1	The cytotoxic activity of extracts of the brown alga Cystoseira tamariscifolia
2	(Hudson) Papenfuss, 1950 against cancer cell lines changes seasonally
3	Ahmad A. Mansur*, Murray T. Brown, Richard A. Billington
4	School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus,
5	Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK
6	*Corresponding Author: ahmad.mansur@plymouth.ac.uk

## 7 Abstract

Brown seaweeds have been highlighted for their abundant production of bioactive 8 9 substances that may provide novel drugs or drug scaffolds for a range of diseases, particularly cancer. Indeed, a number of promising compounds that can modulate 10 growth arrest or apoptosis have already been isolated. As previous work has 11 highlighted seasonal differences in concentrations of secondary metabolites, this study 12 aimed to evaluate seasonal variation in the cytotoxic anticancer activity of Cystoseira 13 tamariscifolia extracts. Primary and secondary metabolites were measured using 14 colourimetric techniques and extracts were exposed to human leukaemia, HL60 and 15 THP-1, and human prostate cancer PC3 cell lines in vitro and cell viability was 16 measured using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide 17 (MTT) assay. The results confirm a large but inconsistent seasonal variation in the 18 concentrations of biomolecules, although, generally, levels were higher in spring and 19 summer. IC<sub>50</sub> values for cytotoxicity also showed variability both seasonally and with 20 extraction protocol, but again, this was inconsistent. These data suggest that, when 21 22 collecting brown algae in order to isolate novel bioactive compounds, repeated sampling across annual or biotic cycles of the seaweed and the use of multiple solvent 23 24 extraction methods could give a greater possibility of discovering bioactive-lead compounds. 25

26

27 Keywords: Anticancer; Chemical metabolites; Seasonal variation; Seaweed.

28 Introduction

Cancer has become one of the major causes of premature death in an ageing 29 population. With the risk of developing cancer approaching 50% by the age of 80, 30 combined with current life expectancy figures that are increasing in developing 31 countries, cancer is likely to remain a major affliction to patients and a challenge to 32 healthcare services. Prostate cancer remains one of the leading causes of cancer-33 related death, while 6.8 million cancer deaths reported globally in 2018, 1.8 million of 34 which were caused by prostate cancer only. Not far from that, leukaemia of various 35 types, also claiming many lives around the world every year, especially children (Siegel 36 37 et al. 2019; Bray et al. 2018), which makes the search for a cure for these fatal diseases a noble goal of our research. The most common treatments for cancer are 38 still surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, including direct and indirect hormonal 39 and immune therapies (Coffelt and de-Visser 2015; Senthebane et al. 2017). 40 Currently, most of the chemotherapeutic strategies used to treat different cancers are 41 not completely effective and are often associated with severe side effects that can 42 43 significantly reduce the quality of life of the patient. Thus, much research is being dedicated to finding novel compounds that show clinical efficacy with reduced side 44 effects, with much of this research concentrated on natural products including those 45 46 from marine algae (Anand et al. 2016). Bioactive compounds from brown seaweeds have been highlighted for their importance as anticancer agents (Ashwini et al. 2016) 47 and most of these compounds are generally safe and are abundant in brown 48 seaweeds, which make them a promising potential source of therapeutic compounds 49 (Zubia et al. 2009). A wide range of these bioactive ingredients has been reported to 50 have anti-tumour activity. Polyphenols have been linked with anticancer activities of 51 seaweed crude extracts, for instance, phloroglucinol and dioxinodehydroeckol from 52 brown algae display an antiproliferative activity against colon and breast tumours 53 (Lopes-Costa et al. 2017; Murphy et al. 2014). Similarly, phloroglucinol from an 54 Ecklonia cava extract showed apoptosis enhancing effects on the MCF-7 human 55 56 breast cancer cell line (Kong et al. 2009). Furthermore, crude extracts from brown algae Palmaria palmata, Laminaria setchellii and Macrocystis integrifolia exhibited 57 cytotoxicity against the cervical cancer HeLa cell line (Yuan and Walsh 2006). The 58 anticancer or cytotoxic mechanism of polyphenols is still unclear; however, it has been 59

suggested that polyphenols may inhibit the formation of mitotic spindles by preventing
normal microtubule formation, decreasing angiogenesis and cell adhesion and
invasion (Olivares-Bañuelos et al. 2019; Zenthoefer et al. 2017; Wells et al. 2016).

Seaweed flavonoids such as flavones, catechins, chalcones, flavanols and 63 isoflavonoids are well recognised to exhibit a potent anticancer activity. For example, 64 flavonoids extracted in methanol, chloroform and ethyl acetate from the brown algae 65 Padina gymnospora, and Sargassum wightii reduced the cell viability of the human 66 cancer cell lines A549, HCT-15 and PC-3 (Murugan and Iver 2013). One possible 67 68 mechanism of flavonoids may be exerted by altering steroid hormone production through inhibition of aromatase, preventing the development of cancer cells (Sithranga 69 70 et al. 2010).

Polysaccharides from seaweeds, such as sulphated laminarin, porphyran and 71 fucoidan are naturally active as anticancer agents against human breast, gastric, liver, 72 prostate, colon, lung and urinary bladder cancers and melanoma (Yamasaki-73 Miyamoto et al. 2009; Alekseyenko et al. 2007; Lowenthal and Fitton JH 2015). The 74 suggested mechanism is that polysaccharides cause cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 75 phase (Senthilkumar et al. 2013). A number of proteins have been isolated from 76 seaweed such as lectins, glycoproteins and phycobiliproteins which are the most 77 studied as bioactive anticancer compounds against human lymphoma MCL, colon 78 79 cancer Colo201 cells and cervix cancer HeLa cell lines (Pangestuti and Kim 2015; Harnedy and FitzGerald 2011). They may be able to induce cell cycle arrest and inhibit 80 81 the IGF-IR signalling pathway (Park et al. 2013).

