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## Abstract

There are two parts in this project.
In part I, we consider the Riccati initial-value problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}(t)=a y^{2}+b y+c \\
y(0)=d
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a, b, c$, and $d$ are real numbers and $t \geq 0$ represents time. We determine conditions on the constants $a, b, c$ and $d$ that are necessary and sufficient for $y(t)$ to approach either $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ as $t$ approaches some finite value $t_{b}$.

In part II, we consider blow-up property of solutions for the degenerate semilinear parabolic initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi^{q} u_{\tau}-u_{\xi \xi}=f\left(u\left(\xi_{0}, \tau\right)\right) \text { for } 0<\xi<a, 0<\tau<\sigma \\
u(\xi, 0)=u_{0}(\xi) \geq 0 \text { for } 0 \leq \xi \leq a \\
u(0, \tau)=0=u_{\xi}(a, \tau) \text { for } \tau>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $a, \sigma$, and $q$ are constants with $a>0,0<\sigma \leq \infty$, and $q \geq 0$. Also, let $\xi_{0}$ be some fixed point in $(0, a)$. It is assumed that $f \in C^{2}([0, \infty)), f(0) \geq 0, f^{\prime}>0, f^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$. We will show that for sufficiently large initial function $u_{0}(\xi)$ solution of the above initialboundary value problem blows up in finite time and the blow-up set is the entire interval $[0, a]$.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

There are two parts in this project.
In part I, we consider the blow-up property of solutions for Riccati equation. Count Jacopolo Francesco Riccati (May 28, 1676 - April 15, 1754) is famous for introducing and researching solvability of the equation that is now known as Riccati equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(t)=a(t) y^{2}+b(t) y+c(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix form of this equation is very important in modern times since it is used extensively in design problems in filtering and control [Bit91]. Even though the Riccati equation (1.1) is not solvable in general, numerous methods are developed for finding solutions for special cases of this equation [PZ03].

We consider the Riccati initial-value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=a y^{2}+b y+c  \tag{1.2}\\
y(0)=d
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a, b, c$, and $d$ are real numbers and $t \geq 0$ represents time. We determine conditions on the constants $a, b, c$, and $d$ that are necessary and sufficient for $y(t)$ to approach either $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ as $t$ approaches some finite value $t_{b}$. We provide exact values for the time $t_{b}$ for the cases when $4 a c-b^{2}$ is positive, negative, or zero. We are interested in the first occurrence of blow-up. We do not consider behavior of $y(t)$ for $t>t_{b}$.

In part II, we consider blow-up property of solutions for the degenerate semilin-
ear parabolic initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi^{q} u_{\tau}-u_{\xi \xi}=f\left(u\left(\xi_{0}, \tau\right)\right) \text { for } 0<\xi<a, \quad 0<\tau<\sigma \\
u(\xi, 0)=u_{0}(\xi) \geq 0 \text { for } 0 \leq \xi \leq a \\
u(0, \tau)=0=u_{\xi}(a, \tau) \quad \text { for } \tau>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $a, \sigma$, and $q$ are constants with $a>0,0<\sigma \leq \infty$, and $q \geq 0$. $u_{\tau}$ means the first order partial derivative of $u(\xi, \tau)$ with respect to $\tau$, and $u_{\xi \xi}$ means the second order partial derivative of $u(\xi, \tau)$ with respect to $\xi$. Also let $\xi_{0}$ be some fixed point in $(0, a)$. Because the reaction term $f\left(u\left(\xi_{0}, \tau\right)\right)$ depends on the fixed value $\xi_{0}$ in $(0, a)$, we say that this problem has localized nonlinear reaction. Let $\xi=a x, \tau=a^{q+2} t, D=(0,1), \Omega=D \times(0, T), \bar{D}$ and $\vec{\Omega}$ be the closures of $D$ and $\Omega$ respectively, $x_{0}=\xi_{0} / a$, and $L u=x^{q} u_{t}-u_{x x}$. We can see that $x_{0}=\xi_{0} / a$ is a fixed point in $(0,1)$. Since $\xi=a x$, we have $u_{x}=d u / d x=(d u / d \xi)$. $(d \xi / d x)=u_{\xi} \cdot a$, then $u_{\xi}=u_{x} / a$. Also, $u_{x x}=d^{2} u / d x^{2}=(d / d x) \cdot(d u / d x)=(d / d x)\left(a u_{\xi}\right)$, then we have $a \cdot(d / d x)\left(u_{\xi}\right)=a \cdot\left(d u_{\xi} / d \xi\right) \cdot(d \xi / d x)=a \cdot u_{\xi \xi} \cdot a=a^{2} \cdot u_{\xi \xi}$, then we have $u_{\xi \xi}=u_{x x} / a^{2}$. Now since $\tau=a^{q+2} t$, so $u_{t}=d u / d t=(d u / d \tau) \cdot(d \tau / d t)=u_{\tau} \cdot a^{q+2}$, then we have $u_{\tau}=u_{t} / a^{q+2}$. And since $x_{0}=\xi_{0} / a$, then $\xi_{0}=a \cdot x_{0}$. Now we have this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{q} u_{\tau}-u_{\xi \xi} & =(a x)^{q} \frac{u_{t}}{a^{q+2}}-\frac{u_{x x}}{a^{2}} \\
& =\frac{x^{q} u_{t}-u_{x x}}{a^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\xi=a x$, and $0<\xi<a$, then $0<a x<a$, so we have $0<x<1$. Also, since $\tau=a^{q+2} t$, and $0<\tau<\sigma$, then $0<a^{q+2} t<\sigma$, so $0<t<\sigma /\left(a^{q+2}\right)=T$.
So $f\left(u\left(\xi_{0}, \tau\right)\right)=f\left(u\left(a x_{0}, a^{q+t} t\right)\right)=\left[x^{q} \cdot u_{t}\left(a x_{0}, a^{q+2} t\right)-u_{x x}\left(a x_{0}, a^{q+2} t\right)\right] / a^{2}=f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)$ in $\Omega,(0<x<1,0<t<T)$. Then, $\left(x^{q} u_{t}-u_{x x}\right) / a^{2}=f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)$, hence $x^{q} u_{t}-u_{x x}=$ $a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)$.

The above problem is transformed into

$$
\begin{cases}L u=a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) & \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \geq 0 & \text { on } \quad \bar{D} \\ u(0, t)=0=u_{x}(1, t) & \text { for } \quad 0<t<T\end{cases}
$$

where $T=\sigma / a^{q+2} \leq \infty$. We assume that $f \in C^{2}([0, \infty)), f(0) \geq 0, f^{\prime}>0, f^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$, and there exists a positive number $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u) \geq u^{1+r} \quad \text { for } \quad u \geq 1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $u_{0}(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{D})$ is required to satisfy the compatibility conditions $u_{0}(0)=$ 0 and $u_{0}^{\prime}(1)=0$, where $0<\alpha<1$. The solution of the problem (1.3) is said to blow-up at $x=\bar{x}$ and $t=t_{b}$ if there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right\} \rightarrow\left(\bar{x}, t_{b}\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. The blow-up of $u$ is complete at $t_{b}$ if at $t_{b}, u$ blows up at every point $x \in \bar{D}$.

The complete blow-up of the solution of the problem (1.3) with $u_{x}(1, t)$ replaced by $u(1, t)$ was investigated by Chan and Yang [CY00]. In Chapter 3, we show existence of a unique classical solution of the problem (1.3) for any $q \geq 0$. If $T<\infty$, then $u\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is unbounded in $(0, T)$. A criterion for $u$ to blow up in a finite time is also given, and a nonlinear integral equation in terms of Green's function is used to show that the localized nonlinear reaction leads to the complete blow-up of $u$ for any $q \geq 0$.

## Chapter 2

## Riccati Problems with Constant Coefficients

We will start by stating the theorem which is very important for the Riccati problems.

Theorem 2.1. The following is true for the solution $y(t)$ of (1.2):

1. Let $4 a c-b^{2}>0$. If $a>0$, then $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$, while if $a<0$, then $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$.
2. Let $4 a c-b^{2}=0$. If $a>0$, and $d>-\frac{b}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$. If $a<0$, and $d<-\frac{b}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$. Otherwise, $y(t)$ is bounded for any finite $t>0$. In particular, if $d=-\frac{b}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \equiv d$.
3. Let $4 a c-b^{2}<0$. If $a>0$ and $d>\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$. If $a<0$ and $d<\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$. Otherwise, $y(t)$ is bounded for any finite $t>0$. In particular, if $d=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \equiv d$.
4. If $a=0$, then $y(t)$ is bounded for all $t>0$.

