

NATURALLY GRADED 2-FILIFORM LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS.

L.M. CAMACHO, J. R. GÓMEZ, A.J. GONZÁLEZ, AND B.A. OMIROV

ABSTRACT. The Leibniz algebras appear as a generalization of the Lie algebras [8]. The classification of naturally graded p -filiform Lie algebras is known [3], [4], [5], [9]. In this work we deal with the classification of 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. The study of p -filiform Leibniz non Lie algebras is solved for $p = 0$ (trivial) and $p = 1$ [1]. In this work we get the classification of naturally graded non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work we study the naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. Since the filiform (1-filiform) Lie algebras have the maximal nilindex, Vergne studied them and obtained the classification of naturally graded [9]. Many authors have studied the complete classification for low dimensions. The lists up to dimension 8 can be found in [7] and the classification filiform up to dimension 11 in [6]. The notion of p -filiform Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebras can be considered as a generalization of filiform Lie algebras.

The knowledge of naturally graded algebras of a certain family offers significant information about the complete family. The classification of 2-filiform Lie algebras and p -filiform has been obtained [5], [4].

In the case of Leibniz algebras only the classification of 0-filiform and 1-filiform algebras is known [1], [2]. In the present paper we get the classification of naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras.

Leibniz algebras are defined by the Leibniz identity:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y]$$

We have used the software *Mathematica* to study particular cases in concrete finite dimensions and later, by induction, the obtained results are generalized for arbitrary finite dimension.

Let \mathcal{L} be a Leibniz algebra, we define the following sequence:

$$\mathcal{L}^1 = \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{n+1} = [\mathcal{L}^n, \mathcal{L}]$$

An algebra \mathcal{L} is *nilpotent* if $\mathcal{L}^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

For any element x of \mathcal{L} we define R_x the operator of right multiplication as

$$R_x : z \rightarrow [z, x], \quad z \in \mathcal{L}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A32, 17B70.

Key words and phrases. Leibniz algebras, Naturally graded algebras.

The last author was supported by grant of INTAS Ref. Nr. 0483-3035 and he is very grateful to hospitality the Universidad de Sevilla.

Let us $x \in \mathcal{L} \setminus [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ and for the nilpotent operator R_x of right multiplication, define the decreasing sequence $C(x) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ that consists of the dimensions of the Jordan blocks of the R_x . Endow the set of these sequences with the lexicographic order.

The sequence $C(\mathcal{L}) = \max_{x \in \mathcal{L} \setminus [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]} C(x)$ is defined to be the *characteristic sequence* of the algebra \mathcal{L} .

Definition 1.1. A Leibniz algebra \mathcal{L} is called p -filiform if $C(L) = (n - p, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_p)$,

where $p \geq 0$.

Note that this definition when $p > 0$ agrees with the definition of p -filiform Lie algebras.

From now we will use the expression “graded algebra” instead of “naturally graded algebra”.

Let \mathcal{L} be a graded p -filiform n -dimensional Leibniz algebra, then there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $e_1 \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^2$ and $C(e_1) = (n - p, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_p)$.

By definition of characteristic sequence the operator R_{e_1} in Jordan form has one block J_{n-p} of size $n - p$ and p block J_1 (where $J_1 = \{0\}$) of size one.

The possibilities for operator R_{e_1} are the follow:

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{n-p} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n-p} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \\ \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to prove that when J_{n-p} is placed an a different position from the first are isomorphic cases. Thus, we have only the following possibilities of Jordan form of the matrix R_{e_1} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{n-p} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n-p} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.2. A p -filiform Leibniz algebra \mathcal{L} is called first type (type I) if the operator R_{e_1} has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{n-p} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and second type (type II) in the other case.

1.1. Naturally graded filiform and 2-filiform Lie algebras. Naturally graded p -filiform Lie algebras are known for all $p > 0$, [4], [5], [9].

Examples of filiform Lie algebras are $\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{Q}_n$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_n \quad (n \geq 3) : \{ [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_n \quad (n \geq 6, n \text{ even}) : \begin{cases} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ [X_i, X_{n-1-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} X_{n-1} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-2}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Provided examples of 2-filiform Lie algebras.

