

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN



Non-neurologic adverse events after complex adult spinal deformity surgery

results from the prospective, multicenter Scoli-RISK-1 study

Kwan, Kenny Yat Hong; Bow, Cora; Samartzis, Dino; Lenke, Lawrence G; Shaffrey, Christopher I; Carreon, Leah Y; Dahl, Benny T; Fehlings, Michael G; Ames, Christopher P; Boachie-Adjei, Oheneba; Dekutoski, Mark B; Kebaish, Khaled M; Lewis, Stephen J; Matsuyama, Yukihiro; Mehdian, Hossein; Pellisé, Ferran; Qiu, Yong; Schwab, Frank J; Cheung, Kenneth Man Chee

Published in: **European Spine Journal**

DOI: 10.1007/s00586-018-5790-y

Publication date: 2019

Document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license: CC BY

Citation for published version (APA): Kwan, K. Y. H., Bow, C., Samartzis, D., Lenke, L. G., Shaffrey, C. I., Carreon, L. Y., ... Cheung, K. M. C. (2019). Non-neurologic adverse events after complex adult spinal deformity surgery: results from the prospective, multicenter Scoli-RISK-1 study. European Spine Journal, 28(1), 170-179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-018-5790-y

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Non-neurologic adverse events after complex adult spinal deformity surgery: results from the prospective, multicenter Scoli-RISK-1 study

Kenny Yat Hong Kwan¹ · Cora Bow¹ · Dino Samartzis² · Lawrence G. Lenke³ · Christopher I. Shaffrey⁴ · Leah Y. Carreon⁵ · Benny T. Dahl^{6,7} · Michael G. Fehlings⁸ · Christopher P. Ames⁹ · Oheneba Boachie-Adjei¹⁰ · Mark B. Dekutoski¹¹ · Khaled M. Kebaish¹² · Stephen J. Lewis⁸ · Yukihiro Matsuyama¹³ · Hossein Mehdian¹⁴ · Ferran Pellisé¹⁵ · Yong Qiu¹⁶ · Frank J. Schwab¹⁷ · Kenneth Man Chee Cheung¹

Received: 22 April 2018 / Revised: 16 September 2018 / Accepted: 4 October 2018 / Published online: 16 October 2018 © The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Purpose Accurate information regarding the expected complications of complex adult spinal deformity (ASD) is important for shared decision making and informed consent. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the rate and types of non-neurologic adverse events after complex ASD surgeries, and to identify risk factors that affect their occurrence.

Methods The details and occurrence of all non-neurologic adverse events were reviewed in a prospective cohort of 272 patients after complex ASD surgical correction in a mulitcentre database of the Scoli-RISK-1 study with a planned follow-up of 2 years. Logistic regression analyses were used to identify potential risk factors for non-neurologic adverse events.

Results Of the 272 patients, 184 experienced a total of 515 non-neurologic adverse events for an incidence of 67.6%. 121 (44.5%) patients suffered from more than one adverse event. The most frequent non-neurologic adverse events were surgically related (27.6%), of which implant failure and dural tear were most common. In the unadjusted analyses, significant factors for non-neurologic adverse events were age, previous spine surgery performed, number of documented non-neurologic comorbidities and ASA grade. On multivariable logistic regression analysis, previous spine surgery was the only independent risk factor for non-neurologic adverse events.

Conclusions The incidence of non-neurologic adverse events for patients undergoing corrective surgeries for ASD was 67.6%. Previous spinal surgery was the only independent risk factor predicting the occurrence of non-neurologic adverse events. These findings complement the earlier report of neurologic complications after ASD surgeries from the Scoli-RISK-1 study.

Kenny Yat Hong Kwan and Cora Bow have contributed equally to this work.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-018-5790-y) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Graphical abstract These slides can be retrieved under Electronic Supplementary Material.





Introduction

Surgery for adult spinal deformity (ASD) has increased in magnitude and complexity, and often deformities require three-column osteotomies (3COs) such as pedicle substraction osteotomy (PSO) or vertebral column resection (VCR) [1–7]. While operative management is known to be associated with greater quality-adjusted life years than non-operative treatment [8], complication rates of up to 50% have been reported in this patient population [1, 2, 7, 9–15]. Apart from one recent study [14], most of the data came from retrospective cohorts with poorly defined inclusion criteria and lacked validated assessment systems. As a result, they are subject to biases that may lead to underestimation of the actual risks involved.

The Scoli-RISK-1 study was the first prospective, multicenter, international study to address a complete risk profile after ASD surgeries. The postoperative neurologic data have been reported [16], which showed a new neurologic deficit rate of more than 20% after complex ASD surgeries. This is much higher than the previously reported rates which ranged from 1 to 10% [1, 4, 9–11, 17–21] and has been attributed to the prospective nature of this study with rigorous assessment and documentation required from each participating site. However, ASD surgeries are also associated with non-neurologic adverse events [6, 9, 15, 19, 20, 22–25]. Knowledge of the true incidence, types and risk factors for non-neurologic adverse events after ASD surgeries is integral for a complete risk profile assessment, preoperative counseling process and establishing future preventative measures.

To address the aforementioned limitations, the aim of this study was to report the rate and types of non-neurologic adverse events after surgical intervention, and to identify risk factors that affect their occurrence in patients with complex ASD who represented the Scoli-RISK-1 cohort.

Materials and methods

Study design and subjects

This was a prospective, multicenter study that recruited patients from fifteen investigational sites in North America (nine), Europe (three) and Asia (three) with 2-year followup as part of the Scoli-RISK-1 initiative. Key inclusion criteria were as follows: ages between 18 and 80 years at the time of surgery, a major Cobb angle of $\geq 80^{\circ}$ in the coronal and/or sagittal planes, presence of preoperative myelopathy, ossification of ligamentum flavum (OLF) or ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) with deformity, and patients who had undergone corrective osteotomy or three-column osteotomy. Patients with a recent history of substance dependency or psychosocial disturbance, spinal trauma or injury, malignancy, and those who are pregnant, institutionalized, or unlikely to comply with follow-up were excluded from the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the local ethical committee or institutional review board of each participating site. Written informed consent was given by all patients. The study is registered with clinicaltrials.gov under NCT01305343.

