9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

# Effects of Chemical Feedbacks on Decadal Methane Emissions Estimates

Newton H. Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Alexander J. Turner<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Yi Yin<sup>1</sup>, Michael J. Prather<sup>5</sup>, Christian Frankenberg<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 91125, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

<sup>3</sup>College of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA <sup>4</sup>NASA Jet Propulsion Lab, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 91109, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, 92697, USA

# Key Points:

- Neglecting chemical feedbacks can bias estimates of methane emissions perturba-
- tions by up to 25% over 10 years
- Strong biomass burning events, such as El Niño, can indirectly increase the methane growth rate through emission of CO by extending the methane lifetime
- Attributions of decadal trends in methane are dependent on the assumptions about both OH and CO

Corresponding author: Newton Nguyen, newton@caltech.edu

Corresponding author: Christian Frankenberg, cfranken@caltech.edu

-1-

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as 10.1029/2019GL ( $\hat{i}$ ) ( $\hat{i}$ )



The coupled chemistry of carbon monoxide (CO), methane and hydroxyl radical (OH) 20 can modulate methane's 9-year lifetime, often being ignored in methane-flux inversions, 21 and the impacts of neglecting those feedbacks have not been quantified. Using a coupledchemistry box model, we show that neglecting methane's effect on [OH] can lead to a 23 25% bias in calculating methane source perturbations after only 10 vr. Further, CO, such 24 as from biomass burning, can have a comparable impact on methane concentrations as 25 direct-methane emissions, yet acting at much larger spatial scales and delayed by sev-26 eral months. Finally, we quantify the biases of including (or excluding) coupled chem-27 istry in the context of recent methane and CO trends. Inter-annual variations and de-28 creasing trends in CO concentrations have substantial impacts on-methane flux inver-29 sions. Given these non-negligible errors, decadal-methane-emissions inversions should in-30 corporate chemical feedbacks for more robust methane trend analyses and source attri-31 butions. 32

## <sup>33</sup> Plain Language Summary

Methane inversion studies commonly assume that atmospheric methane has a 9-34 year lifetime, but the decay rate of methane perturbations can be extended by 40%. This 35 effect is from interactions of other atmospheric compounds with methane's main sink, 36 the hydroxyl radical. This is important for estimating global emissions over recent decades. 37 We show that one of these compounds, carbon monoxide (CO), emitted from wildfires 38 during El Niño, can lead to large increases in methane concentrations by extending the 39 methane lifetime. Moreover, ignoring these effects can lead up to a 25% error in estimat-40 ing methane emissions changes after a decade. Finally, we show that the effect of decreas-41 ing CO on methane has extended the methane lifetime and has led to some biases in cal-42 culating methane emissions. Thus, attributing causes of recent methane emissions trends 43 are dependent on the consideration of compounds indirectly affecting the methane life-44 time, which may have implications for future mitigation plans. 45

### 46 1 Introduction

Methane is the second most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas. Globally av-47 eraged concentrations have risen from  $\sim$ 750 ppb during the pre-industrial to 1850 ppb 48 in 2018, contributing to  $\sim 25\%$  of overall radiative forcing (IPCC, 2013), with even higher 49 contributions when considering all indirect impacts (Shindell et al., 2005). This increase 50 includes a brief pause from 2000 to 2007 with a subsequent resumption in growth. The 51 cause of the onset and termination of this stabilization remains debated (see Turner et 52 al., 2019, and references therein for a review of recent trends). Due to nonlinear feed-53 backs affecting the main methane sink, which is oxidation by the Hydroxyl Radical (OH), 54 perturbations of methane and other species controlling OH loss may affect the methane 55 lifetime (Prather, 1994, 1996), especially in the context of recent methane and CO trends. 56 This is often overlooked in methane inversion studies, as static OH fields are often em-57 ployed, which may impact flux inversions at longer time-scales (Prather & Holmes, 2017). 58 Our main objective here is to investigate how assumptions on the oxidant chemistry af-59 fect methane emissions estimates. 60

Variations in methane fluxes have been inferred with constraints from methane concentrations and  $\delta^{13}$ C growth rates to study the 2000-2007 stabilization. However, by ignoring coupled chemistry, there are no changes in methane loss, thus any changes in methane abundances can only be attributed to methane source changes (e.g., Nisbet et al., 2016; Schaefer et al., 2016; Schwietzke et al., 2016; Thompson et al., 2018; J. Worden et al., 2017). Other studies have focused on a possible change in the main methane sink (e.g., Gaubert et al., 2017; McNorton et al., 2016; Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017). Gaubert et al. (2017) focused on the impact of CO on the methane lifetime. They found that a decline in CO concentrations, resulting from decreases in CO emissions in the 2000s (H. Worden et al., 2013), would result in increased OH concentrations during the stabilization period and, consequently, a decline in the methane lifetime. This change in the methane lifetime would require an even stronger increase in methane emissions to explain recent trends.

Rigby et al. (2017) and Turner et al. (2017) concluded it was likely that OH concentrations declined during the stabilization period. However, both studies ignored in-76 teractive chemistry but used observations of methyl chloroform (MCF) to constrain glob-77 ally averaged OH concentrations. Yet, Prather and Holmes (2017) pointed out two main 78 problems: 1), using MCF to constrain OH is highly uncertain due to uncertainties in MCF 79 emissions and loss, and 2) both studies did not explicitly account for chemical feedbacks 80 (terms beyond the first order terms in Eq. 1). Given these uncertainties, alongside the 81 contradicting hypotheses discussed here, the question remains: "how do simplifying as-82 sumptions on coupled chemistry affect methane emissions estimates?" 83

Studies employ simplifying assumptions in order to decrease computational cost, 84 and the biases inherent in those assumptions are not well characterized, possibly con-85 tributing to contradicting hypotheses around the stabilization period. For instance, box 86 model results have been criticized for not realistically modeling the impacts of atmospheric 87 transport (Naus et al., 2019). On the other hand, sophisticated atmospheric transport 88 models with 3D chemistry are used to invert methane fluxes, but they typically use static 89 90 OH fields to model methane oxidation. In that context, we believe that the simplicity of a box model is an ideal way to isolate the impact of neglecting coupled chemistry on methane flux inversions from other error sources. To do this, we can conceptualize the 92 complexity of the coupled drivers affecting the decay of a methane perturbation  $\delta$ [CH<sub>4</sub>] 93 into a linear expansion of chemical mechanisms, similar to Taylor Series expansions: 94

$$\frac{d\delta[\text{CH}_4]}{dt} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial (d[\text{CH}_4]/dt)}{\partial [X_i]}\right) \delta[X_i].$$
(1)

In Eq. 1, each  $X_i$  represents the concentration of species *i* (e.g. methane, CO, OH, NO<sub>x</sub>), 95 which might interact with the methane lifetime. Conceptually, a perturbation in i will 96 either directly affect the methane lifetime (as is the case for [OH]) or indirectly affect 97 methane loss by changing oxidant levels (e.g., higher CO will lead to a decrease in OH, 98 whereas  $NO_x$  emissions will typically lead to increased OH abundance and methane loss). 99 The coupled chemistry comes into play as methane oxidation impacts the steady state 100 concentration of OH itself directly and indirectly, as the oxidation leads to CO, which 101 interacts with OH at shorter timescales. Here, we focus on the coupled chemistry of methane, 102 CO, and OH by using a 2-hemispheres box model with coupled methane, CO, and OH 103 chemistry (Prather, 1994, 1996). We will quantify the impacts of critical assumptions 104 in methane flux inversions (Table 1). 105

# <sup>106</sup> 2 Forward Model and Variable Lifetimes

107

112

113

### 2.1 Constructing the Forward Model

OH oxidizes methane to form CO, which is also oxidized by OH, resulting in a coupled chemical system (Table A1). The equations in Table A1 are solved for each hemispheric box. The exchange between the hemispheric boxes are a function of the interhemispheric exchange time (1 yr) and inter-hemispheric concentration gradients.

