Moons KG, Altman DG, Vergouwe Y, Royston P. Prognosis and prognostic research: application and impact of prognostic models in clinical practice. BMJ. 2009; 338:b606 <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b606</u> PMID: 19502216
- Kerkhoff AD, Wood R, Cobelens FG, Gupta-Wright A, Bekker LG, Lawn SD. Resolution of anaemia in a cohort of HIV-infected patients with a high prevalence and incidence of tuberculosis receiving antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. BMC Infect Dis. 2014; 14:3860 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-014-0702-1 PMID: 25528467
- World Health Organization. Guideline on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV 2015 [28th April 2016]. Available from: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/ earlyrelease-ary/en/.

PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181519 August 3, 2017

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

6.3. Material provided as supplementary online appendices

S1 Table. Characteristics of eligible participants and missing values (N=1065)

Characteristic		Derivation dataset (N=525)		Validation dataset	
				(N=540)
		Value	Missing	Value	Missing
			values		values
		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Demographics					
Age, years	Median (IQR)	41 (34,48)	0	41 (34,48)	0
Sex	Female	353 (67.2)	0	382 (70.7)	0
Alcohol history	Never ¹	315 (60)	0	360 (66.7)	0
Smoking history	Never ²	361 (68.8)	0	389 (72.0)	0
HIV/TB history					
Participant category	On ART ³	377 (71.8)	0	387 (71.7)	0
Duration since HIV diagnosed,	Median (IQR)	56 (21,95)	10 (2)	51 (6,97)	2 (0.4)
months					
Duration on ART, months	Median (IQR)	55 (26,85)	1/377(0.3)	51 (28,83)	0
Ever had IPT	Yes	51 (9.7)	0	19 (3.5)	0
Ever had CPT	Yes	378 (72.0)	0	360 (66.7)	0
Previous TB treatment	Yes	205 (39.1)	0	202 (37.4)	0
WHO symptoms at enrolment					
	Cough	308 (58.7)	0	354 (65.6)	0
	Weight loss	238/524(45.4)	1 (0.2)	224 (41.5)	0
	Night sweats	133 (25.3)	0	132 (24.4)	0
	Fever	99 (18.9)	0	89 (16.5)	0
Number of symptoms		1 (1,2)	0	1 (1,2)	0
Duration of WHO symptoms ⁴ ,	Median (IQR)	30 (8,94)	5 (1)	28 (7,84)	4 (0.7)
days			. ,		. ,
CD4 / BMI at enrolment					
CD4, cells/mm ³	Median (IQR)	379 (228,543)	2 (0.4)	335(168,559)	1 (0.2)
Time from CD4 to enrolment,	Median (IQR)	147 (43,259)	2 (0.4)	118 (27,267)	6 (1)
days			. ,		. ,
BMI, kg/m ²	Median (IQR)	24.0(20.6,28.5)	1 (0.2)	24.1(20.3,28.4)	2 (0.4)
TB diagnoses					•
	Total	52 (9.9)	0	60 (11.1)	0
	Confirmed TB	36 (6.9)	0	41 (7.6)	0
	Clinical TB	16 (3.1)	0	19 (3.5)	0
Time from enrolment to TB	Median (IQR)	7 (0,31)	0	13 (0,83)	1 (0.2)
diagnosis ⁵, days					. ,
Follow up					
Time from enrolment to most	Median (IQR)	281 (203.347)	1 (0.2)	181 (133.231)	1 (0.2)
recent of last study / clinic ⁶		- (,)	x- /	- ((= = /
visit. davs					
Alive 6 months after	Yes	487 (98)	28 (5.3)	469 (98)	61(11.3)
enrolment ⁷		(N=497)	,	(N=479)	· - /

¹ compared with any alcohol in last 1 year; ² compared with ever/ex-smoker; ³ compared with pre-ART group; ⁴ duration WHO tool positive; ⁵ defined as earliest of positive TB test or date TB treatment started; ⁶ Most recent clinic visit at time of clinic file review;

⁷ Amongst participants with most recent study/clinic visit <6 months from enrolment, if participant had valid South African ID number and demise not reported by Department of home affairs / participant-nominated contacts / clinic staff within 6 months of enrolment, participant assumed to be alive at 6 months after enrolment.

IPT=isoniazid preventive therapy; CPT=cotrimoxazole preventive therapy

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

	Derivation dataset ¹			Validation dataset ²			t ²	
			Т	В			ТВ	
Decile	Ν	Cut off ³	Observed	Predicted	Ν	Cut off ³	Observed	Predicted
1	52	0.0126	0	0.4	54	0.0098	1	0.3
2	51	0.0220	2	0.9	53	0.0186	1	0.7
3	52	0.0308	0	1.4	53	0.0288	2	1.3
4	51	0.0448	2	1.9	54	0.0450	4	2.0
5	52	0.0611	2	2.7	53	0.0627	4	2.9
6	51	0.0805	5	3.6	53	0.1024	5	4.2
7	52	0.1078	7	4.9	54	0.1478	6	6.6
8	51	0.1604	5	6.6	53	0.2044	8	9.3
9	52	0.2681	12	10.6	53	0.3346	6	13.8
10	51	0.5963	17	19.0	53	0.6479	21	23.7
	515		52	52	533		58	64.8

S2 Table. Hosmer-Lemeshow test for calibration of final model (model A)

¹ Hosmer-Lemeshow p=0.65

² Hosmer-Lemeshow p=0.31

³ Upper boundary of predicted risk

Observed = observed number with TB

Predicted = expected number with TB predicted by model

S3 Table: Model A Multivariable logistic regression analysis in derivation dataset after exclusion of all clinical TB (N=499)

Predicto	r	Patients with TB N=36/499 n/N (%)	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% Cl)	P value (Wald)	Adjusted ³ odds ratio (95% Cl)	P value	Adjusted β coefficient (log [adjusted OR]) (95% Cl)
Age ¹ , years			1.00 (0.96, 1.03)	0.89			
Sex	Male	16/163 (9.8%)	1				
	Female	20/336 (6.0%)	0.59 (0.29, 1.15)	0.12			
Smoking status	Never smoked	20/346 (5.8%)	1				
	Current or ex-smoker	16/153 (10.5%)	1.90 (0.96, 3.78)	0.07			
Alcohol status	Current	15/199 (7.5%)	1				
	None in last 1 year	21/300 (7.0%)	0.92 (0.46, 1.84)	0.82			
ART status	On ART ≥ 3 months	18/341 (5.3%)	1		1		0
	Pre-ART / ART <3 months	18/158 (11.4%)	2.31 (1.17, 4.57)	0.02	1.84 (0.87, 3.89)	0.11	0.61 (-0.14, 1.36)
Ever had CPT	No / don't know	12/138 (8.7%)	1				
	Yes	24/361 (6.7%)	0.75 (0.36, 1.54)	0.43			
Previous history of TB	No	25/306 (8.2%)	1				
	Yes	11/193 (7.7%)	0.68 (0.33, 1.41)	0.30			
Number of WHO symptoms	1 symptom	11/334 (3.3%)	1		1		0
	> 1 symptom	25/165 (15.2%)	5.24 (2.51, 11.00)	<0.001	4.33 (2.02, 9.23)	<0.001	1.46 (0.70, 2.23)
Duration of WHO tool symptoms	<1 week	2/96 (2.1%)	1				
	≥1 week	34/403 (8.4%)	4.33 (1.02, 18.35)	0.05			
BMI ^{1,2} , kg/m ²			0.87 (0.80, 0.94)	0.001	0.88 (0.81, 0.96)	0.004	-0.12 (-0.21, -0.04)
CD4 ^{1,2} , cells/mm ³			0.997 (0.995, 0.998)	<0.001	0.998 (0.996, 0.999)	0.012	-0.002 (-0.004 <i>,</i> - 0.0005)

¹ Age, BMI and CD4 count were modelled as continuous variables

² In the multivariable analysis BMI and CD4 count were modelled as continuous variables, a linear relationship with the outcome was found to be adequate after modelling using fractional polynomials.

³ Adjusted for all variables shown. 100 unit increase in CD4 corresponds to reduction in adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of TB of 0.78 (95% CI 0.64, 0.95); 5 unit increase in BMI corresponds to reduction in aOR of TB of 0.54 (95% CI 0.35, 0.82).

Intercept (log odds) for multivariable model is 0.21. In the multivariable model we found no statistically significant interaction between remaining variables and "ART status".

Pred	ictor	Patients with TB N=52/515 n/N (%)	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% Cl)	P value (Wald)	Adjusted ³ odds ratio Model B (95% CI)	P value (Wald)	Adjusted β coefficient (log [adjusted OR]) (95% CI)
Age ¹ , years			1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.96			
Sex	Male	23/170 (13.5%)	1				
	Female	29/345 (8.4%)	0.59 (0.32, 1.05)	0.07			
Smoking status	Never smoked	28/354 (7.9%)	1				
	Current or ex-smoker	24/161 (14.9%)	2.04 (1.14, 3.64)	0.02			
Alcohol status	Current	23/207 (11.1%)	1				
	None in last 1 year	29/308 (9.4%)	0.83 (0.47, 1.48)	0.53			
ART status	On ART ≥ 3 months	24/347 (6.9%)	1		1		0
	Pre-ART / ART <3 months	28/168 (16.7%)	2.69 (1.51, 4.80)	0.001	2.07 (1.07, 4.01)	0.03	0.73 (0.06, 1.39)
Ever had CPT	No / don't know	19/145 (13.1%)	1				
	Yes	33/370 (8.9%)	0.65 (0.36, 1.18)	0.16			
Previous history of TB	No	33/314 (10.5%)	1				
	Yes	19/201 (9.5%)	0.89 (0.49, 1.61)	0.70			
Cough	No	16/211 (7.6%)	1		1		0
	Yes	36/304 (11.8%)	1.64 (0.88-3.03)	0.12	2.96 (1.50, 5.85)	0.002	1.08 (0.40, 1.77)
Fever	No	38/418 (9.1%)	1				
	Yes	14/97 (14.4%)	1.69 (0.87-3.25)	0.12			
Night sweats	No	31/384 (8.1%)	1		1		0
	Yes	21/131 (16.0%)	2.17 (1.20-3.94)	0.01	1.99 (1.02, 3.89)	0.04	0.69 (0.02, 1.36)
Unintentional weight loss	No	12/280 (4.3%)	1		1		0
	Yes	40/235 (17.0%)	4.58 (2.34-8.96)	<0.001	4.08 (1.96, 8.49)	<0.001	1.41 (0.67, 2.14)
BMI ^{1,2} , kg/m ²			0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	<0.001	0.90 (0.84, 0.97)	0.005	-0.10 (-0.17, -0.03)
CD4 ^{1,2} , cells/mm ³			0.997 (0.995, 0.998)	<0.001	0.997 (0.996, 0.999)	0.009	-0.002 (-0.004, -0.0005)

S4 Table. Model B: Mu	ultivariable logistic regr	ession analysis in	derivation dataset (N=515)

¹ Age, BMI and CD4 count were modelled as continuous variables

² BMI and CD4 count were modelled as continuous variables, a linear relationship with the outcome was found to be a good approximation after assessment of nonlinearity using fractional polynomials.

³ Adjusted for all variables shown. 100 unit increase in CD4 corresponds to reduction in adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of TB of 0.81 (95% CI 0.69, 0.95); 5 unit increase in BMI corresponds to reduction in aOR of TB of 0.61 (95% CI 0.43, 0.86).

In the multivariable model we tested for interactions between "ART status" and CD4 cell count, "ART status" and BMI, "ART status" and cough, "ART status" and night sweats, "ART status" and weight loss. Interaction term with p<0.05: ART status and cough.

Intercept (log odds) for multivariable model is 0.32

In derivation vs. validation datasets: Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic p=0.81 vs. p=0.01, AUROC 0.82 (95% CI 0.76-0.88) vs. AUROC 0.75 (95% CI 0.69-0.82)

Paper 2: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa

S1 Fig. Boxplot illustrating distribution of clinical score in individuals with and without TB



N=515 in derivation dataset with 52 TB diagnoses; N=535 in validation dataset with 58 TB diagnoses

7.1. Cover sheet



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed for each research paper included within a thesis.

SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	079810	Title	Dr		
First Name(s)	Yasmeen				
Surname/Family Name	Hanifa				
Thesis Title	Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in South Africa				
Primary Supervisor	Alison Grant				

If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	Gates Open Research. It has been reviewed by two reviewers, one of whom has requested revisions.			
When was the work published?	April 2018			
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	N/A			
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes	

*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk

SECTION D - Multi-authored work

For multi-authored work, give full details of your role in the research included in the paper and in the preparation of the paper. (Attach a further sheet if necessary)

SECTION E

Student Signature			
Date	28th	May	2019

Supervisor Signature	
Date	28 Mg 2019

Improving health worldwide

, Page 2 of 2

www.lshtm.ac.uk

7.2. Research paper

Gates Open Research Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019 Check for updates RESEARCH ARTICLE The utility of repeat Xpert MTB/RIF testing to diagnose tuberculosis in HIV-positive adults with initial negative result [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations] Yasmeen Hanifa ¹, Katherine L. Fielding¹, Violet N. Chihota^{2,3}, Lungiswa Adonis⁴, Salome Charalambous^{2,3}, Nicola Foster⁵, Alan Karstaedt^{6,7}, Kerrigan McCarthy², Mark P. Nicol^{8,9}, Nontobeko T. Ndlovu², Edina Sinanovic⁵, Faieza Sahid^{6,7}, Wendy Stevens^{9,10}, Anna Vassall¹, Gavin J. Churchyard^{1-3,11}, Alison D. Grant^{1,3,12} ¹TB Centre, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK ²The Aurum Institute, Johannesburg, South Africa ³School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa ⁴Mamelodi Hospital, Pretoria, South Africa ⁵Health Economics Unit, School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa ⁶Department of Medicine, Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital, Johannesburg, South Africa ⁷University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa ⁸Division of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa ⁹National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa ¹⁰Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa ¹¹Advancing Care and Treatment for TB/HIV, South African Medical Research Council Collaborating Centre for HIV and TB, Johannesburg, South Africa ¹²Africa Health Research Institute, School of Nursing and Public Health, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa First published: 26 Apr 2018, 2:22 (**Open Peer Review** v1 https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12815.1) Latest published: 26 Apr 2018, 2:22 (Reviewer Status ? 🗸 https://d oi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12815.1) Abstract Invited Reviewers Background: Amongst HIV-positive adults in South Africa with initial 1 2 negative Xpert results, we compared the yield from repeating Xpert MTB/RIF ("Xpert") on sputum to guideline-recommended investigation for version 1 ? tuberculosis (TB) published 26 Apr 2018 report report Methods: A systematic sample of adults attending for HIV care were enrolled in a cohort exploring TB investigation pathways. This substudy was restricted to those at highest risk of TB (CD4<200 cells/mm³ or unknown) 1 Colleen F. Hanrahan, Johns Hopkins who had a negative initial Xpert result. Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, At attendance for the Xpert result, a repeat sputum sample was stored, and further investigations facilitated per national guidelines. Participants were USA reviewed monthly, with reinvestigation if indicated, for at least three months, 2 Tow Keang Lim, National University Hospital, when sputum and blood were cultured for mycobacteria, and the stored Singapore, Singapore sputum tested using Xpert. We defined TB as "confirmed" if Xpert, line probe assav or Mycobacterium tuberculosis culture within six months of Page 1 of 15

Gates Open Research

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

enrolment were positive, and "clinical" if TB treatment was started without microbiological confirmation.

Results: Amongst 227 participants with an initial negative Xpert result (63% female, median age 37 years, median CD4 count 100 cells/mm³), 28 (12%) participants had TB diagnosed during study follow-up (16 confirmed, 12 clinical); stored sputum tested positive on Xpert in 5/227 (2%). Amongst 27 participants who started TB treatment, the basis was bacteriological confirmation 11/27 (41%); compatible imaging 11/27 (41%); compatible symptoms 2/27 (7%); and unknown 3/27 (11%).
 Conclusions: Amongst HIV-positive individuals at high risk of active TB with a negative Xpert result, further investigation using appropriate diagnostic modalities is more likely to lead to TB treatment than immediately repeating sputum for Xpert. TB diagnostic tests with improved sensitivity are needed.

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Keywords

Tuberculosis, Diagnostic Test, HIV infection, South Africa

Corresponding author: Yasmeen Hanifa (yasmeen.hanifa@lshtm.ac.uk)

Author roles: Hanifa Y: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Software, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Fielding KL: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Chinbat VN: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Adonis L: Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Charalambous S: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Foster N: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Karstaedt A: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; McCarthy K: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Nicol MP: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Shalov UT: Investigation, Project Administration, Stevens W: Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing; Shanovic E: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Shalor J: Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Stevens W: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Shalor UT: Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Shanovic E: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Shalor J: Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Shanovic E: Conceptualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Churchyard GJ: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Grant AD: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [OPP1034523].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2018 Hanifa Y et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Chihota VN *et al.* The utility of repeat Xpert MTB/RIF testing to diagnose tuberculosis in HIV-positive adults with initial negative result [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations] Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 (https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12815.1)

First published: 26 Apr 2018, 2:22 (https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12815.1)

Page 2 of 15

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

Introduction

Since 2011 the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended Xpert MTB/RIF (Xpert; Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) as the initial diagnostic test for individuals being investigated for HIV-associated tuberculosis (TB)¹. TB diagnosis in people living with HIV (PLHIV) is complicated by the high proportion who are smear-negative and/or have extrapulmonary disease³. Although Xpert has superior sensitivity to sputum microscopy, it is less sensitive than culture, with a pooled sensitivity of 61% for smear-negative, culture-positive TB among PLHIV³.

South Africa replaced smear microscopy with Xpert starting in 2011, for all individuals with symptoms suggesting TB⁴. Further evaluation of those who are HIV-positive and Xpertnegative comprises clinical reassessment, chest radiograph if available, sputum for mycobacterial culture, and treatment with antibiotic if clinically indicated⁴. In a South African study of 394 patients investigated for TB (irrespective of presence of symptoms) prior to antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation, the sensitivity of Xpert for smear-negative, culture-positive TB increased from 43% to 62% when a second sample collected at the first visit was tested5. Mathematical modelling using a decision model from South Africa6 suggested that replacing sputum culture with the cheaper option of a second Xpert would reduce loss to follow-up so 1% more patients would start TB treatment7, and save an estimated US\$17.4 million per year7. This model assumed, based on limited data, the same sensitivity for the second Xpert test as for the first7, guidelines would be correctly followed7, and only 1% of those with TB symptoms start TB treatment based on a clinical diagnosis⁶. The strategy of sending a repeat Xpert for HIV-positive individuals whose initial Xpert result is negative has not been evaluated empirically.

The aim of our study was, amongst HIV-positive adults being investigated for TB whose initial Xpert result is negative, to describe the diagnostic yield from an immediate repeat sputum tested with Xpert, compared to sequential further investigation guided by South African recommendations, reflecting pragmatic clinical practice.

Methods

This "repeat Xpert" substudy was part of "Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review?" (XPHACTOR), a prospective cohort study evaluating a risk-based algorithm to prioritise Xpert testing amongst adults attending for routine HIV care in South Africa⁸.

XPHACTOR study population, recruitment and procedures XPHACTOR study flow, procedures and algorithm are described in detail in Supplementary File 1. In summary, we enrolled a systematic sample of adults (aged ≥18 years) attending four HIV clinics in Gauteng province, irrespective of presence of symptoms suggestive of TB, in the XPHACTOR study. Patients taking anti-tuberculosis treatment within the previous three months were excluded. Patients were enrolled into three groups: "on ART" (ART-experienced); "pre-ART" (in HIV care but not taking ART); and "HIV Testing and Counselling (HTC)" (newly-diagnosed HIV-positive). At the time of the study, ART eligibility comprised CD4 ≤350 cells/mm3 or WHO clinical stage ≥3. Research staff screened participants for TB at monthly intervals to three months, using a standardised questionnaire which incorporated the WHO symptom screen (any one of current self-reported cough, fever, weight loss or night sweats, hereafter the WHO tool). A spot sputum sample was collected for Xpert for individuals at a priori highest risk of active TB according to the study algorithm, which prioritised testing for those with any of: current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, night sweats \geq 4 weeks, BMI <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100 cells/mm³, or weight loss ≥10%; and at enrolment from all in HTC group or pre-ART with CD4 <200 cells/mm3 (Supplementary File 1). At enrolment all participants with CD4 <200 cells/mm3 were asked to provide a spot urine sample, which was stored at 2-8°C prior to freezing at -80°C within 24 hours of collection. At the end of the study samples were thawed to ambient temperature and tested with lateral-flow LAM assay (LF-LAM; Determine TB-LAM; Alere, USA), and graded using the pre-January 2014 manufacturer's reference card comprising five grades of colour intensity with the least intense band assigned grade 1, absence of a band graded negative, and absence of control band deemed a failed test.

At enrolment and follow-up visits, participants who submitted an Xpert sample were reviewed within one week, and if Xpert-positive, TB treatment was initiated. If Xpert was negative, research staff repeated WHO symptom screen and facilitated the Xpert-negative algorithm for all who were WHO tool positive, which comprised chest radiograph, spot sputum for TB culture, and/or antibiotic trial as clinically appropriate. The Xpert-negative algorithm was also facilitated, because of *a priori* high risk of active TB, for all pre-ART participants with CD4<200x10⁶/l who submitted sputum for immediate Xpert at enrolment to XPHACTOR.

At the three-month visit all participants had sputum and blood cultured for mycobacteria (Bactec MGIT 960 and 9240 systems). We allowed a broad window period around the three-month XPHACTOR main study final visit, until around six months, to maximise follow-up.

Repeat Xpert substudy procedures

XPHACTOR participants who were Xpert-negative with i) CD4 count<200 cells/mm³, or ii) new HIV diagnosis (HTC group) were eligible for this substudy, irrespective of presence of WHO tool symptoms; these restrictions aimed to minimise unnecessary testing of individuals at lower risk of active TB. If a participant had more than one negative Xpert result during follow-up, only the first episode was included.

At attendance for Xpert result review, eligible participants were asked for an additional spot sputum sample for "repeat" Xpert, which was frozen at -80°C within 24 hours of collection. All stored samples were thawed and tested with Xpert at the end of the study to evaluate the diagnostic yield that could have been achieved if an immediate repeat Xpert had been sent at the Xpert result review visit. We decided *a priori* not to induce sputum for this substudy in order to reflect what would be achievable in routine practice.

Page 3 of 15

Definitions

Repeat Xpert substudy entry and exit dates. Repeat Xpert substudy cohort entry date was defined as the date that the Xpert result review was conducted and sputum was collected for storage. Cohort exit date was defined as the last XPHACTOR study visit date.

TB case definitions. "Confirmed" TB was defined as a positive result on i) Xpert (on sputum sample) or ii) line probe assay (LPA) performed on smear-positive or cultured isolate (Geno-Type MTBDRplus, Hain Lifesciences) or iii) Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) culture, from any sample (including stored sputum and those requested by health care providers) collected within six months of XPHACTOR enrolment. Clinical TB was defined as TB treatment started within six months of enrolment ascertained from clinical records, self or family report, or reported in the context of a separate verbal autopsy sub-study, in the absence of microbiological confirmation. Six months was chosen because TB disease evolves gradually,^{9,10} data from Zimbabwe estimated the mean duration of smear-positivity prior to TB diagnosis amongst HIV-positive adults at 18–33 weeks¹¹.

"Not TB" was defined as absence of criteria for confirmed or clinical TB, and alive at least 3 months (the minimum follow-up period) after enrolment. Participants who did not fulfil the case definitions for TB or "not TB" were deemed to have unclassifiable outcome and excluded from analyses.

Pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB were classified in accordance with WHO definitions¹².

Radiological definitions. "Probable radiological TB" was defined as presence of any of cavitation, predominantly upper lobe infiltrates, pleural or pericardial effusion, or clear miliary picture on chest radiograph. "Possible radiological TB" was defined as presence of any of lymphadenopathy (hilar or mediastinal), pulmonary nodules or other infiltrates. Participants with "probable" or "possible" radiological TB features, but without bacteriological confirmation, who started TB treatment within six months of substudy enrolment were assigned as having "clinical" TB.

Statistical methods

Data were analysed using Stata 14 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

We did not undertake formal sample size calculation for this substudy as the sample size was all those eligible from the parent study.

We compared TB diagnoses made by Xpert using the sample stored at substudy enrolment, with all TB diagnoses fulfilling our case definitions during follow-up. We chose this pragmatic comparison because in real life, individuals with smear or Xpert-negative TB have sequential investigation, rather than all tests performed simultaneously. Our research staff facilitated the Xpert-negative algorithm when participants attended for Xpert result review, and therefore investigations are likely to have been initiated faster than in a routine setting. The proportion of TB diagnoses made by Xpert using the stored sputum was compared with TB diagnoses made during follow-up using McNemar's test.

In a sensitivity analysis restricted to participants who had at least one component of the Xpert-negative algorithm (chest radiograph, sputum for TB culture, or antibiotic trial) within a two-week window of providing the stored repeat Xpert sample, we compared the proportion of TB diagnoses made by Xpert using the stored sputum with TB diagnoses made by the Xpert-negative algorithm using McNemar's test.

We calculated sensitivity and specificity with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for LF-LAM using a cut-off of grade =2+ to define LAM-positive against a diagnostic reference standard of confirmed plus clinical TB. We used the grade 2 cut-off as this corresponds with the grade 1 band in the current LF-LAM reference card, which is deemed a positive result in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations¹³.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the ethics committees at the University of the Witwatersrand (approval # M120343), University of Cape Town (approval # 106/2012), and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (approval # 6165). All consenting participants gave written consent or, witnessed verbal consent if unable to read or write. All ethics committees approved the consent form. Principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki were followed in the conduct of this research.

Results

Between September 2012 and March 2014, 235/410 (57.3%) potentially eligible participants were able to provide a sputum sample, stored for testing at study completion with Xpert (Figure 1). Eight participants with "unclassifiable" outcome were excluded, leaving 227 participants for analysis.

Participant characteristics

Characteristics of the 227 substudy participants and comparison with the 175 excluded because they were unable to produce sputum are presented in Table 1. The majority of participants were female (63%), median age was 37 years (interquartile range [IQR] 31,44), median CD4 count was 100 cells/mm3 (IQR 51,147), and 26% had previously been treated for TB. 78/227 (34%) of participants reported a TB symptom, most often cough (23%, 52/227) or weight loss (19%, 43/227) (Table 1). Amongst the remaining 149/227 (66%) of participants who reported no WHO-tool symptoms at attendance for Xpert result, sputum was collected for repeat Xpert due to a priori high risk of active TB because newly-diagnosed HIV-positive (42); pre-ART with CD4 count <200 cells/mm3 (42); CD4 count <100 cells/mm3 (33); on ART with CD4 count 100-199 cells/mm3 (17); BMI <18.5 kg/m² or weight loss ≥10%, (15). Enrolment to the repeat Xpert study was at median 7 days (IQR 7,8) from collection of the initial sputum sample for Xpert.

Tuberculosis diagnoses

 $12\%\ (28/227)$ of substudy participants fulfilled case definitions for TB, of which 16 were confirmed and 12 were clinical

Page 4 of 15



Figure 1. Flow chart of repeat Xpert substudy participants. ¹ 15/175 who were excluded because they did not produce sputum fulfilled case definitions for TB (clinical TB [9/15], confirmed TB [6/15]) and a further 3/175 had unclassifiable outcome ² For 22/235 participants who provided more than one "repeat" sample (all Xpert-negative) only the result of the first sample and data from the associated review visit were used in the analysis ³ All had negative "repeat" Xpert.

(Table 2). One participant died before TB treatment could be commenced, and for one, the treatment start date was unknown. The remaining 26 started TB treatment at a median 49 days (IQR 0,108) after substudy entry. The range for time from substudy entry to earliest of positive TB investigation (including chest radiograph) or date TB treatment was started (amongst all fulfilling our case definitions for TB) was 0–118 days. 24% (19/78) participants who were WHO tool positive when the sample for stored repeat Xpert was collected fulfilled TB case definitions (confirmed 11, clinical 8).

Basis for commencement of TB treatment. Eleven participants started treatment based on a bacteriologically-confirmed TB result (Xpert [7]; *Mtb* isolated from sputum [3] or blood [1]) (Table 2).

Eleven participants started TB treatment because of compatible imaging. Nine had compatible chest radiographs, of whom four were subsequently bacteriologically confirmed (Xpert 2, pleural fluid cultured isolate LPA-positive 1, positive *Mtb* sputum culture 1). Two participants started treatment based on ultrasound scans, one compatible with abdominal TB (subsequently confirmed by positive *Mtb* from sputum culture); and the other showing pericardial effusion (Table 2).

Two participants started treatment because of compatible symptoms and positive sputum mycobacterial culture (later identified as non-tuberculous mycobacteria [NTM]) with symptomatic improvement on standard TB treatment. One participant started TB treatment solely based on stored repeat Xpert sample. The basis for starting TB treatment was not clear for the remaining three participants (Table 2).

Diagnoses made by repeat Xpert on stored sputum samples. The stored sputum sample was positive by Xpert at the end of the study for five participants (sensitivity of repeat Xpert 31.3% [5/16; 95% CI 11.0–58.7%] vs. gold standard of confirmed 7B and 18% [5/28, 95% CI: 6.1%-36.9%] vs. gold standard of confirmed / clinical TB combined) (Figure 2). In a matched analysis the odds of TB diagnosis was much greater by other modalities during follow-up than by the repeat Xpert, odds ratio 24.0 (95% CI: 3.9–986.9; p<0.0001, McNemar's test). Amongst the five participants with positive repeat Xpert, three were in the pre-ART group, and two in the on ART group. We were unable to undertake multivariable analysis to look at independent predictors of positive.

In a sensitivity analysis restricted to 123 participants who had at least one component of the Xpert-negative algorithm within a two-week window of providing the stored repeat Xpert sample, 23 participants fulfilled our TB case definitions (13/23 confirmed, 10/23 clinical). The stored sputum sample was positive by Xpert for four participants (sensitivity of repeat Xpert for confirmed and clinical TB combined 17% [4/23]; for sputum culture-confirmed TB 20% [1/5]). Ten participants started TB treatment because of evaluation by the Xpert-negative algorithm (four confirmed, six clinical), of whom two also had positive stored repeat Xpert. Eleven other participants fulfilled TB case definitions during study follow-up (eight confirmed, three clinical). We did a matched analysis, classifying as "not TB" for the purpose of this analysis, 11 participants who fulfilled our TB case definitions but were not identified by either the Xpertnegative algorithm or stored repeat Xpert. The odds of TB diagnosis by the Xpert-negative algorithm was greater than by

Page 5 of 15

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

Characteristic	Study participants (N=227)	Did not provide sputum for "repeat" Xpert (N=175)
	N (%)	N (%)
Demographics		
Age, years - Median (IQR)	37 (31-44) (N=226)	36 (30-43)
Female	144 (63.4%)	109 (62.3%)
Black African	222 (97.8%)	175 (100%)
Participant category		
On ART	99 (43.6%)	67 (38.3%)
Pre-ART	75 (33.0%)	60 (34.3%)
HTC	53 (23.4%)	48 (27.4%)
HIV/TB history		
Previous TB treatment	59 (26.0%)	37 (21.1%)
Ever had IPT	18 (7.9%)	6 (3.4%)
Ever had CPT	122 (53.7%)	84 (48.0%)
BMI / CD4 when immediate Xp	ert was requested	
BMI, kg/m² - Median (IQR)	23.3 (20.1-27.4) (N=226)	23.4 (20.1-28.1)
CD4 ¹ , cells/mm ⁴ - Median (IQR)	100 (51-147) (N=188)	113 (56-169) (N=148)
WHO tool symptoms when sar	nple for "repeat" Xpert wa	is requested
WHO-positive	78 (34.4%)	44 (25.1%)
Cough	52 (22.9%)	23 (13.1%)
Weight loss	43 (18.9%)	32 (18.3%)
Night sweats	16 (7.0%)	9 (5.1%)
Fever	7 (3.1%)	2 (1.1%)
TB diagnoses over 6 months fe	ollow-up	
Total	28 (12.3%)	18 (10.3%)
Confirmed TB	16 (7.1%)	7 (4.0%)
Clinical TB	12 (5.3%)	11 (6.3%)
Follow-up		
Time from XPHACTOR enrolment to 3-month" study visit, days - Median (IQR)	84 (84,95) (N=220)	86 (84,106) (N=169)
Accuracy of LF-LAM for confir	med and clinical TB comb	bined using Grade 2 cut-off
Prevalence of positive LAM, n/N (%)	2/142 (1.4%)	1/100 (1.0%)
Sensitivity n/N	0/18	0/9
Specificity n/N % (95% CI)	122/124 98.4% (94.3, 99.8)	90/91 98.9% (94.0, >99.9)

Table 1. Characteristics of substudy participants (n=227) vs. eligible non-productive of sputum (n=175).

IPT= Isoniazid preventive therapy; BMI = body mass index; CPT= Cotrimoxazole preventive therapy; HTC= Enrolled from HIV testing and counselling service; WHO positive = self-report of any of current cough, fever, night sweats or unintentional weight loss. ¹ Most recent clinic CD4 cell count when participant attended for Xpert result review. CD4 available for 186/227 participants enrolled (99/99 on ART, 75/75 pre-ART, 14/54 HTC); and 148/175 who did not provide sputum for repeat Xpert[®] (67/67 on ART, 60/60 pre-ART, 21/48 HTC)

Page 6 of 15

Characteristic	Participants diagnosed with TB N=28 N (%)
Case definition	
Bacteriologically confirmed TB:	16 (57%)
Sputum Xpert positive ¹	6 (21%)
Sputum Mtb culture positive	4 (14%)
Sputum both Xpert and Mtb culture-positive	4 (14%)
Blood Mtb culture-positive	1 (4%)
Pleural fluid cultured isolate LPA-positive	1 (4%)
Clinical TB:	12 (43%)
Site of TB	
Pulmonary TB only	18 (64%)
Extrapulmonary TB only ²	5 (18%)
Both pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB ³	2 (7%)
Not recorded	3 (11%)
TB treatment commenced	27 (96%)
Basis upon which TB treatment commenced:	
Bacteriologically-confirmed Mtb4	11 (41%)
Compatible imaging ⁵	11 (41%)
Compatible symptoms and positive sputum mycobacterial culture (later identified as NTM) ⁶	2 (7%)
Not known ⁷	3 (11%)
Time from substudy entry to treatment start (n=26), days - Median (IQR)	49 (0, 108)
Repeat Xpert on stored sputum	
Xpert positive	5 (18%)

Table 2. Basis for TB diagnoses in repeat Xpert substudy (N=28).

 CXR = chest radiograph; LPA = line probe assay; NTM = Non tuberculous mycobacteria; USS = ultrasound scan

¹ Includes two participants for whom bacteriological confirmation was provided by stored sputum which was Xpert-positive; one of whom started treatment based on this result, and the other had already started TB treatment because of compatible chest radiograph (miliary TB).
² Pleural effusion (3); positive mycobacterial blood culture (1); pericardial effusion (1)

³ Compatible dominal ultrasound and sputum *Mtb* culture-positive (1), pleural effusion and sputum Xpert positive (1)

⁴Sputum Xpert positive (7) of which one was the sample stored for repeat Xpert and samples were collected at median 97 days (IQR 79, 118) after substudy entry; sputum *Mtb* culture-positive (3); blood *Mtb* culture-positive (1)

⁵ Compatible CXR (9) of which four subsequently bacteriologically confirmed, compatible USS (2)
 Case definitions fulfilled for CXR reporting:

^o 7 Probable radiological TB (pleural effusion [4], miliary TB [1], cavitation and infiltrates [2]).
 ^o 2 Possible radiological TB

USS: Pericardial effusion (1); abdominal TB with subsequent sputum Mtb culture-positive (1)
One participant categorised as probable TB had bilateral pleural effusions and cardiomegaly and
was reported at verbal autopsy as having started TB treatment based on CXR

⁶Started on basis of compatible symptoms and positive sputum culture later identified as *M. avium* (1) and *M. intracellulare* (1); both had improvement in symptoms after treatment was initiated.

⁷ Identified as having started TB treatment at verbal autopsy (2), started by clinic doctor (1)

Page 7 of 15





Figure 2. Number of participants diagnosed with TB by "repeat" Xpert vs. number diagnosed during follow-up (N=28). CXR = chest radiograph; USS = ultrasound scan ¹ CXR features compatible with "Probable TB" (pleural effusion [4], cavitation and infiltrates [2]); CXR features compatible with "Possible TB" (2); USS features compatible with TB (Pericardial effusion [1]; abdominal TB [1]) ² One participant died before treatment commenced ³ Two identified as having started TB treatment at verbal autopsy. One had *M. xenopi* identified in sputum culture prior to commencement of empiric TB treatment. ⁴ Started on basis of compatible symptoms and positive sputum culture later identified as *M. avium* (1) and *M. intracellulare* (1); both had improvement in symptoms after treatment was initiated.

repeat Xpert, but did not attain statistical significance, odds ratio 4.0 (95% CI: 0.8–38.7; p=0.11, McNemar's test).

The participant who started TB treatment solely based on the stored repeat Xpert sample was in the pre-ART group with a CD4 cell count of 113 cells/mm3 at substudy enrolment, had no previous history of TB treatment, and had a five week history of cough and fever when the initial sputum sample for Xpert was collected. The sputum culture, the only component of the Xpert-negative algorithm arranged at the Xpert review visit, was contaminated. This participant initiated ART on the day of entry to the substudy, was WHO-tool negative at all subsequent study visits, and had negative sputum and blood for mycobacterial culture at the 3-month visit. The remaining four participants with positive stored repeat Xpert sample started TB treatment before the stored sample was processed, based on further evaluation during follow-up: sputum Xpert-positive (2, one with rifampicin resistance); sputum Mtb culture-positive (1); and compatible chest radiograph (1).

Further evaluation of substudy participants undertaken during substudy follow-up

Figure 3 summarises all evaluations undertaken for TB during substudy follow-up, aside from 3-month visit mycobacterial cultures and Xpert on stored sputum samples. As part of routine care or facilitated by research staff for the Xpert-negative algorithm, 97/227 (43%) had a chest radiograph (38/97 [39%] fulfilled criteria for radiological TB), and 100/227

(44%) had mycobacterial culture on sputum (3/100 [3%] Mtb positive). 34/227 (15%) of participants were prescribed an antibiotic trial at the Xpert result review, and 14/21 (67%) of those reviewed reported resolution of symptoms.

89 participants submitted sputum specimens for Xpert as part of routine care or because they fulfilled XPHACTOR algorithm criteria at monthly follow-up visits, for whom 6/89 (7%) were positive. An additional four participants had positive Xpert, of which three were stored sputum samples for repeat Xpert (bacterial confirmation provided solely by stored sample [2], also positive *Mtb* sputum culture [1]), and one was collected after the 3-month visit (Table 2).

The mycobacterial cultures performed routinely at the 3-month visit yielded *Mtb* isolates in 2% (5/219) of sputum and 0/220 blood samples.

Performance of urine LAM

LAM results were available for 142/227 (63%) of study participants, with a positive result (grade 2 cut-off) observed in 2/142 (1%). 18/142 (13%) fulfilled case definitions for TB (clinical and confirmed). The sensitivity of LF-LAM for TB (clinical and confirmed) was 0% and specificity was 98.4% (95% CI 94.3, 99.8). Sensitivity and specificity were similar in those 175 excluded because they were unable to produce sputum (Table 1).