Non-neurologic adverse events

All non-neurologic adverse events were recorded as a predefined category or as "other," including a detailed description. For the purpose of reporting, surgery-related adverse events were pre-defined to include dural tear, cerebrospinal fluid leakage, implant-related problems, fracture, visceral or vascular injury and graft problems. Every recorded non-neurologic adverse event was evaluated by a Clinical Endpoint Committee (CEC) for its accuracy and re-categorized as necessary. Patient demographics, medical history, surgical data and the occurrence of non-neurologic adverse events were reviewed. Adverse events were classified as perioperative (intraoperative and those occurring within the first 6 weeks after surgery) and late (those occurring after 6 weeks). These adverse events were also categorized into major or minor according to Glassman et al. [19] (Table 1). All non-neurologic adverse events recorded by the participating spine surgeons were included.

Data and statistical analysis

 Table 1
 Categorization of major and minor complications according to Glassman et al.

[19]

Descriptive statistics, such as mean, standard deviation, percentage and frequency, were used to summarize demographic data and surgery details. Non-neurological adverse events rates along with their 95% confidence interval were calculated using exact Clopper–Pearson limits. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to identify risk factors for the occurrence of any non-neurological adverse events. According to the rule of 10 events per variable [26], nine factors were selected to be included in the univariable and multivariable analyses based on published literature [14, 27]. The selected variables were age (per 10-year increase), body mass index (BMI) (25.0 to <30.0 vs <25.0; \geq 30 vs <25 kg/m²), number of documented comorbidities, previous spine surgery, preoperative Lower Extremity Motor Score (<50 vs 50), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade, total operative time (per 1 h increase), total estimated blood loss (per 500 cc increase), and whether a three-column osteotomy was performed. Results from the logistic regression analyses were expressed as odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed using the software SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

There were 272 patients (183 female and 89 male), and the mean age at the time of surgery was 56.9 ± 15.3 years (range 18–81 years). The average number of levels involved in the surgery was 11.7 (range 3–23 levels). The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 2. One hundred and sixty-nine (62.1%) patients had previous spine surgeries, and 212 (77.9%) patients had one or more nonneurologic comorbidities. The mean total operative time was 448.5 ± 164.4 min, with an estimated total blood loss of 2639.0 ± 2008.8 ml. Two hundred and six (75.7%) patients underwent a three-column osteotomy.

Intraoperative complications	Postoperative complications noted before hospital discharge	Complications noted at follow-up	
Major			
Bowel/bladder deficit	Bowel or bladder deficit	Instrumentation or junctional failure	
Cardiac arrest	Death	Cerebrovascular accident	
Cauda equina deficit	Deep vein thrombosis	Infection—deep wound	
Cauda equina injury	Infection—deep	Myocardial infarction	
Cord deficit	Motor deficit	Major neurological deficit	
Death	Myocardial infarction	Pneumonia	
Inadvertent extubation	Neurological complications	Pulmonary emboli	
Malignant hyperthermia	Optic deficit	Deep vein thrombosis	
Nerve root injury	Pneumonia	Wound dehiscence	
Optic deficit	Pulmonary embolism	Vascular injury	
Vascular injury	Reintubation		
Visceral injury	Sepsis		
	Stroke		
	Other cardiopulmonary		
Minor			
CSF	Infection—superficial	Infection—superficial	
Excessive bleeding	Postoperative radiculopathy	Minor neurological deficit	
Ineffective fixation	Sensory deficit	Postoperative CSF leak	
Intraoperative coagulopathy	Skin complications	Seroma	
Pedicle infraction	Excessive postoperative bleeding	Thrombophlebitis—superficial	
Posterior element fracture	Thrombophlebitis—superficial		
Vertebral body fracture			

