

THE DERIVATIONS OF SOME EVOLUTION ALGEBRAS

L. M. CAMACHO, J. R. GÓMEZ, B. A. OMIROV, R. M. TURDIBAEV

ABSTRACT. In this work we investigate the derivations of n -dimensional complex evolution algebras, depending on the rank of the appropriate matrices. For evolution algebra with non-singular matrices we prove that the space of derivations is zero. The spaces of derivations for evolution algebras with matrices of rank $n - 1$ are described.

AMS classifications (2010): 17A36; 17D92; 17D99

Keywords: Evolution algebra; rank of matrix; derivation.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notion of evolution algebras recently was introduced in the book [11], where the author represented a lot of connections of evolution algebras with the other objects in mathematics, genetic and physics. The basic properties and some classes of evolution algebras were studied as well in [1], [10], [11].

The concept of evolution algebras lies between algebras and dynamical systems. Although, evolution algebras do not form a variety (they are not defined by identities), algebraically, their structure has table of multiplication, which satisfies the conditions of commutative Banach algebra. Dynamically, they represent discrete dynamical systems. In fact, evolution algebras are close related with graph and group theories, stochastic processes, mathematical physics, genetics etc. The papers [4]- [6] were devoted to study of genetics using an abstract algebraic approach.

Recall the definition of evolution algebras. Let E be a vector space over a field K with defined multiplication \cdot and a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ such that

$$e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$e_i \cdot e_i = \sum_k a_{ik} e_k, \quad i \geq 1,$$

then E is called evolution algebra and basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ is said to be natural basis.

From the above definition it follows that evolution algebras are commutative (therefore, flexible).

Let E be a finite dimensional evolution algebra with natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, then

$$e_i \cdot e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} e_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where remaining products are equal to zero.

The matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is called matrix of the algebra E in natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$.

Obviously, $\text{rank} A = \dim(E \cdot E)$. Hence, for finite dimensional evolution algebra the rank of the matrix does not depend on choice of natural basis.

The derivation for evolution algebra E is defined as usual, i.e., a linear operator $d : E \rightarrow E$ is called a derivation if

$$d(u \cdot v) = d(u) \cdot v + u \cdot d(v)$$

for all $u, v \in E$.

Note that for any algebra, the space $Der(E)$ of all derivations is a Lie algebra with the commutator multiplication.

Let d be a derivation of evolution algebra E with natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $d(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the space of derivations for evolution algebra E is described as follows in [11].

$$Der(E) = \left\{ d \in End(E) \mid a_{kj}d_{ij} + a_{ki}d_{ij} = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j; 2a_{ji}d_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ki}d_{jk} \right\}.$$

In the theory of non-associative algebras, particularly, in genetic algebras, the Lie algebra of derivations of a given algebra is one of the important tools for studying its structure. There has been much work on the subject of derivations of genetic algebras ([2], [3], [7]).

In [9] multiplication is defined in terms of derivations, showing the significance of derivation in genetic algebras. Several genetic interpretations of derivation of genetic algebra are given in [8].

For evolution algebras the system of equations describing the derivations are given in [11]. In this work, we establish that the space of derivations of evolution algebras with non-singular matrices is equal to zero. The description of the derivations for evolution algebras, the matrices of which are of rank $n - 1$ is obtained.

2. MAIN RESULT

The following theorem describes derivations of evolution algebras with non-singular matrices.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $d : E \rightarrow E$ be a derivation of evolution algebra E with non-singular evolution matrix in basis $\langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$. Then this derivation d is zero.*

Proof. For a derivation d we have $d(e_i)e_j + e_i d(e_j) = 0$ and $d(e_i e_i) = 2d(e_i)e_i$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

Let $d(e_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ki}e_i$. Then we obtain

$$d_{ij}(e_j e_j) + d_{ji}(e_i e_i) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$d(e_i e_i) = 2d_{ii}(e_i e_i) \tag{2}$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

Since evolution matrix A of algebra E is non-degenerated and $(e_i \cdot e_i)$, $(e_j \cdot e_j)$ represent the i -th and j -th rows of the matrix A respectively, they can not be linearly dependent.

Thus, $d_{ij} = d_{ji} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Therefore, $d = \text{diag}\{d_{11}, \dots, d_{nn}\}$ and $d(e_k) = d_{kk}e_k$. Hence $\text{spec}(d) = \{d_{11}, \dots, d_{nn}\}$.

Now $d(e_i \cdot e_i) = 2d(e_i) \cdot e_i = 2d_{ii}(e_i \cdot e_i)$.

Since A is a non-singular, $e_i \cdot e_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The last equality shows that

$$\{2d_{11}, \dots, 2d_{nn}\} = \text{spec}(d).$$

This is possible if only d is zero. □

Now we will investigate derivations for evolution algebras with matrices of rank $n-1$.

Since $\text{rank} A = n-1$, making the suitable basis permutation we can assume that first $n-1$ rows of the matrix A are linearly independent, i.e., $e_1e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}e_{n-1}$ are linearly independent and $e_n e_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k (e_k e_k)$ for some $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Since $e_i e_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from (2) we obtain that $2d_{ii}$ is an eigenvalue of d for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence,

$$\text{spec}(d) \supseteq \{2d_{11}, 2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}.$$

Now from equality (1) we deduce $d_{ij} = d_{ji} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1$.

