

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

e2019-25 | Vol. 51

Description of *Geocenamus vietnamensis* sp. n. (Nematoda: Merliniidae) from Vietnam

Huu Tien Nguyen^{1,2}, Thi Mai Linh Le^{1,2}, Thi Duyen Nguyen^{1,2}, Gracia Liebanas³, Thi Anh Duong Nguyen^{1,2,3} and Quang Phap Trinh^{1,2,*}

¹Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Vietnam Academy of Sciences and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, 100000, Hanoi, Vietnam.

²Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Sciences and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, 100000, Hanoi, Vietnam.

³Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, Campus 'Las Lagunillas' s/n, 23071, Jaén, Spain.

*E-mail: tqphap@gmail.com

This paper was edited by Zafar Ahmad Handoo.

Received for publication December 4, 2018.

Abstract

A new species of the genus Geocenamus was isolated from soil and root samples from the rhizosphere of Casuarina equisetifolia (Casuarinaceae) in Quang Nam province, Vietnam. This species is characterized by a round-to-hexagonal labial disc, the presence of a labial region, which is continuous or slightly offset from the body with six sectors, lateral sectors of first labial annulus being smaller than the submedian sectors, the presence of six to seven labial annules; the absence of deirids; stylet length 24 to 28 µm long, body length 776 to 979 µm long; lateral field with six to eight lateral lines, without areolation at mid-body and with areolation in outer bands at the tail region and a pointed tail terminus. Geocenamus vietnamensis n. sp. most closely resembles G. boghiae in having a non-sclerotized head framework and lacking a bursa in the males. It can be clearly distinguished from all other species of the genus Geocenamus by these characteristics. The combination of morphology, morphometric features, and phylogenetic trees, based on D2-D3 of 28S and ITS rDNA sequences, showed that this new species can be clearly separated from all other sequenced species. This record is the first for Geocenamus in Vietnam.

Key words

Morphology, Molecular, New species, SEM, Taxonomy, D2–D3, ITS, 28S, *Casuarina equisetifolia*, Casuarinaceae, *Amomum aromaticum*, Zingiberaceae.

The genus Geocenamus was proposed by Thorne and Malek (1968), with G. tenuidens (Thorne and Malek, 1968) as its type species. After that Fortuner and Luc (1987) and Maggenti et al. (1988) placed the genus Geocenamus in the subfamily Belonolaiminae (Whitehead, 1959). The classifications presented by Siddigi (2000) distinguished the following five genera within the subfamily Merliniinae: Geocenamus, Nagelus, Merlinius (Siddigi, 1970), Scutylenchus, and Amplimerlinius (Siddigi, 1976). However, Geraert (2011) retained only the genera Amplimerlinius, Geocenamus, and Nagelus in subfamily Merliniinae of the family Dolichodoridae. Remarkably, the main morphological characters that distinguish Scutylenchus from other genera in the subfamily Merliniinae are the longitudinal striation of the cuticle and the absence of deirids (Siddiqi, 2000). Deirids are also absent in Geocenamus species, but they are obviously present in all other members of the family Merliniidae. Handoo

et al. (2007) considered the number of lateral lines as one of the most important characters in identifying these genera that are ranging from three to six lines.

Based on the observations from two studies, Sturhan (2011, 2012) concluded that the absence of longitudinal cuticular striae along the entire body appears to be the only essential character that distinguishes *Geocenamus* from *Scutylenchus*. However, this character has not been considered in classifications of *Tylenchorhynchus* and *Rotylenchus* species (Sturhan, 2012). Therefore, Sturhan (2012) agreed with Brzeski (1991) in synonymizing *Scutylenchus* with the "senior" genus *Geocenamus*, but he considered *Merlinius* as a valid genus.

Following the classification of Sturhan (2012), this study provides morphological and molecular characterizations of a new species of the genus *Geocenamus* in Vietnam.

^{© 2019} Authors. This is an Open Access article licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Materials and methods

Nematode population sampling

Soil and root samples were collected from the rhizosphere of *Casuarina equisetifolia* (Casuarinaceae) in Quang Nam province in May 2017. Nematodes were extracted from the soil and the roots by the method described by Nguyen (2003).