CSF Cerebrospinal fluid

Age Mean (SD) $56.9 (15.3)$ Min;Max $18.0(81.0)$ Gender, $n (\%)$ Female Female $183 (67.3)$ Male $89 (32.7)$ Race, $n (\%)$ White or Caucasian White or Caucasian $215 (79.3)$ Black or African-American $2 (0.7)$ Native American $1 (0.4)$ East Asian $50 (18.5)$ Other $3 (1.1)$ BMI (kg/m2) Mean (SD) Mean (SD) $27.0 (6.6)$ BMI (kg/m ²), $n (\%)$ < 18.5 < 18.5 $9 (3.5)$ I8.5 to < 25.0 $109 (41.9)$ $25.0 to < 30.0$ $71 (27.3)$ > 30.0 $71 (27.3)$ Previous spine surgery, $n (\%)$ No No $103 (37.9)$ Yes $169 (62.1)$ Total operative time in min. Mean (SD) Mean (SD) $448.5 (164.4)$ Min;Max $159.0; 1080.0$ Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) Mean (SD) $2639.0 (2008.8)$ Median (Q1;Q3)	Characteristic	N=272		
Mean (SD) $56.9 (15.3)$ Min;Max 18.0;81.0 Gender, $n (\%)$ 18.3 (67.3) Male 89 (32.7) Race, $n (\%)$ 215 (79.3) Black or African-American 2 (0.7) Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), $n (\%)$ 27.0 (6.6) SMI (kg/m2), $n (\%)$ 27.3) <18.5 to <25.0	Age			
Min;Max18.0;81.0Gender, n (%)183 (67.3)Male89 (32.7)Race, n (%)215 (79.3)Black or African-American2 (0.7)Native American1 (0.4)East Asian50 (18.5)Other3 (1.1)BMI (kg/m2)7.0 (6.6)Mean (SD)27.0 (6.6)BMI (kg/m2), n (%)109 (41.9)25.0 to <25.0	Mean (SD)	56.9 (15.3)		
Gender, n (%) I83 (67.3) Male 89 (32.7) Race, n (%) 9 (32.7) White or Caucasian 215 (79.3) Black or African-American 2 (0.7) Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) 7.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), n (%) 71 (27.3) <18.5 to <25.0	Min;Max	18.0;81.0		
Female 183 (67.3) Male 89 (32.7) Race, n (%) 9 (32.7) White or Caucasian 215 (79.3) Black or African-American 2 (0.7) Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) 7.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), n (%) 710 (6.6) SMI (kg/m2), n (%) 9 (3.5) 18.5 to < 25.0	Gender, <i>n</i> (%)			
Male89 (32.7)Race, n (%)White or Caucasian215 (79.3)Black or African-American2 (0.7)Native American1 (0.4)East Asian50 (18.5)Other3 (1.1)BMI (kg/m2)3 (1.1)BMI (kg/m2)27.0 (6.6)BMI (kg/m2), n (%)27.0 (6.6)SMI (kg/m2), n (%)9 (3.5)18.5 to <25.0	Female	183 (67.3)		
Race, n (%) 215 (79.3) Black or African-American 2 (0.7) Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), n (%) 27.0 (6.6) SMI (kg/m2), n (%) 9 (3.5) 18.5 to <25.0	Male	89 (32.7)		
White or Caucasian 215 (79.3) Black or African-American 2 (0.7) Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) Mean (SD) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), n (%) < 18.5	Race, <i>n</i> (%)			
Black or African-American 2 (0.7) Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) Mean (SD) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), n (%) < 18.5	White or Caucasian	215 (79.3)		
Native American 1 (0.4) East Asian 50 (18.5) Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) (1.1) Mean (SD) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m2), n (%) (1.1) <18.5	Black or African-American	2 (0.7)		
East Asian $50 (18.5)$ Other $3 (1.1)$ BMI (kg/m2) $7.0 (6.6)$ BMI (kg/m2), $n (\%)$ < 18.5 < 18.5 $9 (3.5)$ 18.5 to < 25.0 $109 (41.9)$ 25.0 to < 30.0 $71 (27.3)$ ≥ 30.0 $71 (27.3)$ ≥ 30.0 $71 (27.3)$ ≥ 30.0 $71 (27.3)$ Previous spine surgery, $n (\%)$ No No $103 (37.9)$ Yes $169 (62.1)$ Total operative time in min.Mean (SD) $448.5 (164.4)$ Min;Max $159.0;1080.0$ Number of documented comorbidities (excluting neurological ones)Mean (SD) $1.8 (1.6)$ Total estimated blood loss in ccsMean (SD) $2639.0 (2008.8)$ Median (Q1;Q3) $2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0)$ Min;Max $180.0;12,000.0$ Three-column osteotomy, $n (\%)$ 271 LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS < 50	Native American	1 (0.4)		
Other 3 (1.1) BMI (kg/m2) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m ²), n (%) (1.1) < 18.5	East Asian	50 (18.5)		
BMI (kg/m2) Mean (SD) 27.0 (6.6) BMI (kg/m ²), n (%) < 18.5 to < 25.0 109 (41.9) 25.0 to < 30.0 71 (27.3) ≥ 30.0 71 (27.3) Previous spine surgery, n (%) No 103 (37.9) Yes 169 (62.1) Total operative time in min. Mean (SD) 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluting neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50 68 (25.1) Worst ASA grade, n (%) I 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	Other	3 (1.1)		
Mean (SD)27.0 (6.6)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<18.5	BMI (kg/m2)			
BMI (kg/m ²), n (%) <18.5 9 (3.5) 18.5 to <25.0 109 (41.9) 25.0 to <30.0 71 (27.3) ≥30.0 71 (27.3) Previous spine surgery, n (%) No 103 (37.9) Yes 169 (62.1) Total operative time in min. Mean (SD) 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50 68 (25.1) Worst ASA grade, n (%) I 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	Mean (SD)	27.0 (6.6)		
<18.5 < 18.5	BMI (kg/m ²), <i>n</i> (%)			
18.5 to < 25.0	<18.5	9 (3.5)		
25.0 to < 30.0 71 (27.3) ≥ 30.0 71 (27.3) Previous spine surgery, n (%) 103 (37.9) Yes 169 (62.1) Total operative time in min. 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) 271 No 66 (24.3) Yes 203 (74.9) LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	18.5 to <25.0	109 (41.9)		
≥ 30.0 71 (27.3) Previous spine surgery, n (%) No 103 (37.9) Yes 169 (62.1) Total operative time in min. Mean (SD) 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50 68 (25.1) Worst ASA grade, n (%) I 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	25.0 to < 30.0	71 (27.3)		
Previous spine surgery, n (%) 103 (37.9) No 103 (62.1) Total operative time in min. 169 (62.1) Mean (SD) 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) 271 No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	≥30.0	71 (27.3)		
No 103 (37.9) Yes 169 (62.1) Total operative time in min. 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) No No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	Previous spine surgery, n (%)			
Yes 169 (62.1) Total operative time in min. 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological ones Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) 271 No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	No	103 (37.9)		
Total operative time in min. 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs 448.5 (164.4) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs 448.5 (164.4) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) 70 No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	Yes	169 (62.1)		
Mean (SD) 448.5 (164.4) Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) 271 No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	Total operative time in min.			
Min;Max 159.0;1080.0 Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) neurological ones) Mean (SD) 1.8 (1.6) Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) No No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	Mean (SD)	448.5 (164.4)		
Number of documented comorbidities (excluding neurological ones) Mean (SD) $1.8 (1.6)$ Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) $2639.0 (2008.8)$ Median (Q1;Q3) $2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0)$ Min;Max $180.0;12,000.0$ Three-column osteotomy, n (%) 71 No $66 (24.3)$ Yes $206 (75.7)$ Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS < 50 $68 (25.1)$ Worst ASA grade, n (%) 1 I $9 (3.3)$ III $143 (52.8)$ III $118 (43.5)$ IV $1 (0.4)$	Min;Max	159.0;1080.0		
Mean (SD)1.8 (1.6)Total estimated blood loss in ccsMean (SD)2639.0 (2008.8)Median (Q1;Q3)2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0)Min;Max180.0;12,000.0Three-column osteotomy, n (%)7No66 (24.3)Yes206 (75.7)Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%)271LEMS = 50203 (74.9)LEMS < 50	Number of documented comorbidities (e ones)	xcluding neurological		
Total estimated blood loss in ccs Mean (SD) 2639.0 (2008.8) Median (Q1;Q3) 2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0) Min;Max 180.0;12,000.0 Three-column osteotomy, n (%) No No 66 (24.3) Yes 206 (75.7) Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	Mean (SD)	1.8 (1.6)		
Mean (SD) $2639.0 (2008.8)$ Median (Q1;Q3) $2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0)$ Min;Max $180.0;12,000.0$ Three-column osteotomy, n (%) $66 (24.3)$ No $66 (24.3)$ Yes $206 (75.7)$ Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS < 50 $68 (25.1)$ Worst ASA grade, n (%) $143 (52.8)$ II $143 (52.8)$ III $118 (43.5)$ IV $1 (0.4)$	Total estimated blood loss in ccs			
Median (Q1;Q3) $2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0)$ Min;Max $180.0;12,000.0$ Three-column osteotomy, n (%) $180.0;12,000.0$ No $66 (24.3)$ Yes $206 (75.7)$ Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS < 50 $68 (25.1)$ Worst ASA grade, n (%) $143 (52.8)$ II $143 (52.8)$ III $118 (43.5)$ IV $1 (0.4)$	Mean (SD)	2639.0 (2008.8)		
Min;Max $180.0;12,000.0$ Three-column osteotomy, n (%)No 66 (24.3)Yes 206 (75.7)Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9)LEMS < 50	Median (Q1;Q3)	2007.0 (1400.0;3250.0)		
Three-column osteotomy, n (%)No66 (24.3)Yes206 (75.7)Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%)271LEMS = 50203 (74.9)LEMS < 50	Min;Max	180.0;12,000.0		
No $66 (24.3)$ Yes $206 (75.7)$ Preoperative LEMS Category, $n (\%)$ 271 LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS < 50	Three-column osteotomy, n (%)			
Yes $206 (75.7)$ Preoperative LEMS Category, $n (\%)$ 271 LEMS = 50 $203 (74.9)$ LEMS < 50	No	66 (24.3)		
Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%) 271 LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50	Yes	206 (75.7)		
LEMS = 50 203 (74.9) LEMS < 50 68 (25.1) Worst ASA grade, n (%) I 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	Preoperative LEMS Category, n (%)	271		
LEMS < 50 68 (25.1) Worst ASA grade, n (%) I 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	LEMS = 50	203 (74.9)		
Worst ASA grade, n (%) 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	LEMS < 50	68 (25.1)		
I 9 (3.3) II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	Worst ASA grade, n (%)			
II 143 (52.8) III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	I	9 (3.3)		
III 118 (43.5) IV 1 (0.4)	II	143 (52.8)		
IV 1 (0.4)	III	118 (43.5)		
	IV	1 (0.4)		