Page 8 of 15



Figure 3. Evaluation of participants undertaken from repeat Xpert substudy entry to 3-month visit. Categories are not mutually exclusive as each participant could undergo >1 mode of evaluation for TB C+ = culture positive; CXR = chest radiograph; ID = final identification; *MTB* = *M*. *tuberculosis*; NTM = non-tuberculous mycobacteria. ¹ Excludes stored sputum samples for repeat Xpert, and sputum and blood samples for mycobacterial culture collected at 3-month visit. ² As part of routine care or facilitated by research staff for GXP-negative algorithm. ⁹⁷ participants had 100 CXRs, for those with multiple CXR most recent CXR is reported. ⁹ Facilitated by research staff for GXP-negative algorithm. ⁹⁷ samples tested with Xpert, for those with multiple cXR most recent CXR is reported. ⁹ Facilitated by research staff for GXP-negative algorithm samples tested with Xpert, for those with multiple samples most recent sample reported. ⁸ As part of routine care or facilitated by research staff for GXP-negative algorithm samples tested with Xpert, for those with multiple samples most recent sample reported. ⁸ As part of routine care or facilitated by research staff for GXP-negative algorithm samples tested with Xpert, for those with multiple samples most recent sample reported. ⁶ Reviewed and reported completing at least 5 days of antibiotics

Discussion

Among HIV-positive individuals at high risk of active TB, with a negative sputum Xpert result, very few TB diagnoses would have been made in this study by immediately repeating Xpert. We limited our study to HIV-positive individuals at highest risk of active TB, i.e. those who were WHO tool positive with CD4<200x106/l, or pre-ART with CD4<200x106/l, or newly diagnosed, to minimise unnecessary testing of individuals at lower risk of active TB. The low yield from repeat Xpert in those with negative initial Xpert is likely due to paucibacillary or extrapulmonary disease. These TB diagnoses may be better identified by alternative diagnostic modalities, such as chest radiography. A South African study of patients with sputum screened for TB by Xpert and mycobacterial culture prior to ART initiation, using a gold standard of culture-confirmed TB (N=85), found that those who were Xpert-negative had higher CD4 cell counts and lower viral loads than those who were Xpert-positive¹⁴. We did not have enough positive stored Xpert results to undertake a similar analysis

Our study illustrates the realities of implementing the test negative algorithm in HIV-positive individuals. Despite research staff facilitating the algorithm, less than half (100/227) of participants produced sputum for mycobacterial culture during follow-up (vs. 100% assumed by Schnippel)⁷. We found sensitivity of the repeat Xpert was only 18% (5/28) for all TB or 31% (5/16) for bacteriologically-confirmed TB vs. 79% assumed by Schnippel⁶³⁷. Data from South Africa demonstrate poor adherence in routine care settings to TB diagnostic algorithms amongst HIV-positive individuals with initial negative Xpert test^{15,16}. The aforementioned model^{6,7} assumes 1% of patients with TB symptoms start TB treatment based on a clinical diagnosis, but we found this to be far greater; and the model does not consider extrapulmonary TB (one-fifth of our participants diagnosed with TB had only extrapulmonary disease). An economic evaluation of repeat sputum Xpert *vs.* the Xpertnegative algorithm for HIV-positive individuals using assumptions that are more realistic is needed.

Evaluation of the 2007 WHO algorithm for smear-negative TB (comprising chest radiograph, single sputum for mycobacterial culture, and antibiotic trial), in HIV-positive individuals being investigated for TB in Cambodia¹⁷, against a gold standard of culture-confirmed TB based on multiple specimens, demonstrated sensitivity of 60%17. Sensitivity of this algorithm is imperfect, and there is a risk of overtreatment when only clinical-radiological features are used to start TB treatment. 40% (11/27) of our study participants who started TB treatment did so because of compatible imaging, of whom almost half were subsequently bacteriologically confirmed, highlighting its value to support rapid initiation of TB treatment. Our findings are in accord with data from the XTEND trial, which found that compatible chest radiograph was the main reason for initiating empiric TB treatment in a cohort of patients investigated for TB in primary care in South Africa, amongst whom microbiological confirmation was subsequently obtained for 13%18. South

Page 9 of 15

African national guidelines now recommend chest radiography for all individuals with symptoms suggestive of TB who cannot produce a sputum sample, but limited access to radiography facilities may limit implementation⁴. Amongst our study participants who provided sputum for mycobacterial culture prior to their 3-month visit there was a low yield of Mtb (3/100 [3%]), and the yield from further Xpert during follow-up was 7% (6/89), representing just over half (9/16) of all confirmed TB diagnoses. Our findings highlight the need for more sensitive diagnostic tests, and for repeating TB investigation using all available modalities, in HIV-positive individuals with initial negative sputum test result who remain symptomatic or have advanced immunosuppression. Current WHO guidance supports the use of urine LF-LAM to assist TB diagnosis in symptomatic HIV-positive adult in- or out-patients with CD4 cell counts ≤ 100 cells/mm³, or those who are seriously ill irrespective of CD4 count¹³. Data from the STAMP trial showed that systematic screening with LF-LAM of hospitalised HIV-positive adults increased overall TB diagnosis and in certain subgroups of patients reduced mortality¹⁹. We have previously reported the low sensitivity of LF-LAM in the broader XPHACTOR study population²⁰. In this substudy we found that LF-LAM would not have helped make earlier diagnoses of TB.

Our study has some limitations. In the parent XPHACTOR study, Xpert testing was prioritised in people with BMI<18.5kg/m² or CD4<100, those newly diagnosed HIV-positive or pre-ART with CD4<200, as well as those with TB symptoms⁸. Thus the population in this substudy did not all have classic "TB symptoms" at the time of collection of either initial or repeat sputum samples for testing with Xpert. However, TB prevalence in our substudy population was high, and we anticipate our results to be relevant at least to these high-risk groups. We froze all our raw sputum samples within 24 hours of collection, and all were thawed and tested within 6 months of collection, in line with other studies21. We assumed that all participants starting TB treatment or with a sample which was bacteriologically confirmed collected within six months of enrolment were likely to have had active TB at enrolment, regardless of whether it was diagnosable using sputum based tests at the time of enrolment. In fact, our study participants who started TB treatment commenced within a median of seven weeks from collection of the "repeat" Xpert sample. Some sputum samples for mycobacterial culture and chest radiographs were taken at an interval after participants returned for their initial Xpert test result, reflecting real-life investigation practice; we cannot be certain of the same result if they had been performed at the same time as sample collection for repeat Xpert. However, our findings suggest that following the Xpert-negative algorithm is more likely to lead to TB diagnosis than immediate repeat Xpert test.

Strengths of our study include systematic evaluation of participants and longitudinal follow-up which minimised the number of TB diagnoses missed, and the pragmatic nature of the study which reflected as far as possible real-life conditions, albeit with optimised implementation of TB diagnostic algorithms.

Conclusions

Amongst ambulatory HIV-positive individuals at high risk of active TB, if an initial Xpert is negative, the Xpert-negative pathway should be implemented and there should be a low threshold for investigating those who remain at high risk using all clinically appropriate diagnostic modalities. In addition, those for whom no TB diagnosis is made must be made aware of the importance of returning for review if symptoms persist or recur. Our findings do not support sending an immediate repeat Xpert and highlight the need for more sensitive diagnostic tests capable of detecting pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB.

Data availability

The XPHACTOR "Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative" dataset, which includes data underlying this substudy, has been uploaded to the LSHTM Data Compass repository: https://doi.org/10.17037/DATA.284²².

The reader will need to request the dataset from LSHTM (request access is provided within the data record) with a brief summary of how the dataset will be utilised. On request, a data sharing agreement will be made available which will first need to be signed, prior to provision of the dataset. This enables LSHTM to confirm that the reader is using the data for HIV or TB-related research, which is required because study participants consented to use of their data for HIV or TB-related research only.

The data is shared under a Data Sharing Agreement license (see above).

The study team wish to avoid unnecessary barriers to access and will seek to respond to data requests as quickly as possible.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [OPP1034523].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the study participants; the nursing and medical staff of Chris Hani Baragwanath and Mamelodi hospitals, Ramokonopi and Jabulani Dumane community health clinics, South Africa; the staff of National Health Laboratory Services, South Africa; and the staff of Aurum Institute for their essential contributions to this study.

Page 10 of 15

Supplementary material

Supplementary File 1: XPHACTOR study flow, procedures and algorithm. Click here to access the data.

References

- World Health Organization: Automated Real-Time Nucleic Acid Amplification Technology for Rapid and Simultaneous Detection of Tuberculosis and Rifampicin Resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF Assay for the Diagnosis of Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB in Adults and Children: Policy Update. WHO Guidelines Approved by the Guidelines Review Committee. Geneva 2013. PubMed Abstract
- Samb B, Sow PS, Kony S, *et al.*: Risk factors for negative sputum acid-fast bacilli smears in pulmonary tuberculosis: results from Dakar, Senegal, a city with low HIV seroprevalence. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 1999; 3(4): 330–6. PubMed Abstract 2.
- Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, et al.: Xpert@ MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifamplcin resistance in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014; (1): CD005933. Publied Abstract | Publisher Fuil Text | Free Fuil Text З.
- Department of Health Republic of South Africa: National Tuberculosis Management Guidelines 2014 [2nd April 2015].
- Lawn SD, Brooks SV, Kranzer K, et al.: Screening for HIV-associated 5. Luberculoisis and rifampicin resistance before antiretroviral therapy using the Xpert MTB/RIF assay: a prospective study. *PLoS Med.* 2011; 8(7): e1001067. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Meyer-Rath G, Schnippel K, Long L, *et al.*: **The impact and cost of scaling up GeneXpert MTB/RIF in South Africa.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(5): e36966. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text** 6.
- Schnippel K, Meyer-Rath G, Long L, et al.: Diagnosing Xpert MTB/RIF negative TB: impact and cost of alternative algorithms for South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2013; 103(2): 101–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hunfa Y, Fiding KL, Chihota VN, et al.: A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa. PLoS One. 2017; 12(8): e0181519. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text 8.
- Dheda K, Barry CE 3rd, Maartens G: Tuberculosis. Lancet. 2016; 387(10024): 9. 1211–26. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cobelens F, Kik S, Esmail H, et al.: From latent to patent: rethinking prediction of tuberculosis. Lancet Respir Med. 2017; 5(4): 243–4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 10
- Contett EL, Bandason T, Changer VB, *et al*: Prevalent infectious tuberculosis in Harare, Zimbabwe: burden, risk factors and implications for control. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*: 2009; 13(10): 1231–7. PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- 12. World Health Organization: Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 revision. (updated December 2014) 2013 [20th April 2015].

- World Health Organization: The use of lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannar assay (LF-LAM) for the diagnosis and screening of active tuberculosis in people living with HIV. *Policy guidance*. 2015. Reference Source 13.
- Lawn SD, Korkhoff AD, Vogt M, et al.: Characteristics and early outcomes of patients with Xpert MTR/RIF-negative pulmonary tuberculosis diagnosed during screening before antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infact Dis*. 2012; 54(8): 1071–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text McCarthy KM, Grant AD, Chihota V, *et al.*: Implementation and Operational Research: What Happens After a Negative Test for Tuberculosis? Evaluating Adherence to TB Diagnostic Algorithms in South African Primary Health Clinics. *J Acquit Immuno Defic Syndr.* 2016; 71(5): e119–26. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text 15.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Fun reak | Free Fun reak Naido P, Dunbar R, Lombard C, *et al*: Comparing Tuberculosis Diagnostic Yield in Smear/Culture and Xpert@ MTB/RIF-Based Algorithms Using a Non-Randomised Stepped-Wedge Design. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(3): e0150487. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fubmed Adstract [Production of the 2007 WHO guideline to improve the diagnosis of tuberculosis in ambulatory HIV-positive adults. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6(4): e18502. 17.
- Norman Austract | Fouriest | Full text | Free Full text McCarthy K, Fielding K, Churdynard GJ, *et al.*: Empiric tuberculosis treatment in South African primary health care facilities for whom, where, when and why: Implications for the development of tuberculosis diagnostic tests. *PLoS One*. 2018; 13(1): e0191608. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text 18.
- Gupta-Wright A, Corbett EL, van Oosterhout JJ, et al.: Urine-based screening for tuberculosis: a randomized trial in HIV-positive inpatients. *Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections*; Boston, Massachusetts, USA. March 4–7, 2018. Reference Source 19.
- Henterine source Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Chihota VN, et al.: Diagnostic Accuracy of Lateral Flow Urine LAM Assay for TB Screening of Adults with Advanced Immunosuppression Attending Routine HVC Care in South Artica. PLoS One. 2016; 11(6): e0156866. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text 20.
- солов довжает решляет Pun Text | Pree Full Text
 Theron G, Zijenah L, Chanda D, et al.: Feasibility, accuracy, and clinical effect of point-of-care Xpert MTB/RIF testing for tuberculosis in primary-care settings in Africa: a multicentre, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet. 2014; 383(9915): 242–35.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 21.

r uomed Abstract | Publisher Full Text Hanifa Y, Fielding K, Grant AD, et al.: Data for; "The utility of repeat Xpert MTB/ RIF testing to diagnose tuberculosis in HU-positive adults with initial negative result". [Online]. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom. 2016. Data Source 22.

Page 11 of 15

7.3. Material provided as supplementary online appendices

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL INDEX

I.	Figure 1. XPHACTOR main study flow and procedures2
----	--

Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative – supplementary material

Version 1, 13th April 2018

Page 1 of 5



I. Figure 1. XPHACTOR main study flow and procedures

* XPHACTOR algorithm at enrolment: high priority (any of: current cough, fever ≥ 3 weeks, body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss ≥10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); medium priority (any of: fever < 3 weeks, night sweats, measured weight loss <10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms.

Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative – supplementary material

Version 1, 13th April 2018

Page 2 of 5

† Samples tested with Xpert at the end of the study to enable comparison of sensitivity and specificity of the XPHACTOR study algorithm to detect TB cases against sensitivity and specificity if Xpert had been performed immediately for all with any WHO tool symptom.

‡ XPHACTOR algorithm at monthly follow up: high priority (any of: current cough, fever ≥ 3 weeks, night sweats ≥ 4 weeks, BMI <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss ≥10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); medium priority (any of: fever < 3 weeks, night sweats <4 weeks, measured weight loss <10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms.

§ Screened by research nurse between October 2013 and April 2014. Eligible if not on TB treatment & persistent TB symptoms, defined as: (i) any of cough, fever, or night sweats reported at enrolment and at 3-month visit; OR (ii) ≥5% measured weight loss at 3-month visit and reported unintentional weight loss.

Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative - supplementary material

Version 1, 13th April 2018

Page 3 of 5

II. XPHACTOR main study procedures

Enrolment

At enrolment, research staff administered a standardised questionnaire which incorporated the WHO tool, collected details of TB and HIV treatment, and basic demographic and socioeconomic information. Further investigation was prioritised according to the XPHACTOR algorithm with an immediate spot sputum sample sent for Xpert for individuals at *a priori* highest risk of active TB: (i) all assigned "high priority" (any of: current cough, fever ≥ 3 weeks, BMI <18.5 kg/m², CD4 <100x10⁶/l, measured weight loss ≥10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); (ii) those in pre-ART group with CD4<200x10⁶/l at enrolment (iii) all in HTC group (whose CD4 count was unknown) at enrolment. For all other participants, a spot sputum sample was collected at enrolment and frozen at -80 °C within 24 hours, for testing with Xpert at the end of the study (figure 1). This enabled comparison of sensitivity and specificity of the XPHACTOR study algorithm to detect TB cases against sensitivity and specificity if Xpert had been performed immediately for all with any WHO tool symptom.

All participants with CD4<200x10⁶/l were asked to provide a spot urine sample in a sterile container at enrolment, which was stored at 2-8 °C prior to freezing at -80 °C within 24 hours of collection. At the end of the study samples were thawed to ambient temperature and tested with lateral-flow LAM assay (LF-LAM) (Determine TB-LAM; Alere, USA) by two trained laboratory technologists in accordance with training provided by Alere representatives. The technologists did not have access to other bacteriological results when performing LF-LAM tests. Each test was graded once, using the pre-January 2014 manufacturer's reference card comprising five grades of colour intensity with the least intense band assigned grade 1, absence of a band graded negative, and absence of control band deemed a failed test.

Follow-up

Participants were reviewed monthly to three months, with repeat WHO symptom screen and a spot sputum requested for Xpert if "high priority" by the study algorithm at that visit, with the exception of those in the "on ART" group who were asymptomatic at enrolment who were telephoned at 1 and 2 months to update locator information but were not asked about TB symptoms. At the 3-month visit sputum (induced if necessary) and blood were collected for mycobacterial culture on liquid media (Bactec MGIT 960 and 9240 systems) from all study participants, regardless of symptoms (figure 1). We allowed a broad window period around the scheduled 3-month visit, until around six months, in order to maximise study follow-up.

Participants who submitted an Xpert sample were reviewed within one week. If Xpert-positive, TB treatment was initiated; if negative, research staff repeated the WHO symptom screen and facilitated

the Xpert-negative algorithm which comprised chest radiograph, spot sputum for TB culture, and/or antibiotic trial as clinically appropriate (Figure 1).

Investigation results were returned to clinic staff, who were responsible for management decisions. Clinic records were reviewed at the end of the study to ascertain any additional relevant investigations and/or TB diagnoses. Deaths were identified through reports from participant-nominated contacts, clinic staff, and by accessing the Department of Home Affairs vital statistics database using participants' South African identification numbers.

Version 1, 13th April 2018

Page 5 of 5

7.4. Peer reviewers' reports

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ? 🗸



Reviewer Report 01 June 2018

https://doi.org/10.21956/gatesopenres.13882.r26494

© 2018 Lim T. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tow Keang Lim

Division of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, National University Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

This study examined the sensitivity of repeating an expectorated sputum specimen for Xpert testing for TB in a group of high risk immuno-compromised patients who had returned negative tests initially. negative tests. The repeat Xpert test had lower than expected sensitivity (~20%) and contributed very little to treatment timeliness. However the overall incidence of TB was low and it was mostly pauci-bacillary disease. These results many not be applicable to patients with higher disease burden or for induced sputa.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound? Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Page 12 of 15

Gates Open Research

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

Reviewer Report 31 May 2018

https://doi.org/10.21956/gatesopenres.13882.r26447

© 2018 Hanrahan C. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Colleen F. Hanrahan

Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

Overall

- This study provides some interesting data on how useful a 2nd Xpert is to follow-up on those initially negative by Xpert. The addition of LAM to this study needs to be clearly and explicitly explained. Currently it reads as a very extraneous add-on.
- There are too many tables/figures for the amount of actual data. I find the presentation of data currently to be excessive and unnecessarily complicated and duplicative.

Introduction:

- Aim is awkwardly stated. Possibly reword with study population at the end, and try to make it more succinct.
- LAM needs to be included as an aim from the start. Otherwise it feels like a very tangential add on.

Methods:

- I would like a formal definition of the primary outcome and any secondary outcomes. They are
 probably in there, but not explicitly stated enough.
- I think a flow diagram of testing would be helpful to flesh out the methods.
- It's not clear to me where LAM fits in. An Xpert negative pt is re-screened for symptoms, has a
 chest x-ray and sputum for culture, and/or antibiotic trial is given. But then suddenly under
 statistical methods, you mention LAM for the first time. LAM is not part of the SA algorithm. We
 need to know when this was done, and even why it is relevant to this study.

Results:

- Not sure why 1 person who started TB treatment >6 months after enrollment would be excluded? This gets back to my previous point that you need to explicitly state the primary outcome, so we can understand why you would have excluded these 7 individuals.
- I'm confused by figure 1. What i'd like to know is what is the additional yield of Xpert, but I can't tell
 that from this figure. The way it looks is that all the people who had confirmed TB were not
 diagnosed with clinical TB. However, I can't believe that is true. I would prefer to see who was
 diagnosed how up front (clinical, microbiological) and who wasn't ("not tb"). Then from those
 boxes, show us how many in each were Xpert positive from the 2nd sputum.

Page 13 of 15

Gates Open Research

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

- I'm confused by the section on TB diagnosis. Those diagnosed by Xpert don't appear to be by the 2nd Xpert that was taken and stored, because lower down you detail those individuals. But a 2nd Xpert is not part of the SA algorithm. Something needs to be done to make this clearer.
- Table 2 confuses me for the same reason that figure 1 confuses me.
- If you change figure 1 as suggested above, then you don't need a venn diagram. That diagram conveys very little information.
- Figure 3 is totally confusing because people can be in more than one of the top boxes...I'm not sure what this adds, particularly how it speaks to your primary outcome.

Discussion:

- Although the authors note that their population was not limited to symptomatic individuals as a potential weakness, they do not explicitly state that an asymptomatic population is likely to have paucibacillary or subclinical disease, for which Xpert would not have good sensitivity. The SA algorithm for TB investigation starts with a positive symptom screen, not merely for being HIV positive with a low CD4 despite having no symptoms. So these findings are not necessarily generalizeable to actual practice.
- I would also note that the prevalence of clinical diagnosis is not necessarily generalizeable. Its unclear the level of care that was given to these participants- was this routine care available in a primary public health clinic, or is this specialized care as part of a research study? These details could help the reader evaluate how generalizeable the findings are.

Conclusions:

• The reference to the "Xpert negative pathway" is vague - authors should be specific about what kinds of followup are most useful. Authors should also include something about LAM.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound? Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Page 14 of 15
Paper 3: The utility of repeat Xpert MTB/RIF testing to diagnose tuberculosis in HIVpositive adults with initial negative result

Gates Open Research

Gates Open Research 2018, 2:22 Last updated: 15 MAY 2019

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Page 15 of 15

8) *Paper 4*: What causes symptoms suggesting TB in HIV-positive people with negative initial investigations?

8.1. Cover sheet



London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT T: +44 (0)20 7299 4646 F: +44 (0)20 7299 4656 www.lshtm.ac.uk

RESEARCH PAPER COVER SHEET

Please note that a cover sheet must be completed for each research paper included within a thesis.

SECTION A – Student Details

Student ID Number	079810	Title	Dr
First Name(s)	Yasmeen		
Surname/Family Name	Hanifa		
Thesis Title	Investigation pathways for tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in South Africa		
Primary Supervisor	Alison Grant		

If the Research Paper has previously been published please complete Section B, if not please move to Section C.

SECTION B – Paper already published

Where was the work published?	International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease		
When was the work published?	February 2019		
If the work was published prior to registration for your research degree, give a brief rationale for its inclusion	N/A		
Have you retained the copyright for the work?*	Yes	Was the work subject to academic peer review?	Yes

*If yes, please attach evidence of retention. If no, or if the work is being included in its published format, please attach evidence of permission from the copyright holder (publisher or other author) to include this work.

SECTION C – Prepared for publication, but not yet published

Where is the work intended to be published?	
Please list the paper's authors in the intended authorship order:	
Stage of publication	Choose an item.

Improving health worldwide

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Paper 4: What causes symptoms suggesting TB in HIV-positive people with negative initial investigations?

SECTION D - Multi-authored work

For multi-authored work, give full details of your role in the research included in the paper and in the preparation of the paper. (Attach a further sheet if necessary)	I managed the study, performed clinical evaluation of participants, conducted all analyses and wrote the paper
---	--

SECTION E

Student Signature			
Date	28th May	2019	
	0		

Supervisor Signature		
Date	28 Mg	2019

Improving health worldwide

, Page 2 of 2

www.lshtm.ac.uk

8.2. Research paper

INT J TUBERC LUNG DIS 23(2):157–165 © 2019 The Union http://dx.doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.18.0251 E-published ahead of print 24 January 2019

What causes symptoms suggestive of tuberculosis in HIVpositive people with negative initial investigations?

Y. Hanifa,* S. Toro Silva,* A. Karstaedt,^{†‡} F. Sahid,^{†‡} S. Charalambous,^{§1} V. N. Chihota,^{§1} G. J. Churchyard,^{*§1#} A. von Gottberg,**^{††} K. McCarthy,[§] M. P. Nicol,^{‡‡§§} N. T. Ndlovu,[§] W. Stevens,^{§§111} K. L. Fielding,* A. D. Grant*^{11##}

*TB Centre, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK; [†]Department of Medicine, Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital, Johannesburg, [‡]University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, [§]The Aurum Institute, Johannesburg, [¶] School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, [#]Advancing Care and Treatment for TB-HIV, South African Medical Research Council Collaborating Centre for HIV and TB, Tygerberg, **Centre for Respiratory Diseases and Meningitis, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, ^{+†}Division of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, ^{±†}Division of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, ^{§§}National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, ^{¶†}Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ananesburg, ^{##}Africa Health Research Institute, School of Nursing and Public Health, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

_ S U M M A R Y

OBJECTIVE: To identify the causes of symptoms suggestive of tuberculosis (TB) among people living with the human immunodeficiency virus (PLHIV) in South Africa.

METHODS: A consecutive sample of HIV clinic attendees with symptoms suggestive of TB (≥ 1 of cough, weight loss, fever or night sweats) at enrolment and at 3 months, and negative initial TB investigations, were systematically evaluated with standard protocols and diagnoses assigned using standard criteria. TB was 'confirmed' if *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* was identified within 6 months of enrolment, and 'clinical' if treatment started without microbiological confirmation. RESULTS: Among 103 participants, 50/103 were preantiretroviral therapy (ART) and 53/103 were on ART; respectively 68% vs. 79% were female; the median age was 35 vs. 45 years; the median CD4 count was 311 vs. 508 cells/mm³. Seventy-two (70%) had $\ge 5\%$ measured weight loss and 50 (49%) had cough. The most common final diagnoses were weight loss due to severe food insecurity (n = 20, 19%), TB (n = 14, 14%: confirmed n = 7; clinical n = 7), other respiratory tract infection (n = 14, 14%) and post-TB lung disease (n = 9, 9%). The basis for TB diagnosis was imaging (n = 7), bacterio-logical confirmation from sputum (n = 4), histology, lumbar puncture and other (n = 1 each).

CONCLUSION: PLHIV with persistent TB symptoms require further evaluation for TB using all available modalities, and for food insecurity in those with weight loss.

KEY WORDS: South Africa; Xpert[®] MTB/RIF; TB symptoms; human immunodeficiency virus

THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO)

recommends regular screening of people living with the human immunodeficiency virus (PLHIV) for tuberculosis (TB) using a symptom screen comprising any one of current self-reported cough, fever, weight loss or night sweats (hereafter termed the 'WHO tool'), as an essential part of the HIV care package.¹ Although people attending for HIV care in sub-Saharan Africa are highly symptomatic,² most of those reporting WHO tool symptoms have negative TB investigations,^{2–4} and a proportion continue to report symptoms. Early identification of people with active TB among PLHIV is a priority; however, the evidence underpinning investigation pathways after an initial sputum test is weak. $^{5\!-\!14}$

The aim of our study was to determine the causes of persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB among ambulatory adults attending for HIV care who had negative initial TB investigations.

METHODS

This sub-study was part of a prospective cohort study evaluating a risk-based algorithm to prioritise Xpert[®] MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) testing among adults attending for routine HIV care in South

Correspondence to: Yasmeen Hanifa, Department of Clinical Research, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK. e-mail: yasmeen.hanifa@lshtm.ac.uk *Article submitted 30 March 2018. Final version accepted 29 July 2018.*

158 The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

Africa: 'Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review?' (XPHACTOR).¹⁵

XPHACTOR study population, recruitment and procedures

XPHACTOR study flow, procedures and algorithm are described in detail in the Online Appendix* (section on 'Main study procedures', Appendix Figure A.1). Briefly, we enrolled a systematic sample (using a predetermined system designed to minimise the risk of researcher selection bias) of adults (aged ≥ 18 years) attending four HIV clinics in Gauteng Province, South Africa, irrespective of the presence of symptoms suggestive of TB. Patients taking antituberculosis treatment within the previous 3 months were excluded. Patients were enrolled into 'on antiretroviral therapy (ART)' 'ART-experienced' and 'pre-ART' (in HIV care or newly diagnosed HIV-positive, not taking ART) groups. At the time of the study, ART eligibility comprised CD4 count \leq 350 cells/mm³ or WHO clinical stage \geq 3. Research staff screened participants for TB at monthly intervals up to 3 months using a standardised questionnaire that incorporated the WHO tool. The study algorithm defined individuals as a priori at highest risk of active TB if they had any of the following: current cough, fever \ge 3 weeks, night sweats ≥ 4 weeks, body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/ m², CD4 count <100 cells/mm³ or weight loss $\geq 10\%$. A spot sputum sample was collected from these individuals if possible for Xpert testing. At the 3-month visit, all participants underwent sputum (induced if necessary) and blood cultures for mycobacteria (BACTEC MGIT™ 960™ and 9240™ systems; BD, Sparks, MD, USA). We allowed a broad window period around the 3-month XPHACTOR main study visit until around 6 months to maximise follow-up.

Sub-study eligibility and enrolment

Between October 2013 and April 2014 at the XPHACTOR 3-month visit, consecutive participants who were not on anti-tuberculosis treatment and who had persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB were invited to participate in this sub-study. Persistent or recurrent TB symptoms were defined as 1) self-report of any of cough, fever or night sweats at enrolment, and self-report of any of the aforementioned symptoms at 3-month visit; or 2) self-report of unintentional weight loss and $\geq 5\%$ measured weight loss since XPHACTOR enrolment.

Figure 1 shows the sub-study flow and procedures. A chest radiograph (CXR) was requested if there was no film available for the previous 6 weeks, and all were asked to bring samples (stool, early-morning urine and sputum) for mycobacterial culture when they attended for research physician assessment. Further procedures were determined by symptoms (Figure 1); if cough was reported, the research nurse collected an additional sputum sample for bacterial culture (induced if necessary), two nasopharyngeal swabs and one oropharyngeal swab. Sputum samples were tested using routine bacterial microscopy and culture, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for bacteria, including Bordetella pertussis. One nasopharyngeal swab was inserted directly into Regan Lowe transport media for Bordetella spp. culture, and the remaining swabs were placed in Primestore medium for PCR detection of B. pertussis and other pathogens (Figure 1). All samples were transported within 24 h of collection to the research laboratory. PCR for B. pertussis was performed in accordance with the method described by Tatti et al.16 An abdominal ultrasound scan was requested for those with weight loss. Participants reporting fever or night sweats were given a digital thermometer to record oral temperature (morning, evening, and if any fever or sweats) for 1 week.

Research physician assessment

Around 1 week after enrolment, sub-study participants underwent systematic clinical evaluation, including examination by a research physician who arranged a standard set of investigations according to the participant's symptoms (Figure 1 and Appendix Figure A.2, Appendix section on 'Sub-study research physician assessment').

First-line evaluation for cough was spirometry if cough ≥ 8 weeks or features suggestive of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma; if clinically appropriate, blood samples were collected for C-reactive protein (CRP) testing to help distinguish the likelihood of bacterial infection and, if cardiac failure was suspected, for serum β -natriuretic peptide.

Second-line evaluation for cough comprised a trial of appropriate treatment for those with clinical features suggestive of cough due to upper airways disease, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD). All participants were screened using validated tools for depression (Patient Health Questionnaire 9 [PHQ-9]),17 household food insecurity (household food insecurity access score [HFIAS]),18 and alcohol misuse (Fast Alcohol Screening Test [FAST] score),19 and were asked about use of tobacco, snuff and wood-burning stoves. Using a standardised form, the physician abstracted information from clinic records relevant to assigning final diagnoses, such as chronic disease diagnoses, results of recent investigations in particular for TB, and history of HIV, ART and TB.

^{*} The appendix is available in the online version of this article, at http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iuatld/ijtld/2019/00000023/00000002/art000

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults 159



Figure 1 Sub-study procedures. * Eligible if not on anti-tuberculosis treatment and persistent or recurrent TB symptoms, defined as: 1) any of cough, fever, or night sweats at enrolment and 3-month visit; or 2) \geq 5% measured weight loss at 3-month visit and reported unintentional weight loss. † Sputum samples underwent macroscopic and microscopic evaluation; culture on 5% horse blood agar (routine respiratory pathogens), Regan Lowe (*Bordetella* spp.), buffered charcoal yeast extract (*Legionella* spp.); PCR for *Bordetella* spp., *M. pneumoniae*, *C. pneumoniae* and *Legionella* spp. * PCR for *Bordetella* spp., *M. pneumoniae*, *C. pneumoniae* and *Legionella* spp. * PCR for *Bordetella* spp., *C. pneumoniae*, and *Legionella* spp. * PCR for *Bordetella* spp., *C. pneumoniae*, and *Legionella* spp. * PCR for *Bordetella* spp., *C. pneumoniae*, and *Legionella* spp. * Aerobic and anaerobic bacterial cultures. * High priority (any of current cough, fever \geq 3 weeks, night sweats \geq 4 weeks, body mass index < 18.5 kg/m², CD4 < 100×10⁶/l, measured weight loss \geq 10% in preceding 6 months, or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); medium priority (any of fever < 3 weeks, night sweats < 4 weeks, measured weight loss < 10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms. XPHACTOR = Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review? TB = tuberculosis; PCR polymerase chain reaction; FBC = full blood count; LRTI = lower respiratory tract infection; CRP = C-reactive protein; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin; MC&S = microscopy, culture and sensitivities; FNA = fine-needle aspiration; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; AIDS = acquired immune-deficiency syndrome.

Sub-study follow-up

Sub-study participants were followed for a further 3 months and screened for TB at each visit by research staff using a standardised questionnaire incorporating the WHO tool, with further investigation for TB in accordance with the XPHACTOR study algorithm

(Figure 1). The research physician reviewed participants at these visits if required to assign final diagnoses.

Definitions

Final diagnoses were assigned by the research

160 $\,$ The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease



Figure 2 Study flow. * Persistent TB symptoms, defined as: 1) self-report of any of cough, fever, or night sweats at enrolment and at 3-month visit; or 2) self-report of unintentional weight loss and \geq 5% measured weight loss. [†] 60/540 (11%) pre-ART and 58/561 (10%) on ART/eligible. [†] Pre-ART (*n* = 10); on ART (*n* = 5). [§] No time (*n* = 12); too unwell (*n* = 1). [¶]Both pre-ART: started anti-tuberculosis treatment before assessment (*n* = 1); died before assessment (*n* = 1). ** Confirmed: Xpert+ or LPA+ from smear-positive/cultured isolate OR culture + *M. tuberculosis* from any sample collected within 6 months from enrolment to sub-study. ART = antiretroviral therapy; + = positive; TB = tuberculosis; LPA = line-probe assay.

physician using pre-set criteria (Appendix Table A), including the case definitions for TB detailed below.

TB case definitions

'Confirmed' TB was defined as a positive result on 1) Xpert (on sputum sample), 2) line-probe assay (LPA) performed on smear-positive or cultured isolate (GenoType MTBDR*plus*, Hain Lifesciences, Nehren, Germany) or 3) *M. tuberculosis* culture, from any sample collected within 6 months of sub-study enrolment. 'Clinical TB' was defined as anti-tuberculosis treatment started within 6 months of sub-study enrolment in the absence of microbiological confirmation.

Radiological definitions

'Probable radiological TB' was defined as the presence of 1) any of cavitation, predominantly upper lobe infiltrates, pleural or pericardial effusion, or clear miliary picture on CXR, or 2) any of abdominal lymphadenopathy, splenic microabscesses, pleural or pericardial effusion on ultrasound scan. 'Possible radiological TB' was defined as the presence of any of

lymphadenopathy (hilar or mediastinal), pulmonary nodules or other infiltrates. Participants with 'probable' or 'possible' radiological TB features but without bacteriological confirmation who started anti-tuberculosis treatment within 6 months of substudy enrolment were assigned 'clinical' TB.

Ethical approval

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg; University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK. All participants provided written informed consent or, if unable to write, witnessed verbal consent.

RESULTS

Sub-study enrolment and eligibility

A total of 1147 XPHACTOR study participants were screened for the sub-study, 45 of whom were excluded because they were currently taking antituberculosis treatment (Figure 2). One further participant was excluded because a stored sputum sample collected for the main study was Xpert-positive when tested after the 3-month visit. Among the remaining 1101 participants, 118 (11%) were eligible, and 103/ 118 (87%) underwent physician assessment (53/103 [51%] on ART, 50/103 [49%] pre-ART), at a median of 126 days (interquartile range [IQR] 96-175) after enrolment in the parent study. Among 15/118 (13%) participants who did not undergo physician assessment (10 pre-ART, 5 on ART), all had only one symptom (11/15 [73%] \geq 5% measured weight loss, 4/15 [27%] cough), and two subsequently had M. tuberculosis isolated from the 3-month sputum sample.

Participant characteristics

Table 1 presents the participants' characteristics; 30/ 50 (60%) pre-ART participants initiated ART during study follow-up, and in the on-ART group, 28/51 (55%) were virologically suppressed. Overall, 40/102 (39%) had PHQ-9 scores suggestive of moderate depression and 53/103 (51%) had HFIAS scores indicating households with severe food insecurity.

The most common WHO tool symptoms reported were weight loss (83/103, 81%), with 72/103 (70%) having $\geq 5\%$ measured weight loss and cough (50/ 103, 49%); 57/103 (55%) had one WHO tool symptom (45 [44%] weight loss, 9 [9%] cough, 3 [3%] night sweats), and 46/103 (45%) had multiple symptoms (25 [24%] had two, 18 [17%] three, 3 [3%] four symptoms). Among participants reporting cough, 20/50 (40%) had previously received antituberculosis treatment at a median of 4 years (IQR 2– 6) before sub-study enrolment (7/50 [14%] more than one course), 29/50 (58%) were current or ex-smokers

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults	161	

Table 1 Characteristics of study p	participants
------------------------------------	--------------

Characteristic	Pre-ART (<i>n</i> = 50) <i>n</i> (%)	On ART (<i>n</i> = 53) <i>n</i> (%)	Total (n = 103) n (%)
 Demographics			
Age, years, median [IQR]	35 [28–41]	45 [39–49]	40 [31–46]
Female	34 (68)	42 (79.3)	76 (73.8)
Black African	50 (100)	49 (92.5)	99 (96.1)
Completed secondary education (grade 12)	15 (30.0)	11 (20.8)	26 (25.2)
Number of people in household, median [IQR]	4 [3-5]	4 [2–5]	4 [2–5]
Monthly household income <2000 ZAR	29 (58.0)	30 (56.6)	59 (57.3)
HIV-TB history			
Duration since HIV diagnosed, months, median [IQR]	6 [4–17]	88 [52–118]	38 [6–99]
ART commenced after enrolment into main study*	25 (50.0)	NA	25 (24.3)
Duration on ART, months, median [IQR]	3 [2-4]	76 [43–100]	47 [5-88]
	(n = 21)	22 (5 4 7)	(n = 74)
Previous anti-tuberculosis treatment	4 (8.0)	29 (54.7)	33 (32.0) 11 (10.7)
> 1 previous episode of anti-tuberculosis treatment	0	11 (20.8)	11 (10.7)
CD4, cells/mm ³ , median [IQR]	311 [162–445]	508 [354–673]	401 [226–588]
Viral load eventseed (<30 conjected)	(n = 49)	38 (54.0)	(n = 102)
virai ioad suppressed (<20 copies/mi)	NA	28 (54.9)	29(53.7)
RMI at enrolment to sub-study ka/m^2 median [IOR]	22 7 [19 3_26 0]	(7 = 51) 23.6 [19.6_26.1]	(1 = 54) 23 [19 4_26 1]
Millo task anastana anastad	22.7 [15.5-20.0]	25.0 [15.0-20.1]	25 [15.4-20.1]
VVHO tool symptoms reported	42 (94.0)	20 (56 6)	72 (00 0)
Courde	42 (84.0)	30 (56.6)	72 (69.9) E0 (49.E)
Night sweets	10 (20.0)	10 (25 0)	20 (46.2) 20 (28.2)
Fover	4 (8 0)	18 (34.0)	29 (20.2)
>1 of the above symptoms	17 (34.0)	29 (54.7)	46 (44 7)
Consistent for the discount of demonstration	17 (54.6)	23 (34.77	40 (44.7)
Screening for food insecurity and depression	22 (46.0)	20 (E6 6)	E2 (E1 E)
HEIAS score: moderate food insecurity	23 (40.0)	SU (S0.0) 8 (15 1)	22 (21.2) 10 (18.5)
PHO-9 score > 10 (moderate depression)	18 (36.0)	22 (42 3)	19 (10.5)
The score > to (moderate depression)	18 (50.0)	(n = 52)	(n = 102)
Tobacco/alcohol/drug use§		(11 - 32)	(// = 102)
Ex- or current smoker	19 (38.0)	26 (49.1)	45 (43.7)
>15 pack years cigarette smoking	3/19 (15.8)	6/26 (23.1)	9/45 (20.0)
Current snuff user	7 (14.0)	15 (28.3)	22 (21.4)
Current harmful alcohol intake (FAST score ≥3)	9 (18.0)	7 (13.2)	16 (15.5)
Recreational drug use	0	2 (3.8)	2 (2.0)
	(n = 49)		

* A further 5 pre-ART participants initiated ART following clinician assessment. [†] Most recent of any result available within 1 year before, or within 6 weeks following clinician assessment. [‡] ≥5% measured weight loss and reported unintentional weight loss at sub-study enrolment. [§] "Current' defined as use within past 1 year, and 'smoker' defined as having ever smoked ≥100 cigarettes. ART = antiretroviral therapy, IQR = interquartile range; ZAR = South African rand; HIV = huma immunodeficiency virus; TB = tuberculosis; NA = not applicable; BMI = body mass index; WHO = World Health Organization; HFIAS = Household Food Insecurity Access Score; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire 9; FAST = Fast Alcohol Screening Test.