Morphological studies

Light microscopy

For morphometric and morphological examination, the extracted nematodes were killed by heat, fixed in a TAF solution, processed to anhydrous glycerol, following Seinhorst (1959), and mounted on permanent glass slides. Nematodes on permanent slides were observed through a Carl Zeiss Axio Lab. A1 light microscope. Measurements and pictures were taken using the ZEN lite software on ZEISS Axiocam ERc5s digital camera. Raw photographs were edited with Adobe Illustrator CS 3.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

After examination and identification, good specimens were selected for SEM imaging, following the protocol of Abolafia (2015). The nematodes were hydrated in distilled water, dehydrated in a graded ethanol and acetone series, critical point dried, coated with gold, and observed with a Zeiss Merlin Scanning Electron Microscope.

Molecular studies

DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing

DNA was extracted from a single individual nematode, following Waeyenberge et al. (2000). The nematode was transferred to a 0.5 ml Eppendorf tube, containing 18µl of Worm Lysis Buffer (50mM KCL, 10mM Tris pH 8.3, 2.5 mMMgCl2, 0.45% NP 40, and 0.45% Tween 20) and 2 µl of proteinase K (600 mg ml⁻¹) (Thermo Scientific). The tubes were incubated at 65°C (1 hr) and then at 95°C (15 min). PCR and sequencing protocols are described in detail by De Ley et al. (1999). Primers for D2-D3 of 28S rDNA amplification were D2A (59-ACAAGTACCGTGGGGGAAAGTTG-39) and D3B (59-TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-39) (Subbotin et al., 2006). Primers for ITS rDNA amplification were modified from Vrain et al. (1992): VRAIN 2F (59-CT-TTGTACACACCGCCCGTCGCT-39) and VRAIN 2R (59-TTTCACTCGCCGTTACTAAGGGAATC-39).

Phylogenetic analyses

The BLAST homology search program was used to search for closely related species on GenBank. The sequence data set was aligned with the ClustalW software (Thompson et al., 1994). Sequence alignments were manually edited using ChromasPro software (ChromasPro 1.7.4; Technelysium Pty Ltd, Tewantin, QLD, Australia). The sequence data set was analyzed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method in the MEGA 6 program (Tamura et al., 2013). The best fit model for DNA evolution was obtained using the Modeltest in MEGA 6. The model TN93 + G was chosen for D2-D3 of 28S rDNA data set and model GTR + G was chosen for ITS rDNA data set; 1,000 bootstrap replications were executed. Outgroup taxa were chosen according to the results of previously published data (Subbotin et al., 2006; Ghaderi et al., 2014). The trees were visualized in FigTree v1.4.0.

Results

Geocenamus vietnamensis n. sp. (Figs. 1–6, Table 1).

Description

Female

Their body is ventrally curved after fixation, tapered at both ends. The lateral field is present with 6 to 8 lines without areolation at mid-body, about 6.0 µm wide (Figs. 1F, 2K, 3D). Body annuli are distinct, 1.2 ± 0.1 µm wide, and they are divided into blocks. The longitudinal striation is conspicuous with 18 to 20 lines at the ventral side near vulva (Fig. 3D). The labial region bears 6 to 7 annuli without longitudinal striae, not offset or very slightly offset by a shallow depression. A projected, round-to-hexagonal oral disc is present. First labial annulus is divided into six sectors; the lateral sectors are much smaller than the other four. Lateral sectors of first annulus are present with oval amphidial apertures (Fig. 3C). The head framework is not sclerotized. A well-developed stylet is present with elongated conical part and sloping and rounded knobs (Figs. 1C,E, 2B,E). The dorsal gland orifice is located 2.6 to 3.1 µm posterior to stylet knobs. An oval median bulb and a saccate terminal bulb, not overlapping intestine, are present. Secretory-excretory pore is located at the level of the nerve ring, 86 to 102 µm from the anterior end; hemizonid is located anterior to the secretory-excretory pore; deirid is absent. Vulva is a transverse slit, sunken or flush to

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 1: *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. (♀). (A): Entire body; (C): Pharyngeal region; €: Head region; (F): Lateral field at mid-body; (G): Vulva region with posterior gonad; (H): tail region; (K): Vulva region. (♂). (B): Entire body; (D): Pharyngeal region; (I): Tail region; (L): Cloacal region showing spicule and gubernaculum.