Proof. 1. Let $4 a c-b^{2}>0$. A solution of the initial value problem (1.2) can be found using separation of variables and the table of integrals [LHE06]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\frac{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}{2 a} \tan \left[\frac{t \sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}{2}+\arctan \left(\frac{b+2 a d}{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}\right)\right]-\frac{b}{2 a} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can find the blow-up time $t_{b}$ by solving the following equation for $t$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t \sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}{2}+\arctan \left(\frac{b+2 a d}{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \\
t_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}} \arctan \left[\frac{b+2 a d}{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also,

$$
-\frac{\pi}{2}<\arctan \left[\frac{b+2 a d}{\sqrt{4 a c-b^{2}}}\right]<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

This implies that $t_{b}$ is always positive and solution $y(t)$ of (1.2) is guaranteed to blow-up as $t$ approaches $t_{b}$. Also, from equation (2.1) we notice that if $a>0$, then $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$, while if $a<0$, then $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$. Changing initial value $d$ cannot prevent blow-up from occurring. However, $d$ influences the blow-up time $t_{b}$. For example, if $a<0$, then decreasing $d$ will accelerate the blow-up. If $a>0$, then increasing $d$ will accelerate the blow-up.
2. Let $4 a c-b^{2}=0$. Using separation of variables, we obtain:

$$
\int \frac{d y}{a\left(y+\frac{b}{2 a}\right)^{2}}=\int d t
$$

Integration leads to the following solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\frac{2 a d+b}{a(2-2 a d t-b t)}-\frac{b}{2 a} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the blow-up time we will set the denominator of the first term in (2.2) equal to 0 :

$$
\begin{gathered}
2-2 a d t-b t=0, \\
t_{b}=\frac{2}{2 a d+b} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the inequality

$$
t_{b}=\frac{2}{2 a d+b}>0
$$

and from (2.2) we obtain the following: if $a>0$ and $d>-\frac{b}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$, while if $a<0$ and $d<-\frac{b}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$, Initial value $d$ is very important since
certain values can prevent blow-up from occurring. Also, $d$ influences the blow-up time $t_{b}$. If blow-up occurs for some value $d$, then decreasing $d$ (if $a<0$ ) or increasing $d$ (if $a>0$ ) will accelerate the blow-up. Also, if $d=-\frac{b}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \equiv d$ satisfies initialvalue problem (1.2). By the existence and uniqueness theorem for first order initial-value problem [ BDH 02 ], (1.2) has a unique solution. Therefore, in this special case $y(t)$ is bounded for all finite $t>0$.
3. Let $4 a c-b^{2}<0$. Let us notice that if

$$
d=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}
$$

then $y \equiv d$ is the solution of the initial-value problem (1.2). Therefore, in this case solution is bounded for all $t>0$. Now let us consider the case when

$$
d \neq \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}
$$

Using separation of variables and the table of integrals [LHE06], we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int \frac{d y}{a y^{2}+b y+c}=\int d t \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left|\frac{2 a y+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a y+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right|=t+C_{1}, \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant of integration. We can find $C_{1}$ substituting the initial condition $y(0)=d$ into the equation (2.3). We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left|\frac{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right| . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will consider two possible cases:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}<0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us substitute (2.4) into (2.3) and solve for $y(t)$. In case (2.5), we can omit the absolute value symbol:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left(\frac{2 a y+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a y+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right)= \\
& t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left(\frac{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (2.6), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left(-\frac{2 a y+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a y+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right)= \\
& t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left(-\frac{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In both cases (2.5) and (2.6), the solution of the problem (1.2) is given by the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\frac{-b d+d \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}-2 c+\left(b d+d \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}+2 c\right) e^{t \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}}{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}-\left(2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) e^{t \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the blow-up time we have to set the denominator equal to 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}-\left(2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) e^{t \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equation (2.8) for $t$, we obtain:

$$
t_{b}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}} \ln \left(\frac{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}\right)
$$

The blow-up time $t_{b}$ must be positive, therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}>1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us observe that if equation (2.6) holds, then (2.8) can never be satisfied, therefore, there is no blow-up. If equation (2.5) holds, then there are two possibilities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}>0  \tag{2.10}\\
2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 a d+b-\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}<0  \tag{2.11}\\
2 a d+b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solving (2.10) and (2.9) simultaneously, we obtain conditions on $d$ that lead to blow-up in finite time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d>\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}, \text { if } a>0, \\
& d<\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}, \text { if } a<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving (2.11) and (2.9) simultaneously, we obtain a contradiction which implies that there is no blow-up in this case. We notice that from (2.7) that if $a>0$ and $d>$ $\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$. if $a<0$ and $d<\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}$, then $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$. Initial value $d$ is very important since certain values can prevent blow-up from occurring. Also, $d$ influences the blow-up time $t_{b}$.
4. If $a=0$, then the equation is linear. Using separation of variables, we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{d y}{b y+c}=\int d t \\
y(t)=\frac{(b d+c) e^{b t}-c}{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

Function $y(t)$ is bounded for any finite time $t>0$.

Example 1 (case 3): Let us investigate the blow-up property of the solution for the initial-value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=-4 y^{2}+5 y-1  \tag{2.12}\\
y(0)=d
\end{array}\right.
$$

with three different values of $d$ as indicated below.
First, we notice that $a=-4<0, b=5, c=-1$, and $4 a c-b^{2}=-9<0$. Also, $\left(-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) /(2 a)=0.25$. According to the Theorem 1, we expect that solution of the problem (2.12) blows up for any $d<0.25$ and is bounded otherwise. Solution of the problem (2.12) is given by the formula (2.7).

If $d=2$, then we have

$$
y(t)=\frac{-2+14 e^{3 t}}{-8+14 e^{3 t}}
$$



Figure 2.1: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}<0, \quad a<0, \quad d>\left(-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) /(2 a)$ This function is bounded for any finite time $t>0$.

If $d=0$, then we have

$$
y(t)=\frac{1-e^{3 t}}{4-e^{3 t}} .
$$



Figure 2.2: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}<0, \quad a<0, \quad d<\left(-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) /(2 a)$ Function $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ when $t_{b}=\ln (4) / 3$.

Example 2 (case 3):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=4 y^{2}+5 y+1  \tag{2.13}\\
y(0)=d
\end{array}\right.
$$

First, we notice that $a=4>0, b=5, c=1, d=0$, and $4 a c-b^{2}=-9<0$. Also, $\left(-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) /(2 a)=-0.25$. According to the Theorem 1, we expect that solution of the problem (2.13) blows up for any $d>-0.25$ and is bounded otherwise. Solution of the problem (2.13) is given by the formula (2.7). If $d=0$, then we have

$$
y(t)=\frac{-2+2 e^{3 t}}{8-2 e^{3 t}}
$$



Figure 2.3: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}<0, \quad a>0, \quad d>\left(-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}\right) /(2 a)$ Function $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ when $t_{b}=\ln (4) / 3$.

Example 3 (case 2):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=y^{2}+2 y+1  \tag{2.14}\\
y(0)=d
\end{array}\right.
$$

First, we notice that $a=1>0, b=2, c=1$, and $4 a c-b^{2}=0$. Also, $-b / 2 a=-1$. According to the Theorem 1, we expect that solution of the problem (2.14) approaches $+\infty$ for any $d>-1$ and is bounded otherwise. Solution of the problem (2.14) is given by the formula (2.2). If $d=0$, then we have

$$
y(t)=\frac{1}{1-t}-1 .
$$



Figure 2.4: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}=0, \quad a>0, \quad d>-b / 2 a$
Function $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ when $t_{b}=1$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=-y^{2}-2 y-1  \tag{2.15}\\
y(0)=d .
\end{array}\right.
$$

First, we notice that $a=-1<0, \quad b=-2, \quad c=-1$, and $4 a c-b^{2}=0$. Also, $-b / 2 a=$ -1 . According to the Theorem 1, we expect that solution of the problem (2.15) approaches $-\infty$ for any $d<-1$ and is bounded otherwise. Solution of the problem (2.15) is given by the formula (2.2).

If $d=-1.5$, then we have

$$
y(t)=\frac{1}{-1+t}-1
$$



Figure 2.5: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}=0, \quad a<0, \quad d<-b / 2 a$
Function $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ when $t_{b}=1$.

Example 4 (case 1):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=2 y^{2}+3 y+2 \\
y(0)=d
\end{array}\right.
$$

First we notice that $a=2>0, b=3, c=2, d=1$ and $4 a c-b^{2}=7>0$. According to the Theorem 1, we expect $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ since $a>0$. Changing initial value $d$ cannot prevent blow-up from occurring.

$$
y(t)=\frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \tan \left[\frac{t \sqrt{7}}{2}+\arctan (\sqrt{7})\right]-\frac{3}{4}
$$



Figure 2.6: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}>0, \quad a>0$
Function $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ when $t>0$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=-3 y^{2}+2 y-2, \\
y(0)=d .
\end{array}\right.
$$

First we notice that $a=-3<0, b=2, c=-2, d=1$ and $4 a c-b^{2}=20>0$. According to the Theorem 1, we expect $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ since $a<0$. Changing initial value $d$ cannot prevent blow-up from occurring.

$$
y(t)=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{-3} \tan \left[t \sqrt{5}+\arctan \left(\frac{-2}{\sqrt{5}}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{3} .
$$



Figure 2.7: example of the case $4 a c-b^{2}>0, \quad a<0$
Function $y(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ when $t>0$.