(7 [14%] had >15 pack years), 8/50 (16%) used snuff, 5/50 (10%) used paraffin stoves and none used wood-burning stoves. A further 18/50 (35%) reported wheeze and 26/50 (52%) dyspnoea.

Among 72 participants with $\geq 5\%$ measured weight loss, the median BMI, weight loss and percentage weight loss at physician assessment were respectively 23 kg/m² (IQR 18.9-25.9), 4.4 kg (IQR 3.6-6) and 6.8% (IQR 5.5-9.4). Of these 72 patients, 32 (50%) had HFIAS scores indicating severely food insecure households, 29/71 (41%) had PHQ-9 scores suggestive of moderate depression and 53/72 (74%) had a monthly household income of <2000 South African rand; 67/72 (93%) had follow-up weight measurements, among whom 42/67 (63%) gained weight and in 12/67 (18%) weight was stabilised. Among the 42 participants who gained weight during follow-up, 16/42 (38%) had initiated ART, three of whom had also started anti-tuberculosis treatment. Among 36 participants reporting fever or night sweats, 3/36 (8%) had measured fever >38.3°C at physician assessment or from home measurement.

Final diagnoses

Table 2 summarises the final diagnoses assigned over a median of 100 days (IQR 89-144) of follow-up. For nine participants (measured weight loss only, n = 8; measured weight loss and night sweats, n = 1), we were unable to determine any final diagnosis; these patients were assigned a final diagnosis of 'unexplained' or 'unexplained-symptom resolved spontaneously'. One hundred and twenty-one diagnoses were assigned for the remaining 94/103 (91%) participants. The most common diagnoses were

162 The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

Table 2 Final diagnoses of patients*

Final diagnoses assigned	(n = 103) n (%)
Weight loss due to severe food insecurity	20 (19.4)
TB	14 (13.6)
Confirmed	7 (6.8)
Clinical	7 (6.8)
Upper respiratory tract infection	12 (11.7)
Post-TB chronic lung disease	9 (8.7)
Bronchiectasis	2 (1.9)
Chronic loculated pleural effusion	1 (1.0)
Likely	6 (5.8)
Weight loss due to loss of appetite	9 (8.7)
Treatment-related [†]	4 (3.9)
Stress-related	4 (3.9)
Unexplained	1 (1.0)
Asthma	7 (6.8)
Confirmed	2 (1.9)
Likely	5 (4.9)
COPD [‡]	5 (4.9)
Confirmed	3 (2.1)
Likely	2 (1.9)
Weight loss due to depression	4 (3.9)
Volgar airway cough syndrome Confirmed Likely Perimenopausal vasomotor symptoms Diarrhoeal illness ⁵ Other infection ¹¹ Malignancy ⁴¹ Alcohol misuse** Lower respiratory tract infection	4 (3.9) 3 (2.9) 1 (1.0) 4 (3.9) 4 (3.9) 4 (3.9) 3 (2.9) 3 (2.9) 3 (2.9) 2 (1.9)
Pertussis Confirmed Likely Weight loss due to previously undiagnosed type 2 diabetes Weight loss due to end-stage renal disease Other ⁺⁺ Unexplained Unexplained Unexplained - symptom resolved spontaneously	2 (1.9) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 2 (1.9) 2 (1.9) 11 (10.7) 10 (9.7) 9 (8.7)

* 140 diagnoses were assigned for 103 participants: 70 (68%) had one final

¹ I do diagnoses were assigned to 105 participants. *To* (68%) had one initial diagnosis, 29 (28%) two diagnoses and 4 (4%) had three diagnoses. [†] Attributed to new ART regimen (*n*=2), radiotherapy for Kaposi's sarcoma (*n*=1), dental extraction (*n*=1). [†] One participant with likely COPD had a previous addiction to nyaope (a street drug that is smoked and reported to contain heroin, cannabis and antiretrovirials); one participant with confirmed COPD had clinical cor

pulmonale. [§] Microbiological confirmation of isospora (n = 1), giardia (n = 1); cause not

^b Microbiological confirmation of isospora (*n* = 1), giardia (*n* = 1); cause not known (*n* = 2). ^{fi} Escherichia coli urinary tract infection (*n* = 2), chronic skin infection (*n* = 1), likely chronic pelvic infection (*n* = 1). ^{fi} Scherichia coli urinary tract infection (*n* = 1), progression of previously diagnosed Hodgkin's lymphoma (*n* = 1), progression of previously diagnosed malignancy (renal cell carcinoma, *n* = 1; cervical cancer, *n* = 1). ^{**} Hazardous alcohol intake and weight loss after stopping (*n* = 1), no other cause identified for night sweats (*n* = 2). ^{*†} Diagnoses for cough, *n* = 5 (ACE inhibitor-related, *n* = 1; GORD-related, *n* = 1; post-thoracic surgery for benign lung mass, *n* = 1; post-infectious, *n* = 1; resurrent small bowel obstruction, *n* = 1; confirmed pastritis, *n* = 1; recurrent small bowel obstruction, *n* = 1; confirmed heart failure, *n* = 1; subclinical hyperthyroidism, *n* = 1; increased exercise, *n* = 1; chronic unexplained gastrointestinal symptoms resolved by end of study, *n* 1; chronic unexplained gastrointestinal symptoms resolved by end of study, n

= 1). TB = tuberculosis; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; GORD = gastroesophageal reflux disease.

weight loss due to severe food insecurity (20/103, 19%), TB (14, 14%), upper respiratory tract infection (12, 12%) and post-TB chronic lung disease (9, 9%).

Table 3 summarises the final diagnoses for the most

common symptoms reported: cough and $\geq 5\%$ measured weight loss. Among 50 participants reporting cough, the most common diagnoses were upper or lower respiratory tract infection (11/50, 22%), posttuberculous chronic lung disease (9/50, 18%), TB (7/ 50, 14%: pulmonary only, n = 4; extra-pulmonary only, *n* = 1; both, *n* = 2), asthma (7/50, 14%), COPD (5/50, 10%) and upper airways cough syndrome (4/ 50, 8%). Samples collected from 40 participants for respiratory pathogens yielded only one positive sample that was PCR-positive for B. pertussis.

Among the 72 participants with measured weight loss, the most common diagnoses were weight loss due to severe food insecurity (20/72, 28%), TB (10/ 72, 14%: pulmonary only, n = 3; extra-pulmonary only, n = 4; both, n = 3) and prolonged loss of appetite (8/72, 11%: 4 due to medical treatment and 4 stressrelated). The most common diagnosis among 45 participants with weight loss in the absence of other symptoms was severe food insecurity (13/45, 29%). Of the 72 patients, 11 (15%) had weight loss unexplained by study investigations, among whom four gained weight after ART initiation. Nine of 68 participants (13%) with available samples had glycated haemoglobin $\geq 6.5\%$; in two of these weight loss was attributed to newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. Thyroid function test abnormalities were newly identified in 18/67 (27%); one participant with biochemically subclinical hyperthyroidism (high thyroxine, normal thyroid stimulating hormone) and marked weight loss (18 kg) also had severe food insecurity. Abdominal ultrasound scans were abnormal for 22/65 (34%), five of whom had features compatible with probable radiological TB and 17 other abnormalities (gallstones, n = 5; hepatomegaly, n = 4; echogenic kidney or liver, n = 3; splenomegaly, n = 2; metastatic disease, n = 2; fatty liver, n = 1).

Tuberculosis diagnoses

Appendix Figure A.2 gives the results of the mycobacteriology and radiology requested for all sub-study participants. All participants had at least one sample subjected to mycobacterial culture; culture was positive for M. tuberculosis in 5/103 (5%) participants (5/176, 3% sputum samples [one multidrug-resistant]; 0/103 blood, 0/83 urine and 0/ 57 stool). Of 98 CXRs, 17 (17%) fulfilled the criteria for radiological TB (probable radiological TB, n = 12; possible radiological TB, n = 5; 6/17 (35%) participants whose CXRs fulfilled the criteria for radiological TB also fulfilled TB case definitions (3 confirmed, 3 clinical). Of 65 abdominal ultrasound scans, 7 (11%) fulfilled the criteria for probable radiological TB (abdominal only, n = 4; abdominal and possible renal, n = 1; pericardial effusion and abdominal, n = 1; pleural and pericardial effusions and abdominal TB, n = 1; 6/7 (86%) participants

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults 163

Table 3 Final diagnoses of participants with cough and/or weight loss (n = 100)

	Cough only $(n = 28)$	Cough and weight loss $(n = 22)^*$	Weight loss only $(n = 50)$
Severe food insecurity	_	6	14
Asthma or COPD	10	2	_
Unexplained weight loss		2	9
Upper or lower respiratory tract infection	5	6	_
ТВ	2	5	5
Pulmonary	2	2	2
Extra-pulmonary	_	1	2
Both	_	2	1
Post-TB chronic lung disease	4	5	_
Weight loss due to loss of appetite ⁺	_	3	5
Weight loss due to depression	_	2	1
Diarrhoeal illness	_	_	3
Malignancy [‡]	_		3
Upper airway cough syndrome	2	2	_
Pertussis [§]	2		_
Weight loss due to previously undiagnosed type 2 diabetes	_	1	1
End-stage renal disease			2
Other ¹	3	4	7

* 38 final diagnoses made for 22 participants with both cough and weight loss Weight loss only, n=3: stress-related, n=2; unexplained, n=1; both cough and weight loss, n=5: treatment-related, n=3; stress-related, n=1

Newly diagnosed Hodgkin's lymphoma, n = 1; progression in previously diagnosed malignancy (renal cell carcinoma, n = 1; cervical cancer, n = 1)

 b One confirmed, and one likely.
 Cough only, n = 3: GORD-related, n = 1; post-thoracic surgery for benign lung mass, n = 1; smoking-related, n = 1. 2). Weight loss only, n = 7: endoscopy-confirmed gastritis, n = 1; confirmed heart failure, n = 1; subclinical hyperthyroidism, n = 1; increased exercise, n = 1; chronic unexplained gastrointestinal symptoms resolving by end of study, n = 1; likely chronic pelvic infection, n = 1; hazardous alcohol intake and weight loss after stopping, n = 1.
 Both cough and weight loss, n = 4; ACE inhibitor-related cough, n = 1; post-infectious cough, n = 1; weight loss likely due to recurrent small bowel obstruction, n = 1; weight loss due to unexplained loss of appetite, n = 1. COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TB = tuberculosis; GORD = gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; ACE = angiotensin-converting

whose abdominal ultrasound scans fulfilled the criteria for radiological TB also fulfilled TB case definitions (3 confirmed, 3 clinical).

DISCUSSION

Of 103 sub-study participants, 14 (14%) (6 on ART, 8 pre-ART) fulfilled TB case definitions (7 confirmed, 7 clinical). Eight participants started treatment due to compatible imaging (4 ultrasound, 2 abdominal ultrasound and CXR, and 2 CXR), of whom 3 were subsequently bacteriologically confirmed on sputum (1 Xpert + culture, 2 culture). Four participants started anti-tuberculosis treatment based on a positive sputum result (2 Xpert, 2 culture). One participant started treatment based on histology following fine-needle lymph node aspiration, and one based on lumbar puncture.

The median time from enrolment to start of antituberculosis treatment was 21 days (range 1-137) for 13 participants with a documented anti-tuberculosis treatment start date. One further participant had positive Xpert on sputum 149 days after enrolment but an unknown treatment start date. Among the 8 pre-ART participants, 4 started anti-tuberculosis treatment after ART initiation (3 within 3 months, 1 within 6 months). A further two participants who were enrolled but who did not undergo physician assessment fulfilled case definitions for confirmed TB, of whom one died before anti-tuberculosis treatment was initiated (Figure 2).

In this representative sample of HIV clinic attendees in South Africa reporting persistent or recurrent WHO tool symptoms 3 months after a negative initial investigation for TB, among those able to produce sputum, 14/103 (14%) had TB. Half started anti-tuberculosis treatment based on imaging, mainly abdominal ultrasound, which illustrated the limitations of sputum-based diagnostics for detecting extrapulmonary TB. With an estimated 40% shortfall globally between notified cases and estimated incidence of TB in 2016, and with South Africa one of the 10 countries accounting for most of this gap,²⁰ we recommend using multiple diagnostic modalities, particularly imaging, to help identify these missing TB patients.

Our study is the first to systemically evaluate patients established in HIV care with persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB, and with an initial negative Xpert result among those able to produce sputum, for a broad spectrum of diagnoses. Previous studies have investigated patients with persistent symptom(s) for specific infectious^{5,7} or non-communicable causes,6,8,14 or evaluated chronic cough in smear-negative patients before the roll-out of Xpert.9,12 Munyati et al. evaluated primary care attendees in Zimbabwe with chronic cough and, unsurprisingly, among 454 newly diagnosed HIVpositive patients, the majority of the diagnoses were

164 The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

infectious (TB, 46%; lower respiratory tract infection, 31%).²¹ Munyati et al. also identified a high proportion of non-communicable disease diagnoses, in particular post-tuberculous disease, asthma and heart failure.²¹ We also found post-TB chronic lung disease to be a relatively common diagnosis; better criteria to distinguish it from active TB and optimal management are needed.^{22–24} Our data support Chakaya et al.'s call for large-scale epidemiological studies of post-TB lung disease.²²

Severe food insecurity was the most common cause of weight loss. Food insecurity has not previously been evaluated as a possible cause for weight loss in the context of TB screening, although it is well described as a barrier to adherence to ART.²⁵ We only assigned this diagnosis after searching for other, more likely diagnoses, and chose severe (rather than moderate) food insecurity as a more specific marker. Clinicians should consider screening for food insecurity among people with weight loss, particularly if not associated with other symptoms, and ensure patients are linked to social support where available. Forty per cent of our study participants screened positive for significant depression, and almost one fifth had harmful alcohol use, comparable with estimates of 31% and 7-31%, respectively, from a systematic review in sub-Saharan Africa of HIV-positive people on ART by Nakimuli-Mpungu et al.²⁶ In their pooled analysis, individuals with significant depression were less likely to adhere to ART.26 Screening for depression with provision of appropriate care should be part of the HIV care package in lower-income settings to help optimise ART adherence and treatment outcomes.

The WHO tool was developed for use in resourcelimited settings to provide a simple clinical algorithm to reliably rule out TB before providing isoniazid preventive therapy to PLHIV. As the tool was designed to maximise sensitivity (78.9%) and minimise the negative likelihood ratio for TB, it has low specificity (49.6%). At a TB prevalence of 5% in PLHIV, it has a negative predictive value of 97.7%, but a very low positive predictive value (8%).27 Individuals who screen positive, the majority of whom will not have TB, require further evaluation for TB using Xpert, which has been recommended as the initial diagnostic test.¹ This poses a huge challenge in resource-constrained settings when it is used, as recommended, for active TB case finding in PLHIV at every clinical encounter.1 Simple, low-cost strategies to prioritise those with WHO tool symptoms for TB investigation, such as 'second-step' clinical algorithms,15,28 or point-of-care CRP testing (also suggested as an alternative TB screening tool),² are potential solutions that merit further evaluation.

Strengths of our study included our systematic physician evaluation of a representative sample of HIV clinic attendees in a clinically relevant manner with a standardised set of investigations and longitudinal follow-up of participants. We cannot rule out that additional diagnosis of TB and other specific diagnoses might have been made if further investigations had been undertaken. Weight loss was commonly reported by our study participants, but we restricted our study to those with measured weight loss to make this criterion more objective.

CONCLUSIONS

TB, post-TB chronic lung disease and food insecurity were the main diagnoses for symptoms suggestive of TB in our population of HIV clinic attendees who had previously undergone systematic screening and investigation for TB, and we were able to assign diagnoses for more than 90% of participants. Our study highlights the need to continue to investigate for TB using multiple modalities among HIV-positive people with persistent symptoms, as well as evaluation for food insecurity, and for further studies to guide the identification and management of the sequelae of pulmonary TB.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the study participants; the nursing and medical staff of Chris Hani Baragwanath (Johannesburg) and Mamelodi Hospitals (Pretoria), Ramokonopi (Ekurhuleni) and Jabulani Dumane (Ekurhuleni) community health clinics, South Africa; the staff of National Health Laboratory Services; and the staff of Aurum Institute, Johannesburg, South Africa, for their essential contributions to this study.

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA, USA (OPP1034523). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

References

- World Health Organization. WHO policy on collaborative TB/ HIV activities: guidelines for national programmes and other stakeholders 2012. WHO/HTM/TB/2012.1.WHO/HIV/2012.
 Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2012. http://www.who.int/tb/ publications/2012/tb_hiv_policy_9789241503006/en/. Accessed October 2018.
- 2 Kufa T, Mngomezulu V, Charalambous S, et al. Undiagnosed tuberculosis among HIV clinic attendees: association with antiretroviral therapy and implications for intensified case finding, isoniazid preventive therapy, and infection control. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012; 60: e22–e28.
- 3 Adelman M W, Tsegaye M, Kempker R R, et al. Intensified tuberculosis case finding among HIV-infected persons using a WHO symptom screen and Xpert[®] MTB/RIF. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2015; 19: 1197–1203.
- 4 Ahmad Khan F, Verkuijl S, Parrish A, et al. Performance of symptom-based tuberculosis screening among people living with HIV: not as great as hoped. AIDS 2014; 28: 1463–1472.
- 5 Bedell R A, Anderson S T, van Lettow M, et al. High prevalence of tuberculosis and serious bloodstream infections in

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults 165

ambulatory individuals presenting for antiretroviral therapy in Malawi. PLOS ONE 2012; 7: e39347.

- 6 Calligaro G, Bateman E D, Rom W N, et al. Respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function abnormalities in HIVinfected patients on antiretroviral therapy in a high tuberculosis burden country. American Thoracic Society International Conference, 13–18 May 2011, Denver, CO, USA. Am J Respir Critical Care Med 2011; 183: A6262
- 7 Girma M, Teshome W, Petros B, Endeshaw T. Cryptosporidiosis and isosporiasis among HIV-positive individuals in south Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14: 100.
- 8 Hadgu T H, Worku W, Tetemke D, Berhe H. Undernutrition among HIV positive women in Humera hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2013: antiretroviral therapy alone is not enough, cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2013; 13: 943.
 9 Hargreaves N J, Kadzakumanja O, Phiri S, et al. What causes
- 9 Hargreaves N J, Kadzakumanja O, Phiri S, et al. What causes smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis in Malawi, an area of high HIV seroprevalence? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2001; 5: 113– 122.
- 10 Koenig S P, Riviere C, Leger P, et al. High mortality among patients with AIDS who received a diagnosis of tuberculosis in the first 3 months of antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48: 829–831.
- 11 Li N, Spiegelman D, Drain P, et al. Predictors of weight loss after HAART initiation among HIV-infected adults in Tanzania. AIDS 2012; 26: 577–585.
- 12 Siika A M, Chakaya J M, Revathi G, Mohamed S S, Bhatt K M. Bronchoscopic study on aetiology of chronic cough in HIVinfected adults with negative sputum smears for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi. East Afr Med J 2006; 83: 295–305.
- 13 Sudfeld C R, Isanaka S, Mugusi F M, et al. Weight change at 1 mo of antiretroviral therapy and its association with subsequent mortality, morbidity, and CD4 T cell reconstitution in a Tanzanian HIV-infected adult cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 2013; 97: 1278–1287.
- 14 van Griensven J, Zachariah R, Mugabo J, Reid T. Weight loss after the first year of stavudine-containing antiretroviral therapy and its association with lipoatrophy, virological failure, adherence and CD4 counts at primary health care level in Kigali, Rwanda. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2010; 104: 751–757.
- 15 Hanifa Y, Fielding K L, Chihota V N, et al. A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa. PLOS ONE 2017; 12: e0181519.
- 16 Tatti K M, Sparks K N, Boney K O, Tondella M L. Novel multitarget real-time PCR assay for rapid detection of Bordetella species in clinical specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2011; 49: 4059-4066.

- 17 Akena D, Joska J, Obuku E A, Stein D J. Sensitivity and specificity of clinician administered screening instruments in detecting depression among HIV-positive individuals in Uganda. AIDS Care 2013; 25: 1245–1252.
- 18 Coates J, Swindale A, Bilinsky P. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for measurement of household food access: indicator guide (v. 3). Washington, DC, USA: Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance Project, Academy for Educational Development, 2007.
- 19 Hodgson R, Alwyn T, John B, Thom B, Smith A. The FAST alcohol screening test. Alcohol Alcohol 2002; 37: 61–66.
- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report, 2017. WHO/HTM/TB/2017.23. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.
 Munyati S S, Dhoba T, Makanza E D, et al. Chronic cough in
- 21 Munyati S S, Dhoba T, Makanza E D, et al. Chronic cough in primary health care attendees, Harare, Zimbabwe: diagnosis and impact of HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40: 1818– 1827.
- 22 Chakaya J, Kirenga B, Getahun H. Long term complications after completion of pulmonary tuberculosis treatment: a quest for a public health approach. J Clin Tuberc Other Mycobact Dis 2016; 3: 10–12.
- 23 Ehrlich R I, Adams S, Baatjies R, Jeebhay M F. Chronic airflow obstruction and respiratory symptoms following tuberculosis: a review of South African studies. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2011; 15: 886–891.
- 24 Hnizdo E, Singh T, Churchyard G. Chronic pulmonary function impairment caused by initial and recurrent pulmonary tuberculosis following treatment. Thorax 2000; 55: 32–38.
- 25 Singer A W, Weiser S D, McCoy S I. Does food insecurity undermine adherence to antiretroviral therapy? A systematic review. AIDS Behav 2015; 19: 1510–1526.
- 26 Nakimuli-Mpungu E, Bass J K, Alexandre P, et al. Depression, alcohol use and adherence to antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. AIDS Behav 2012; 16: 2101–2118.
- 27 Getahun H, Kittikraisak W, Heilig C M, et al. Development of a standardized screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings: individual participant data meta-analysis of observational studies. PLOS Med 2011; 8: e1000391.
- 28 Balcha T T, Skogmar S, Sturegard E, et al. A clinical scoring algorithm for determination of the risk of tuberculosis in HIVinfected adults: a cohort study performed at Ethiopian health centers. Open Forum Infect Dis 2014; 1: ofu095.
- 29 Yoon C, Semitala F C, Atuhumuza E, et al. Point-of-care Creactive protein-based tuberculosis screening for people living with HIV: a diagnostic accuracy study. Lancet Infect Dis 2017; 17: 1285–1292.

8.3. Material provided as supplementary online appendices

APPENDIX

MAIN STUDY PROCEDURES FOR XPHACTOR

Enrolment

At enrolment, research staff administered a standardised questionnaire, which incorporated the World Health Organization (WHO) tool, collected details of tuberculosis (TB) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) treatment, and basic demographic and socioeconomic information. Further investigation was prioritised according to the XPHACTOR ('Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review?') algorithm with an immediate spot sputum sample sent for Xpert® MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) testing for individuals at a priori highest risk of active TB: 1) all assigned 'high priority' (any of the following: current cough, fever \ge 3 weeks, body mass index [BMI] <18.5 kg/m², CD4 count < 100×10⁶/l, measured weight loss \ge 10% in preceding 6 months or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); 2) those in the pre-ART group with CD4 count of $<200\times10^6$ /l at enrolment; 3) all those in the HIV testing and counselling (HTC) group (whose CD4 count was unknown) at enrolment. For all other participants, a spot sputum sample was collected at enrolment and frozen at -80°C within 24 h for testing with Xpert at the end of the study (Figure A.1). This enabled comparison of sensitivity and specificity of the XPHACTOR study algorithm to detect TB cases against sensitivity and specificity if Xpert had been performed immediately for all with any WHO tool symptom.

Follow-up

Participants were reviewed monthly to 3 months, with repeat WHO symptom screen and a spot sputum requested for Xpert if 'high priority' by the study algorithm at that visit, with the exception of those in the 'on ART' group who were asymptomatic at enrolment, who were telephoned at 1 and 2 months to update locator information but were not asked about TB symptoms. At the 3-month visit, sputum (induced if necessary) and blood were collected for mycobacterial culture on liquid media (BACTEC MGIT[™] [Mycobacterium Growth Indicator Tubes; BD, Sparks, MD, USA] 960[™] and 9240[™] systems) from all study participants, regardless of symptoms (Figure A.1). We allowed a broad window period around the scheduled 3-month visit until around 6 months to maximise study follow-up.

Participants who submitted an Xpert sample were reviewed within 1 week. If Xpert-positive, antituberculosis treatment was initiated; if negative, research staff repeated WHO symptom screening and facilitated the Xpert-negative algorithm, which comprised chest radiograph (CXR), spot sputum for

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults $\qquad \dot{i}$

TB culture and/or antibiotic trial as clinically appropriate (Figure A.1).

Investigation results were returned to clinic staff, who were responsible for management decisions. Clinic records were reviewed at the end of the study to ascertain any additional relevant investigations and/or TB diagnoses. Deaths were identified through reports from participant-nominated contacts, clinic staff, and by accessing the Department of Home Affairs vital statistics database using participants' South African identification (ID) numbers.

Sub-study research physician assessment

The research physician administered a standardised questionnaire which had targeted questions to systematically identify the cause for WHO tool symptom(s) reported. All participants were asked about past medical history, current medications, and investigations and treatment undertaken to date. For example, those with cough were asked about cough duration and triggers, associated symptoms and any preceding respiratory tract infection. Results for all previous investigations undertaken for the study were reviewed by the research physician, for example, CXR and abdominal ultrasound scan; Xpert and mycobacterial culture on sputum; and sputum, nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal samples for respiratory pathogens. Participants with pleural effusions or lymphadenopathy were referred to the clinic physician for consideration for aspiration or fine-needle aspiration, as appropriate. Symptomspecific evaluation undertaken by the research physician is summarised below.

EVALUATION OF COUGH

First-line evaluation

Blood samples were collected from all participants with cough for full blood count and, where appropriate, C-reactive protein (CRP), to help distinguish the likelihood of bacterial respiratory infection and, if febrile (>38.3°C), aerobic and anaerobic bacterial blood cultures. If bacterial infection was suspected, oral antibiotics or hospital admission were facilitated when clinically appropriate.

A trained research physician performed spirometry in accordance with American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) standards¹ for any participant with cough \geq 8 weeks, or features suggestive of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma, unless respiratory clinic spirometry results were already available. The Advanced Medical Engineering spirometer (AME, Cape Town, South Africa) was used, with calibration checks performed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Up to eight seated readings were taken, and post-bronchodilator (5 mg nebulised salbutamol) spirometry performed if a spirometry





Figure A.1 XPHACTOR main study flow and procedures. * XPHACTOR algorithm at enrolment: high priority (any of current cough, fever ≥ 3 weeks, BMI < 18.5 kg/m², CD4 < 100×10⁶/l, measured weight loss $\ge 10\%$ in preceding 6 months or other feature raising high clinical suspicion of TB); medium priority (any of fever <3 weeks, night sweats, measured weight loss <10% in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms. * Samples tested using Xpert at the end of the study to enable comparison of sensitivity and specificity of the XPHACTOR study algorithm to detect TB cases against sensitivity and specificity if Xpert had been performed immediately for all with any WHO tool symptom. * XPHACTOR algorithm at monthly follow-up: high priority (any of current cough, fever ≥ 3 weeks, night sweats ≥ 4 weeks, BMI < 18.5 kg/m², CD4 < 100×10⁶/l, measured weight loss $\ge 10\%$ in preceding 6 months); low priority = no TB symptoms. * Sceneed by research nurse between October 2013 and April 2014. Eligible if not on anti-tuberculosis treatment and persistent or recurrent TB symptoms, defined as: 1) any of cough, fever or night sweats reported at enrolment and any of aforementioned symptoms reported at 3-month visit; OR 2) $\ge 5\%$ measured weight loss. XPHACTOR = Xpert for people attending HIV/AIDS care: test or review? HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; ART = antiretroviral therapy; BMI = body mass index; TB = tuberculosis; WHO = World Health Organization.

abnormality was found. If ATS/ERS within- and between-manoeuvre acceptability criteria were not met, we reported usable curves (good start and satisfactory exhalation). Post-bronchodilator spirometry data were used to confirm airflow obstruction, defined using Global Lung Initiative (GLI) 2012 equations (forced expiratory volume in 1 s [FEV₁]/ forced vital capacity [FVC] < lower limit of normal at the 5th centile).² Post-bronchodilator increase in FEV₁ >12% of predicted and >200 ml was used to confirm asthma. Participants were referred to the clinic physician for further management if spirometry confirmed asthma or COPD, or if spirometry was normal but obstructive airways disease likely, or if another spirometry abnormality was identified. Response to any treatment provided was assessed at 4–12 weeks.

If participants had clinical features suggestive of cardiac failure, serum β -natriuretic peptide (BNP) was measured and if levels were >100 pg/ml, further



Figure A.2 Evaluation for TB undertaken for all study participants. * At least one sputum for Xpert or mycobacterial culture; 11 participants had both. * Pleural effusion (n = 1). * Pleural effusion (n = 5), upper lobe infiltrates (n = 4), cardiomegaly with ultrasound confirmed pericardial effusion and pleural effusion (n = 1), cardiomegaly with ultrasound confirmed pericardial effusion (n = 1)cavitation and pleural effusion (n = 1). [§] Three of the four participants with *M. tuberculosis* on sputum culture had previously had sputum negative for *M. tuberculosis* on culture and/or Xpert before sub-study enrolment. [¶] Participant had previously had negative sputum for mycobacterial culture at enrolment to sub-study. CXR = chest radiograph; TB = tuberculosis.

cardiological evaluation and management was facilitated. If participants had symptoms compatible with Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (fever/exertional dyspnoea/tachypnoea) and CD4 count <200 cells/µl, exercise oximetry was undertaken and the participant referred to the responsible clinic physician for further management.

Any suspicious CXR features were discussed with the clinic physician to facilitate further appropriate evaluation or treatment as deemed appropriate, for example, pleural aspiration, computed tomography (CT) imaging, endoscopy or bronchoscopy or presumptive anti-tuberculosis treatment.

Second-line evaluation of cough

If diagnosis for cough was not identified by first-line evaluation, a trial of appropriate treatment was arranged for those with clinical features suggestive of cough due to upper airways disease, angiotensinconverting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or gastrooesophageal reflux (GORD). This comprised corticosteroid nasal spray and/or antihistamine, or ear, nose, throat referral if upper airways disease was suspected; switching ACE inhibitor to a suitable alternative; lifestyle advice and trial of a proton pump inhibitor if GORD was suspected. Response to treatment was reviewed at 4-12 weeks. Smoking cessation advice was given to all current smokers, and improvement in cough evaluated at 4-12 weeks postcessation, if applicable. If no likely cause for cough was identified after second-line evaluation, referral to a respiratory physician was facilitated.

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults

iii

Evaluation of \geq 5% unintentional weight loss

Evaluation aimed to identify a broad spectrum of causes of weight loss, including TB, endocrine

iv The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

 Table A
 Criteria for diagnoses assigned for WHO tool symptoms

Cough	
Asthma Likely: compatible symptoms (recurrent or chronic cough,* wheeze, dyspnoea, chest tightness without spirometry confirmation; Confirmed: compatible symptoms confirmed using spirometry (GLI criteria, [†] and improvement) in
FEV1 after bronchodilator, >12% predicted and >200 ml); OR documented diagnosis COPD Age >35 years and risk factor (smoking or snuff use or exposure to biomass fuel); AND Likely: compatible symptoms (chronic cough,* wheeze, dyspnoea, sputum production, frequ bronchitis) and either borderline FEV/FVC on spirometry or absence of spirometry confirmat Confirmed: compatible symptoms confirmed using spirometry; ⁺ OR documented diagnosis	ient tion
Post-TB CLD Post-TB EXD Post-TB CLD Post-TB CLD Post-TB CLD Post-TB CLD Post-TB CLD Post-TB bronchiectasis: chronic's productive cough with compatible chest CT scan; OR documer diagnosis Chronic loculated pleural effusion post-TB: documented diagnosis with compatible chest ultrasound or CT scan Likely post-TB CLD: chronic cough* or recurrent respiratory tract infections [‡] in patient previo treated for TB, and/or CXR abnormality compatible with previous pulmonary TB (fibrosis, hyperinflation bronchiectasis)	ited usly
Lower respiratory tract infection Symptoms for ≤3 week duration, and: Cough and ≥1 lower respiratory tract symptom (fever, sputum production, breathlessness, wherear chest discontion to rapin)	
Upper respiratory tract infection Symptoms for ≤3 weeks' duration, and includes: Cold: ≥1 of cough, nasal symptoms, sneezing, sore throat; Influenza: fever and ≥1 of headache myalaia cough or sore throat	
Pertussis Acute cough ≥2 weeks and symptomatic (≥1 of: paroxysms of cough, post-tussive vomiting inspiratory whoop) Likely: no microbiological confirmation and no other likely cause Confirmed: respiratory sample positive for <i>Bordetella pertussis</i>	or
Post-infectious cough Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease compatible symptoms (chronic cough worse with or after meals associated with heartburn or regurgitation, dysphonia, cough resolves during sleep) improving within 3 months with appropriate treatment	use
Upper airway cough syndrome Chronic* or recurrent cough and compatible symptoms (post-nasal drip, nasal discharge or congestion, catarrh) responding to appropriate treatment. OR documented diagnosis Likely: symptoms as above but no trial of treatment, and no other likely cause	
ACE inhibitor cough Chronic* dry cough resolving within 12 weeks of stopping ACE Tobacco-related cough Current smoker or snuff user and no other likely cause for cough	
Unintentional weight loss	
Malignancy Compatible clinical features with continuatory imaging or histology; OR documented diagnosis	5
Type 2 diabetes Hplac > 48 mpol/mol (6.5%) and compatible symptoms	
Hyperthyroidism Low TSH with raised free thyroxine level Subclinical/biochemical: low TSH with normal free thyroxine level	
Depression Compatible history and PHQ-9 score ≥ 10; OR documented diagnosis Stress-related History of loss of appetite triggered by acute stressful event Treatment-related History of loss of appetite/reduced food intake due to side effects of medical treatment (e.g., sw to second-line ART)	itch
Fever/night sweats	
Infection Likely: compatible symptoms responding to appropriate treatment or resolving spontaneously Confirmed: compatible symptoms with microbiological confirmation	
Perimenopause Compatible symptoms, contirmed with FSH if age <45 years, and no other likely cause	
Diagnoses relevant to >1 WHO tool symptom Confirmed TB Positive result on 1) Xpert or 2) line-probe assay or 3) <i>M. tuberculosis</i> culture, from any sample	e
Clinical TB Anti-tuberculosis treatment started within 6 months of sub-study enrolment in the absence of microbiological confirmation	
Heart failure [§] Likely: compatible symptoms (orthopnoea, dyspnoea, peripheral oedema) and serum BNP > 100 ml	pg/
Confirmed: compatible symptoms and echocardiogram; OR documented diagnosis Alcohol misuse [¶] Hazardous alcohol consumption (FAST score ≥3) and no other likely cause No cause for symptom identified, and symptoms resolved by final study visit	
Unexplained No cause identified	

* Defined as cough ≥8 weeks.
 * Defined as no improvement in FEV₁ after bronchodilator and either 1) GLI² equation criterion FEV₁/FVC <lower limit of normal, or 2) GOLD FEV₁/FVC < 0.7.
 GOLD criteria were used in spirometry results from medical records.
 * Defined as possible cause of chronic cough or weight loss.
 * Considered as possible cause of chronic cough or sweats on withdrawal.
 WHO = World Health Organization; GLI = Global Lung nitative; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 s; COPD = Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; FVC = forced vital capacity; TB = tuberculosis; CLD = chronic lung disease; CT = computed tomography; CXR = chest radiograph; ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; HFIAS = Household food Insecurity Access Score; HbA1c = glycated haemoglobin; TSH = thyroid stimulating hormone; PHQ9 = Patient Health Questionnaire 9; ART = antiretroviral therapy; FSH = follicle stimulating hormone; BNP = brain natriuretic peptide; FAST = Fast Alcohol Screening Test, GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.

Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults V

disorders, malignancy, depression, inadequate access to food and drug misuse.

Blood samples were collected from all participants with weight loss for renal, liver and thyroid function, full blood count and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c; to identify type 2 diabetes mellitus), and clinically significant results were reported to the clinic physician for further management. If participants reported diarrhoea, stool samples were collected for microscopy, bacterial culture, parasitology and for *Clostridium difficile*, if antibiotics had been taken in the preceding 12 weeks.

The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)³ was used to screen all sub-study participants for depression. This score categorises depression as 0–4 (none or minimal), 5–9 (mild), 10–14 (moderate), 15–19 (moderately severe) and 20–27 (severe). Participants with scores of ≥ 10 were evaluated further by the research physician, and referred to the clinic physician or psychology service if deemed clinically depressed. The Fast Alcohol Screening Test (FAST)⁴ was used to screen for hazardous alcohol consumption (FAST score ≥ 3) and all participants were asked about drug misuse. If FAST score was ≥ 3 , brief intervention was provided and, if appropriate, participants were referred to drug services.

We used the Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS)⁵ to measure food access, categorising the scale as 1) 'food secure', 2) 'mildly food insecure access', 3) 'moderately food insecure access' or 4) 'severely food insecure access'. A HFIAS score of 4 was deemed a cause of unintentional weight loss. Participants with food insecurity were referred to the clinic dietician.

Clinical features suggestive of possible malignancy were discussed with the clinic physician to facilitate further appropriate evaluation or treatment as deemed appropriate.

Evaluation of fever or night sweats

Self-recorded participant temperature measurements were reviewed. If a likely focus of infection was identified, then relevant samples were submitted for appropriate microbiological evaluation such as midstream urine (bacterial culture), stool (bacterial culture, parasitology, *Clostridium difficile*), sputum (bacterial culture), blood (malaria film) or swabs (bacterial culture). If deemed clinically appropriate, antibiotics were provided and the participant reviewed to assess response to treatment.

If no likely focus infection was identified, blood was collected for renal, liver and thyroid function, full blood count, CRP, HbA1c, aerobic and anaerobic culture if documented fever >38.3°C; and urine for microscopy and culture. Abdominal ultrasound was arranged and CXR, if either no recent film or no film since onset of symptoms.

A clinical diagnosis was made if no other more likely cause was identified, and symptoms were suggestive of perimenopausal vasomotor symptoms in females aged ≥ 45 years; in younger cases, blood samples were collected to determine follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels.

If, during in-patient treatment, specialist referral or further evaluation such as lumbar puncture, CT imaging (abdomen, chest, sinus), fine-needle aspiration, bone-marrow aspiration or pleural aspiration were deemed necessary, this was facilitated by the clinic physician.

References

- 1 Miller M R, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J 2005; 26: 319–338.
- 2 Quanjer P H, Stanojevic S, Cole T J, et al. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. Eur Respir J 2012; 40: 1324–1343.
- 3 Akena D, Joska J, Obuku E A, Stein D J. Sensitivity and specificity of clinician administered screening instruments in detecting depression among HIV-positive individuals in Uganda. AIDS Care 2013; 25: 1245–1252.
- 4 Hodgson R, Alwyn T, John B, Thom B, Smith A. The FAST Alcohol Screening Test. Alcohol Alcohol 2002; 37: 61–66.
- 5 Coates J, Swindale A, Bilinsky P. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) for measurement of household food access: indicator guide (v 3). Washington, DC, USA: Food and Nutrition Technical Assistance Project, Academy for Educational Development, 2007.

vi The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease

_ R É S U M É

OBJECTIF : Identifier les causes des symptômes suggestifs de tuberculose (TB) parmi les personnes vivant avec le virus de l'immunodéficience humaine (PVVIH) en Afrique du Sud.

MÉTHODE : Un échantillon consécutif de patients d'un dispensaire VIH ayant des symptômes suggestifs de TB $(\ge 1$ parmi toux, perte de poids, fièvre ou sueurs nocturnes) lors de l'enrôlement et à 3 mois, mais des premières investigations à la recherche de TB négatives, a été systématiquement évalué grâce à des protocoles standard et des diagnostics assignés grâce aux critères standard. La TB a été « confirmée » lorsque *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* a été identifié dans les 6 mois de l'enrôlement, et « clinique » si le traitement a démarré sans confirmation microbiologique.