By putting $i = n$ to (1) we obtain $d_{nj}(e_j e_j) + d_{jn}(e_n e_n) = 0$ or

$$(d_{nj} + d_{jn}b_j)(e_j e_j) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{n-1} d_{jn}b_k(e_k e_k) = 0$$

Hence we obtain $d_{jn}b_k = 0$ and $d_{nj} + d_{jn}b_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \neq j \leq n-1$.

Depending on different values of b_k we will consider several cases.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $e_n e_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k (e_k e_k)$ and $b_p \neq 0, b_q \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq p \neq q \leq n$. Then $d = 0$.*

Proof. In this case we have $d_{jn}b_p = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \neq p \leq n-1$ and $d_{jn}b_q = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \neq q \leq n-1$, which implies $d_{jn} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Putting $d_{jn} = 0$ to $d_{nj} + d_{jn}b_j = 0$ we obtain $d_{nj} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Hence, $d = \text{diag}\{d_{11}, d_{22}, \dots, d_{nn}\}$.

Since $e_n e_n \neq 0$ from (2) we obtain that $2d_{nn}$ is an eigenvalue of d . Hence,

$$\text{spec}(d) = \{d_{11}, d_{22}, \dots, d_{nn}\} = \{2d_{11}, 2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{nn}\}$$

which is possible if only $d = 0$. □

It should be noted that the opposite statement is not true.

From this lemma it follows that the only cases left to investigate are $e_n e_n = 0$ and $e_n e_n = b_k e_k, b_k \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. In the last case, by making suitable basis permutation one can assume that $e_n e_n = b(e_1 e_1), b \neq 0$. Consider the following $n \times n$ matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2d_{11} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{n-s-1}d_{11} & 0 \\ -bd_{1n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{11} \end{pmatrix} \quad (D_1)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} d_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{1n} \\ 0 & d_{22} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{k-1}d_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 2d_{11} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2^{m-k}d_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -bd_{1n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{11} \end{array} \right) \quad (D_2)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} d_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{d_{11}}{2^{n-s-2}} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{d_{11}}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{11} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -bd_{1n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{11} \end{array} \right) \quad (D_3)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $e_n e_n = b(e_1 e_1)$, $b \neq 0$. Then derivation d is either zero or it is in one of the following forms up to basis permutation:*

- (i) (D_1) where $d_{11} = \frac{\delta}{2^{n-s}-1}$, $1 \leq s \leq n-1$ and $\delta^2 = -bd_{1n}^2$;
- (ii) (D_2) where $d_{22} = \frac{1-2^{m-k}}{2^{k-1}}d_{11}$, $d_{11} = \frac{\delta}{2^{m-k+1}-1}$, $1 \leq k < m \leq n-1$ and $\delta^2 = -bd_{1n}^2$;
- (iii) (D_3) where $d_{11} = \delta$ and $\delta^2 = -bd_{1n}^2$.

Proof. We have $d_{2n} = \dots = d_{n-1n} = 0$, $d_{n2} = \dots = d_{nn-1} = 0$ and $d_{n1} = -bd_{1n}$.

By putting $i = n$ in (2), we obtain

$$2bd_{11}(e_1 e_1) = bd(e_1 e_1) = d(b(e_1 e_1)) = d(e_n e_n) = 2d_{nn}(e_n e_n) = 2d_{nn}b(e_1 e_1).$$

Hence, $d_{11} = d_{nn}$.

From (2) we deduce that

$$a_{i1}(d_{11}e_1 + d_{1n}e_n) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} a_{ij}d_{jj}e_j + a_{in}(-bd_{1n}e_1 + d_{11}e_n) = d(e_i e_i) = 2d_{ii}(e_i e_i) =$$

$$2d_{ii} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j$$

which implies

$$a_{i1}(2d_{ii} - d_{11}) = -a_{in}d_{1n}b \quad (3)$$

$$a_{in}(2d_{ii} - d_{11}) = a_{i1}d_{1n} \quad (4)$$

$$a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $2 \leq j \leq n-1$.

If $d_{1n} = 0$, then $d = \text{diag}\{d_{11}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}, d_{11}\}$ and $\{d_{11}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} = \text{spec}(d) \supseteq \{2d_{11}, 2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}$ which leads to $d = 0$.

Assume that $d_{1n} \neq 0$. One can find $\text{spec}(d) = \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}, \alpha, \beta\}$, where $\alpha = d_{11} + \delta$, $\beta = d_{11} - \delta$ and $\delta^2 = -bd_{1n}^2$. Obviously, $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Let $\lambda \in \text{spec}(d)$ be such that $|\lambda| = \max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|, |d_{22}|, \dots, |d_{n-1n-1}|\}$.

If $\lambda \in \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\}$ then 2λ is also an eigenvalue which contradicts to module maximality of λ . Therefore $\lambda = \alpha$ or $\lambda = \beta$.