Figure 2: *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. (Light microscope). (A): Entire body of female and male (female in the left side and male in the right side); (B): Head region of the female; (C): Head region of the male; (D): Vulva region with spermatheca at arrow position; (E): Female pharyngeal region; (F): Spicule and Gubernaculum; (G): Phasmid in the tail of the male; (H): Vulva region; (I): Tail of the male; (J): Phasmid in the tail of the female; (K): Lateral field; (L): Tail of the female.

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 3: *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. (SEM ♀). (A): Labial region; (B): Head region; (C): Enface view with amphid orifice (ap) at arrow position; (D): Vulva region with lateral field at arrow position; €: Secretory–excretory pore (ep); (F): Vulva with epyptigma (ept) at arrow position; (G): anus position (a) and phasmid position (ph); (H): phasmid position; (I): Tail region; (J): Tail tip.



Figure 4: *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. (SEM ơ). (A): Labial region; (B): Head region; (C): Enface view with amphid orifice (ap) at arrow position; (D): Lateral field; (E): Secretory–excretory pore; (F): Tail region with spicule (sp); (G): Tail tip; (H): Side view of tail with spicule (sp) and phasmid (ph) positions; (I): Cloacal region; (I): Cloaca with hypotigma; (J): Phasmid position.



Figure 5: Phylogenetic tree generated from D2–D3 of 28S rDNA sequences based on ML method (TN93 + G model) with 1,000 replications.

body surface; epyptigma is double; vaginal length is 30% of the body diameter, moderately sclerotized; spermatheca is rounded, with rounded sperm cells; ovaries are outstretched with a single row of oocytes. The tail is conical, annulated with hyaline, and the tail tip is pointed; 58 to 73 tail annuli are present on the ventral side (Figs. 1H, 2L, 3I,J). Phasmid is slightly enlarged, at about one-fourth of the tail length. The lateral field ends before the hyaline part of the tail tip.

Male

Males are similar to females but they are more ventrally arcuate (Figs. 1B, 2A). The male reproductive apparatus is present with slightly bent spicules and a curved gubernaculum; cloacal lips are protuberant. Two posterior hypoptygmata are well developed, with equal lengths (Fig. 4I). Bursa is absent. Phasmid is located 22 to $28 \,\mu\text{m}$ posterior to the cloacal aperture. The tail is conical, annulated with hyaline, and the tail tip is pointed (Figs. 1I, 2I, 4F,G,H).

Molecular characteristics

D2–D3 of 28S rDNA

The alignment of D2–D3 of 28S rDNA sequences contained 31 sequences including the sequences from two outgroup taxa (*Psilenchus* spp. and *Rotylenchulus*

Description of Geocenamus vietnamensis sp. n. (Nematoda: Merliniidae) from Vietnam



Figure 6: Phylogenetic tree generated from ITS rDNA sequences based on ML method (GTR + G model) with 1,000 replications.

macrosoma). The length of alignment was 602 bp. The D2-D3 of 28S rDNA sequence of Geocenamus vietnamensis n. sp. is 91 to 93% similar to those of Merlinius spp. (KP313847, KT213560, KJ585417), Scutylenchus spp. (KT213558, KP313854, KP313851, KJ585422), and Geocenamus sp. (KY560459). The variations between the D2-D3 of 28S rDNA sequence of G. vietnamensis n. sp. and other studied species were 10% (70 bp) compared to the sequence of Geocenamus sp. (KY560459), 10 to 13% (68-91 bp), 10 to 11% (68-78 bp) compared to the sequences of Merlinius spp., 10 to 12% (69-82 bp) compared to the sequences of Scutylenchus spp., 11 to 12% (77-79 bp) compared to the sequences of *Nagelus* spp., and 12 to 13% (81–91 bp) compared to the sequences of Pratylenchoides spp. The variations were 26 to 28% (186–196 bp) compared to two outgroup taxa (Psilenchus and Rotylenchulus).