$$\mathcal{L}(n, r) \quad (n \geq 5, 3 \leq r \leq 2 \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1, r \text{ odd}) : \begin{cases} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\ [X_i, X_{r-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} Y & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{r-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(n, r) \quad (n \geq 7, n \text{ odd; } 3 \leq r \leq n-4, r \text{ odd}): \begin{cases} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\ [X_i, X_{r-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} Y & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{r-1}{2}, \\ [X_i, X_{n-2-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} X_{n-2} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau(n, n-4) \quad (n \text{ odd, } n \geq 7): \begin{cases} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\ [X_i, X_{n-4-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} (X_{n-4} + Y) & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-5}{2}, \\ [X_i, X_{n-3-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(n-3-2i)}{2} X_{n-3} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-5}{2}, \\ [X_i, X_{n-2-i}] = (-1)^i (i-1) \frac{(n-3-i)}{2} X_{n-2} & 2 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}, \\ [X_i, Y] = \frac{(5-n)}{2} X_{n-4+i} & 1 \leq i \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

$$\tau(n, n-3) \quad (n \text{ even, } n \geq 6): \begin{cases} [X_0, X_i] = X_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n-3, \\ [X_i, X_{n-3-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} (X_{n-3} + Y) & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}, \\ [X_i, X_{n-2-i}] = (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(n-2-2i)}{2} X_{n-2} & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}, \\ [X_1, Y] = \frac{(4-n)}{2} X_{n-2}. \end{cases}$$

2. NATURALLY GRADED p -FILIFORM LEIBNIZ ALGEBRA

It is easy to see that a Leibniz algebra of type I is not a Lie algebra.

Let \mathcal{L} be an n -dimensional p -filiform Leibniz algebra. We define a natural gradation of \mathcal{L} as follows. Take $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}^i / \mathcal{L}^{i+1}$, $2 \leq i \leq n-p$. It is clear that $\mathcal{L} \simeq$

$1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_{n-p}$, where $[\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_j] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{i+j}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{i+1} = [\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_1]$ for all i .

Let \mathcal{L} be a graded p -filiform Leibniz algebra of the first type. Then there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-p}, f_1, \dots, f_p\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, e_1] &= e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-p-1 \\ [f_j, e_1] &= 0, & 1 \leq j \leq p. \end{aligned}$$

From this multiplication we have:

$$\langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \langle e_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2, \quad \langle e_3 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}_3, \dots, \langle e_{n-p} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{n-p}$$

but we do not know about the places of the elements $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p\}$.

Let denote by r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p the places of elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p in natural gradation correspondingly, that is, $f_i \in \mathcal{L}_{r_i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p$. Further the law of a Leibniz algebra of type I with the set $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p\}$ will be denoted by $\mu_{(I, r_1, \dots, r_p)}$.

We can suppose that $1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_p \leq n - p$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a graded p -filiform Leibniz algebra of type I. Then $r_s \leq s$ for any $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$.*

Proof:

Note $r_1 = 1$. In fact, if $r_1 > 1$, then the algebra \mathcal{L} is one generated and by [[1], lemma 1] it is nul-filiform Leibniz algebra, and hence $C(\mathcal{L}) = (n, 0)$, that is, we obtain contradiction with condition $C(L) = (n - p, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Let us prove that $r_2 \leq 2$. Suppose otherwise, that is, $r_2 > 2$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle e_1, e_{n-p+1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \langle e_2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{r_2} = [\mathcal{L}_{r_2-1}, \mathcal{L}_1] = \langle [e_{r_2-1}, e_1], [e_{r_2-1}, e_{n-p+1}] \rangle = \langle e_{r_2}, [e_{r_2-1}, f_1] \rangle$$

Consider the multiplication:

$$[e_{r_2-1}, f_1] = [[e_{r_2-2}, e_1], f_1] = [e_{r_2-2}, [e_1, f_1]] + [[e_{r_2-2}, f_1], e_1]$$

Since the multiplication $[e_1, f_1] \in \mathcal{L}_2 = \langle e_2 \rangle \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L})$, then the first item is equal to zero. It is evident that the second item belongs to the linear span $\langle e_{r_2} \rangle$. So, $f_2 \notin \mathcal{L}_{r_2}$ and we obtain contradiction method, hence $r_2 \leq 2$.

Let us suppose that the condition of the theorem is true for any value less than s . We prove that $r_s \leq s$. We shall prove it by contradiction, that is, suppose that $r_s > s$.

If $r_s > s$ we prove the following embedding:

$$[e_{r_s-r_t}, f_t] \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle, \quad 1 \leq t \leq s - 1$$

We shall prove it by descending induction by t .

Let us prove it for $t = s - 1$. Consider the multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{r_s-r_{s-1}}, f_{s-1}] &= [[e_{r_s-r_{s-1}-1}, e_1], f_{s-1}] = [e_{r_s-r_{s-1}-1}, [e_1, f_{s-1}]] + \\ &+ [[e_{r_s-r_{s-1}-1}, f_{s-1}], e_1] \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_s > s$, we have $[e_1, f_{s-1}] \in \mathcal{L}_{r_{s-1}+1} = \langle e_{r_{s-1}+1} \rangle \in Z(\mathcal{L})$, that is, $[e_{r_s-r_{s-1}-1}, [e_1, f_{s-1}]] = 0$. From the multiplication on the right side on e_1 we have

$$[[e_{r_s-r_{s-1}-1}, f_{s-1}], e_1] \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$$

hence, $[e_{r_s-r_{s-1}}, f_{s-1}] \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$.