Also note that from (3) and (4) it follows that $a_{i1} = 0$ if and only if $a_{in} = 0$.

If $a_{i1} \neq 0$ ($a_{in} \neq 0$), then multiplying (3) and (4) we obtain $(2d_{ii} - d_{11})^2 = -bd_{1n}^2$ or $2d_{ii} = d_{11} \pm \delta$. Hence for these i we have

$$d_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \text{ or } d_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}\beta. \quad (6)$$

Now we consider several cases.

Case 1. Let $\alpha\beta \neq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0$. Since $\alpha + \beta = 2d_{11} \in \text{spec}(d)$ and $\alpha + \beta \notin \{\alpha, \beta\}$ we obtain that there exists i_1 such that $d_{i_1 i_1} = \alpha + \beta$. Then $2d_{i_1 i_1} \in \text{spec}(d)$ which implies that $2d_{i_1 i_1} = d_{i_2 i_2}$ for some i_2 or $2d_{i_1 i_1} \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. If $2d_{i_1 i_1} = d_{i_2 i_2}$ we can continue till we obtain $2^k d_{i_1 i_1} = \dots = 2d_{i_k i_k} \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$.

Thus, for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ we have $2^k(\alpha + \beta) \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$.

Let us assume that $2^k(\alpha + \beta) = \alpha$.

Then $d_{11} = \frac{\alpha}{2^{k+1}}$, $d_{i_1 i_1} = \frac{\alpha}{2^k}, \dots, d_{i_k i_k} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $\beta = -(1 - \frac{1}{2^k})\alpha$. Hence, $|\beta| < |\alpha|$ and obviously, $2^s \beta \neq 2^r \alpha$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider the possible non-zero values of $|d_{22}|, \dots, |d_{n-1n-1}|$ and let them be $d_1 < \dots < d_p$. We already know that $\{d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}\} \supseteq \{\frac{|\alpha|}{2^k}, \dots, \frac{|\alpha|}{2}\}$. Since $\text{spec}(d) \supseteq \{2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}$ one obtains that $2d_1, \dots, 2d_p \in \{d_1, \dots, d_p, |\alpha|, |\beta|\}$.

Since $2d_p \leq |\alpha|$ and $d_{i_k i_k} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ we conclude that $d_p = \frac{|\alpha|}{2}$.

Observe that there can be only one eigenvalue $d_{i_k i_k} = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ with module d_p . Indeed, if for some i we have $|d_{ii}| = d_p, d_{ii} \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$, then $\text{spec}(d) \ni 2d_{ii} \neq \alpha$ and $|2d_{ii}| = |\alpha|$. Therefore, there exists j such that $d_{jj} = 2d_{ii}$. But then $2d_{jj} \in \text{spec}(d)$ and $|2d_{jj}| = 2|\alpha| > |\alpha|$ which is a contradiction.

Now since there is only one eigenvalue with module $\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|$ one obtains that there is only one eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}\alpha$ of module d_{p-1} and etc.

If not all d_2, \dots, d_p are in the form $\frac{1}{2^m}|\alpha|$ then applying similar arguments to $|\beta|$ we obtain that there can be at most only one eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}|\beta|$ with module $\frac{1}{2}|\beta|$, $\frac{1}{4}|\beta|$ with module $\frac{1}{4}|\beta|$ and etc.

$$\text{Hence, } \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha \right\} \text{ or } \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha \right\} \bigcup_{j=1}^r \left\{ \frac{1}{2^j} \beta \right\}.$$

Case 1.1. Let $\{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha \right\}$. Then from (6) for those i such that $a_{in} \neq 0$ we obtain $2d_{ii} = \alpha$. Then (4) implies $a_{i1} = \frac{\alpha - d_{11}}{d_{1n}} a_{in}$. Hence, the first and the last columns of the matrix A are collinear. Therefore, all other columns must be non-zero and linearly independent so that $\text{rank} A = n - 1$ is satisfied.

Assume that there are $s - 1$ zeros among $d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$. Then $0 = d_{22} = \dots = d_{ss} < |d_{s+1s+1}| \leq |d_{s+2s+2}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{n-1n-1}|$. If $2 \leq i \leq s$ and $s + 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$

then $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} = -d_{jj} \neq 0$ and from $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{ij} = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq s, s+1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Now if $2 \leq j \leq s$ and $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$ then $d_{jj} = 0, d_{ii} \neq 0$ and from $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ we conclude that $a_{ij} = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq s, s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Since $d_{s+1s+1} \neq 2d_{ii}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, from (5) we obtain $a_{is+1} = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $2-, \dots, (n-1)$ -th columns are linearly independent, $a_{1s+1} \neq 0$ and therefore, by (5) we obtain $d_{s+1s+1} = 2d_{11}$.

Now we will show that among $d_{s+1s+1}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$ there are no equal elements. Let $d_{s+1s+1} = d_{s+2s+2}$. Then $d_{s+2s+2} \neq 2d_{ii}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and from (5) we obtain $a_{is+2} = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence, the $(s+2)$ -th column is either zero or collinear to $(s+1)$ -th column of matrix A . This is a contradiction.