RÉSULTATS : Parmi 103 participants, 50/103 pré ART et 53/103 sous ART, respectivement ; 68% contre 79% ont été des femmes ; d'âge médian 35 contre 45 ans, et le

MARCO DE REFERENCIA: El estudio tuvo por objeto reconocer las causas de los síntomas indicativos de tuberculosis (TB) en las personas infectadas por el virus de la inmunodeficiencia humana (PLVIH) en Suráfrica. MÉTODO: Una muestra consecutiva de pacientes que acudían a la consulta del VIH y presentaban síntomas indicativos de TB (uno o varios síntomas como tos, pérdida de peso, fiebre o sudoración nocturna) en el momento de la inscripción y a los 3 meses y cuyas investigaciones iniciales de la TB habían sido negativas se evaluó de manera sistemática mediante protocolos normalizados y los diagnósticos se asignaron según criterios definidos. El diagnóstico de TB se consideró 'confirmado' cuando se detectaba el Mvcobacterium tuberculosis en los primeros 6 meses después de la inscripción en la consulta y 'clínico' cuando se iniciaba tratamiento sin confirmación microbiológica.

RESULTADOS: De los 103 participantes, 50 no recibían aun tratamiento antirretrovírico y 53 ya lo recibían; respectivamente, el 68% y el 79% eran de sexo taux médian de CD4 de 311 contre 508 cellules/mm³. Soixante-douze patients (70%) ont eu une perte de poids mesurée \geq 5% et 50 (49%) une toux. Les diagnostics finaux les plus fréquents ont été une perte de poids due à une insécurité alimentaire majeure (n = 20, 19%), une TB (n = 14, 14% : confirmée, n = 7; clinique, n = 7), d'autres infections des voies respiratoires (n = 14, 14%), une affection pulmonaire post-TB (n = 9, 9%). La base du diagnostic de TB a été l'imagerie (n = 7), la confirmation bactériologique des crachats (n = 4), l'histologie, la ponction lombaire et autres (n = 1 chacun).

CONCLUSION : Les PVVIH ayant des symptômes de TB persistants requièrent davantage d'évaluation à la recherche de TB avec toutes les modalités disponibles et à la recherche de problèmes de sécurité alimentaire chez ceux qui ont perdu du poids.

RESUMEN

femenino, la mediana de la edad era 35 y 45 años; la mediana de la cifra de linfocitos CD4 fue 311 y 508 células/mm³. Setenta y dos pacientes (70%) presentaron una pérdida de peso cuantificada $\geq 5\%$ y 50 presentaron tos (49%). Los diagnósticos definitivos más frecuentes fueron pérdida de peso debida a una inseguridad alimentaria grave en pacientes (n = 20, 19%), TB (n = 14, 14%: 7 diagnósticos confirmados y 7 clínicos), otras infecciones de las vías respiratorias (n = 14, 14%) y enfermedad pulmonar crónica posterior a la TB (n = 9, 9%). El diagnóstico de TB se fundamentó en las imágenes (n = 7), la confirmación bacteriológica en el esputo (n = 4), el examen histológico, la punción lumbar y otros medios (n = 1, en cada caso).

CONCLUSIÓN: Es necesario investigar la presencia de TB en las PLVIH y síntomas persistentes indicativos de TB, mediante todos los medios al alcance y la inseguridad alimentaria en las personas que presentan pérdida de peso.

9) Discussion and conclusions

9.1. Introduction

This thesis examined TB screening and investigation strategies for adults attending routine HIV care in a LMIC setting with an HIV-associated TB epidemic. The research was undertaken at a time when the TB diagnostic landscape was rapidly evolving and CD4 count determined eligibility for ART. The rollout of new diagnostic tools in the "real world" raised questions around resource prioritisation and how to use them most efficiently. Contrary to expectations, the advent of Xpert has not resulted in greater numbers of individuals starting TB treatment, but the proportion of bacteriologically-confirmed diagnoses has increased, and when Xpert is positioned at point-of-care TB treatment is started faster.^{101, 181, 225-227} The role of LF-LAM is very limited.¹¹²

Since this research was undertaken the gap between the number of TB diagnoses notified to national programmes and the number estimated by the WHO each year remains large.² Now, more sensitive versions of the diagnostic tests used in this research are either available,⁹⁰ or being developed;^{114, 228, 229} immediate ART is recommended for all PLHIV; and different models of ART delivery are in place. The recommended screening tool for intensified TB case finding in PLHIV remains the same, developed using data largely from the pre-ART era, in order to enable rapid scale-up of IPT. The performance of a screening test will differ when it is used in different settings and different populations from that in which it was originally developed. This is illustrated by the performance of the WHO tool for TB screening in individuals on ART amongst whom the tool is less sensitive but more specific for TB.⁴² In the future if more PLHIV are on ART and for longer periods of time, consequently undergoing repeated rounds of TB screening, which is also known to reduce the sensitivity of the tool, then more TB diagnoses will be missed by the tool. The improved specificity will however generate fewer false-positives on screening, thus reducing the numbers undergoing unnecessary diagnostic testing. The hoped-for decline in the burden of HIV-related TB will also reduce the PPV of the WHO tool, so that an even smaller proportion of those who report symptoms will actually have TB. Nevertheless, screening PLHIV for TB remains an important strategy in the goal of ending the global TB epidemic, as well as on an individual level to reduce suffering. World leaders pledged only last year to regularly screen all PLHIV and to finding the missing people with TB.³⁸

This chapter summarises the main findings from the research undertaken, making comparison with the published literature; discusses the implications arising from this

work, its strengths and limitations; and makes recommendations for HIV programmes and future research. It also considers the possible impact on these research findings of the aforementioned changes in HIV care and "next-generation" TB diagnostics. Now that ART is started irrespective of CD4 cell count, the entity of pre-ART care for those waiting to reach a predetermined CD4 threshold for ART initiation should no longer exist. Therefore, the discussion will focus more on the findings in the on ART group, as this is of more relevance to HIV programmes.

The flow of the discussion will follow the pathway depicted in **Figure 1-2** (the aims of the thesis), which reflects the journey PLHIV attending for routine care may undergo following TB screening.

9.2. Summary of findings and comparison with published studies

9.2.1. Options for screening for TB among people attending for HIV care

WHO 4-symptom TB screening tool

The XPHACTOR study enabled a prospective evaluation of the performance of the WHO tool in the era of ART, uniquely, and of particular relevance since the advent of treat-all, in a large cohort established on ART. At enrolment, almost one-third of those on ART reported WHO tool symptom(s), most commonly cough and weight loss, and 3.1% (95% CI 2.4, 3.8) fulfilled our case definitions for clinical and confirmed TB combined. In the on ART group the sensitivity and specificity of the WHO tool for clinical and confirmed TB combined TB combined was 68.4% (95% CI 56.9, 78.4) and 70.7% (95% CI 68.9, 72.6) respectively. The sensitivity was slightly lower for bacteriologically-confirmed TB (62.3%, 95% CI 49.0, 74.4), but the specificity was unchanged.

Most published studies which have investigated the performance of the WHO tool for TB screening have focussed on populations comprising those newly diagnosed HIV-positive,^{73,}⁷⁹ those preparing to initiate ART,^{54, 56, 72} or those screened prior to IPT.^{75, 77} Studies which have screened individuals on ART using the WHO tool have excluded large numbers of participants because they could not produce sputum or were missing data,^{138, 143} or included individuals who had already been extensively pre-screened for TB.¹⁴³ These factors would have generated biased estimates of sensitivity (probably underestimations) and limit the generalisability of their findings to routine HIV care settings. Furthermore, compared to XPHACTOR, PLHIV in these aforementioned studies had been on ART for a

shorter length of time (1-2 years), and had lower median CD4 cell counts. Ours was a more "mature" population, reflected by their longer duration on ART (median 4 years) and higher median CD4 count (436 cells/mm³). This also explains the lower prevalence of confirmed TB in our on ART group of 2.4%, compared with 5.4-5.9% in comparable studies.^{138, 143} Our study findings are likely to provide a better indication of the workload associated with, and the diagnostic yield from intensified TB case-finding in the future, among HIV-positive individuals attending for routine care. These individuals will probably have higher CD4 cell counts at ART initiation, have been taking ART for longer, and should have been repeatedly screened for TB.

Prevalence of WHO tool symptoms in XPHACTOR

In XPHACTOR, one-third of those on ART reported WHO tool symptoms at enrolment, and this reduced to just over one-quarter if those diagnosed with TB were excluded. This is in accordance with studies conducted in HIV clinic or MCH settings, in which 33-39% of participants who were on ART reported WHO tool symptoms at study enrolment.^{142, 147, 148} TB screening studies which have excluded individuals unable to expectorate sputum are likely to have overestimated the prevalence of cough.^{138, 143, 154} We did not exclude participants who were unable to produce sputum at enrolment, and therefore our findings are more generalisable to HIV care settings. We also found that cough was the most commonly reported symptom. Even when the same participants were screened over a 3-month period using the WHO tool at monthly intervals, and having excluded those who were diagnosed with TB, cough remained the most common symptom reported on screening. Recurrent or persistent cough will impact on the quality of life of sufferers, and therefore capacity is needed at all levels of healthcare to enable timely evaluation, diagnosis, and provision of appropriate treatment for these patients.

We found that 10% of XPHACTOR participants in the on ART group reported WHO tool symptoms on screening at the 3-month visit, and this was after excluding individuals who had been diagnosed with TB during the course of the study. Extrapolating our findings to ART programmes suggests that a large volume of confirmatory diagnostic testing will be required when the WHO tool is used as intended, to screen the same population at every clinical encounter. To the best of my knowledge there are no other published data which report the evolution of WHO tool symptoms when the same individuals are repeatedly screened.

Performance of the WHO tool in XPHACTOR dataset

We found that the WHO tool was less sensitive (62.3% vs. 91.7%) but more specific (70.7% vs. 66.5%) for confirmed TB in the on ART compared with the pre-ART group. NPV was high (>98%) for both groups at confirmed TB prevalence of 3.1%, and at prevalence of confirmed and clinical TB combined of 7.3%, but PPV was <10%. Therefore the WHO tool worked well, as designed, for ruling out TB in both groups, but the vast majority of those reporting symptoms did not have TB and would have required unnecessary diagnostic testing for TB. (Table 4-3) If the TB prevalence falls in HIV clinic settings, as is hoped for with PLHIV initiating ART at higher CD4 cell counts, the PPV of the WHO tool will be further reduced. Therefore, in resource-constrained settings the rollout of treat-all may require alternative TB screening and diagnostic strategies to the WHO tool followed by a diagnostic test. Failing this, HCWs may be even less likely to adhere to TB screening and investigation algorithms in heavily pressurised LMIC settings.⁶⁸ PLHIV may tire of repeatedly undergoing investigation after screening with a tool that lacks specificity. One could speculate that they might even prefer not to disclose the presence of WHO tool symptoms when repeatedly screened, to avoid the associated obligatory reattendances for test results and follow-up.

In our pre-ART group the XPHACTOR algorithm was less sensitive than the WHO tool (75.0% [95% CI 42.8, 94.5] vs. 91.7% [95% CI 61.5, 99.8]) and more specific (72.3% [95% CI 68.1, 76.2] vs. 66.5% [95% CI 62.1, 70.7]) for confirmed TB. Sensitivity and specificity were similar for both the WHO tool and the XPHACTOR algorithm in the on ART group. These findings are unsurprising given that the XPHACTOR algorithm was intended to improve the specificity for TB by "tightening" WHO criteria, i.e. requiring duration of fever, or evidence of weight loss; and as expected this was at the expense of sensitivity. The WHO tool was designed to maximise sensitivity and developed in a dataset comprising a pre-ART population.

XPHACTOR data afforded the opportunity to prospectively evaluate the performance of the WHO tool in a population attending for HIV care who had been previously screened for TB. In the original meta-analysis which developed the WHO tool, the sensitivity of the WHO tool amongst those previously screened for TB was 40.5% (95% CI 16.6, 69.9), the wide confidence intervals reflecting the small numbers in that group.⁸⁰ When combining our pre- and on ART groups, who were established in care and therefore should have been previously screened for TB, we found the sensitivity of the WHO tool for confirmed TB was greater, 67.1% (95% CI 55.1, 77.7). This reflects our study population being more representative of HIV clinic attendees, who are more likely to be symptomatic and at higher risk of TB than participants in the studies providing this data for the meta-analysis, who were mainly enrolled from community-based surveys.^{134, 135} It therefore provides a more accurate reflection of WHO tool performance amongst those previously screened for TB in clinic settings.

Limitations of the WHO tool

Strategies to find the missing millions with TB also need to address how best to identify asymptomatic individuals with microbiologically-confirmed TB, and individuals with extrapulmonary TB. A symptom-based TB screening tool will obviously not identify asymptomatic TB, and extrapulmonary TB is less likely to be identified by a screening tool which was developed using a reference standard of culture-confirmed TB from sputum samples. These issues are discussed below.

Asymptomatic Tuberculosis

Two studies in South Africa, which systematically screened PLHIV for pulmonary TB just prior to ART initiation²³⁰ or as part of pre-ART care,²³¹ reported prevalences of asymptomatic TB of 4% in the larger study (28/654)²³⁰ and 8.5% (18/213) in the smaller study.²³¹ In these studies, individuals with asymptomatic TB had an intermediate degree of immunosuppression, as suggested by median CD4 counts of 136-249 cells/mm³ being in between those of PHLIV with active TB (68-148 cells/mm³) and those with negative TB microbiology who did not require TB treatment (249-322 cells/mm³).^{230, 231} 56% of these individuals developed TB symptoms within a median of 28 days. When compared with a group of PLHIV with symptomatic TB enrolled from a TB clinic in the same setting, those with asymptomatic TB in both of these studies were small, but the phenomenon of asymptomatic bacteriologically-confirmed TB is well described when PLHIV are systematically screened using sensitive diagnostic tests.^{56, 232, 233}

In XPHACTOR, all study participants were asked to provide a sputum sample at enrolment, which was tested with Xpert immediately or stored for later testing. 0.7% (27/3678) of our participants fulfilled the case definition for confirmed TB but reported no WHO tool symptoms at enrolment, suggesting that asymptomatic TB might be less common in populations established in HIV care. The proportion of individuals with asymptomatic TB

may change over time as the characteristics of people attending for HIV care changes, hopefully shifting towards people with higher CD4 cell counts, whom the aforementioned studies indicate are less likely to have asymptomatic TB.^{230, 231}

A model of TB as a continuum of disease from infection with MTB to clinically active disease is currently considered more appropriate than the traditional binary concept of an individual switching directly from latent TB infection to active TB disease.²³⁴ Alternative screening modalities to the WHO tool are needed to identify asymptomatic disease. However, one could postulate that repeatedly screening PLHIV using a symptom screen, should first identify (and treat) those who are most unwell. Those with asymptomatic TB will hopefully passively present for care if they do develop symptoms, or if disease has progressed, will be identified at the next round of screening. There is currently insufficient data on survival outcomes in PLHIV with asymptomatic TB who do not receive TB treatment to suggest that they fare any worse than those without TB, so this phenomenon might not be clinically important.²³⁰

Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis

20/153 (13%) of XPHACTOR study participants who fulfilled the case definitions for TB and had the site of disease recorded had evidence of extrapulmonary disease, of whom 15 only had extrapulmonary disease. In **Research Paper 4** (**"Causes of TB symptoms in HIV-positive adults"**) over half (8/14) of participants initiating TB treatment did so based on the results of investigations for extrapulmonary TB (abdominal ultrasound, 6; LNA; 1; lumbar puncture, 1). Although the number of participants investigated in this study was small, most (65%) had submitted sputum samples for mycobacteriology prior to enrolment. In actual fact, all should already have undergone investigation as part of the XPHACTOR main study procedures, because everyone was asked to provide sputum for testing with Xpert (immediately or stored for later testing) at enrolment, and a further sample was requested at the 3-month visit for mycobacterial culture. We found that only 1997/3722 (53.7%) of study participants were able to produce a sputum sample at enrolment. This highlights the limitation of sputum-based diagnostics, in terms of difficulties in collecting sputum and for diagnosing extrapulmonary TB, and the need for access to other investigation modalities.

The studies included in the WHO metanalysis mainly collected sputum samples for mycobacterial culture, i.e. the WHO tool was developed using a reference standard of bacteriologically-confirmed pulmonary TB.⁸⁰ This reflects the reality of investigating TB in LMIC and the data that were available at the time the meta-analysis was undertaken,

when there was an urgent need for a simple TB screening tool to facilitate rollout of IPT. Individuals with extrapulmonary disease are also likely to present with cough, fever, unintentional weight loss and night sweats. However, it may be that extrapulmonary TB is more likely to be missed when screening is undertaken using the WHO tool because of the reference standard used to develop the tool. Extrapulmonary disease is more common in individuals with advanced HIV disease, and may become less common as PLHIV initiate ART at higher CD4 counts, although those who drop out of HIV care may present at a later stage with advanced immunosuppression.

Alternative TB screening and diagnostic algorithms examined in this thesis

This thesis looked at alternatives to the recommended algorithm of WHO symptom screen, followed by Xpert if WHO tool symptoms were reported; and if Xpert-negative but symptomatic, then further investigation in line with the WHO smear-negative pathway (mycobacterial culture, chest x-ray, and if indicated a trial of antibiotic). This research, uniquely, was undertaken in the context of active case finding for TB amongst PLHIV established in HIV care, in contrast to most other published studies that have focussed on screening individuals prior to ART initiation or at new HIV-positive diagnosis.

In summary we found that the sensitivity of LF-LAM, when used to screen study participants with CD4 cell count < 200 cells/mm³, was too low to be useful as a screening test and certainly could not be recommended to replace the WHO tool (**Chapter 5** - **Research Paper 1, "TB Screening with LAM in an HIV Clinic"**). When a grade 2 cut-off was used, which is equivalent to the current recommended designation for a positive test, sensitivity was only 5.4% (95% CI 1.1, 14.9) for confirmed and clinical TB combined. There were only three positive LF-LAM results using this cut-off amongst 56 individuals who fulfilled these TB case definitions, so we could not explore the sensitivity of LF-LAM in those with CD4 <100 cells/mm³.

In Research Paper 2 (Chapter 6 - "Clinical Score for TB in HIV-positive adults in South Africa") a triage tool was developed to prioritise individuals reporting WHO tool symptoms for diagnostic testing for TB. The score was designed to be simple, using information readily available at primary healthcare level; and was derived from a clinical prediction model developed using multivariate analysis. The clinical score comprised ART status (categorised as on ART > 3 months vs. pre-ART or ART < 3 months), BMI (<18.5 vs. 18.5-24.9 vs. \geq 25 kg/m²), CD4 cell count (<200 vs. 200-349 vs. \geq 350 cells/mm³), and number of WHO

tool symptoms (1 vs. >1 symptom). Prioritising a diagnostic test for symptomatic individuals with a cut-off score of \geq 3 would have avoided one-third of the volume of Xpert tests required in our study population, at the expense of missing 3% of TB diagnoses in those not tested.

Research Paper 3 (Chapter 7 - "Investigating TB if initial Xpert is negative") looked at the diagnostic yield from undertaking a repeat Xpert test on a fresh sputum sample amongst individuals with an initial negative test result. The sputum sample was collected at attendance for the result of the initial Xpert. This study was restricted to individuals at highest risk of TB, defined by CD4 <200 cells/mm³ or those newly diagnosed HIV-positive, in order to ensure sufficient TB diagnoses to enable comparison with the Xpert-negative algorithm. Amongst 27/227 TB diagnoses in this study, only five were identified by the repeat Xpert test, and the remainder started TB treatment during study follow-up mainly on the basis of compatible imaging (10) or mycobacteriology (culture-positive for *MTB* [4], further Xpert positive [4]). This highlights the need for good access to imaging, both chest radiograph and ultrasound scan. Furthermore, in those at high risk of TB, further investigation for TB should not be halted following an initial negative Xpert result.

Published studies reporting other TB screening options

Alternative TB screening options can be subdivided into i) methods to replace the WHO tool; ii) a second step to triage WHO-tool-positive individuals for investigation with Xpert (sequential screening); and iii) methods which include the WHO tool in order to improve algorithm sensitivity (parallel screening).²³⁵ Sequential screening strategies, by virtue of further screening only those who test positive by the initial test, will lose sensitivity but improve upon both the specificity and PPV compared to the first screening test. Parallel screening strategies, whereby all individuals have multiple screening tests, and screen negative only if all tests are negative, lose specificity but improve upon sensitivity compared with the individual screening tests.⁷¹

Screening tests perform differently in different settings and the selected screening strategy is determined not just by financial constraints, but also by the prevalence of TB, the setting and the potential risks of failing to identify TB. In a community-level setting, where the prevalence of TB is lower, individuals are also less likely to be symptomatic and less likely to have TB. A screening test designed in a hospital setting, where the prevalence of TB is likely to be higher and attendees are also more likely to be unwell and

more symptomatic, will have lower specificity in a community based setting. This will result in large numbers of individuals undergoing unnecessary diagnostic tests for TB.

Table 9-1 summarises alternative screening strategies pertinent to the research undertaken for this thesis, i.e. replacements for the WHO tool and triage tools for those reporting WHO tool symptoms. The studies presented in table 9-1 have been evaluated in ambulatory PLHIV, or are currently used instead of the WHO tool, and largely published either following the 2010 recommendation to use the WHO tool or after this research was commenced.

WHO high priority target product profile (TPP) for a triage test⁸⁴

The need for a triage test has been identified, ideally for use at community-level or as a minimum at primary or higher level healthcare, to reduce the volume of diagnostic testing required for TB. The role of the triage test is to identify, amongst symptomatic individuals, those most likely to have TB who should therefore be prioritised for diagnostic testing.⁸⁴ A test that needed minimal training and infrastructure, and required sputum or non-sputum based samples was envisaged. Minimum product requirements were agreed, by consensus: sensitivity (>90%) and specificity (>70%) for bacteriologically confirmed pulmonary TB; cost <US\$2; availability of results within 30 minutes; and with ease of access to a confirmatory test deemed a prerequisite. According to the WHO report,⁸⁴ this product was not intended to be used as a TB screening tool, but rather to triage individuals attending because of symptoms suggestive of TB for diagnostic testing, or for anyone attending for care with a risk factor for TB such as HIV. The latter is confusing as it suggests that the triage test could be used as a TB screening tool for PLHIV attending for care, even though the report stipulates that separate TPPs for a screening test (which requires higher sensitivity) still need to be agreed upon. Investigators have applied these minimum criteria of sensitivity and specificity when evaluating alternative screening algorithms to the WHO tool; to date only POC CRP, as discussed below, fits these criteria.236

Role	Method	Population Median CD4 Author year	TB case definition	TB prevalence	Sensitivity	Specificity	Comment
Replacement for WHO tool	LF-LAM	HTC CD4 213 Drain ¹⁵¹ 2016	Sputum C+	123/675 (18.2%)	31%	92.0%	Pre-2014 Gd 1 cut off used, overestimates sensitivity + underestimates specificity Sensitivity better in CD4<100 ¹⁰⁷
		Prior to ART CD4 211 Balcha ¹⁰⁴ 2014	Sputum or LNA C+ or GXP+; or clinical TB	128/757 (16.9%) confirmed 148/757 (19.6%) clinical + confirmed	25.8% for confirmed TB	92.8%	Pre-2014 Gd 1 cut off Sensitivity better in CD4<100 "Not TB" required negative TB culture & no TB Rx Followed to 6 months Bias and not generalisable as did not enrol those unable to produce sputum
		Prior to ART CD4 170 Lawn ¹⁰⁵ 2012	Sputum C+	85/516 (16.4%)	28.2%	98.6%	Pre-2014 Gd 1 cut off Sensitivity better in CD4<100
		ANC CD4 437 (54% on ART) LaCourse ¹⁴¹ 2016	Sputum C+	7/288 (2.4%)	0/7	95.1%	Pre-2014 Gd 1 cut off used Possible selection bias as high refusal rate for participation
	CRP	HTC CD4 306 Shapiro ²³⁷ 2018	Sputum C+	42/425 (10%)	CRP >5: 90.5% CRP >10: 78.6%	CRP >5: 58.5% CRP >10: 72.3%	Specificity greater if CD4>200 Lab based CRP Retrospective design, verification bias
		Prior to ART CD4 171 Lawn ²³⁸ 2013	Sputum C+	81/496 (16.3%)	CRP ≥5: 90.1% CRP ≥10: 85.2%	CRP ≥5: 43.9% CRP ≥10: 57.6%	Lab based CRP
		Prior to ART CD4 165 Yoon ²³⁶ 2017	Sputum C+	163/1177 (13.8%)	CRP ≥5: 92·6% CRP ≥8: 90.2% CRP ≥10: 89·0%	CRP ≥5: 59·7% CRP ≥8: 69·6% CRP ≥10: 72·1%	GXP+ C-ve deemed not TB "Not TB" required negative TB culture POC CRP
	CXR	New enrolees to HIV clinic 58% on ART CD4 336 Nguyen ¹⁴² 2016	Sputum C+	28/397 (7.1%)	CXR suggestive of active PTB: 71%	71%	Excluded those who did not complete all evaluations – selection bias

Table 9-1 Alternative TB screening and investigation options for ambulatory PLHIV in LMIC

Role	Method	Population Median CD4 Author year	TB case definition	TB prevalence	Sensitivity	Specificity	Comment
		Attending PHC for HIV care 50% on ART CD4 215 Gounder ²³⁹ 2011	C+ or SM+ or histology on sputum / LNA / blood	30/422 (7%)	CXR suggestive of active PTB: 95%	47%	Convenience sample ¹⁴⁰ – selection bias, overestimates sensitivity, not generalisable
		Prior to ART CD4 100 Bassett ⁵⁴ 2010	Sputum C+	158/825 (19%)	Any abnormality on CXR: 83%	35%	
		Prior to ART CD4 120 Hanifa ⁵⁵ 2012	Sputum C+	64/300 (21%)	Any abnormality on CXR: 85.5% CXR suggestive of active PTB: 77.4%	48.1% 63.4%	Followed to 3-6 months
	Alternative symptom screen ID-TB/HIV	Prior to ART CD4 242 Cain ⁷² 2010	C+ on sputum / urine / blood / stool / LNA	267/1748 (15%)	93%	36%	Contributed to WHO meta-analysis. Age > 6yrs Used in SE. Asia In preceding 4 wks: Any cough or fever or NS >3w
Triage test if WHO-tool positive	Clinical Score	Prior to ART 625 WHO tool positive CD4 212 (N=791) Balcha ¹⁷⁶ 2014	Sputum or LNA C+ or GXP+;	115/625 (18.6%)	Score ≥2: 96/116 (83%) Score ≥4: 19/116 (16%)	291/509 (57%) 494/509 (97%)	Followed to 6 months "Not TB" required negative TB culture & no TB Rx Score (each assigned 1 point): cough, Karnofsky score ≤80, MUAC <20 cm, lymphadenopathy, HB <10 Not enrolled if unable to produce sputum (same population as ¹⁰⁴)
	CRP	HTC All WHO tool positive CD4 268 Shapiro ²³⁷ 2018	Probable TB = TB Rx / GXP+ / C+ / Sm+ within 3 m	78/749 (10.4%)	CRP ≥5: 98·7%	CRP ≥5: 48.3%	Retrospective analysis Case definition applied retrospectively TB investigation only if clinician requested – verification bias Lab based CRP on stored samples

ANC, antenatal clinic; ART, antiretroviral therapy; C+, culture-positive MTB; C-, culture-negative for MTB; CRP, C-reactive protein mg/L; CXR, chest radiograph; GXP+, Xpert-positive; HB, haemoglobin g/dL; HTC, HIV testing and counselling services; LNA, lymph node aspirate; MUAC, mid upper arm circumference; PHC, Primary health clinic; POC, point of care; SM, TB microscopy; TB Rx, TB treatment

Alternative screening methods to replace the WHO tool

Methods replacing the WHO tool include LF-LAM, POC CRP, chest radiograph, and the ID-TB/HIV algorithm which is used in Southeast Asia.⁷² In addition, screening using sputum Xpert or mycobacterial culture has been examined and advocated in all PLHIV prior to ART initiation in settings with HIV-associated TB epidemics.^{54, 56, 188} 2017 South African HIV clinicians society guidelines recommend, if feasible, sputum mycobacterial culture for all individuals with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ as part of TB screeening prior to IPT initiation.⁶² I will not discuss further the strategy of "investigating all", as it is impractical and too expensive to use for the regular TB screeening of PLHIV established in care in LMIC. The research undertaken in this thesis sought to prioritise use of limited resources in a population established in HIV care.

Published studies examining alternative screening methods have in general been undertaken at very specific milestones in an HIV-positive individual's journey through care, i.e. at new HIV diagnosis, or prior to ART or IPT initiation, when the likelihood of active TB and the negative impact of a missed TB diagnosis are greatest. These studies are summarised in **Table 9-1** and discussed in further detail below.

LF-LAM

We found LF-LAM was too insensitive for use as a TB screening tool for individuals attending for routine HIV care, even when restricted to those with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³. Sensitivity was only 7.5% and specificity was 98.6% for bacteriologically confirmed TB using a grade 2 cut-off on the pre-January 2014 manufacturer's reference card (equivalent to the grade 1 cut-off on the current reference card). The urine samples in our study were frozen, but stored and processed in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations and other studies.¹⁰⁵ The WHO guidance (to which our data contributed) and a recent Cochrane review advise against the use of LF-LAM for TB screening, as already discussed in the literature review.¹⁰³

Studies presented in **Table 9-1** report a higher sensitivity of LF-LAM for TB than we found. This is likely due to the different populations investigated in these studies, i.e. individuals preparing to initiate ART or those newly diagnosed HIV-positive.¹³⁷ Their study participants would have been more unwell and at greater risk of TB than our participants, who were established in HIV care, as reflected by the much higher prevalence of TB reported in these studies. These studies also used the more sensitive, but less specific, grade 1 cut-off in the pre-2014 reference card to define a positive LF-LAM result. The only study which did include individuals on ART enrolled participants from antenatal care, so is not generalisable to routine HIV care settings.¹⁴¹ 20% of those approached declined to participate in the aforementioned study, and selection bias is likely to have impacted on the sensitivity of LF-LAM, which was negative for all seven participants who fulfilled the study case definitions for TB.

Urine is generally considered to be a relatively easy sample to collect, but in XPHACTOR, 20% of those eligible for the LF-LAM study did not produce a urine sample. In contrast other studies which have evaluated LF-LAM as a screening test for TB in PLHIV in outpatient settings reported that very few individuals were unable to produce urine (**Table 9-1**).^{104, 105, 151} It is possible that individuals who were unable to produce urine declined to participate in these studies in the first place, or were not enrolled. Inpatient studies report ease in collection of samples and this is to be expected with a "captive" population. In crowded HIV clinics adequate access to a toilet might not always be feasible. Even in higher income countries with better access to outpatient toilet facilities on-demand urine samples are not always forthcoming. Newer diagnostic tests therefore need to use samples that a healthcare worker can collect and complete all testing on during the consultation itself, e.g. finger prick blood or saliva.

Point-of-care CRP

POC CRP currently appears the most attractive option for replacing the WHO tool, because of superior sensitivity and specificity for TB, but it has only been evaluated in a population preparing to initiate ART, i.e. at high risk of TB.²³⁶ It costs less than \$2 per test and provides results within three minutes. Yoon *et al* evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of POC CRP for culture-confirmed pulmonary TB at ART initiation in a hospital-based ART clinic in Uganda.²³⁶ The study was undertaken at a time when ART was provided when the CD4 count was <350 cells/mm³.²³⁶ In this study consecutive adults were prospectively enrolled and all were systematically screened for TB using the WHO tool, POC CRP and two sputum samples were collected (one induced if necessary) for one Xpert and two TB cultures on solid and liquid media. The reference standard used for analyses was culture-confirmed TB, but those who were Xpert-positive but culture-negative were designated as not TB. A diagnosis of not TB was assigned if there were at least two negative sputum cultures, as is appropriate for a diagnostic accuracy study. However, excluding all individuals who were unable to produce two sputum samples will bias the sensitivity estimates for POC CRP. A

large number of individuals were excluded from this study because of missing sputum culture results (5% of those enrolled, and more so amongst those with CRP <10 mg/L).

TB prevalence in this population with a median CD4 count of 165 cells/mm³ was 14%.²³⁶ The great majority (87%) of participants reported WHO tool symptoms, reflecting an unusual definition of WHO tool positivity which allowed for symptoms reported within the 30 days prior to enrolment. Positive POC CRP defined as $\geq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ had sensitivity and specificity of 90% and 70% respectively, compared with 96% and 14% for the WHO tool, thus fitting the TPP criteria for a triage test for pulmonary TB. The very high sensitivity and poor specificity of the WHO tool arise from the expanded definition for WHO tool symptoms. The sensitivity of POC CRP is likely to be biased and overestimated because of the large number of participants who were excluded due to missing results or failure to produce two sputum samples. Individuals who could produce two sputa are more likely to have been unwell, probably because they had TB. TB diagnoses may have been missed due to the failure to collect extrapulmonary samples, the lack of prospective follow-up, and Xpert-positive culture-negative TB being deemed "not TB", the rationale for which is not provided. The authors' findings cannot be generalised to HIV-positive individuals attending for routine care, as 63% of their participants were new to HIV care. CRP is likely to be less sensitive for TB screening in individuals stable on ART, who are less likely to be unwell or to have TB.¹³⁷

In a later study, which included some of those enrolled in the above study, Yoon et al compared POC CRP-based screening algorithms to the WHO tool followed by Xpert prior to ART initiation.²⁴⁰ In this study enrolment procedures were identical to those detailed above, but in addition a urine sample was collected for testing with LF-LAM. The authors compared the yield from algorithms which started with CRP-based screening (instead of the WHO tool) and were followed by confirmatory testing with 1) urine LF-LAM if CD4 count < 100 cells/mm³, and Xpert on sputum if CD4 \geq 100 cells/mm³ or LF-LAM negative; or 2) as per 1) but followed by sputum mycobacterial culture if the Xpert result was negative. Amongst 1245 participants 88% were WHO tool positive, 40% fulfilled CRP screening criteria ($\ge 8 \text{ mg/L}$), median CD4 count was 153 cells/mm³, and TB prevalence was 16%. CRP \geq 8 mg/L followed by Xpert had a comparable yield to WHO tool followed by Xpert (sensitivity 59% vs. 56% respectively), but fewer Xpert tests were required per TB diagnosis. A large number of those enrolled (15%) were excluded from analyses because of incomplete sputum culture results for purposes of assigning TB case definitions. The sensitivity of this algorithm is therefore also likely to be biased and again probably overestimated. For the reasons already discussed above, the findings from this study may

not translate into improved diagnostic yield when used for intensified case finding in a population stable in HIV care.

Chest x-ray and ID-TB/HIV algorithm

Screening for TB using chest radiography at every clinical encounter is clearly not practical, feasible, or even desirable because of the amount of radiation exposure entailed. Studies undertaken in which at least 50% of enrolees were on ART have reported sensitivities of chest radiograph findings suggestive of active pulmonary TB ranging from 71%¹⁴² to 95%.²³⁹ The latter reported sensitivity was from a study which enrolled a convenience sample and participants were highly symptomatic. The estimate is therefore biased and it is highly likely that those who participated did so because they were unwell and more likely to have had TB. Khan *et al* reported improved sensitivity for bacteriologically-confirmed TB in individuals on ART using an algorithm comprising either WHO tool positive or any abnormality on chest x-ray vs. WHO tool alone (52% vs. 77%).¹³⁸ The limitations of this study have been detailed in the literature review, in particular a large number of exclusions from the study which will bias the estimate of sensitivity.

The ID-TB/HIV algorithm has already been discussed in the literature review.⁷² It is used in Southeast Asia prior to ART initiation, and its performance has been evaluated in Kenya in a population newly enrolling in HIV care. Their symptom screen had a sensitivity of 72.5% for bacteriologically confirmed TB, which was similar to the performance of the WHO tool in this setting.

Triage test to prioritise PLHIV with WHO tool symptoms for Xpert

Two methods have been investigated to prioritise PLHIV reporting WHO tool symptoms for confirmatory diagnostic testing. Shapiro *et al*²³⁷ investigated the diagnostic accuracy of CRP amongst individuals at HIV-positive diagnosis in South Africa who reported WHO tool symptom(s).²³⁷ The authors used a composite reference standard of clinical and bacteriologically-confirmed TB. A cut-off of \geq 5 mg/L attained the TPP minimum target for sensitivity (99%), but lacked specificity (48%). Limitations of this study include its retrospective design, and verification bias because participants did not undergo standardised investigation for TB at enrolment, which was instead dependant on a clinician's assessment at enrolment. Hence the sensitivity reported is likely to be biased and probably overestimated.

Balcha *et al*¹⁷⁶ derived a clinical score to determine the risk of bacteriologically-confirmed TB, using data collected as part of a prospective cohort study screening clinic attendees in Ethiopia prior to ART initiation. Their final model is discussed in the literature review (**Table 2-7**). Although their score is intended to be simple to use, the inclusion of the Karnofsky score and haemoglobin levels do not make for ease of use in busy primary care settings. The parent study from which the data were derived enrolled only participants who could produce sputum, greatly limiting generalisability, and probably resulted in an overestimation of the sensitivity of the score. Those who could produce sputum were probably more unwell, and therefore more likely to have had TB. A clinical score of ≥ 2 (maximum score 5) to trigger diagnostic testing in individuals with WHO symptoms, enabled 53% (414/784) of all participants vs. 20% (159/784) if only the WHO tool had been used to avoid a diagnostic test. This strategy missed 7.2% (30/414) of TB diagnoses in those who were not investigated, compared with using the WHO tool alone, which missed 6.3% (10/159). Their prediction model has not yet undergone any form of validation and does not fulfil the minimum TPP for a triage test (**Table 9-1**).

The clinical score derived using XPHACTOR study data (Research Paper 2, Chapter 6) was designed for the same purpose as that of the aforementioned study by Balcha *et al.*¹⁷⁶ Our tool is simpler to use and has been internally validated. A cut-off score of \geq 3 (maximum score 16) did exceed the 90% minimum sensitivity for a triage test, but it lacked specificity. It did, however, miss a smaller proportion of TB diagnoses in those who were not tested (3% [9/331]) compared with Balcha *et al.*¹⁷⁶ However, the study populations are not comparable, ours was a population established in HIV care, with a much lower prevalence of confirmed TB (3.4%), compared with their participants who were screened for TB prior to ART initiation and had a much higher prevalence of TB (16.9%).

Summary

Alternative options to the WHO tool followed by confirmatory testing with Xpert have been investigated for PLHIV prior to ART initiation and at new HIV diagnosis. These are LF-LAM, CRP, chest radiography, an alternative clinical algorithm, and a clinical score to triage symptomatic individuals for confirmatory testing. Only POC CRP at cut-off ≥ 8 mg/L attains the minimum sensitivity and specificity requirements stipulated by the WHO for a triage test, or for use in anyone attending for care with a risk factor for TB such as HIV. This test has only been evaluated in a population at very high risk of TB, those newly diagnosed HIV-positive, who should arguably all be investigated for TB. These studies are limited by a large number of exclusions, which is likely to have resulted in biased estimates of sensitivity, and their findings cannot be generalised to other patient populations. Other than the clinical score derived in this thesis, no other algorithms have been evaluated for TB screening in a population established in HIV care.

9.2.2. Alternative pathways following a negative initial Xpert result

In **Research Paper 3**, we reported limited utility from repeating Xpert on a fresh sputum sample for individuals with an initial negative test result. We found that only 5/28 participants with an initial negative Xpert result who fulfilled our study case definitions for TB were identified by the repeat Xpert. Studies evaluating the incremental yield from repeating Xpert suggest that testing more than one sample does improve the diagnostic yield of Xpert. Studies investigating factors that impact on the yield of Xpert from sputum suggest that early morning,¹⁸⁷ induced,¹⁸⁹ and mucopurulent¹⁸⁶ sputum provide a better diagnostic yield. These studies, which are discussed in the literature review, collected samples from participants attending because of TB symptoms or prior to ART initiation, and mainly collected multiple samples at enrolment. The increased yield may simply reflect the much greater proportion of participants in these studies who did actually have TB, compared with that reported in research paper 3. Our repeat sputum sample was collected from participants who had already had an initial Xpert result, so if they did have TB they were more likely to have paucibacillary disease which would be harder to detect.