Let suppose that embedding $[e_{r_s-r_t}, f_t] \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$ is true for any value greater than $t+1$. We prove it for t .

Consider the multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{r_s-r_t}, f_t] &= [[e_{r_s-r_t-1}, e_1], f_t] = [e_{r_s-r_t-1}, [e_1, f_t]] + \\ &+ [[e_{r_s-r_t-1}, f_t], e_1] \end{aligned}$$

As $[e_1, f_t] \in \mathcal{L}_{r_t+1}$, then in case $r_t+1 = r_{t+1}$ we have $\mathcal{L}_{r_t+1} = \{e_{r_{t+1}}, f_{t+1} \vee f_{t+2} \vee \dots \vee f_{s-1}\}$. Therefore the multiplication $[e_{r_s-r_t-1}, [e_1, f_t]]$ is contained in linear span $\langle e_{r_s} \rangle$ by induction.

If $r_t+1 \neq r_{t+1}$ the following equality $[e_1, f_t] = \langle e_{r_{t+1}} \rangle$ is hold (because $\mathcal{L}_{r_t+1} = \langle e_{r_{t+1}} \rangle$) and hence $[e_{r_s-r_t-1}, [e_1, f_t]] = 0$. Evidently, the second item also is contained in the linear span $\langle e_{r_s} \rangle$.

Thus, $[e_{r_s-r_t}, f_t] \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$, $1 \leq t \leq s-1$ is proved.

Let us prove that $\mathcal{L}_{r_s} \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$ supposing $r_s > s$. Consider the multiplication:

$$\mathcal{L}_{r_s} = [\mathcal{L}_{r_s-1}, \mathcal{L}_1] = [\langle e_{r_s-1} \rangle, \langle e_1, f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_{s-1} \rangle]$$

From $[e_{r_s-r_t}, f_t] \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$, we have that $\mathcal{L}_{r_s} \subseteq \langle e_{r_s} \rangle$, that is, we obtain the contradiction which completes the proof of theorem. \square

2.1. Naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. In this section naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras will be classified.

The classification of the null-filiform Leibniz algebras is an easy task and one for naturally graded 1-filiform Leibniz algebras is similar to the case of Lie algebras. However, when p , increases the difficulties also increase exponentially in the study of Leibniz algebras with respect to Lie algebras.

From [5] we observe the existence of graded 2-filiform Lie algebras in arbitrary dimension. Let us demonstrate examples of graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type I which obviously are not Lie algebras.

In this work, we use the following notation:

- $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}, e_n\}$ an adapted basis and
- r_1, r_2 the places of elements e_{n-1}, e_n .

Example 1. Let \mathcal{L}_{n-2}^0 be a graded nul-filiform Leibniz algebra of dimension $n-2$ and \mathcal{L}_{n-1}^1 be a graded filiform non Lie Leibniz algebra of dimension $n-1$ of type I. Then $\mathcal{L}_{n-2}^0 \oplus \mathbf{C}^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^1 \oplus \mathbf{C}$ are graded n -dimensional split 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type I.

The following lemma establishes that a graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type I with condition $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ is a split algebra from the above example.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I,1,1)}$. Then \mathcal{L} is a split algebra from example 1.*

Proof:

Let algebra \mathcal{L} has form $\mu_{(I,1,1)}$, then for an adapted basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ the multiplications on the right side on e_1 are the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_i, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_i e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_{n-1} e_2 \\ [e_n, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_n e_2 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \beta_i e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_{n-1}, e_n] = \beta_{n-1} e_2 \\ [e_n, e_n] = \beta_n e_2 \end{array} \right.$$

Using Leibniz identity it is not difficult to obtain the following restrictions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha_i = \alpha, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \beta_i = \beta, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_n = 0 \\ \beta_{n-1} = \beta_n = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Let us rewrite the multiplications of basis elements taking into account the above restrictions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_i, e_{n-1}] = \alpha e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \beta e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \end{array} \right.$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$ we take the change of basis: $e'_i = e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $e'_n = \alpha e_n - \beta e_{n-1}$, we can suppose that the coefficient β is equal to zero, that is, we have the multiplications:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_i, e_{n-1}] = \alpha e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \end{array} \right.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then taking $e'_i = e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $e'_{n-1} = e_n$, $e'_n = e_{n-1}$, we can also suppose that coefficient $\beta = 0$. In this case it is easy to see that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{n-2}^0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$.