Now let $|d_{s+1s+1}| < |d_{s+2s+2}| < \dots < |d_{jj}| = |d_{j+1j+1}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{n-1n-1}|$ for some $s+2 \leq j \leq n-2$. Then $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} = 0$ if and only if $i = j-1$ and therefore (5) implies $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j-1$. Similarly, since $2d_{ii} - d_{j+1j+1} = 0$ only if $i = j-1$ we obtain $a_{ij+1} = 0$ for all $i \neq j-1$. This implies that either columns j and $j+1$ are collinear or at least one of them is zero, which is a contradiction. Hence in this case all $d_{s+1s+1}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$ are distinct and $2^{n-s}d_{11} = 2^{n-s-1}d_{s+1s+1} = \dots = 2d_{n-1n-1} = \alpha$ and hence $2^{n-s}d_{11} = d_{11} + \delta \Rightarrow d_{11} = \frac{1}{2^{n-s-1}}\delta$.

Therefore matrix A should be in the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1s+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2s} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{s2} & \dots & a_{ss} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_{s+1s+2} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-2n-1} & 0 \\ a_{n-11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & ba_{1s+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad (A_1)$$

and d is in the form (D_1) with $d_{11} = \frac{\delta}{2^{n-s-1}}$.

Case 1.2. Let $\{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \{\frac{1}{2^i}\alpha\} \bigcup_{j=1}^r \{\frac{1}{2^j}\beta\}$.

Assume that $\{d_{22}, \dots, d_{kk}\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^r \{\frac{1}{2^j}\beta\}$, $\{d_{k+1k+1}, \dots, d_{mm}\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \{\frac{1}{2^i}\alpha\}$ and

$d_{m+1m+1} = \dots = d_{n-1n-1} = 0$ such that $|d_{22}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{kk}|, |d_{k+1k+1}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{mm}|$.

Since $2d_{ii} - d_{22} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ from (5) and due to $a_{in} = ba_{i1}$ we obtain $a_{i2} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now since $\text{rank} A = n-1$, the other columns must be non-zero and linearly independent. Similarly, as in Case 1.1 one obtains that $d_{33} \neq d_{22}$ and so on.

Hence,

$$d_{kk} = 2d_{k-1k-1} = \dots = 2^{k-1}d_{22}$$

and for all $3 \leq j \leq k$ it follows that $a_{j-1j} \neq 0, a_{ij} = 0 (i \neq j-1)$.

Now since $2d_{ii} - d_{k+1k+1} \neq 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, where d_{k+1k+1} is $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$, it must be $d_{k+1k+1} = 2d_{11}$. Otherwise, the $(k+1)$ -column is zero, which is a contradiction. Then in the $(k+1)$ -th column the only non-zero elements are a_{1k+1} and $a_{nk+1} = ba_{1k+1}$.

Applying the similar arguments as in Case 1.1 we deduce

$$d_{mm} = 2d_{m-1m-1} = \cdots = 2^{m-k-1}d_{k+1k+1} = 2^{m-k}d_{11}$$

and for all $k+1 \leq j \leq m$ we have $a_{j-1j} \neq 0, a_{ij} = 0, i \neq j-1$.

Now for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m+1 \leq j \leq n-1$ we have $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} = 2d_{ii} \neq 0$. Then from (6) we obtain $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m+1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Also, since $a_{nj} = ba_{1j}$, it follows $a_{nj} = 0$ for $m+1 \leq j \leq n$.

Hence, $d_{kk} = \frac{1}{2}\beta$ and $d_{mm} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$. Then from (4) and (6) it follows that

$$a_{kn} = \frac{d_{1n}}{\beta - d_{11}}a_{k1} \neq 0 \text{ and } a_{mn} = \frac{d_{1n}}{\alpha - d_{11}}a_{m1} \neq 0.$$

Also from $d_{11} + \delta = \alpha = 2d_{mm} = 2^{m-k+1}d_{11}$ it follows that $d_{11} = \frac{\delta}{2^{m-k+1} - 1}$.

Now $2d_{11} - \alpha = \beta = 2d_{kk} = 2^k d_{22}$ implies $d_{22} = \frac{1 - 2^{m-k}}{2^{k-1}}d_{11}$.

Hence, the matrix of A is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1k+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{k-1k} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{k1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{kn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_{k+1k+2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{m-1m} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{m1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m+1m+1} & \cdots & a_{m+1n-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{n-1m+1} & \cdots & a_{n-1n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & ba_{1k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote by (A_2) the form of the above matrix. For the evolution algebra with matrix in the form (A_2) the derivation d is in the form (D_2) with $d_{22} = \frac{1 - 2^{m-k}}{2^{k-1}}d_{11}$ and

$$d_{11} = \frac{\delta}{2^{m-k+1} - 1}.$$

Note that, we can assume $2^k(\alpha + \beta) = \beta$ in the beginning of our argumentation in this case. Then in Case 1.1 we obtain that d is in the form (D_1) with $d_{11} = \frac{-\delta}{2^{n-s} - 1}$.