Proof. If $b(x, t) \equiv 0$, we can apply the strong maximum principle [Fri64] to obtain the conclusion immediately.

For the case $b(x, t)$ being nonnegative and nontrivial (not indentically zero), let $\eta$ be a positive constant, and

$$
V(x, t)=u(x, t)+\eta\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right) e^{c t}
$$

where c is a positive constant to be determined.
Let us verify $V(x, 0)>0$ on $\partial \Omega$. We have $V(x, 0)=u(x, 0)+\eta\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right) e^{0}>0$, because we know that $u(x, 0) \geq 0$, and $\eta\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right) e^{0}>0$, thus $V(x, 0)>0$.

Since $u(0, t)=0$ and $\eta(1+0) e^{c t}>0$, so $V(0, t)=u(0, t)+\eta(1+0) e^{c t}>0$. As we know $\xi=a x$, then we have $u_{\xi}=u_{x} / a$. We know $u_{\xi}(a, \tau)=0$, for $\tau>0$. By the substitution, we get $\left(u_{x} / a\right)(1, t)=0$, for $0<t<T$, finally we have $u_{x}(1, t)=0$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{x}(x, t) & =u_{x}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \eta e^{c t} x^{1 / 2-1} \\
& =u_{x}(x, t)+\frac{\eta e^{c t}}{2 \sqrt{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $V_{x}(1, t)=u_{x}(1, t)+\frac{\eta e^{c t}}{2}>0$.
Let $\partial \Omega$ denote the parabolic boundary

$$
(\{0, a\} \times(0, T)) \cup([0, a] \times\{0\})
$$

of $\Omega$. Then $V(x, t)>0$ on $\partial \Omega$, and $L u-b(x, t) u\left(x_{0}, t\right) \geq 0$.
Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L V-b(x, t) V\left(x_{0}, t\right)=L\left(u(x, t)+\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)-b(x, t) V\left(x_{0}, t\right) \\
& =x^{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u+\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(u+\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)-b(x, t)\left[u\left(x_{0}, t\right)+\eta\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) e^{c t}\right] \\
& =L u-b(x, t) u\left(x_{0}, t\right)+L\left(\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)-b(x, t) \eta\left(1+\sqrt{x_{0}}\right) e^{c t} \\
& \geq L\left(\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)-b(x, t) \eta\left(1+\sqrt{x_{0}}\right) e^{c t} \\
& =\frac{x^{q} \partial\left(\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}-b(x, t) \eta\left(1+\sqrt{x_{0}}\right) e^{c t} \\
& =x^{q} \eta(1+\sqrt{x}) e^{c t} c-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\eta e^{c t}}{2 \sqrt{x}}\right)^{c}-b(x, t) \eta\left(1+\sqrt{x_{0}}\right) e^{c t} \\
& =\eta e^{c t}\left(\left(c x^{q}(1+\sqrt{x})\right)-b(x, t)\left(1+\sqrt{x_{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $s$ denote the positive zero of

$$
\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}}-\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega} b(x, t)
$$

For $x=s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)-b(x, t)\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}} \\
& \geq c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)-\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega^{b}} b(x, t)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}} \\
& =c s^{q}\left(1+s^{1 / 2}\right)-\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega} b(x, t)+\frac{1}{4 s^{3 / 2}} \\
& =c s^{q}\left(1+s^{1 / 2}\right)-0>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x<s$, since $c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right) \geq 0$, and $-\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega} b(x, t)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)-b(x, t)\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}} \\
& \geq c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)-\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega^{b}} b(x, t)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x>s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)-b(x, t)\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}} \\
& \geq c x^{q}\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)-\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega} b(x, t)+\frac{1}{4 x^{3 / 2}} \\
& >c s^{q}-\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega} b(x, t) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $c \geq \frac{\left(1+x_{0}^{1 / 2}\right) \max _{(x, t) \in \Omega} b(x, t)}{s^{q}}$, then $L V-b(x, t) V\left(x_{0}, t\right)>0$ in $\Omega$.
Suppose $V(x, t) \leq 0$ somewhere in $\Omega$. Then, the set

$$
\{t: V(x, t) \leq 0 \text { for some } x \in D\}
$$

is nonempty. Let $\bar{t}$ denote its infimum. Since $V(x, 0)>0$, we have $0<\bar{t}<T$. Thus, there exists some $x_{1} \in D$ such that $V\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)=0$, and $V_{t}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right) \leq 0$. On the other hand, since $V(x, t)$ attains its local minimum at $\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)$, we have $V_{x x}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right) \geq 0$. Since $\bar{t}$ is the infimum, we also have $V\left(x_{0}, \bar{t}\right) \geq 0$. Now we have,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L V\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)=x_{1}^{q} V_{t}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)-V_{x x}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right) \leq 0 \\
x_{1}^{q} V_{t}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)-V_{x x}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)-b\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right) V\left(x_{0}, \bar{t}\right) \leq 0 \\
0 \geq x_{1}^{q} V_{t}\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right) \geq L V\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right)-b\left(x_{1}, \bar{t}\right) V\left(x_{0}, \bar{t}\right)>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This contradiction shows that $V(x, t)=u(x, t)+\eta\left(1+x^{1 / 2}\right)>0$, in $\Omega$.
As $\eta \rightarrow 0^{+}, \quad u(x, t) \geq 0$.

### 3.2 Existence and Uniqueness

Let $\omega=D \times\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ for some positive number $t_{0}$, and $\bar{\omega}$ be its closure.
Lemma 3.2. There exists a positive constant $t_{0}<T$ such that the problem (1.3) has an upper solution $\mu_{1}(x, t) \in C^{2,1}(\bar{\omega})$.

Note: An upper solution $\mu_{1}(x, t)$ has to satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \mu_{1}-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \omega \\
& \mu_{1}(x, 0) \geq u_{0}(x) \quad \text { on } \quad \bar{D} \\
& \mu_{1_{x}}(1, t) \geq 0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \\
& \mu_{1}(0, t) \geq 0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: $\mu_{1} \in C^{2,1}(\bar{\omega})$ means that $\mu_{1}, \mu_{1_{x}}, \mu_{1_{x x}}$ and $\mu_{1_{t}}$ are continuous on $\bar{\omega}$.
Proof. Let $k_{1}=1+\max _{x \in \bar{D}}\left(u_{0}(x)\right)$, and $k_{2}\left(>2 a^{2}\right)$ be chosen sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma \equiv k_{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)>2,  \tag{3.2}\\
0<\epsilon<\min \left\{1-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2}}}, \frac{1}{k_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{k_{2}-2 a^{2}}{f\left(1+k_{1}\right)}}\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $2^{1 /(\gamma-2)}>1$ for any $\gamma>2$, we have

$$
0<1-\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2}}}<1
$$

Let $\mu_{1}(x, t)=\theta_{1}(x) \tau_{1}(t)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}(x)=(1-x)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma x}+k_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}^{\prime}=\epsilon^{-q} k_{1}^{-1}\left[\frac{\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma}}{\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}+a^{2}\right] f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right), \quad \tau_{1}(0)=1 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the existence and uniqueness theorem for first order initial-value problem [BDH02], (3.5) has a unique solution. We note that in $D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1}^{\prime}(x) & =\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-1}(-1) e^{\gamma x}+(1-x)^{\gamma} \gamma e^{\gamma x} \\
& =-\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-1} x e^{\gamma x}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the function $\theta_{1}(x)$ is decreasing, which implies that

$$
\theta_{1}(x) \leq 1+k_{1} .
$$

From (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma-\epsilon^{2} \gamma^{2} \tau_{1}(0)-\frac{a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}(0)\right)}{(1-\epsilon)^{\gamma-2}} \\
& =\gamma-\epsilon^{2} \gamma^{2}-\frac{a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{(1-\epsilon)^{\gamma-2}} \\
& \geq k_{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)-\epsilon^{2} k_{2}^{2} f^{2}\left(1+k_{1}\right)-\frac{a^{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)}{\left(1-1+\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2}}}\right)^{\gamma-2}} \\
& =f\left(1+k_{1}\right)\left(k_{2}-2 a^{2}-\epsilon^{2} k_{2}^{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)\right) \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We used the following: $\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2}}}\right)^{\gamma-2}=\frac{1^{\gamma-2}}{\left(2^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2}}\right)^{\gamma-2}}=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-2}}}=\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}-2 a^{2}-\epsilon^{2} k_{2}^{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)>0, \\
& k_{2}-2 a^{2}>\epsilon^{2} k_{2}^{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right), \\
& \frac{k_{2}-2 a^{2}}{k_{2}^{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)}>\epsilon^{2}, \\
& \epsilon<\sqrt{\frac{k_{2}-2 a^{2}}{k_{2}^{2} f\left(1+k_{1}\right)}}=\frac{1}{k_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{k_{2}-2 a^{2}}{f\left(1+k_{1}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds by (3.3).
Also, $\tau_{1}(t)$ is an increasing function that blows up at

$$
t_{b}=\frac{\epsilon^{q} k_{1}}{\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma}+a^{2} \theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \int_{\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{f(s)}<\infty
$$