Given the wide range of compounds that they contain and initial evidence on the 82 activities of those compounds, brown algae are a promising source of novel 83 pharmaceutical compounds. Among the brown seaweeds, the genus Cystoseira 84 contains about 40 species distributed widely in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean 85 Sea (De Sousa et al. 2017). Crude extracts of different species have shown anti-86 tumour activity against a wide variety of cancer cell lines. Cystoseira spp. provide a 87 good source of polyphenolic compounds (De Sousa et al. 2017), which are well known 88 to show cytotoxic activities against tumour cells (Gutiérrez-Rodríguez et al. 2017). 89 Polyphenols from these algae include those with unique structural features and exhibit 90

91 effective anticancer activities (Yong-Xin et al. 2011), for example, hexane fractions 92 extracted from *Cystoseira crinita* and *C. stricta* showed 87% and 50% reductions in 93 proliferation respectively in colorectal carcinoma (Caco2) cells after 72 h exposure with 94  $200 \ \mu gmL^{-1}$  extracts and the antiproliferative effect correlated with their polyphenol 95 and flavonoid contents (Alghazeer et al. 2016).

Several studies have demonstrated that the bioactivity properties of brown seaweeds 96 vary seasonally (e.g. Stengel et al. 2011). These studies have indicated that changes 97 in biotic and abiotic factors between seasons and locations affect the production of 98 99 their bioactive compounds (Celis-Plá et al. 2016; Zatelli et al. 2018). However, as far as we are aware, while concentrations of secondary metabolites have been measured, 100 101 no assessment of seasonal variations in cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines has been undertaken. Here, we investigate the seasonal variation of the chemical composition 102 and the cytotoxic activity of extracts from C. tamariscifolia collected from south-west 103 England. We show that extracts from C. tamariscifolia harvested in the winter and 104 spring contained higher levels of secondary metabolites in accordance with previous 105 work (Celis-Plá et al. 2016). Furthermore, we tested cytotoxicity of our extracts against 106 leukaemia and prostate cancer cell lines and we show seasonal variation in the 107 cytotoxic activity of four different solvent extracts against these cell lines. These 108 cytotoxic activities can range from complete cell death to undetectable depending on 109 the season and thus, we suggest that when considering collecting marine algae from 110 temperate seas in order to search for bioactive compounds, a consideration of 111 seasonality is made and samples are collected during different seasons to maximise 112 the possibility of finding bioactive compounds. 113

## 115 Material and methods

#### 116 Collection of Cystoseira tamariscifolia:

117 *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* was collected by hand from the shallow subtidal at Hannafore 118 Point, Cornwall, UK (DD N 50.342234, DD W -4.453528) in mid-June (summer), mid-119 October (autumn), and early March (winter) and early May (spring). The collected 120 samples were transferred to the laboratory in polythene bags kept in an icebox. On 121 arrival, the samples were rinsed with distilled water, cleaned of adhering debris and 122 epiphytes and then freeze-dried at  $-20^{\circ}$ C using Edwards super Modulyo freeze dryer.

## 123 Solvent extraction

Extracts were made in chloroform, methanol (100% and 70%) and water. Fifty grams of freeze-dried samples were mixed with solvents (1:10, w/v), and then homogenised for 2 min by using an IKA T10B Ultra-Turrax disperser at 24°C. The extract was then stirred for 3 min, centrifuged (5,000 g, 10 min, room temperature [RT] and the supernatants recovered. The extracts were dried at 40°C under vacuum. Solvents were used to re-suspend all extracts, and then the extracts were stored at -20°C for biological activity screening (Vizetto-Duarte et al. 2016).

## 131 Total polyphenol content (TPC)

132 The Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) colourimetric technique (Velioglu et al. 1998) was used to determine total phenolic concentrations (TPC). Briefly, 5 µL of the extracts at 0.1, 1 133 and 10 mg/mL were mixed with 100 µL of 10-fold diluted F-C reagent, incubated at 134 RT for 5 min and mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of sodium carbonate (75 g L<sup>-1</sup>, w/v). Following a 135 90 min incubation period at RT, absorbance was measured at 725 nm on a microplate 136 reader (Omega, BMG Labtech). The concentration of TPC was calculated as 137 phloroglucinol equivalents (PGE) utilising a calibration curve prepared with 138 phloroglucinol standard solutions and is expressed as mg PGE g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight. 139

## 140 Total flavonoid content (TFC)

Flavonoid concentrations were quantified according to the method described by Ahn et al. (2007), with modifications. Briefly, 50  $\mu$ L of 2% (w/v) aluminium chloride–ethanol solution was added to 50  $\mu$ L of the extracts at 0.1, 1, 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. After one hour at RT, the absorbance was measured at 420 nm on a microplate reader (Omega, BMG
Labtech). Quercetin was used as a standard, and results are expressed as mg of
quercetin equivalents (QE) g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

## 147 **Total polysaccharide content**

Total polysaccharide concentrations were measured by the phenol-sulfuric acid 148 method (Masuko et al. 2005). 50 µL of samples at the concentrations 0.1, 1 and 10 149 mg/mL was added to 150 µL of sulfuric acid (96% reagent grade). The mixture was 150 incubated in a 96 well plate floating on a water bath at 90°C for 5 min. 30 µL of 5% 151 phenol was added to the mixture for another 5 min in the water bath. The plate was 152 then floated on cold water for additional 5 min to cool and the absorbance was 153 measured at 490 nm on a microplate reader (Omega, BMG Labtech). Glucose was 154 used as a standard and results are expressed as mg of glucose equivalents (G) g<sup>-1</sup> dry 155 weight. 156

#### 157 Total protein

The BCA assay was carried out to determine total protein concentrations using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a 96-well-plate format. The assay mixture contained 100  $\mu$ L of the reagent and 100  $\mu$ L of the sample; crude extracts at concentrations 0.1, 1 and 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. A standard curve with serial bovine serum Albumin BSA solutions (ranging from 0.1  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> to 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) was used for calibration. The mixture was incubated at 37°C and absorbance was measured at 560 nm on a microplate reader (Omega, BMG Labtech).