We also employ simplifying assumptions to our model to abstract the complexity of OH production, recycling, and loss. OH is also the primary oxidant for a number of

other compounds in the atmosphere (e.g., ethane and other non-methane hydrocarbons) 114 (Lelieveld et al., 2016), so we follow Prather (1994, 1996) and abstract this complexity 115 with an arbitrary molecule, X, acting as an additional OH sink. In TAble 1 and A1,  $S_{OH}$ 116 represents the production rate of OH, which is primarily driven by UV radiation in the 117 presence of ozone and water vapor, in addition to chemical recycling by other species, 118 especially  $NO_x$  (Lelieveld et al., 2002, 2016; Nicely et al., 2018). We do not explicitly 119 account for these effects here and instead abstract this complexity with a term,  $S_{OH}$ , 120 in our model, which then yields the OH concentration given the sources and sinks of OH. 121 It should also be noted that here, non-interactive chemistry means that the methane ox-122 idation rate is static, meaning that the globally averaged methane lifetime as well as the 123 perturbation decay rates are fixed to  $\sim 9 \, \text{yr}$ . On the other hand, interactive chemistry 124 allows for [OH] to respond to changes in CO and CH<sub>4</sub>, even if  $S_{OH}$  is constant. 125

Direct measurements of OH are neither spatially dense enough, nor sufficiently precise to estimate global mean OH concentrations. This is because OH has a short lifetime ( $\sim 1$  seconds), exists in low concentrations ( $\sim 10^6$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>), and have large variations in space and time, so variations in MCF are often used as a proxy for globally integrated OH concentrations (e.g., Bousquet et al., 2005; Montzka et al., 2011).

#### 2.2

131

#### 2 Chemical Feedbacks Result in Extended Methane Lifetime

Perturbations to methane do not decay with the methane budget lifetime, which is obtained by dividing the total atmospheric methane burden with the methane loss rate assuming steady-state. Instead, in order to account for the nonlinearities in the methane-CO-OH system, perturbation decay rates are calculated from eigenvalues of the Jacobian of the chemical system, (Prather, 1994, 1996; Holmes, 2018).

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij} = \frac{\partial (d[\mathbf{x}_i]/dt)}{\partial [\mathbf{x}_j]}.$$
(2)

Each element of the Jacobian, M, consists of the derivative of the rate equations 137 in Table A1,  $(d[x_i]/dt)$ , with respect to each species,  $[x_i]$ . The complexity of the system 138 is caused by the off-diagonal elements in the matrix, resulting in different perturbation 139 modes with respective decay rates. This perturbation decay rate is also a function of the 140 concentrations of the species in  $\mathbf{M}$ , because the eigenvalues depend on the values in  $\mathbf{M}$ . 141 Substituting methane, CO, and OH concentrations of the modern atmosphere into Eq. 142 2 and inverting the minimum eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{M}$  results in the methane perturbation life-143 time that is  $\sim 40\%$  longer than the budget lifetime. 144

We demonstrate this extended perturbation lifetime in Fig. 1A, running the model 145 with prescribed emissions, adding a 10 Tg perturbation to methane emissions with in-146 teractive and non-interactive chemistry Fig. 1A. The perturbation lifetime of the non-147 interactive chemistry model decays with a  $\sim 9.4$  yr e-folding lifetime, while the interac-148 tive chemistry decays with a  $\sim 13.2$  yr lifetime. This is expected (Prather, 1994, 1996) 149 and indicates that our forward box model is a realistic approximation of the chemical 150 system. It should be noted that this perturbation lifetime also holds for infinitesimally 151 small perturbations to methane or CO, which drive correspondingly small perturbations 152 to OH, a fact that is sometimes overlooked. The question is what impact these differ-153 ences have on decadal-scale flux inversions, because most studies assume a fixed  $\sim 9 \, \text{yr}$ 154 lifetime. As can be seen in Figure 1a, a methane perturbation decays much slower, so 155 we expect an overestimation of methane flux inversions if this effect is ignored. 156

<sup>157</sup> Chemical simulations of interactive chemistry, when compared to non-interactive <sup>158</sup> chemistry, result in different equilibrium methane concentrations. We demonstrate this <sup>159</sup> in Fig. 1b, where methane emissions are fixed to 275, 550, 1100, and 2200 Tg/yr with <sup>160</sup> both interactive (solid lines) and non-interactive (dashed lines) chemistry. For emissions <sup>161</sup> larger than the contemporary 550 Tg/yr case (Saunois et al., 2016), the interactive chem-



Figure 1. A 10 Tg perturbation of methane (Panel A) decays with a 13.2 yr lifetime for the interactive case (solid line), while the perturbation decays with a 9.4 year lifetime for the non-interactive case (dotted line). Methane concentrations (Panel B) and OH concentrations (Panel C) are shown for our steady-state test, where emissions are fixed to 275, 550, 1100, and 2200 Tg/yr for both interactive (solid lines) and non-interactive (dashed lines) chemistry.

istry cases have much higher steady-state methane concentrations than their non-interactive 162 counterparts, because methane concentrations affect OH. However, for the pre-industrial 163  $275 \,\mathrm{Tg/yr}$  case, the interactive steady state concentrations are substantially lower as OH 164 would be about 25% higher. As our prescribed emissions become larger, the difference 165 between methane steady state concentrations in the interactive and non-interactive cases 166 further differ. In the 2200 Tg/yr case, the lifetime and steady-state lifetime differ by more 167 than a factor of three, caused by OH depletion (Fig. 1c). Even after more than 150 years, 168 the 2200 Tg/yr interactive chemistry case reaches concentrations of  $\sim 30$  ppm, while OH 169 decreases to 10% of contemporary concentrations, and both have not yet reached a steady 170 state. It should be noted that this simulation ignores other methane sinks, e.g. strato-171 spheric loss or soil uptake, both of which will dampen this effect in the actual atmosphere 172 and avoid a runaway effect. 173





Figure 2. A 20 Tg pulse of methane (green) increases methane by 6.8 ppb. A 250 Tg perturbation of CO (orange) depletes OH by  $\sim$  -8%, extending the methane lifetime, resulting in a 5 ppb increase in methane. The methane and CO joint response (blue) results in a 11.5 ppb



 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}2020}_{-6}\ensuremath{-American}$  Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

