

AN UPPER BOUND FOR TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

MICHAEL FARBER, MARK GRANT, GREGORY LUPTON, AND JOHN OPREA

ABSTRACT. In [11], a new approximating invariant $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}$ for topological complexity was introduced called \mathcal{D} -topological complexity. In this paper, we explore more fully the properties of $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}$ and the connections between $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}$ and invariants of Lusternik-Schnirelmann type. We also introduce a new TC-type invariant $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}$ that can be used to give an upper bound for TC,

$$\mathrm{TC}(X) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \left\lceil \frac{2\dim X - k}{k+1} \right\rceil,$$

where X is a finite dimensional simplicial complex with k -connected universal cover \tilde{X} . The above inequality is a refinement of an estimate given by Dranishnikov [5].

1. INTRODUCTION

Topological complexity $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is a numerical homotopy invariant introduced by Farber [8]. As well as being of intrinsic interest to homotopy theorists, its study is motivated by topological aspects of the motion planning problem in robotics. The number $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ gives a quantitative measure of the ‘navigational complexity’ of X , when viewed as the configuration space of a mechanical system. Topological complexity is a close relative of the Lusternik–Schnirelmann category $\mathrm{cat}(X)$ (see [1]), although the two notions are independent.

Recall that $\mathrm{cat}(X)$ is the smallest n such that X admits an open covering $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ by $(n+1)$ sets, each of which is contractible in X . The *sectional category* of a fibration $p: E \rightarrow B$, denoted by $\mathrm{secat}(p)$, is the smallest number n for which there is an open covering $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ of B by $(n+1)$ open sets, for each of which there is a continuous local section $s_i: U_i \rightarrow E$ of p ; that is, $p \circ s_i = j_i: U_i \rightarrow B$, where j_i denotes the inclusion.

Let X^I denote the space of (free) paths in a space X . There is a fibration

$$\pi_X: X^I \rightarrow X \times X,$$

which evaluates a path at initial and final points: for $\alpha \in X^I$, we have $\pi_X(\alpha) = (\alpha(0), \alpha(1))$. We define the *topological complexity* $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ of X to be the sectional category $\mathrm{secat}(\pi_X)$ of this fibration. That is, $\mathrm{TC}(X)$ is the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55M30; Secondary 55P99.

Key words and phrases. topological complexity, Lusternik-Schnirelmann category.

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation: (#244393 to John Oprea).

smallest number n for which there is an open cover $\{U_0, \dots, U_n\}$ of $X \times X$ by $(n+1)$ open sets, for each of which there is a continuous section $s_i: U_i \rightarrow X^I$ of π_X , $\pi_X \circ s_i = j_i: U_i \rightarrow X \times X$, where j_i denotes the inclusion.

Just as LS category is very difficult to compute, so also is topological complexity. Indeed, it is usually the case for both invariants that lower and upper bounds are derived. The fundamental such bounds are the following.

Theorem 1. *The following bounds hold [8]:*

$$\text{cat}(X) \leq \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{cat}(X \times X).$$

When $X = K(\pi, 1)$ is aspherical the topological complexity $\text{TC}(X)$ depends only on π and we may write $\text{TC}(X) = \text{TC}(\pi)$. It is easy to see (using the Eilenberg - Ganea theorem [7]) that $\text{TC}(\pi)$ is finite if and only if there exists a finite dimensional $K(\pi, 1)$. The following estimate was obtained in [5].

Theorem 2. *Let X be a finite CW-complex with fundamental group π . Then*

$$(1) \quad \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{TC}(\pi) + \dim(X).$$

Of course, this estimate is only meaningful when $\text{TC}(\pi)$ is finite. This rules out, for instance, any group π having torsion. The inequality (1) is effective when the group π has “small” cohomological dimension, say, is trivial, a free group or a surface group etc.

In this paper, we will refine the estimate of Theorem 2 by using an invariant $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ defined in [11] as well as a new invariant $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(X)$ defined in this article (see Example 3.6).

Theorem 3. *Let X be a CW-complex with fundamental group π . Then*

$$(2) \quad \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \widetilde{\text{TC}}(X).$$

Moreover, if the universal cover \tilde{X} is k -connected, then

$$(3) \quad \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \left\lceil \frac{2 \dim X - k}{k + 1} \right\rceil.$$

The definitions of the invariants $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ and $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(X)$ are given in §2 and §3. Note, however, that in contrast to $\text{TC}(\pi)$, $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ is *finite* whenever $\text{TC}(X)$ is. Furthermore, it equals $\text{TC}(\pi)$ for $X = K(\pi, 1)$. In general one has an inequality

$$(4) \quad \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \text{TC}(\pi), \quad \text{where } \pi = \pi_1(X).$$

For the invariant $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(X)$, we will see that it is a special case of a new type of sectional category invariant $\widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X)$ associated to a covering map $q: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$ and a fibration $p: E \rightarrow \overline{X}$. The invariant $\widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X)$ and its properties will be explored in §3. As a special case of Theorem 4.1, we will also see that

$$(5) \quad \widetilde{\text{TC}}(X) \leq \dim(X).$$

Hence both terms on the right-hand side of inequality (2) are dominated by the corresponding terms of the right-hand side of (1). We give specific examples when (2) is sharper than (1). We note, however, that Dranishnikov actually proves a stronger inequality than (1) using his notion of *strongly equivariant topological complexity* TC_π^* and uses the more “practical” inequality (1) because TC_π^* is very difficult to compute. We show in Proposition 3.8 that our invariant $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(X)$ is equal to $\mathrm{TC}_\pi^*(\tilde{X})$ so that in the general case (2) is a refinement because of the first term $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ alone. Nevertheless, using $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}$ provides not only a much simpler proof of the general upper bound in Theorem 3 but also allows the generalization to the improved connectivity-dimension upper bound in Theorem 3 as well.

2. THE \mathcal{D} -TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

Let us recall from [11] the following definition.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a path-connected topological space with fundamental group $\pi = \pi_1(X; x_0)$. The \mathcal{D} -topological complexity, $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$, is defined as the minimal number k such that $X \times X$ can be covered by $k + 1$ open subsets*

$$X \times X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$$

with the property that for any $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ and for every choice of the basepoint $u_i \in U_i$, the homomorphism $\pi_1(U_i; u_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \times X; u_i)$ induced by the inclusion $U_i \rightarrow X \times X$ takes values in a subgroup conjugate to the diagonal $\Delta \subset \pi \times \pi$.

Note that the letter \mathcal{D} in the notation $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ stands for the “diagonal”.