We did not induce sputum, and collected a spot rather than a morning sample for the repeat sputum, to better reflect what happens in real life, and this might have impacted on our reported yield from the repeat Xpert test. We froze the repeat sputum sample for later testing with Xpert, but the storage, testing and processing are all in accordance with that reported from other published studies.⁵⁶

The study population in research paper 3 was at high risk of TB, but not typical of those who would traditionally follow this pathway, i.e. firstly identified by the WHO tool as symptomatic and subsequently following the Xpert-negative pathway because they remained symptomatic. We included participants from whom we collected sputum

samples, irrespective of the presence of WHO tool symptoms, because they were deemed at high risk of TB, i.e. those pre-ART with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³, and all enrolled from HTC services. This was in order to ensure sufficient TB diagnoses to enable a comparison to be made. These individuals, if asymptomatic but later diagnosed with TB, are likely to have been less unwell at the time that the initial and repeat samples were collected, and had paucibacillary disease which would have been missed by Xpert.

Irrespective of the strategy used, repeat Xpert or Xpert-negative pathway, both require multiple clinic attendances for patients. In XPHACTOR we found considerable drop out along the cascade of care for this pathway, in terms of attendance for chest radiograph or review of response to antibiotic trial, and more efficient strategies need to be considered. One potential strategy is the upfront collection of two sputum samples from all symptomatic individuals, with testing of the second sample determined by the result of the initial Xpert. Another strategy is the diagnostic algorithm derived using CART analysis by Cain *et al* in the pre-Xpert era, in which empiric TB treatment is commenced based on chest radiography and CD4 cell counts whilst awaiting sputum TB culture results.⁷²

9.2.3. Other causes for TB symptoms

Research Paper 4 describes a small number of individuals with persistent symptoms suggestive of TB whom we extensively evaluated using simple tests which should generally be available within primary or secondary level settings in LMIC. The most common criteria for entry to this study were measured weight loss and cough. The most common final diagnoses were weight loss due to severe food insecurity, TB, other respiratory tract infections and post-TB lung disease.

This study is the first to systematically evaluate patients established in HIV care with persistent or recurrent symptoms suggestive of TB, and with an initial negative Xpert result among those able to produce sputum, for a broad spectrum of diagnoses. The only other comparable study is from Munyati *et al* who investigated primary care attendees with chronic cough and also identified a high proportion of non-communicable disease diagnoses, in particular post-tuberculous disease, asthma and heart failure. We also found post-TB chronic lung disease to be a relatively common diagnosis; better criteria to distinguish it from active TB and to guide optimal management are needed.
9.3. Implications of this research

The journey an HIV-positive individual takes through care can be divided into the initial diagnosis, ART initiation with or followed by IPT, and subsequent routine attendances for HIV care (to monitor treatment success and collect medication), all interspersed with attendances for other medical conditions, which may or may not be HIV-related. Differentiated care delivery models are encouraged with task-shifting to enable ART pick-up for stable patients at more convenient times, locations and frequencies. The definition of "stable" individuals can vary from the WHO criteria of someone who has received ART for at least one year with evidence of treatment success (based on viral load suppression, or if not available, on rising CD4 count); in South Africa viral load suppression after 3-6 months suffices.²⁴¹ Since 2010 HIV care in South Africa has been routinely provided by nurses in primary health clinics, rather than at hospital-based clinics. The rollout of treat-all risks overloading these clinics and strategies to reduce workload, such as reducing the frequency of visits, are required.

Ongoing heightened risk of TB in PLHIV mandates screening at each of the aforementioned encounters. However, the risk of TB and/or negative consequences of missing TB are arguably greatest at initial HIV diagnosis, prior to ART initiation, during the first few months of ART, and prior to IPT initiation. Further investigation and the exclusion of TB in individuals who are WHO tool positive should enable the diagnosis and treatment of the actual disorder responsible for these symptoms. Other considerations arising from the TB screening pathway which cannot be ignored are the negative sequelae and impact of a false-positive diagnosis resulting in unnecessary TB treatment,²⁴² and the inconvenience of repeated visits for further investigations and test results in those false-positives identified on screening.

We have shown that the WHO tool is less sensitive but more specific for screening individuals on ART for TB, and in our study the prevalence of confirmed TB in the on ART group was half (2.4%) that of the pre-ART group (5.1%). Screening a population established on ART using the WHO tool will therefore miss more TB diagnoses than if the tool were used in a pre-ART population. The higher specificity in those on ART will result in fewer people undergoing unnecessary diagnostic testing, but one-third of this group (who should all have been previously screened for TB) reported WHO tool symptoms at enrolment and therefore required investigation for TB. The PPV of the WHO tool in the on ART group for

confirmed TB was very low (5%), so the vast majority of those identified by the tool will not have TB. The NPV was very high, enabling TB to be reliably ruled out and IPT provided.

The volume of confirmatory diagnostic testing needed as a result of using the WHO tool at every clinical encounter for individuals established on ART, which will become the case for all PLHIV as treat-all is implemented, will be large (potentially one-third of all attendees). Individuals who are stable on ART should have previously been screened for TB and if indicated investigated for TB; hence if they are later diagnosed with TB they are more likely to have been less unwell at previous screening, and probably had paucibacillary disease. Repeated rounds of screening should identify (and treat) first those who are most symptomatic and those with the highest bacillary load. Therefore, in a resource-limited setting individuals who are stable on ART, particularly those with higher CD4 cell counts or those on IPT who are likely to have been recently investigated for TB, could be screened at less frequent intervals. This is probably inevitable in the future if longer supplies of ART are provided and to reduce the workload at overstretched clinics, and PLHIV need to be aware of the importance of attending for investigation if they become unwell in between scheduled clinic attendances. Additionally, a different screening and investigation algorithm could be considered, such as a triage test to prioritise investigation amongst those who are WHO tool positive, or POC CRP instead of the WHO tool. These strategies require further evaluation in populations established in HIV care, and different investigation pathways for different groups of PLHIV may prove too complicated to implement. Alternative algorithms to the Xpert-negative pathway are also needed, as there is a high potential for drop out due to the number of visits and investigations needed. Simply repeating the Xpert does not appear useful, in contrast with WHO recommendations.¹²⁷

This thesis focussed on individuals established in HIV care at a time when a division was present between those in pre-ART care, who received CD4 monitoring and TB preventive therapy, and those on ART. Most of our on ART group had been so for more than one year, so are likely to have fulfilled the criteria for "stable", although we do not have the data to confirm this. Therefore, the findings from this large cohort of patients stable on ART, one-third of whom reported WHO tool symptom(s) is of particular relevance in the era of treat-all to HIV care programmes. There is a great need for a better tool for TB screening in the context of active case finding for those stable in HIV care, and alternatives to the onerous Xpert-negative pathway. Diagnostic tools are not the only answer, as the rollout of Xpert has proven, and good health systems infrastructure is also needed.

Impact of recent changes in HIV care and TB diagnostics on this research

This research commenced in 2012 and subsequent changes in HIV care, in particular treatall, the recommendation for viral load rather than CD4 count monitoring, and nextgeneration diagnostics will impact upon our findings. Treat-all should realise ART initiation at higher CD4 counts, so PLHIV should become less symptomatic, the prevalence of TB should become lower in this population, and there should be less extrapulmonary disease. The characteristics of individuals attending for HIV care are likely to change over time with treat-all, and therefore the performance of the WHO tool in this population will differ from the pre-ART population in which it was originally developed; it is likely to be less sensitive and more specific. The PPV of any TB screening tool will reduce as the prevalence of TB declines. A lower PPV will generate a greater number of false-positives, and a larger number of people to be screened in order to identify one TB diagnosis. Differentiated ART delivery models may result in fewer clinic visits for routine HIV care and therefore a longer interval between rounds of TB screening, or screening by alternative cadres of healthcare worker e.g. pharmacists or lay community health care or peer group workers. The clinical score derived in research paper 2 requires ART status and CD4 cell count; in the future viral load should also be considered for incorporation in this model, as CD4 counts may be measured less frequently.

The arrival of Ultra, which is more sensitive but less specific than Xpert, may reduce the proportion of indivduals requiring chest radiograph and sputum culture along the Xpertnegative pathway. However, a negative Ultra or Xpert result in a PLHIV who remains symptomatic should not halt further evaluation along the Xpert-negative pathway, as this risks missing TB diagnoses. On the other hand, false-positive diagnoses arising from the lower specificity of Ultra may result in unnecessary TB treatment being provided, particularly in those previously treated for TB; and strategies to address this phenomenon are required. The next-generation LAM test, which improves on sensitivity, may make it more suitable as a screening test, providing it remains a simple test suitable for point-of-care use.

9.4. Limitations and strengths

9.4.1. Limitations

The main limitations of this study are in the selection of participants for research papers 3 and 4, which limit the generalisability of study findings, and in the development of the clinical prediction model. These are discussed below in greater detail.

In retrospect, the dataset used for developing the clinical prediction model was too small to use the split sample method for derivation then validation. It would have been better to use the entire dataset to develop the prediction model. Imputing missing values might have enabled development of a model more relevant to the era of treat-all, which could include viral load. Internal validation should have been undertaken using a resampling technique such as bootstrapping. Univariate analysis was used to preselect some of the candidate predictors, and the EPV was less than 10, i.e. the model is likely to have been overfitted; statistical methods for developing prediction models when there are few events should have been considered.²⁴³ The model requires external validation before it can be utilised in practice.

We enrolled participants to the repeat Xpert study **(Research Paper 3)** based on risk of TB, rather than on symptoms, and in fact some participants were asymptomatic at collection of both the initial and the "repeat" sputum samples. In reality patients would only follow the Xpert-negative pathway if they still had symptoms following an initial negative Xpert. Our comparator to the repeat Xpert was pragmatic and in line with routine clinical practice. The components of the Xpert-negative pathway could not always be performed on the same day as collection of the sputum sample for repeat Xpert, but rather in a sequential manner reflecting "real-life". One could argue that it was unfair to compare Xpert on a sputum sample collected one week following the initial Xpert test with potentially multiple different investigations during the course of study follow-up. The negative repeat Xpert in this scenario might just reflect paucibacillary disease, and indeed we did have participants who were diagnosed with TB by Xpert on a sputum sample collected later during study follow-up.

For **Research Paper 4**, if we had required the presence of the same symptom(s) reported both at enrolment to XPHACTOR and at the 3-month visit for inclusion in this study, we would have enrolled very few participants. Therefore, in order to ensure sufficient participants, we defined persistent weight loss as objectively measured significant weight loss, which was subjectively confirmed as unintentional weight loss by the patient at the 3-month visit. Consequently, weight loss and cough were the most common symptoms based on which we enrolled to this substudy, and our final diagnoses may not be representative of findings from other HIV care settings. We were also limited in terms of the extent of investigation that we were able to undertake, but these do reflect investigations commonly available at primary or secondary care level in LMIC.

Our study population was mainly individuals established in HIV care, but in reality, amongst those in the pre-ART group who were exclusively enrolled from CHCs, a proportion had only received their HIV-positive diagnosis a few weeks previously. This is reflected in the IQR for the median time in HIV care for this group of 7 months (IQR 1-30).

In our assessment of the diagnostic accuracy of the XPHACTOR algorithm and the WHO tool we excluded all unclassifiable TB outcomes. Although this did not entail many exclusions, it might have been better to either impute these values, or present "best" or "worst" case scenarios, by computing diagnostic accuracy after including the individuals as firstly having TB and then subsequently as not having TB.

9.4.2. Strengths

Strengths of this study include its prospective design, collection of sputum from all participants at enrolment (irrespective of symptoms) for testing with Xpert (immediate or stored for later testing). All participants were followed for a period of around three months, with repeat TB screening and investigation if indicated. At the 3-month visit all participants had sputum and blood collected for mycobacterial culture; our study retention rates were high. We also facilitated the Xpert-negative pathway in those with an initial negative Xpert. Thus, we are unlikely to have missed many TB diagnoses. We did not exclude participants who were unable to produce sputum, limiting bias and ensuring generalisability.

As already discussed, our study is unique in its characterisation of a large cohort of individuals established in HIV care, with a large proportion on ART; and therefore relevant in the era of treat-all. This study population provides a good indication of the frequency of reporting WHO tool symptoms and the likely need for confirmatory diagnostic testing if the WHO tool is used as recommended, at every clinical encounter.

9.5. Conclusions and recommendations

For a population established in HIV care, the current screening and diagnostic algorithm generates a large number of individuals who require a diagnostic test for TB. This is not feasible in resource limiting settings, and may impede intensified TB case finding. There is evidence that TB screening and investigation algorithms are not adhered to in these settings, and particularly with the rollout of treat-all there is a great need for alternative strategies. Amongst those at highest risk of TB or negative sequelae of missing TB, i.e. those newly initiating ART or prior to IPT, screening with the WHO tool followed by Xpert probably remains the best strategy; if resources allow then all should be investigated with mycobacterial culture or Xpert. In those stable on ART, who are less likely to have TB than those prior to ART or prior to IPT, perhaps a clinical score to triage individuals who have WHO tool symptoms, a biomarker such as CRP used as a POC screening test, or simply screening at less frequent intervals could be considered to preserve limited resources. This would rely on patients having a high level of awareness to present passively if they developed any symptoms of TB. At present no published tools, except for POC CRP, fulfil the WHO TPP for a triage test, and all strategies require further evaluation in a population established on ART, as most studies were undertaken prior to ART initiation or IPT.

The Xpert negative pathway is onerous on both patients and healthcare workers, with patients being lost along the diagnostic cascade, and alternative strategies are needed. However, it is important to keep looking for TB in individuals with symptoms, using all available modalities. With the advent of treat-all, and PLHIV established on ART for longer periods of time, it is also important to identify non-communicable disease related causes if they have persistent symptoms suggestive of TB but negative TB investigations. Post-tuberculous lung disease requires better criteria to distinguish it from active TB, and respiratory physicians need to be more easily accessible at primary care level to help better diagnose and manage this condition and also cough which we found was the most commonly reported WHO tool symptom.

Future research is needed to externally validate our clinical score for TB; evaluate POC CRP as an alternative to screening using the WHO tool in populations established on ART (although even <US\$2 per test may be too expensive if a large volume of testing is required); and derive speedier options to the Xpert-negative pathway.

10) Appendices

10.1. Ethical approvals

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT United Kingdom Switchboard: +44 (0)20 7636 8636



Observational / Interventions Research Ethics Committee

Alison Grant Professor CRD/ITD LSHTM

9

1

www.lshtm.ac.uk

10 December 2012

Dear Professor Grant,

Study Title:	Xpert MTB/RIF for people attending HIV care: an interventional cohort
	study to guide rational implementation ("XPHACTOR")
SHTM ethics ref:	6165
SHTM amend no:	A374
Joint Pri annona mor	

Thank you for your application of 15 November 2012 for the amendment above to the existing ethically approved study and submitting revised documentation. The amendment application has been considered by the Interventions Committee.

Confirmation of ethical opinion

On behalf of the Committee, I am pleased to confirm a favourable ethical opinion for the above amendment to research on the basis described in the application form, protocol and supporting documentation as revised, subject to the conditions specified below.

Conditions of the favourable opinion

Approval is dependent on local ethical approval for the amendment having been received, where relevant.

Approved documents

The final list of documents reviewed and approved by the Committee is as follows:

Document	Version	Date
LSHTM amendment application	n/a	
XPHACTOR_protocol_v3_15Nov12_changesmarked	3.0	15 nov 2012
[the protocol includes Main study PIS/ICF v3 in appendix 4, pilot study PIS/ICF v3 in appendix 5 and PIS/ICF v1 for Pre-ART with CD4<200 in appendix 6]		

After ethical review

Any further changes to the application must be submitted to the Committee via an E2 amendment form. The Principal Investigator is reminded that all studies are also required to notify the ethics committee of any serious adverse events which occur during the project via form E4. At the end of the study, please notify the committee via form E5.

Yours sincerely,



Professor Andrew J Hall Chair ethics@lshtm.ac.uk http://intra.lshtm.ac.uk/management/committees/ethics/

Improving health worldwide

Page 1 of 1

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg



Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) (formerly Committee for Research on Human Subjects (Medical)

Secretariat: Research Wilce Report 1004 2 10th floor, Senate House • Telephone: +27 11 717-1234 • Fax: +27 11 339-5708 Private Bag 3, Wils 2050, South Africa

> Dr Violet Chihota The Aurum Institute Private Bag X30500 Houghton 2041

Sent by e-mail to: vchihota@auruminstitute.org

Dear Dr Chihota

RE:

Protocol M120343: 'XPERT MTB/RIF For People Attending HIV Care: an International Cohort study to Guide Rational Implementation' <u>Protocol amendment: version 3.0, 15 November 2012</u>

This letter serves to confirm that the Chairman of the Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) has reviewed and approved the following amendments to the abovementioned protocol as detailed in your letter dated 16 November 2012:

- Recruit an additional group of eligible patients as detailed
- Study procedures: pg 17: 3.4.1 as detailed
- Modified selection criteria pg 16: 3.2
- Travel reimbursement as detailed
- Revised Participant Information sheet
- Page 18: section 3.4.2 as detailed
- Page 20: 3.6 as detailed
- Page 21: 3.9 as detailed
- Revised time require for enrolment as detailed
- Page 20: 3.8 as detailed
- Page 26 8.3 as detailed
- Page 21: section 4 as detailed
- Updated contact for Ms N Foster as detailed Pages 6, 26 and all information sheet and consent forms
- Page 1
- Protocol version 3.0, 15/11/2012
- Main Study Information Sheet and Consent Form version 3.0, 15/11/2012
- Pilot Study Information and Consent Form version 3.0, 15/11/2012
- Pre-ART with CD4<200 Information Sheet and Consent form version1.0, 15/11/2012

Thank you for keeping us informed and updated.



Secretary Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical)



UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN

FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES

Human Research Ethics Committee

Form FHS006: Protocol Amendment

Note: All amendments should include a Synopsis for the amendment (please see notice dated 23 April 2012) HREC office use only (FWA00001637; IRB00001938) Approved Type of review: Expedited □ Full committee This serves as notification that all changes and documentation described below are approved Signature Chairperson of the HREC Date 317 Principal Investigator to complete the following: 1. Protocol information 20th November 2012 Date **HREC REF Number** 106/2012 Xpert MTB/RIF for people attending HIV care: an interventional cohort study to Protocol title guide rational implementation ("XPHACTOR") Protocol number (if 3 applicable) Principal Investigator Prof Gavin Churchyard (and Dr Edina Sinanovic) Health Economics Unit, School of Public Health and Family Medicine, Falmouth Department / Office Internal Mail Address Annex, University of Cape Town, Observatory, 7925 1.1 Does this protocol receive US Federal funding? □ Yes 🗵 No 1.2 Is this a major or a minor amendment (see FHS006hlp)? □ Major ⊠ Minor

2. List of Proposed Amendments with Revised Version Numbers and Dates

Please itemise on the page below, all amendments with revised version numbers and dates, which need approval. This page will be detached, signed and returned to the PI as notification of approval. Please add extra pages if necessary.

The substantive changes vs. the original protocol are:

- 1. We propose to recruit an additional group of patients first presenting to clinic and ART eligible with CD4 <200 cells/µl to a substudy contributing to Aim 2 (protocol section 3.3.1, page 17; section 3.5, page 19), which will enable timely completion of Aim 2. These patients are at high risk of having active TB, and thus all (regardless of symptoms or algorithm categorisation) will be asked to give a sputum sample for immediate testing with Xpert MTB/RIF. For those whose result is negative, if they remain symptomatic, study staff will facilitate initiation of further management as per National Department of Health guidelines (sputum for mycobacterial culture, chest radiograph, trial of antibiotics) and will obtain a second spot sputum which will be stored for study purposes. Participants in this group will be followed in the same way as the main study cohort. A separate information sheet and consent form has been developed for these individuals (Pre-ART with CD4<200 Information Sheet and Consent Form).</p>
- 2. Originally, the economics component was only going to enrol symptomatic individuals to measure patient costs, but we would now like to include a sample of all participants regardless of symptoms. Protocol section 3.4.1, page 17; and section 6.1, page 23 have been amended accordingly.
- 3. We have modified our clinic selection criteria to enable recruitment from smaller clinics, as we found that are very few clinics of the size we had originally stipulated (Protocol section 3.2, page 16). In addition, we may need to work at more than three clinics to recruit the numbers needed, so we have

26 July 2012

Page 1 of 4

FHS006

UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN

FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES Human Research Ethics Committee

adjusted all references to the number of clinics to read "about 3" accordingly.

- 4. From our experience to date, we have found that participants find it difficult to attend for the results of investigations due to financial and time constraints. We therefore propose the option to do these
- telephonically where appropriate, and to compensate those who do attend for their travel costs (ZAR 20) and time (ZAR 30), i.e. total of ZAR 50 which is in keeping with the 1-, 2-, 4-, and 5-month visits. The protocol (section 8.2, page 25) and participant information sheet (Main Study Information Sheet pages 2-4) have been amended accordingly.

We have also made some changes for clarification or reflecting administrative or logistic issues, or correcting typing errors:

- 5. TB suspects who are Xpert or smear negative but asymptomatic when reviewed with the results, would not as part of routine clinical care be subjected to further investigation. We have amended the protocol to clarify that only those who are symptomatic on review will be investigated further (protocol section 3.4.2, page 18; section 3.5, page 19). This is also clarified in the participant information sheet (Main Study Information Sheet pages 2-3).
- 6. We have clarified that we will only enrol participants with persistent TB symptoms at the month 3 visit to study aim 3. (Protocol section 3.6, page 20)
- We will allow flexibility in the time point when we commence collection of costs from each clinic implementing the study algorithm for the economics component. (Protocol section 3.9, page 21)
- We have amended the estimated time required for enrolment to 40 minutes (plus additional 20 minutes if taking part in the economics component), based on our experience to date. (Main Study Information Sheet pages 2, 4)
- 9. The turnaround time for GeneXpert testing is likely to vary between sites, hence we have removed "expected two working days" from the participant information sheet (Main Study Information Sheet page 2).
- 10. We would like to allow flexibility in when we may perform the LAM assay on urine taken for research purposes, i.e. immediate LAM assay at the research laboratory or freezing the sample to afford the opportunity to test at the end of the study. (Protocol section 3.8, page 20). We also clarify in the participant information sheet (Main Study Information Sheet, page 2) that the result, if LAM test is performed, is not fed back to the clinic.
- 11. We prefer not to stipulate the languages into which we will translate the participant information sheets, in order to allow flexibility, as the most commonly-used local languages vary between clinics. (Protocol section 8.3, page 26).
- 12. For the pilot study we propose to recruit a systematic sample of around 100 patients per study clinic, to allow a slightly larger number if necessary (Protocol section 4, page 21)
- 13. We have updated contact details for Investigators and added Ms Nicola Foster (junior health economist) as co-investigator (pages 6, 26 and all participant information sheets and consent forms)
- 14. We have added the protocol reference numbers for Aurum Institute and all the research ethics committees which have approved the study (page 1)

The following documents which have been modified as detailed above are enclosed. Version numbers and dates have been revised, and all changes have been highlighted. For each document, one clean copy and one copy which clearly identifies changes are enclosed. .

Document	Version	Date
Protocol	V3.0	15/11/2012
Main Study Information Sheet and Consent Form	V3.0	15/11/2012
Pilot Study Information Sheet and Consent Form	V3.0	15/11/2012
Pre-ART with CD4<200 Information Sheet and Consent Form	V1.0	15/11/2012

26 July 2012

Page 2 of 4

FHS006

10.2. XPHACTOR participant information sheet and consent form

XPHACTOR STUDY - MAIN STUDY -AUR2-6-112

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET AND CONSENT FORM: MAIN STUDY

STUDY TITLE: XPHACTOR: Xpert MTB/RIF for People Attending HIV Care – An Interventional Cohort Study to Guide Rational Implementation

The investigators doing this study are:

- Aurum Institute, South Africa: Prof. Gavin Churchyard, Dr Violet Chihota, Dr Salome Charalambous, Dr Kerrigan McCarthy
- National Health Laboratory Service/University of Witwatersrand, South Africa: Prof Wendy Stevens, Dr Linda Erasmus
- University of Cape Town, South Africa: Professor Mark Nicol, Dr Edina Sinanovic, Ms Nicola Foster
- Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital: Dr Alan Karstaedt
- Mamelodi Hospital: Dr Lungiswa Adonis
- Foundation for Professional Development: Dr Hans Kinkel
- London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK: Dr Yasmeen Hanifa, Dr Katherine Fielding, Dr Anna Vassall, Prof Alison Grant

Collaborators:

National Department of Health: Dr David Mametja, Dr Lindiwe Mvusi, Dr Norbert Ndjeka, Dr Kgomotso Vilakazi

INTRODUCTION

Good day, my name is [name of researcher] _______, and I am a researcher with the XPHACTOR study team. We would like to invite you to take part in a research study about a new laboratory test for tuberculosis (TB). Research is the process to learn the answer to a question and this information sheet explains our study. You are free to decide whether you wish to participate, and before you decide, it is important that you understand why the research is being done and what it will involve. Please ask me if there is anything which is not clear. If you decide to take part, to show that you understand the study and agree to take part, we will ask you to sign or make your mark or thumbprint on a consent form. It is your right to withdraw from the study at any time. Your decision to take part or not will not affect your health care in any way.

WHY ARE WE DOING THIS STUDY?

TB is a major health problem in South Africa, especially among people with HIV infection, in whom it can be more difficult to diagnose: but TB can be cured. One reason it has been difficult to control TB in countries like South Africa is that the traditional test, which looks for the TB germ in sputum (spit from the chest) with a microscope, does not detect every case of TB first time. A new sputum test called Xpert MTB/RIF is being introduced across labs in South Africa. This test picks up more cases of TB, although it is not perfect, and it is more expensive than the traditional test.

Experts recommend that patients with HIV are checked for TB every time they attend a clinic, and a spit test sent if they have any TB symptoms (cough, fever, night sweats or weight loss). We know that although many patients will have these symptoms, most will not have TB.

In our study we want to find out:

- The best way of using the new Xpert TB test in patients with HIV, so those with TB can start correct treatment faster, and those without TB do not have unnecessary tests.
- 2. The best way to diagnose TB if it is not picked up in the first spit test.
- Among patients who have symptoms suggesting TB, but tests do not find TB, what illness they have; and if no other illness is found, how long the symptoms last.
- 4. How much it costs the health department to do all these tests.

This study will include about 3750 people in total, from about 3 clinics across South Africa, and will take about two years to complete. It is funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

XPHACTOR: protocol version 4.0 28 June 2013

Page 1 of 5

XPHACTOR STUDY - MAIN STUDY -AUR2-6-112

IF I TAKE PART IN THIS STUDY, WHAT WILL HAPPEN?

If you agree to take part in this study, with your permission and in a private space we will:

Today:

- Ask you some questions about yourself (such as your age, address, your education, the sort of house you live in, and how much it costs you to come to clinic).
- Ask about your health, including symptoms you have at the moment (in particular symptoms which might indicate that you are sick with TB) and when they started.
- > Check your clinic records to find the most recent CD4 count result.
- Measure your height and weight.
- > Ask about any treatments you are taking, and whether you have been treated for TB in the past.
- Ask you to give us a sputum sample (spit from the chest).
 - If our assessment suggests it is likely that you might have TB, we will send your sputum for testing today, using the new TB test.
 - Otherwise we will freeze your sputum and check it for TB with the new test at the end of the study.
- If we check your sputum for TB today, we will ask you to come back after [time period appropriate to the clinic] for the result.
 - If TB is found, you will be started on TB treatment by the clinic in the normal way, according to South African guidelines. TB can be cured by the correct treatment.
 - If TB is not found on this first test, we may arrange further tests (sputum and chest X-ray) as
 recommended by South African guidelines; and we may phone you to see how you are. We may also ask
 you for another sputum sample to freeze. At the end of the study, we will check the sample using the
 new TB test. If you need a chest x-ray and this clinic does not provide x-rays, we will reimburse you for
 travel to a clinic that does [cost of return travel to x-ray facility closest to clinic].
- [FOR PARTICIPANTS NOT ON ART, OR WITH CD4<200 ONLY: Ask you to give us a urine sample which we may test for TB. These tests would be only for our study, and we will not give the results back to the clinic]
- [FOR PARTICIPANTS SELECTED FOR HEALTH ECONOMICS STUDY ONLY: To help us understand how much it costs you to come to clinic when you are sick, and the effect on your family, we would like to ask you some more detailed questions about your household income, and things you pay for or have in your home; how much it has cost you in money and time to attend pharmacies, clinics and healers about this illness, and how much any tests and treatment have cost you so far; also about any income you have lost if you needed to take time off work because of this illness, or to seek care; or if family members have needed to take time off to look after you or others because of this illness. These questions will take about 20 minutes. We would be very grateful if you are able to take the time to help us by answering these questions.]

For the next 3 months (all participants):

- <u>ION-ART, "LOW PRIORITY" AT ENROLMENT:</u> If today we assess it is highly unlikely you have TB, we will see you at the clinic for your 3-month visit, and phone you around once a month before this to keep in touch with you and check your contact details. Each time we confirm any changes to your contact details we will give you cell phone airtime, around ZAR12.50 (depending on your network).]
- [ALL OTHER PARTICIPANTS we will see you once a month at the clinic (3 more visits), to check if you have TB symptoms. If you are unable to come to the clinic, we may phone you, and ask you the same questions by phone. If, at any visit, our assessment suggests it is likely that you might have TB, we will send your sputum for testing, using the new TB test. We will ask you to come back for the results to start treatment if TB is found. If TB is not found, we will review you, which may be by phone, and we may arrange further tests if necessary, as above.]
- At your 3-month visit we will ask you for a sputum sample to test for TB using the traditional test, and a blood sample to test for TB as sometimes TB is only found in the blood. If you are not able to produce a sputum sample, we will ask you to breathe in some mist through a mask (called a nebuliser) to help you cough up sputum. If you cannot attend the clinic for the 3-month visit, we may ask your permission to visit you at home to do all of these procedures, except the nebuliser.
- If by the 3-month visit we have found that you have TB, to help us understand how much it costs you to come to clinic for tests and treatment, and the effect on your family, we would like to ask you some more detailed questions about your household income, and things you pay for or have in your home; how much it has cost you in money and time to attend pharmacies, clinics and healers about this illness, and how much any tests and treatment have cost you so far; also about any income you have lost if you needed to take time off work because

XPHACTOR: protocol version 4.0 28 June 2013

Page 2 of 5

XPHACTOR STUDY - MAIN STUDY -AUR2-6-112

of this illness, or to seek care; or if family members have needed to take time off to look after you or others because of this illness. These questions will take about 45 minutes. We would be very grateful if you are able to take the time to help us by answering these questions.

[FOR PARTICIPANTS SELECTED FOR HEALTH ECONOMICS STUDY ONLY: At each visit, we would like to ask you more questions about how often you have come to the clinic and any money that you have to pay to get to the clinic. These questions will take about 20 minutes.]

If possible, we will arrange these visits which are part of the study to be at the same time as your routine appointments at the clinic. We will give you ZAR50 at the visits after one and two months, or if we ask you to come back for further tests or results, and ZAR100 at the 3-month visit, so for most people who pay about ZAR20 for travel, you will get about ZAR30 for your time at the one- and two-month visits, and ZAR70 at the 3-month visit.

After 3 months if you still have TB symptoms but we have not picked up TB on any tests:

We will ask a smaller group of patients (around 250 in total) who still have TB symptoms at 3 months to continue in the study for three more months, up to six months in total. If you are chosen for this group:

- We may discuss with your clinic doctor to arrange further tests to try to find out what is causing your symptoms.
- We will ask you to continue to come for study visits every month for 3 more months, to see if you are diagnosed with any other illness, or if your symptoms get better. If you are unable to come to the clinic, we may phone you, and ask you the same questions by phone. If, at any visit, our assessment suggests it is likely that you might have TB, we will send your sputum for testing, using the new TB test. We will ask you to come back for the results to start treatment if TB is found, or to check you again (in person or by phone) if TB is not found.
- At the 6-month visit, we would like to ask you some questions about how much it costs you to get health care, how often you have come to clinic, and other costs such as transport. These questions will take about 20 minutes.

These further visits (after months 4, 5 and 6) may coincide with your routine appointments at the clinic. We will give you ZAR50 at the visits after four and five months, or if we ask you to come back for further tests or results, and ZAR100 at the 6-month visit, to cover your travel and time as detailed above.

We will also check your medical records from time to time over the next 12 months to check your health, the results of any further tests your doctor requests at the clinic as part of your HIV care, and treatment given.

We would also like your permission to use the sputum and urine sample(s) you give us and the information we collect from this study for other research studies to help us understand HIV and TB better. We would only do this if the ethics committees, who are there to protect the interests of people taking part in our studies, first approved these further research studies.

It is very important for this study that we have a reliable way to contact you. This is, first, because if we get a positive test result, we need to be sure you know about it and are on the correct treatment. Second, it is very important that we find out how you are at the end of the study. So we can do this, we will ask you to give us the best phone number to reach you, and the phone numbers of two close friends or family members, as well as details about where you live. If we need to contact you, we will first try to contact you directly, using the phone number you give us, taking care to be sure we are talking to you in person before we ask any questions about your health. If you do not have a phone we will discuss with you today and agree how best to contact you. If we cannot contact you directly, we would then contact your friend or relative, using the numbers you give us, to ask your friend / relative if they know where you are and can help us contact you, taking care not to give away any information about your health. If you would prefer not to give contact details of a friend or relative, it is still ok for you to take part in the study. If we cannot trace you at the end of the study, we may approach the Department of Home Affairs to check their registers so we can be sure you did not pass away.

This study will take about 40 minutes of your time today [60 minutes if participating in the health economics study]. The visits after one and two months should take about 20 minutes of your time [35 minutes if participating in the health economics study]. The visit after 3 months may take about 45 minutes [an hour if participating in the health economics study].

For people asked to continue in the study to 6 months, the visits after four and five months should take about 35 minutes each, and the visit after 6 months may take about an hour.

XPHACTOR: protocol version 4.0 28 June 2013

Page 3 of 5

XPHACTOR STUDY - MAIN STUDY -AUR2-6-112

WHAT ARE THE RISKS AND BENEFITS OF TAKING PART IN THIS STUDY?

Although it is recommended that people with HIV who have any symptom of TB are tested for TB straight away, in most clinics (including this one) this is not done, because it would mean doing very many TB tests when most people do not actually have TB. In this study, we aim to identify those people at highest risk from TB and make sure they get tested straight away. People at lower risk will not be tested straight away, but will be reviewed after a month and checked to see if they need a TB test at that point. If you are selected for telephone contact only, but you report feeling unwell during this contact, we will ask you to see clinic staff as soon as possible, so you can be tested for TB and other illnesses. As we are only testing patients who are most likely to have TB with new TB test, it is important that you return to the clinic as soon as possible if you feel unwell in between your clinic appointments, so you can be tested for TB and other illnesses.

If the questions about your health, or the results of the tests, suggest you might have TB, and this is confirmed by further tests, this will benefit your health, because you will start on TB treatment quickly, which will reduce any risk that your body will be damaged by TB. However, the results of the study will help us know how best to use this new test for TB, and so it will help people like you in the future.

WHAT HAPPENS IF I DO NOT AGREE TO TAKE PART IN THIS STUDY?

You do not have to take part in this study: if you do not take part, this will not affect the medical care that you receive. You can stop taking part in the study at any time, without giving a reason.

HOW WILL THE INFORMATION COLLECTED DURING THIS STUDY BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL?

All information collected on paper during the course of this study will be kept securely and confidentially in a locked cabinet: Dr Hanifa is responsible for this. The only exception to this is if we find TB in any of your samples: we are required by law to inform the health service of positive TB results, so that you can receive correct treatment. In order to access your medical records we need to record your name and identifying details. This information will only be available to study staff and will be stored securely, separately from the other information about your health. The rest of the information we collect will be identified on forms and computer files only by a study number, not your name. When we enter your information into a computer, we will keep your identifying details separately, protected by a password, and only restricted study staff will have access. The rest of the information will be entered into another database, identified only by your study number, and we will use only this database to find the answers to our study questions. Only restricted study staff can link your identifying details with the rest of your information on the computer databases, ensuring that your information remains confidential.

Study information may be reviewed by the Ethics Committee, and independent monitors, to check that the study procedures were done correctly and the information is correct. Your information will remain confidential, unless we are required by law to release information. Reports about the study and results that may be published in scientific journals will not include any information which allows you to be identified.

WHAT IF I HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS STUDY?

If you have any questions about this study, please feel free to ask me now. If you have questions later you can ask study staff here at the clinic, or telephone Dr Hanifa on 010 590 1300.

The committees reviewing this study are the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee, and the Research Ethics Committees of the University of Cape Town and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK. If you have any questions or concerns about your rights as a person taking part in a research study, or if you wish to make a complaint about the study, you may contact Prof Cleaton-Jones, Chairperson of the University of the Witwatersrand, Human Research Ethics Committee, an independent committee established to help protect the rights of research participants, at 011 717 2301.

We will give you a copy of this sheet which explains the study to take away with you.

If you would like a copy of a report on this study, and you give us an email or postal address, we will send you a report. The final results may not be available until 2-3 years from now.