#### 165 Cell line maintenance and culture

The culturing of the human leukaemia cancer cell lines THP-1 and HL60 was as a suspension and the human prostate cancer cell line (PC3) was as a monolayer. Cells were obtained from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (ECACC), and divided every 3-4 d and were used regularly between passages 10-35. The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 complete growth medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine and maintained at 37°C, under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified incubator.

## 174 Cell vitality assay

The cells were incubated at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/well in a 96-well microplate for 72 h at 37°C with an equal volume of assay medium containing thiazolyl blue tetrazolium (2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in PBS). The resulting formazan crystals were solubilised in 150 µL DMSO. The absorbance was read at 540 nm using a microplate reader (Omega, BMG Labtech). The IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated from a sigmoidal dose-response curve of the data generated in SigmaPlot v. 13.0.

## 181 Fluorescent staining for HL60 and THP-1 cells

THP-1 and HL60 were seeded at 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in 24-well culture plates and treated with 183 100% methanol extracts of *C. tamariscifolia* for 48 h. Cells were centrifuged and 184 suspended in PBS. Propidium iodide (5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>in PBS; Sigma Aldrich) was used for 185 staining cells for 5 min at room temperature in the dark and images were captured 186 using a Nikon fluorescence microscope.

## 187 Flow Cytometry Analysis

Flow cytometry was performed to determine the apoptotic effect of C. tamariscifolia 188 methanol extracts on human leukaemia cell lines HL 60 and THP-1. Cells were seeded 189 at a density of 10<sup>6</sup> mL<sup>-1</sup> and exposed to extracts at a concentration of 150 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> 190 before being incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator for 24h. Cells were washed in 191 PBS and fixed in cold 70% ethanol for 1h. Cells were incubated in 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> RNase 192 and 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> fluorescein diacetate (FITC; Sigma Aldrich) solution for 30 min before 193 cells were analysed by Becton Dickinson FACSCalibur Flow Cytometer. All 194 195 experiments were performed in three replicates.

196

#### 198 **Results**

#### 199 Effects of extraction method and season on the biochemical composition.

To assess the cytotoxic bioactivity of *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* extracts from the southwestern coast of England, various extraction solvents were applied to material collected in all four seasons, and the concentrations of primary and secondary metabolites were measured (Table 1).

100% methanol extracts of C. *tamariscifolia* contained the highest yields of primary and secondary metabolites. Polyphenol content was found to be higher in 100% methanol extracts with a concentration of approximately 100 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW, while chloroform extracts showed the highest content of flavonoids with up to 45 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW. Polysaccharide content ranged from 10 and 45 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW in the water and 100% methanol extracts, respectively (Table 1).

The spring and summer extracts generally had higher concentrations of metabolites while in autumn we recorded the lowest concentration of total polyphenols and flavonoids. *C. tamariscifolia* crude extracts had low protein content compared with the previous bioactive compounds. The greatest concentration of protein was in the autumn in both 100% methanol and water extracts with concentrations of roughly 19 and 6 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW respectively, while the chloroform extract could not be used due to interference with the assay.

## Extraction method and seasonality impact the anticancer activity of *C. tamariscifolia* extracts.

219 We were interested in seeing the effect of the extraction method and season on any potential cytotoxic activity as Cystoseira spp. extracts have been shown to contain 220 various bioactive compounds, particularly polyphenols that show cytotoxicity against 221 cancer cell lines. Three different cell lines, HL-60, THP-1 and PC3 cells, representing 222 two leukaemia-derived lines and a prostate cancer line respectively, were treated with 223 increasing concentrations of C. tamariscifolia extracts and cell vitality was assessed 224 via the MTT assay. Cells were treated with extracts from all four seasons extracted via 225 all four extraction regimes separately (Figures 1, 2 and 3) and IC<sub>50</sub> values for cell 226 227 vitality were calculated (Table 2).

The solvent used to make the extracts influenced the cytotoxic activity of the extracts. 228 Generally, 100% methanol and chloroform extracts had the most cytotoxic effect on 229 the three cell lines tested with some differences between them (Table 2). The 100% 230 MeOH extract was approximately 17 times more cytotoxic in HL-60 compared to water 231 extracts, while both 100% methanol and chloroform extracts had almost identical 232 activity in HL60 and THP-1. Extracts made in water were the most cytotoxic in PC3 233 cells (Figure 2) with IC<sub>50</sub> values approximately 2-5 fold higher than for the other 234 solvents whereas water extracts were much less cytotoxic than the other solvents for 235 HL-60 and THP-1 cells, being between approximately 2-130 fold less cytotoxic 236 (Figures 1, 3). 237

In addition to the extraction method effect, there was a clear seasonality in the cytotoxic effects on the three different cell lines. Summer and spring extracts showed the most potent cytotoxic effect on THP-1 and HL-60 cells with approximately 8 times higher cytotoxicity compared to the autumn and winter extracts. There was, however, an exception in that winter extracts were also highly cytotoxic against the prostate cancer cells PC3.