Here we mention that for each point $u_i \in X \times X$, there is an isomorphism $\pi_1(X \times X; u_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \times X; (x_0; x_0)) = \pi \times \pi$ determined uniquely up to conjugation, and the diagonal inclusion $X \rightarrow X \times X$ induces the inclusion $\pi \rightarrow \pi \times \pi$ onto the diagonal Δ .

Recall that a topological space X admits a universal cover if it is connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected. Since these conditions are preserved under products, it then follows that $X \times X$ admits a universal cover. In particular, $X \times X$ admits a universal cover whenever X is a locally finite cell complex.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected topological space with fundamental group $\pi = \pi_1(X; x_0)$.*

Let $q: \widehat{X \times X} \rightarrow X \times X$ be the connected covering space corresponding to the diagonal subgroup $\Delta \subset \pi \times \pi = \pi_1(X \times X; (x_0; x_0))$. Then the \mathcal{D} -topological complexity satisfies

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{secat}(q);$$

that is, $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ equals the sectional category of the covering q .

Proof. If $U \subset X \times X$ is an open subset, then a partial section $U \rightarrow \widehat{X \times X}$ of q gives a factorisation of the homomorphism of fundamental groups induced

by the inclusion $U \rightarrow X \times X$ through the diagonal. Now, since q is a covering, for an open subset $U \subset X \times X$, the condition that the induced map $\pi_1(U; u) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \times X; u)$ takes values in a subgroup conjugate to the diagonal Δ implies that q admits a continuous section over U . Using this remark the result follows by comparing the definitions of $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ and of sectional category. \square

Example 2.3. For a path-connected space X one has $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = 0$ if and only if X is simply connected; this follows directly from the definition. In particular we have $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(S^n) = 0$ for all $n > 1$. Also, we have that $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(S^1) = 1$ as follows from $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(S^1) > 0$ (since the circle is not simply connected) and $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(S^1) \leq \mathrm{TC}(S^1) = 1$ (see Proposition 2.4 below).

Next we compare $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ with $\mathrm{TC}(X)$.

Proposition 2.4. *For a connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected topological space X one has*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \mathrm{TC}(X).$$

Proof. The following argument appears in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [12]. Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal cover of X . Consider the projection $Q : \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \times X$ where $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ denotes the fundamental group of X and $\tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X}$ stands for the quotient of $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ with respect to the diagonal action of π . Clearly Q is a covering map with the property that the image of the induced homomorphism $Q_* : \pi_1(\tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \times X)$ is the diagonal. Hence by Proposition 2.2 one has $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{secat}(Q)$.

Define $p : X^I \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X}$ by $p(\gamma) = [\tilde{\gamma}(0), \tilde{\gamma}(1)]$, where $\tilde{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is any lift of the path $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$ and the brackets $[x, y]$ denote the orbit of the pair $(x, y) \in \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ with respect to the diagonal action of π . The map p is well-defined although of course the lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ is not unique. We obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^I & \xrightarrow{p} & \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X} \\ & \searrow \pi_X & \swarrow Q \\ & & X \times X \end{array}$$

Clearly, a partial section $s : U \rightarrow X^I$ gives a partial section $\tilde{s} = p s : U \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X}$, so we have

$$\mathrm{TC}(X) = \mathrm{secat}(\pi_X) \geq \mathrm{secat}(Q) = \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X).$$

\square

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be an aspherical locally finite cell complex. Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{TC}(X).$$

Proof. Recall the known fact that an open subset of a locally finite cell complex is homotopy equivalent to a countable cell complex. Indeed, by Theorem 1 of [21], a space is homotopy equivalent to a countable cell complex if and only if it is homotopy equivalent to an absolute neighbourhood retract (ANR). Any locally finite cell complex is an ANR and an open subset of an ANR is an ANR [16]. Thus, an open subset of a locally finite cell complex is an ANR and hence has the homotopy type of a countable cell complex.

In view of Proposition 2.4 we only need to establish the inequality $\mathrm{TC}(X) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$. Consider an open subset $U \subset X \times X$ such that the map induced by the inclusion $U \rightarrow X \times X$ on fundamental groups takes values in a subgroup conjugate to the diagonal. Since $X \times X$ is aspherical and U has the homotopy type of a cell complex, we see that the inclusion $U \rightarrow X \times X$ is homotopic to a map with values in the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$. Now we can use Lemma 4 from [10] to conclude that a section of the path fibration $\pi_X : X^I \rightarrow X \times X$ over U exists. The statement follows from the definitions. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between path-connected topological spaces such that the induced map $f_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(Y).$$

Proof. Let $U \subset Y \times Y$ be an open subset such that the induced homomorphism $\pi_1(U, u_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y \times Y, u_i)$ takes values in a subgroup conjugate to the diagonal Δ_Y . Consider the preimage $V = (f \times f)^{-1}U \subset X \times X$. The homomorphism $\pi_1(V, v_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \times X, v_i)$ induced by the inclusion $V \rightarrow X \times X$ takes values in a subgroup conjugate to the diagonal Δ_X . Hence any open cover of $Y \times Y$ as in Definition 2.1 defines a similar covering on $X \times X$ with the same number of sets. \square

Corollary 2.7. *$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ is a homotopy invariant of X .*

We may therefore write $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi) = \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(K(\pi, 1))$. Note that Proposition 2.5 says that $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi) = \mathrm{TC}(\pi)$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a path-connected cell complex with fundamental group $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. Then*

$$(6) \quad \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi).$$

Moreover, if π has cohomological dimension ≤ 2 ,

$$(7) \quad \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi).$$

Proof. First note that we may construct the Eilenberg–Mac Lane complex $K = K(\pi, 1)$ starting from X and attaching cells of dimension ≥ 3 . We may apply Proposition 2.6 to the inclusion $X \subset K$ which obviously induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups; this gives inequality (6).