Let $t_{2}$ denote the time such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\epsilon^{2} \gamma^{2} \tau_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)-\frac{a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau\left(t_{2}\right)\right)}{(1-\epsilon)^{\gamma-2}}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t_{0}=\min \left\{t_{2} ; t_{b}-\kappa\right\}$ for some fixed small number $0<\kappa \ll t_{b}$. Since $\tau_{1}(t)$ is an increasing function, it follows from (3.2), (3.4), and (3.6) that for any $x \in[0, \epsilon]$ and $t \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \mu_{1}-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)=x^{q} \mu_{1_{t}}-\mu_{1_{x x}}-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =x^{q} \theta_{1}(x) \tau_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\theta_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) \tau_{1}(t)-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(x^{q}\left((1-x)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma x}+k_{1}\right)\right)\left(\epsilon^{-q} k_{1}^{-1}\left[\frac{\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma}}{\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}+a^{2}\right] f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right)\right) \\
& -\left(\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-2}\left(-1+\gamma x^{2}\right) e^{\gamma x}\right) \tau_{1}-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right) \\
& \geq-\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-2}\left(-1+\gamma x^{2}\right) e^{\gamma x} \tau_{1}-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right) \\
& \geq(1-x)^{\gamma-2} e^{\gamma x}\left[\gamma \tau_{1}(0)-\gamma^{2} \tau_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) x^{2}-\frac{a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{e^{\gamma x}(1-x)^{\gamma-2}}\right] \\
& \geq(1-x)^{\gamma-2} e^{\gamma x}\left[\gamma-\gamma^{2} \tau_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) \epsilon^{2}-\frac{a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{(1-\epsilon)^{\gamma-2}}\right] \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\gamma(\gamma-1)(1-x)^{\gamma-2}(-1) x e^{\gamma x}-\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-1} e^{\gamma x}-\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-1} x \gamma e^{\gamma x} \\
& =\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-2}\left(-1+x^{2} \gamma\right) e^{\gamma x}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\max _{x \in \bar{D}}\left|\theta_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq \gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma}
$$

Using $\tau_{1}^{\prime}>0$, and from (1.4), (3.2), and (3.6) we have $f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right) \geq \theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}$,
and for any $x \in[\epsilon, 1]$, and $t \leq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \mu_{1}-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)=x^{q} \mu_{1_{t}}-\mu_{1_{x x}}-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =x^{q} \theta_{1}(x) \tau_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\theta_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) \tau_{1}(t)-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =x^{q}\left((1-x)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma x}+k_{1}\right) \tau_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\left(\gamma(1-x)^{\gamma-2}\left(-1+\gamma x^{2}\right) e^{\gamma x}\right) \tau_{1}(t)-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& \geq x^{q}\left((1-x)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma x}+k_{1}\right) \tau_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\left(\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma}\right) \tau_{1}(t)-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& \geq \epsilon^{q} k_{1} \tau_{1}^{\prime}-\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma} \tau_{1}-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \epsilon^{q} k_{1} \tau_{1}^{\prime}-\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma} \frac{f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right)}{\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right) \\
& =\epsilon^{q} k_{1}\left\{\tau_{1}^{\prime}-\epsilon^{-q} k_{1}^{-1}\left[\frac{\gamma(1+\gamma) e^{\gamma}}{\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}+a^{2}\right] f\left(\theta_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau_{1}(0)=1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$, and $k_{1}=1+\max _{x \in \bar{D}}\left(u_{0}(x)\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1}(x) & =(1-x)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma x}+k_{1} \\
& =(1-x)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma x}+1+\max _{x \in \bar{D}}\left(u_{0}(x)\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have $\mu_{1}(x, 0)=\theta_{1}(x) \tau_{1}(0)>u_{0}(x)$. Also, $\mu_{1_{x}}(1, t)=\theta_{1}^{\prime}(1) \tau_{1}(t)=0$, since $\theta_{1}^{\prime}(1)=$ $-\gamma(1-1)^{\gamma-1} e^{\gamma}=0$. Then $\mu_{1}(0, t)=\theta_{1}(0) \tau_{1}(t)=\left((1-0)^{\gamma} e^{\gamma 0}+k_{1}\right) \tau_{1}(t)>0$. Since $L \mu_{1}-$ $a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \geq 0$ and $L u=a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)$, using the Mean Value Theorem (Appendix A), we attain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\mu_{1}-u\right) & =L \mu_{1}-L u \\
& \geq a^{2} f\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)-a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =a^{2} f^{\prime}\left(\zeta\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)\left(\mu_{1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)-u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\zeta\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ between $\mu_{I}\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ and $u\left(x_{0}, t\right)$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$
\mu_{1}(x, t)\left(\in C^{2,1}(\bar{\omega})\right) \text { is an upper solution. }
$$

Let $\rho(x)$ in $C^{3}[0,1]$ be an increasing function such that $\rho(x)$ is 0 for $x \leq 0$ and 1 for $x \geq 1$. Also, let $\delta$ be some positive constant with $\delta<x_{0} / 2, \quad D_{\delta}=(\delta, 1), \quad \omega_{\delta}=$ $D_{\delta} \times\left(0, t_{0}\right), \quad \bar{D}_{\delta}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{\delta}$ be the closures of $D_{\delta}$ and $\omega_{\delta}$ respectively,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{\delta}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \leq \delta \\
\rho\left(\frac{x}{\delta}-1\right) & \text { for } \delta<x<2 \delta \\
1 & \text { for } x \geq 2 \delta\end{cases} \\
u_{0_{\delta}}(x)=\rho_{\delta}(x) u_{0}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

From

$$
\frac{\partial u_{0_{\delta}}(x)}{\partial \delta}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \leq \delta \\ -\frac{x}{\delta^{2}} \rho^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\delta}-1\right) u_{0}(x) & \text { for } \delta<x<2 \delta \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geq 2 \delta\end{cases}
$$

we have $\partial u_{0_{\delta}}(x) / \partial \delta \leq 0$, and $u_{0_{\delta}}(x) \leq u_{0}(x)$.
Let us consider the following problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
L u_{\delta}=a^{2} f\left(u_{\delta}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) & \text { in } \quad \omega_{\delta}  \tag{3.7}\\
\dot{u_{\delta}}(x, 0)=u_{0_{\delta}}(x)(\geq 0) & \text { on } & \bar{D}_{\delta} \\
u_{\delta}(\delta, t)=0=u_{\delta_{x}}(1, t) & \text { for } & 0<t<t_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Existence of a classical solution for the problem (3.7) with $u_{\delta_{x}}(1, t)=0$ replaced by $u_{\delta}(1, t)=0$ has been established by Chan and Yang [CY00]. By using Theorem A.4.1 (instead of Theorem 4.2.2) of Ladde, Lakshmikantham and Vatsala [LLV85], and Theorem 5.3 (instead of Theorem 5.2) of Ladyženskaja, Solonnikov and Ural'ceva [LSU67], a proof similar to that of Theorem 3 of Chan and Yang [CY00] gives the following result.

Lemma 3.3. The problem (3.7) has a unique nonnegative solution $u_{\delta} \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha / 2}\left(\bar{\omega}_{\delta}\right)$ such that $u_{\delta}(x, t) \leq \mu_{1}(x, t)$.

It is shown in Chan and Liu [CL98] that there exists solution $u_{\delta} \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha / 2}\left(\bar{\omega}_{\delta}\right)$ of (3.7).

Let $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\delta}(x, t)=u(x, t)$. By using the singular index 3 (cf. Ladyženskaja, Solonnikov and Ural'ceva [LSU67]), a proof similar to that of Lemma 2 of Chan and Liu [CL98] gives the following result.

Theorem 3.4. The problem (1.3) has a unique solution $u(x, t) \in C(\bar{\omega}) \cap C^{2,1}((0,1] \times$ $\left.\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)$.

Since $0 \leq u_{\delta} \leq \mu_{1}, \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\delta}$ exists for all $(x, t) \in \bar{\omega}$. It is also shown that $u_{\delta_{1}},\left(u_{\delta}\right)_{t},\left(u_{\delta}\right)_{x}$, and $\left(u_{\delta}\right)_{x x}$ are equicontinuous (Appendix A) in $\bar{\omega}$. By the Ascoli-Arzela Theorem (Appendix A), the partial derivatives of $u$ are the limits of the corresponding derivatives of $u_{\delta}$. Therefore, $u(x, t)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} u_{\delta}$.