If $\alpha \neq 0$, the change of basis $e'_{n-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} e_{n-1}$ (and $e'_i = e_i$, $i \neq n-1$) allows us to suppose $\alpha = 1$ and so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{n-1}^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}$. □

For graded non split 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type I with condition $r_2 = 2$ the following theorem is hold.

The next results were supported by *Mathematica* package.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be an 4-dimensional graded 2-filiform non split Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I,1,2)}$. Then \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the following algebra:*

$$\begin{cases} [e_1, e_1] = e_2 \\ [e_1, e_3] = e_4 \end{cases}$$

Proof:

We have that the natural gradation is:

$$\langle e_1, e_3 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2, e_4 \rangle$$

and the multiplication is:

$$\begin{cases} [e_1, e_1] = e_2 \\ [e_1, e_3] = \alpha_1 e_2 + \beta_1 e_4 \\ [e_3, e_3] = \alpha_2 e_2 + \beta_2 e_4 \end{cases}$$

with $\beta_1 \neq 0$ or $\beta_2 \neq 0$.

If we make the following change of basis $\beta'_2 e'_4 = \alpha_2 e_2 + \beta_2 e_4$ it is possible to suppose $\alpha_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} [e_1, e_1] = e_2 \\ [e_1, e_3] = \alpha_1 e_2 + \beta_1 e_4 \\ [e_3, e_3] = \beta_2 e_4 \end{cases}$$

with $\beta_1 \neq 0$ or $\beta_2 \neq 0$.

According to the characteristic sequence we have that $\text{rank}(R_{e_1+Ae_3}) \leq 1$, it implies that $\beta_2 = 0$ and $\beta_1 \neq 0$. An elementary change of basis permits to prove this result. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let \mathcal{L} be a 5-dimensional naturally graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I,1,2)}$. Then, \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the one of the following pairwise non isomorphic algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^1 : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = e_2 + e_5, \\ [e_2, e_4] = e_3, \end{cases} & \quad \mu^2 : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = e_5. \end{cases} \\ \mu^3 : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = ie_2 + e_5, \\ [e_2, e_4] = ie_3, \\ [e_5, e_4] = e_3. \end{cases} & \quad i^2 = -1 \quad \mu^4 : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = e_5. \\ [e_5, e_4] = e_3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

Analogously as in above we can assume that

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle e_1, e_4 \rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = \langle e_2, e_5 \rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 = \langle e_3 \rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}_4 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

Put $[e_5, e_4] = \gamma e_3$. If $\gamma = 0$, then we obtain algebra $L(\alpha, 0)$, otherwise not restricted of generality we obtain algebra $L(\alpha, 1)$. Since dimension of left annihilator of the algebra $L(\alpha, 0)$ is equal to 2 ($e_4, e_5 \in L(\mathcal{L})$) and dimension of left annihilator of the algebra $L(\alpha, 1)$ is equal to 1 ($e_4 \in L(\mathcal{L})$) there are not isomorphic.

From the above argumentation we have following algebras

$$L(\alpha, 1) : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = \alpha e_2 + f_2 \\ [e_2, e_4] = \alpha e_3 \\ [f_2, e_4] = e_3 \end{cases} \quad L(\alpha, 0) : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = \alpha e_2 + f_2 \\ [e_2, e_4] = \alpha e_3 \end{cases}$$

If we considered algebra

$$L(\alpha, 0) : \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq 2 \\ [e_1, e_4] = \alpha e_2 + f_2 \\ [e_2, e_4] = \alpha e_3 \end{cases}$$

We have $\alpha = 1$ or 0 . And we obtain the two first algebras of proposition. By standard way it is not difficult to check that these algebras are not isomorphic.

Consider algebra $L(\alpha, 1)$, we make the general change of basis

$$e'_1 = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_4, \quad e'_4 = b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_4$$

where $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$.

In other hand $[e'_4, e'_1] = 0$ and we have

$$b_1 a_1 + b_1 a_2 \alpha = 0$$

$$b_1 a_2 = 0$$

it implies that $b_1 = 0$. Finally we obtain

$$\alpha' = \frac{b_2 [a_1 \alpha + a_2 (\alpha^2 + 1)]}{[(a_1 + a_2 \alpha)^2 + a_2^2]}$$

Comparing the coefficients at the basic element we obtain restriction

$$b_2^2 = \frac{[(a_1 + a_2 \alpha)^2 + a_2^2]^2}{a_1^2}$$

It is not difficult to check that the nullity of the following expression is invariant because:

$$1 + \alpha'^2 = \frac{(1 + \alpha^2)((a_1 + a_2 \alpha)^2 + a_2^2)}{a_1^2} =$$

Case 1. $\alpha^2 + 1 \neq 0$ then putting $a_2 = -\frac{a_1 \alpha}{1 + \alpha^2}$ implies $\alpha' = 0$. Thus, in this case we obtain μ_4 .