To prove (7) we first convert the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow K$ into a fibration with fibre F satisfying $\pi_i(F) = \pi_{i+1}(K, X)$. Note that, since K is aspherical and

$\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(K)$, we have $\pi_i(F) = \pi_{i+1}(K, X) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Now, the obstructions to finding a section of the fibration $X \rightarrow K$ lie in the groups (with local coefficients) $H^{i+1}(K; \pi_i(F)) = H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i(F))$. But by what we have said above, these are trivial for $i = 0, 1$. Furthermore, the hypothesis that $\text{cd}(\pi) \leq 2$ implies that $H^{i+1}(\pi; \pi_i(F)) = 0$ for $i > 1$. Hence, all obstructions vanish and there is a section $K \rightarrow X$. In particular, the section is an isomorphism on π_1 since $X \rightarrow K$ is, so we simply apply Proposition 2.6 to get

$$\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi) = \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(K) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X).$$

Combining this with the first part then gives equality. \square

As a generalisation of the previous Corollary we state:

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a path-connected cell complex such that for some integer $k \geq 2$ the homotopy groups $\pi_j(X)$ are zero for all j satisfying $1 < j < k$. If the cohomological dimension of $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ is at most k then $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi)$.*

Proof. As above, the Eilenberg–Mac Lane space $K = K(\pi, 1)$ can be obtained from X by attaching cells of dimension $k + 1, k + 2, \dots$. We have $X \subset K$ with $\pi_{i+1}(K, X) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ and we may again convert the inclusion to a fibration $X \rightarrow K$ with fibre F satisfying $\pi_i(F) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$. The obstructions to finding a section of $X \rightarrow K$ lie in the groups $H^{i+1}(\pi, \pi_i(F)) = H^{i+1}(K, \pi_i(F))$ and all these groups vanish because of our computation with $\pi_i(F)$ and our assumption $\text{cd}(\pi) \leq k$. Finally we apply Proposition 2.6 to achieve equality. \square

Example 2.10. Let X be a finite cell complex with fundamental group π . Suppose that $\text{cd}(\pi) > 2 \dim X$. Then we have

$$\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \text{TC}(X) \leq 2 \dim X < \text{cd}(\pi) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi).$$

Thus one may construct many examples with $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) < \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi)$. For instance, we may take a 2-dimensional finite cell complex X with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}^5 (i.e. the 2-skeleton of T^5). Furthermore, since every finitely presented group π appears as the fundamental group of a closed 4-manifold X , the gap between $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ and $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\pi) = \text{TC}(\pi)$ can be as large as desired.

Let X be a connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected space with universal cover $P: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. The Lusternik–Schnirelmann *one-category*, $\text{cat}_1(X)$, is defined as the sectional category $\text{secat}(P)$ of P . This interpretation of one-category goes back to Schwarz ([26]) who also showed that $\text{cat}_1(X) = \text{cat}(f)$, where $f: X \rightarrow K(\pi_1(X), 1)$ classifies the universal cover and $\text{cat}(f)$ is the category of the map f (also see [23]). This latter description easily implies, for instance, that $\text{cat}_1(\mathbb{T}^n \times Y) = n$ when Y is simply connected. We describe now a relation between $\text{cat}_1(X)$ and $\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ that is akin to that between $\text{cat}(X)$ and $\text{TC}(X)$.

Proposition 2.11. *If X is a connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected topological space then*

$$(8) \quad \text{cat}_1(X) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \text{cat}_1(X \times X).$$

Proof. Consider first the following general situation. Let $p : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be a covering map with \tilde{Z} connected and $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{z}_0)) = H \subset \pi_1(Z, z_0)$. Let $f : A \rightarrow Z$ be an inclusion of a connected subspace. We obtain a pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Z}_A & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Z} \\ p_A \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ & \subset & \end{array}$$

in which \tilde{Z}_A can be identified with the preimage of A under p and p_A is the restriction of p . Clearly the map p_A is a covering map. The set \tilde{Z}_A is connected if and only if $f_*(\pi_1(A))$ and $p_*(\pi_1(\tilde{Z}))$ span $\pi_1(Z)$. In that case p_A is a connected covering corresponding to the subgroup $f_*^{-1}(H) \subset \pi_1(A)$.

Now consider the following diagram.

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{X} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{X \times X} & \longleftarrow & \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \\ p' \downarrow & & q \downarrow & & \downarrow P \times P \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X \times X & \longleftarrow & X \times X \\ & \subset & & & \end{array}$$

Here $P : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the universal cover of X and $q : \widehat{X \times X} \rightarrow X \times X$ is the cover corresponding the diagonal subgroup $\Delta \subset \pi \times \pi$. The map f is an inclusion $f(x) = (x, x_0)$, where $x \in X$ and $x_0 \in X$ is a base-point and \overline{X} is the preimage $q^{-1}(f(X))$. To apply the remark of the preceding paragraph, note that $f_*(\pi_1(X))$ and $q_*(\pi_1(\widehat{X \times X}))$ span $\pi_1(X \times X)$. Hence it follows that $p' : \overline{X} \rightarrow X$ is the universal cover of X .

Given an open subset $U \subset X \times X$ with a section $s : U \rightarrow \widehat{X \times X}$ we may restrict it to $f^{-1}(U) \subset X$ getting a section $s' : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \overline{X}$. This shows that $\text{cat}_1(X) = \text{secat}(p') \leq \text{secat}(q) = \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$, thus proving the left inequality (8).

Next we consider the right square of the diagram (9). The map $P \times P$ is the universal covering and hence $\text{secat}(P \times P) = \text{cat}_1(X \times X) \geq \text{secat}(q) = \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$. \square

Next we examine the case of real projective spaces.

Proposition 2.12. *For any $n \geq 1$ one has*

$$\text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{RP}^n) = \text{TC}(\mathbb{RP}^n).$$

Hence, $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) = n$ for $n = 1, 3, 7$ and for any $n \neq 1, 3, 7$ the number $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n)$ equals the smallest integer $k = k(n)$ such that the projective space $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$ admits an immersion into \mathbb{R}^k .

Proof. Assume first that $n \neq 1, 3, 7$. In this case we may combine Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.4, Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 from [12] which imply our statement for $n \neq 1, 3, 7$. In the remaining case, i.e. when $n = 1, 3, 7$, we know by [12] that

$$\mathrm{TC}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) = n = \mathrm{cat}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) = \mathrm{cat}_1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n).$$

(Note that, in [12], the non-normalised convention $\mathrm{TC}(\ast) = 1$ was used rather than the normalized convention $\mathrm{TC}(\ast) = 0$ of this paper.) The statement now follows from Proposition 2.4. \square

Remark 2.13. In [12, Theorem 4.5] it is shown that for $n = 2^k$, $\mathrm{TC}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) = 2^{k+1} - 1$. When $k = 3$, for instance, we see that the lowest immersion dimension for $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^8$ is 15, so that $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^8) = 15$, a result that would be difficult to obtain directly from the definition.

The next two results are analogues of results that equate TC to Lusternik-Schnirelman category for certain types of spaces. Although the first result follows from the more general second, the relative simplicity of the proof in the presence of greater structure recommends its inclusion.