Let $T$ be the supremum over $t_{0}$ for which the problem (1.3) has a unique solution $u \in C(\bar{\omega}) \cap C^{2,1}\left((0,1] \times\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)$. Then, it has a unique solution $u(x, t) \in C(\vec{D} \times[0, T)) \cap$ $C^{2,1}((0,1] \times[0, T))$. We modify the proof of Theorem 2.5 by Floater [Flo91] to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5. If $T<\infty$, then $u\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is unbounded in $(0, T)$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $u\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is bounded above by some positive constant $M$ in $\Omega$. We would like to show that $u$ can be continued into a time interval $\left[0, T+\tilde{t}_{0}\right]$ for some positive $\tilde{t}_{0}$. To do so, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
K=\max \left\{a^{2} f(M), \quad \max _{x \in \bar{D}} \frac{2 u_{0}(x)}{x\left(2 k_{3}+1-x\right)}\right\} \\
\begin{array}{c}
W(x)=\frac{K x\left(2 k_{3}+1-x\right)}{2} \\
=K x k_{3}+\frac{1}{2} K x-\frac{1}{2} K x^{2} \\
W^{\prime}(x)=K k_{3}+\frac{1}{2} K-K x \\
W^{\prime \prime}(x)=-K
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k_{3} \geq 1 / 2$. Since $L W=x^{q} W_{t}-W_{x x}=x^{q} \cdot 0-(-K)=K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(W-u) & =L W-L u \\
& =K-a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& \geq a^{2} f(M)-a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =a^{2}\left(f(M)-f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)\right) \geq 0 \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, we have

$$
W(x) \geq \frac{\left(\max _{x \in \bar{D}} \frac{2 u_{0}(x)}{x\left(2 k_{3}+1-x\right)}\right) x\left(2 k_{3}+1-x\right)}{2} \geq u_{0}(x)
$$

Also,

$$
W(0)=\frac{K \cdot 0\left(2 k_{3}+1-0\right)}{2}=0=u(0, t)
$$

and since $k_{3} \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$
W^{\prime}(1)=K k_{3}+\frac{1}{2} K-K=K\left(k_{3}-0.5\right) \geq 0, \text { and } u_{x}(1, t)=0,
$$

we have $W^{\prime}(1)=K\left(k_{3}-0.5\right) \geq u_{x}(1, t)$ for $t>0$.
By Lemma 3.1, $W(x)$ is an upper solution of $u(x, t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.
Taking $W(x)$ as the initial function at $t=T$, we can construct, as in Lemma 3.2, an upper solution $\tilde{\mu}_{1}(x, t)$ of $u(x, t)$ on $\vec{D} \times\left[T, T+\tilde{t}_{0}\right]$ for some positive $\tilde{t}_{0}$. Thus, $u$ can be continued into a time interval $\left[0, T+\tilde{t}_{0}\right]$. This contradicts the definition of $T$. Hence, the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.6. If $u_{0}(x)$ is sufficiently large in the neighborhood of $x_{0}$, then $u$ blows up in a finite time.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{2}(x) & =\left(x-x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{2}\left(x-x_{0}-\epsilon\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(x^{2}-2 x_{0} x+x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}-2 x_{0} x+x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
& =x^{4}+x^{3}\left(-2 x_{0}-2 x_{0}\right)+x^{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}+4 x_{0}^{2}+x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\right) \\
& +x\left(-2 x_{0}^{3}+2 x \epsilon^{2} x_{0}-2 x_{0}^{3}+2 \epsilon^{2} x_{0}\right)+\left(x_{0}^{4}-\epsilon^{2} x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2} x_{0}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\right) \\
& =x^{4}+x^{3}\left(-4 x_{0}\right)+x^{2}\left(6 x_{0}^{2}-2 \epsilon^{2}\right)+x\left(-4 x_{0}^{3}+4 \epsilon^{2} x_{0}\right)+\left(x_{0}^{4}-2 \epsilon^{2} x_{0}^{2}+\epsilon^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\theta_{2}^{\prime}(x)=4 x^{3}+3 x^{2}\left(-4 x_{0}\right)+2 x\left(6 x_{0}^{2}-2 \epsilon^{2}\right)+\left(-4 x_{0}^{3}+4 \epsilon^{2} x_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =12 x^{2}-24 x_{0} x+12 x_{0}^{2}-4 \epsilon^{2} \\
& =4\left(3 x^{2}-6 x_{0} x+3 x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{4}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}} \tau_{2}=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}} \tau_{2}^{1+r}, \tau_{2}(0) \equiv \tau_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}},\left(\frac{4}{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small such that $0<x_{0}-\epsilon<x_{0}+\epsilon<1$, and $r$ is given in (1.4).
We note that

$$
\theta_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=4\left(3 x^{2}-6 x_{0} x+3 x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\right)
$$

is a quadratic function with vertex at $x=x_{0}, a=12, b=-24 x_{0}$, and $c=\left(3 x_{0}^{2}-\epsilon^{2}\right) 4$. Now,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0 \text { at } x=x_{0}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \epsilon \text { and } x=x_{0}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \epsilon, \\
\theta_{2}^{\prime \prime}<0 \text { for } x \in\left(x_{0}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \epsilon, x_{0}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \epsilon\right), \\
\theta_{2}^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { for } x \in\left(x_{0}-\epsilon, x_{0}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right), \quad x \in\left(x_{0}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, x_{0}+\epsilon\right), \\
\theta_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(x_{0}-x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{2}\left(x_{0}-x_{0}-\epsilon\right)^{2}=\epsilon^{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\theta_{2}(x)$ attains its maximum $\epsilon^{4}$ at $x=x_{0}$.
Let $\mu_{2}(x, t)=\theta_{2}(x) \tau_{2}(t)$. Then for $x \in\left(x_{0}-\epsilon, x_{0}+\epsilon\right)$, since $f(u) \geq u^{1+r}, u \geq 1$, and $f\left(\epsilon^{4} \tau_{2}\right) \geq\left(\epsilon^{4} \tau_{2}\right)^{1+r}$ provided $\epsilon^{4} \tau_{2} \geq 1$, and $\epsilon^{4} \tau_{2} \geq \epsilon^{4}\left(1 / \epsilon^{4}\right)=1$ from (3.8), since $x_{0}+\epsilon$ is maximum, $\theta_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\epsilon^{4}$, and $\tau_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{4}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}} \tau_{2}=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}} \tau_{2}^{1+r}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \mu_{2}-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{2}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =L\left(\theta_{2}(x) \tau_{2}(t)\right)-a^{2} f\left(\mu_{2}\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \\
& =x^{q}\left(x-x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{2}\left(x-x_{0}-\epsilon\right)^{2} \tau_{2}^{\prime}+\left(-12 x^{2}+24 x_{0} x-12 x_{0}^{2}+4 \epsilon^{2}\right) \tau_{2}-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq x^{q}\left(x-x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{2}\left(x-x_{0}-\epsilon\right)^{2} \tau_{2}^{\prime}+4 \epsilon^{2} \tau_{2}-a^{2} f\left(\theta_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{4} \tau_{2}^{\prime}+4 \epsilon^{2} \tau_{2}-a^{2} f\left(\epsilon^{4} \tau_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{4} \tau_{2}^{\prime}+4 \epsilon^{2} \tau_{2}-a^{2} \epsilon^{4(1+r) \tau_{2}^{1+r}} \\
& =\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{4}\left[\tau_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{4}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}} \tau_{2}-\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}} \tau_{2}^{1+r}\right] \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \in\left[x_{0}-\epsilon, x_{0}+\epsilon\right], \mu_{2}(x, 0) \geq 0$. Also, $\mu_{2}\left(x_{0}-\epsilon, t\right)=0=\mu_{2}\left(x_{0}+\epsilon, t\right)$. In (3.8), let $z=\tau_{2}^{-r}$. We obtain a linear equation,

$$
z^{\prime}-\frac{4 r}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}} z+\frac{a^{2} r \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}}=0
$$

For solving this, we start from (3.8)

$$
\tau_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{4}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}} \tau_{2}=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}} \tau_{2}^{1+r}
$$

Let $y=\tau_{2}, a=\frac{4}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}$, and $b=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}}$, then $y^{\prime}+a y=b y^{1+r}$. We have $\frac{d y}{d x}+a y=$ $b y^{1+r}$.