One patient was enrolled at age 80, turned 81 on day of surgery

BMI Body Mass Index; LEMS Lower extremity motor score; ASA American society of anesthesiologists

184 patients (67.6% [95% CI 61.7–73.2%]) experienced 515 non-neurologic events. Sixty-three patients (23.2%) had one non-neurologic adverse event, and 121 (44.5%) suffered from multiple adverse events. There were 300 (58.3%) perioperative and 215 (41.7%) late adverse events. There were 234 AEs classified as major (in 121 patients, 44.5%) and 281 as minor (in 142 patients, 52.2%).

Overall, the most frequently encountered non-neurologic adverse events were surgery-related (27.6% of all nonneurological adverse events [AEs], occurring in 39.7% of the patients), implant failure (9.1% of all non-neurological AEs, occurring in 14.7% of the patients) and dural tear (8.3% of all non-neurological AEs, occurring in 15.8% of the patients). For perioperative adverse events, surgery-related complications accounted for 27.3% of all the non-neurologic adverse events, followed by urinary tract infections (9.0%) and wound-related problems (6.7%). The mentioned perioperative adverse events occurred in 25.7%, 9.2% and 7.4% of the patients. For late adverse events, implant failure (20.9%), wound-related problems (6.5%) and loss of correction (6.0%) were most commonly reported and affected 14.3%, 4.0% and 4.8% of the patients, respectively. Table 3 summarizes all the adverse events that were noted.

Four factors were identified to be associated with an increased risk of any non-neurologic adverse event in univariable analyses: previous spine surgery performed (OR 3.02, 95% CI 1.79–5.12, p < 0.001), ASA grade (grade III and IV vs I and II OR 2.46, 95% CI 1.43–4.24, p = 0.001), age (OR per 10-year increase = 1.31, 95% CI 1.11–1.54, p = 0.002) and number of documented non-neurologic comorbidities (OR per each additional comorbidity = 1.22, 95% CI 1.02–1.46, p = 0.032,) (see Table 4). Patients undergoing a three-column osteotomy did not have a significantly higher odds for experiencing a non-neurological complication, neither in the unadjusted (OR 1.51; 95% CI 0.85–2.69; p = 0.161) nor in the adjusted analysis (see Table 5, OR 0.98; 95% CI 0.49–1.93; p = 0.945).

On multivariable logistic regression analysis, previous spine surgery (OR 2.35, 95% CI 1.29–4.27, p = 0.005) was the only independent factor found to have a statistically significant association with non-neurologic adverse events. In addition, there was some evidence, although of borderline significance, that a higher ASA grade (grades III and IV vs. I and II) might increase the risk of non-neurological adverse events (OR 1.94, 95% CI 0.99–3.80, p = 0.052) (see Table 5).

Discussion

This present study of the prospective, multicenter, international study (Scoli-RISK-1) database provided three major findings. First, the incidence of non-neurologic adverse events following surgery for severe and complex ASD was

 Table 3 Incidence of all non-neurologic adverse events at 2-year post-operation

Non-neurological adverse events	п	% (95% CI)
Any of the non-neurological adverse events specified below	184	67.6 (61.7;73.2)
Stroke	0	0.0 (0.0;1.3)
Cardiac arrest	1	0.4 (0.0;2.0)
Surgery related	108	39.7 (33.8;45.8)
CSF leak	2	0.7 (0.1;2.6)
Dural tear	43	15.8 (11.7;20.7)
Screw malposition	7	2.6 (1.0;5.2)
Loss of correction	18	6.6 (4.0;10.3)
Implant failure	40	14.7 (10.7;19.5)
Pedicle fracture	1	0.4 (0.0;2.0)
Laminar fracture	0	0.0 (0.0;1.3)
Visceral injury	6	2.2 (0.8;4.7)
Vascular injury	1	0.4 (0.0;2.0)
Excessive bleeding	14	5.1 (2.8;8.5)
Graft dislodgement	1	0.4 (0.0;2.0)
Graft donor site pain	1	0.4 (0.0;2.0)
Intraoperative coagulopathy	3	1.1 (0.2;3.2)
Visual field deficits/loss	0	0.0 (0.0;1.3)
Malignant hyperthermia	0	0.0 (0.0;1.3)
Anesthetic complication	4	1.5 (0.4;3.7)
Wound infection	26	9.6 (6.3;13.7)
Deep infection	12	4.4 (2.3;7.6)
Superficial infection	8	2.9 (1.3;5.7)
Graft site infection	2	0.7 (0.1;2.6)
Wound dehiscence/Stitch abscess	7	2.6 (1.0;5.2)
Gastrointestinal	11	4.0 (2.0;7.1)
SMA syndrome	0	0.0 (0.0;1.3)
Ileus	11	4.0 (2.0;7.1)
Deep vein thrombosis	8	2.9 (1.3;5.7)
Pulmonary embolism	3	1.1 (0.2;3.2)
Respiratory	14	5.1 (2.8;8.5)
Pneumonia	10	3.7 (1.8;6.7)
Atelectasis	6	2.2 (0.8;4.7)
Urogenital	37	13.6 (9.8;18.3)
Urinary retention	10	3.7 (1.8;6.7)
Retrograde ejaculation	0	0.0 (0.0;1.3)
Urinary tract infection	30	11.0 (7.6;15.4)
Other	119	43.8 (37.8;49.9)

SMA Superior mesenteric artery

67.6%. Second, the most frequent non-neurologic adverse events were surgically related (27.6% of the AEs occurring in 39.7% of the patients), of which implant failure and dural tear were most common. Third, on multivariable logistic regression analysis, previous spine surgery (OR 2.35, 95% CI 1.29–4.27, p = 0.005) was the only

independent factor found to have a statistically significant association with non-neurologic adverse events.