Proposition 2.14. *For any connected topological group G one has*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(G) = \mathrm{cat}_1(G).$$

Proof. Let $F : G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the map given by the formula $F(a, b) = ab^{-1}$. Denote $\pi = \pi_1(G, e)$ and consider the induced map on fundamental groups

$$\phi = F_* : \pi \times \pi = \pi_1(G \times G, e \times e) \rightarrow \pi.$$

We claim that the kernel of ϕ coincides with the diagonal subgroup $\Delta \subset \pi \times \pi$. Obviously the kernel of ϕ contains Δ . On the other hand, standard Eckmann-Hilton arguments used in the proof that $\pi_1(G)$ is abelian show that $F_*(a, b) = a - b$, so that $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi) = \Delta$.

This gives a pullback diagram of covering maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{G \times G} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & \tilde{G} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow P \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{F} & G \end{array}$$

where P is the universal covering and q is the covering corresponding to the diagonal subgroup. From this diagram we obtain

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(G) = \mathrm{secat}(q) \leq \mathrm{secat}(P) = \mathrm{cat}_1(G).$$

This complements the left inequality of Proposition 2.11. \square

Next we give a generalisation of Proposition 2.14.

Theorem 2.15. *Let X be a connected CW H -space. Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{cat}_1(X).$$

Proof. The proof given below is based on arguments used in [20] to show that $\mathrm{TC}(X) = \mathrm{cat}(X)$ when X is an H -space.

Let $m : X \times X \rightarrow X$ denote the multiplication, which may be assumed to have a strict unit given by the base point $x_0 \in X$. If A is a based CW complex and $f, g : A \rightarrow X$ are based maps, their pointwise product $f \cdot g : A \rightarrow X$ is defined by $(f \cdot g)(a) = m(f(a), g(a))$ for all $a \in A$. By a theorem of James [19], the pointwise product endows the set of based homotopy classes $[A, X]$ with the structure of an *algebraic loop*. In particular, equations of the form

$$x \cdot a = b, \quad a \cdot y = b, \quad a, b \in [A, X]$$

admit unique solutions $x, y \in [A, X]$.

Let $p_1, p_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$ denote the coordinate projections. The loop structure on $[X \times X, X]$ guarantees the existence of a *difference map* $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ with the property that $p_1 \cdot D \simeq p_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$.

We claim that the induced homomorphism $D_* : \pi_1(X \times X) = \pi_1(X) \times \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ on fundamental groups is given by $D_*(a, b) = b - a$ for all $a, b \in \pi_1(X)$. To see this, recall that the standard proof that $\pi_1(X)$ is abelian when X is an H -space proceeds by showing that the two binary operations $+ : \pi_1(X) \times \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ and $\cdot : \pi_1(X) \times \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$, given respectively by concatenation and pointwise product of loops, share a two-sided identity and are mutually distributive. Therefore they agree. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a + D_*(a, b) &= (p_1)_*(a, b) + D_*(a, b) \\ &= (p_1)_*(a, b) \cdot D_*(a, b) \\ &= (p_1 \cdot D)_*(a, b) \\ &= (p_2)_*(a, b) \\ &= b, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Now form the pullback of the universal cover $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ along the map $D : X \times X \rightarrow X$ to obtain a covering $\rho : P \rightarrow X \times X$ with $\mathrm{secat}(\rho) \leq \mathrm{secat}(p) = \mathrm{cat}_1(X)$. The image $\rho_*\pi_1(P)$ in $\pi_1(X \times X)$ is contained in the kernel of D_* , which as we have just seen equals the diagonal subgroup. Hence there is a lift $P \rightarrow \widehat{X \times X}$ of ρ through the covering $q : \widehat{X \times X} \rightarrow X \times X$, which gives $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{secat}(q) \leq \mathrm{secat}(\rho)$.

Combining the two inequalities above, we have that $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq \mathrm{cat}_1(X)$ when X is an H -space. The opposite inequality is given by Proposition 2.11. \square

In the somewhat overlooked paper [14], D. Handel shows that the free path fibration $X^I \rightarrow X \times X$ is a pullback of the based path fibration $PX \rightarrow X$

over a certain map $h: X \times X \rightarrow X$ (which we describe below) if and only if X is a CW H-space. This in itself implies $\mathrm{TC}(X) = \mathrm{cat}(X)$ by standard inequalities, but it also can be used to give another proof of Theorem 2.15. Both proofs use special properties of CW H-spaces, so it is worthwhile seeing each of them.

Alternative Proof of Theorem 2.15. We use the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.15. The loop structure of $[X, X]$ shows that there is a right inverse map $\eta: X \rightarrow X$ with the property that

$$X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X \xrightarrow{1_X \times \eta} X \times X \xrightarrow{m} X$$

is nullhomotopic. Then we define $h = m(1_X \times \eta)$. On homology, this map induces $h_*(a, b) = a - b$ and since, for an H-space, $\pi_1(X) = H_1(X)$, we see that $h_{\#}(a, b) = a - b$ as well. Then, since the diagonal $\Delta(\pi) \subset \pi \times \pi$ is the kernel of this homomorphism, we can lift h to $\tilde{h}: \widehat{X \times X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ as in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X \times X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \tilde{X} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow P \\ X \times X & \xrightarrow{h} & X. \end{array}$$

But the homomorphism $h_{\#}$ induces an isomorphism $(\pi \times \pi)/\Delta(\pi) \cong \pi$ and this in turn shows that \tilde{h} restricted to each fibre $(\pi \times \pi)/\Delta(\pi) \rightarrow \pi$ is a bijection. This then implies that the diagram above is a pullback and the usual sectional category inequality gives

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = \mathrm{secat}(q) \leq \mathrm{secat}(P) = \mathrm{cat}_1(X).$$

□

3. FIBRATION OVER A COVERING AND THE INVARIANT $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(X)$

Consider the situation

$$E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X$$

where p is a fibration with fibre F and q is a covering map where the space \overline{X} is connected. The composition

$$q \circ p: E \rightarrow X$$

is a fibration with fibre F' which is homeomorphic to the product $F \times F_0$ where F_0 is the fibre of q , i.e. a discrete set.

Definition 3.1. Define the number $\widetilde{\mathrm{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X)$ as the minimal integer $k \geq 0$ such that X admits an open cover $X = U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_k$ with the property that for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ the fibration p admits a continuous section over $q^{-1}(U_i) \subset \overline{X}$.

We see immediately from the definition that

$$(10) \quad \widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X) \geq \text{secat}(p),$$

and $\widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X) = 0$ if and only if the fibration $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ admits a continuous section, i.e. when $\text{secat}(p) = 0$. Presently, we have no examples where the inequality (10) is strict. We then obtain the following estimate.