Now, $P(x)=a, Q(x)=b, n=1+r$, and $v=y^{1-1-r}=y^{-r}$, then since $y=v^{-\frac{1}{r}}$, we have $b y^{1+r}=b\left(v^{-\frac{1}{r}}\right)^{1+r}=b v^{-\frac{1}{r}-1}$, and $d y / d x=(d y / d v)(d v / d x)=-1 / r v^{-\frac{1}{r}-1} d v / d x$,

$$
-\frac{1}{r} v^{-\frac{1}{r}-1} \frac{d v}{d x}+a v^{-\frac{1}{r}}=b v^{-\frac{1}{r}-1}
$$

if we divide by $-1 / r(v)^{-1 / r-1}$ to both sides, then we have

$$
\frac{d v}{d x}-a r v=-b r
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(x)=e^{\int-a r d x}=e^{-a r x}, \\
& D_{x}\left(e^{-a r x} v\right)=-b r\left(e^{-a r x}\right) \\
& e^{-a r x} v=\int-b r\left(e^{-a r x}\right) d x=\frac{b}{a}\left(e^{-a r x}\right)+c \\
& e^{-a r x} y^{-r}=\frac{b}{a}\left(e^{-a r x}\right)+c \\
& y^{-r}=\frac{b}{a}+\frac{c}{e^{-a r x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau_{2}=y, a=\frac{4}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}, b=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}}$, and $x=t$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{2}^{-r} & =\frac{\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r}}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q}}}{4}+\frac{c}{\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}+c e^{\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tau_{2}=\left[\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}+c e^{\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}}\right]^{-\frac{1}{r}}
$$

since $e^{\left(\frac{4 . r \cdot 0}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \mathrm{c}^{2}}\right)}=e^{0}=1$, now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{0}=\tau_{2}(0)=\left[\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}+c\right]^{-\frac{1}{r}}, \\
& \tau_{2}^{-r}=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}+c, \\
& \tau_{2}^{-r}-\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}=c, \\
& \tau_{2}=\left[\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}+\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{r}}-\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}\right) e^{\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+c\right) \epsilon^{2}}}\right]^{-\frac{1}{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\tau_{2}=\left\{\left[\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4} e^{-\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right) \epsilon^{2}}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{r}}-\frac{a^{2} \varepsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}\right] e^{\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right) \xi^{2}}}\right\}^{-\frac{1}{r}}$.
To find the blow-up time,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4} e^{-\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right) \epsilon_{\epsilon}}}+\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{r}}-\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}=0, \\
& \frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4} e^{-\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{4} \epsilon^{2}}}=-\frac{1}{\tau_{0}^{r}}+\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}, \\
& \frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4} e^{-\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+e\right)^{4 \epsilon_{e}^{2}}}}=\frac{-4+a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4 \tau_{0}^{r}}, \\
& e^{-\frac{4 r t}{\left(x_{0} \epsilon \epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}}=\frac{\frac{-4+a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4 \tau_{0}^{r}}}{\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{4}}=\frac{-4+a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}{\tau_{0}^{r} a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}=1-\frac{4}{a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}, \\
& \frac{-4 r t}{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}=\ln \left(1-\frac{4}{a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $t=\frac{-\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}{4 r} \ln \left(1-\frac{4}{a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}\right)$.

$$
\text { Since } \tau_{2}(0) \equiv \tau_{0}>\max \left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}},\left(\frac{4}{a^{2} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\right\}, \text { then } \frac{4}{a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{4 r+2}}<1
$$

Therefore, $\tau_{2}(t)$ blows up at the time $t_{b_{1}}=-\frac{\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)^{q} \epsilon^{2}}{4 r} \ln \left(1-\frac{4}{a^{2} \tau_{0}^{r} \epsilon^{2+4 r}}\right)>0$.
Since

$$
L u=a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right)=a^{2} f^{\prime}(\xi) u\left(x_{0}, t\right),
$$

where $\xi$ lies between 0 and $u\left(x_{0}, t\right)$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $u(x, t) \geq 0$. Therefore, if we choose $u_{0}(x)$ such that $u_{0}(x) \geq \mu_{2}(x, 0)$ on $\left[x_{0}-\epsilon, x_{0}+\epsilon\right]$, then by Lemma 1 of

Chan and Yang [CY00], $u(x, t) \geq \mu_{2}(x, t)$. Hence, the solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1.3) blows up no later than $t_{b_{1}}$ whenever $u_{0}(x) \geq \mu_{2}(x, 0)$.

### 3.3 Complete Blow-Up

Green's function $G(x, t ; \xi, \tau)$ corresponding to the problem (1.3) is determined by the following system: for $x$ and $\xi$ in $D$, and $t$ and $\tau$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L G=\delta(x-\xi) \delta(t-\tau) \\
& G(x, t ; \xi, \tau)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad t<\tau \\
& G(0, t ; \xi, \tau)=0=G_{x}(1, t ; \xi, \tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function.

## Definition of the Dirac delta function $\delta$ :

We define the delta function, or more accurately the delta distribution [ McO 97 ], in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ to be object $\delta(x)$ so that formally

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \delta(x) v(x) d x=v(0)
$$

for every test function $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) . C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the space of continuous functions with continuous derivatives on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ whose support is a compact subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The support of a continuous function $f(x)$ defined on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is the closure of the set of points where $f(x)$ is nonzero [McO97]. In the one-dimensional case we find $H^{\prime}(x)=\delta(x)$, where the Heaviside function of a single real variable is:

$$
H(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & x \geq 0 \\
0 & \text { if } & x<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Notice that we can take any number of distributional derivatives of $\delta(x)$. Also, we can translate the singularity in $\delta(x)$ to any point $\mu \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ by letting $\delta_{\mu}(x)=\delta(x-\mu)$ so that a change of variables $y=x-\mu$ yields

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \delta_{\mu} v(x) d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \delta(x-\mu) v(x) d x=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \delta(y) v(y+\mu) d x=v(\mu)
$$

Lemma 3.7. If $h(x, t)$ is nontrivial (not indentically zero) such that $0 \leq h(x, t) \leq 1$ and $h(x, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)$, then for any finite $\theta$, the degenerate linear parabolic problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L v=h(x, t) \text { in } D \times(0, \theta]  \tag{3.9}\\
v(x, 0)=0 \text { on } \bar{D} \\
v(0, t)=0=v_{x}(1, t) \text { for } 0<t \leq \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution $v(x, t) \in C(\bar{D} \times[0, \theta]) \cap C^{2,1}((0,1] \times[0, \theta])$.
Proof. Let $\delta$ be some positive constant such that $\delta<x_{0}$. We consider the problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L v_{\delta}=h(x, t) \text { in } D_{\delta} \times(0, \theta]  \tag{3.10}\\
v_{\delta}(x, 0)=0 \text { on } \bar{D}_{\delta}, \\
v_{\delta}(\delta, t)=0=v_{\delta_{x}}(1, t) \text { for } 0<t \leq \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

We would like to construct an upper solution $\mu_{3}(x, t) \in C^{2,1}(\bar{D} \times[0, \theta])$ in the form $\theta_{3}(x) \tau_{3}(t)$ for $v$ and all $v_{\delta}$ given by (3.9) and (3.10) respectively. Let $\theta_{3}(x)=$ $x e^{1-x}, \quad \theta_{3}^{\prime}(x)=e^{1-x}-x e^{1-x}, \quad \theta_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x)=e^{1-x}(x-2)$, and $\epsilon$ be a fixed positive number less than $x_{0}$, and

$$
\tau_{3}^{\prime}=\epsilon^{-q}\left(1+\epsilon^{-1}\right) \tau_{3}, \tau_{3}(0)=1
$$

It follows that

$$
\tau_{3}(t)=e^{\epsilon^{-q}\left(1+\epsilon^{-1}\right) t} \geq 0
$$

which is increasing and bounded for any $t \leq \theta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \mu_{3}(x, t)=\theta_{3}(x) \tau_{3}(t) \text {. Then, } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\mu_{3}(x, t) & =\theta_{3}(x) \tau_{3}(t) \\
& =\left(x e^{1-x}\right)\left(e^{\epsilon^{-q\left(1+\epsilon^{-1}\right) t}}\right) \in C^{2,1}(\bar{D} \times[0, \theta])
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $x \in[0, \epsilon]$, and any $t \leq \theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \mu_{3}-h(x, t) & =L\left(\theta_{3}(x) \tau_{3}(t)\right)-h(x, t) \\
& =x^{q}\left(x e^{1-x}\right) \epsilon^{-q}\left(1+\epsilon^{-1}\right) \tau_{3}-e^{1-x}(x-2) \tau_{3}-h(x, t) \\
& \geq-e^{1-x}(x-2) \tau_{3}-1 \\
& \geq e^{1-x} \tau_{3}\left(-\epsilon+2-\frac{1}{e^{1-x} \tau_{3}}\right) \\
& \geq e^{1-x} \tau_{3}(-\epsilon+2-1) \\
& =(1-\epsilon) e^{1-x} \tau_{3}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau_{3}(t)$ is an increasing function, we have for any $x \in(\epsilon, 1]$ and any $t \leq \theta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L \mu_{3}-h(x, t) & =x^{q}\left(x e^{1-x}\right) \epsilon^{-q}\left(1+\epsilon^{-1}\right) \tau_{3}-e^{1-x}(x-2) \tau_{3}-h(x, t) \\
& \geq \epsilon^{q} x e^{1-x} \tau_{3}^{\prime}-x e^{1-x} \tau_{3}-1 \\
& =\epsilon^{q} x e^{1-x}\left(\tau_{3}^{\prime}-\epsilon^{-q} \tau_{3}-\frac{\epsilon^{-q} \tau_{3}}{e^{1-x} x \tau_{3}}\right) \\
& \geq \epsilon^{q} x e^{1-x}\left(\tau_{3}^{\prime}-\epsilon^{-q} \tau_{3}-\frac{\epsilon^{-q} \tau_{3}}{e^{1-x} \epsilon \tau_{3}}\right) \\
& \geq \epsilon^{q} x e^{1-x}\left(\tau_{3}^{\prime}-\epsilon^{-q} \tau_{3}-\epsilon^{-q-1} \tau_{3}\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu_{3}(x, 0)=\theta_{3}(x) \tau_{3}(0)=x e^{1-x} \cdot 1=x e^{1-x} \geq 0, \quad \mu_{3}(0, t)=\theta_{3}(0) \tau_{3}(t)=0$, and $\mu_{3_{x}}(1, t)=\theta_{3}^{\prime}(1) \tau_{3}(t)=\left(e^{1-1}-e^{1-1}\right) \tau_{3}(t)=0$, it follows from the strong maximum principle [Fri64] and the parabolic version of Hopf's lemma [Fri64] that $\mu_{3}(x, t)$ is an upper solution for all $v_{\delta}$ and $v$.