The phylogenetic relationships between *G. vietnamensis* n. sp. and other species, based on the maximum likelihood method (GTR+G model), were presented in Figure 5. The D2–D3 of 28S rDNA sequence of *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. has a sister relationship with *Geocenamus* sp. (KY560459), *Scutylenchus* spp. (KT213558, KP313854, KP313851, KJ585422), and *Merlinius* spp. (KP313847, KT213560, KJ585417). Together they form a group with 98% bootstrap support.

ITS rDNA

The ITS rDNA sequence alignment contained 27 sequences including the sequences from *Rotylenchulus* spp. (outgroup taxon). The length of the alignment was 897 bp. The ITS rDNA sequence of *Geocenamus* Table 1. Morphometric data of *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. from fixed specimens. All measurements are in μ m (except for ratio) and in the form: mean ± s.d. (range).

			Paratypes	
Geocenamus measurement	Holotype female	Allotype male	Female (<i>n</i> = 18)	Male (<i>n</i> = 15)
Body length (L)	877	712	875±64 (776–979)	706±35 (631–754)
Lip region height	3.1	3.6	3.6±0.5 (3.1–4.2)	3.4±0.3 (3.1–3.6)
Lip region width	6.2	5.7	6.5±0.7 (5.2–7.3)	6.1±0.5 (5.2–6.8)
Stylet cone	18.7	15.6	15.7±1.4 (13.5–18.7)	15.1±1.4 (13–17.7)
Stylet shaft	9.4	8.3	10.4±0.5 (9.4–11.4)	8.8±1.6 (5.7–10.9)
Stylet length	28	24	26±1 (24-28)	24±1 (23–26)
Stylet knob height	2.1	1.6	2.0±0.4 (1.6–2.6)	1.9±0.4 (1.6–2.6)
Stylet knob width	4.2	3.1	3.5±0.8 (1.6–4.2)	3.6±0.2 (3.12–3.6)
Stylet base to dorsal gland orifice (DGO)	3.1	3.12	2.9±0.3 (2.6–3.1)	3.0±0.3 (2.6–3.6)
Body width at stylet base	16.6	11.9	16.7±2.8 (13.0–20.8)	13.7±1.0 (11.9–15.6)
Maximum body diameter (MBD)	31	22	29±3 (25–34)	22±1 (22–25)
Body width at vulva	22		27±3 (22–34)	
Body width at anus	18.7	13	19.1±2.4 (15.6–23.9)	16.1±2.6 (13–21.8)
Anterior end to the end of pharynx	152	125	138±9 (124–152)	122±7 (114–135)
Anterior end to the end of median bulb	89	73	81±8 (74–99)	72±5 (67–81)
Anterior end to median valve	78	65	72±7 (66–88)	63±5 (57–71)
Median bulb width	10.9	9.8	11.0±0.7 (10.4–12.5)	9.6±1.2 (6.8–10.4)
Median bulb length	15.6	15.6	17.0±1.6 (14.6–18.7)	15.5±1.2 (13.5–17.7)
Anterior end to nerve ring	86	86	90±6 (83–104)	83±8 (74–99)
Anterior end to secretory-excretory pore	94	89	94±5 (86–102)	86±6 (76–97)
Isthmus length	39	31	32±4 (26–39)	30±2 (26–31)
Basal bulb length	27	21	25±2 (23–28)	22±2 (19–25)
Tail length	86	75	104±14 (85–125)	84±9 (68–96)
Spicule length		21		20±1 (19-22)
Gubernaculum length		5.2		6.5±1.0 (5.2–7.8)
Anus to phasmid	23	17.7	23±3 (18.7–26)	18.6±2.6 (13.5–23)
Tail annuli (ventral)	61	48	64±5 (58–73)	59±6 (48–65)
a=L/MBD	28	33	30±3 (25–34)	32±1 (29–33)
b' = L/distance from anterior end to pharyngo–intestinal valve	5.8	5.7	6.4±0.5 (5.8–7.2)	5.8±0.2 (5.5-6.2)
c=L/Tail length	10.2	9.5	8.5±0.8 (7.3–10.2)	8.5±0.7 (9.3–9.5)
c'=Tail length/ABD	4.6	5.8	5.5±0.7 (4.3–6.5)	5.3±0.7 (4.3–6.3)
V=Anterior end to vulva/L ×100	50		49±2 (47–52)	
M=stylet conus length/stylet length ×100	67	65	60±3 (56–67)	62±4 (57–69)
MB = % distance from anterior end to median bulb in relation to the length of pharynx	51	52	52±3 (49–59)	51±1 (50–53)
O = % distance of dorsal pharyngeal gland opening from stylet knobs in relation to the stylet length	11.1	13.0	11.0±1.3 (9.6–13.0)	12.5±1.3 (10.2–14.3)
Phasmid % tail	27	24	22±2 (18.3–27)	22±3 (17–28)