In Case 1.2 d is in the form (D_2) with $d_{22} = \frac{1 - 2^{m-k}}{2^{k-1}}d_{11}$ and $d_{11} = \frac{-\delta}{2^{m-k+1} - 1}$.

Case 2. Let $\alpha\beta \neq 0, \alpha = -\beta$, i.e., $d_{11} = 0$. We will show that this case is impossible.

Obviously, there are non-zero elements among $d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$. Otherwise, from (3) and (4) it follows that the first and the last columns of matrix A are zero, which is a contradiction.

Now consider the possible non-zero values of $|d_{22}|, \dots, |d_{n-1n-1}|$ and let them be $d_1 < \cdots < d_p$. Since $\text{spec}(d) \supseteq \{2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}$ one obtains that $2d_1, \dots, 2d_p \in \{d_1, \dots, d_p, |\alpha|\}$.

Since this values are non-zero, we deduce that $|\alpha| = 2d_p, d_p = 2d_{p-1}, \dots, d_2 = 2d_1$.

Observe that there can be only eigenvalue $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ or $-\frac{\alpha}{2}$ with module d_p . Indeed, if for some i we have $|d_{ii}| = d_p, d_{ii} \neq \pm\frac{\alpha}{2}$ we obtain $\text{spec}(d) \ni 2d_{ii} \neq \pm\alpha$ and $|2d_{ii}| = |\alpha|$. Therefore, there exists j such that $d_{jj} = 2d_{ii}$. But then $2d_{jj} \in \text{spec}(d)$ and $|2d_{jj}| = 2|\alpha| > |\alpha|$ which is a contradiction.

Now since $\pm\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ are the only possible eigenvalues with module $\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|$ one obtains that the only possible eigenvalues with module d_{p-1} are $\pm\frac{1}{4}\alpha$ and etc.

$$\text{Hence, } \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha \right\} \bigcup_{j=1}^r \left\{ -\frac{1}{2^j} \alpha \right\}.$$

If $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\}$ then from (6) we obtain that the first and the last columns are zero which contradicts to $\text{rank}A = n - 1$. Hence there exists $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ such that $d_{kk} \in \{\frac{1}{2}\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}\alpha\}$.

$$\text{Now, if } \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha \right\} \text{ and } -\frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \text{ then by (4)}$$

and (6) we obtain that the first and the last columns of matrix A are linearly dependent, i.e., $a_{i1} = \frac{\alpha}{d_{1n}} a_{in}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, in order to be $\text{rank}A = n - 1$ the other columns must be non-zero and linearly independent.

However, if $d_{pp} = \frac{1}{2^s}\alpha$, then from (5) we obtain that the p -th column is zero which is a contradiction.

$$\text{Now if } \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} \supseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^r \left\{ -\frac{1}{2^j} \alpha \right\} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \text{ then by (4)}$$

and (6) we obtain that the first and the last columns of matrix A are linearly dependent, i.e., $a_{i1} = \frac{-\alpha}{d_{1n}} a_{in}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, in order to be $\text{rank}A = n - 1$ the other columns must be non-zero and linearly independent.

However, if $d_{pp} = \frac{1}{2^q}\alpha$, then from (5) we obtain that the p -th column is zero which is a contradiction.

Now let $\{d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{1}{2^i} \alpha \right\} \bigcup_{j=1}^r \left\{ -\frac{1}{2^j} \alpha \right\}$. Then for $d_{pp} = \frac{1}{2^s}\alpha$ and $d_{qq} = -\frac{1}{2^r}\alpha$ we obtain $2d_{ii} - d_{pp} \neq 0, 2d_{ii} - d_{qq} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and hence from (5) the p -th and q -th columns are zero which is a contradiction to $\text{rank}A = n - 1$.

Case 3. Let $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0$.

Then $2d_{11} = \alpha = d_{11} + \delta$, and hence $d_{11} = \delta$.

Let us consider the possible non-zero values of $|d_{22}|, \dots, |d_{n-1n-1}|$ and let them be $d_1 < \dots < d_p$. Since $\text{spec}(d) \supseteq \{2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}$ one obtains that $2d_1, \dots, 2d_p \in \{d_1, \dots, d_p, |\alpha|\}$.

Since this values are non-zero, it follows that $|\alpha| = 2d_p, d_p = 2d_{p-1}, \dots, d_2 = 2d_1$.

Observe that there can be only eigenvalue $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ with module d_p . Indeed, if for some i we have $|d_{ii}| = d_p, d_{ii} \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ we obtain $\text{spec}(d) \ni 2d_{ii} \neq \alpha$ and $|2d_{ii}| = |\alpha|$. Therefore, there exists j such that $d_{jj} = 2d_{ii}$. But then $2d_{jj} \in \text{spec}(d)$ and $|2d_{jj}| = 2|\alpha| > |\alpha|$ which is a contradiction.

Similarly, since there is only one eigenvalue with module $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ one obtains that there is only one eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}\alpha$ of module d_{p-1} and etc.

Thus, $\text{spec}(d) = \{\frac{1}{2^p}\alpha, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \alpha\}$ or $\text{spec}(d) = \{0, \frac{1}{2^p}\alpha, \dots, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \alpha\}$. Again, by making suitable basis permutation one can assume that $|d_{22}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{n-1n-1}|$.