HL-60 cells showed the greatest sensitivity to C. tamariscifolia extracts with a mean 244  $IC_{50}$  over all seasons and extracts of 80.61 ± 21.74 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> while the similar THP-1 245 model showed a mean IC<sub>50</sub> over all seasons and extracts of 199.78  $\pm$  37.23 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> 246 and PC3, 162.15  $\pm$  36.11 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The highest cytotoxic activity was seen in the 247 summer extracts in 100% and 70% MeOH and 100% chloroform on HL-60 cells (IC50 248 values;  $2.32 \pm 0.21$ ,  $7.34 \pm 0.30$  and  $7.92 \pm 0.12 \mu g m L^{-1}$  respectively; Figure 1). These 249 values show that the cytotoxic activity of these crude extracts is very high against HL-250 60 cells and is between approximately 17 and 62 fold higher than the corresponding 251 effects on THP-1 and PC3 cells, respectively. 252

# Methanol extracts of *C. tamariscifolia* induce apoptosis in HL60 and THP-1 Cell lines

To investigate whether the cytotoxicity activity of *C. tamariscifolia* extracts is related to the induction of apoptosis, HL60 and THP-1 cells were exposed to a concentration of  $150 \text{ mgmL}^{-1}$  of methanol extracts of *C. tamariscifolia* for 24 h and nuclear morphological changes of HL60 and THP-1 cells were observed using propidium

iodide staining (Fig. 4). Compared with the normal nuclear morphology of the control 259 cells, the cells treated with C. tamariscifolia extracts presented typical morphological 260 characteristics of apoptosis, including nuclear fragmentation. Additional confirmation 261 of apoptosis induced by methanol extracts of C. tamariscifolia was performed by flow 262 cytometry-based on fluorescent diacetate (FITC) staining as shown in figure 5. Control 263 cells that had not been treated with extract displayed a largely homogeneous 264 population with >99% of cells being vital. Upon treatment with the extract, this dropped 265 to 36.28 and 17.54% in HL-60 and THP-1 cells respectively and an increase in cells in 266 both necrosis and late apoptosis was observed with c. 50% of cells in late apoptosis 267 in both lines confirming the data from the cell vitality assay. 268

#### 270 Discussion

In temperate seas, one would expect that seasonal differences would have a large influence on the concentrations of likely bioactive compounds and thus bioactivity of those extracts. Surprisingly, little work has been performed on these links. Here we have investigated the effects of season and extraction method on primary/secondary metabolite concentrations in extracts and their cytotoxic activity against three cancer cell lines.

Methanol (100%) was generally the most effective solvent, extracting the highest 277 levels of the four metabolite classes, although all four solvents gave detectable levels 278 of all the investigated metabolites, the exception being chloroform that interfered with 279 the BCA assay for proteins. Concentrations of polyphenols and flavonoids were high, 280 a result in accordance with previous research showing brown algae to be good sources 281 of these two metabolite classes (Thomas et al. 2011; Alghazeer et al. 2016). A similar 282 pattern of results was obtained by Mhadhebi et al. (2011) and Yegdaneh et al. (2016) 283 who also concluded that methanol and chloroform extracts contain high concentrations 284 of metabolites, especially polyphenols. This result can be explained by the difference 285 286 in secondary metabolite polarity. For example, the high variation in the structures and both hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts of polyphenols (Li et al. 2011) allow them to be 287 288 extracted typically in polar solvents including methanol and water but some can also be extracted in low polarity solvents such as chloroform (Airanthi et al. 2011; Vizetto-289 290 Duarte et al. 2016), which may explain the high levels of polyphenols in chloroform extracts of Cystoseira. Protein represented the least common of the four classes of 291 292 metabolite as might be expected in brown algae.

293 As might be expected, there was a clear seasonality to the levels of the metabolites although this varied between compound and extraction method (Celis-Plá et al. 2016; 294 Rickert et al. 2016; Cikoš et al. 2018). C. tamariscifolia begins to grow in late winter 295 with most growth in the spring and summer before stopping in autumn. Polyphenols 296 were highest in each extraction method in spring and summer except for chloroform 297 extracts from winter. This is consistent with observations by Abdala-Díaz et al. (2006), 298 who showed that polyphenol concentration in the tissue of C. tamariscifolia (in Spain 299 from June 1988 to July 2000) ranged from 2% in the winter to 8% in summer. 300 Polyphenols from species of the brown algal order Dictyotales, Fucales and 301

Laminariales, have been correlated with the tissue age and stage of the life cycle and 302 there were significantly lower levels in new branches in late winter than older, bigger 303 branches in summer (Denton et al. 1990; Mannino et al. 2014). For flavonoids, 304 polysaccharides and protein, the picture was more complex with winter and autumn 305 often offering at least one higher value than in spring/autumn. The complexity of the 306 variation of flavonoid, polysaccharide and protein levels extracted from C. 307 tamariscifolia has been highlighted in previous studies. For instance, a study by 308 Abdala-Díaz et al. (2006) found that spring and winter extracts of C. tamariscifolia 309 showed the highest concentration of flavonoids, however, contradictory results were 310 reported by Ramah et al. (2014) and Mannino et al. (2014) who showed that the 311 flavonoid peak occurred in summer. During the year, the highest levels of 312 polysaccharides in C. tamariscifolia were observed in summer, supporting the 313 observations of Teas et al. (2013) and Hurtado and Critchley (2018). These authors 314 315 noted that Undaria pinnatifida, Ascophyllum nodosum and Fucus vesiculosus showed the maximum levels of polysaccharides in summer. However, other results by 316 Skriptsova (2016) revealed that Saccharina japonica and Sargassum pallidum showed 317 maximum polysaccharide content in autumn. The protein content in C. tamariscifolia 318 varied from 3.28  $\pm$  0.36 to 19.57 $\pm$ 1.42 mg g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight, and it differs from season to 319 320 season and according to the extraction method. In general, the highest protein values in C. tamariscifolia were found in autumn and spring, while the lowest were found 321 winter and summer. Similar results were reported by Kim et al. (2011) and Pangestuti 322 and Kim (2015), who suggested that minimum protein concentration in summer could 323 be linked with protein destruction. 324