vietnamensis n. sp. is 88 to 91% similar to those of Geocenamus sp (KY560464, KY560463), Scutylenchus sp (JQ069956), Helicotylenchus digonicus (GQ906353, GQ906351), and Pratylenchoides spp. (KY424249, KY468918). The sequence variations between the ITS rDNA sequence of *G. vietnamensis* n. sp. and other species varied from 6 to 10% (63–99 bp) compared to Geocenamus sp. (KY560464, KY560463), 6% (58 bp) compared to *Scutylenchus* sp. (JQ069956), 6% (61 bp) compared to *Helicotylenchus* digonicus (GQ906353, GQ906351), 85 to 86% compared to *Pratylenchoides* spp. (KY424249, KY468918), and 30 to 35% (264-318 bp) compared to outgroup taxa (KT003781, KT003785, KT003806).

The phylogenetic relationships between the ITS rDNA sequence of *Geocenamus vietnamensis* n. sp. and other species, based on maximum likelihood (TN92+G model), are presented in Figure 6. The ITS rDNA sequence of *G. vietnamensis* n. sp. has a sister relationship with the sequences of *Geocenamus* sp. (KY560464, KY560463), *Scutylenchus* sp (JQ069956), *Helicotylenchus digonicus* (GQ906353, GQ906351), and *Pratylenchoides* spp. (KY424249, KY468918). They were placed together in a clade with maximal bootstrap support (100%).

Diagnosis

Geocenamus vietnamensis n. sp. is characterized by a long stylet, a slightly offset labial region, a non-sclerotized head framework, a labial region bearing 6 to 7 annuli without striation, body annuli divided into blocks, a conical and pointed tail with annulated, hyaline tip, and the absence of a bursa in the males.

Relationships

Among Geocenamus spp., G. vietnamensis n. sp. is most similar to G. boghiae (Choi and Geraert, 1993) in having a non-sclerotized head framework and the males without a bursa. They can be differentiated from all other Geocenamus spp. by these characteristics. However, this new species differs from G. boghiae in having a shorter stylet (24-28 vs 48-54 µm and 22.9-25.5 vs $45-52\,\mu\text{m}$ in females and males, respectively), a shorter body length in males (631–754 vs 750–900 μ m), a shorter length of the anterior end to the end of pharynx (124-152 vs 162-185 µm and 114-135 vs 134-167 µm in females and males, respectively), a longer female tail $(85-125 \text{ vs } 52-69 \mu \text{m})$, a shorter spicule length (18.7-22 vs 22.35 µm), a shorter gubernaculum length (5.2-7.8 vs 9–11 μ m), a more anterior vulva (47–52 vs 50–57), a smaller c value (7.3-10.2 vs 14.2-18.3 and 9.3-9.5 vs 10.9-15.5 in females and males, respectively), and a larger c value (4.3–6.5 vs 2–3.2 and 4.3–6.3 vs 2.7–3.8 in females and males, respectively).