XPHACTOR: protocol version 4.0 28 June 2013

Page 4 of 5

	XPHACTOR	STUDY - MAIN STUDY -AUR2-6-112
PARTICIPANT CONSENT FORM: XPHACTO	OR STUDY Study ID No:	- -
STUDY TITLE: XPHACTO	R: Xpert MTB/RIF for People Attend	ing HIV Care –
An Interventional C	ohort Study to Guide Rational Imple	mentation
Aurum Institute, South Africa: Prof. Gavin Chu National Health Laboratory Service/Universit; University of Cape Town, South Africa: Profes Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital: Dr Alan Ka Mamelodi Hospital: Dr Lungiswa Adonis Foundation for Professional Development: Dr London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicin Prof Alison Grant Collaborators: National Department of Health: Dr David	urchyard, Dr Violet Chihota, Dr Salome Cl y of Witwatersrand, South Africa: Prof W sor Mark Nicol, Dr Edina Sinanovic, Ms N urstaedt Hans Kinkel e, UK: Dr Yasmeen Hanifa, Dr Katherine I Mametia. Dr Lindiwe Myusi. Dr North	haralambous, Dr Kerrigan McCarthy endy Stevens, Dr Linda Erasmus licola Foster Fielding, Dr Anna Vassall, eert Ndieka. Dr Keomotso Vilakazi
 I have read the information sheet about me) and I understand what will be requir My questions concerning this study have 	this study (or the information sheet ed of me and what will happen if I ta been answered by:	about this study has been read to ke part in the study.
Research staff name (printed)	Signature	Date
 I understand that I may withdraw from the normal care and management. 	nis study at any time without giving a	reason and without affecting my
I agree for my sputum sample to be store	ed and used for related research	(Y= yes, N= no)
I agree for my urine sample to be stored	and used for related research	(Y= yes, N= no)
I agree to take part in the study		
		_
Study participant name (printed)	Signature/mark/thumbprint	Date
If the information sheet and consent form we translator here and their signature:	re translated or explained to the part	icipant, enter the name of the
Translator name (printed)	Signature/mark/thumbprint	Date
If the participant gave verbal consent, enter t signature:	he name of the person who witnesse	d the consent here and their
Witness name (printed)	Signature/mark/thumbprint	Date
XPHACTOR: protocol version 4.0 28 June 2013	Page 3 of 3	

10.3. XPHACTOR enrolment questionnaire

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-		0 0 .0 INSTRUM
DM001: BASELINE DEMOGRAPH Instructions: Complete this CRF for all pati	IICS ients enrolled.	
1. Participant country of origin/birth? 01 = South Africa 06 = 02 = Lesotho 07 = 03 = Swaziland 08 = 04 = Mozambique 96 = 05 = Botswana 05 =	= Namibia = Zimbabwe = Malawi = Other, Specify:	
 What is your ethnic group? 1 = Black/African 2 = Coloured/Mixed race 3 = Indian/Asian 4 = White/European 6 = Other, Specify:		L
3. What is the highest level of education 01 = No School/Pre-school 06 = 02 = Grade 1-3 07 = 03 = Grade 4-7 08 = 04 = Grade 8-11 05 = Grade 12 96 =	you have completed? Matric with Technical Qualification or Diploma Bachelor's Master's or Doctoral Other, specify:	II
 What is your marital status? 1 = Single, never married 2 = Married 3 = Married and currently separated 	4 = Cohabitating 5 = Divorced 6 = Widowed	
5. How many people live in your househ (A household is defined as people living in the	old? same house and sharing the same income for food and a	other essentials for living.)
 6. What is the main source of income? (01 = Formal employment 02= Self-employment 03 = Odd jobs 04= Government grant (childhood/disabil 05 = Income from investments 	Record the one providing greatest income.) 06 = Maintenance 07 = Student/pupil/learner 08 = Pension ity, etc.) 09 = No income/unemployed 96 = Other, specify:	
 7. On average, what is the <i>combined mo</i> 1 = ≤ R 600 2 = R 601-1000 3 = R 1001-2000 9 = 1 	onthly income of your household? (<i>include all source</i> R 2001-4000 More than R 4000 Don't know	s.)
Completed By: Verifie DM001: Demographics (v3) 03 MARCH 2013	d By: Date Verified (<i>dd/MMM/yyyy</i>):	/20 Page 1 of 3

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:	~
AUR2-6-112-	[]/]]/20]	0.0	THE ALBUM
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy		
 What type of dwelling do you live in? Ut - Hours or brick/concrete block structure on concrete 	a stand or ward or on a farm		II
02 = Traditional dwelling/hut/structure made of traditio	nal materials		
03 = Flat or apartment in a block of flats			
05 = Townhouse (semi-detached house in a complex)			
06 = Semi-detached house			
07 = House/flat/room in backyard 08 = Informal dwelling (shack in backyard)			
09 = Informal dwelling e.g. in an informal squatter settle	ment or on a farm		
10 = Room/flatiet on a property or a larger dwelling, sen 11 = Caravan/tent	vant's quarters, or granny flat		
12 = Homeless			
96 = Other, specify:			
9. What is the occupational status of your household?	,		
1 = Owned, fully paid off			
2 = Owned, not fully paid off			
4 = Occupied rent free			
6 = Other, specify:	_		
10 What is the main material of your floor?			Г
1 = Natural floor (earth/sand/dung)			
2 = Rudimentary floor (bare wood planks)			
3 = Finished floor (parquet/polished/ceramic tiles/ceme	nt/carpet)		
11. What is the main material of your walls?			
01 = Plastic or cardboard			
02 = Mud			
04 = Corrugated iron or zinc			
05 = Prefab or wood			
06 = Bare brick or cement blocks 07 = Plaster or finished			
96 = Other, specify:	_		
 What is the main source of drinking water for mem Bined (tap) water inside dwalling 	bers in your household?		
01 = Piped (tap) water inside dwelling 02 = Piped (tap) water inside the yard			
03 = Piped (tap) water on community stand			
04 = No access to piped water 05 = Borehole			
06 = Open source (river or stream)			
96 = Other specify			
	_		
Completed By: Verified By:	Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):	1 /	20

Page 2 of 3

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-		
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	aa/mmm/yyyy	
13. What kind of toilet facilities does your household ha 01 = Flush toilet connected to sewage	ive?	
02 = Flush toilet connected to septic tank 03 = Chemical toilet 04 = Pit toilet/latrine with ventilation (VIP)		
05 = Prt toilet without ventilation 06 = Bucket toilet 07 = None		
96 = Other, specify:	_	
14. Does your household have any of the following in w	orking condition?	_
14a. Electric/gas stove:		0=No, 1=Yes
14b. Vacuum cleaner:		0=No, 1=Yes
14c. Washing machine:		0=No, 1=Yes
14d. Satellite television:		0=No, 1=Yes
14e. DVD player:		0=No, 1=Yes
14f. Motorcar:		0=No, 1=Yes
14g. Mail Post box/bag:		0=No, 1=Yes
14h. Mail delivery at home:		0=No, 1=Yes
14i. Radio:		0=No, 1=Yes
14j. TV:		0=No, 1=Yes
14k. Computer:		0=No, 1=Yes
14I. Refrigerator:		0=No, 1=Yes
14m. Landline telephone:		0=No, 1=Yes
14n. Cell phone:		0=No, 1=Yes
14o. Bicycle:		0=No, 1=Yes
14p. Motorcycle or scooter:		0=No, 1=Yes
Completed By: Verified By: DM001: Demographics (v3) 03 MARCH 2013	Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):	/20 Page 3 of 3

Stu	dy Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AL	JR2-6-112-		0.0.0
RK Ins	COO3: RISK FACTORS/MEDICAL HISTORY tructions: Complete this CRF for all Participants at en	rolment.	
w	DRKING CONDITIONS		
1.	Have you ever worked for the mines?		0=No, 1=Yes
	1a. If yes, for how many years?		99=Don't know
	1b. If yes, did you ever work underground?	0=No, 1=Ye	s, 7= Not applicable
2.	Have you ever been a health care worker?		0=No, 1=Yes
	2a. If yes, have you ever been close enough to talk t	o /be coughed upon by patients?	0=No, 1=Yes, 7=NA
	2b. If yes, are you currently a health care worker?		0=No, 1=Yes, 7=NA
З.	Have you ever worked in a medical laboratory?		0=No, 1=Yes
4.	Have you ever been incarcerated in a correctional fa	acility, jail or prison?	0=No, 1=Yes
5.	Have you ever worked in a correctional facility, jail of	or prison?	0=No, 1=Yes

HEALTH CONDITIONS

6.	Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?	o, 1=Yes	
	(If no, score out, initial and date question	ns 6a to 6a	
	6a. At what age did you start smoking?		
	6b. Have you smoked any cigarettes in the last year?	o, 1=Yes	-
	6c. At what age did you stop smoking?	ing 🗌	-
	6d. When you smoked OR currently, how many cigarettes on average did/do you smoke per day?		•

Completed By:

Verified By: Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):

RK003: Risk factors/Medical history (v3) 03 MARCH 2013

Γ

ר∕20

Page 1 of 4

_

Study Identifier:		Date of Visit:		Visit Code:	~
AUR2-6-112-			20]]	0.00	THEAT
'Now I am going to ask you	some questions about	whether you have h	ad any alcohol	in the PAS	YEAR.'
 How often do you have a d 0 = Never 1 = Monthly or less 2 > 2 to it times monthly 	Irink containing alcohol? . 3 = 2 to 3 times a week 4 = 4 or more times a week				
2 = 2 to 4 times a month		lf never, score out,	initial and da	te questions	7a to 7n
7a. MEN: How often do vo	u have EIGHT or more dri	nks on one occasion?			
WOMEN: How often de 1 drink = 1 small bottle of	o you have SIX or more dr f beer or 1 glass of wine or 1	inks on one occasion? single measure spirits.			
0 = Never 1 = Less than monthly 2 = Monthly	3 = Weekly 4 = Daily/almost daily				
,	On average, how	many of each do you co ROUND UP, i.e.	onsume <u>per we</u> answers CANN	<u>ek</u> : (Enter 00 OT be 000 fo	10 if none) r all items
7b. Beer, Lager or Cider: Sma	ll bottle (275mL):				
7c. Beer, Lager or Cider: Can ((440mL):				
7d. Beer, Lager or Cider: Saki	ya (carton):				
7e. Beer, Lager or Cider: Pint	(568mL):				
7f. Beer, Lager or Cider: Quar	t/large bottle (750mL):				
7g. Wine: Standard glass (175	imL):				
7h. Wine: Large glass (250mL):				
7i. Wine: Bottle (750mL):					
7j. Spirits (whisky, brandy, g	gin, vodka, etc.): Tot/single	pub measure (25mL):			
7k. Spirits (whisky, brandy,)	gin, vodka, etc.): Nip (200n	nL):			
71. Spirits (whisky, brandy, g	;in, vodka, etc.): Half-jack (375mL):			
7m. Spirits (whisky, brandy,	gin, vodka, etc.): Bottle (7	00mL):			
7n. Alcopop (Smirnoff Ice/Spi	in, Bacardi Breezer, Brutal Fr	uit): Standard bottle (33	0mL):		
Completed By:	Verified By:	Date Verified (dd/MMM)	//////	Page 2	20

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:	
AUR2-6-112-	//20	0.00	
MEDICAL CONDITIONS 'Have you ever been told by a medical doctor that	you have'		
8. Asthma?		0=No, 1=Yes	
9. Chronic bronchitis/emphysema (COPD)?		0=No, 1=Yes	
10. Silicosis/phytisis or other occupational lung disease	?	0=No, 1=Yes	_
11. Any other chronic 'lung damage', e.g. bronchiectasi	s?	0=No, 1=Yes	_
12. Do you take any medications for your chest (lungs)	,	0=No, 1=Yes	-
Record all medications using coding below, use 0 for em 1 = Bronchodilator inhaler / pump / spray (e.g. ventolin 2 = Steroid inhaler / pump / spray (becotide / beclomet 3 = Steroid tablet (prednisolone / predisone – small whi 4 = Aminophylline or theophylline tablets 6 = Other, specify below 7 = Not applicable (does not take medications for ches 9 = Does not know name of medication taken for this	npty boxes. / salbutamol) hasone / budesonide) ite tablets) t)		-
12a. Specify other medications for chest (lungs):			
13. Sugar diabetes?		0=No, 1=Yes	
13a. Do you take any medicines for diabetes?		0=No, 1=Yes	
Record all medications using coding below, use 0 fo	r empty boxes.	1	
1 = Tablets (metformin, gliclazide, glibenclamide)		L	-
2 = Insulin injection	t-t1	Г	-
7 = Not applicable Jaoes not take medicines for dia	Detesj	L	-
14. Heart problem?		0=No, 1=Yes	
15. BP (high blood pressure)?		0=No, 1=Yes	_

Completed By:

Verified By: Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):

RK003: Risk factors/Medical history (v3) 03 MARCH 2013

٦

ר∕20

Page 3 of 4

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-		0.0
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	
16. Do you take any medications for your heart or BP?		0=No, 1=Yes
Record all medications, using coding below, use 0 for em 1 = Water tablet (diuretic) Furosemide / frusemide / hyd	pty boxes. rochlorthiazide / spironolactone	
2 = ACE or ARB (enalapril, perindopril, ramipril, losartan)		
3 = Digoxin		
4 = Beta blocker (carvedilol, bisoprolol, atenolol)		_
5 = Amlodinine / nifedinine		
7 = Not applicable (does not take medications for heart	or BP)	
8 = Aspirin		Г
9 = Does not know name of medication taken for this		······ L
17. Hay fever or allergies (itchy eyes, blocked nose, clea	ar discharge from nose, sneezing, cou	gh)? 0=No, 1=Yes
17a. Do you take any medications for hay fever/alle	rgies?	0=No, 1=Yes
Percent all madiantians with a stira below was a	ar amoty bayar	1
necora all medications, using coaing below, use o p 1 = Antibistamine tablet e.g. cetirizine, chlornhenir	or empty boxes.	
2 = Steroid nasal sprav e.g. beclomethasone		1
7 = Not applicable (does not take medication for h	ayfever/allergies)	
18. Acid reflux (heartburn/indigestion)?		0=No, 1=Yes
		_
18a. Do you take any medicines for acid reflux?		
Record all medications, using coding below, use 0 for e	empty boxes.	,
1 = Antacid (gaviscon, aluminium hydroxide, magnesiu	im trisilicate)	Г
2 = PPI (omeprazole, lansoprazole)	-	
3 = Cimetidine/ranitidine		Г
7 = Not applicable (does not take any medicines for a	cid reflux)	
9 = Does not know name of medication taken for this		
		Г
19. Hyperthyroidism (overactive thyroid)?		0=No, 1=Yes 🗀
		Г
19a. Do you take any medicines for your thyroid?		0=No, 1=Yes
Record all medications, using coding below.		_
1 = carbimazole		
2 = thyroxine		
7 = Not applicable (does not take any medicines	; for thyroid)	
9 = Does not know hame of medication taken for	rtnis	
20. Hot flushes and sweats due to menopause?		=100, 1=1es, 7 = 101ale 1
21. Mental health disorder (e.g. anxiety, depression, sc	hizophrenia, drug misuse)?	0=No, 1=Yes
22. Do you have any other serious illnesses?		0=No, 1=Yes
22a. If yes, record:		
Completed By: I I Ventied By: I II	Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy): 1	1 1 1 20 1
RK003: Risk factors/Medical history (v3) 03 MARCH 2013		Page 4 of 4

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-		
SS001: TODAY'S CLINIC VISIT (Enrolment) Instructions: Complete this CRF at the enrolment visit j	for all participants.	
SYMPTOM SCREEN		
1. Do you have cough currently (in the past 24 hours)	? (If no: score out, initial and date 1a.	0=No, 1=Yes
1a. How long have you had a cough?		Weeks Days
2. Do you have a fever? [If no: score out, initial and a	late 2a	0=No, 1=Yes
 2a. How long have you had a fever? 3. Do you have drenching night sweats (sweat so multiple) 	ch that your clothes or pillows are soak	Weeks Days
(If no: score out, initial and date 3a		0=No, 1=Yes
 How long have you had drenching night sweats Have you unintentionally lost weight or has your of the loss of the	s?	Weeks Days
4a. How long have you had unintentional weight lo 4b. Have you lost <u>more than</u> a dress/trouser size u	oss? Inintentionally in the last 6 months?	Weeks Days
5. What was your weight about 6 months ago?	(in kgs) 99	9=Don't know
6. Have you <i>deliberately</i> lost weight since then (e.g	dieting or childbirth)?	0=No, 1=Yes
7. Do you currently have any other symptoms? DO N (If no: score out, initial and date 7a to 7a)	OT PROMPT	0=No, 1=Yes
7a. Additional symptom 1: 01 = Indigestion 06 = Loss of appetite 01 = Indigestion 06 = Loss of appetite 02 = Cold / Flu 07 = Tired most of the ti 03 = Chest pain 08 = Swollen lymph node 04 = Coughing blood 96 = Other, specify: 05 = Difficulty breathing 96 = Other, specify: Completed By: Verified By:	me e (gland) Date Verified <i>(dd/MMM/yyyy)</i> :	

Study	/ Identifier:		Date of Visit:		Visit Code:
AU	R2-6-112-	icipant ID		∕20⊒⊏	
	7b. How long have you had	this symptom?			Weeks Days
	7c. Additional symptom 2: 01 = Indigestion 02 = Cold / Flu 03 = Chest pain 04 = Coughing blood	(If NO "additional symp 06 = Loss of appetite 07 = Tired most of the tii 08 = Swollen lymph node	tom 2″ score out, initial me e (gland)	l and date 7c to7d	ŋ
	05 = Difficulty breathing 7d. How long have you had	96 = Other, specify: this symptom?			Weeks Days
1N	THE LAST 1 MONTH HAV	E YOU HAD'			
8.	Any allergy symptoms (itc	hy eyes, blocked nose, o	lear discharge from no	se)?	0=No, 1=Yes
	8a. Do you currently have	any allergy symptoms?			0=No, 1=Yes
9.	A cold or flu?				0=No, 1=Yes
	9a. Do you currently have (<i>If no</i>	a cold or flu? to both 8 and 9: so	core out, initial an	d date 10 to 1	0=No, 1=Yes
'lf y	ou had allergy symptom	s / cold / flu in the la	st month, did you ha	ve'	
10 .	Fever or chills (feeling col	d with shivering)?			0=No, 1=Yes
	10a. Do you currently hav	e fever or chills?			0=No, 1=Yes
11.	Headache?				0=No, 1=Yes
	11a. Do you currently hav	e a headache?			0=No, 1=Yes
12.	Muscle aches or pains?				0=No, 1=Yes
	12a. Do you currently hav	e muscle aches or pains	?		0=No, 1=Yes
13.	Sore throat?				0=No, 1=Yes
	13a. Do you currently hav	e a sore throat?			0=No, 1=Yes
Comp SS00	oleted By:	Verified By:	Date Verified (dd/MMN	(مرین): / Page 2 (∥ / 20 ∥

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-		
'If you had allergy symptoms / cold / flu in the lo	ast month, did you have'	
14. Sneezing?		0=No, 1=Yes
14a. Are you currently sneezing?		0=No, 1=Yes
15. A runny or blocked nose?		0=No, 1=Yes
15a. Do you currently have a runny or blocked n	ose?	0=No, 1=Yes
16. Cough?		0=No, 1=Yes
17. Coughing up sputum (spit from chest)?		0=No, 1=Yes
17a. Are you currently coughing up sputum?		0=No, 1=Yes
18. Feeling generally unwell?		0=No, 1=Yes
18a. Are you currently feeling generally unwell?		0=No, 1=Yes
*** <u>Pregnancy questions</u> : (If MALE: score ou	ıt, initial and date pregnancy questio	ns)
19. When was the first day of your last menstrual pe 11/NOV/1119 = Don't know	riod? dd/MMM/yyyy	∕ ∥
20. Are you pregnant?	0=No, 1=	Yes, 9=Don't know
 a. If yes, when is the estimated due date for 11/NOV/1117=Not known to be pregnant 11/NOV/1119 = Don't know 	your baby? dd/MMM/yyyy	
*****REASON FOR CLINIC VISIT*****		
 21. What reason best describes why you visited the of 1 = For symptoms described above 2 = Routine follow-up visit 3 = Routine medicine collection from pharmacy 6 = Other, specify:	clinic today?	Γ
Completed By: Verified By: Verified By: S5001: Today's clinic visit (ENROLMENT) (v4) 30 JULY 2013	Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy): / Page 3	∥ / 20 ∥ ₀f5

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-] [] /][] /2	
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	
CARE FOR CURRENT SYMPTON	IS	
REFERS to SS001: cough (Qn 1)	, fever (Qn 2), night sweats (Qn 3), uninte	entional weight loss (Qn 4)
If participant does not ha	ve any of these symptoms: score out, initi	ial and date 22 to 26h
22. Where was the first place you wen	t to ask for help for these symptoms?	
0 = Has not asked for help	4 = Public Hospital	: score out, initial and adte Un 22a) to 20nj
1 = Pharmacy	5 = Traditional Healer	
2 = Public clinic 3 = Private Doctor	6 = Other, specify: 7 = Private hospital	
22a. When did you first go to this pl	ace?(dd/MMM/yyyy) / /
(ij triis is the jirst visit, enter today)	Wedender of week	and and initial and data if filest and institut
If day + week not known: Use 13 th of Mor	th States and States	core out, minur and auto if "Not applicable"
If day + month not known, but season an 01/MAR/YYYY = Autumn	d year known: 01/JUN/YYYY = Winter	
01/SEP/YYY = Spring	01/DEC/YYYY = Summer	
22 When did you first attand a baskt	facility (aublic clinic actuate destar base)	ital) for these sumatoms?
25. When did you first attend a health	facility (public clinic, private doctor, hospi	tal) for these symptoms?
(If this is the first visit, enter today's d	ate.) Use coding as per Qn 22a (dd/MMM/yyyy	∥ ∥ /
24. Before today, have you given a spu	tum (spit) specimen for these symptoms?	0=No, 1=Yes
24a. When did you provide this sp	utum specimen?(dd/MMM/yyyy) / /
Use coding as per Qn 22a		
25. Before today, have you had a ches	t x-ray for these symptoms?	0=No, 1=Yes
25a. If yes, when? Use coding as pe	er Qn 22add/MMM/yyyy	,00/000/====
26. From the time you first had these sy	mptoms, how many times did you visit the f	ollowing for any of these symptoms:
26a. Pharmacy?	(numbe	r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26b. Public clinic?	(number	of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26c. Public hospital (outpatient)?	(numbe	r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26d. Public hospital (inpatient)?	(numbe	r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26e. Private doctor?	(numbe	r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26f. Private hospital (outpatient)?	(numbe	r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26g. Private hospital (inpatient)?		r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
26h. Traditional healer?	(numbe	r of visits, enter 00 if not visited)
		. / / / / / 20 //
SS001: Today's clinic visit (ENROLMENT) (v4) 30.	ULY 2013	Page 4 of 5

Study Identifier:		Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-			
Protocol - Site code - Pa	rticipant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	
CLINIC INFORMATION			
27. How did you get to the c	linic today?		
01 = Walked	05 = Own car		
02 = Bicycle	06 = Lift in a car		
03 = Bus/taxi	07 = Train		
04 = Metered taxi	96 = Other, specify:		
20 Handrey Children and			
28. How long did it take to g	et from your nome to th	is clinic today (one way)?	(in minutes)
(Enter number of minute	es [1 hour = 60 mins, 2 ho	ours = 120 mins]	
29. In total, how much did v	ou pay for transport to t	he clinic today (one way)?	
(Enter 000 00 if no cost)			
Liner 000.00 if no cost.)			
30. How often do you attend	d this clinic?		
(Exclude visits only for m	edicine collection from p	harmacy.)	
01 = Monthly			
02 = Every 2 months			
03 = Every 3 months			
04 = Every 4 months			
05 = Every 5 months			
96 = Other, specify:			
31. How often do you attend	d this clinic pharmacy to	pick up your medicines for HIV (ARVs	/ CPT / IPT)?
01 = Monthly			
02 = Every 2 months			
03 = Every 3 months			
04 = Every 4 months			
05 = Every 5 months			
06 = Every 6 months			
96 = Other specify:			
so - outer, specify.			

Completed By: SS001: Today's clinic visit (ENROLMENT) (v4) 30 JULY 2013

Verified By:

Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):

I**∕**20 ∥ |/| || Page 5 of 5

Study Identifier:			Date of Visit:		Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-	- Participant ID	□-		20	
SS002: BASELINE 1 Instructions: Complete	B and HIV HIST	ORY (Enro	lment) rolment.		
HIV CARE HISTOR	Y			/	
 When did you first <i>If unknown use the fi</i> If day not known, bu If day + week not kn If day + month not k 01/MAR/YYYY = J 01/SEP/YYYY = SJ Only year known 	test positive for HIV following conventions: It week is known: Use Iown: Use 15 th of Mor nown, but season an Autumn 01, pring 01, =01/ JUL/YYYY	/: e Wednesday o nth d year known: /JUN/YYYY = W /DEC/YYYY = Su	dd/MMM/yy f week inter immer	₩ └ _ / _ l]/[][_
2. Have you ever take	en Antiretroviral The	erapy (ARVs/t	reatment for HIV)? (If no: score out,	initial and date	0=No, 1=Yes e questions 2a to 2g
2a. If MALE, when Use coding as per qu 2b. If FEMALE, hav	did you <u>first</u> start A <i>estion 1. Score out, in</i> e you ever taken Af	RT? itial and date ij RVs for a short	ffemale. [ffmale: [ffmale: score out, t time to protect baby	yy/	e questions 2b to 2d
2c. If FEMALE, hav	e you ever taken AR	Vs for your o	wn health?		0=No, 1=Yes
2d. If FEMALE, who	en did you <u>first</u> start estion 1	t ART for your	own health? Score out	t, initial and date ij	f not applicable
2e. Are you curren	tly taking ARVs?		lf no: score out, init	ial and date qu	
2ei. If yes, reco	rd ARVs: Enter 000 j	for (d) if partic	cipant takes only 3 ARV	/s	a.
TDF = TENOFOVIR AZT = ZIDOVUDINE D4T = STAVUDINE ABC = ABACAVIR OTH = OTHER, RECORD	3TC = LAMIVUDINE FTC = EMTRICITABINE DDI = DIDANOSINE	EFV = EFAVIRENZ NVP = NEVIRAPI LPR = LOPINAVIR	2 NE /RITONAVIR (ALLIVIA / KALETR		b L c C c C
2eii. Specify oth Score out if not a 2f. If you have stop Most recent time if n	ner ARVs: pplicable. oped ARVs, when die nore than once. Score	d you stop?	dd/MMM/yy		d
Completed By:	Verified By ry (ENROLMENT) (v4) 30	/: D JULY 2013	Date Verified (dd/MMM	vmm): /	/20 Page 1 of 3

Study	y Identifier:			Date of Visit:		Visit Code:
AU	R2-6-112	2-[]-[-][-]	□-		ר20]	
	Protoco	I - Site code - Participant ID		dd/MMM/j	WWY	
	2g. Since	you first took ART have you (Score out, in	noticed any o itial and da	change in the amou te questions i) to	unt of fat in your: vii) if participant	has never taken ART)
	i.	Face?		0=No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
	ii.	Arms?		0= No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
	iii.	Legs?		0= No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
	iv.	Buttocks?		0= No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
	v .	Abdomen?		0= No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
	vi.	Neck?		0= No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
	vii.	Breasts?		0= No change, 1	=Decreased, 2=Incre	ased, 9=Don't know
						_
З.	Have you	ever received isoniazid (INH) preventive	therapy IPT)?	0=No, 1:	=Yes, 9=Don't know
			lf no or d	lon't know: score	out, initial and do	nte questions 3a to 3a
	7- 4	u sussettuteline IPTO				
	Sa. Are yo	ou currently taking in 1 ?			/_	
	3b. When	did you start IPT?		dd/MMI	M/YYYY □□/□	
	Use coding	as per question 1.				
		did you stop IPT2		dd/0000		/
	SC. when	and you stop if i ?	nitial and date	if not applicable=cu	rrentiv on IPT	" '/ ' "
	ose county			ij not oppikabit-tai	includy can a re	
4.	Have you	ever received cotrimoxazole	e preventive	therapy (Bactrim/D	apsone)? . 0=No, 1:	=Yes, 9=Don't know
			lf no or d	on't know: score	out, initial and da	te questions 4a to 4b
	4a Areve	ou currently taking CPT (Bact	rim/Danson	12		0-No 1-Yes
	ia.rac je	a contentity taking or 1 (back	and bapson	-,		
	4b. When	did you start taking CPT? .		dd/MMI	м/уууу /	/
	Use coding	as per question 1				
Com	oleted By:	Verified B	w:	Date Verified (dd/)		
5500	2: Baseline T	B/HIV history (ENROLMENT) (v4) 3	0 JULY 2013	Sata Fernico (80/)	1	Page 2 of 3

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-	┌┐/ ┐┌┐/20┐┌	0 0 .0 MEANERM
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	
TB HISTORY		
5. Have you ever been treated for TB?		0=No, 1=Yes
	If no: score out, initial and date	e questions 5a to 5d.
	-	
5a. How many times have you been treated for T	B?	
5b. When did you start treatment for the most re	ecent episode of TB?	
Use coding as per question 1	dd/MMM/yyyy []]	
5c. When did you stop treatment for the most re	cent episode of TB?	
Use coding as per question 1	dd/MMM/yyyy [/]	
5d. In total, how many months of TB treatment d	id you take for <u>the most recent episode (</u>	of TB?

Completed By:

Verified By: SS002: Baseline TB/HIV history (ENROLMENT) (v4) 30 JULY 2013



Page | 245

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-	┌┐/┐┌┐/20つ┌	
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	
SS003: STUDY PRIORITY (Enrolment)	nrolment	
INFORMATION FROM <u>CLINIC RECORDS</u>		
1. CLINIC weight 6 months ago (or closest) from CLIN	IC RECORDS:in kgs, 999.9=Not av	ailable .
1a. Date for weight:	(dd/MMM/yyyy) /	/
Score out, initial and date if weight not available		
2. Most recent CD4 cell count from <u>CLINIC RECORDS</u> :		vailable
2a. Date for CD4 count:		/
Score out, initial and date if CD4 count not availabl	le	
TODAY'S HEIGHT, WEIGHT AND MUAC MEASURED BY	Y RESEARCHER	
3. MUAC left arm:	(in centimetres, 99.9 if cannot measu	ire MUAC)
4. Weight today (remove shoes):	(in kgs, 999.9 if cannot stand on	scales)•
5. Height (remove shoes):(in me	eters, 9.99 if cannot stand for height mea	surement)•
6. BMI = weight today ÷ height ² :(i	in meters, 99.9= no height or weight mea	surement)
Record to 1 decimal point. Round down decimals XX.X0 to XX.X4. e.g. 18.40 to 18.44=18.4	Round up if XX.X5 to XX.X9. vs. 18.45 to 18.49=18.5	
CALOW ATT WEIGHT LOSS, USING CUNIC WEIGHT C		
Instructions: Score out auestions 7 and 8 if:	UNTHS AGO (see question 1)	
DELIBERATE weight loss: SS001 question 6 = Yes, O Weight missing = questions 1 OR 4 above are not av	R vailable.	For DM
 <u>CLINIC</u> weight 6 months ago (Q1) to Enter 00.0 if weight steady OR has gained weight. 	day's weight (Q4) =	(in kgs) ——•□
8. % weight loss: {Weight lost Enter 00.0 if weight steady OR has gained weight.	weight 6 months ago 01 } x	100= (%)
CALCULATE WEICHT LOCS, USING DADTICIDANT		
CALCULATE WEIGHT LOSS: USING PARTICIPANT R Instructions: Score out questions 9 and 10 if	REPORTED WEIGHT 6 MONTHS AGO (S	ee 55001 Q5)
DELIBERATE weight loss: SS001 question 6 = Yes, O Weight missing = SS001 question 5 OR question 4 al	R bove are not available.	For DM
9. <u>REPORTED</u> weight 6 months ago <u>SSO1 Q5</u> – Enter 00.0 if weight steady OR has gained weight.	Today's weight 🕰 📙 💻	(in kgs)
10. % weight loss: { Weight lost Q9 . ÷ <u>REPORT</u> Enter 00.0 if weight steady OR has gained weight.	ED weight 6 months ago SS01 Q5	×100= %
		•
Completed By: Verified By:	Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):	∥ ∕20 ∥
SBUDS: BUDD PRIORITY (ENROLMENT) (V4) 30 JULY 2013		Page 1 of 4



Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
AUR2-6-112-	└─/──/20─└	0 0 .0 THEN FUM
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	incert-pre-
PRIORITY FOR TB INVESTIGATION		
Instructions: Use the algorithm below to determine to	he response for question 13.	M DO NOT DATA CAPTURE
ANY of:		≥ More than OR equal to
Current cough (SS001 Q1 =1)		< Less than
CD4 less than 100 (Q2 <100)		ату
BMI less than 18.5 (Q6 <18.5)		
□ ≥10% weight loss (Q11=1) Other feature highly suggestive of TB (Q12)		
NO		
I Fever less than 3 weeks (SS001 Q2 =1)		PIOPITY
I Night sweats (SS001 Q3 =1)		RIORIT
<10% weight loss (Q11=2)		
3. LOW PRIORITY	No. 1	
NO TB SYMPTOMS (cough, fever, night sweats, unir	ntentional weight loss)	LTOK Algorithm
13. What priority is participant for immediate investi	gation?	
1 = High priority 2 = Medium priority		
3 = Low priority	2 10 2	
	DM: Do not d	ata capture 13a and 13b
13a. Priority has been checked by second member	er of staff?	1=Yes
CHECK Page 1 of SS001 "Today's Clinic Visit", pages 1	L to 3 of this form SS003 "Study Priority" <u>AN</u>	D repeat CALCULATIONS.
13b. Initials of staff member who has checked pr	iority:	
INVESTIGATIONS (**Sputum must be sent for images	mediate Xpert in all "pre-ART with CD4	<200" / HCT / ANC**)
14. HIGH priority (Qn13=1) <u>OR</u> PRE-ART WITH CD4 <	200 <u>OR</u> HCT <u>OR</u> ANC: Did you collect sp	utum for immediate
Xpert?	0=Unable to produce; 1=)	es, 7= Not applicable
14a. Stick sputum barcode here:		
Completed By: I Verified By: I	Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):	1 1/20 11
SSUUS: SIUDY PROMY (ENKOLMENT) (V4) 30 JULY 2013		Page 3 of 4

Study Identifier:	Date of Visit:	Visit Code:
Protocol - Site code - Participant ID	dd/MMM/yyyy	

15. MEDIUM (2) or LOW (3) priority: Did you collect sputum to STORE at research laboratory?

16. 'Pre-ART' OR "on ART with CD4 <200": did you collect urine to store at research laboratory?

16a. Stick URINE barcode here:

 Completed By:
 Verified By:

 55003: Study Priority (ENROLMENT) (V4)
 30 JULY 2013

Date Verified (dd/MMM/yyyy):



10.4. Standard operating procedures for clinician assessment for "Causes of TB symptoms" study

A. Purpose:

To outline the following

- 1) Clinical evaluation performed by research clinician at initial assessment of participants enrolled to Aim 3 including:
 - a) Assessment of all participants
 - b) Assessment specific to those reporting cough
 - c) Assessment specific to those with ≥5% measured unintentional weight loss since enrolment
 - d) Assessment specific to those reporting fever / night sweats
- 2) On-going evaluation by research clinician of participants enrolled to Aim 3
- 3) Assignment of final diagnosis

B. Scope:

Applies to all staff involved in the follow-up of participants at XPHACTOR study sites.

C. Responsibilities:

The Project Manager is responsible for:

Ensuring that sites are appropriately resourced to perform XPHACTOR study procedures

The Research Clinicians are responsible for:

Ensuring adherence to this SOP

Laboratories:

Centre for Tuberculosis (CTB), National Institute for Communicable Diseases

1) TB culture (sputum, urine, stool) and may undertake TB microscopy and culture from FNA if requested.

Centre for Respiratory Diseases and Meningitis (CRDM), National Institute for Communicable Diseases

• PCR and culture for pertussis and atypical bacteria, and sputum for MC&S.

Clinical Lab Services (CLS), Spencer Lister Building, NHLS Complex

2) All other Aim 3 samples, unless the responsible clinic physician requests these are sent via the routine clinic system

D. SC	OP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
1.	Research Clinician	Overview of initial assessment by research clinician The research clinician (RC) will assess ALL participants enrolled in study Aim 3 at an appointment arranged by the research team at the 3-month visit (see SOP XPH-015). The assessment should occur within 2 weeks of the 3-month visit.	
		This assessment will include:	
		 Performing a clinical assessment using case report form (CRF) AIM3_002. Device the performance of a study file and clinic petce and completing 	
		 Reviewing the participant's study file and clinic notes and completing CRF AIM3_File Review. Arranging a standard set of investigations according to the 	
		 participant's symptomatology, medical history and previous investigations. 4) Prescribing treatment and providing lifestyle advice, appropriate to the second s	
		suspected diagnosis, in collaboration with the responsible clinic physician.	
		 5) Organising referral for a specialist opinion, when clinically appropriate, in collaboration with the responsible clinic physician. 6) Eacilitating admission to pospital if participant is acutely upwell. 	
2	Research	b) Facilitating admission to nospital in participant is acutely unwell.	
2.	Clinician	 The RC will 1) Review the participant's file and clinic records, for symptom frequency and duration, major diagnoses, investigations and results (including all TB investigations sent as part of XPHACTOR study or by clinic physicians), last cervical smear (if applicable), and treatment to date 	
		 Take a history which will include detailed assessment for cough, fever, 	
		 night sweats and unintentional weight loss, review of current medications, systems review, and past medical history, date and result of last cervical smear (if applicable). a) Cough assessment will include: duration, nature and frequency of cough; history of preceding respiratory infection; associated symptoms and trigger factors; diurnal variation, smoking status and environmental exposures; and use of ACE inhibitors. b) Assessment for unintentional weight loss will include: direct enquiry regarding symptoms suggestive of acute or chronic infection, endocrine disease, malignancy, systemic disease, loss of appetite or difficulty eating (odynophagia), malabsorption, drug or alcohol misuse, psychological illness, loss of body fat since starting ART and ART history. c) Assessment for possible focus of infection, malignancy, 	
D. S	D. SOP Text		
------	----------------	---	--
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		connective tissue / endocrine / blood disorder, travel history, alcohol and drug history, and if female assess for peri-menopause / menopause.	
		 3) Screen / evaluate all participants for the following using validated tools: a) Anxiety and depression b) Household food insecurity 	
		 4) Perform a physical examination, including: a) Assessment for lymphadenopathy. b) Measurement of temperature (see SOP XPH-015). c) Respiratory, cardiovascular and abdominal examination. d) Ear, nose and throat examination. e) Skin, oropharynx, and palate (e.g. for Kaposi sarcoma, candidiasis, and other lesions). i) If clinically indicated, refer for biopsy of skin lesion(s). f) Urine dipstick for protein, glucose, blood, nitrites, leucocytes; send for microscopy, and culture if abnormal and cytology if clinically indicated. g) Further examination as indicated by history, e.g. for focus of infection / neurological / rectal examination / breast examination / vaginal examination. 	
		5) If applicable, review of temperature chart that participant has completed (thermometers are given at 3-month visit for those who report fever or night sweats, for twice daily temperature and temperature at time feels feverish / night sweats).	
		6) Review most recent chest radiograph (CXR) and report, and facilitate further management of abnormal CXR findings. All Aim 3 participants without CXR in preceding 6 weeks will have had CXR arranged at the 3-month visit.	
		 7) Arrange the following investigations: a) <i>If measured temperature (see 3b) is >38.3</i>: aerobic and anaerobic blood cultures will be taken. Blood culture for TB will have already been taken at the 3-month visit. b) <i>If axillary or cervical lymph nodes display features requiring further investigation</i> (see below), RC will facilitate fine needle aspiration (FNA). The aspirate will be sent for TB microscopy and cytology and, if feasible, also for TB culture. If lymph node is exuding caseous material via a fistula, then material will be sent for TB microscopy and, if feasible, also for TB culture. 	

D. Se	D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		Features indicating need for FNA are:	
		 i) large (> 2 cm diameter) or rapidly growing lymph nodes ii) asymmetrical lymphadenopathy iii) tender/painful lymph nodes not associated with local infection iv) matted/fluctuant lymph nodes c) <i>If pleural effusion is present on CXR</i>, RC will facilitate diagnostic pleural aspiration. The aspirate will be inspected and sent for ADA (adenosine deaminase), protein, TB microscopy and culture, MC&S (microscopy, culture and sensitivity), cytology and LDH. d) Send sputum, stool and urine samples collected by the participant on the day of the assessment for TB culture (CTB) 	
3.	Research	Initial assessment of COUGH:	
	Clinician	CXR will have already been requested for all Aim 3 participants at 3-month visit, if no CXR from the preceding 6 weeks (see SOP XPH-015). The participant will attend the assessment with this CXR.	
		 FOR ALL participants reporting cough at 3-month visit: <i>Sputum for TB culture</i>. One sample is sent for all Aim 3 participants (early morning sample collected by participant on day of appointment with clinician) on the day of Clinician Assessment (see above), - this should be induced if participant is unable to expectorate spontaneously and there are no contraindications to sputum induction. At the three-month visit PN will have also sent a sputum sample for TB culture. <i>Sputum for bacterial culture (MC&S and atypical bacteria)</i>. This sample was sent at 3-month visit (see SOP XPH-015). <i>Nasopharyngeal swab and oropharyngeal swabs for PCR</i> (pertussis and atypical bacteria). These samples were sent at 3-month visit (see SOP XPH-015). 	
		 2) For participants reporting acute cough (≤3 weeks): a) Sputum samples for MC&S, atypical bacteria, and TB investigation already sent (see above). b) If pneumonia suspected (cough and at least one of new focal chest signs, fever > 4 days or dyspnoea / tachypnoea, pulse>100, and without other obvious cause): i) Send blood for FBC and differential, CRP. ii) Arrange CXR if no CXR since onset of cough. iii) Take aerobic and anaerobic blood cultures. iv) If feasible send blood for serology for atypical bacteria (<i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i>, <i>Chlamydophila pneumonia</i>, <i>Legionella</i> spp, and <i>Coxiella</i>). 	
		 3) For participants reporting subacute cough (>3 to <8 weeks) a) Ensure that two spontaneous and one induced (if feasible and there are no contraindications to induction [see SOP XPH-008]) sputum sample have been sent for TB culture within the last 2 weeks. 	