Proposition 3.2. *One has*

$$(11) \quad \text{secat}(q \circ p) \leq \text{secat}(q : \overline{X} \rightarrow X) + \widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X).$$

We postpone the proof to recall some known results about open covers. These results are described and proved in [3, 4]; the other relevant references are [25, 15, 2, 22] as well as [1, Exercise 1.12].

An open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_0, \dots, W_{m+k}\}$ of a space X is an $(m+1)$ -cover if every subcollection $\{W_{j_0}, W_{j_1}, \dots, W_{j_m}\}$ of $m+1$ sets from \mathcal{W} also covers X . The following simple observation (see [25] for instance) is often given without proof, but it is the basis for many arguments in this approach.

Lemma 3.3. *A cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{k+m}\}$ is an $(m+1)$ -cover of X if and only if each $x \in X$ is contained in at least $k+1$ sets of \mathcal{W} .*

Proof. If \mathcal{W} is an $(m+1)$ -cover and $x \in X$ is only in k sets in \mathcal{W} , then $k+m+1-k = m+1$ sets of the cover do not contain x . These $m+1$ sets do not cover X , contradicting the supposition on \mathcal{W} .

Suppose that each $x \in X$ is contained in at least $k+1$ sets from \mathcal{W} and choose a subcollection \mathcal{V} of $m+1$ sets from \mathcal{W} . There are only $k+m+1-(m+1) = k$ sets *not* in \mathcal{V} , so x must belong to at least one set in \mathcal{V} . Thus \mathcal{V} covers X , and \mathcal{W} is an $(m+1)$ -cover. \square

An open cover can be lengthened to a $(k+1)$ -cover, while retaining certain essential properties of the sets in the cover:

Theorem 3.4 ([2, 3]). *Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, \dots, U_k\}$ be an open cover of a normal space X . Then, for any $m = k, k+1, \dots, \infty$, there is an open $(k+1)$ -cover of X , $\{U_0, \dots, U_m\}$, extending \mathcal{U} such that for $n > k$, U_n is a disjoint union of open sets that are subsets of the U_j , $0 \leq j \leq k$.*

In Theorem 3.4, the sets U_n possess any properties of the original cover that are inherited by disjoint unions and open subsets. In particular, if the cover \mathcal{U} is categorical, then the extended cover is also categorical. The following was proved in [22]. We recall the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a normal space with two open covers*

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V} = \{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_m\}$$

such that each set of \mathcal{U} satisfies Property (A), and each set of \mathcal{V} satisfies Property (B). Assume that Properties (A) and (B) are inherited by open

subsets and disjoint unions. Then X has an open cover

$$\mathcal{W} = \{W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{k+m}\}$$

by open sets satisfying both Property (A) and Property (B).

Proof. Using Theorem 3.4, extend \mathcal{U} to a $(k+1)$ -cover $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \{U_0, \dots, U_{k+m}\}$ and extend \mathcal{V} to an $(m+1)$ -cover $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \{V_0, \dots, V_{k+m}\}$. Since each set in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is a disjoint union of open subsets of sets in \mathcal{U} , the cover $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ consists of sets satisfying Property (A); likewise, each set in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ satisfies Property (B). Since Properties (A) and (B) are inherited by open subsets and disjoint unions, we see that each set $U_i \cap V_j$ satisfies both properties.

Therefore, the lemma will be proved if we can show that the collection

$$\mathcal{W} = \{U_0 \cap V_0, U_1 \cap V_1, \dots, U_{k+m} \cap V_{k+m}\}.$$

is an open cover of X . First, observe that since $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is an $(m+1)$ -cover, each point $x \in X$ lies in at least $k+1$ sets of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$; we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $x \in V_0 \cap \dots \cap V_k$. Next, since $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is a $(k+1)$ -cover, the subcollection $\{U_0, \dots, U_k\}$ covers X , and so $x \in U_i$ for some $0 \leq i \leq k$. Thus $x \in U_i \cap V_i$ for at least one value of i and \mathcal{W} covers X . \square

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We say that an open subset $U \subset X$ satisfies property A if q has a section over U . We say that an open subset $U \subset X$ satisfies property B if the fibration p has a section over $q^{-1}(U) \subset \overline{X}$. Both properties A and B are inherited by subsets and disjoint unions. If $k = \text{secat}(q)$ and $l = \widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X)$ then there exists an open cover of X by $k+1$ open sets satisfying A and there exists an open cover of X by $l+1$ open subsets satisfying B. Hence by Lemma 3.5 there is an open cover of X by $k+l+1$ open subsets satisfying A and B. If $U \subset X$ is an open subset satisfying A and B then q has a section over U and p has a section over $q^{-1}(U)$. Then $q \circ p$ has a section over U . Hence $\text{secat}(q \circ p) \leq k+l$. \square

Example 3.6. Let

$$E = \{(x, y, \omega); x, y \in \tilde{X}, \omega \in \tilde{X}^I, \omega(0) = x, \omega(1) = y\} / \pi = X^I,$$

where $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. Let $\overline{X} = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X}$. Let $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be given by $p([x, y, \omega]) = [x, y]$ and let $q : \overline{X} \rightarrow X \times X$ be given by $q([x, y]) = (Px, Py)$, where $P : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the universal cover. Now we have the situation

$$(12) \quad X^I \xrightarrow{p} \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{q} X \times X.$$

Obviously $\text{secat}(q \circ p) = \text{TC}(X)$ and $\text{secat}(q) = \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$. We shall introduce the shorthand notation

$$\widetilde{\text{TC}}(X) = \widetilde{\text{secat}}(X^I \xrightarrow{p} \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{q} X \times X).$$

Inequality (11) in this particular case becomes

$$(13) \quad \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \widetilde{\text{TC}}(X).$$

This then establishes the first part of Theorem 3 of the Introduction.

Remark 3.7. In [24] the invariant $\widetilde{\text{cat}}(X)$ (called *universal cover category*) was defined to be the least k such that there exist open sets U_0, \dots, U_k whose union covers X and whose preimages $P^{-1}(U_j)$ under the universal covering map $P: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ are contractible in \tilde{X} . It was then shown that the estimate

$$(14) \quad \text{cat}(X) \leq \text{cat}_1(X) + \widetilde{\text{cat}}(X)$$

holds. In light of Definition 3.1, we see that (since the based path space $P\tilde{X}$ is contractible)

$$\widetilde{\text{cat}}(X) = \widetilde{\text{secat}}(P\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{P} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{P} X)$$

with $\text{cat}(X) = \text{secat}(P \circ p)$ and $\text{cat}_1(X) = \text{secat}(P)$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, (14) is a specialization of (11).