Given the temperate nature of the collection site, autumn and winter offer lower water 325 temperatures, fewer hours of sunlight and increased wave action that will lead to 326 decreased growth and investment into primary and secondary metabolites (Fleurence 327 and Levine 2016). This may also coincide with decreased pressures of herbivory 328 329 during these seasons and less requirement for secondary metabolites (Duffy and Hay 1990). We found that new growth of *C. tamariscifolia* was already clearly apparent in 330 331 early March (winter) with many fresh new phosphorescent fronds present presumably ready for the increased sunlight hours of spring and summer. Conversely, in October 332 (autumn) most of the thalli were showing early signs of senescence where the main 333

axis and the primary laterals had elongated while the branches were relatively short 334 and often covered in epiphytes. Despite this, autumn algae still contained high levels 335 of some metabolites although polyphenol levels were generally lowest in autumn, but 336 this depended on the extraction method. One explanation of this result could be 337 attributed to the increase in the need for the secondary metabolites as a protection 338 mechanism against increased herbivory in summer (Duffy and Hay 1990; Jormalainen 339 and Honkanen 2008). Another reason for the increase in secondary metabolites in 340 summer may be due to the photoprotective role against the high radiation dosages in 341 summer daylight (Connan et al. 2004). They also contribute to protection against 342 oxidative stress, bacterial infection and epiphytes and perform a role in algal 343 reproduction which all increase in summer (Plouguerné et al. 2006; Ferreres et al. 344 2012; Jennings and Steinberg 1997; Thomas et al. 2011). 345

In line with Duarte (2016), who demonstrated that a hexane extract of C. tamariscifolia 346 had a high level of cytotoxicity against AGS, HCT-15 and HepG2 cell lines with IC<sub>50</sub> 347 values of 32.36, 23.59 and 13.15 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively, we showed that our extracts 348 possess cytotoxic activity against cancer cell lines. In particular, our extracts were 349 considerably more cytotoxic against HL-60 cells and our evidence suggests that cell 350 death is induced via apoptosis. There is a large seasonal variation not only in the levels 351 of primary and secondary metabolites but also a significant seasonal variation in their 352 cytotoxic effects. In particular, our results clearly underline the need to test extracts 353 from different seasons and using different solvent extraction protocols. Of particular 354 355 interest, our data suggest that generalisations cannot be drawn with respect to season or extraction method and that there is no best season or extraction method when 356 results are compared between cell types. For example, the difference between the 357 effect of the summer extracts in organic solvents for HL-60 cells and the aqueous 358 winter extracts on PC3 cells highlights this difference. Indeed, we carried out statistical 359 analyses to examine any potential interactions between metabolite composition and 360 the cytotoxicity of the extracts with season and extraction method (data not shown). 361 There was no clear interaction that could link the cytotoxic effects to any metabolite 362 363 group which might also suggest that various bioactive compounds may be present in the extracts and that their relative amounts may vary with both season and extraction 364 method. It would be tempting to assume that summer (or an early or midpoint of the 365

growing season) might be the best time to collect material, but our results show that 366 this is not necessarily the case in all cell lines or extracts. We have not fully fractionated 367 our extracts to isolate the specific compound(s), but it is likely that different compounds 368 are responsible for the observed effects and that these change levels with season. 369 Equally, we cannot discount that there may be synergistic interactions between 370 compounds that only become apparent in different seasons. We believe that it is 371 possible promising extracts containing 372 that many potentially novel cytotoxic/chemotherapeutic agents may have been discarded in the past where 373 samples were taken in a single season, extracted using a single solvent or tested using 374 a single cell line. 375

We suggest that, where possible, samples are taken at multiple times of the year that represent different phases in the growth or annual life cycle of the plant/species in question. Given that many of the bioactive compounds with potential medical use are probably produced in response to some type of biotic or abiotic stress, it may also be good practice to include the presence of any obvious stressors (seasonal temperature, irradiance, herbivores, for example) into any consideration of sampling times so as to maximize the possibility of finding novel compounds.

383

## 385 **References**

Abdala-Díaz RT, Cabello-Pasini A, Pérez-Rodríguez E, Álvarez RC, Figueroa F L (2006) Daily and seasonal variations of optimum quantum yield and phenolic compounds in *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* (Phaeophyta). Mar Biol 148:459-465

Ahn MR, Kumazawa S, Usui Y, Nakamura J, Matsuka M, Zhu F, Nakayama T, (2007)
 Antioxidant activity and constituents of propolis collected in various areas of China.
 Food Chem 101:1383-1392