Most of the previous reports on complications after ASD surgeries have been based on retrospective data with heterogeneous inclusion criteria, which affect accuracy and can lead to under-reporting and biases [1–3, 5, 7, 9–12, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23, 28, 29]. Analysis of neurologic adverse events from this Scoli-RISK-1 database suggests a much higher adverse event rate than those reported in the literature [16]. A recent study showed that when compared with the prospective Scoli-RISK-1 report, retrospective cohort analysis underestimates neurological deficits in complex ASD surgeries by twofold [30]. Additionally, there have been no reports which specifically focus on non-neurologic adverse events after ASD surgeries.

Although postoperative neurologic decline can have severe consequences, the number of and serious adverse events are also predictive factors of 2-year health-related quality of life (HRQOL) scores after surgery [31]. Hence, an accurate and thorough knowledge of the true incidence, types of and risk factors for non-neurologic adverse events after complex ASD surgeries are crucial to surgeons and patients. The Scoli-RISK-1 study is a multicenter international study that uses a prospective design to collect a complete risk profile of patients undergoing complex ASD surgeries. Only such a design can give accurate information regarding the rate, type and outcomes of perioperative complications, which are fundamental for informed decision making, risk stratification, preoperative planning and optimization of patients.

The overall incidence of patients with at least one nonneurologic adverse event was 67.6%, with a slightly higher rate during the perioperative than late period (53.7% vs 42.3%). Many authors have reported perioperative complication rates after ASD surgical treatment [2-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15, 19, 20, 25]. A recent comprehensive review of the literature on complication rates after surgery for ASD found an overall complication rate of 55% [6]. However, these series suffered from methodological limitations including retrospective nature, single-centered, heterogeneous inclusion criteria, non-standardized reporting, lack of data monitoring and loss to follow-up. Retrospective analysis of three prospectively collected databases showed variable complication incidences from 17.65 to 68.24% [32], with the absence of certain complications. Even when studies concentrate on three-column osteotomy patients, the reported complications were only between 31.8 and 35.2% [1, 6]. This reflects the inherent inaccurate nature of such databases and difficulties in interpreting such analyses. Furthermore, inconsistencies in reporting adverse events in different studies (on patient level or adverse event level) may make comparison difficult. A prospective study design is therefore crucial because only it can capture the true incidences and types of complications.

Table 4 Risk factors for nonneurological adverse events during 2 years after surgeryresults from univariable logistic regression models

Prognostic factor	Details	Unadjusted odds ratio	95% confidence interval	p value
Age	Per 10-year increase	1.31	(1.11; 1.54)	0.002
BMI	25.0 to < 30.0 versus < 25.0	1.42	(0.75; 2.66)	0.278
	≥30.0 versus <25.0	1.63	(0.85; 3.10)	
Previous spine surgery	Yes versus No	3.02	(1.79; 5.12)	<.001
Total operative time in min.	Per 1 h increase	1.07	(0.97; 1.18)	0.164
Number of documented comor- bidities (excluding neurological ones)	Per 1 comorbidity increase	1.22	(1.02; 1.46)	0.032
Total estimated blood loss	Per 500 ccs increase	1.04	(0.97; 1.11)	0.306
Three-column osteotomy	Yes versus No	1.51	(0.85; 2.69)	0.161
Preoperative LEMS category	LEMS < 50 versus $LEMS = 50$	1.61	(0.87; 3.00)	0.131
ASA grade	ASA III+IV versus ASA I+II	2.46	(1.43; 4.24)	0.001

Table 5	Risk factors for non-
neurolog	gical adverse events
during 2	2 years after surgery—
results f	rom multivariable
logistic	regression models

Prognostic factor	Details	Adjusted odds ratio	95% confidence interval	p value
Age	Per 10-year increase	1.16	(0.95; 1.43)	0.147
BMI	25.0 to < 30.0 versus < 25.0	1.05	(0.53; 2.11)	0.986
	≥30.0 versus < 25.0	0.99	(0.48; 2.06)	
Previous spine surgery	Yes versus No	2.35	(1.29; 4.27)	0.005
Total operative time in min.	Per 1 h increase	1.06	(0.94; 1.19)	0.356
Number of documented comor- bidities (excluding neurological ones)	Per 1 comorbidity increase	0.99	(0.79; 1.24)	0.951
Total estimated blood loss	Per 500 ccs increase	1.01	(0.93; 1.09)	0.803
Three-column osteotomy	Yes versus No	0.98	(0.49; 1.93)	0.945
Preoperative LEMS category	LEMS < 50 versus LEMS = 50	1.33	(0.66; 2.65)	0.423
ASA grade	ASA III+IV versus ASA I+II	1.94	(0.99; 3.80)	0.052

Overall, the most common non-neurologic adverse events in our series were surgery-related complications (27.6% of all non-neurological AEs affecting 39.7% of the patients) with implant failure (9.1% of all non-neurological AEs affecting 14.7% of the patients) and dural tear (8.3% of all non-neurological AEs affecting 15.8% of the patients). In a recent systematic review of all complications after ASD surgery, apart from neurologic deficit, infection (2.4%) and instrumentation failure (1.3%) were the most common causes of major perioperative complications, and instrument-related failure was the leading cause of long-term complications [6]. A retrospective analysis of ASD surgery patients found the rates for superficial and deep infection were 5.5% and 3.5%, respectively, and obesity and prior history of wound infections were independent risk factors. The rates of these leading causes of non-neurologic adverse events also seemed to be higher in our series than those reported in the literature previously. Compared with the only other prospective multicentered study in the literature [14], the rates of infection were similar (13.2 vs 14.8%) but the implant failure rates were even higher in Smith et al.'s series (9.1 vs 27.8%).