Proposition 3.8. *For any locally finite cell complex X , the number*

$$\widetilde{\text{TC}}(X) = \widetilde{\text{secat}}(X^I \xrightarrow{p} \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{q} X \times X)$$

coincides with the strongly equivariant topological complexity $\text{TC}_{\pi}^(\tilde{X})$ introduced by A. Dranishnikov [5].*

Proof. Recall that $\text{TC}_{\pi}^*(\tilde{X})$ is defined as the minimal number k such that $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ can be covered by $k + 1$ open sets \tilde{U}_i such that each \tilde{U}_i is $\pi \times \pi$ -invariant and admits a π -equivariant continuous section $\tilde{s}_i: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}^I$. Let $P: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ denote the universal covering projection. Each $\pi \times \pi$ -invariant open set $\tilde{U}_i \subset \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ has the form $(P \times P)^{-1}(U_i)$ where $U_i = (P \times P)(\tilde{U}_i)$ is an open subset of $X \times X$.

The definition of $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(X)$ deals with open subsets $U_i \subset X \times X$ and continuous sections

$$s_i: V_i = q^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow X^I.$$

If $\tilde{U}_i \subset \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ denotes $(P \times P)^{-1}(U_i)$ then V_i equals the quotient \tilde{U}_i/π with respect to the diagonal copy of $\pi \subset \pi \times \pi$. We have the commutative diagram

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X}^I & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} & \xleftarrow{\supset} & \tilde{U}_i \\ P \downarrow & & Q \downarrow & & \downarrow Q|_{V_i} \\ X^I & \xrightarrow{p} & \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X} & \xleftarrow{\supset} & V_i \end{array}$$

in which every vertical arrow is a principal π -bundle.

It is clear that every π -equivariant section $\tilde{s}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}^I$ of the map $\tilde{p}: \tilde{X}^I \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ determines (by passing to π -orbits) the map $s: V_i \rightarrow X^I$, and since $\tilde{p} \circ \tilde{s}$ is the inclusion $\tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ we obtain that $p \circ s$ is the inclusion $V_i \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_{\pi} \tilde{X}$, i.e. s is a section of p . Thus, $\text{TC}_{\pi}^*(\tilde{X}) \geq \widetilde{\text{TC}}(X)$.

For the converse, we want to show that each section $s: V_i \rightarrow X^I$ of p determines a π -equivariant section of \tilde{p} . To do so we need to recall a few basic facts about principal bundles, which can be found for example in [13, 18].

Each principal π -bundle $p : E \rightarrow B$ over a space with the homotopy type of a CW complex is classified by a homotopy class $\xi \in [B, B\pi]$. Note that E has a free π -action and $B = E/\pi$. If $p' : E' \rightarrow B'$ is another principal π -bundle with class $\xi' \in [B', B\pi]$ then a morphism of π -bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{F} & E \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B' & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

exists if and only if $f^*(\xi) = \xi'$. Here the word morphism means that $F : E' \rightarrow E$ is a continuous map commuting with the π -action. Note also that F is uniquely determined by f up to principal bundle equivalence, in the following sense: if $F_0, F_1 : E' \rightarrow E$ are two π -maps with $p \circ F_i = f \circ p'$ for $i = 0, 1$ then

$$F_1 = F_0 \circ u$$

where $u : E' \rightarrow E'$ is a principal bundle equivalence of p' , that is, a π -homeomorphism which induces the identity on B' .

Let $s : V_i \rightarrow X^I$ be a continuous section of p . Let $\xi \in [\tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X}, B\pi]$ denote the class of the bundle Q , see diagram (15). Then $\xi|_{V_i} \in [V_i, B\pi]$ is the class of the bundle $Q|_{V_i}$ and $\eta = p^*(\xi) \in [X^I, B\pi]$ is the class of the bundle P . One has

$$s^*(\eta) = s^*p^*(\xi) = \xi|_{V_i}$$

and applying the general theory of principal bundles as described above we see that s extends to a morphism of principal bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}^I & \xleftarrow{\tilde{s}} & \tilde{U}_i \\ P \downarrow & & \downarrow Q|_{V_i} \\ X^I & \xleftarrow{s} & V_i \end{array}$$

Note that $\tilde{s} : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}^I$ is a π -equivariant map, but need not be a section of \tilde{p} .

Consider the composition of morphisms of principal bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{X}^I & \xleftarrow{\tilde{s}} & \tilde{U}_i \\ P \times P \downarrow & & P \downarrow & & \downarrow Q|_{V_i} \\ \tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X} & \xleftarrow{p} & X^I & \xleftarrow{s} & V_i \end{array}$$

We know that the lower map $p \circ s : V_i \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X}$ is the inclusion. Let $j : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ denote the inclusion, which is π -equivariant and covers $p \circ s$. Using the uniqueness property of principal bundle maps described above, we see that $\tilde{p} \circ \tilde{s} \circ u = j$ for some principal bundle equivalence u of $Q|_{V_i}$. Thus $\tilde{s} \circ u : \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}^I$ is a π -equivariant section of \tilde{p} . \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $P: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering projection with $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. Then*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(X) \geq \mathrm{TC}(\tilde{X}).$$

Proof. Since the definition of strongly equivariant topological complexity just puts extra conditions on the usual TC diagram for \tilde{X} , we have $\mathrm{TC}_\pi^*(\tilde{X}) \geq \mathrm{TC}(\tilde{X})$. The result follows directly then from Proposition 3.8. \square

Lemma 3.10. *A CW complex X is aspherical if and only if $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(X) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(X) = 0$; that is, the fibration $p: X^I \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X}$ has a continuous section. For $n \geq 2$ consider the composition

$$\pi_n(X) = \pi_n(X^I) \xrightarrow{p^*} \pi_n(\tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_n(X \times X) = \pi_n(X) \oplus \pi_n(X).$$

Since p has a section this composition must be surjective. On the other hand, it is obvious that the image of this composition coincides with the diagonal $\pi_n(X) \subset \pi_n(X) \oplus \pi_n(X)$. This is possible only when $\pi_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

On the other hand, suppose that X is aspherical so that \tilde{X} is contractible. The fibre of $p: X^I \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X}$ is $\Omega\tilde{X}$, the loop space of the universal cover, so it is also contractible. This implies that p has a section and hence $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(X) = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $Z = X \times Y$ where $X = K(\pi, 1)$ is aspherical and Y is simply connected. Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(Z) = \mathrm{TC}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(Z) = \mathrm{TC}(Y).$$

Proof. The first statement follows by applying Proposition 2.6 to the maps $X \rightarrow X \times Y \rightarrow X$ (injection and projection).