Assume that there are $s-1$ zeros among $d_{22}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$. Then $0 = d_{22} = \dots = d_{ss} < d_{s+1s+1} \leq d_{s+2s+2} \leq \dots \leq d_{n-1n-1}$. If $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $s+1 \leq j \leq n-1$ then $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} \neq 0$ and from $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, $s+1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Now if $2 \leq j \leq s$ and $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$ then $d_{jj} = 0$, $d_{ii} \neq 0$ and from $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{ij} = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq s$, $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Since $d_{s+1s+1} \neq 2d_{ii}$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from $a_{is+1}(2d_{ii} - d_{s+1s+1}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{is+1} = 0$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, i.e., the $(s+1)$ -th column of matrix A is zero.

Now we will show that among $d_{s+1s+1}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$ there are no equal elements. Let $d_{s+1s+1} = d_{s+2s+2}$. Then $d_{s+2s+2} \neq 2d_{ii}$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from $a_{is+2}(2d_{ii} - d_{s+2s+2}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{is+2} = 0$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$ i.e., the $(s+2)$ -th column of matrix A is zero. This is a contradiction to $\text{rank} A = n-1$.

Now let $d_{s+1s+1} < d_{s+2s+2} < \dots < d_{jj} = d_{j+1j+1} \leq \dots \leq d_{n-1n-1}$ for some $s+2 \leq j \leq n-2$. Then $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} = 0$ only if $i = j-1$ and therefore $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ implies $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j-1$. Similarly, since $2d_{ii} - d_{j+1j+1} = 0$ only if $i = j-1$ we obtain $a_{ij+1} = 0$ for all $i \neq j-1$. This implies that either columns j and $j+1$ are collinear or at least one of them is zero. However, this contradicts to $\text{rank} A = n-1$. Hence in this case all $d_{s+1s+1}, \dots, d_{nn}$ are distinct.

Also from (6) it follows that $a_{i1} = a_{in} = 0$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Therefore matrix A should be in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2s} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{s1} & a_{s2} & \dots & a_{ss} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{sn} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_{s+1s+2} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-2n-1} & 0 \\ a_{n-11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1n} \\ ba_{1n} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A_3)$$

Hence, for the evolution algebra with matrix in the form (A_3) the derivation d is in the form (D_3) with $d_{11} = \delta$.

Note that in symmetrical case $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$ one can obtain in a similar way that d is in the form (D_3) with $d_{11} = -\delta$. So the statement of Lemma 2.3 is verified. \square

The following lemma completes the description of derivations of evolution algebras with matrices of rank $n-1$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let evolution algebra has a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ in the natural basis e_1, \dots, e_n such that $e_n e_n = 0$ and $\text{rank} A = n-1$. Then derivation d of this evolution algebra is either zero or it is in one of the following forms up to basis permutation:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{n-1n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (D_4)$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{ik} d_{kn} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{d_{nn}}{2^{n-k-1}} & \dots & 0 & d_{k+1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{d_{nn}}{2} & d_{n-1n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & d_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (D_5)$$

where $d_{i+1n} = \frac{a_{in}}{a_{ii+1}} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-i-1}} - 1 \right) d_{nn}$, $a_{ii+1} \neq 0$, $k+1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $d_{k+1n} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. From $e_n e_n = 0$ we obtain $d_{nj} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Now one can see that $\text{spec}(d) = \{d_{11}, \dots, d_{nn}\} \supseteq \{2d_{11}, 2d_{22}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}$.

Let $\lambda \in \text{spec}(d)$ be such that $|\lambda| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |d_{ii}|$.

If $\lambda \in \{d_{11}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\}$ then $2\lambda \in \text{spec}(d)$ which yields $\lambda = 0$. Therefore, in this case we obtain $d_{11} = \dots = d_{nn} = 0$ and $d(e_i) = d_{in}e_n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then from

(2) it follows that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}d_{jn}e_n = d(e_i e_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. The last one implies

that vector $(d_{1n}, \dots, d_{n-1n}, 0)$ is a solution of homogeneous linear system of equations $Ax = 0$. Observe that if the first $n-1$ columns are linearly independent then $d = 0$.

In order to $d \neq 0$ we consider the matrices with first $n-1$ columns linearly dependent. Denote the form of this matrices by (A_4) .

So in this case d is in the form (D_4) .