D. S	OP Text	
Step	Responsibility	Activity
		b) If cough has not followed an obvious preceding respiratory tract infection, then investigate further as per chronic cough.
		 4) For participants reporting chronic cough (≥8 weeks): a) Ensure that two spontaneous and one induced (if feasible and there are no contraindications to induction – [see SOP XPH-008]) sputum samples have been sent for TB culture within the last 2 weeks. b) Send blood for FBC, differential and CRP, if no recent result (within last 1 month). c) Refer all for spirometry pre- and 20 minutes post- 400mcg inhaled salbutamol via large volume spacer / 5mg nebulised salbutamol (or 10mg nebulised terbutaline / 500mcg terbutaline via large volume spacer), unless contraindicated. A referral letter is required to the respiratory clinic / spirometry provider. Spirometry should not be requested for patients who have had any of the following within last 3 months: i) Unstable cardiovascular status: (1) Myocardial infarction / unstable angina (2) Pulmonary embolism (3) Uncontrolled hypertension ii) Surgery: (1) Hernia repair (2) Eye surgery (3) Thoracic / abdominal / other major surgery iii) Pneumothorax. iv) Ear Infection v) Haemorrhagic cerebrovascular event vi) 3rd Trimester pregnancy vii) Haemoptysis of unknown origin
		 Preparation instructions for spirometry should be given to participant, i.e. <i>avoid</i> i) a large meal 2 hours pre-testing ii) smoking for 24 hours pre-testing iii) drinking alcohol 2 hours pre-testing iv) taking short acting bronchodilators 6 hours pre-testing v) taking long acting bronchodilators for 12 hours pre-testing vi) taking sustained release theophyllines 24 hours pre-testing d) Assess cough severity using visual analogue scale (VAS, 0-100mm).
		5) For participants with features suggestive of cardiac failure (orthopnoea / paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea / exertional dyspnoea / peripheral oedema): measure serum natriuretic peptides.

D. S	OP Text	
Step	Responsibility	Activity
		 6) If <i>Pneumocystis jiroveci</i> pneumonia is likely, i.e. participant has the following: a) CD4 <200 cells/µl and b) fever / exertional dyspnoea / tachypnoea, with or without c) characteristic chest radiograph features (bilateral diffuse/mid-zone symmetrical ground-glass or interstitial shadowing) Discuss referral to hospital / for admission with responsible clinic physician and if feasible arrange the following investigations: i) Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid (induced sputum if BAL not available) for cytology for <i>Pneumocystis jirovecii</i> cysts. ii) Serum for 1,3-β-D-glucan iii) Exercise oximetry
		Initial management plan for cough:
		1) <u>TB likely</u> : Facilitate TB treatment if positive sputum results (Xpert or AFB/TB culture). If negative or pending microbiology and CXR features of active TB, facilitate TB treatment (discuss first with responsible clinic physician).
		 If any red flags (see Appendix 1): Persistent haemoptysis in smokers or ex-smokers who are ≥40 years or a chest X-ray suggestive of lung cancer: refer urgently to chest physician for assessment (including CT scan of chest or bronchoscopy as deemed clinically appropriate). Consider FBC and differential at same time as arranging referral.
		3) Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia likely: Participant should be managed by responsible clinic physician, as admission is likely to be required, although mild cases might be managed on an outpatient basis with high dose trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for 21 days and prednisolone.
		 4) <u>Acute cough (≤ 3 weeks)</u> a) Suspect common cold if: nasal congestion / discharge, postnasal drip, sneezing and sore throat. Advise symptomatic treatment. b) Suspect influenza if: fever with ≥1 of headache, myalgia, cough and sore throat. Advise symptomatic treatment. c) Suspect community acquired pneumonia (CAP) if: cough and at least one of new focal chest signs, fever > 4 days or dyspnoea / tachypnoea, pulse>100, and without other obvious cause. Definite CAP if above supported by CXR findings of lung shadowing that is likely to be new. i) Prescribe antibiotics (amoxicillin first-line, and tetracycline or macrolide in case of hypersensitivity), and review response to treatment. Advise patient to return if no clinical improvement in 3 days or any deterioration, or if symptoms take longer than

D. S	D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		 3 weeks to resolve. Discuss admission with responsible clinic physician if CRB-65 severity score>0 (1 point for each of the following). (1) Confusion (2) Respiratory rate ≥30/min (3) Systolic BP<90 or diastolic BP≤60 mm Hg (4) Age ≥ 65 years 	
		 d) Suspect acute bronchitis if: i) Cough associated with at least one of sputum production / dyspnoea / wheeze / chest discomfort or pain, and ii) No evidence of pneumonia (clinical or radiographic), and iii) Common cold, acute asthma, and exacerbation of COPD have been ruled out. Usually viral in origin, and hence antibiotics are not routinely indicated. Bronchodilators may be useful if wheezing present. 	
		 e) Suspect exacerbation of pre-existing condition if: i) History of bronchiectasis and acute deterioration, with worsening cough (with increased sputum volume, viscosity, or purulence; with or without increasing wheeze, breathlessness, or haemoptysis) and/or systemic upset. (1) Ensure sputum has been sent for culture and sensitivity, and discuss with responsible clinic physician regarding appropriate antibiotic prescription and other recommended treatment / admission ii) History of asthma and acute dyspnoea / wheeze / chest tightness (1) If admission is not required arrange bronchodilator and prednisolone prescription. iii) History of COPD and increasing dyspnoea / purulent sputum / clinical signs of pneumonia (1) advise increased frequency of bronchodilator use (2) prescribe oral steroids if significant increase in breathlessness 	
		 (3) prescribe antibiotics if purulent sputum or signs of pneumonia f) Suspect pertussis if: i) Suspected case: acute cough lasting for ≥14 days, without an apparent cause plus one or more of the following (1) Paroxysms of coughing (2) Post-tussive vomiting (3) Inspiratory whoop and (4) Absence of laboratory confirmation 	

D. S	OP Text	
Step	Responsibility	Activity
		 ii) Confirmed case: signs and symptoms of pertussis with B pertussis isolated from respiratory sample or confirmed B. pertussis PCR positive in a respiratory clinical specimen Provide antibiotic therapy if within 3 weeks of onset of illness: azithromycin 500mg od for 3 days / clarithromycin 500mg for 7 days / if pregnant erythromycin 500mg qds for 7 days / if macrolide contraindicated cotrimoxazole 960mg bd for 7 days (not in pregnancy).
		 5) For chronic cough a) Suspect bronchiectasis if chronic cough with copious sputum production and/or suggestive chest x-ray, discuss with responsible clinic physician regarding referral to chest physician for CT scan of chest and further management. b) Suspected heart failure: i) If serum natriuretic peptides raised or high facilitate echocardiogram (1) High levels: BNP > 400 pg/ml (116 pmol/litre) or NTproBNP > 2000 pg/ml (236 pmol/litre) (2) Raised levels: BNP 100–400 pg/ml (29–116 pmol/litre) or NTproBNP 400–2000 pg/ml (47–236 pmol/litre) ii) Arrange further management according to clinical symptoms and echocardiogram findings, in collaboration with clinic physician. If no access to echocardiogram, arrange electrocardiogram. c) If spirometry performed: i) Ensure quality control (see Reference 4. Levy et al): (1) Within-manoeuvre criteria - individual spirograms are acceptable if: (a) They are free from artefacts i.e. free from: (i) Cough during first second of exhalation (ii) Glottis closure that influences measurement (iii) Early termination or cut-off (iv) Effort that is not maximal throughout (v) Leak or obstructed mouthpiece (b) They have good starts: (c) They show satisfactory exhalation (i) Duration ≥6s for adults or plateau in volume time curve or (ii) If patient cannot or should not continue to exhale
		 (2) Between-manoeuvre criteria, -apply the following tests after three acceptable individual spirograms have been obtained: (i) Two largest values of FVC must be within 0.150 L of each other, <u>&</u>

D. S	D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		(ii) Two largest values of FEV1 must be within 0.150 L of each other	
		If the above criteria are not met then testing should be continued until both criteria are met, or total of eight tests have been performed, or patient cannot / should not continue.	
		Three satisfactory manoeuvres should be saved.	
		 ii) If FEV1/FVC <0.7 and >400ml improvement in FEV1 after bronchodilator, treatment trial for asthma (200mcg inhaled beclomethasone twice daily for 6 weeks, or oral prednisolone 30mg daily for 2 weeks) and review clinical response. iii) If FEV1/FVC <0.7 and FEV1< 80% predicted, age>35yr and smoking history (or history of exposure to air pollution, e.g. wood burning stove), without >400ml improvement in FEV1 after bronchodilator, treat for COPD for 6-8 weeks and review clinical response. 	
		 d) If spirometry is not available and high probability of asthma (see features listed below), start treatment trial for asthma, and review clinical response. (1) >1 of cough, wheeze, breathlessness, chest tightness especially if symptoms are: (a) worse at night and in early morning (b) in response to exercise, allergen exposure and cold air (c) after taking aspirin or beta blockers (2) History of atopy (3) Family history of atopy or asthma (4) Widespread wheeze (5) Otherwise unexplained eosinophilia 	
		 e) If participant complains of frequent gastrointestinal symptoms suspect gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD): Symptoms include daily heartburn (sensation of discomfort or burning behind the sternum rising up to the neck) or regurgitation (effortless return of stomach contents into the pharynx), cough worse with or after meals/stooping, cough on phonation, dysphonia, and abatement of cough during sleep. If any red flag symptoms (Appendix 1) facilitate urgent referral for endoscopy: i) Dyspensia in patient aged ≥55 years with onset of dyspensia 	
		 a) Dyspepsia in patient aged 200 years with onset of dyspepsia (1) Type viously / continuous symptoms since onset ii) Dysphagia at any age 	

D. S	D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		 iii) Dyspepsia at any age with any of: anaemia, persistent vomiting or weight loss iv) Dyspepsia with any of: family history upper GI cancer in >2 first-degree relatives, Barrett's oesophagitis, pernicious anaemia, peptic ulcer surgery >20 years previously, known dysplasia, atrophic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, jaundice, or upper abdominal mass 	
		If no red flags treat as GORD:	
		 Provide diet and lifestyle advice: Reduce weight if overweight, stop smoking, reduce alcohol and aggravating foods (fat, chocolate, citrus), raise the head of the bed, and avoid eating during the three hours before going to bed. Provide trial of PPI (e.g. omeprazole 20mg twice daily) for 8 weeks (30 minutes before food) and review response. If no improvement discuss with responsible clinic physician regarding referral for upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. 	
		f) If upper airways symptoms predominate suspect upper airways disease: Symptoms include post nasal drip (sensation of having something drip down into throat), persistent nasal discharge / congestion, and recurrent need to clear throat).	
		Differential diagnoses include:	
		 i) Allergic rhinitis: suggested by sneezing and itching eyes / ears, and may be seasonal or perennial. Provide steroid nasal spray / antihistamine and review response at 8 weeks. ii) Post-viral: suggested by preceding upper respiratory tract infection (URTI). Provide antihistamine and review response at 8 weeks. iii) Secondary to chronic sinusitis: suggested by symptoms > 12 weeks of facial discomfort (often unilateral and worse when bending forwards) or pain; nasal obstruction or (purulent) nasal discharge or postnasal drip; and decreased or absent sense of smell. Provide steroid nasal spray for 12 weeks and review response. Consider ENT referral. iv) Anatomic abnormality e.g. deviated nasal septum / nasal polyp. Refer for ENT opinion (unilateral polyp may be a sign of malignancy). v) Rhinitis medicamentosa most commonly due to long-term use of topical decongestant. Withdraw offending agent one nostril at a time. 	

D. So	D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		g) If on ACE inhibitor, discuss replacing this (e.g. with angiotensin receptor blocker or other alternative) with responsible clinic physician. If replaced, review response at 4 weeks and 12 weeks as ACE inhibitor-induced cough may linger for up to 3 months in a subgroup of individuals.	
		 6) If current smoker: Provide smoking cessation advice, and review response at 8 weeks post-cessation: a) Ask about smoking. b) Advise participant to quit smoking unless there are exceptional circumstances. c) If participant is interested in quitting provide advice, which may include attending a smoking cessation service if available, or discussing pharmacotherapy (not available within public sector in South Africa). d) If participant is not ready to quit ask him/her to consider the possibility and encourage seeking help in the future. 	
		SECOND LINE if no clear diagnosis for COUGH identified	
		 Suspected TB: after discussion with responsible clinic physician treat for TB and review response to treatment. 	
		 Referral to respiratory physician for further respiratory investigation e.g. bronchoscopy if deemed appropriate 	
4.	Research	Initial assessment of UNINTENTIONAL WEIGHT LOSS:	
	Clinician	Defined as: \geq 5% measured weight loss since enrolment.	
		For ALL:	
		 Complete lipodystrophy assessment CRF Blood tests: a) FBC and differential count, renal function, liver function, HbAlc, thyroid function. 	
		 Repeat CXR if no CXR in last 4 weeks. Abdominal ultrasound scan If diarrhoea (loose or liquid stools more than three times daily) reported: 	
		 a) Stool for microscopy, bacterial culture and parasitology. b) Test for clostridium difficile toxin if history of antibiotic prescription in last 12 weeks. c) Arrange further management if any of the above tests are positive. 	
		6) If any red flags are present discuss with responsible clinic physician and refer for as appropriate urgent gastroscopy, gastroenterology / surgical / gynaecological opinion and pap smear (review last result if available):	

D. S	D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity	
		 a) Change in bowel habit, rectal bleeding, dyspepsia, dysphagia, melaena, persistent vomiting, unexplained iron deficiency anaemia, abdominal or rectal mass, jaundice, see above for suspected GORD red flags) i) Ensure digital rectal examination if: (1) Unexplained lower gastrointestinal tract symptoms (2) Male patient with any features suggestive of prostate cancer ii) Ensure breast examination if patient complains of breast symptoms b) Intermenstrual bleeding, postmenopausal bleeding, postcoital bleeding, alteration in vaginal discharge. Patient requires full pelvic examination. 	
		Second line investigations for unintentional weight loss	
		 Blood tests: lactate (if other symptoms of hyperlactataemia or on D4T), and discuss with responsible clinic physician. 	
5.	Research Clinician	Initial assessment of FEVER / NIGHT SWEAT	
		For ALL	
		 Assess for likely focus of infection and travel history (as detailed above in "Initial assessment for all") and arrange further investigation as indicated history and examination. Review participant's temperature record. If likely focus of infection identified then: a) Respiratory tract infection: evaluate as per section above for cough. b) Urinary symptoms / abnormal urine dipstick: MSU for microscopy and culture. c) Diarrhoea reported (loose or liquid stools more than three times daily): stool for microscopy, bacterial culture, and parasitology, test for clostridium difficile toxin if history of antibiotic prescription in last 12 weeks. d) Malaria film if indicated by travel history. e) Cellulitis / skin lesions (sores / ulcers / oozing lesions) or discharging ear: swab if possible and send for microscopy and culture. f) Abscess identified: refer for incision and drainage, and if feasible send sample for MC&S. g) Tonsillitis likely, consider throat swab. h) Pelvic infection likely (lower abdominal pain, deep dyspareunia, abnormal vaginal bleeding, purulent vaginal discharge): facilitate cervical swabs for chlamydia and gonorrhoea. i) Sexually transmitted infection likely: facilitate appropriate investigation. j) Acute abdomen: refer to hospital for further investigation and management. 	

D. 5	OP Text	
Step	Responsibility	Activity
		 k) Meningitis likely (headache, stiff neck, altered mental state, shock, focal neurological deficit): refer to hospital for further investigation (CT brain, lumbar puncture) and management l) Endocarditis suspected ([Risk factors: valvular heart disease, valve replacement, structural congenital heart disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, previous endocarditis, recreational drug abuse, invasive vascular procedures] and [Features: non-specific symptoms, murmur, petechiae, splinter haemorrhages, clubbing, arthritis, Osler's nodes, Janeway's lesions, congestive cardiac failure]): discuss referral to hospital with responsible clinic physician for further investigation and management. m) Osteomyelitis / septic arthritis suspected: refer to hospital for investigation and further management. n) If appropriate, arrange further imaging (e.g. CT scan or sinus radiography) after discussion with responsible clinic physician
		 4) No likely focus of infection identified: a) If measured temperature is >38.3: aerobic and anaerobic blood cultures. b) FBC + differential, CRP, renal and liver function, HbAlc, glucose and thyroid function. i) Discuss with responsible clinic physician if blood film should be arranged through routine system for suspected haematological cancer. c) Send urine for microscopy and culture. d) Repeat CXR if no CXR since onset of symptoms, or no CXR in last 4 weeks. e) Abdominal ultrasound scan f) If measured fever: consider CT scan abdomen. g) If measured fever: consider sinus radiograph. 5) If female < 45 years of age and symptoms suggestive of early menopause (hot flushes, night sweats, and irregular menstrual cycle): consider measurement of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels.
		Initial management plan for fever / night sweats:
		 If focus of infection identified and participant does not require referral to hospital (mild (37.2-38) or moderate pyrexia (38.1-40) and not acutely unwell) then facilitate prescription for appropriate treatment for infection. Facilitate referral to hospital (discuss with responsible clinic physician) for further evaluation if: a) Acutely unwell b) High fever (>40) at assessment c) Hospital management required (e.g. surgery or further assessment)

D. SOP Text		
Step	Responsibility	Activity
		 If no measured or recorded fever discuss with responsible clinic physician.
		SECOND LINE if no clear diagnosis for fever / night sweats identified
		Discuss with responsible clinic physician regarding whether the following investigations are deemed clinically appropriate and can be arranged through clinic or by referral to specialist, for patients with measured fever or reported night sweats and no focus of infection identified.
		 Autoimmune screen (antinuclear antibody [ANA], Rheumatoid factor) Abdominal CT scan Bone marrow aspiration
6.	Research Clinician	Participants will be reviewed at scheduled monthly follow up with the research team (or more frequently if clinically indicated).
		Follow up will include:
		 Sputum to be sent for TB culture if cough reported If persistent cough, repeat CXR and ensure 3 sputa for TB culture (of which one induced, if feasible, and no contraindication to sputum induction [see SOP XPH-008]) Follow up of results of investigations Follow up of evolution of symptoms Follow up of outcome of specialist referrals Follow up of response to any treatments prescribed
7.	Research	Assignment of final diagnosis:
	Ginnelan	Will be based assigned at 6 months based on results of investigations and response to any trials of treatment.

10.5. Evaluation of WHO 4-Symptom Tool to Rule Out TB: Data from the XPHACTOR Study (Poster)

Results

Characteristic



Evaluation of WHO 4-symptom tool to rule out TB: data from the XPHACTOR study

Yasmeen Hanifa¹, Katherine Fielding¹, Violet Chihota², Nontobeko Ndlovu², Alan Karstaedt³, Faieza Sahid³, Lungiswa Adonis⁴, Linda Erasmus⁵, Mark Nicol⁵, Gavin Churchyard², Alison Grant¹



Results

on ART (figure 1)

Conclusions

ART and pre-ART groups

where TB prevalence very high

systems in resource-limited settings

Funders: Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Vassall, Alan Karstaedt, Hans Kinkel, Lungiswa Adonis

Monkoe, Heather Mogola, Minty van der Meulen

XPHACTOR Investigators: Gavin Churchyard, Violet Chihota, Salome

Charalambous, Kerrigan McCarthy, Wendy Stevens, Linda Erasmus, Mark Nicol, Edina Sinanovic, Nicola Foster, Alison Grant, Katherine Fielding, Anna

(PHACTOR Team: Nontobeko Ndlovu, Jessie Witkoei, Soneni Maphosa, Kutlwan Mmine, Khethekile Ntsontso, Mphonyana Motsapi, Mateboho Rantho,

Simphiwe Ntshuntshe, Ndumiso Sithole, Johanna Masanabo, Crawford Maesela, Sanah Mutau, Mokgadi Letsatsi, Nontobeko Mokone, Lebogang

Masia, Snephlanhla Zondi, Nondumiso Masango, Matimba Chauke Keolebogile Ntshamane, Mapaseka Pooe, Sandra Toro Silva, Gertrude

Clinic Staff: Sisters Fikile Mayuso, Mary Mothupi, Bowa Matlala, Sana Daba, Phumla Mnyamde; and all medical and nursing staft

established in HIV care

than screening

Acknowledgements

All our study participants

Prevalence of TB is high, particularly among those not

· Lower in new HIV+ HCT among whom TB

PPV (proportion with TB of those WHO +ve) is low,

NPV of WHO 4-symptom tool is very high among on

- supporting use of WHO tool to rule out TB in people

· NPV lower in HCT attendees newly testing HIV+

- this group requires systematic investigation rather

Relatively low PPV among on-ART and pre-ART

groups necessitates guidance on prioritisation of

further investigation to avoid burdening health care

• NPV (proportion without TB of those WHO -ve)

>98% in pre-ART and on ART groups

prevalence is very high (23%)

particularly among those on ART (7.2%)

Performance of WHO tool (table 2):

1London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK; 2Aurum Institute for Health Research, Johannesburg, South Africa; Department of Medicine, Chris Hani Baragwanath Hospital, Johannesburg, South Africa; Marnelodi Hospital, Pretoria, South Africa; National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa.

Table 1: Characteristics of study population at enrolment (N=3229)

On ART:

Pre-ART:

New HIV + HCT:

Introduction

Background

- WHO recommends screening HIV+ individuals for TB using tool comprising any cough, weight loss, fever or night sweats ("WHO tool")
- Tool developed from meta analysis of individual participant data, largely not on ART; designed to maximise sensitivity and negative predictive value (NPV) to exclude TB so IPT and ART can be initiated
- XPHACTOR is an interventional cohort study evaluating a risk-based algorithm to prioritise testing with Xpert MTB/RIF amongst adults attending for HIV care in South Africa
- Aim
- · To evaluate performance of the WHO tool as a test to rule out TB among patients in the XPHACTOR study

Methods

Population

- · Systematic sample of adults attending 4 HIV clinics in Gauteng were screened for TB. Sept 2012 - Feb 2014
- · Enrolled in three groups, on ART vs. pre-ART vs. newly diagnosed HIV + from HIV Counselling and Testing (HCT)
- · Excluded if any TB treatment in last 3 months

Procedures

- Structured questionnaire incorporating WHO tool
- · Xpert MTB/RIF requested if high priority for TB investigation according to XPHACTOR algorithm (any of: cough, BMI<18.5, CD4<100, weight loss ≥10%) and from all HCT
- · Monthly review with reinvestigation if indicated, to 3 months, when sputum and blood were taken for TB culture
- Clinic file review to identify additional TB diagnoses

Definitions

- Definite TB: Xpert+ or culture+ for M. tuberculosis
- · Clinical TB: Started on TB treatment by health care provider Not TB: No positive microbiology for M. tuberculosis (at least one specimen)
- Excluded from analysis
- On isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) at enrolment
- · Died within 3 months of enrolment without TB diagnosis
- TB diagnosed >6m from enrolment
- · Did not meet criteria for TB or Not TB based on definitions

Improving health worldwide

CROI 2015 abstract number: 823

N=2439 N=693 N=97 Age, yrs 41 (35-48) 35 (29-43) 36 (30-41) Female 70.5 (1719) 67.2 (466) 50.5 (49) House owner 47.3 (1154) 33.5 (232) 20.6 (20) Previous TB treatment 39.7 (967) 9.0 (62) 7.2 (7) Previous IPT 2.5 (61) 22.5 (156) N/A History of CPT 78.2 (1906) 47.6 (330) N/A BMI, ka/m² (N=3225) 25.0 (21.6-29.4) 24.5 (20.8-29.0) 23.2 (20.1-28.4) Duration since HIV diagnosis, 67 (39-100) 9 (1-33) N/A months (N=3107) N/A Duration on ART, months (N=2429) 49 (28-79) N/A CD4, cells/mm³ 439 (283-621) 360 (184-528) 248 (106-412) Positive WHO symptom screen 30.2 (736) 42.6 (295) 62.9 (61)

Data are median (IQR) or % (n): IPT=Isoniazid preventive therapy; CPT=Cotrimoxazole preventive therapy HCT=HIV counselling and testing

Figure 1: Prevalence of TB and basis for diagnosis % (95% CI)



Table 2: Performance of WHO tool

	Sensitivity	Specificity	NPV	PPV
	n/N	n/N	n/N	n/N
	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
All TB ¹ : On ART	53/79	1677/2360	1677/1703	53/736
(79/2439)	67.1% (55.6,77.3)	71.1% (69.2,72.9)	98.5% (97.8,99)	7.2% (5.4,9.3)
All TB ¹ : Pre-ART	58/61	395/632	395/398	58/295
(61/693)	95.1% (86.3,99)	62.5% (58.6,66.3)	99.2% (97.8,99.8)	19.7% (15.3,24.7)
All TB ¹ : New HIV+	20/22	34/75	34/36	20/61
HCT (22/97)	90.9% (70.8,98.9)	45.3% (33.8,57.3)	94.4% (81.3,99.3)	32.8% (21.3,46)
Definite TB ² : On ART	37/61	1677/2360	1677/1701	37/720
(61/2421)	60.7% (47.3,72.9)	71.1% (69.2,72.9)	98.6% (97.9,99.1)	5.1% (3.6,7.0)
Definite TB ² : Pre-ART	37/39	395/632	395/397	37/274
(39/671)	94.9% (82.7,99.4)	62.5% (58.6,66.3)	99.5% (98.2,99.9)	13.5% (9.7,18.1)
Definite TB ² : New	14/16	34/75	34/36	14/55
HIV+ HCT (16/91)	87.5% (61.7,98.4)	45.3% (33.8,57.3)	94.4% (81.3,99.3)	25.5% (14.7,39.0)

1 Gold standard=definite and clinical TB combined; 2 Gold standard=definite TB (clinical TB excluded from analysis) NPV=Negative predictive value: PPV=Positive predictive value

www.lshtm.ac.uk

Page | 264

10.6. Frequency and seasonal variation of TB symptoms amongst people taking antiretroviral therapy in South Africa (Poster)



11) List of References

1. World Health Organization. Global Health Estimates 2016: Deaths by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000-2016. 2018 (accessed Oct 17th, 2018). Available from: http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/en/.

2. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2018. (accessed Oct 11th, 2018). Available from: https://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/.

3. Getahun H, Gunneberg C, Granich R, Nunn P. HIV infection-associated tuberculosis: the epidemiology and the response. Clin Infect Dis. 2010 May 15;50 Suppl 3:S201-7

4. Crampin AC, Glynn JR, Fine PE. What has Karonga taught us? Tuberculosis studied over three decades. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009 Feb;13(2):153-64

5. Glynn JR, Warndorff DK, Fine PE, Munthali MM, Sichone W, Ponnighaus JM. Measurement and determinants of tuberculosis outcome in Karonga District, Malawi. Bull World Health Organ. 1998;76(3):295-305

6. Tiemersma EW, van der Werf MJ, Borgdorff MW, Williams BG, Nagelkerke NJ. Natural history of tuberculosis: duration and fatality of untreated pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV negative patients: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2011 Apr 4;6(4):e17601

7. Vynnycky E, Fine PE. Lifetime risks, incubation period, and serial interval of tuberculosis. Am J Epidemiol. 2000 Aug 1;152(3):247-63

8. Vynnycky E, Fine PE. The natural history of tuberculosis: the implications of agedependent risks of disease and the role of reinfection. Epidemiol Infect. 1997 Oct;119(2):183-201

9. World Health Organization. Latent tuberculosis infection: updated and consolidated guidelines for programmatic management. 2018 (accessed May 28th, 2018). Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/tb/publications/2018/latent-tuberculosis-infection/en/</u>.

10. Houben RM, Dodd PJ. The Global Burden of Latent Tuberculosis Infection: A Reestimation Using Mathematical Modelling. PLoS Med. 2016 Oct;13(10):e1002152

11. Narain JP, Raviglione MC, Kochi A. HIV-associated tuberculosis in developing countries: epidemiology and strategies for prevention. Tuber Lung Dis. 1992 Dec;73(6):311-21

12. Grange JM, Gandy M, Farmer P, Zumla A. Historical declines in tuberculosis: nature, nurture and the biosocial model. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2001 Mar;5(3):208-12

13. WHO Global Tuberculosis Programme. TB: a global emergency, WHO report on the TB epidemic. 1994 (accessed Jun 1st, 2018). Available from:

http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/58749 14. World Bank. World Development Report 1993: Investing in Health.(accessed Jun

10th, 2018). Available from: <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/5976</u>.

15. World Health Organization. Standards and Benchmarks for tuberculosis surveillance and vital registration systems.2014 (accessed Oct 11th, 2018). Available from: https://www.who.int/tb/publications/standardsandbenchmarks/en/.

16. Glaziou P, Dodd PJ, Zignol M, Sismanidis C, Floyd K. Methods used by WHO to estimate the global burden of TB disease. 2018 (accessed Oct 17th, 2018). Available from: https://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/gtbr2018_online_technical_appendix_global_disease_burden_estimation.pdf?ua=1.

17. Glynn JR, Crampin AC, Yates MD, Traore H, Mwaungulu FD, Ngwira BM, et al. The importance of recent infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis in an area with high HIV prevalence: a long-term molecular epidemiological study in Northern Malawi. J Infect Dis. 2005 Aug 1;192(3):480-7

18. Antonucci G, Girardi E, Raviglione MC, Ippolito G. Risk factors for tuberculosis in HIV-infected persons. A prospective cohort study. The Gruppo Italiano di Studio Tubercolosi e AIDS (GISTA). JAMA. 1995 Jul 12;274(2):143-8

19. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). UNAIDS Data 2018. (accessed Jul 21st, 2018). Available from:

http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/unaids-data-2018_en.pdf.

20. UNAIDS. UNAIDS Global HIV statistics. Fact Sheet July 2018 Geneva2018 [cited 2018 Oct 18th]. Available from:

http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/southafrica

21. Sendagire I, Schim Van der Loeff M, Mubiru M, Konde-Lule J, Cobelens F. Long delays and missed opportunities in diagnosing smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis in Kampala, Uganda: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One. 2010 Dec 29;5(12):e14459

22. Bogale S, Diro E, Shiferaw AM, Yenit MK. Factors associated with the length of delay with tuberculosis diagnosis and treatment among adult tuberculosis patients attending at public health facilities in Gondar town, Northwest, Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis. 2017 Feb 14;17(1):145

23. Kweza PF, Van Schalkwyk C, Abraham N, Uys M, Claassens MM, Medina-Marino A. Estimating the magnitude of pulmonary tuberculosis patients missed by primary health care clinics in South Africa. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2018 Mar 1;22(3):264-72

24. Zumla A, Malon P, Henderson J, Grange JM. Impact of HIV infection on tuberculosis. Postgrad Med J. 2000 May;76(895):259-68

25. Rathman G, Sillah J, Hill PC, Murray JF, Adegbola R, Corrah T, et al. Clinical and radiological presentation of 340 adults with smear-positive tuberculosis in The Gambia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2003 Oct;7(10):942-7

26. Perlman DC, el-Sadr WM, Nelson ET, Matts JP, Telzak EE, Salomon N, et al. Variation of chest radiographic patterns in pulmonary tuberculosis by degree of human immunodeficiency virus-related immunosuppression. The Terry Beirn Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS (CPCRA). The AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG). Clin Infect Dis. 1997 Aug;25(2):242-6

27. Samb B, Sow PS, Kony S, Maynart-Badiane M, Diouf G, Cissokho S, et al. Risk factors for negative sputum acid-fast bacilli smears in pulmonary tuberculosis: results from Dakar, Senegal, a city with low HIV seroprevalence. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 1999 Apr;3(4):330-6

28. Johnson JL, Vjecha MJ, Okwera A, Hatanga E, Byekwaso F, Wolski K, et al. Impact of human immunodeficiency virus type-1 infection on the initial bacteriologic and radiographic manifestations of pulmonary tuberculosis in Uganda. Makerere University-Case Western Reserve University Research Collaboration. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 1998 May;2(5):397-404

29. Getahun H, Harrington M, O'Brien R, Nunn P. Diagnosis of smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis in people with HIV infection or AIDS in resource-constrained settings: informing urgent policy changes. Lancet. 2007 Jun 16;369(9578):2042-9

30. World Health Organization, Communicable Diseases Cluster. What is DOTS? A Guide to Understanding the WHO-recommended TB Control Strategy Known as DOTS. 1999 (accessed Jun 17th, 2018). Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/65979</u>.

31. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2015. (accessed Jul 15th, 2016).

32. Raviglione MC, Uplekar MW. WHO's new Stop TB Strategy. Lancet. 2006 Mar 18;367(9514):952-5

33. United Nations. Sustainable Development Goals. 17 goals to transform our world [cited 2018 May 1st]. Available from:

https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/

34. Uplekar M, Weil D, Lonnroth K, Jaramillo E, Lienhardt C, Dias HM, et al. WHO's new end TB strategy. Lancet. 2015 May 2;385(9979):1799-801

35. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2017. (accessed Jan 17th, 2018). Available from: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/.

36. Lonnroth K, Corbett E, Golub J, Godfrey-Faussett P, Uplekar M, Weil D, et al. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: rationale, definitions and key considerations. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013 Mar;17(3):289-98 37. Lonnroth K, Jaramillo E, Williams BG, Dye C, Raviglione M. Drivers of tuberculosis epidemics: the role of risk factors and social determinants. Soc Sci Med. 2009 Jun;68(12):2240-6

38. United Nations. Political Declaration on the fight against Tuberculosis Co-Facilitators' revised text. United to End Tuberculosis: An Urgent Global Response to a Global Epidemic2018 (accessed March 28th, 2019). Available from:

https://www.un.org/pga/72/wp-content/uploads/sites/51/2018/09/Co-facilitators-Revised-text-Political-Declaraion-on-the-Fight-against-Tuberculosis.pdf.

39. World Health Organization. Interim Policy on Collaborative TB/HIV Activities. 2004 (accessed May 10th, 2018). Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/tb/tbhiv/en/</u>.

40. World Health Organization. WHO policy on collaborative TB/HIV activities: guidelines for national programmes and other stakeholders. 2012 (accessed May 1st, 2013). Available from:

http://www.who.int/tb/publications/2012/tb_hiv_policy_9789241503006/en/.

41. Corbett EL, MacPherson P. Tuberculosis screening in high human immunodeficiency virus prevalence settings: turning promise into reality. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013 Sep;17(9):1125-38

42. Hamada Y, Lujan J, Schenkel K, Ford N, Getahun H. Sensitivity and specificity of WHO's recommended four-symptom screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet HIV. 2018 Aug 20

43. Chihota VN, Ginindza S, McCarthy K, Grant AD, Churchyard G, Fielding K. Missed Opportunities for TB Investigation in Primary Care Clinics in South Africa: Experience from the XTEND Trial. PLoS One. 2015;10(9):e0138149

44. Claassens MM, Jacobs E, Cyster E, Jennings K, James A, Dunbar R, et al. Tuberculosis cases missed in primary health care facilities: should we redefine case finding? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013 May;17(5):608-14

45. Suthar AB, Lawn SD, del Amo J, Getahun H, Dye C, Sculier D, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for prevention of tuberculosis in adults with HIV: a systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS Med. 2012;9(7):e1001270

46. World Health Organization. Guideline on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV. 2015 (accessed May 25th, 2018). Available from: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/earlyrelease-arv/en/.

47. Temprano ANRS Study Group, Danel C, Moh R, Gabillard D, Badje A, Le Carrou J, et al. A Trial of Early Antiretrovirals and Isoniazid Preventive Therapy in Africa. N Engl J Med. 2015 Aug 27;373(9):808-22

48. Insight Start Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, Emery S, Grund B, et al. Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy in Early Asymptomatic HIV Infection. N Engl J Med. 2015 Aug 27;373(9):795-807

49. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 2011 Aug 11;365(6):493-505

50. Tymejczyk O, Brazier E, Yiannoutsos C, Wools-Kaloustian K, Althoff K, Crabtree-Ramirez B, et al. HIV treatment eligibility expansion and timely antiretroviral treatment initiation following enrollment in HIV care: A metaregression analysis of programmatic data from 22 countries. PLoS Med. 2018 Mar;15(3):e1002534

51. Ford N, Migone C, Calmy A, Kerschberger B, Kanters S, Nsanzimana S, et al.
Benefits and risks of rapid initiation of antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2018 Jan 2;32(1):17-23
52. Williams BG, Granich R, De Cock KM, Glaziou P, Sharma A, Dye C. Antiretroviral

therapy for tuberculosis control in nine African countries. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010 Nov 9;107(45):19485-9 53. UNAIDS. Fast-Track: ending the AIDS epidemic by 2030. 2014 (accessed Jun 13th, 2018). Available from:

http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC2686_WAD2014report_en.pdf.

54. Bassett IV, Wang B, Chetty S, Giddy J, Losina E, Mazibuko M, et al. Intensive tuberculosis screening for HIV-infected patients starting antiretroviral therapy in Durban, South Africa. Clin Infect Dis. 2010 Oct 1;51(7):823-9

55. Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Charalambous S, Variava E, Luke B, Churchyard GJ, et al. Tuberculosis among adults starting antiretroviral therapy in South Africa: the need for routine case finding. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2012 Sep;16(9):1252-9

56. Lawn SD, Brooks SV, Kranzer K, Nicol MP, Whitelaw A, Vogt M, et al. Screening for HIV-associated tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance before antiretroviral therapy using the Xpert MTB/RIF assay: a prospective study. PLoS Med. 2011 Jul;8(7):e1001067

57. Kufa T, Mabuto T, Muchiri E, Charalambous S, Rosillon D, Churchyard G, et al. Incidence of HIV-associated tuberculosis among individuals taking combination antiretroviral therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(11):e111209

58. Gupta A, Nadkarni G, Yang WT, Chandrasekhar A, Gupte N, Bisson GP, et al. Early mortality in adults initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC): a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2011;6(12):e28691
59. Akolo C, Adetifa I, Shepperd S, Volmink J. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV infected persons. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2010 Jan 20(1):CD000171

60. Samandari T, Agizew TB, Nyirenda S, Tedla Z, Sibanda T, Shang N, et al. 6-month versus 36-month isoniazid preventive treatment for tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection in Botswana: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2011 May 7;377(9777):1588-98

61. Rangaka MX, Wilkinson RJ, Boulle A, Glynn JR, Fielding K, van Cutsem G, et al. Isoniazid plus antiretroviral therapy to prevent tuberculosis: a randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2014 Aug 23;384(9944):682-90

62. Meintjes G, Moorhouse MA, Carmona S, Davies N, Dlamini S, van Vuuren C, et al. Adult antiretroviral therapy guidelines 2017. South Afr J HIV Med. 2017;18(1):776

63. Lester R, Hamilton R, Charalambous S, Dwadwa T, Chandler C, Churchyard GJ, et al. Barriers to implementation of isoniazid preventive therapy in HIV clinics: a qualitative study. AIDS. 2010 Nov;24 Suppl 5:S45-8

64. Van Ginderdeuren E, Bassett J, Hanrahan C, Mutunga L, Van Rie A. Health system barriers to implementation of TB preventive strategies in South African primary care facilities. PLoS One. 2019;14(2):e0212035

65. Ford N, Shubber Z, Meintjes G, Grinsztejn B, Eholie S, Mills EJ, et al. Causes of hospital admission among people living with HIV worldwide: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet HIV. 2015 Oct;2(10):e438-44

66. Gupta RK, Lucas SB, Fielding KL, Lawn SD. Prevalence of tuberculosis in postmortem studies of HIV-infected adults and children in resource-limited settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS. 2015 Sep 24;29(15):1987-2002

67. Karat AS, Omar T, von Gottberg A, Tlali M, Chihota VN, Churchyard GJ, et al. Autopsy Prevalence of Tuberculosis and Other Potentially Treatable Infections among Adults with Advanced HIV Enrolled in Out-Patient Care in South Africa. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0166158

68. Auld AF, Blain M, Ekra KA, Kouakou JS, Ettiegne-Traore V, Tuho MZ, et al. Wide Variations in Compliance with Tuberculosis Screening Guidelines and Tuberculosis Incidence between Antiretroviral Therapy Facilities - Cote d'Ivoire. PLoS One. 2016;11(6):e0157059

69. McCarthy KM, Grant AD, Chihota V, Ginindza S, Mvusi L, Churchyard GJ, et al. Implementation and Operational Research: What Happens After a Negative Test for Tuberculosis? Evaluating Adherence to TB Diagnostic Algorithms in South African Primary Health Clinics. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016 Apr 15;71(5):e119-26

70. Surie D, Borgdorff MW, Cain KP, Click ES, DeCock KM, Yuen CM. Assessing the impact of antiretroviral therapy on tuberculosis notification rates among people with HIV:

a descriptive analysis of 23 countries in sub-Saharan Africa, 2010-2015. BMC Infect Dis. 2018 Sep 26;18(1):481

71. Gordis L. Epidemiology. 5th ed. Canada: Saunders 2013.

72. Cain KP, McCarthy KD, Heilig CM, Monkongdee P, Tasaneeyapan T, Kanara N, et al. An algorithm for tuberculosis screening and diagnosis in people with HIV. N Engl J Med. 2010 Feb 25;362(8):707-16

73. Chheng P, Tamhane A, Natpratan C, Tan V, Lay V, Sar B, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis among patients visiting a voluntary confidential counseling and testing center, Cambodia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2008 Mar;12(3 Suppl 1):54-62

74. Corbett EL, Zezai A, Cheung YB, Bandason T, Dauya E, Munyati SS, et al. Providerinitiated symptom screening for tuberculosis in Zimbabwe: diagnostic value and the effect of HIV status. Bull World Health Organ. 2010 Jan;88(1):13-21

75. Day JH, Charalambous S, Fielding KL, Hayes RJ, Churchyard GJ, Grant AD. Screening for tuberculosis prior to isoniazid preventive therapy among HIV-infected gold miners in South Africa. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2006 May;10(5):523-9

76. Kimerling ME, Schuchter J, Chanthol E, Kunthy T, Stuer F, Glaziou P, et al. Prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis among HIV-infected persons in a home care program in Phnom Penh, Cambodia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2002 Nov;6(11):988-94

77. Mohammed A, Ehrlich R, Wood R, Cilliers F, Maartens G. Screening for tuberculosis in adults with advanced HIV infection prior to preventive therapy. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2004 Jun;8(6):792-5

78. Nachega J, Coetzee J, Adendorff T, Msandiwa R, Gray GE, McIntyre JA, et al. Tuberculosis active case-finding in a mother-to-child HIV transmission prevention programme in Soweto, South Africa. AIDS. 2003 Jun 13;17(9):1398-400

79. Shah S, Demissie M, Lambert L, Ahmed J, Leulseged S, Kebede T, et al. Intensified tuberculosis case finding among HIV-Infected persons from a voluntary counseling and testing center in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009 Apr 15;50(5):537-45

80. Getahun H, Kittikraisak W, Heilig CM, Corbett EL, Ayles H, Cain KP, et al. Development of a standardized screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings: individual participant data meta-analysis of observational studies. PLoS Med. 2011 Jan 18;8(1):e1000391

81. World Health Organization. Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case-finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings. 2011 (accessed Sep 5th, 2011). Available from:

http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241500708_eng.pdf.