The identification of risk factors for developing complications after ASD surgery has been previously reported in the literature. However, these factors were analyzed from all documented complications, which may have different contributing factors. Soroceanu et al. [15] focused on medical complications alone and identified smoking, hypertension and duration of symptoms as independent risk factors. In our study, through a univariable analysis, we identified age (per 10-year increase, OR 1.31), previous spine surgery (OR 3.02) and ASA grade (I and II vs. III and IV, OR 2.46) as risk factors for developing a non-neurologic adverse event. Using multivariable logistic regression analysis, only previous spine surgery (OR 2.35) was identified as an independent risk factor. We further subdivided all adverse events into major and minor according to Glassman et al. [19] and identified risk factors for developing a major non-neurologic adverse event. On both univariable and multivariable analyses, age (per 10-year increase) and previous spine surgery were risk factors for developing a major non-neurologic adverse event. Interestingly, previously reported risk factors in the literature including BMI, number of documented non-neurologic comorbidities, operative duration, blood loss and preoperative neurologic status were not significant risk factors in our study. The number of risk factors that could be analyzed was limited by the number of patients with/ without non-neurologic adverse events to ensure validity of the analysis and to avoid overfitting [26].

Dural tear was one of the most frequent non-neurologic adverse events, and the strongest predictor for non-neurologic adverse events in this series was previous spinal surgery. In general, dural tear usually occurs when the spinal canal is opened or when the dural sac is manipulated intraoperatively. This surgical step is the classical scenario that occurs in a posterior osteotomy during a revision surgery, thereby putting revision spinal surgeries at higher risks of dural tears. In a recent prospective series on complication rates associated with three-column osteotomy, Smith et al. [33] found a similar complication profile with 78% of patients having at least one complication, with rod breakage (31.7%) and dural tear (20.7%) being the commonest complications reported. However, they did not find any risk factors that predicted the occurrence of complications. Interestingly, similar complications have also been found in the correction of cervical and cervicothoracic deformities [34], suggesting a high complication rate is to be expected when performing deformity correction irrespective of the anatomical site along the spine.

Patients with complex ASD present to spine surgeons with pain, neurologic symptoms, deformity, disability and cosmetic concerns. Neurologic decline can have devastating consequences, but occurrence of non-neurologic complications is also of clinical importance after surgical interventions. Knowledge of the incidence and types of non-neurologic adverse events that may occur, the likely period of when they may occur, and the risk factors that predict their occurrence is important in preoperative counseling and optimization of such patients. The prospective, multicenter and international nature with validated data collection, rigorous monitoring and detailed review by a CEC are key strengths of this study. The results of this study complement the previous report on neurologic complications from the Scoli-RISK-1 study [16] and give the complete risk profile for any patient undergoing complex ASD surgery.

There are several limitations to our study. First, the inclusion criteria consist of patients with severe and complex ASD who may be prone to higher perioperative risks and the results are not directly applicable to all ASD surgeries. Second, although the inclusion criteria of severe and complex ASD were well-defined, the patient population and the deformities were inevitably heterogeneous. Third, owing to the comprehensive and extensive data collection, several relatively rare non-neurologic adverse events could not be categorized, rendering the risk analysis of these adverse events not possible. Fourth, it is worth noting that adverse events have been reported in this study. It has been reported that adverse events may occur frequently during spinal surgery, but the majority of these may not be associated with complications [35]. However, we feel that a comprehensive understanding of all aspects of adverse events is more informative to surgeons and patients when assessing the risks and safety of complex ASD surgeries. Fifth, the categorization of adverse events into major and minor according to Glassman et al. [19] was specifically developed for adult spinal deformity surgery, but it can be considered too simplistic. Street et al. [36] has recently developed a more comprehensive prospective grading for the severity of adverse events. This system can give a more accurate reflection on how the adverse events have affected individual patients. Sixth, even though 15 high-volume academic sites worldwide participated in this study, enrollment was not uniform across all participating centers, which may affect the applicability of these results to all spinal deformity surgeons.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, the Scoli-RISK-1 study is the first prospective, multicenter and international study that evaluated non-neurologic adverse events after complex ASD surgeries and found a rate of 67.6% after 2 years of follow-up. Previous spine surgery was identified as a significant independent risk factor for developing non-neurologic adverse events. In addition, there was some evidence, although of borderline significance, that a higher ASA grade might increase the risk of non-neurological adverse events.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the surgeons, collaborating centers' local clinical research personnel, and support staff for their active participation in the Scoli-RISK-1 study. Funding received to conduct Scoli-RISK-1 was from the Scoliosis Research Society and AOSpine International. The study was managed by AOSpine International, through the AOSpine Knowledge Forum Deformity. Study support was provided directly through AOSpine's Research department and AO's Clinical Investigation and Documentation unit. The authors would especially like to thank Kathrin Espinoza for statistical assistance. AOSpine is a clinical division of the AO Foundation-an independent medically guided nonprofit organization. The AOSpine Knowledge Forums are pathology-focused working groups acting on behalf of AOSpine in their domain of scientific expertise. Each forum consists of a steering committee of up to 10 international spine experts who meet on a regular basis to discuss research, assess the best evidence for current practices and formulate clinical trials to advance spine care worldwide.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Auerbach JD, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Sehn JK, Milby AH, Bumpass D, Crawford CH 3rd, O'Shaughnessy BA, Buchowski JM, Chang MS, Zebala LP, Sides BA (2012) Major complications and comparison between 3-column osteotomy techniques in 105 consecutive spinal deformity procedures. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 37(14):1198–1210. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013 e31824fffde
- Charosky S, Guigui P, Blamoutier A, Roussouly P, Chopin D, Study Group on S (2012) Complications and risk factors of primary adult scoliosis surgery: a multicenter study of 306 patients. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 37(8):693–700. https://doi. org/10.1097/brs.0b013e31822ff5c1
- La Maida GA, Luceri F, Gallozzi F, Ferraro M, Bernardo M (2015) Complication rate in adult deformity surgical treatment: safety of the posterior osteotomies. Eur Spine J 24(Suppl 7):879–886. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-015-4275-5
- Sansur CA, Smith JS, Coe JD, Glassman SD, Berven SH, Polly DW Jr, Perra JH, Boachie-Adjei O, Shaffrey CI (2011) Scoliosis research society morbidity and mortality of adult scoliosis surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36(9):E593–E597. https://doi. org/10.1097/brs.0b013e3182059bfd
- Schwab FJ, Hawkinson N, Lafage V, Smith JS, Hart R, Mundis G, Burton DC, Line B, Akbarnia B, Boachie-Adjei O, Hostin R, Shaffrey CI, Arlet V, Wood K, Gupta M, Bess S, Mummaneni PV, International Spine Study G (2012) Risk factors for major peri-operative complications in adult spinal deformity surgery: a multi-center review of 953 consecutive patients. Eur Spine J 21(12):2603–2610. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-012-2370-4
- Sciubba DM, Yurter A, Smith JS, Kelly MP, Scheer JK, Goodwin CR, Lafage V, Hart RA, Bess S, Kebaish K, Schwab F, Shaffrey CI, Ames CP, International Spine Study G (2015) A comprehensive review of complication rates after surgery for adult deformity: a reference for informed consent. Spine Deform 3(6):575–594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspd.2015.04.005
- Soroceanu A, Diebo BG, Burton D, Smith JS, Deviren V, Shaffrey C, Kim HJ, Mundis G, Ames C, Errico T, Bess S, Hostin R, Schwab F, Lafage V, International Spine Study G (2015) Radiographical and implant-related complications in adult spinal deformity surgery: incidence, patient risk factors, and impact on health-related quality of life. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 40(18):1414–1421. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.000000000 001020
- Scheer JK, Hostin R, Robinson C, Schwab F, Lafage V, Burton DC, Hart RA, Kelly MP, Keefe M, Polly D, Bess S, Shaffrey CI, Smith JS, Ames CP, and the International Spine Study G (2016) Operative Management of Adult Spinal Deformity Results in Significant Increases in QALYs Gained Compared to Non-operative Management: Analysis of 479 patients with