Now if $\lambda \notin \{d_{11}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}\}$ then $\lambda = d_{nn}$ and we can assume that $d_{nn} \neq 0$. Consider the possible non-zero values of $|d_{11}|, \dots, |d_{n-1n-1}|$ and let them be $d_1 < \dots < d_p$. Since $\text{spec}(d) \supseteq \{2d_{11}, \dots, 2d_{n-1n-1}\}$ one obtains that $2d_1, \dots, 2d_p \in \{d_1, \dots, d_p, |d_{nn}|\}$. Since this values are non-zero, we deduce $|d_{nn}| = 2d_p$, $d_p = 2d_{p-1}, \dots, d_2 = 2d_1$. Observe that there can be only one eigenvalue $\frac{1}{2}d_{nn}$ with module d_p . Indeed, if for some $i < n$ we have $|d_{ii}| = d_p$, $d_{ii} \neq d_{nn}$ we obtain $\text{spec}(d) \ni 2d_{ii} \neq d_{nn}$ and $|2d_{ii}| = |d_{nn}|$. Therefore, there exists $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $d_{jj} = 2d_{ii}$. But then $2d_{jj} \in \text{spec}(d)$ and $|2d_{jj}| = 2|d_{nn}| > |d_{nn}|$ which is a contradiction. Similarly, since there is only one eigenvalue with module $\frac{1}{2}d_{nn}$ one obtains that there is only one eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}d_{nn}$ of module d_{p-1} and etc.

Hence, $\text{spec}(d) = \{\frac{d_{nn}}{2^p}, \dots, \frac{d_{nn}}{2}, d_{nn}\}$ or $\text{spec}(d) = \{0, \frac{d_{nn}}{2^p}, \dots, \frac{d_{nn}}{2}, d_{nn}\}$. Now making appropriate basis permutation we can assume that $|d_{11}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{n-1n-1}| < |d_{nn}|$.

From (2) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}d_{jj}e_j + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}d_{jn})e_n = d(e_i e_i) = 2d_{ii}(e_i e_i) = 2d_{ii} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j,$$

which implies $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}d_{jn} = 2d_{ii}a_{in}$ and $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$.

Assume that there are k zeros among $d_{11}, \dots, d_{n-1n-1}$. Then $0 = d_{11} = \dots = d_{kk} < |d_{k+1k+1}| \leq \dots \leq |d_{n-1n-1}| < |d_{nn}|$. If $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n-1$ then $d_{ii} = 0$, $d_{jj} \neq 0$ and from $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ it follows that $a_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k+1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Analogously, if $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$ then $d_{jj} = 0$, $d_{ii} \neq 0$ and from $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Since $d_{k+1k+1} \neq 2d_{ii}$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from $a_{ik+1}(2d_{ii} - d_{k+1k+1}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{ik+1} = 0$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, i.e., the $(k+1)$ -th column of matrix A is zero.

Now we will show that among $d_{k+1k+1}, \dots, d_{nn}$ there are no equal elements. Let $d_{k+1k+1} = d_{k+2k+2}$. Then $d_{k+2k+2} \neq 2d_{ii}$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$, from $a_{ik+2}(2d_{ii} - d_{k+2k+2}) = 0$ we obtain $a_{ik+2} = 0$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$ i.e., the $(k+2)$ -th column of matrix A is zero. This is a contradiction to $\text{rank}A = n-1$.

Now let $|d_{k+1k+1}| < |d_{k+2k+2}| < \dots < |d_{jj}| = |d_{j+1j+1}| \leq \dots < |d_{nn}|$ for some $k+2 \leq j \leq n-2$. Then $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} = 0$ only if $i = j-1$ and therefore $a_{ij}(2d_{ii} - d_{jj}) = 0$ implies $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j-1$. Similarly, since $2d_{ii} - d_{j+1j+1} = 0$ only if $i = j-1$ we obtain $a_{ij+1} = 0$ for all $i \neq j-1$. This implies that either columns j and $j+1$ are collinear or at least one of them is zero. However, this contradicts to $\text{rank}A = n-1$. Hence in this case all $d_{k+1k+1}, \dots, d_{nn}$ are distinct and $d_{ii} = \frac{d_{nn}}{2^{n-i}}$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Now if $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ we have $2d_{ii} - d_{jj} = 0$ if and only if $j = i+1$ and hence $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i+1$. Therefore, matrix A should be in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kk} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{kn} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & a_{k+1k+2} & \dots & 0 & a_{k+1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-2n-1} & a_{n-2n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (A_5)$$

Denote by $A_k = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ the $k \times k$ submatrix of matrix A .

Since $\text{rank}A = n-1$ we obtain $\det A_k \cdot a_{k+1k+2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n-1n} \neq 0$.

Now $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}d_{jn} = 2d_{ii}a_{in}$ implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{1n} \\ \vdots \\ d_{kn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

and $a_{ii+1}d_{i+1n} + a_{in}d_{nn} = 2d_{ii}a_{in}$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $a_{n-1n}d_{nn} = 2d_{n-1n-1}a_{n-1n}$ which is an identity.

Now since $\det A_k \neq 0$ from (7) it follows that $d_{1n} = \dots = d_{kn} = 0$.

For $k+1 \leq i \leq n-2$ we obtain $a_{in}(2d_{ii} - d_{nn}) = a_{ii+1}d_{i+1n}$ which implies

$$d_{i+1n} = \frac{a_{in}}{a_{ii+1}} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-i-1}} - 1 \right) d_{nn}.$$

Hence, derivation d is in the form of (D_5) . \square

As a result of previous lemmas we obtain the following

Theorem 2.5. *Let $d : E \rightarrow E$ be a derivation of n -dimensional evolution algebra E with matrix A in basis $\langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$ such that $\text{rank}A = n-1$. Then the derivation d is either zero or is in one of the forms given in Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3.*

We can conclude that if the matrix of evolution algebra E can be transformed by basis permutation to matrices of the form $(A_1) - (A_5)$, then in this permuted basis the

corresponding derivations are in the form $(D_1) - (D_5)$, respectively. Moreover, if the matrix of evolution algebra E can not be transformed by basis permutation to any of the forms $A_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, then derivation of such algebra is zero.