82. World Health Organization. Automated real-time nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF system. Policy statement. 2011 (accessed Feb 12th, 2013). Available from: (http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241501545_eng.pdf).

83. WHO. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis - 2013 revision (updated December 2014): World Health Organization; 2013 [cited 2015 April 20th]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79199/1/9789241505345_eng.pdf?ua=1.

84. World Health Organization. High-priority target product profiles for new tuberculosis diagnostics: report of a consensus meeting. 2014 (accessed Apr 8th, 2015). Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/tb/publications/tpp_report/en/</u>.

85. Pai M, Nicol MP, Boehme CC. Tuberculosis Diagnostics: State of the Art and Future Directions. Microbiol Spectr. 2016 Oct;4(5)

86. World Health Organization. Implementing tuberculosis diagnostics. Policy framework. 2015 (accessed Jun 14th, 2018). Available from:

http://www.who.int/tb/publications/implementing_TB_diagnostics/en/.

87. Matee M, Mtei L, Lounasvaara T, Wieland-Alter W, Waddell R, Lyimo J, et al. Sputum microscopy for the diagnosis of HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis in Tanzania. BMC Public Health. 2008 Feb 21;8:68 88. Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, Pai M, Boehme CC, Dendukuri N. Xpert(R) MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2014 Jan 21;1(1):CD009593

89. Theron G, Peter J, van Zyl-Smit R, Mishra H, Streicher E, Murray S, et al. Evaluation of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in a high HIV prevalence setting. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011 Jul 1;184(1):132-40

90. Dorman SE, Schumacher SG, Alland D, Nabeta P, Armstrong DT, King B, et al. Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: a prospective multicentre diagnostic accuracy study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018 Jan;18(1):76-84
91. MacLean E, Saravu K, Pai M. Diagnosing active tuberculosis in people living with

HIV: an ongoing challenge. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2019 Jan;14(1):46-54

92. World Health Organization. Automated real-time nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB in adults and children. Policy update. 2013 (accessed May 23rd, 2018). Available from:

http://www.stoptb.org/wg/gli/assets/documents/WHO%20Policy%20Statement%20on%20X pert%20MTB-RIF%202013%20pre%20publication%2022102013.pdf.

93. FIND. Negotiated Product Pricing [cited 2018 July 20th]. Available from: https://www.finddx.org/find-negotiated-product-pricing/.

94. Shah M, Chihota V, Coetzee G, Churchyard G, Dorman SE. Comparison of laboratory costs of rapid molecular tests and conventional diagnostics for detection of tuberculosis and drug-resistant tuberculosis in South Africa. BMC Infect Dis. 2013 Jul 29;13:352

95. Médecins Sans Frontières. Out of Step 2017: TB policies in 29 countries: a survey of prevention, testing and treatment policies and practices.2017 (accessed Aug 3rd, 2018). Available from: <u>https://reliefweb.int/report/world/out-step-2017-tb-policies-29-countries-survey-prevention-testing-and-treatment-policies</u>.

96. World Health Organization. WHO monitoring of Xpert MTB/RIF roll-out. (accessed Jul 20th, 2018). Available from: <u>http://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/laboratory/mtb-rif-rollout/en/</u>.

97. Abimbola TO, Marston BJ, Date AA, Blandford JM, Sangrujee N, Wiktor SZ. Costeffectiveness of tuberculosis diagnostic strategies to reduce early mortality among persons with advanced HIV infection initiating antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012 May 1;60(1):e1-7

98. Vassall A, van Kampen S, Sohn H, Michael JS, John KR, den Boon S, et al. Rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis with the Xpert MTB/RIF assay in high burden countries: a cost-effectiveness analysis. PLoS Med. 2011 Nov;8(11):e1001120

99. Vassall A, Siapka M, Foster N, Cunnama L, Ramma L, Fielding K, et al. Costeffectiveness of Xpert MTB/RIF for tuberculosis diagnosis in South Africa: a real-world cost analysis and economic evaluation. The Lancet Global health. 2017 Jul;5(7):e710-e9

100. Churchyard GJ, Stevens WS, Mametja LD, McCarthy KM, Chihota V, Nicol MP, et al. Xpert MTB/RIF versus sputum microscopy as the initial diagnostic test for tuberculosis: a cluster-randomised trial embedded in South African roll-out of Xpert MTB/RIF. The Lancet Global health. 2015 Aug;3(8):e450-e7

101. Theron G, Zijenah L, Chanda D, Clowes P, Rachow A, Lesosky M, et al. Feasibility, accuracy, and clinical effect of point-of-care Xpert MTB/RIF testing for tuberculosis in primary-care settings in Africa: a multicentre, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet. 2014 Feb 1;383(9915):424-35

102. Hermans S, Caldwell J, Kaplan R, Cobelens F, Wood R. The impact of the roll-out of rapid molecular diagnostic testing for tuberculosis on empirical treatment in Cape Town, South Africa. Bull World Health Organ. 2017 Aug 1;95(8):554-63

103. Shah M, Hanrahan C, Wang ZY, Dendukuri N, Lawn SD, Denkinger CM, et al. Lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay for detecting active tuberculosis in HIV-positive adults. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2016 May 10(5):CD011420

104. Balcha TT, Winqvist N, Sturegard E, Skogmar S, Reepalu A, Jemal ZH, et al. Detection of lipoarabinomannan in urine for identification of active tuberculosis among HIV-positive adults in Ethiopian health centres. Trop Med Int Health. 2014 Jun;19(6):734-42

105. Lawn SD, Kerkhoff AD, Vogt M, Wood R. Diagnostic accuracy of a low-cost, urine antigen, point-of-care screening assay for HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis before antiretroviral therapy: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2012 Mar;12(3):201-9 Drain PK, Losina E, Coleman SM, Giddy J, Ross D, Katz JN, et al. Value of urine 106. lipoarabinomannan grade and second test for optimizing clinic-based screening for HIVassociated pulmonary tuberculosis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015 Mar 1:68(3):274-80 107. Drain PK, Losina E, Coleman SM, Giddy J, Ross D, Katz JN, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a point-of-care urine test for tuberculosis screening among newly-diagnosed HIV-infected adults: a prospective, clinic-based study. BMC Infect Dis. 2014 Feb 26;14:110 108. Lawn SD, Kerkhoff AD, Burton R, Schutz C, Boulle A, Vogt M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy, incremental yield and prognostic value of Determine TB-LAM for routine diagnostic testing for tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients requiring acute hospital admission in South Africa: a prospective cohort. BMC medicine. 2017 Mar 21;15(1):67 Nakiyingi L, Moodley VM, Manabe YC, Nicol MP, Holshouser M, Armstrong DT, et al. 109. Diagnostic accuracy of a rapid urine lipoarabinomannan test for tuberculosis in HIVinfected adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014 Jul 1;66(3):270-9

110. Peter JG, Theron G, van Zyl-Smit R, Haripersad A, Mottay L, Kraus S, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a urine lipoarabinomannan strip-test for TB detection in HIV-infected hospitalised patients. Eur Respir J. 2012 Nov;40(5):1211-20

111. Shah M, Ssengooba W, Armstrong D, Nakiyingi L, Holshouser M, Ellner JJ, et al. Comparative performance of urinary lipoarabinomannan assays and Xpert MTB/RIF in HIVinfected individuals. AIDS. 2014 Jun 1;28(9):1307-14

112. World Health Organization. The use of lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay (LF-LAM) for the diagnosis and screening of active tuberculosis in people living with HIV. Policy guidance. 2015 (accessed Jan 22nd, 2018). Available from: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/use-of-lf-lam-tb-hiv/en/.

113. Gupta-Wright A, Corbett EL, van Oosterhout JJ, Wilson D, Grint D, Alufandika-Moyo M, et al. Rapid urine-based screening for tuberculosis in HIV-positive patients admitted to hospital in Africa (STAMP): a pragmatic, multicentre, parallel-group, double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2018 Jul 28;392(10144):292-301

114. Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics. Point-of-care TB LAM tests [cited 2019 April 7th]. Available from: <u>https://www.finddx.org/tb/poc-tb-hiv/</u>.

115. Sulla V, Zikhali P. Overcoming Poverty and Inequality in South Africa : An Assessment of Drivers, Constraints and Opportunities (English) Washington, D.C.2018 [cited 2018 July 20th]. Available from:

http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/530481521735906534/Overcoming-Povertyand-Inequality-in-South-Africa-An-Assessment-of-Drivers-Constraints-and-Opportunities.

116. UNAIDS. South Africa Country Profile: UNAIDS; [cited 2018 July 21st]. Available from: <u>http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/southafrica</u>.

117. UNAIDS. UNAIDS Data 2018 2018 [cited 2018 July 21st]. Available from: http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2018/unaids-data-2018.

118. Human Sciences Research Council HIV impact assessment summary. The fifth South African National HIV prevalence, incidence, behaviour and communication survey, 2017. 2018 (accessed Jul 21st, 2018). Available from:

http://www.hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/9225/SABSSMV_Impact_Assessment_Summa ry_ZA_ADS_cleared1%20(002).pdf.

119. National Department of Health South Africa. National Department of Health Annual Report 2016/2017. (accessed May 25th, 2018). Available from: https://www.gov.za/documents/annual-report. 120. National Department of Health South Africa. National consolidated guidelines for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (PMTCT) and the management of HIV in children, adolescents and adults.2015 (accessed July 21st, 2018). Available from: http://www.sahivsoc.org/Files/ART%20Guidelines%2015052015.pdf.

121. National Department of Health South Africa. National Policy on HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) and Test and Treat (T&T)2018 (accessed Jul 21st, 2018). Available from: <u>http://www.sahivsoc.org/Files/PREP%20and%20TT%20Policy%20-</u> %20Final%20Draft%20-%205%20May%202016%20(HIV%20news).pdf.

122. Shisana O, Rehle T, Simbayi LC, Zuma K, Jooste S, Zungu N, et al. South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012. 2014 (accessed 7th Aprill, 2019). Available from: http://www.hsrc.ac.za/en/research-data/view/6871.

123. Ismail NA, Mvusi L, Nanoo A, Dreyer A, Omar SV, Babatunde S, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistant tuberculosis and imputed burden in South Africa: a national and subnational cross-sectional survey. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018 Jul;18(7):779-87

124. National Department of Health South Africa. National Tuberculosis Management Guidelines. 2014 (accessed 2nd April, 2015). Available from:

http://www.doh.gov.za/docs/hivAids/NationalTBManagementGuidelines.pdf.

125. Meyer-Rath G, Schnippel K, Long L, MacLeod W, Sanne I, Stevens W, et al. The impact and cost of scaling up GeneXpert MTB/RIF in South Africa. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e36966

126. Schnippel K, Meyer-Rath G, Long L, Stevens WS, Sanne I, Rosen S. Diagnosing Xpert MTB/RIF negative TB: impact and cost of alternative algorithms for South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2013 Jan 14;103(2):101-6

127. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Recommendations for a public health approach - Second edition. 2016 (accessed May 23rd, 2018). Available from: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-2016/en/.

128. Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Chihota VN, Adonis L, Charalambous S, Karstaedt A, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Lateral Flow Urine LAM Assay for TB Screening of Adults with Advanced Immunosuppression Attending Routine HIV Care in South Africa. PLoS One. 2016;11(6):e0156866

129. Hanifa Y, Fielding KL, Chihota VN, Adonis L, Charalambous S, Foster N, et al. A clinical scoring system to prioritise investigation for tuberculosis among adults attending HIV clinics in South Africa. PLoS One. 2017;12(8):e0181519

130. Hanifa Y, Toro Silva S, Karstaedt A, Sahid F, Charalambous S, Chihota VN, et al. What causes symptoms suggestive of tuberculosis in HIV-positive people with negative initial investigations? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2019 Feb 1;23(2):157-65

131. Hanifa Y, Fielding K, Chihota V, Ndlovu N, Karstaedt A, Adonis L, et al. Evaluation of WHO 4-Symptom Tool to Rule Out TB: Data From the XPHACTOR Study (Abstract #823). Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; February 23-26, 2015; Seattle, Washington.2015.

132. Hanifa Y, Chihota V, Ndlovu N, Sahid F, Adonis L, Fielding K, et al. Frequency and seasonal variation of "TB symptoms" amongst people taking antiretroviral therapy in South Africa (Abstract #PC-940-03). 44th World Conference on Lung Health of the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union); 30 October - 3 November, 2013; Paris, France.2013.

133. Lawn SD, Edwards DJ, Kranzer K, Vogt M, Bekker LG, Wood R. Urine lipoarabinomannan assay for tuberculosis screening before antiretroviral therapy diagnostic yield and association with immune reconstitution disease. Aids. 2009 Sep 10;23(14):1875-80

134. Ayles H, Schaap A, Nota A, Sismanidis C, Tembwe R, De Haas P, et al. Prevalence of tuberculosis, HIV and respiratory symptoms in two Zambian communities: implications for tuberculosis control in the era of HIV. PLoS One. 2009;4(5):e5602

135. Corbett EL, Bandason T, Cheung YB, Munyati S, Godfrey-Faussett P, Hayes R, et al. Epidemiology of tuberculosis in a high HIV prevalence population provided with enhanced diagnosis of symptomatic disease. PLoS Med. 2007 Jan;4(1):e22

136. Lewis JJ, Charalambous S, Day JH, Fielding KL, Grant AD, Hayes RJ, et al. HIV infection does not affect active case finding of tuberculosis in South African gold miners. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2009 Dec 15;180(12):1271-8

137. Usher-Smith JA, Sharp SJ, Griffin SJ. The spectrum effect in tests for risk prediction, screening, and diagnosis. BMJ. 2016 Jun 22;353:i3139

138. Ahmad Khan F, Verkuijl S, Parrish A, Chikwava F, Ntumy R, El-Sadr W, et al. Performance of symptom-based tuberculosis screening among people living with HIV: not as great as hoped. AIDS. 2014 Jun 19;28(10):1463-72

139. Calnan M. Developing strategies for TB screening among HIV-infected and HIVuninfected pregnant and postpartum women in Swaziland. 47th World Conference on Lung Health of the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease; Oct 26-29, 2016; Liverpool, UK.2016.

140. Kufa T, Mngomezulu V, Charalambous S, Hanifa Y, Fielding K, Grant AD, et al. Undiagnosed tuberculosis among HIV clinic attendees: association with antiretroviral therapy and implications for intensified case finding, isoniazid preventive therapy, and infection control. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012 Jun 1;60(2):e22-8

141. LaCourse SM, Cranmer LM, Matemo D, Kinuthia J, Richardson BA, John-Stewart G, et al. Tuberculosis Case Finding in HIV-Infected Pregnant Women in Kenya Reveals Poor Performance of Symptom Screening and Rapid Diagnostic Tests. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016 Feb 1;71(2):219-27

142. Nguyen DT, Bang ND, Hung NQ, Beasley RP, Hwang LY, Graviss EA. Yield of chest radiograph in tuberculosis screening for HIV-infected persons at a district-level HIV clinic. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2016 Feb;20(2):211-7

143. Rangaka MX, Wilkinson RJ, Glynn JR, Boulle A, van Cutsem G, Goliath R, et al. Effect of antiretroviral therapy on the diagnostic accuracy of symptom screening for intensified tuberculosis case finding in a South African HIV clinic. Clin Infect Dis. 2012 Dec;55(12):1698-706

144. Broughton E, Haumba S, Calnan M, Ginindsa S, Jeffries R, Maphalala G, et al. Screening in Maternity to Ascertain Tuberculosis Status (SMATS) study. BMC Infect Dis. 2017 Mar 6;17(1):191

145. Hoffmann CJ, Variava E, Rakgokong M, Masonoke K, van der Watt M, Chaisson RE, et al. High prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis but low sensitivity of symptom screening among HIV-infected pregnant women in South Africa. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e62211

146. Nguyen DT, Hung NQ, Giang LT, Dung NH, Lan NT, Lan NN, et al. Improving the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected individuals in Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2011 Nov;15(11):1528-34, i

147. Adelman MW, Tsegaye M, Kempker RR, Alebachew T, Haile K, Tesfaye A, et al. Intensified tuberculosis case finding among HIV-infected persons using a WHO symptom screen and Xpert((R)) MTB/RIF. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2015 Oct;19(10):1197-203

148. Cranmer LM, Langat A, Ronen K, McGrath CJ, LaCourse S, Pintye J, et al. Integrating tuberculosis screening in Kenyan Prevention of Mother-To-Child Transmission programs. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2017 Mar 1;21(3):256-62

149. Boehme C, Molokova E, Minja F, Geis S, Loscher T, Maboko L, et al. Detection of mycobacterial lipoarabinomannan with an antigen-capture ELISA in unprocessed urine of Tanzanian patients with suspected tuberculosis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2005 Dec;99(12):893-900

150. Shah M, Variava E, Holmes CB, Coppin A, Golub JE, McCallum J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a urine lipoarabinomannan test for tuberculosis in hospitalized patients in a High HIV prevalence setting. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009 Oct 1;52(2):145-51

151. Drain PK, Losina E, Coleman SM, Giddy J, Ross D, Katz JN, et al. Rapid urine lipoarabinomannan assay as a clinic-based screening test for active tuberculosis at HIV diagnosis. BMC Pulm Med. 2016 Nov 14;16(1):147

152. Bjerrum S, Kenu E, Lartey M, Newman MJ, Addo KK, Andersen AB, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the rapid urine lipoarabinomannan test for pulmonary tuberculosis among HIV-infected adults in Ghana-findings from the DETECT HIV-TB study. BMC Infect Dis. 2015 Oct 1;15:407

153. Thit SS, Aung NM, Htet ZW, Boyd MA, Saw HA, Anstey NM, et al. The clinical utility of the urine-based lateral flow lipoarabinomannan assay in HIV-infected adults in Myanmar: an observational study. BMC medicine. 2017 Aug 4;15(1):145

154. Balcha TT, Sturegard E, Winqvist N, Skogmar S, Reepalu A, Jemal ZH, et al. Intensified tuberculosis case-finding in HIV-positive adults managed at Ethiopian health centers: diagnostic yield of Xpert MTB/RIF compared with smear microscopy and liquid culture. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e85478

155. Nel JS, Lippincott CK, Berhanu R, Spencer DC, Sanne IM, Ive P. Does Disseminated Nontuberculous Mycobacterial Disease Cause False-Positive Determine TB-LAM Lateral Flow Assay Results? A Retrospective Review. Clin Infect Dis. 2017 Oct 1;65(7):1226-8 156. Steyerberg EW. Clinical Prediction Models: A practical approach to development, validation, and updating. New York: Springer; 2009.

157. Moons KG, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JP, Macaskill P, Steyerberg EW, et al. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): explanation and elaboration. Annals of internal medicine. 2015 Jan 6;162(1):W1-73

158. Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD statement. BMJ. 2015 Jan 7;350:g7594

159. Moons KG, de Groot JA, Bouwmeester W, Vergouwe Y, Mallett S, Altman DG, et al. Critical appraisal and data extraction for systematic reviews of prediction modelling studies: the CHARMS checklist. PLoS Med. 2014 Oct;11(10):e1001744

160. Royston P, Moons KG, Altman DG, Vergouwe Y. Prognosis and prognostic research: Developing a prognostic model. BMJ. 2009 Mar 31;338:b604

161. Wyatt JC, Altman DG. Commentary: Prognostic models - clinically useful or quickly forgotten? BMJ. 1995;311:1539

162. Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. BMJ. 2006 May 6;332(7549):1080

163. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996 Dec;49(12):1373-9

164. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied Logistic Regression, Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons; 2013.

165. Moons KG, Kengne AP, Woodward M, Royston P, Vergouwe Y, Altman DG, et al. Risk prediction models: I. Development, internal validation, and assessing the incremental value of a new (bio)marker. Heart. 2012 May;98(9):683-90

166. Moons KG, Altman DG, Vergouwe Y, Royston P. Prognosis and prognostic research: application and impact of prognostic models in clinical practice. BMJ. 2009 Jun 4;338:b606 167. Van Wyk SS, Lin HH, Claassens MM. A systematic review of prediction models for prevalent pulmonary tuberculosis in adults. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2017 Apr 1;21(4):405-11 168. Ingui BJ, Rogers MA. Searching for clinical prediction rules in MEDLINE. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2001 Jul-Aug;8(4):391-7

169. Geersing GJ, Bouwmeester W, Zuithoff P, Spijker R, Leeflang M, Moons KG. Search filters for finding prognostic and diagnostic prediction studies in Medline to enhance systematic reviews. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e32844

170. Alvarez GG, Sabri E, Ling D, Cameron DW, Maartens G, Wilson D. A model to rule out smear-negative tuberculosis among symptomatic HIV patients using C-reactive protein. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2012 Sep;16(9):1247-51

171. Coimbra I, Maruza M, Albuquerque Mde F, Batista JD, Braga MC, Moura LV, et al. Validating a scoring system for the diagnosis of smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected adults. PLoS One. 2014;9(4):e95828

172. Soto A, Solari L, Diaz J, Mantilla A, Matthys F, van der Stuyft P. Validation of a clinical-radiographic score to assess the probability of pulmonary tuberculosis in suspect patients with negative sputum smears. PLoS One. 2011 Apr 5;6(4):e18486

173. Saranchuk P, Boulle A, Hilderbrand K, Coetzee D, Bedelu M, van Cutsem G, et al. Evaluation of a diagnostic algorithm for smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected adults. S Afr Med J. 2007 Jul;97(7):517-23

174. Lee SS, Lin HH, Tsai HC, Su IJ, Yang CH, Sun HY, et al. A Clinical Algorithm to Identify HIV Patients at High Risk for Incident Active Tuberculosis: A Prospective 5-Year Cohort Study. PLoS One. 2015;10(8):e0135801

175. Nanta S, Kantipong P, Pathipvanich P, Ruengorn C, Tawichasri C, Patumanond J. Screening scheme development for active TB prediction among HIV-infected patients. The Southeast Asian journal of tropical medicine and public health. 2011 Jul;42(4):867-75 176. Balcha TT, Skogmar S, Sturegard E, Schon T, Winqvist N, Reepalu A, et al. A

Clinical Scoring Algorithm for Determination of the Risk of Tuberculosis in HIV-Infected Adults: A Cohort Study Performed at Ethiopian Health Centers. Open forum infectious diseases. 2014 Dec;1(3):ofu095

177. Halligan S, Altman DG, Mallett S. Disadvantages of using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve to assess imaging tests: a discussion and proposal for an alternative approach. Eur Radiol. 2015 Apr;25(4):932-9

178. Modi S, Cavanaugh JS, Shiraishi RW, Alexander HL, McCarthy KD, Burmen B, et al. Performance of Clinical Screening Algorithms for Tuberculosis Intensified Case Finding among People Living with HIV in Western Kenya. PLoS One. 2016;11(12):e0167685

179. Cowger TL, Thai LH, Duong BD, Danyuttapolchai J, Kittimunkong S, Nhung NV, et al. Programmatic Evaluation of an Algorithm for Intensified Tuberculosis Case Finding and Isoniazid Preventive Therapy for People Living With HIV in Thailand and Vietnam. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017 Dec 15;76(5):512-21

180. WHO. Improving the diagnosis and treatment of smear-negative pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis among adults and adolescents: recommendations for HIV-prevalent and resource-constrained settings: World Health Organization; 2007 [cited 2015 April 17th]. Available from:

http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2007/WHO_HTM_TB_2007.379_eng.pdf.

181. Cox HS, Mbhele S, Mohess N, Whitelaw A, Muller O, Zemanay W, et al. Impact of Xpert MTB/RIF for TB diagnosis in a primary care clinic with high TB and HIV prevalence in South Africa: a pragmatic randomised trial. PLoS Med. 2014 Nov;11(11):e1001760

182. Naidoo P, Dunbar R, Lombard C, du Toit E, Caldwell J, Detjen A, et al. Comparing Tuberculosis Diagnostic Yield in Smear/Culture and Xpert(R) MTB/RIF-Based Algorithms Using a Non-Randomised Stepped-Wedge Design. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0150487

183. Boehme CC, Nicol MP, Nabeta P, Michael JS, Gotuzzo E, Tahirli R, et al. Feasibility, diagnostic accuracy, and effectiveness of decentralised use of the Xpert MTB/RIF test for diagnosis of tuberculosis and multidrug resistance: a multicentre implementation study. Lancet. 2011 Apr 30;377(9776):1495-505

184. Boehme CC, Nabeta P, Hillemann D, Nicol MP, Shenai S, Krapp F, et al. Rapid molecular detection of tuberculosis and rifampin resistance. N Engl J Med. 2010 Sep 9;363(11):1005-15

185. Boyles TH, Hughes J, Cox V, Burton R, Meintjes G, Mendelson M. False-positive Xpert(R) MTB/RIF assays in previously treated patients: need for caution in interpreting results. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2014 Jul;18(7):876-8

186. Acuna-Villaorduna C, Orikiriza P, Nyehangane D, White LF, Mwanga-Amumpaire J, Kim S, et al. Effect of previous treatment and sputum quality on diagnostic accuracy of Xpert((R)) MTB/RIF. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2017 Apr 1;21(4):389-97

187. Cavanaugh JS, Modi S, Musau S, McCarthy K, Alexander H, Burmen B, et al. Comparative Yield of Different Diagnostic Tests for Tuberculosis among People Living with HIV in Western Kenya. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0152364

188. Floridia M, Ciccacci F, Andreotti M, Hassane A, Sidumo Z, Magid NA, et al. Tuberculosis Case Finding With Combined Rapid Point-of-Care Assays (Xpert MTB/RIF and Determine TB LAM) in HIV-Positive Individuals Starting Antiretroviral Therapy in Mozambique. Clin Infect Dis. 2017 Nov 13;65(11):1878-83

189. Griesel R, Stewart A, van der Plas H, Sikhondze W, Rangaka MX, Nicol MP, et al. Optimizing Tuberculosis Diagnosis in Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Inpatients Meeting the Criteria of Seriously III in the World Health Organization Algorithm. Clin Infect Dis. 2018 Apr 17;66(9):1419-26

190. Bedell RA, Anderson ST, van Lettow M, Akesson A, Corbett EL, Kumwenda M, et al. High prevalence of tuberculosis and serious bloodstream infections in ambulatory individuals presenting for antiretroviral therapy in Malawi. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e39347

191. Damtie D, Yismaw G, Woldeyohannes D, Anagaw B. Common opportunistic infections and their CD4 cell correlates among HIV-infected patients attending at antiretroviral therapy clinic of Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC research notes. 2013 Dec 14;6:534

192. Hargreaves NJ, Kadzakumanja O, Phiri S, Nyangulu DS, Salaniponi FM, Harries AD, et al. What causes smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis in Malawi, an area of high HIV seroprevalence? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2001 Feb;5(2):113-22

193. Okwera A, Bwanga F, Najjingo I, Mulumba Y, Mafigiri DK, Whalen CC, et al. Aetiology of pulmonary symptoms in HIV-infected smear negative recurrent PTB suspects in Kampala, Uganda: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One. 2013;8(12):e82257

194. Munyati SS, Dhoba T, Makanza ED, Mungofa S, Wellington M, Mutsvangwa J, et al. Chronic cough in primary health care attendees, Harare, Zimbabwe: diagnosis and impact of HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2005 Jun 15;40(12):1818-27

195. Calligaro GL, Bateman ED, W.N. R, K. D, R.N. VZ-S, M. W, et al. Respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function abnormalities in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy in a high tuberculosis burden country. American Thoracic Society International Conference, ATS 2011 Denver, CO United States2011.

196. Bates MN, Khalakdina A, Pai M, Chang L, Lessa F, Smith KR. Risk of tuberculosis from exposure to tobacco smoke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med. 2007 Feb 26;167(4):335-42

197. Magodoro IM, Esterhuizen TM, Chivese T. A cross-sectional, facility based study of comorbid non-communicable diseases among adults living with HIV infection in Zimbabwe. BMC research notes. 2016 Aug 2;9:379

198. Allwood BW, Myer L, Bateman ED. A systematic review of the association between pulmonary tuberculosis and the development of chronic airflow obstruction in adults. Respiration. 2013;86(1):76-85

199. Ehrlich RI, Adams S, Baatjies R, Jeebhay MF. Chronic airflow obstruction and respiratory symptoms following tuberculosis: a review of South African studies. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2011 Jul;15(7):886-91

200. Gupte AN, Wong ML, Msandiwa R, Barnes GL, Golub J, Chaisson RE, et al. Factors associated with pulmonary impairment in HIV-infected South African adults. PLoS One. 2017;12(9):e0184530

201. Hanrahan CF, Golub JE, Mohapi L, Tshabangu N, Modisenyane T, Chaisson RE, et al. Body mass index and risk of tuberculosis and death. AIDS. 2010 Jun 19;24(10):1501-8 202. van der Sande MA, Schim van der Loeff MF, Aveika AA, Sabally S, Togun T, Sarge-Njie R, et al. Body mass index at time of HIV diagnosis: a strong and independent predictor of survival. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2004 Oct 1;37(2):1288-94 203. Hermans SM, Kiragga AN, Schaefer P, Kambugu A, Hoepelman AI, Manabe YC. Incident tuberculosis during antiretroviral therapy contributes to suboptimal immune reconstitution in a large urban HIV clinic in sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS One. 2010 May 7;5(5):e10527

204. Van Rie A, Westreich D, Sanne I. Tuberculosis in patients receiving antiretroviral treatment: incidence, risk factors, and prevention strategies. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011 Apr;56(4):349-55

205. El-Sony AI, Mustafa SA, Khamis AH, Sobhi S, Enarson DA, Baraka OZ, et al. Symptoms in patients attending services for diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in Sudan. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2003 Jun;7(6):550-5

206. Tamhane A, Chheng P, Dobbs T, Mak S, Sar B, Kimerling ME. Predictors of smearnegative pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients, Battambang, Cambodia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009 Mar;13(3):347-54

207. Peter J, Theron G, Chanda D, Clowes P, Rachow A, Lesosky M, et al. Test characteristics and potential impact of the urine LAM lateral flow assay in HIV-infected outpatients under investigation for TB and able to self-expectorate sputum for diagnostic testing. BMC Infect Dis. 2015 Jul 9;15:262

208. Wood R, Middelkoop K, Myer L, Grant AD, Whitelaw A, Lawn SD, et al. Undiagnosed tuberculosis in a community with high HIV prevalence: implications for tuberculosis control. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007 Jan 1;175(1):87-93

209. Dowdy DW, Basu S, Andrews JR. Is passive diagnosis enough? The impact of subclinical disease on diagnostic strategies for tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013 Mar 1;187(5):543-51

210. Esmail H, Lai RP, Lesosky M, Wilkinson KA, Graham CM, Coussens AK, et al. Characterization of progressive HIV-associated tuberculosis using 2-deoxy-2-[(18)F]fluoro-D-glucose positron emission and computed tomography. Nat Med. 2016 Oct;22(10):1090-3 211. Cobelens F, Kik S, Esmail H, Cirillo DM, Lienhardt C, Matteelli A. From latent to

patent: rethinking prediction of tuberculosis. The Lancet Respiratory medicine. 2017 Apr;5(4):243-4

212. Zak DE, Penn-Nicholson A, Scriba TJ, Thompson E, Suliman S, Amon LM, et al. A blood RNA signature for tuberculosis disease risk: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2016 Jun 4;387(10035):2312-22

213. Esmail H, Dodd PJ, Houben R. Tuberculosis transmission during the subclinical period: could unrelated cough play a part? The Lancet Respiratory medicine. 2018 Apr;6(4):244-6

214. Yoon C, Davis JL, Huang L, Muzoora C, Byakwaga H, Scibetta C, et al. Point-of-care C-reactive protein testing to facilitate implementation of isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014 Apr 15;65(5):551-6

215. Kapata N, Chanda-Kapata P, Ngosa W, Metitiri M, Klinkenberg E, Kalisvaart N, et al. The Prevalence of Tuberculosis in Zambia: Results from the First National TB Prevalence Survey, 2013-2014. PLoS One. 2016;11(1):e0146392

216. Enos M, Sitienei J, Ong'ang'o J, Mungai B, Kamene M, Wambugu J, et al. Kenya tuberculosis prevalence survey 2016: Challenges and opportunities of ending TB in Kenya. PLoS One. 2018;13(12):e0209098

217. Ministry of Health - Republic of Kenya. Kenya Tuberculosis Prevalence Survey 2016- Final Survey Report(accessed Nov 18th, 2019). Available from:

https://www.chskenya.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Final-TB-Prevalence-Survey-Report.pdf.

218. Ssemmondo E, Mwangwa F, Kironde JL, Kwarisiima D, Clark TD, Marquez C, et al. Implementation and Operational Research: Population-Based Active Tuberculosis Case Finding During Large-Scale Mobile HIV Testing Campaigns in Rural Uganda. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016 Nov 1;73(3):e46-e50 219. Owiti P, Onyango D, Momanyi R, Harries AD. Screening and testing for tuberculosis among the HIV-infected: outcomes from a large HIV programme in western Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2019 Jan 8;19(1):29

220. National Department of Health (NDoH), Statistics South Africa (Stats SA), South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC), ICF. a. South Africa Demographic and Health Survey 2016.2019 (accessed Nov 18th, 2019). Available from: https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR337/FR337.pdf.

221. den Boon S, van Lill SW, Borgdorff MW, Verver S, Bateman ED, Lombard CJ, et al. Association between smoking and tuberculosis infection: a population survey in a high tuberculosis incidence area. Thorax. 2005 Jul;60(7):555-7

222. Harries AD, Chakaya JM. Assessing and managing pulmonary impairment in those who have completed TB treatment in programmatic settings. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2019 Sep 1;23(9):1044-5

223. English RG, Bateman ED, Zwarenstein MF, Fairall LR, Bheekie A, Bachmann MO, et al. Development of a South African integrated syndromic respiratory disease guideline for primary care. Prim Care Respir J. 2008 Sep;17(3):156-63

224. World Health Organization. IMAI district clinician manual: hospital care for adolescents and adults: guidelines for the management of illnesses with limited-resources. Switzerland2011. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/imai/imai2011/en/</u>.

225. Auld AF, Fielding KL, Gupta-Wright A, Lawn SD. Xpert MTB/RIF - why the lack of morbidity and mortality impact in intervention trials? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2016 Aug;110(8):432-44

226. Durovni B, Saraceni V, van den Hof S, Trajman A, Cordeiro-Santos M, Cavalcante S, et al. Impact of replacing smear microscopy with Xpert MTB/RIF for diagnosing tuberculosis in Brazil: a stepped-wedge cluster-randomized trial. PLoS Med. 2014 Dec;11(12):e1001766

227. Lessells RJ, Cooke GS, McGrath N, Nicol MP, Newell ML, Godfrey-Faussett P. Impact of Point-of-Care Xpert MTB/RIF on Tuberculosis Treatment Initiation. A Cluster-

randomized Trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017 Oct 1;196(7):901-10

228. Paris L, Magni R, Zaidi F, Araujo R, Saini N, Harpole M, et al. Urine lipoarabinomannan glycan in HIV-negative patients with pulmonary tuberculosis correlates with disease severity. Sci Transl Med. 2017 Dec 13;9(420)

229. Wood A, Barizuddin S, Darr CM, Mathai CJ, Ball A, Minch K, et al. Ultrasensitive detection of lipoarabinomannan with plasmonic grating biosensors in clinical samples of HIV negative patients with tuberculosis. PLoS One. 2019;14(3):e0214161

230. Bajema KL, Bassett IV, Coleman SM, Ross D, Freedberg KA, Wald A, et al. Subclinical tuberculosis among adults with HIV: clinical features and outcomes in a South African cohort. BMC Infect Dis. 2019 Jan 5;19(1):14

231. Oni T, Burke R, Tsekela R, Bangani N, Seldon R, Gideon HP, et al. High prevalence of subclinical tuberculosis in HIV-1-infected persons without advanced immunodeficiency: implications for TB screening. Thorax. 2011 Aug;66(8):669-73

232. Mtei L, Matee M, Herfort O, Bakari M, Horsburgh CR, Waddell R, et al. High rates of clinical and subclinical tuberculosis among HIV-infected ambulatory subjects in Tanzania. Clin Infect Dis. 2005 May 15;40(10):1500-7

233. Swaminathan S, Paramasivan CN, Kumar SR, Mohan V, Venkatesan P. Unrecognised tuberculosis in HIV-infected patients: sputum culture is a useful tool. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2004 Jul;8(7):896-8

234. Drain PK, Bajema KL, Dowdy D, Dheda K, Naidoo K, Schumacher SG, et al. Incipient and Subclinical Tuberculosis: a Clinical Review of Early Stages and Progression of Infection. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2018 Oct;31(4)

235. Van't Hoog AH, Onozaki I, Lonnroth K. Choosing algorithms for TB screening: a modelling study to compare yield, predictive value and diagnostic burden. BMC Infect Dis. 2014 Oct 19;14:532

236. Yoon C, Semitala FC, Atuhumuza E, Katende J, Mwebe S, Asege L, et al. Point-ofcare C-reactive protein-based tuberculosis screening for people living with HIV: a diagnostic accuracy study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017 Dec;17(12):1285-92

237. Shapiro AE, Hong T, Govere S, Thulare H, Moosa MY, Dorasamy A, et al. C-reactive protein as a screening test for HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis prior to antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. AIDS. 2018 Aug 24;32(13):1811-20

238. Lawn SD, Kerkhoff AD, Vogt M, Wood R. Diagnostic and prognostic value of serum C-reactive protein for screening for HIV-associated tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013 May;17(5):636-43

239. Gounder CR, Kufa T, Wada NI, Mngomezulu V, Charalambous S, Hanifa Y, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a urine lipoarabinomannan enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for screening ambulatory HIV-infected persons for tuberculosis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011 Oct 1;58(2):219-23

240. Yoon C, Semitala FC, Asege L, Katende J, Mwebe S, Andama AO, et al. Yield and Efficiency of Novel Intensified Tuberculosis Case-Finding Algorithms for People Living with HIV. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019 Mar 1;199(5):643-50

241. International AIDS Society. Differentiated Care for HIV: A Decision Framework for Antiretroviral Therapy.2017 (accessed April 19th, 2019). Available from: http://www.differentiatedcare.org/Guidance.

242. Houben R, Lalli M, Kranzer K, Menzies NA, Schumacher SG, Dowdy DW. What if They Don't Have Tuberculosis? The Consequences and Trade-offs Involved in False-positive Diagnoses of Tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2019 Jan 1;68(1):150-6

243. Pavlou M, Ambler G, Seaman SR, Guttmann O, Elliott P, King M, et al. How to develop a more accurate risk prediction model when there are few events. BMJ. 2015 Aug 11;351:h3868