Type host and locality

One holotype female, one allotype male and 33 paratypes (18 females and 15 males) from a population were extracted from the rhizosphere of *Casuarina equisetifolia* (Australian pine tree) (Casuarinaceae) in Quang Nam Province, Vietnam (15°56′56″ N, 108°30′36″ E).

Etymology

The specific epithet is derived from Vietnam, the country where the species was found.

Type material

Holotype and paratypes were deposited in the Nematode Collection of the Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources (IEBR), Vietnamese Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, Hanoi, Vietnam, and 10 female paratypes were deposited in the nematode collection of the Zoology Museum, Ghent University, K. L. Ledeganckstraat 35, Ghent, Belgium. The D2–D3 and ITS sequences were deposited in GenBank with accession numbers MH191361 and MH191362, respectively.

Discussion

In terms of morphology, this new species possesses a relatively long stylet compared to other plant-parasitic nematode groups, a slightly offset labial region bearing 6 to 7 annuli without striation, a non-sclerotized head framework, a body cuticle with 26 to 28 longitudinal striae (excluding lateral lines), 6 to 8 lateral lines at lateral field, a conical and pointed tail with annulated tip, and the absence of a bursa in the male. These characteristics clearly indicate that this species belongs to the subfamily Merliniinae. According to Siddigi (2000) and Sturhan (2011), this species should belong to the genus Scutylenchus due to the appearance of tessellated cuticle and the absence of deirids. However, by considering the inconsistency in the appearance of longitudinal striae in Rotylenchus and Tylenchorhynchus, Sturhan (2012) agreed with Brzeski (1991) to synonymize Scutylenchus with Geocenamus. Interestingly, although the classification of Geraert (2011) is in agreement with Sturhan (2012), he considered the absence of radial grooves on the labial region as the key feature to define the genus Nagelus in the subfamily Merliniinae. It is a bit confusing in classifying our species due to the absence

of radial grooves on the labial region, but this species can be clearly excluded from *Nagelus* because of the absence of deirids. In conclusion, we placed this new species in the genus *Geocenamus* according to the most recent classification.

In both D2–D3 of 28S and ITS rDNA trees, our new species always shows a very close relationship with species of *Scutylenchus* and *Geocenamus*, and a more distant relationship with *Nagelus*. In this study, the sister relationship of the genera *Merlinius*, *Scutylenchus*, and *Geocenamus* in the ITS rDNA tree strongly supports the recent classification in the subfamily Merliniinae. However, the number of D2–D3 of 28S and ITS rDNA sequences in GenBank for Merliniinae, as well as the genus *Geocenamus*, are very limited. More molecular data are needed to further resolve relationships in Merliniinae.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the assistance of Research Technical Services of University of Jaén (Spain) for the scanning electron microscopy study. This research was supported by the National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) projects (codes: 106– NN.03– 2013.56, 106. 05–2017.330) and the project code: 03/2017-DTDL.XH-02/16.

References

Abolafia, J. 2015. A low-cost technique to manufacture a container to process meiofauna for scanning electron microscopy. Microscopy Research and Technique 78:771–776.

Brzeski, M. W. 1991. Taxonomy of *Geocenamus* Thorne & Malek, 1968 (Nematoda: Belonolaimidae). Nematologica 37:125–173.

Choi, Y. E. and Geraert, E. 1993. Nematodes associated with forest trees in Korea. II: three new and one described species of *Geocenamus* with a note on the en face view in the genus. Nematologica 39:431–449.

De Ley, P., Felix, M., Frisse, L. M., Nadler, S. A., Sternberg, P. W. and Thomas, W. K. 1999. Molecular and morphological characterisation of two reproductively isolated species with mirror-image anatomy (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). Nematology 1:591–612.

Fortuner, R. and Luc, M. 1987. A reappraisal of Tylenchina (Nemata). 6. The family Belonolaimidae Whitehead, 1960. Revue de Nématologie 10:183–202.