Case 2. $\alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ (i.e $\alpha = \pm i$) then we have that $b_2 = \pm \frac{(a_1 + a_2 \alpha^2) + a_2^2}{a_1}$ and $\alpha' = \pm \alpha$ we obtain $\alpha' = i$. Thus, in this case we obtain μ_3 . \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathcal{L} be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 6$) graded 2-filiform non split Leibniz algebra of type $\mu_{(I,1,2)}$. Then \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the one of the following pairwise non isomorphic algebras:*

$$\begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_1, e_{n-1}] = e_2 + e_n \\ [e_i, e_{n-1}] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_1, e_{n-1}] = e_n \end{cases}$$

Proof:

According to the theorem conditions we have the following multiplications in an adapted basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_1, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_1 e_2 + \gamma_1 e_n \\ [e_i, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_i e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_{n-1} e_2 + \gamma_{n-1} e_n \\ [e_n, e_{n-1}] = \alpha_n e_3 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \beta_i e_{i+2}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-4 \\ [e_{n-1}, e_n] = \beta_{n-1} e_3 \\ [e_n, e_n] = \beta_n e_4 \end{array} \right.$$

where either $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{n-1} \neq 0$.

Using Leibniz identity it is not difficult to obtain the following restrictions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha_i = \alpha, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \beta_i \gamma_1 = 0, & 1 \leq i \leq n-4 \\ \beta_i \gamma_{n-1} = 0, & 1 \leq i \leq n-4 \\ \gamma_1 \beta_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1} = 0 \\ \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_n = 0 \\ \beta_i \gamma_j = 0, & i \in \{n-1, n\}, j \in \{1, n-1\} \end{array} \right.$$

Since either $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ or $\gamma_{n-1} \neq 0$, we have that $\beta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-4$ and $\beta_{n-1} = \beta_n = 0$. Thus, the multiplications have the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_1, e_{n-1}] = \alpha e_2 + \gamma_1 e_n \\ [e_i, e_{n-1}] = \alpha e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}] = \gamma_{n-1} e_n \end{array} \right.$$

It is possible to suppose that

$$R_{e_1 + A e_{n-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (1 + A\alpha)I_{n-3} & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A\gamma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & A\gamma_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_{n-3} is the unit matrix of size $n-3$ and $1 + A\alpha \neq 0$.

As $\text{rang}(R_{e_1 + A e_{n-1}}) \leq n-3$ (otherwise the characteristic sequence for element $e_1 + A e_{n-1}$ would be greater than $(n-p, 1, \dots, 1)$), then $(1 + A\alpha)^{n-3} A\gamma_{n-1} = 0$, hence $\gamma_{n-1} = 0$ and $\gamma_1 \neq 0$. By an elementary change of basis, it is possible to suppose that $\gamma_1 = 1$.

By a general change of basis the expression for the new generators is

$$e'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i e_i, \quad e'_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i e_i$$

obtaining $\alpha' = \frac{B_{n-1}\alpha}{A_1 + A_{n-1}\alpha}$.

It is easy to see that if $\alpha \neq 0$ we have the first algebra of the theorem and if $\alpha = 0$ the second algebra. □

Consider now graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type II.

Let \mathcal{L} be a graded n -dimensional p -filiform Leibniz algebra. Then there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-p}, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p\}$ of \mathcal{L} such that multiplications on the right side on element e_1 will have the form:

$$\begin{cases} [e_1, e_1] = 0 \\ [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-p-1 \\ [f_j, e_1] = 0, & 1 \leq j \leq p \end{cases}$$

From these multiplications we have:

$$\langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \langle e_{i+1} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}_i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2$$

But again we do not know about the position of elements $\{e_2, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_p\}$ in natural gradation.

Let denote by r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p ($r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_p$) the places of elements $e_2, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_p$ correspondingly, that is, $e_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{r_1}, f_i \in \mathcal{L}_{r_i}, 2 \leq i \leq p$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra. Since $r_1 = 1$, further we shall denote r_2 by r .

For the 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type II the following lemma is hold.