#### 2.3 Effects of El Niño on Methane Concentrations

Here we use the coupled methane-CO-OH chemistry to examine the impact of strong 175 biomass burning during El Niño events on both methane and CO, and consequently OH. 176 Previous works have highlighted the importance of El Niño on methane (e.g., Saunois 177 et al., 2016; J. Worden et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2018), CO (e.g., Yin et al., 2016), emis-178 sions through wetlands and fires. El Niño can further impact OH recycling via chang-179 ing emissions of lightning  $NO_x$  (e.g., Murray et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2018) and through 180 direct  $NO_x$  emissions from fires (e.g., Castellanos et al., 2014; Miyazaki et al., 2017), al-181 182 though  $NO_x$  effects are not explicitly represented here. However,  $NO_x$  emissions will have a more local to regional effect on OH, due to its much shorter lifetime when compared 183 with CO and methane. 184

Fig. 2 shows the results of three simulations with one-month-long perturbations: 1) a methane release of 20 Tg, 2) a CO release of 250 Tg, and 3) a simultaneous release of 20 Tg methane and 250 Tg CO, which is similar in magnitude to the 1997-1998 El Niño (Randerson et al., 2017). From this, we can observe the response of the system to individual perturbations as well as the joint response, testing our model with other El Niño results (e.g., Butler et al., 2005; Duncan et al., 2003; Rowlinson et al., 2019).

In Fig. 2, methane increases by  $\sim 6.8 \text{ ppb}$  to a 20 Tg methane perturbation (the green 191 line) and by  $\sim 5 \text{ ppb}$  to the 250 Tg CO perturbation (the orange line). The latter is due 192 to impact of CO on OH concentrations by  $\sim -8\%$ , not due to direct methane emissions. 193 The decrease in the methane oxidation rate due to the decline in OH increases the methane 194 lifetime in the atmosphere, acting as a pseudo-source of methane that acts over several 195 months even after the fires stopped. This OH response is within the range calculated by 196 other studies using 3-D chemical transport models e.g., Butler et al. (2005) find a  $\sim -2.2\%$ 197 decline in [OH] between July 1997 and December 1998; Duncan et al. (2003) find -2.2% 198 to -6.8% between September and December 1997 from the Indonesian fires; and most re-199 cently, Rowlinson et al. (2019) find  $\sim -9\%$  between 1997 and 1998. This indicates that 200 the magnitude of the OH response to CO perturbations in our model is realistic. 201

The indirect impact through CO emissions is comparable in magnitude to the di-202 rect methane emissions, resulting in a much stronger and delayed joint response of methane 203 to perturbations typical for large-scale biomass burning events. The case of the combined 204 methane and CO perturbation results in an 11.5 ppb increase in methane with almost 205 half a year delay in its peak enhancement, demonstrating the coupling of the  $CH_4$ -CO-OH system. Hence, it is possible that increases in methane concentrations can be incor-207 rectly attributed to increases in methane emissions, rather than CO emissions (or an-208 other species that can impact OH abundances). An El Niño scenario is thus an excel-209 lent test case for underlining the importance of interactive chemistry on not only the mag-210 nitude of response of methane and [OH] to perturbations, but also the timing of the re-211 sponse. In fact, the impact of biomass burning is highly complex. Locally, direct emis-212 sions of methane as well as strong perturbations in  $NO_x$ , radiation, CO and other trace 213 gases can play a role, which we cannot quantify in our simplified model. The impact on 214 hemispherically averaged CO concentrations, however, is well captured by our model and 215 has a significant impact on methane concentrations (hence the term pseudo-source) but 216 not in the area of biomass burning directly. Flux inversions using concentration gradi-217 ents would thus not attribute these background changes in methane concentrations to 218 the actual fires. 219

# 220 **3 Inv**

221

222

223

174

# 3 Inverting for methane Emissions

## 3.1 Data and Inverse Model

Our box model maps emissions to concentrations and thus, inverting our model maps concentrations to emissions. This enables us to quantify the effects of simplifying assumptions on methane flux inversions. Emissions are estimated using a non-linear Bayesian
inversion method (Rodgers, 2000). We use observations of methane (NOAA), CO (NOAA),
and MCF (NOAA, GAGE/AGAGE) concentrations, where hemispherically averaged observations were computed following the methods in Turner et al. (2017). Please refer to
Sec Appendix B for more details on averaging methods and stations selected.

229

#### 3.2 Inversion Bias without Interactive OH Chemistry

Here we estimate the impact of neglecting interactive OH chemistry in an ideal-230 ized inversion test case. Methane emissions are prescribed in our forward model, assum-231 ing interactive chemistry with a constant 6300 Tg/yr-OH source, resulting in a synthetic 232 methane concentrations time-series, shown in Fig. 3A. We use a scenario in which methane 233 emissions abruptly and permanently increase from 550 to 570 Tg/yr, an increase sim-234 ilar to the one needed to explain the renewed growth rate after 2007. The resulting syn-235 thetic concentrations in Fig. 3A constitute synthetic observations used in two inversions, 236 where we assume A) non interactive chemistry, and B) interactive chemistry. This test 237 serves two purposes: 1) to test the performance of our inversion, and 2) to calculate the 238 error associated with neglecting interactive OH chemistry in an inversion, as was alluded 239 to in (Prather & Holmes, 2017). This is equivalent to computing the forward model er-240 ror of assuming fixed OH concentrations in atmospheric methane inversions (while the 241 true atmosphere is interactive). 242

From our synthetic emissions test results (Fig. 3B and C), we find that the inver-243 sion is accurate with interactive chemistry. However, inverted methane emissions, in our 244 non-interactive inversion, are consistently higher after our prescribed emissions increase, 245 (Fig. 3b), reaching an overestimation of about 5 Tg/yr after only 10 years after the emis-246 sions change, which is 25% of the perturbation. This error increase to well over 8 Tg/yr247 after more than 20 years. This is because the increased methane emissions decrease OH 248 concentrations, whereas the non-interactive concentrations inversion does not account 249 for this OH response. This is non-negligible, because we only need a 20 Tg/yr source-250 sink imbalance to explain the 2007 renewed growth. Relative errors in these derived emis-251 sion trends can thus be considerable if we assume fixed OH concentrations. 252

253

#### 3.3 Emissions Estimates with Observed Concentrations

We performed inversions with increasing levels of complexity to obtain the biases 254 associated with including (or neglecting) interactive OH chemistry and CO in emissions 255 estimates constrained by methane, CO, and MCF observations. Table 1 describes the 256 assumptions in each experiment. In the non-interactive case (-I), OH concentrations are 257 fixed, and thus, inversions of methane emissions only respond to changes in methane con-258 centrations, whereas in the interactive case (+I), methane emissions adjust to changes 259 in both methane and OH concentrations. In particular, the  $\sim 210 \text{ ppb}$  increase of methane 260 between 1984 and 2017 would, assuming a constant OH source, decrease OH abundances 261 by  $\sim 3.5\%$ , extending the methane lifetime and result in an overestimation of methane 262 emissions when compared to a scenario where [OH] is held constant (-I). The blue line 263 in Fig 4a shows the difference between our methane inversion, which accounts for inter-264 active chemistry (+I) and non-interactive chemistry (-I). Discounting interactive OH chem-265 istry would lead to biased trends in the methane fluxes compared to the 1980 baseline, 266 as increasing methane abundances will cause [OH] to decrease. When keeping CO con-267 stant, this could induce a 20 Tg bias in methane emissions changes between 1980 and 2015, 268 as indicated by the green line's overall declining trend between 1980 and 2017. 269

Accounting for the decrease in CO emissions (Fig. 4d) would increase the availability of OH radicals to oxidize methane. We quantify this impact  $(+I/+S_{CO})$  by allowing our inversion to adjust to the declining CO concentrations (Fig. 4d), fitting for CO sources, and comparing this to our non-interactive OH inversion (-I). CO sources ex-





Figure 3. Inversion with prescribed emissions: Methane emissions were prescribed with an abrupt +20 Tg/yr step-change in emissions, resulting in a time-series of methane concentrations ( shown in red in Panel A). These synthetic observations were used in two inversions shown in Panel B: Interactive OH Inversion (blue line) and Non-interactive OH Inversion (green line). Note that the prescribed emissions are shown as red diamonds in Panel B but are difficult to see, as they overlap with the Interactive OH Inversion.