For all $1 \leq i \leq 5$ denote by E_i an evolution algebra with matrix, that can be transformed by basis permutation to the form A_i .

Then it is easy to see that $\dim Der(E_i) = 2, i \neq 4$ and $\dim Der(E_4) = 1$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let evolution algebra $E_{(k)} (1 \leq k \leq n)$ with natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be such that $e_i e_i = \sum_{j=i}^k a_{ij} e_j, a_{ii} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $e_i e_i = 0$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$. Then in this basis the derivation has the following matrix:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where $D \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. From (1) it follows that $d_{ij}(e_j e_j) + d_{ji}(e_i e_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Now if we take $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$ then $e_i e_i$ and $e_j e_j$ are linearly independent. Hence, we obtain $d_{ij} = d_{ji} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$.

Now if $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq n$ then $e_j e_j = 0$ and hence $d_{ji}(e_i e_i) = 0$. This implies that $d_{ji} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq n$.

From (2) we have $d(e_k e_k) = 2d(e_k) e_k$.

Since $d(e_k e_k) = a_{kk} d(e_k) = a_{kk} \sum_{j=k}^n d_{kj} e_j$ and $2d(e_k) e_k = 2d_{kk}(e_k e_k) = 2d_{kk} a_{kk} e_k$ we obtain $d_{kk} = d_{kk+1} = \dots = d_{kn} = 0$.

Assume that $d(e_{k-j+1}) = \dots = d(e_k) = 0$ for some j .

From (2) we have $d(e_{k-j} e_{k-j}) = 2d(e_{k-j}) e_{k-j}$.

Since $d(e_{k-j} e_{k-j}) = d(\sum_{p=k-j}^k a_{k-jp} e_p) = a_{k-jk-j} d(e_{k-j}) = a_{k-jk-j} \sum_{p=k-j}^n d_{k-jp} e_p$ and

$2d(e_{k-j}) e_{k-j} = 2d_{k-jk-j}(e_{k-j} e_{k-j}) = 2d_{k-jk-j} \sum_{p=k-j}^k a_{k-jp} e_p$ we deduce $d_{k-jk-j} = 0$ and

hence $d_{k-jk-j+1} = \dots = d_{k-jn} = 0$. Therefore, $d(e_{k-j}) = 0$ and we obtain $d(e_1) = \dots = d(e_k) = 0$.

Since for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$ equalities (1) and (2) turn into identities, we obtain that d is in the form (8). \square

Note that $\dim Der(E_{(k)}) = (n-k)^2$.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported in part by the PAICYT, FQM143 of Junta de Andalucía (Spain). The third named author was supported by the grant NATO-Reintegration ref. CBP.EAP.RIG. 983169. The last named author would like to acknowledge ICTP OEA-AC-84 for a given support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Casas J.M., Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A. On evolution algebras. arXiv:1004.1050v1.
- [2] Costa R. On the derivation algebra of gametic algebras for polyploidy with multiple alleles, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. 13, 69–81, 1982.

- [3] Costa R. On the derivation algebra of zygotie algebras for polyploidy with multiple alleles, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. 14, 63–80, 1983.
- [4] Etherington I. M. H. Duplication of linear algebras, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 2, 6, 222–230, 1941.
- [5] Etherington I. M. H. Non-associative algebra and the symbolism of genetics, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 61, 24–42, 1941.
- [6] Glivenkov V. Algebra Mendelienne comptes rendus (Doklady) de l'Acad. des Sci. de l'URSS 4, (13), 385–386, 1936 (in Russian).
- [7] Gonshor H. Derivations in genetic algebras. Comm. Algebra 16 (8), 1525–1542, 1988.
- [8] Holgate P. The interpretation of derivations in genetic algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 85, 75–79, 1987.
- [9] Micali A. , Revoy P. Sur les algèbres gamétiques, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 29 (2), 187–197, 1986.
- [10] Tian J. P. , Vojtechovsky P. Mathematical concept of evolution algebras in non-Mendelian genetics, Quasigroup and related System, 24, 111–122, 2006.
- [11] Tian J. P. Evolution algebras and their applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1921, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, xi+125 p., 2008.

L. M. CAMACHO AND J. R. GÓMEZ, DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA I, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, AVDA. REINA MERCEDES, S/N. 41012, SEVILLA, SPAIN

E-mail address: lcamacho@us.es, jrgomez@us.es

B. A. OMIROV, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, DO'RMON YO'LI STR., 29, 100125, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN.

E-mail address: omirovb@mail.ru

R. M. TURDIBAEV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, VUZGOROGOK, 27, 100174, TASHKENT, UZBEKISTAN.

E-mail address: rustamtm@yahoo.com