Geraert, E. 2011. The Dolichodoridae of the world: identification of the family Dolichodoridae (Nematoda: Tylenchida), Academia Press, Gent: 520.

Ghaderi, R., Karegar, A., Niknam, G. and Subbotin, S. A. 2014. Phylogenetic relationships of Telotylenchidae Siddiqi, 1960 and Merliniidae Siddiqi, 1971 (Nematoda: Tylenchida) from Iran, as inferred from the analysis of the D2–D3 expansion fragments of 28S rRNA gene sequences. Nematology 16:863–877.

Handoo, Z. A., Khan, A. and Islam, S. 2007. A key and diagnostic compendium to the species of the genus *Merlinius* Siddiqi, 1970 (Nematoda: Tylenchida) with description of Merlinius khuzdarensis n. sp. associated with date palm. Nematology 9(2):251–260.

Maggenti, A. R., Luc, M., Raski, D. J., Fortuner, R. and Geraert, E. 1988. A reappraisal of Tylenchina (Nemata). 11. List of generic and supra-generic taxa, with their junior synonyms. Revue de Nematologie 11:177–188.

Nguyen, N. C. 2003. Plant Parasitic nematodes and their control facilities, Science and Technology Pub, Hanoi: 302.

Seinhorst, J. W. 1959. On the killing, fixation and transferring to glycerin of nematodes. Nematology 8:29–32.

Siddiqi, M. R. 1970. On the plant-parasitic nematode genera *Merlinius* gen. n. and *Tylenchorhynchus* Cobb and the classification of the families Dolichodoridae and Belonolaimidae n. rank. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington, 37:68–77.

Siddiqi, M. R. 1976. New plant nematode genera *Plesiodorus* (Dolichodorinae), *Meiodorus* (Meiodorinae subfam. n.), *Amplimerlinius* (Merliniinae) and *Gracilancea* (Tylodoridae grad. n.). Nematologica 22(4):390–416.

Siddiqi, M. R. 2000. Tylenchida parasites of plants and insects, 2nd ed., CABI Publishing, Oxon: 864.

Sturhan, D. 2011. On lateral fields and deirids in Merliniinae (Telotylenchidae) and the genus *Pratylenchoides* (Pratylenchidae). Journal of Nematode Morphology and Systematics 14:179–182.

Sturhan, D. 2012. Contribution to a revision of the family Merliniidae Ryss, 1998, with proposal of Pratylenchoidinae subfam. n., *Paramerlinius* gen. n., *Macrotylenchus* gen. n. and description of *M. hylophilus* sp. n. (Tylenchida). Journal of Nematode Morphology and Systematics 15:127–147.

Subbotin, S. A., Sturhan, D., Chizhov, V. N., Vovlas, N. and Baldwin, J. G. 2006. Phylogenetic analysis of Tylenchida Thorne, 1949 as inferred from D2 and D3 expansion fragments of the 28S rRNA gene sequences. Nematology 8:455–474.

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A. and Kumar, S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Carrots Biology and Evolution 30:2725–2729.

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. and Gibson, T. J. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research 22:4673–4680.

Thorne, G. and Malek, R. B. 1968. Nematodes of the northern great plains. part I. Tylenchida (Nemata: Secernentea). Technical Bulletin of South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, 111pp. Vrain, T. C., Wakarchuk, D. A., Levesque, A. C. and Hamilton, R. I. 1992. Intraspecific rDNA restriction fragment length polymorphism in the Xiphinema americanum group. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 15:563–573.

Waeyenberge, L., Ryss, A., Moens, M., Pinochet, J. and Vrain, T. C. 2000. Molecular characterisation of 18

Pratylenchus species using rDNA restriction fragment length polymorphism. Nematology 2(2):135–142.

Whitehead, A. G. 1959. Trichotylenchus falciformis ng, n. sp., (Belonolaiminae n. subfam.: Tylenchida Thorne, 1949) an associate of grass roots (Hyparrhenia sp.) in Southern Tanganyika. Nematologica 4(4):279–285.