Lemma 2.6. *Let \mathcal{L} be an n -dimensional 2-filiform Leibniz algebra. Then the following conditions are hold:*

a) \mathcal{L} has nilindex $n-1$;

b) or $\dim(\mathcal{L}^i) = n-1-i, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2$

or $\dim(\mathcal{L}^i) = \begin{cases} n-i, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ n-1-i, & r+1 \leq i \leq n-2 \end{cases}$ for some $r, 2 \leq r \leq n-2$

Proof:

a) Let $x \in \mathcal{L} - [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ such that $C(x) = (n-2, 1, 1)$. Hence, $R_x^{n-2} = 0$ and $R_x^{n-3} \neq 0$ and, consequently, there exists element $y \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $R_x^{n-3}(y) \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}^{n-2} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}^{n-1} = 0$ (when $\mathcal{L}^{n-1} \neq 0$, then by [[1], lemma 1, lemma 4] the algebra \mathcal{L} would be either nul-filiform or filiform).

b) Let $e_1 \in \mathcal{L} - [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ be a maximal characteristic vector of ll , where \mathcal{L} is of type I. Then for $r = 1$, that is, $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^2) = 3$ we have that $\dim(\mathcal{L}^i) = n-1-i, 2 \leq i \leq n-2$. For $r = 2$, that is, $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^2) = 2$ we get:

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}^i) = \begin{cases} n-2, & i = 2 \\ n-1-i, & 3 \leq i \leq n-2 \end{cases}$$

Let algebra \mathcal{L} has the type II. For $r_2 = 1$ we obtain that $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^2) = 3$, that is, $\dim(\mathcal{L}^i) = n-1-i$, $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. For $r_2 \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$ we get: $\dim(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^2) = 2$, that is, $\dim(\mathcal{L}^i) = \begin{cases} n-i, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ n-1-i, & r+1 \leq i \leq n-2 \end{cases}$ \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let \mathcal{L} be a complex n -dimensional ($n \geq 5$) graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II and $r > 2$. Then \mathcal{L} is a Lie algebra.*

Proof:

Let (1) be the family of laws of \mathcal{L} :

$$(1) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_i] = \alpha_{1,i}e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq r \\ [e_1, e_r] = \alpha_{1,r}e_{r+1} + \gamma_1e_n \\ [e_1, e_n] = \alpha_{1,n}e_{r+2} \\ [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{i,j}e_{i+j-1}, & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, i+j \neq r+2 \\ [e_i, e_{r+2-i}] = \alpha_{i,r+2-i}e_{r+1} + \gamma_i e_n, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ [e_n, e_i] = \alpha_{n,i}e_{i+r}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \alpha_{i,n}e_{i+r}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ [e_n, e_n] = \alpha_{n,n}e_{2r+1}, & r \leq \frac{n-2}{2} \end{array} \right.$$

where omitted products are zero and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Using Leibniz identity we get the following restrictions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha_{1,i} = \alpha, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \gamma_1 = 0 \\ \alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 1) = 0 \\ \alpha_{1,n} = 0, & r \leq n-4 \\ \alpha_{n,n} = 0, & r \leq \frac{n-3}{2} \end{array} \right.$$

It is necessary to consider separately the cases $r = n-3$, $r = n-2$ and $r = \frac{n-2}{2}$ (n even).

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$. Then (1) will have the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{i,j}e_{i+j-1}, & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, i+j \neq r+2 \\ [e_i, e_{r+2-i}] = \alpha_{i,r+2-i}e_{r+1} + \gamma_i e_n, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ [e_n, e_i] = \alpha_{n,i}e_{i+r}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \alpha_{i,n}e_{i+r}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \end{array} \right.$$

Using Leibniz identity for elements $\{e_i, e_{r+1-i}, e_1\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$ and $\{e_i, e_1, e_{r+1-i}\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, that is,

$$[e_i, [e_{r+1-i}, e_1]] = [[e_i, e_{r+1-i}], e_1] - [[e_i, e_1], e_{r+1-i}]$$

$$[e_i, [e_1, e_{r+1-i}]] = [[e_i, e_1], e_{r+1-i}] - [[e_i, e_{r+1-i}], e_1]$$

we obtain that $\gamma_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$. Hence $e_n \notin \mathcal{L}^2$ and $r = 1$ we have the contradiction to the condition of the lemma.

Case 2. $\alpha = -1$. Then the multiplications (1) will have the form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_i] = -e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{i,j} e_{i+j-1}, & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, \quad i+j \leq n \quad i+j \neq r+2 \\ [e_i, e_{r+2-i}] = \alpha_{i,r+2-i} e_{r+1} + \gamma_i e_n, & 2 \leq i \leq r \\ [e_n, e_i] = \alpha_{n,i} e_{i+r}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \alpha_{i,n} e_{i+r}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \end{array} \right.$$

where $(\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$.