**Table 1.** Fig. 4.

Varying complexity of simulations for flux inversions corresponding to experiments in

	Case Label	Interactive OH	Inverting [OH]	Inverting $S_{CO}$	Inverting $S_{OH}$	Constrained by
	-I	no	no	n/a	n/a	$[CH_4]$
	-I + [OH]	no	yes	n/a	n/a	$[CH_4][MCF]$
	+I	yes	n/a	no	no	$[CH_4][MCF]$
0	$+I + S_{CO}$	yes	n/a	yes	no	$[CH_4][MCF][CO]$
	$+I + S_{OH}$	yes	n/a	no	yes	$[CH_4][MCF]$
_	$+I + S_{CO} + S_{OH}$	yes	n/a	yes	yes	$[CH_4][MCF][CO]$
100						

clude CO from methane oxidation and only considers direct emissions, which include biomass 274 burning and combustion. The orange line's rising slope in Fig. 4a underlines that 1) de-275 creasing CO abundances overcompensate the effect of increasing methane on OH, con-276 sistent with Gaubert et al. (2017), and 2) neglecting indirect effects of CO can result in 277 an error of the inter-annual methane source variability of up to 10 Tg/yr. It should be 278 noted here that our interactive chemistry results may differ from more sophisticated chem-279 istry models, because our model only includes methane and CO effects. In reality, the 280 OH source may have regionally increased due to rising  $NO_x$  emissions, which would buffer 281 [OH] (Holmes et al., 2013; Naik et al., 2013; Nicely et al., 2018). We do not explicitly 282 include this effect in our model. 283

Variations in stratospheric ozone and  $NO_x$  can result in OH recycling and produc-284 tion variability, and these OH sources have been thought to have increased in recent decades 285 (e.g., Holmes et al., 2013; Naik et al., 2013; Nicely et al., 2018). To quantify this OH-286 source variability,  $(+I + S_{OH})$  incorporates OH source variability, while  $(+I + S_{CO} +$ 287  $S_{OH}$ ) also accounts for CO source variability. When we assume a variable OH source (+I 288  $+S_{OH}$ ), the variability in methane emissions is dampened, because OH production and 289 recycling are able to compensate for the variability in OH concentrations. As a result, 290 methane emissions stabilize and decline between 2000 and 2010. This result also exhibits 291 similar variability to the case corresponding to Turner et al. (2017) and Rigby et al. (2017), 292 (-I+[OH]), where concentrations are fitted directly, without interactive chemistry. Also 293 fitting for CO emissions  $(+I + S_{OH} + S_{CO})$  further dampens the variability of methane 294 emissions, because CO emissions are also allowed to compensate for variability in methane 295 emissions. These cases are also similar to each other until about 2010, when MCF ob-296 servation uncertainties reach instrument limitations (Naus et al., 2019). 297

The 1998 peak in methane emissions, due to El Niño, demonstrates the coupling 298 of the methane-CO-OH system. We observe a local maximum in the CO concentrations 299 in 1998 (Fig. 4D). All cases infer an increase in methane emissions with the 1998 El Niño, 300 but the magnitude and duration is markedly different. Specifically, the (-I) case only 301 accounts for methane emissions and infers  $\sim 48 \text{ Tg/yr}$  "spike" in 1998 compared to 1997. 302 This methane emissions spike is not observed in the cases with interactive chemistry. This 303 is because they are able to accommodate the 1998 minimum in OH concentrations. As 304 such, the interactive cases find a smaller magnitude emission increase and a different tem-305 poral signal. Specifically, 31 Tg/yr for  $(+I+S_{OH})$  and 26 Tg/yr for  $(+I+S_{OH}+S_{CO})$ . 306 When CO sources are also fitted in the latter case, the inversion is allowed to respond 307 to higher CO concentrations (Fig. 4d), and we see even less methane emissions, due to 308 a release of CO from increased biomass burning (Sec. 2.3). 309





Figure 4. Methane Inversions Constrained by Methane, CO, and MCF Observations: The green line in Panel A shows the difference between our interactive chemistry case (+I) and non-interactive chemistry case (-I), while the orange line shows the difference between our interactive chemistry case with fitted CO sources (+ $I + S_{CO}$ ) and non-interactive chemistry case (-I). Methane emissions calculations (Panel B) differ when the inversion is allowed to respond to variations in OH concentrations (shown in Panel C). Panel D shows observed CO concentrations (black Xes) and our CO fits. The assumptions and constraints for each experiment are listed in Table 1.



# <sup>310</sup> 4 Summary and Recommendations

Studies calculating global methane emissions have conclusions that are dependent 311 on the assumptions on chemical reaction rates within their inversions. This is because 312 the methane lifetime depends on the concentration of the OH radical which, in turn, de-313 pends on the concentration of CO and methane as well as sources of OH. There are no 314 perfect methods to constrain global OH concentrations, and more work should be done 315 to constrain trends in the concentration and production of hydroxyl radicals (e.g., Fortems-316 Cheiney et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; Miyazaki et al., 2017; Wolfe et al., 2019). In decadal 317 methane emissions estimates with fixed OH concentrations, we find a systematic and nonnegligible negative bias in inversions that do not consider this chemical feedback. When 319 accounting for CO concentration variations, we find decreased CO emissions beginning 320 in the 2000's increased the availability of OH, increasing methane emissions estimates. 321 However, accounting for OH source variability results in methane emissions estimates 322 with similar trend and variability to Rigby et al. (2017) and Turner et al. (2017), where 323 OH concentrations are fitted directly without interactive chemistry. This is due to com-324 pensating OH production accounting for variabilities in OH concentrations. It should 325 be noted that other chemical effects that may have a large impact on OH abundances, 326 such as  $NO_x$ , Ozone, and water vapor effects (Holmes et al., 2013; Naik et al., 2013; Nicely 327 et al., 2018) are not explicitly represented in our model, so the question "how does OH" 328 production and recycling vary over time?" remains and should be a priority research ob-329 jective. 330