We note that $x^{-q} \in C^{\alpha, \alpha / 2}\left(\bar{D}_{\delta} \times[0, \theta]\right), \quad\left|x^{-q} h\right| \leq \delta^{-q}$ for $\left(x, t, v_{\delta}\right) \in \bar{D}_{\delta} \times$ $[0, \theta] \times R$, and $v_{0_{\delta}}(x)=0 \in C^{2+\alpha}\left(\bar{D}_{\delta}\right)$.

## Definition of Hölder Continuity:

If $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $u$ is defined and continuous in a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$, then we can say that $u$ is Hölder continuous at $x_{0}$ with exponent $\alpha$ if

$$
[u]_{\alpha ; x_{0}} \equiv \sup _{x \in U} \frac{\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\alpha}}<\infty
$$

Here $x_{0} \in \bar{D}_{\delta}=[\delta, 1]$.
Let us prove that $x^{-q} \in C^{\alpha, \alpha / 2}\left(\bar{D}_{\delta} \times[0, \theta]\right)$. By the mean value theorem, $f(u)-f(v)=f^{\prime}(\xi)(u-v)$. Let $f(x)=x^{-q}$, and $f\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{-q}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{-q}-x_{0}^{-q}\right| & =\left|f^{\prime}(\xi)\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|-q \xi^{-q-1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{q}{\xi^{q+1}}\left(x-x_{0}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[u]_{\alpha ; x_{0}} } & \equiv \sup _{x \in U} \frac{\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\alpha}} \\
& \equiv \sup _{x \in U} \frac{\left|x^{-q}-x_{0}^{-q}\right|}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\alpha}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem A.4.1 of Ladde, Lakshmikantham and Vatsala [LLV85] (Appendix A), the problem (3.10) has a unique solution $v_{\delta} \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha / 2}\left(\bar{D}_{\delta} \times[0, \theta]\right)$.

By the strong maximum principle (Appendix A), $v_{\delta} \geq 0$ on $\bar{D}_{\delta} \times[0, \theta]$. Let (A), (B), (C) hold. $L u \leq 0$ means $u \geq 0$, so $L v_{\delta} \leq 0$ means $v_{\delta} \geq 0$, on $\bar{D}_{\delta} \times[0, \theta]$. For $\delta_{1} \leq \delta_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L\left(v_{\delta_{1}}-v_{\delta_{2}}\right)=0 \text { for } x \in\left(\delta_{2}, 1\right) \\
v_{\delta_{1}}(x, 0)=v_{\delta_{2}}(x, 0) \text { for } x \in\left[\delta_{2}, 1\right] \\
v_{\delta_{1}}\left(\delta_{2}, t\right)-v_{\delta_{2}}\left(\delta_{2}, t\right) \geq 0, \quad v_{\delta_{1_{x}}}(1, t)-v_{\delta_{2_{x}}}(1, t)=0, \quad 0<t \leq \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, $v_{\delta_{1}} \geq v_{\delta_{2}}$ on $\bar{D}_{\delta_{2}} \times[0, \theta]$, Thus, $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} v_{\delta}$ exists, since $v_{\delta}$ is bounded by upper solution $\mu_{3}$ and is monotone because $v_{\delta_{1}} \geq v_{\delta_{2}}$.

Let $v(x, t)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} v_{\delta}(x, t)$. A proof similar to that of Theorem 3.5 shows that $v(x, t)$ is a solution of the problem (3.9), and $v(x, t) \in C(\bar{D} \times[0, \theta]) \cap C^{2,1}((0,1] \times[0, \theta])$.

Let's prove that $v(x, t)$ is unique. Let $y_{1}=v_{1}-v_{2}$, and $y_{2}=v_{2}-v_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
L v_{1}=x^{q} v_{1_{t}}-v_{1_{x x}}=h(x, t) \text { in } D \times(0, \theta] \\
v_{1}(x, 0)=0 \text { on } \bar{D} . \\
v_{1}(0, t)=0=v_{1_{x}}(1, t) \text { for } 0<t \leq \theta
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
L v_{2}=x^{q} v_{2_{t}}-v_{2_{x x}}=h(x, t) \text { in } D \times(0, \theta] \\
v_{2}(x, 0)=0 \text { on } \bar{D} . \\
v_{2}(0, t)=0=v_{2_{x}}(1, t) \text { for } 0<t \leq \theta
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting equations (3.12) from (3.11), we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L y_{1}=L\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)=0 \\
v_{1}(x, 0)-v_{2}(x, 0)=0 \\
v_{1}(0, t)-v_{2}(0, t)=0 \\
v_{1_{x}}(1, t)-v_{2_{x}}(1, t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Subtracting equations (3.11) from (3.12), we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L y_{2}=L\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)=0 \\
v_{2}(x, 0)-v_{1}(x, 0)=0 \\
v_{2}(0, t)-v_{1}(0, t)=0 \\
v_{2_{x}}(1, t)-v_{1_{x}}(1, t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 3.1, $y_{1} \geq 0$, then $v_{1}-v_{2} \geq 0$, so $v_{1} \geq v_{2}$, and $y_{2} \geq 0$, then $v_{2}-v_{1} \geq 0$, so $v_{2} \geq v_{1}$, hence $v_{1} \geq v_{2}$ and $v_{2} \geq v_{1}$, thus $v_{1}=v_{2}$. Therefore, $v(x, t)$ is a unique solution.

Lemma 3.8. Given any $x \in(0,1]$ and any finite time $\theta$, there exist positive constants $k_{4}$ (depending on $x$ and $\theta$ ) and $k_{5}$ (depending on $\theta$ ) such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
k_{4}<\int_{0}^{1} G(x, t ; \xi, \tau) d \xi & \text { for } & 0 \leq t \leq \theta \\
\int_{0}^{1} G\left(x_{0}, t ; \xi, \tau\right) d \xi<k_{5} & \text { for } & 0 \leq t \leq \theta
\end{array}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, the problem,

$$
L v=1 \text { in } D \times(0, \theta], v(x, 0)=0 \text { on } \bar{D}, v(0, t)=0=v_{x}(1, t) \text { for } 0<t \leq \theta
$$

has a unique solution $v \in C(\bar{D} \times[0, \theta]) \cap C^{2,1}((0,1] \times[0, \theta])$. The adjoint operator $L^{*}$ of $L$ is given by

$$
L^{*} u=-x^{q} u_{t}-u_{x x} .
$$

Definition: The operator $L^{*}$ is called the adjoint of $L$, and is an m-th order linear differential operator with continuous coefficients.

Let $L u=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u$, where $a_{\alpha} \in C^{|\alpha|}(\Omega), \quad u \in C^{m}(\Omega)$, and $v \in$ $C_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. Then $\int_{\Omega}\left(D^{\alpha} u\right) v d x=(-1)^{m} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} v d x$, where $m=|\alpha|$,

$$
L^{*} v=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha}\left(a_{\alpha}(x) v\right)
$$

Therefore, $\int_{\Omega}(L u) v d x=\int_{\Omega} u\left(L^{*} v\right) d x[\mathrm{McO97}]$.
Using Green's second identity, we obtain

$$
v(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, t-\tau) d \xi d \tau=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, t) d \xi d \tau
$$

This gives

$$
v_{t}=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, t) d \xi
$$

corresponding to the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L v_{t}=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
v_{t}(x, 0)=x^{-q} \text { for } 0<x \leq 1 \\
v_{t}(0, t)=0=v_{t_{x}}(1, t) \text { for } 0<t<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the strong maximum principle [Fri64] and the parabolic version of Hopf's lemma [Fri64] (Appendix A), $v_{t}>0$ in $(0,1] \times[0, \theta]$. Thus for any finite time $\theta$, there exists a positive constant $k_{4}$ (depending on $x$ and $\theta$ ) such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, t) d \xi>k_{4} \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq \theta
$$

Since $v(x, t) \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,1}((0,1] \times[0, \theta])$, there exists a positive constant $k_{5}$ (depending on $\theta$ ) such that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} G\left(x_{0}, t ; \xi\right) d \xi<k_{5} \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq \theta
$$

Our next result gives the complete blow-up of the solution $u$.
Theorem 3.9. If the solution of the problem (1.3) blows up in a finite time $t_{b}$, then the blow-up set is $\bar{D}$.