Using Leibniz identity it is not difficult to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n,i} &= \alpha_n, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ \alpha_{i,n} &= \overline{\alpha_n}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-r-1 \\ \alpha_n &= -\overline{\alpha_n} \end{aligned}$$

From equality $[e_1, [e_i, e_i]] = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$, we have $\alpha_{i,i} = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

When $i = \frac{n}{2}$ (when n is even), we consider the following equalities:

$$[e_{\frac{n}{2}} [e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_1]] = [[e_{\frac{n}{2}}, e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}], e_1] - [[e_{\frac{n}{2}}, e_1], e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}] \quad (2)$$

$$[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1} [e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_1]] = [[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}], e_1] - [[e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_1], e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}] \quad (3)$$

$$[e_1, [e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, e_{\frac{n}{2}}]] = [[e_1, e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}], e_{\frac{n}{2}}] - [[e_1, e_{\frac{n}{2}}], e_{\frac{n}{2}-1}] \quad (4)$$

From equalities (2) up to (4) we obtain the restrictions:

$$(5) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} = \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1} - \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}-1} \\ \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}-1} = -\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}} \\ \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} = \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}-1} + \alpha_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}} \end{array} \right.$$

From (5) we have that $\alpha_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} = 0$.

Thus, we prove that $[e_i, e_i] = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

From the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, e_j] &= [e_i, [e_{j-1}, e_1]] = [[e_i, e_{j-1}], e_1] - [[e_i, e_1], e_{j-1}] = \\ &= -[e_1, [e_i, e_{j-1}]] + [[e_1, e_i], e_{j-1}] = \\ &= -([e_1, e_i], e_{j-1}) - [[e_1, e_{j-1}], e_i] + [[e_1, e_i], e_{j-1}] = [[e_1, e_{j-1}], e_i] = \\ &= -[e_j, e_i] \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that $[e_i, e_j] = -[e_j, e_i]$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, that is, is a Lie algebra.

The cases $r = n-3$, $r = n-2$ and $r = \frac{n-2}{2}$ (when n is even) are proved analogously. \square

Next, we will see some examples of graded filiform Leibniz algebras of type II.

Example 2. Let \mathcal{L} be a graded filiform Leibniz algebra of type II. Then $\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is graded 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II.

And now, we prove some lemmas for a graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II.

Lemma 2.8. *There exists no a graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II and $r = 1$.*

Proof:

Let \mathcal{L} be a Leibniz algebra which satisfies the condition of the lemma. Then the table of multiplication is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_i] = \alpha_{1,i}e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_n] = \alpha_{1,n}e_3 \\ [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{i,j}e_{i+j-1}, \quad 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, \quad i+j \leq n \\ [e_n, e_i] = \alpha_{n,i}e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \alpha_{i,n}e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_n, e_n] = \alpha_{n,n}e_3 \end{array} \right.$$

From Leibniz identity we have the following restrictions:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1,i} &= \alpha, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 1) &= 0 \\ \alpha_{1,n} &= \alpha_{n,n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$. Using Leibniz identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,j} &= \alpha_j, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \alpha_j &= 0, & 3 \leq j \leq n-2 \\ \alpha_{i,n} &= \alpha_n & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \alpha_{n,i} &= 0 & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \end{aligned}$$

and taking a change of basis: $e'_2 = e_2 - \alpha_2 e_1$, $e'_n = e_n - \alpha_n e_1$, $e'_i = e_i$ for $i \neq 2, n$, we have that $\alpha_2 = \alpha_n = 0$, that is, \mathcal{L} is split.

Case 2. $\alpha = -1$. Analogous to lemma 2.7, we get a Lie algebra. □

Lemma 2.9. *There exists no a graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebra of type II and $r = 2$.*

Proof:

Let \mathcal{L} be a Leibniz algebra satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Then, there exists an adapted basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathcal{L} such that the multiplications will be the following:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_2] = \alpha_{1,2}e_3 + \gamma_1 e_n \\ [e_1, e_i] = \alpha_{1,i}e_{i+1}, \quad 3 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_n] = \alpha_{1,n}e_4 \\ [e_2, e_2] = \alpha_{2,2}e_3 + \gamma_2 e_n \\ [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{i,j}e_{i+j-1}, \quad 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, \quad i+j \leq n, \quad (i, j) \neq (2, 2) \\ [e_n, e_i] = \alpha_{n,i}e_{i+2}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \alpha_{i,n}e_{i+2}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_n, e_n] = \alpha_{n,n}e_5, \end{array} \right.$$

where either $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ or $\gamma_2 \neq 0$.

As in the above two lemmas we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{1,i} = \alpha, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ \alpha(1+\alpha) = 0 \\ \alpha_{1,n} = \alpha_{n,n} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let us consider two possible cases for parameter α .