Now let's consider $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(Z)$. The tower of fibrations (12) looks in this case as follows

$$X^I \times Y^I \xrightarrow{p_X \times p_Y} (\tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X}) \times (Y \times Y) \xrightarrow{q_X \times q_Y} (X \times X) \times (Y \times Y).$$

As we mentioned in the proof of Lemma 3.10, since X is aspherical, there exists a continuous section $\sigma: \tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X} \rightarrow X^I$, i.e. $p_X \circ \sigma = 1$. Let $U \subset Y \times Y$ be an open set admitting a section $s: U \rightarrow Y^I$ of p_Y . Then the set $(q_X \times q_Y)^{-1}(X \times X \times U) = \tilde{X} \times_\pi \tilde{X} \times U$ admits the section $\sigma \times s$ of $p_X \times p_Y$. This shows that $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(Z) \leq \mathrm{TC}(Y)$.

Conversely, assume that $V \subset (X \times X) \times (Y \times Y)$ is an open subset such that there is a continuous section

$$\sigma: (q_X \times q_Y)^{-1}(V) \rightarrow X^I \times X^I.$$

Fix a point $x_0 \in X$ and denote $V' = V \cap (x_0 \times x_0 \times Y \times Y) \subset Y \times Y$. Then clearly there exists a continuous section $\sigma': V' \rightarrow Y^I$. This shows that $\mathrm{TC}(Y) \leq \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(Z)$. \square

Note that the inequality (13) reduces in this special case (i.e. when $Z = X \times Y$ with X aspherical and Y simply connected) to the usual product inequality,

$$\mathrm{TC}(Z) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(Z) + \widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(Z) = \mathrm{TC}(X) + \mathrm{TC}(Y).$$

Finally, we give an example showing that Theorem 3 can be sharp and can be a better estimate than that of Theorem 2 even when $\mathrm{TC}(\pi)$ is finite.

Example 3.12. Consider the product $Z = T^2 \times S^2$. Then $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(Z) = \mathrm{TC}(T^2) = 2$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{TC}}(Z) = \mathrm{TC}(S^2) = 2$. By applying the zero-divisors-cup-length estimate it is easy to see that $\mathrm{TC}(Z) \geq 4$ and the inequality (13) gives in this case the inverse inequality $\mathrm{TC}(Z) \leq 4$. Thus (13) is, in this instance, an equality.

4. A CONNECTIVITY-DIMENSIONAL UPPER BOUND

In this section we establish an upper bound on $\widetilde{\mathrm{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X)$ in terms of the dimension of X and the connectivity of the fibre of p .

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a finite dimensional simplicial complex. Consider two maps*

$$E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X,$$

where $q : \overline{X} \rightarrow X$ is a covering map (not necessarily regular) and $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is a fibration with $(k-1)$ -connected fibre for some $k \geq 0$. Then

$$(16) \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\dim(X) - k}{k + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. First we want to rephrase Definition 3.1 as follows. We claim that the number

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X)$$

equals the smallest c such that there exists an increasing sequence of closed subsets

$$T_{-1} = \emptyset \subset T_0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \cdots \subset T_c = X$$

such that for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, c$ the fibration p admits a continuous section over the set $q^{-1}(T_i - T_{i-1})$. This follows by repeating the arguments of the proof of Proposition 4.12 from [9]. (It can also be shown below for skeleta using the fact that skeletal pairs are NDR pairs.)

Consider the sequence of skeleta

$$X^{(k)} \subset X^{(2k+1)} \subset X^{(3k+2)} \subset \cdots \subset X^{((c+1)k+c)} = X$$

where c is the smallest integer with $(c+1)k + c \geq \dim(X)$, i.e.

$$c = \left\lceil \frac{\dim(X) - k}{k + 1} \right\rceil.$$

Denoting $T_i = X^{((i+1)k+i)}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, c$, we obtain an increasing sequence of closed subsets $T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \cdots \subset T_c = X$. We want to show

that the fibration $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ admits a continuous section over the set $q^{-1}(T_i - T_{i-1}) \subset \overline{X}$ for each i .

Next we make the following remark. Let $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be a fibration with fibre F . Suppose that F is $(k-1)$ -connected. Let $A \subset \overline{X}$ be a subset which is homotopy equivalent to a simplicial complex of dimension $\leq k$. Then p admits a section over A . This follows directly by applying obstruction theory.

Applying the result of §5 we see that, denoting $T_i = X^{((i+1)k+i)}$, the difference $T_i - T_{i-1}$ is homotopy equivalent to a simplicial complex of dimension $\leq k$. Then its preimage $q^{-1}(T_i - T_{i-1})$ is also homotopy equivalent to a simplicial complex of dimension $\leq k$. Our statement now follows as we assume that the fibre F of $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is $(k-1)$ -connected. \square

Theorem 4.1 gives that

$$\widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X) \leq \dim(X)$$

assuming the fibre of $p : E \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is not empty; this is the case $k = 0$. If the fibre F is connected then

$$\widetilde{\text{secat}}(E \xrightarrow{p} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{q} X) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\dim(X) - 1}{2} \right\rceil,$$

and so on.

Example 4.2. We have that

$$\widetilde{\text{TC}}(\mathbb{R}P^n) = \widetilde{\text{secat}}((\mathbb{R}P^n)^I \xrightarrow{p} S^n \times_{\pi} S^n \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{R}P^n \times \mathbb{R}P^n).$$

Because $\mathbb{R}P^n \times \mathbb{R}P^n$ is covered by the $(n-1)$ -connected space $S^n \times S^n$, Theorem 4.1 gives

$$\widetilde{\text{TC}}(\mathbb{R}P^n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{2 \cdot n - (n-1)}{(n-1) + 1} \right\rceil = 2.$$

If n is even, then by Corollary 3.9, we have $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(\mathbb{R}P^n) \geq \text{TC}(S^n) = 2$, so $\widetilde{\text{TC}}(\mathbb{R}P^n) = 2$.

Finally we combine the inequality (13) with the upper bound of Theorem 4.1 in the situation of Example 3.6. We obtain the following result which is the second part of Theorem 3 of the Introduction.