Moving towards a more robust methane trend analysis, global methane emissions 331 inversions at decadal timescales should account for the chemistry affecting methane life-332 333 time in the atmosphere. Inversions with chemical transport models may provide transport effects however, they neglect the non-negligible impacts of OH chemistry on methane 334 lifetime, as their OH fields are usually assumed to be static. This may also have impli-335 cations for paleoclimate studies (e.g., Dickens et al., 1995; Frieling et al., 2016). Future 336 inversions should include this methane chemical feedback, informed by climate variables 337 relevant for OH production and concentrations. For example,  $\sim 90\%$  of variations in OH 338 production can be parameterized by temperature, water vapor, column ozone, biomass 339 burning emissions, and lightning  $NO_x$  emissions (Holmes et al., 2013), so OH produc-340 tion and recycling  $(S_{OH})$  can have real-world constraints (Fortems-Cheiney et al., 2019; 341 Castellanos et al., 2014; Holmes et al., 2013; Miyazaki et al., 2017). Simplified param-342 eterizations can capture primary drivers of OH production and recycling, while joint in-343 versions of species that modulate OH concentrations, informed by bottom-up invento-344 ries, will more accurately represent methane lifetimes, bringing decadal-scale methane 345 inversions closer to the real world. 346

### 347 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (to N. H. Nguyen) 348 and a grant from the Grantham Foundation (to Y. Yin and N. H. Nguyen). A. J. Turner 349 is supported as a Miller Fellow with the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science 350 at UC Berkeley. The authors declare no conflic of interest. The 2-hemispheres box model 351 can be accessed via Github https://github.com/Newton-Climate/Methane\_OH\_box;Surface 352 observations were accessed from the following sources: CH<sub>4</sub> NOAA: ftp://aftp.cmdl 353 .noaa.gov/data/trace\_gases/ch4/flask/;MCF NOAA: ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/ 354 data/hats/solvents/CH3CCl3/;MCF GAGE/AGAGE: http://agage.mit.edu/;CO 355 NOAA: ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace\_gases/co/flask/surface/ 356



# 357 Appendix A 2-Hemispheres Box Model

The equations in Table A1 are solved in our 2-hemispheres box model with temperature at  $\sim 270^{\circ}$  K. Interhemispheric transport is dependent on the difference in species concentrations and interhemispheric exchange time (1 yr). We use variations of MCF observations as proxy for global OH variability, which have declined since implementation of the Montreal Protocol Ban (Montzka et al., 2011; Naus et al., 2019). Also note that our box model excludes non-OH sinks, such as loss to the stratosphere, chlorine oxidation, and soil oxidation, and therefore only includes methane and CO loss via OH oxidation. Neglecting these minor processes could alias errors onto our OH concentrations.

#### <sup>366</sup> Appendix B Hemispherically Averaged Concentrations

We use observations of methane (NOAA), CO (NOAA), and MCF (NOAA, GAGE/AGAGE) 367 concentrations, where hemispheric averaging was done following Turner et al. (2017). In 368 short, hemispheric averaging was done by bootstrapping from deseasonalized surface ob-369 servations. We sampled from the observational record in each hemisphere with replace-370 ment, where number of times sampled is equal to the number of observational records 371 available in that hemisphere for that species. We also rejected sites that had less than 372 5 yr of data and required that older observations had higher uncertainties than more re-373 cent observations, with a minimum uncertainty of 2 ppb. The randomly drawn obser-374 vations were blocked-averaged into 1 yr windows. This process was repeated 50 times, 375 so the mean and varience can be computed from these 50 timeseries. 376

CO is not well-mixed in the atmosphere, exhibiting large spatial gradients. In addition, each species experiences its own oxidative capacities (Naus et al., 2019; Lawrence & Jockel, 2001). Therefore, in order to model CO oxidation by OH, we selected stations in the tropics (23.5° S to 23.5° N). This is because most oxidation of CO occurs in the tropics, where OH concentrations are highest. We refer the reader to Table D1 and D2 for station locations and details. The hemispherically averaged concentrations were calculated with the same bootstrapping procedure outlined above.

#### <sup>384</sup> Appendix C OH feedback

In order to obtain the correct perturbation lifetime seen in Fig. 1A, we adjusted the OH source  $(S_{OH})$  and additional loss term  $(k_3[x])$ . The values we obtained are in Table A1. This results in the 13.2 yr perturbation lifetime.

388 Appendix D Bayesian Inversion

We used a non-linear bayesian inversion to obtain the methane fluxes seen in Fig. 389 3 and 4 (Rodgers, 2000). The elements of the state vector being fitted for are in Table 390 1 alongside the observations being used to constrain the inversion. The a priori assump-301 tions and prior error for our inversion are shown in Table A1. For the MCF prior in the 392 Northern Hemisphere, we set the error to 20% of the a priori with a minimum of 1.5 Gg. 393 It should also be noted that the temporal correlation we employed was different for the 394 case corresponding to (Rigby et al., 2017) and (Turner et al., 2017) (+I + [OH]) as com-305 pared to the other cases, which is the reason why the methane timeseries looks much smoother. 396 We employed much shorter temporal correlations to the other cases in order to make the 397 inter-annual variability more clear. 398

	A	
		1
	1	
1		
	10	1
	<u> </u>	4
	1	1
-	S	-
	-	
	1	2
		2
	1	
	9	
	<u> </u>	
		2
<	-	
	-	1
		121
	1	7
	100	9
	A	
		1
-		
	1	
	6	
	<u> </u>	1
	1	
	1	1
	Ser.	9
	-	
	p	A.
	(D)	
	-	1
		1
1.5	1	
1	-1	
0.0	~	261

[ht]

**Table A1.** The coupled chemical reactions in this table models our simplified chemistry for each hemisphere, denoted by the superscripts.