Proof. By Green's second identity,

$$
u(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} a^{2} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, \tau\right)\right) G(x, \xi, t-\tau) d \xi d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \xi^{q} u_{0}(\xi) G(x, \xi, t) d \xi
$$

for any $t<t_{b}$. If the solution of the problem (1.3) blows up in a finite time $t_{b}$, then by Theorem 3.5, $u$ blows up at the point $x_{0}$. We know that maximum of $\xi^{q}$ is 1 , maximum of $\int_{0}^{1} u_{0}(\xi) d \xi$ is $\max _{x \in \bar{D}} u_{0}(x), \quad \int_{0}^{1} G\left(x_{0}, t ; \xi\right) d \xi<k_{5}$, for $0 \leq t \leq \theta$, and $a^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G\left(x_{0}, \xi, \tau\right) f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \xi d \tau \leq k_{5} a^{2} \int_{0}^{t} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \tau$. It follows from Lemma 3.8 that for any $t<t_{b}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
u\left(x_{0}, t\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \xi^{q} G\left(x_{0}, \xi, t\right) u_{0}(\xi) d \xi+a^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G\left(x_{0}, \xi, \tau\right) f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \xi d \tau \\
\leq k_{5}\left(\max _{x \in \bar{D}} u_{0}(x)+a^{2} \int_{0}^{t} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \tau\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $u\left(x_{0}, t\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{b}^{-}$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{b}} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t_{b}-\tau\right)\right) d \tau=\infty
$$

On the other hand, for any $(x, t) \in(0,1] \times\left[0, t_{b}\right)$, since $\int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, t) d \xi>k_{4}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \theta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t)=\int_{0}^{1} \xi^{q} G(x, \xi, t) u_{0}(\xi) d \xi+a^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, \tau) f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \xi d \tau \\
& >a^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} G(x, \xi, \tau) f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \xi d \tau \geq a^{2} k_{4} \int_{0}^{t} f\left(u\left(x_{0}, t-\tau\right)\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to infinity as $t$ approaches $t_{b}^{-}$. For $x=0$, we can always find a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right\}$ such that $\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(0, t_{b}\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u\left(x_{n}, t_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the blow-up set is $\bar{D}$.

## Appendix A

## 1. The Maximum Principle [Fri64]

Consider the operator

$$
N u \equiv \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x, t) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+c(x, t) u-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}
$$

in an $(n+1)$-dimensional domain $\Omega$ with the following assumptions:
(A) $N$ is parabolic in $\Omega$, i.e., for every $(x, t) \in \Omega$ and for any real vector $\xi \neq$ $0, \sum a_{i j}(x, t) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}>0 ;$
(B) the coefficients of $N$ are continuous functions in $\Omega$;
(C) $c(x, t) \leq 0$ in $\Omega$.

The functions $u$ are always assumed to have two continuous $x$-derivatives and one continuous $t$-derivative in $\Omega$.

Definition A.1. Notation: For any point $P^{0}=\left(x^{0}, t^{0}\right)$ in $D$, we denote by $S\left(P^{0}\right)$ the set of all points $Q$ in $D$ which can be connected to $P^{0}$ by a simple continuous curve in $D$ along which the $t$-coordinate is nondecreasing from $Q$ to $P^{0}$. By $C\left(P^{0}\right)$, we denote the component (in $t=t^{0}$ ) of $D \cap\left\{t=t^{0}\right\}$ which contains $P^{0}$. Note that $S\left(P^{0}\right) \supset C\left(P^{0}\right)$.

Theorem A.2. Let (A), (B), (C) hold. If $L u \geq 0 \quad(L u \leq 0)$ in $D$ and if $u$ has in $D$ a positive maximum (negative minimum) which is attained at a point $P^{0}\left(x^{0}, t^{0}\right)$, then $u(P)=u\left(P^{0}\right)$ for all $P \in S\left(P^{0}\right)$.
2. Extensions of the Maximum Principle [Fri64]

Theorem A.3. Let $(A),(B)$ hold. If $u \leq 0 \quad(u \geq 0)$ in $S\left(P^{0}\right), L u \geq 0 \quad(L u \leq 0)$ in $S\left(P^{0}\right)$ and $u\left(P^{0}\right)=0$, then $u \equiv 0$ in $S\left(P^{0}\right)$.

## 3. Hopf's Lemma [Fri64]

Definition A.4. Let $P^{0}=\left(x^{0}, t^{0}\right)$ be a point on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of a domain $\Omega$. If there exists a closed ball $B$ with center $(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$ such that $B \subset \bar{\Omega}, B \cap \partial \Omega=\left\{P^{0}\right\}$, and if $\bar{x} \neq x^{0}$, then we say that $P^{0}$ has the inside strong sphere property.

Lemma A.5. Let $P^{0}$ have the inside strong sphere property. Assume further that, for some neighborhood $V$ of $P^{0}, u<M$ in $D \cap V$. Then, for any non-tangential inward direction $\tau$,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \equiv \lim _{\Delta \tau \rightarrow 0} i n f\left(\frac{\Delta u}{\Delta \tau}\right)<0 \quad \text { at } P^{0}
$$

By a non-tangential inward direction we mean direction pointing from $P^{0}$ into the interior of the ball $B$ whose boundary touches $\partial D$ at $P^{0}$.
4. The Mean Value Theorem [LHE06]

Theorem A.6. Let $f$ be a continuous function on $[a, b]$ that is differentiable on $(a, b)$. Then there exists at least one point $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime}(c)=\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}
$$

## 5. Parabolic Equations [LLV85]

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a differential operator defined by

$$
\mathfrak{L}=-N
$$

where $N$ is defined on page 36. Let $f \in C^{\alpha / 2, \alpha}\left[[0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \times R \times R^{m}, R\right]$, that is $f(t, x, u, y)$ is Hölder continuous in $t$ and $(x, u, y)$ with exponent $\alpha / 2$ and $\alpha$, respectively, where $0<\alpha<1$.

Consider the linear second order parabolic initial boundary value problem (IBVP for short)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{L} u=F(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in Q_{T} \\
(B u)(t, x)=\phi(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
u(0, x)=\phi_{0}(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B$ is defined by $B u=p(t, x) u+q(t, x) d u / d \nu$ and $d u / d \nu$ stands for the normal derivative of $u ; \Omega$ is a bounded domain; $Q_{T}=(0, T) \times \Omega ; \quad \Gamma_{T}=(0, T) \times \partial \Omega ;$ and $D_{x} u=\left(\partial u / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial u / \partial x_{m}\right)$.

Theorem A.7. Assume that
(a1) $a_{i j}, b_{i}, c$ and $F \in C^{\alpha / 2, \alpha}\left[\bar{\Omega}_{T}, R\right], c(t, x) \leq 0$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ is strictly uniformly parabolic in $Q_{T}$;
(a2) $p, q \in C^{(1+\alpha) / 2,1+\alpha}\left[\bar{\Gamma}_{T}, R\right], p$ and $q$ are nonnegative functions which do not vanish simultaneously;
(a3) $\partial \Omega$ belongs to class $C^{2+\alpha}$;
(a4) $\phi \in C^{(1+\alpha) / 2,1+\alpha}\left[\bar{\Gamma}_{T}, R\right]$ and $\phi_{0} \in C^{2+\alpha}[\bar{\Omega}, R]$;
(a5) the IBVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{L} u=F(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in Q_{T} \\
(B u)(t, x)=\phi(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
u(0, x)=\phi_{0}(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfies the compatibility condition of order $[(1+\alpha) / 2]$.
Then this linear parabolic IBVP has a unique solution $u$ such that $u \in C^{1+\alpha / 2,2+\alpha}\left[\bar{Q}_{T}, R\right]$.

## 6. The Ascoli-Arzela Theorem [Col88]

## Definition A.8. : Equicontinuity

A set of functions $\left\{\psi_{j}(s)\right\}$ is said to be equicontinuous on an interval $[0, l]$ if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\epsilon)$ independent of $j$ such that

$$
\left|\psi_{j}\left(s_{1}\right)-\psi_{j}\left(s_{2}\right)\right|<\epsilon \quad \text { for } \quad s_{1}, s_{2} \in[0, l], \quad\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|<\delta .
$$

Definition A.9. A set of functions $\left\{\psi_{j}(s)\right\}$ defined on $[0, l]$ is said to be uniformly bounded if there exists a constant $M$ independent of $j$ such that

$$
\max _{0 \leq s \leq l}\left|\psi_{j}(s)\right| \leq M
$$

Theorem A.10. Let $\left\{\psi_{j}(s)\right\}$ be a set of uniformly bounded and equicontinuous functions defined on an interval $[0, l]$. Then there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\psi_{j}(s)\right\}$ that is uniformly convergent on $[0, l]$.
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