- Airanthi MWA, Hosokawa M, Miyashita K (2011) Comparative antioxidant activity of edible Japanese brown seaweeds. J Food Sci 76:C104-C111
- Alekseyenko TV, Zhanayeva SY, Venediktova AA, Zvyagintseva TN, Kuznetsova TA,
   Besednova NN, Korolenko TA (2007) Antitumor and antimetastatic activity of fucoidan,
   a sulfated polysaccharide isolated from the Okhotsk Sea *Fucus evanescens* brown
   alga. Bull Exp Biol Med 143:730-732
- Alghazeer R, Enaeli M, Howell NK (2016) Anticancer and Antioxidant Activities of Some Algae from Western Libyan Coast. Pharmacol Toxicol. Ver. 1, 2016090018
- Anand N, Rachel D, Thangaraju N, Anantharaman P (2016) Potential of marine algae
  (seaweeds) as a source of medicinally important compounds. Plant Genet Resour
  14:303-313
- Ashwini S, Babut S, Saritha MS (2016) Seaweed extracts exhibit anticancer activity
   against Hela cell lines. Int J Curr Pharm Res 9:114-117
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A (2018) Global cancer
  statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36
  cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 68:394-424
- Celis-Plá PS, Bouzon ZL, Hall-Spencer JM, Schmidt EC, Korbee N, Figueroa FL
  (2016) Seasonal biochemical and photophysiological responses in the intertidal
  macroalga *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* (Ochrophyta). Mar Environ Res 115:89-97
- Cikoš AM, Jokić S, Šubarić D, Jerković I (2018) Overview on the application of modern
  methods for the extraction of bioactive compounds from marine macroalgae. Mar
  Drugs 16:348
- 414 Coffelt SB, de Visser KE (2015) Immune-mediated mechanisms influencing the 415 efficacy of anticancer therapies. Trends Immunol 36:198-216
- Connan S, Goulard F, Stiger V, Deslandes E, Gall EA (2004) Interspecific and
  temporal variation in phlorotannin levels in an assemblage of brown algae. Bot Mar
  47:410-416
- De Sousa CB, Gangadhar KN, Macridachis J, Pava M, Morais, TR, Campino L, Varela
  J, Lago JHG (2017) *Cystoseira* algae (Fucaceae): update on their chemical entities
  and biological activities. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 28:1486-1505

422 Denton, A (1990) Size specific concentrations of phlorotannins in three species of
 423 *Fucus.* Mar Ecol Prog Ser 65:103-104

424 Duarte, CVG (2016) Biomedical properties of *Cystoseira* species: Insights into nutra 425 and pharmaceutical applications. PhD Thesis, University of Algarve, Portugal.
 426 <u>http://hdl.handle.net/10400.1/9004</u>

Ferreres F, Lopes G, Gil-Izquierdo A, Andrade PB, Sousa C, Mouga T, Valentão P
(2012) Phlorotannin extracts from Fucales characterised by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MSn:
approaches to hyaluronidase inhibitory capacity and antioxidant properties. Mar Drugs
10:2766-2781

- Fleurence J, Levine I. eds (2016) Seaweed in health and disease prevention. Elsevier,
   Amsterdam. p290
- Gutiérrez-Rodríguez AG, Juárez-Portilla C, Olivares-Bañuelos T, Zepeda RC (2017)
  Anticancer activity of seaweeds. Drug Discov Today 23:434-447
- Harnedy PA, FitzGerald RJ (2011) Bioactive proteins, peptides, and amino acids from
   macroalgae. J Phycol 47:218–232
- Hay ME, Duffy JE, Fenical W (1990) Host-plant specialisation decreases predation on
  a marine amphipod: a herbivore in plant's clothing. Ecology 71:733-743
- Hurtado AQ, Critchley AT (2018) A review of multiple biostimulant and bioeffector
  benefits of AMPEP, an extract of the brown alga *Ascophyllum nodosum*, as applied to
  the enhanced cultivation and micropropagation of the commercially important red algal
  carrageenophyte *Kappaphycus alvarezii* and its selected cultivars. J Appl Phycol
  30:2859-2873
- Jennings JG, Steinberg PD (1997) Phlorotannins versus other factors affecting
   epiphyte abundance on the kelp *Ecklonia radiata*. Oecologia 109:461-473
- Jormalainen V, Honkanen T (2008) Macroalgal chemical defences and their roles in
  structuring temperate marine communities. In: Amsler CD (ed) Algal chemical ecology,
  Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. p57- 89
- Kong CS, Kim JA, Yoon NY, Kim SK (2009) Induction of apoptosis by phloroglucinol
   derivative from *Ecklonia cava* in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. Food Chem
   Toxicol 47:1653–1658
- Lopes-Costa E, Abreu M, Gargiulo D, Rocha E, Ramos AA (2017) Anticancer effects
  of seaweed compounds fucoxanthin and phloroglucinol, alone and in combination with
  5-fluorouracil in colon cells. J Toxicol Environ Health 80:776-787
- Lowenthal RM, Fitton JH (2015) Are seaweed-derived fucoidans possible future anticancer agents? J Appl Phycol 27:2075-2077
- 457 Mannino A, Vaglica V, Oddo E (2013) Seasonal variation in total phenolic content of
- 458 Dictyopteris polypodioides (Dictyotaceae) and Cystoseira amentacea (Sargassaceae)
- 459 from the Sicilian coast. FI Med 24:39–50