Chemical Equation	Reaction Constant	a priori emissions	prior error
$\frac{d[CH_4]^N}{dt} = S_{CH_4}^N - k_1^N [CH_4]^N [OH]^N + \frac{[CH_4]^S - [CH_4]^N}{\tau}$	$k_1 = 3.395  imes 10^{-15} rac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{molec} \ \mathrm{s}}$	$412.5 \ Tg/yr$	200 Tg
$\frac{d[\text{CH}_4]^{\text{S}}}{dt} = S_{\text{CH}_4}^S - k_1^N [\text{CH}_4]^{\text{S}} [\text{OH}]^{\text{S}} + \frac{[\text{CH}_4]^{\text{N}} - [\text{CH}_4]^{\text{S}}}{\tau}$	$k_1 = 3.395  imes 10^{-15} rac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{molec} \ \mathrm{s}}$	$137.5 \mathrm{Tg/yr}$	$200 \ \mathrm{Tg}$
$\frac{d[\mathrm{CO}]^{N}}{dt} = S_{\mathrm{CO}}^{N} + k_{1}[\mathrm{CH}_{4}]^{N}[\mathrm{OH}]^{N} - k_{2}[\mathrm{CO}]^{N}[\mathrm{OH}]^{N} + \frac{[\mathrm{CO}]^{\mathrm{S}} - [\mathrm{CO}]^{N}}{2}$	$k_2 = 1.0133 \times 10^{-12} \frac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{molec}\ \mathrm{s}}$	$901.5 \ \mathrm{Tg/yr}$	800 Tg
$\frac{d[\text{CO}]^{\text{S}}}{dt} = S_{\text{CO}}^{S} + k_{1} [\text{CH}_{4}]^{\text{S}} [\text{OH}]^{\text{S}} - k_{2} [\text{CO}]^{\text{S}} [\text{OH}]^{\text{S}} + \frac{[\text{CO}]^{\text{N}} - [\text{CO}]^{\text{S}}}{\tau}$	$k_2 = 1.0133 \times 10^{-12} \frac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{molec}\ \mathrm{s}}$	$67.5  {\rm Tg/yr}$	56 Tg
$\frac{d[OH]^{N}}{dt} = S_{OH}^{N} - k_{1}[CH_{4}]^{N}[OH]^{N} - k_{2}[CO]^{N}[OH]^{N} - k_{3}[X]^{N}[OH]^{N}$	$k_3[X]^N = 0.99 s^{-1}$	$3150~{ m Tg/yr}$	$3150 \ \mathrm{Tg}$
$\frac{d[OH]^{S}}{dt} = S_{OH}^{S} - k_{1}[CH_{4}]^{S}[OH]^{S} - k_{2}[CO]^{S}[OH]^{S} - k_{3}[X]^{S}[OH]^{S}$	$k_3[X]^S = 1.23s^{-1}$	$3150~{ m Tg/yr}$	$3150 \ \mathrm{Tg}$
$\frac{d[\text{MCF}]^{N}}{dt} = S_{\text{MCF}}^{N} - k_{4} [\text{MCF}]^{N} [\text{OH}]^{N} + \frac{[\text{MCF}]^{S} - [\text{MCF}]^{N}}{2}$	$6.05 imes10^{-15}rac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{molecs}}$	$238.4\pm280~\mathrm{Gg/yr}$	$\max(1.5, 0.2 \times (a \text{ priori})) \text{ Gg}$
$\frac{d[\text{MCF}]^{\text{S}}}{dt} = S_{\text{MCF}}^{S} - k_4 [\text{MCF}]^{\text{S}} [\text{OH}]^{\text{S}} + \frac{[\text{MCF}]^{\text{N}} - [\text{MCF}]^{\text{S}}}{\tau}$	$6.05  imes 10^{-15} rac{\mathrm{cm}^3}{\mathrm{molecs}}$	$0  {\rm Gg/yr}$	$0.5~{ m Gg}$



-

#### Table D1. Monitoring stations used for methane observations.

Station	Code	Latitude	Laboratory
Methane measurements			
Alert, Canada	ALT	$82^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Ascension Island, UK	ASC	$8^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Terceira Island, Azores	AZR	$39^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Baring Head, NZ	BHD	$41^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Barrow, USA	BRW	$71^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Cold Bay, USA	CBA	$55^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Cape Grim, Australia	CGO	$41^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Cape Kumukahi, USA	KUM	$20^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Lac La Biche, Canada	LLB	$55^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
High Altitude Global Climate Observation Center, Mexico	MEX	$19^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Mace Head, Ireland	MHD	$53^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Mauna Loa, USA	MLO	$20^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Niwot Ridge, USA	NWR	$40^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Cape Matatula, Samoa	$\operatorname{SMO}$	$14^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
South Pole, Antarctica	SPO	$90^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Summit, Greenland	SUM	$73^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Tae-ahn Peninsula, Korea	TAP	$37^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Mt. Waliguan, China	WLG	$36^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Ny-Alesund, Norway	ZEP	$80^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL/INSTAAR
Alert, Canada	ALT	$82^{\circ}N$	U. Heidelberg
Izana, Portugal	IZA	$28^{\circ}N$	U. Heidelberg
Neumayer, Antarctica	NEU	$71^{\circ}\mathrm{S}$	U. Heidelberg
Niwot Ridge, USA	NWR	$41^{\circ}N$	U.C. Irvine
Montana de Oro, USA	MDO	$35^{\circ}N$	U.C. Irvine
Cape Grim, Australia	CGO	$41^{\circ}S$	U. Washington
Olympic Peninsula, USA	OPW	$48^{\circ}N$	U. Washington
Fraserdale, Canada	FSD	$50^{\circ}N$	U. Washington
Majuro, Marshall Islands	MMI	$7^{\circ}N$	U. Washington
Mauna Loa, USA	MLO	$19^{\circ}N$	U. Washington
Baring Head, NZ	BHD	$41^{\circ}\mathrm{S}$	U. Washington
Barrow, USA	BRW	$71^{\circ}N$	U. Washington
Tutuila, Samoa	$\operatorname{SMO}$	$14^{\circ}S$	U. Washington

Acce

Table D2. Methyl Chloroform and Carbon Monoxide observation stations

	Station	Code	Latitude	Laboratory
	Methyl Chloroform measurem	ents		
	Alert, Canada	ALT	$82^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Barrow, USA	BRW	$71^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Cape Grim, Australia	CGO	$41^{\circ}\mathrm{S}$	NOAA/ESRL
	Cape Kumukahi, USA	KUM	$20^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Mace Head, Ireland	MHD	$53^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Mauna Loa, USA	MLO	$20^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Palmer Station, Antarctica	PSA	$65^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL
	Niwot Ridge, USA	NWR	$40^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Cape Matatula, Samoa	SMO	$14^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL
	South Pole, Antarctica	SPO	$90^{\circ}S$	NOAA/ESRL
	Summit, Greenland	SUM	$73^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Trinidad Head, USA	THD	$41^{\circ}N$	NOAA/ESRL
	Cape Grim, Australia	CGO	$41^{\circ}\mathrm{S}$	GAGE
	Mace Head, Ireland	MHD	$53^{\circ}N$	GAGE
1	Cape Meares, USA	ORG	$45^{\circ}N$	GAGE
-	Ragged Point Barbados	RPB	$13^{\circ}N$	GAGE
	Cape Matatula, Samoa	SMO	$14^{\circ}S$	GAGE
	Cape Grim, Australia	CGO	$41^{\circ}\mathrm{S}$	AGAGE
	Mace Head, Ireland	MHD	$53^{\circ}N$	AGAGE
	Ragged Point Barbados	RPB	$13^{\circ}N$	AGAGE
	Cape Matatula, Samoa	SMO	$14^{\circ}S$	AGAGE
	Trinidad Head, USA	THD	$41^{\circ}N$	AGAGE
	Station	Code	Latitude	Laboratory
	Carbon Monoxide measureme	nts		
	Mauna Loa, USA	MLO	$20^{\circ}N$	INSTAAR
	<b>Ragged Point Barbados</b>	RPB	$13^{\circ}N$	INSTAAR
	Cape Matatula, Samoa	$\operatorname{SMO}$	$14^{\circ}S$	INSTAAR
	100 C			

#### 399 References

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

- Bousquet, P., Hauglustaine, D. A., Peylin, P., Carouge, C., & Ciais, P. (2005). Two decades of OH variability as inferred by an inversion of atmospheric transport and chemistry of methyl chloroform. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22.
  - Butler, T. M., Rayner, P. J., Simmonds, I., & Lawrence, M. G. (2005). Simultaneous mass balance inverse modeling of methane and carbon monoxide. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 110(D21). Retrieved 2019-11-19, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2005JD006071 doi: 10.1029/2005JD006071
  - Castellanos, P., Boersma, K. F., & van der Werf, G. R. (2014, April). Satellite observations indicate substantial spatiotemporal variability in biomass burning NO<sub>x</sub> emission factors for South America. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14(8), 3929–3943. Retrieved 2019-11-19, from https://www.atmos-chem-phys .net/14/3929/2014/ doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-3929-2014
- Dickens, G. R., O'Neil, J. R., Rea, D. K., & Owen, R. M. (1995). Dissociation of
  oceanic methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon isotope excursion at the
  end of the Paleocene. *Paleoceanography*, 10(6), 965–971. Retrieved 2019-09-25, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
  95PA02087 doi: 10.1029/95PA02087