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$. Then, the multiplications in \mathcal{L} have the form:

$$\begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_2] = \gamma_1 e_n \\ [e_2, e_2] = \alpha_{2,2} e_3 + \gamma_2 e_n \\ [e_i, e_j] = \alpha_{i,j} e_{i+j-1}, & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2, i+j \leq n, (i,j) \neq (2,2) \\ [e_n, e_i] = \alpha_{n,i} e_{i+2}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ [e_i, e_n] = \alpha_{i,n} e_{i+2}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \end{cases}$$

where either $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ or $\gamma_2 \neq 0$.

Using Leibniz identity leads us to the following restrictions:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,j} &= \alpha_j, & 2 \leq i, j \leq n-2 \\ \alpha_j &= 0, & 3 \leq j \leq n-2 \\ \alpha_{i,n} &= \alpha_n, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \alpha_{n,i} &= 0, & 2 \leq i \leq n-3 \\ \alpha_n \gamma_2 &= 0 \\ \alpha_n \gamma_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Either $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ or $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ (otherwise algebra \mathcal{L} is split), then $\alpha_n = 0$.

The change of basis given by $e'_2 = e_2 - \alpha_2 e_1$, $e'_i = e_i$, $i \neq 2$, allows to suppose $\alpha_2 = 0$.

Consider the operator of right multiplication $R_{e_1 + A e_{n-1}}$, where $0 \neq A \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e_1 + A e_{n-1} \neq 0$. $\gamma_2 = 0$ and hence $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ may be proved in much the same way as the proof of theorem 2.5. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\gamma = 1$.

Thus, we have the following multiplications in algebra \mathcal{L} :

$$\begin{cases} [e_i, e_1] = e_{i+1}, & 2 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ [e_1, e_2] = e_n \end{cases}$$

Taking the change of basis in form:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 &= e_1 + e_2, & e'_2 &= e_3 + e_n, \\ e'_i &= e_{i+1}, & 3 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ e'_{n-1} &= e_1, & e'_n &= e_n \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the algebra of type I.

Case 2. $\alpha = -1$. As in above cases, we get a Lie algebra. □

From lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9 we can conclude that there exist no graded non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebras of type II.

Thus, according to theorem 2.5 we have the classification of non split and non Lie 2-filiform Leibniz algebras. Summing the classification of non split graded 2-filiform Lie algebras [5] and the result of theorem 2.5 we have completed the classification of graded non split 2-filiform Leibniz algebras.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sh.A. Ayupov, B.A. Omirov, *On a Description of Irreducible Component in the set of nilpotent Leibniz Algebras containing the Algebra of maximal nilindex, and classification of graded filiform Leibniz algebras*, Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing CASC, Springer, 21-34, 2000.
- [2] Sh.A. Ayupov, B.A. Omirov, *On Leibniz algebras* Algebra and operators theory, Proceeding of the colloquium in Tashkent 1997. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1-13, 1998.
- [3] J.M. Cabezas, J.R. Gómez, A. Jiménez-Merchán, *Family of p -filiform Lie algebras*, in Algebra and Operator Theory, Khakimdjano, Y., Goze, M., Ayupov, Sh., eds., kluwer Academic Publishers, Tashkent, 93-102, 1998.
- [4] J.M. Cabezas, E. Pastor, *Naturally graded p -filiform Lie algebras in arbitrary finite dimension*, Journal of Lie Theory, 15, 379-391, 2005.
- [5] J.R. Gómez, A. Jiménez-Merchán, *Naturally Graded Quasi-Filiform Lie Algebras*, Journal of Algebra, 256, 211-228, 2002.
- [6] J.R. Gómez, A. Jiménez-Merchán, Y. Khakimdjano, *Low-dimensional filiform Lie Algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 130(2), 133-158, 1998.
- [7] M. Goze, You. B. Hakimjanov (Khakimdjano), *Nilpotent Lie algebras*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [8] Loday, J.L., Pirashvili, T., *it Leibniz representations of Leibniz algebras*, J. Algebra, 181, 414-425, 1996.
- [9] M. Vergne, *Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Application à l'étude de la variété des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 98, 81-116, 1970.

[L.M. CAMACHO – J.R. GÓMEZ] DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA I. UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA. AVDA. REINA MERCEDES, S/N. 41012 SEVILLA. (SPAIN)
E-mail address: `lcamacho@us.es` --- `jrgomez@us.es`

[A.J. GONZÁLEZ] DPTO. DE MATEMÁTICAS. UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA. AVDA. DE LA UNIVERSIDAD, S/N. BADAJOZ. (SPAIN)
E-mail address: `agonzale@unex.es`

[B.A. OMIROV] INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF ACADEMY OF UZBEKISTAN. 29, F.KHODJAEV STR. 700125, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN
E-mail address: `omirovb@mail.ru`