- Masuko T, Minami A, Iwasaki N, Majima T, Nishimura SI, Lee YC (2005) Carbohydrate
   analysis by a phenol-sulfuric acid method in microplate format. Anal Biochem 339:69 72
- Mhadhebi L, Laroche-Clary AA, Robert J, Bouraoui A (2011) Anti-inflammatory antiproliferative and anti-oxidant activities of organic extracts from the Mediterranean seaweed *Cystoseira crinita*. Afr J Biotechnol 10:16682-16690
- 466 Murphy C, Hotchkiss S, Worthington J, McKeown SR (2014) The potential of seaweed 467 as a source of drugs for use in cancer chemotherapy. J Appl Phycol 26:2211-2264
- Murugan K, Iyer VV (2013) Differential growth inhibition of cancer cell lines and
  antioxidant activity of extracts of red, brown, and green marine algae. In Vitro Cell Dev
  Biol-Animal 49:324–334
- 471 Olivares-Bañuelos T, Gutiérrez-Rodríguez AG, Méndez-Bellido R, Tovar-Miranda R,
- 472 Arroyo-Helguera O, Juárez-Portilla C, Meza-Menchaca T, Aguilar-Rosas LE, 473 Hernández-Kelly LC, Ortega A, Zepeda RC (2019) Brown Seaweed *Egregia*
- 474 *menziesii's* Cytotoxic Activity against Brain Cancer Cell Lines. Molecules 24:260.
- Pangestuti R, Kim SK(2015) Seaweed proteins, peptides, and amino acids Seaweed
  Sustainability Food and Non-Food Applications, Elsevier p.125-140
- Park H, Kim H, Kim J, Nam T (2013) Induction of apoptosis and the regulation of ErbB
   signalling by laminarin in HT-29 human colon cancer cells. Int J Mol Med 32:291–295
- Plouguerné E, Le Lann K, Connan S, Jechoux G, Deslandes E, Stiger-Pouvreau V
  (2006) Spatial and seasonal variation in density, reproductive status, length and
  phenolic content of the invasive brown macroalga *Sargassum muticum* (Yendo)
  Fensholt along the coast of Western Brittany (France). Aquat Bot 85:337-344
- Ramah S, Etwarysing L, Auckloo N, Gopeechund A, Bhagooli R, Bahorun T (2014)
  Prophylactic antioxidants and phenolics of seagrass and seaweed species: a seasonal
  variation study in a Southern Indian Ocean Island, Mauritius. Int J Med Update 1:2737

- Rickert E, Wahl M, Link H, Richter H, Pohnert G (2016) Seasonal variations in surface
  metabolite composition of *Fucus vesiculosus* and *Fucus serratus* from the Baltic Sea.
  DLaS One 44:e0100100
- 489 PLoS One 11:e0168196
- 490 Senthebane DA, Rowe A, Thomford NE, Shipanga H, Munro D, Al Mazeedi MA,
   491 Almazyadi HA, Kallmeyer K, Dandara C, Pepper MS, Parker MI (2017) The role of
- 492 tumour microenvironment in chemoresistance: To survive, keep your enemies closer.493 Int J Mol Sci 18:1586
- Senthilkumar K, Manivasagan P, Venkatesan J, Kim SK (2013) Brown seaweed
  fucoidan: biological activity and apoptosis, growth signalling mechanism in cancer. Int
  J Biol Macromol 60:366-374
- 497 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2019) Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 69:7498 34.
- 499 Sithranga BN, Kathiresan K (2010) Anticancer drugs from marine flora: An overview. J.500 Oncol 2010 :2141-6.
- 501 Skriptsova AV (2016) Seasonal variations in the fucoidan content of brown algae from 502 Peter the Great Bay, sea of Japan. Russ J Mar Biol 42:351–356
- Stengel DB, Connan S, Popper ZA (2011) Algal chemodiversity and bioactivity:
   sources of natural variability and implications for commercial application. Biotechnol
   Adv 29:483–501
- Teas J, Vena S, Cone D, Irhimeh M (2013) The consumption of seaweed as a
   protective factor in the etiology of breast cancer: proof of principle. J Appl Phycol
   25:771-779
- 509 Thomas NV, Kim SK (2011) Potential pharmacological applications of polyphenolic 510 derivatives from marine brown algae. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 32:325–335
- Velioglu YS, Mazza G, Gao I, Oomah BD (1998) Antioxidant activity and total phenolics
  in selected fruits, vegetables, and grain products. J Agric Food Chem 46:4113–4117
- Vizetto-Duarte C, Custodio L, Acosta G, Lago JH, Morais TR, Bruno de Sousa C,
  Gangadhar KN, Rodrigues MJ, Pereira H, Lima RT, Vasconcelos MH, Barreira L,
  Rauter AP, Albericio F, Varela J (2016) Can macroalgae provide promising antitumoral compounds? A closer look at *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* as a source for
  antioxidant and anti-hepatocarcinoma compounds. PeerJ 4:e1704
- Wells ML, Potin P, Craigie JS, Raven JA, Merchant SS, Helliwell KE, Smith AG,
  Camire ME, Brawley SH (2016) Algae as nutritional and functional food sources:
  revisiting our understanding. J Appl Phycol 29: 949–982
- 521 Yamasaki-Miyamoto Y, Yamasaki M, Tachibana H, Yamada K (2009) Fucoidan 522 induces apoptosis through activation of caspase-8 on human breast cancer MCF-7 523 cells. J Agric Food Chem 57:8677-8682

- 524 Yegdaneh A, Ghannadi A, Dayani L (2016) Chemical constituents and biological 525 activities of two Iranian *Cystoseira* species. Res Pharm Sci 11:311-317
- 526 Yong-Xin L, Isuru W, Yong L, Se-Kwon K (2011) Phlorotannins as bioactive agents 527 from brown algae. Process Biochem 46:2219–2224
- 528 Yuan YV, Walsh NA (2006) Antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of extracts from 529 a variety of edible seaweeds. Food Chem Toxicol 44:1144–1150
- Zatelli GA, Philippus AC, Falkenberg M (2018) An overview of odoriferous marine
   seaweeds of the *Dictyopteris* genus: insights into their chemical diversity, biological
   potential and ecological roles. Rev Bras Farmacogn 28:243-260
- Zenthoefer M, Geisen U, Hofmann-Peiker K, Fuhrmann M, Kerber J, Kirchhöfer R,
  Hennig S, Peipp M, Geyer R, Piker L, Kalthoff H (2017) Isolation of polyphenols with
  anticancer activity from the Baltic Sea brown seaweed Fucus vesiculosus using
  bioassay-guided fractionation. J Appl Phycol 29:2021-2037
- Zubia M, Fabre MS, Kerjean V, Lann KL, Stiger-Pouvreau V, Fauchon M, Deslandes
   E (2009) Antioxidant and antitumoural activities of some Phaeophyta from Brittany
   coasts. Food Chem 116:693-701