	Duncan B N Boy I Chin M Mickley I I Epirito T D Martin B V &
418	Matsuada H (2003) Indonesian wildfires of 1007: Impact on tropospheric
419	chemistry Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 108(D15) Re-
421	trieved 2019-11-25, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
422	abs/10.1029/2002JD003195 doi: 10.1029/2002JD003195
423	Fortems-Cheiney, A., Pison, I., Dufour, G., Broquet, G., Berchet, A., Potier, E.,
424	Costantino, L. (2019). Variational regional inverse modeling of reactive species
425	emissions with pyvar-chimere. Geoscientific Model Development Discussions,
426 427	2019, 1-22. Retrieved from https://www.geosci-model-dev-discuss.net/ gmd-2019-186/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-2019-186
428	Frieling, J., Svensen, H. H., Planke, S., Cramwinckel, M. J., Selnes, H., & Sluiis, A.
429	(2016, October). Thermogenic methane release as a cause for the long duration
430	of the PETM. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(43),
431	12059-12064. Retrieved 2019-09-25, from https://www.pnas.org/content/
432	113/43/12059 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1603348113
433	Gaubert, B., Worden, H. M., Arellano, A. F. J., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Barr,
434	J., Edwards, D. P. (2017). Chemical Feedback From Decreasing Carbon
435	Monoxide Emissions. Geophysical Research Letters, 44 (19), 9985–9995. Re-
436	abs/10 1002/2017GL074987 doi: 10 1002/2017GL074987
438	Holmes, C. D. (2018). Methane Feedback on Atmospheric Chemistry: Meth-
439	ods, Models, and Mechanisms. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
440	Systems, 10(4), 1087–1099. Retrieved 2019-11-19, from https://agupubs
441	.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017MS001196 doi:
442	10.1002/2017 MS001196
443	Holmes, C. D., Prather, M. J., Svde, O. A., & Myhre, G. (2013, January). Fu-
444	ture methane, hydroxyl, and their uncertainties: key climate and emission
445	parameters for future predictions. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(1),
446	285/2013/ doi: 10.5194/acp-13-285-2013
447	IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis Contri-
449	bution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-
450	governmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom
451	and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from
452	www.climatechange2013.org doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324
453	Lawrence, M. G., & Jockel, P. (2001). What does the global mean OH concentration
454	tell us? Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13.
455	Lelieveld, J., Gromov, S., Pozzer, A., & Taraborrelli, D. (2016, October). Global tro-
456	and Physics 16(19) 12477–12493 Retrieved 2019-03-20 from https://www
457	.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/12477/2016/ doi: 10.5194/acp-16-12477-2016
459	Lelieveld, J., Peters, W., Dentener, F. J., & Krol, M. C. (2002, December). Sta-
460	bility of tropospheric hydroxyl chemistry. Journal of Geophysical Research:
461	Atmospheres, 107(D23), ACH 17–1–ACH 17–11. Retrieved 2019-12-31,
462	from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
463	2002JD002272 doi: 10.1029/2002JD002272
464	Li, M., Karu, E., Brenninkmeijer, C., Fischer, H., Lelieveld, J., & Williams, J. (2018,
465	September). Tropospheric OH and stratospheric OH and Cl concentrations de-
466	mospheric Science 1(1) 29 Retrieved 2010-03-20 from https://www.naturo
407	.com/articles/s41612-018-0041-9 doi: 10.1038/s41612-018-0041-9
469	McNorton, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Gloor, M., Wilson, C., Feng, W., Havman
470	G. D., Montzka, S. A. (2016, June). Role of OH variability in the
471	stalling of the global atmospheric $CH_4$ growth rate from 1999 to 2006. At-
472	mospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(12), 7943–7956. Retrieved 2019-

473	11-25, from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/7943/2016/ doi:
474	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7943-2016
475	Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., & Kanaya,
476	Y. (2017, January). Decadal changes in global surface NO $x$ emissions
477	from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation. Atmospheric Chem-
478	<i>istry and Physics</i> , 17(2), 807–837. Retrieved 2019-11-19, from https://
479	www.atmos-chem-phys.net/17/807/2017/ doi: 10.5194/acp-17-807-2017
480	Montzka, S. A., Krol, M., Dlugokencky, E., Hall, B., Jckel, P., & Lelieveld,
481	J. (2011, January). Small Interannual Variability of Global Atmo-
482	spheric Hydroxyl. Science, 331(6013), 67–69. Retrieved 2019-06-19,
483	from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/67 doi:
484	10.1126/science.1197640
485	Murray, L. T., Mickley, L. J., Kaplan, J. O., Sofen, E. D., Pfeiffer, M., & Alexan-
486	der, B. (2014, April). Factors controlling variability in the oxidative ca-
487	pacity of the troposphere since the Last Glacial Maximum. Atmospheric
488	Chemistry and Physics, 14(7), 3589–3622. Retrieved 2019-07-03, from
489	https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/3589/2014/acp-14-3589-2014.html
490	doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-3589-2014
491	Naik, V., Voulgarakis, A., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, JF., Lin,
492	M., Zeng, G. (2013, May). Preindustrial to present-day changes in
493	tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime from the Atmospheric
494	manhania Chamiatry and Dhusiaa 19(10) 5277 5208 Detriored 2010
495	11.26 from https://uuu.atmos-chom-phys.not/13/5277/2013/
496	$10.5104/acn_{3}-5277_{2}013$
497	Naus S. Montzka S. A. Pandey S. Basu S. Dlugokencky F. I. & Krol M.
498	(2019 January) Constraints and biases in a tropospheric two-boy model
499 500	of OH Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 19(1) 407–424 Retrieved
500	2019-06-07. from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/407/2019/ doi:
502	10.5194/acp-19-407-2019
503	Nicely, J. M., Canty, T. P., Manvin, M., Oman, L. D., Salawitch, R. J., Steenrod,
504	S. D., Strode, S. A. (2018). Changes in Global Tropospheric OH Expected
505	as a Result of Climate Change Over the Last Several Decades. Journal of
506	Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123(18), 10,774–10,795. Retrieved 2019-
507	06-19, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/
508	2018JD028388 doi: 10.1029/2018JD028388
509	Nisbet, E. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Manning, M. R., Lowry, D., Fisher, R. E., France,
510	J. L., Ganesan, A. L. (2016). Rising atmospheric methane: 20072014
511	growth and isotopic shift. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, $30(9)$ , $1356-1370$ .
512	Retrieved 2019-11-27, from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
513	doi/abs/10.1002/2016GB005406 doi: 10.1002/2016GB005406
514	Prather, M. J. (1994, May). Lifetimes and eigenstates in atmospheric chemistry.
515	Geophysical Research Letters, 21(9), 801–804. Retrieved 2019-11-27, from
516	https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/94GL00840
517	doi: 10.1029/94GL00840
518	Prather, M. J. (1996, September). Time scales in atmospheric chemistry:
519	Theory, GWPs for CH4 and CO, and runaway growth. Geophysical Re-
520	search Letters, 23(19), 2597–2600. Retrieved 2018-06-12, from https://
521	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/96GL02371 doi:
522	10.1029/96GL02371
523	Prather, M. J., & Holmes, C. D. (2017, May). Overexplaining or underexplain-
524	ing methanes role in climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of
525	Sciences, 114 (21), 5324-5326. Ketrieved 2018-06-12, from http://www.pnas
526	Org/content/114/21/5524 doi: 10.10/5/pnas.1/04884114
527	Randerson, J., van Der wert, G., Gigno, L., Conatz, G., & Kasibilatia, F. (2017).