Minimum 2-years Follow-up. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.00000000001626

- Bhagat S, Vozar V, Lutchman L, Crawford RJ, Rai AS (2013) Morbidity and mortality in adult spinal deformity surgery: norwich Spinal Unit experience. Eur Spine J 22(Suppl 1):S42–S46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-012-2627-y
- Cho KJ, Suk SI, Park SR, Kim JH, Kim SS, Choi WK, Lee KY, Lee SR (2007) Complications in posterior fusion and instrumentation for degenerative lumbar scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 32(20):2232–2237. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013 e31814b2d3c
- Daubs MD, Lenke LG, Cheh G, Stobbs G, Bridwell KH (2007) Adult spinal deformity surgery: complications and outcomes in patients over age 60. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 32(20):2238–2244. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e31814cf24a
- DeWald CJ, Stanley T (2006) Instrumentation-related complications of multilevel fusions for adult spinal deformity patients over age 65: surgical considerations and treatment options in patients with poor bone quality. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 31(19 Suppl):S144– S151. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000236893.65878.39
- Lee NJ, Kothari P, Kim JS, Shin JI, Phan K, Di Capua J, Somani S, Leven DM, Guzman JZ, Cho SK (2017) Early complications and outcomes in adult spinal deformity surgery: an nsqip study based on 5803 patients. Global Spine J 7(5):432–440. https://doi. org/10.1177/2192568217699384
- 14. Smith JS, Klineberg E, Lafage V, Shaffrey CI, Schwab F, Lafage R, Hostin R, Mundis GM Jr, Errico TJ, Kim HJ, Protopsaltis TS, Hamilton DK, Scheer JK, Soroceanu A, Kelly MP, Line B, Gupta M, Deviren V, Hart R, Burton DC, Bess S, Ames CP, International Spine Study G (2016) Prospective multicenter assessment of perioperative and minimum 2-year postoperative complication rates associated with adult spinal deformity surgery. J Neurosurg Spine 25(1):1–14. https://doi.org/10.3171/2015.11.SPINE15103 6
- Soroceanu A, Burton DC, Oren JH, Smith JS, Hostin R, Shaffrey CI, Akbarnia BA, Ames CP, Errico TJ, Bess S, Gupta MC, Deviren V, Schwab FJ, Lafage V, International Spine Study G (2016) Medical complications after adult spinal deformity surgery: incidence, risk factors, and clinical impact. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 41(22):1718–1723. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.000000000 001636
- Lenke LG, Fehlings MG, Shaffrey CI, Cheung KM, Carreon L, Dekutoski MB, Schwab FJ, Boachie-Adjei O, Kebaish KM, Ames CP, Qiu Y, Matsuyama Y, Dahl BT, Mehdian H, Pellise-Urquiza F, Lewis SJ, Berven SH (2016) Neurologic outcomes of complex adult spinal deformity surgery: results of the prospective, multicenter Scoli-RISK-1 study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 41(3):204–212. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.000000000001338
- Lapp MA, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Daniel Riew K, Linville DA, Eck KR, Ungacta FF (2001) Long-term complications in adult spinal deformity patients having combined surgery a comparison of primary to revision patients. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 26(8):973–983
- Buchowski JM, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Kuhns CA, Lehman RA Jr, Kim YJ, Stewart D, Baldus C (2007) Neurologic complications of lumbar pedicle subtraction osteotomy: a 10-year assessment. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 32(20):2245–2252. https://doi.org/10.1097/ brs.0b013e31814b2d52
- Glassman SD, Hamill CL, Bridwell KH, Schwab FJ, Dimar JR, Lowe TG (2007) The impact of perioperative complications on clinical outcome in adult deformity surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 32(24):2764–2770. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e31815a764 4
- Weistroffer JK, Perra JH, Lonstein JE, Schwender JD, Garvey TA, Transfeldt EE, Ogilvie JW, Denis F, Winter RB, Wroblewski JM (2008) Complications in long fusions to the sacrum for adult scoliosis: minimum five-year analysis of fifty patients. Spine (Phila

Pa 1976) 33(13):1478–1483. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013 e3181753c53