Table 1: Metabolite concentrations in *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* as a function of season and extraction solvent. DW: dry weight. Total polyphenol Content: milligram phloroglucinol acid equivalents per gram dry weight; Total flavonoid Content: milligram Quercetin equivalents per gram dry weight. Total polysaccharide: milligram Glucose equivalents per gram dry weight; Total protein: milligram Bovine Serum Albumin equivalents per gram dry weight. Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

Metabolite (mg g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	Season	Extraction solvent			
		100%MeOH	70%MeOH	Water	Chloroform
Total polyphenol	Summer	102.23±1.85	57.70±2.06	83.24±1.03	41.99±0.90
ooment	Autumn	71.27±4.16	9.67±1.27	20.61±3.07	7.81±0.31
	Winter	71.16±4.40	31.20±1.19	14.62±0.91	48.89±1.91
	Spring	85.46±2.7	62.35±1.86	46.29±0.28	68.75±2.79
Total flavonoid	Summer	22.87±0.80	5.55±0.46	8.56±0.71	22.27±0.66
content	Autumn	27.86±1.20	3.26±0.27	5.99±0.99	16.69±0.52
	Winter	35.23±1.03	5.66±0.91	4.89±0.28	45.19±2.12
	Spring	25.54±0.5	6.69±0.97	4.80±0.12	49.21±4.83
Total	Summer	48.84±3.66	8.16±0.40	14.95±1.85	42.84±3.84
content	Autumn	31.10±5.80	11.19±0.96	10.25±1.58	27.81±0.71
	Winter	18.04±2.96	3.92±0.33	3.12±069	25.78±8.74
	Spring	39.11±1.46	19.30±2.26	16.02±040	26.75±0.29
Total protein	Summer	9.28±0.28	8.10±0.59	7.18±0.63	-
content	Autumn	19.57±1.42	3.31±0.81	13.51±1.08	-
	Winter	9.95±0.36	5.95±0.31	3.28±0.36	-
	Spring	11.17±0.12	5.36±0.43	3.7±0.07	-

Table 2: IC<sub>50</sub> values ( $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) for extracts on HL60, PC3 and THP-1 cell lines. Cells were exposed for 72 h to crude extracts of the brown alga *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* collected in all seasons. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by sigmoidal dose-response of the data using SigmaPlot v. 13.0.

Season	cells	70%MeOH	100%MeOH	Chloroform	Water
Summer	HL60	7.33 ± 0.30	2.32 ± 0.21	7.9170 ± 0.12	293.54 ± 25
Summer	PC3	452.01 ± 20	$40.09 \pm 3.40$	112.28 ± 11	24.88 ± 0.21
	THP-1	116.48 ± 15	134.68 ± 24	60.35 ± 0.98	64.72 ± 6.2
Autumn	HL60	110.17 ± 9.6	110.43 ± 9.8	46.25 ± 39	221.51 ± 19
Autumn	PC3	469.76 ± 31	64.72 ± 5.3	263.59 ± 24	50.42 ± 5.6
	THP-1	236.74 ± 24	262.62 ± 21	396.04 ± 54	24.62 ± 4.1
Winter	HL60	39.37 ± 3.2	74.86 ± 0.51	24.63 ± 0.13	149.93 ± 0.20
Winter	PC3	168.27 ± 15	105.60 ± 12	149.88 ± 0.41	38.69 ± 2.7
	THP-1	196.66 ± 22	342.39 ± 22	467.11 ± 27	444.29 ± 45
Spring	HL60	24.29 ± 0.27	24.28 ± 0.20	24.46 ± 0.19	128.38 ± 17
Opinig	PC3	190.75 ± 19	110.83 ± 15	99.35 ± 8.7	228.39 ± 22
	THP-1	74.98 ± 0.45	24.80 ± 0.31	74.84 ± 0.30	169.13 ± 16



Figure 1: Dose-response curves for cell vitality in HL-60 cells. HL60 cells were exposed for 72 h to crude extracts of the brown alga *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* collected in all seasons. Black circles - 70% MeOH, white circles - 100% MeOH, chloroform - black triangles, white triangles - water. (mean  $\pm$  SD; n = 9).



Figure 2: Dose-response curves for cell vitality in PC3 cells. PC3 cells were exposed for 72 h to crude extracts of the brown alga *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* collected in all seasons. Black circles - 70% MeOH, white circles - 100% MeOH, chloroform - black triangles, white triangles - water. (mean  $\pm$  SD; n = 9).



556

Figure 3: Dose-response curves for cell vitality in THP-1 cells. THP-1 cells were exposed for 72 h to crude extracts of the brown alga *Cystoseira tamariscifolia* collected in all seasons. Black circles, 70% MeOH, white circles, 100% MeOH, chloroform black triangles, white triangles water. (mean ± SD; n = 9).





10µm

Figure 4: Fluorescent staining of cancer cell nuclei with propidium iodide. (A) HL60 and (B) THP-1 were treated with 100% methanol summer extracts at 150 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> for 36h. Fragmented nuclei and apoptotic bodies were seen in the *C tamariscifolia* extracttreated cells (b), but not in the control treatment (a). Magnification 200×, images representative of three independent experiments.

10µm



Figure 5: The effect of *C. tamariscifolia* methanol extracts on the human leukaemia cell lines HL 60 (A) and THP-1 (B). HL 60 and THP-1 cells were resuspended in PBS and then RNase, and fluorescein diacetate (FITC) were added for 30 minutes, and cells were analysed by flow cytometry. Control cells (a) received no drug treatments. (b) Extract-treated HL60 and THP-1 cells. Early, late apoptosis and necrosis were found in treated cells but not in control. Experiments were carried out in three replicates.