528	Global Fire Emissions Database, Version 4.1 (GFEDv4). ORNL Distributed
529	Active Archive Center. Retrieved from https://daac.orni.gov/cgi-bin/
530	Bigby M Montaka S A Drinn B C White I W C Young D ODebarty S
531	Park S (2017 May) Bole of atmospheric ovidation in recent methane
532	growth Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 11/(21) 5373-5377
534	Retrieved 2018-06-12 from http://www.pnas.org/content/114/21/5373
535	doi: 10.1073/pnas.1616426114
536	Rodgers, C. D. (2000). Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding: theory and prac-
537	<i>tice</i> (Vol. 2). World scientific.
538	Rowlinson, M. J., Rap, A., Arnold, S. R., Pope, R. J., Chipperfield, M. P., Mc-
539	Norton, J., Siddans, R. (2019, July). Impact of El NioSouthern Os-
540	cillation on the interannual variability of methane and tropospheric ozone.
541	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19(13), 8669–8686. Retrieved 2019-
542	11-22, from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/8669/2019/ doi:
543	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8669-2019
544	Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Poulter, B., Peregon, A., Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G.,
545	Zhu, Q. (2016, December). The global methane budget 20002012. Earth
546	$System Science Data, \delta(2), 097-751.$ Retrieved 2019-11-20, from https://
547	Schaefer H Eletcher S E M Veidt C Lassev K B Brailsford G W Brom-
549	lev. T. M White, J. W. C. (2016, March). A 21st century shift from
550	fossil-fuel to biogenic methane emissions indicated by 13ch4. <i>Science</i> , aad2705.
551	Retrieved 2018-09-11, from http://science.sciencemag.org/content/
552	early/2016/03/09/science.aad2705 doi: 10.1126/science.aad2705
553	Schwietzke, S., Sherwood, O. A., Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Miller, J. B., Etiope, G., Dlu-
554	gokencky, E. J., Tans, P. P. (2016, October). Upward revision of global
555	fossil fuel methane emissions based on isotope database. $Nature, 538(7623),$
556	88-91. Retrieved 2019-08-19, from https://www.nature.com/articles/
557	nature19797 doi: 10.1038/nature19797
558	Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Bell, N., & Schmidt, G. A. (2005). An emissions-
559	based view of climate forcing by methane and tropospheric ozone. Geo-
560	physical Research Letters, 32(4). Retrieved 2019-11-27, from https://
561	10 1029/2004GL021900 (00).
502	Thompson B I Nighot F C Piego I Stahl A Blaka D Dlugakaneku F I
503	White J W C (2018) Variability in Atmospheric Methane From Fossi
565	Fuel and Microbial Sources Over the Last Three Decades. Geophysical Re-
566	search Letters, 45(20), 11,499–11.508. Retrieved 2019-12-24, from https://
567	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL078127 doi:
568	10.1029/2018GL078127
569	Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., & Kort, E. A. (2019, February). Interpreting
570	contemporary trends in atmospheric methane. Proceedings of the National
571	Academy of Sciences, 116(8), 2805–2813. Retrieved 2019-06-19, from https://
572	www.pnas.org/content/116/8/2805 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1814297116
573	Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., Wennberg, P. O., & Jacob, D. J. (2017, April). Am-
574	biguity in the causes for decadal trends in atmospheric methane and hydroxyl.
575	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 201616020. Retrieved 2018-
576	00-14, HOIN nttp://www.pnas.org/content/early/201//04/11/1616020114
577	Turner A. I. Fung, I. Neik, V. Henewitz, I. W. & Cohen, D. C. (2010 Genter
578	her) Modulation of hydroxyl variability by ENSO in the absence of system-
579	forcing Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115(36) 8031-8036
581	Retrieved 2019-03-20, from https://www.pnas.org/content/115/36/8931
582	doi: 10.1073/pnas.1807532115

583	Wolfe, G. M., Nicely, J. M., Clair, J. M. S., Hanisco, T. F., Liao, J., Oman, L. D.,
584	Dean-Day, J. (2019, June). Mapping hydroxyl variability throughout the
585	global remote troposphere via synthesis of airborne and satellite formalde-
586	hyde observations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, $116(23)$ ,
587	11171-11180. Retrieved 2019-11-19, from https://www.pnas.org/content/
588	116/23/11171 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1821661116
589	Worden, H., Deeter, M. N., Frankenberg, C., George, M., Nichitiu, F., Worden, J.,
590	Warner, J. X. (2013, January). Decadal record of satellite carbon monoxide
591	observations. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, $13(2)$ , 837–850. Retrieved
592	2019-11-20, from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/837/2013/ doi:
593	10.5194/acp-13-837-2013
594	Worden, J., Bloom, A. A., Pandey, S., Jiang, Z., Worden, H. M., Walker, T. W.,
595	Rckmann, T. (2017, December). Reduced biomass burning emis-
596	sions reconcile conflicting estimates of the post-2006 atmospheric methane
597	budget. Nature Communications, $\mathcal{S}(1)$ , 2227. Retrieved 2018-01-18,
598	from https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-02246-0 doi:
599	10.1038/s41467-017-02246-0
600	Worden, J., Jiang, Z., Jones, D. B. A., Alvarado, M., Bowman, K., Frankenberg, C.,
601	Worden, H. (2013, September). El Nio, the 2006 Indonesian peat fires,
602	and the distribution of atmospheric methane: METHANE FROM 2006 IN-
603	DONESIAN PEAT FIRES. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(18), 4938–4943.
604	Retrieved 2019-07-02, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/gr1.50937 doi:
605	10.1002/gri.00937 Vin V. Ciaia D. Chavallian F. Warf, C. D. y. d. Fanin T. Droguat, C.
606	Mang V (2016) Veriability of fire carbon emissions in equatorial Acia
607	wang, 1. (2010). Variability of the carbon emissions in equatorial Asia and its poplinger consistivity to El Nig. Coophysical Research Letters $\frac{12}{10}$
608	10.472 10.470 Betrieved 2010 11 26 from https://orupubg.onlinelibrory
609	uilow com/doi/abs/10_1002/2016CL070071_doi: 10_1002/2016CL070071
610	Zhang Z. Zimmermann N. E. Calle I. Hurtt G. Chatteriee A. & Poulter B.
612	(2018 June) Enhanced response of global wetland methane emissions to
612	the 20152016 El Nio-Southern Oscillation event
614	Letters 13(7) 074009 Retrieved 2019-07-02 from https://doi.org/
615	10. 1088%2F1748-9326%2Faac939 doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aac939
515	

Acceb

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 4.



Figure 3.