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a finite dimensional simplicial complex. Assume that the universal cover \tilde{X} of X is k -connected, i.e. $\pi_i(\tilde{X}) = 0$ for $i \leq k$. Then*

$$(17) \quad \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \left\lceil \frac{2 \dim X - k}{k + 1} \right\rceil.$$

In the special case $k = 1$ (which is satisfied without extra assumptions of connectivity) the inequality (17) gives

$$(18) \quad \text{TC}(X) \leq \text{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \dim X$$

which can be compared with the result of A. Dranishnikov [5]. The inequality (18) is stronger than ([5]) in cases when $\mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) < \mathrm{TC}(\pi)$.

As another special case of Theorem 4.3 we see that assuming that \tilde{X} is 2-connected, one has

$$\mathrm{TC}(X) \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + \left\lceil \frac{2}{3}(\dim X - 1) \right\rceil.$$

5. APPENDIX: COMPLEMENTS

For convenience of the reader we state here a few known results which are used in the previous sections.

For a simplicial complex K we denote by $V(K)$ the set of its vertices. The symbol $|K|$ denotes the geometric realisation of K .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $L \subset K$ be a simplicial subcomplex. Suppose that L has the following convexity property: every simplex of K with all its vertices in L lies in L . Then the complement $|K| - |L|$ is homotopy equivalent to a simplicial complex X with the vertex set $V(X) = V(K) - V(L)$; a set of vertices in $V(X)$ forms a simplex in X if and only if it forms a simplex in K .*

Proof. For a vertex $v \in V(K) - V(L)$ we denote by $S_v \subset |K|$ its open star, i.e. the union of all open simplices containing v . The family

$$\{S_v; v \in V(K) - V(L)\}$$

is an open cover of the complement $|K| - |L|$ (here we use our assumption concerning the convexity of L). The nerve of this open cover is isomorphic to X , as a simplicial complex. We observe that each nonempty intersection $S_{v_0} \cap S_{v_1} \cap \cdots \cap S_{v_k}$ is contractible since it coincides with the open star of the simplex $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k) \in K$. Our statement now follows from Corollary 4G.3 on page 459 of Hatcher [17]. \square

Next we remove the convexity assumption:

Lemma 5.2. *For any simplicial subcomplex $L \subset K$, the complement $|K| - |L|$ is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial complex Y with the vertex set labelled by the set of simplices of K which are not in L ; simplices of Y are in 1-1 correspondence with increasing chains $\sigma_0 \subsetneq \sigma_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \sigma_k$ of simplices of $K - L$.*

Proof. Consider the barycentric subdivision K' of K . Its vertices are labelled by the simplices of K ; the simplices of K' are labelled by the increasing chains $\sigma_0 \subsetneq \sigma_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \sigma_k$ of simplices of K . The barycentric subdivision L' of L is a simplicial subcomplex of K' . The subcomplex $L' \subset K'$ has the convexity property: a simplex of K' belongs to L' if and only if all its vertices lie in L' . Now we simply apply the previous Lemma. \square

Corollary 5.3. *For a d -dimensional simplicial complex K and an integer $r < d$, the complement $|K| - |K^{(r)}|$ has the homotopy type of a simplicial complex of dimension $\leq d - r - 1$.*

Proof. Applying the previous Lemma we see that the complement $|K| - |K^{(r)}|$ has homotopy type of a simplicial complex with vertex set labelled by the set of simplices of K having dimension $> r$ and with the simplices in 1-1 correspondence with the increasing chains of simplices of dimension $> r$. Since the length of any such chain is at most $d - r$ the result follows. \square

This Corollary appeared in [23] and also in [6].

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach for its generosity in supporting a July 2017 Research in Pairs stay where this work was begun.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cornea O., Lupton G., Oprea J. and Tanré D., *Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category*, Surveys and Monographs **103**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 2003.
- [2] Cuvilliez M., *LS-catégorie et k -monomorphisme*, Thèse, Université Catholique de Louvain (1998).
- [3] Dranishnikov A., *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of spaces with 2-dimensional fundamental group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 4, 1489–1497.
- [4] Dranishnikov A., *The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and the fundamental group*, Algebraic & Geometric Topology 10 (2010) 917–924.
- [5] Dranishnikov A., *On topological complexity of twisted products*, Topology Appl. 179 (2015), 74–80.
- [6] Dranishnikov A., *An upper bound on the LS-category in presence of the fundamental group*, arXiv:1806.04475
- [7] Eilenberg S. and Ganea T., *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of abstract groups*, Annals of Math. 65 (1957), 517–518.
- [8] Farber M., *Topological complexity of motion planning*, Discrete Comput Geom 29 (2003), 211–221.
- [9] Farber M., *Invitation to topological robotics*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society, Zrich, 2008.
- [10] Farber M. and Grant M., *Robot motion planning, weights of cohomology classes and cohomology operations*, Proceedings of the AMS, 136 (2008), 3339–3349.
- [11] Farber M., Grant M., Lupton G. and Oprea J., *Bredon cohomology and robot motion planning*, arXiv:1711.10132 [math.AT].
- [12] Farber M., Tabachnikov S., Yuzvinsky S., *Motion Planning in projective spaces*, International Mathematics Research Notices 34 (2003), 1853 – 1870.
- [13] Gottlieb D. H., *Applications of bundle map theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 23–50.
- [14] Handel, D., *The diagonal fibration, H-spaces, and duality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 275–279.
- [15] Hardie K. A., *On $cat^i X$* , J. Lond. Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 91–92.
- [16] Hanner O., *Some theorems on absolute neighborhood retracts*, Ark. Mat. 1, (1951), 389–408.

- [17] Hatcher, A., *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [18] Husemoller, D., *Fibre bundles*, Third edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 20. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [19] James, I. M., *On H-spaces and their homotopy groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 11 (1960), 161-179.
- [20] Lupton, G., Scherer, J., *Topological complexity of H-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), no. 5, 1827-1838.
- [21] Milnor J., *On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW complex*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959), 272–280.
- [22] Oprea J. and Strom J., *Mixing Categories*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 3383–3392.
- [23] Oprea, J and Strom, J. *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, complements of skeleta and a theorem of Dranishnikov*. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 10 (2010), no. 2, 1165 – 1186.
- [24] Oprea, J., *Aspects of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Geometry, integrability and quantization XVI, 13–35, Avangard Prima, Sofia, 2015.
- [25] Ostrand P., *Dimension of metric spaces and Hilbert's problem 13*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), 619–622.
- [26] Schwarz, A., *The genus of a fiber space*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Series 2, vol 55 (1966), 49–140.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON, E1 4NS, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `m.farber@qmul.ac.uk`

INSTITUTE OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, ABERDEEN AB24 3UE, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: `mark.grant@abdn.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND OH 44115, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `g.lupton@csuohio.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND OH 44115, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `j.oprea@csuohio.edu`