- Hassanzadeh H, Jain A, El Dafrawy MH, Ain MC, Mesfin A, Skolasky RL, Kebaish KM (2013) Three-column osteotomies in the treatment of spinal deformity in adult patients 60 years old and older: outcome and complications. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 38(9):726–731. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e31827c2415
- Kim YJ, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Cho KJ, Edwards CC 2nd, Rinella AS (2006) Pseudarthrosis in adult spinal deformity following multisegmental instrumentation and arthrodesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 88(4):721–728. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.E.00550
- Pull ter Gunne AF, van Laarhoven CJ, Cohen DB (2010) Incidence of surgical site infection following adult spinal deformity surgery: an analysis of patient risk. Eur Spine J 19(6):982–988. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-009-1269-1
- Theologis AA, Demirkiran G, Callahan M, Pekmezci M, Ames C, Deviren V (2014) Local intrawound vancomycin powder decreases the risk of surgical site infections in complex adult deformity reconstruction: a cost analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 39(22):1875–1880. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.00000000000033
- Yadla S, Maltenfort MG, Ratliff JK, Harrop JS (2010) Adult scoliosis surgery outcomes: a systematic review. Neurosurg Focus 28(3):E3. https://doi.org/10.3171/2009.12.FOCUS09254
- Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR (1996) A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 49(12):1373–1379
- 27. Shen Y, Silverstein JC, Roth S (2009) In-hospital complications and mortality after elective spinal fusion surgery in the united states: a study of the nationwide inpatient sample from 2001 to 2005. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 21(1):21–30. https://doi. org/10.1097/ANA.0b013e31818b47e9
- Kebaish KM, Neubauer PR, Voros GD, Khoshnevisan MA, Skolasky RL (2011) Scoliosis in adults aged forty years and older: prevalence and relationship to age, race, and gender. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36(9):731–736. https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0b013e3181 e9f120
- 29. Smith JS, Shaffrey CI, Sansur CA, Berven SH, Fu KM, Broadstone PA, Choma TJ, Goytan MJ, Noordeen HH, Knapp DR Jr, Hart RA, Donaldson WF III, Polly DW Jr, Perra JH, Boachie-Adjei O, Scoliosis Research Society M, Mortality C (2011) Rates of infection after spine surgery based on 108,419 procedures: a report from the Scoliosis Research Society Morbidity and Mortality Committee. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36(7):556–563. https://doi. org/10.1097/brs.0b013e3181eadd41
- Kelly MP, Lenke LG, Godzik J, Pellise F, Shaffrey CI, Smith JS, Lewis SJ, Ames CP, Carreon LY, Fehlings MG, Schwab F,

Shimer AL (2017) Retrospective analysis underestimates neurological deficits in complex spinal deformity surgery: a Scoli-RISK-1 study. J Neurosurg Spine 27(1):68–73. https://doi. org/10.3171/2016.12.SPINE161068

- 31. Carreon LY, Glassman SD, Shaffrey CI, Fehlings MG, Dahl B, Ames CP, Matsuyama Y, Qiu Y, Mehdian H, Cheung KM, Schwab FJ, Pellise F, Kebaish KM, Lenke LG (2017) Predictors of health-related quality-of-life after complex adult spinal deformity surgery: a Scoli-RISK-1 secondary analysis. Spine Deform 5(2):139–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspd.2016.11.001
- 32. Poorman GW, Passias PG, Buckland AJ, Jalai CM, Kelly M, Sciubba DM, Neuman BJ, Hamilton DK, Jain A, Diebo B, Lafage V, Bess S, Klineberg EO, International Spine Study G (2016) Comparative Analysis of Peri-operative Outcomes Using Nationally Derived Hospital Discharge Data Relative to a Prospective Multi-center Surgical Database of Adult Spinal Deformity Surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.00000 00000002002
- 33. Smith JS, Shaffrey CI, Klineberg E, Lafage V, Schwab F, Lafage R, Kim HJ, Hostin R, Mundis GM Jr, Gupta M, Liabaud B, Scheer JK, Diebo BG, Protopsaltis TS, Kelly MP, Deviren V, Hart R, Burton D, Bess S, Ames CP, Group obotISS (2017) Complication rates associated with 3-column osteotomy in 82 adult spinal deformity patients: retrospective review of a prospectively collected multicenter consecutive series with 2-year follow-up. J Neurosurg Spine 27(4):444–457. https://doi.org/10.3171/2016.10. SPINE16849
- 34. Smith JS, Shaffrey CI, Lafage R, Lafage V, Schwab FJ, Kim HJ, Scheer JK, Protopsaltis T, Passias P, Mundis G, Hart R, Neuman B, Klineberg E, Hostin R, Bess S, Deviren V, Ames CP (2017) Three-column osteotomy for correction of cervical and cervicothoracic deformities: alignment changes and early complications in a multicenter prospective series of 23 patients. Eur Spine J 26(8):2128–2137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5071-1
- 35. Rampersaud YR, Moro ER, Neary MA, White K, Lewis SJ, Massicotte EM, Fehlings MG (2006) Intraoperative adverse events and related postoperative complications in spine surgery: implications for enhancing patient safety founded on evidence-based protocols. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 31(13):1503–1510. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.brs.0000220652.39970.c2
- 36. Street JT, Lenehan BJ, DiPaola CP, Boyd MD, Kwon BK, Paquette SJ, Dvorak MF, Rampersaud YR, Fisher CG (2012) Morbidity and mortality of major adult spinal surgery a prospective cohort analysis of 942 consecutive patients. Spine J 12(1):22–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2011.12.003

Affiliations

Kenny Yat Hong Kwan¹ · Cora Bow¹ · Dino Samartzis² · Lawrence G. Lenke³ · Christopher I. Shaffrey⁴ · Leah Y. Carreon⁵ · Benny T. Dahl^{6,7} · Michael G. Fehlings⁸ · Christopher P. Ames⁹ · Oheneba Boachie-Adjei¹⁰ · Mark B. Dekutoski¹¹ · Khaled M. Kebaish¹² · Stephen J. Lewis⁸ · Yukihiro Matsuyama¹³ · Hossein Mehdian¹⁴ · Ferran Pellisé¹⁵ · Yong Qiu¹⁶ · Frank J. Schwab¹⁷ · Kenneth Man Chee Cheung¹

\bowtie	Kenneth Man Chee Cheung
	cheungmc@hku.hk

- ¹ Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, 5/F Professorial Block, Queen Mary Hospital, 102 Pokfulam Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong
- ² Rush University Medical Centre, Chicago, IL, USA
- ³ Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA
- ⁴ University of Virginia Medical Center, Charlottesville, VA, USA
- ⁵ Norton Leatherman Spine Center, Louisville, KY, USA

- ⁷ Texas Children's Hospital and Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁸ University of Toronto and Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁹ University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA
- ¹⁰ The FOCOS Hospital, Pantang West, Republic of Ghana
- ¹¹ Marshfield Clinic Eau Claire Center, Eau Claire, WI, USA

- ¹³ Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan
- ¹⁴ Queen's Medical Centre, University Hospital, Nottingham, UK
- ¹⁵ Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁶ Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, Nanjing, China
- ¹⁷ Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA