

## SCIENTIFIC OPINION

ADOPTED: 2 April 2019

doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5691

# Assessment of the application for renewal of authorisation of Bactocell<sup>®</sup> (*Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM I-4622) as a feed additive for all fish and shrimps and its extension of use for all crustaceans

EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP), Vasileios Bampidis, Giovanna Azimonti, Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen, Birgit Dusemund, Maryline Kouba, Mojca Kos Durjava, Marta López-Alonso, Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova, Fernando Ramos, Yolanda Sanz, Roberto Edoardo Villa, Ruud Woutersen, Jaume Galobart, Lucilla Gregoretta, Matteo Innocenti, Gloria López-Gálvez, Konstantinos Sofianidis, Maria Vittoria Vettori and Rosella Brozzi

### Abstract

Bactocell<sup>®</sup> is the trade name for a feed additive based on viable cells of a strain of *Pediococcus acidilactici*. Following a request from the European Commission, the Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on Bactocell<sup>®</sup> in the context of the renewal of the authorisation for shrimps, salmonids and fish other than salmonids. In addition, the applicant requested the extension of use for all crustaceans. The applicant has provided evidence that the additive currently in the market complies with the existing conditions of authorisation. The FEEDAP Panel concludes that Bactocell<sup>®</sup> Aqua 10 Md/100 Md is safe under the current conditions of authorisation for the target species (all fish, shrimps and all crustaceans), consumers of products from animals fed the additive and the environment. Bactocell<sup>®</sup> Aqua 10 Md/100 Md is non-irritant to skin and eyes and is not a dermal sensitiser, but should be considered a potential respiratory sensitiser. Exposure of users by inhalation is very likely. There is no need for assessing the efficacy of Bactocell<sup>®</sup> in the context of the renewal of the authorisation. The Panel concludes that the additive at the minimum inclusion level of  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg feed has the potential to be efficacious in salmonids and in the new species proposed, i.e. all crustaceans.

© 2019 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

**Keywords:** zootechnical additives, other zootechnical additives, Bactocell<sup>®</sup>, *Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM I-4622, safety, fish, shrimps and crustaceans

**Requestor:** the European Commission

**Question number:** EFSA-Q-2018-00632

**Correspondence:** [feedap@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:feedap@efsa.europa.eu)

**Panel members:** Giovanna Azimonti, Vasileios Bampidis, Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen, Birgit Dusemund, Maryline Kouba, Mojca Kos Durjava, Marta López-Alonso, Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova, Fernando Ramos, Yolanda Sanz, Roberto Edoardo Villa and Ruud Woutersen.

**Legal notice:** Relevant information or parts of this scientific output have been blackened in accordance with the confidentiality requests formulated by the applicant pending a decision thereon by the European Commission. The full output has been shared with the European Commission, EU Member States and the applicant. The blackening will be subject to review once the decision on the confidentiality requests is adopted by the European Commission.

**Suggested citation:** EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis V, Azimonti G, Bastos ML, Christensen H, Dusemund B, Kouba M, Kos Durjava M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Marcon F, Mayo B, Pechová A, Petkova M, Ramos F, Sanz Y, Villa RE, Woutersen R, Galobart J, Gregoretto L, Innocenti M, López-Gálvez G, Sofianidis K, Vettori MV and Brozzi R, 2019. Scientific Opinion on the assessment of the application for renewal of authorisation of Bactocell® (*Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM I-4622) as a feed additive for all fish and shrimps and its extension of use for all crustaceans. EFSA Journal 2019;17(4):5691, 17 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5691>

**ISSN:** 1831-4732

© 2019 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.



The EFSA Journal is a publication of the European Food Safety Authority, an agency of the European Union.



## Table of contents

Abstract.....	1
1. Introduction.....	4
1.1. Background and Terms of Reference.....	4
1.2. Additional information.....	4
2. Data and methodologies.....	5
2.1. Data.....	5
2.2. Methodologies.....	5
3. Assessment.....	5
3.1. Characterisation.....	5
3.1.1. Characterisation of the additive.....	5
3.1.2. Characterisation of the active agent.....	6
3.1.3. Conditions of use.....	6
3.2. Safety.....	7
3.2.1. Safety for the target species, consumers and the environment.....	7
3.2.2. Safety for the user.....	7
3.2.3. Further evidence.....	7
3.2.4. Conclusions on safety.....	7
3.3. Efficacy.....	7
3.4. Post-market monitoring.....	8
4. Conclusions.....	8
Documentation provided to EFSA/Chronology.....	8
References.....	8
Abbreviations.....	9
Appendix A – List of references retrieved from the literature search provided by the applicant to support safety of the additive.....	10

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background and Terms of Reference

Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003<sup>1</sup> establishes the rules governing the Community authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition. In particular, Article 4(1) of that Regulation lays down that any person seeking authorisation for a feed additive or for a new use of a feed additive shall submit an application in accordance with Article 7. In particular, Article 14(1) of that Regulation lays down that an application for renewal shall be sent to the Commission at the latest one year before the expiry date of the authorisation.

The European Commission received a request from Danstar Ferment AG<sup>2</sup> for renewal of the authorisation of the product Bactocell® (*Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM I-4622), when used as a feed additive for all fish and shrimps (category: zootechnical additives; functional group: other zootechnical additives) and for authorisation when used as a feed additive for all other crustaceans (category: zootechnical additives; functional group: other zootechnical additives).

According to Article 7(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, the Commission forwarded the application to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) as an application under Article 4(1) (authorisation of a feed additive or new use of a feed additive) and under Article 14(1) (renewal of the authorisation). The particulars and documents in support of the application were considered valid by EFSA as of 25 September 2018.

According to Article 8 of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, EFSA, after verifying the particulars and documents submitted by the applicant, shall undertake an assessment in order to determine whether the feed additive complies with the conditions laid down in Article 5. EFSA shall deliver an opinion on the safety for the target animals, consumer, user and the environment and on the efficacy of the product Bactocell® (*Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM I-4622), when used under the proposed conditions of use (see Section 3.1.3).

### 1.2. Additional information

The additive Bactocell® is a preparation based on a strain of *Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM I-4622. EFSA issued four opinions on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell PA 10 when used in feed for salmonids (EFSA, 2009a), shrimps (EFSA, 2009b), weaned piglets (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2010a) and laying hens (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2010b) and one on the efficacy for all fish (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012a). A further opinion on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell when used in water for drinking for weaned piglets, pigs for fattening, laying hens and chickens for fattening was adopted in 2012 (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012b). In 2016, the Panel re-evaluated the product for pigs for fattening and chickens for fattening and further assessed it for minor porcine species and minor avian species (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). An opinion on the safety and efficacy of the same active agent when used as a silage additive was adopted in 2012 (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012c).

The additive is currently authorised as a zootechnical additive, under the functional group other zootechnical additives, for use in feed for salmonids, shrimps<sup>3</sup> and fish other than salmonids<sup>4</sup> and under the functional group gut flora stabilisers in feed and water for drinking for weaned piglets, minor weaned porcine species, pigs for fattening, minor porcine species for fattening, laying hens, minor avian species

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition. OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 29.

<sup>2</sup> Danstar Ferment AG, Switzerland, represented in the EU by Lallemand SAS, 19 Rue des Briquetiers BP 31702 Blagnac, France.

<sup>3</sup> Commission Regulation (EC) No 911/2009 of 29 September 2009 concerning the authorisation of a new use of the preparation of *Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM MA 18/5M as a feed additive for salmonids and shrimps (holder of authorisation Lallemand SAS). OJ L 257, 30.9.2009, p. 10

<sup>4</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 95/2013 of 1 February 2013 concerning the authorisation of a preparation of *Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM MA 18/5M as a feed additive for all fish other than salmonids (holder of authorisation Lallemand SAS). OJ L 33, 2.2.2013, p. 19

for laying, chickens for fattening and minor avian species for fattening.<sup>5</sup> The active agent of Bactocell PA (*Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM MA 18/5M) is also authorised as a silage additive for all animal species.<sup>6</sup>

## 2. Data and methodologies

### 2.1. Data

The present assessment is based on data submitted by the applicant in the form of a technical dossier<sup>7</sup> in support of the authorisation request for the use of Bactocell® as a feed additive.

The European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) considered that the conclusions and recommendations reached in the previous assessment are valid and applicable for the current application.<sup>8</sup>

### 2.2. Methodologies

The approach followed by the FEEDAP Panel to assess the safety and the efficacy of Bactocell® is in line with the principles laid down in Regulation (EC) No 429/2008<sup>9</sup> and the relevant guidance documents: Guidance on zootechnical additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012d), Guidance on the renewal of the authorisation of feed additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2013), Technical Guidance: Extrapolation of data from major species to minor species regarding the assessment of additives for use in animal nutrition (EFSA, 2008), Guidance on the assessment of bacterial susceptibility to antimicrobials of human and veterinary importance (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012e).

## 3. Assessment

Bactocell®<sup>10</sup> is a preparation consisting of viable cells of a strain of *P. acidilactici* CNCM I-4622 intended to be used as a zootechnical additive (other zootechnical additives: favourably affecting growth) in feed for all fin fish and all crustaceans.

This assessment regards the renewal of the authorisation of Bactocell when used in feed for all fish and shrimps, and a new authorisation for use in feed for all crustaceans.

### 3.1. Characterisation

#### 3.1.1. Characterisation of the additive

The additive under assessment (Aqua 10 Md) is a powder [REDACTED] to reach a minimum declared content of  $1 \times 10^{10}$  CFU of *P. acidilactici* CNCM I-4622<sup>11</sup> per gram of additive. [REDACTED]

The applicant declares that minor changes have been introduced in the manufacturing process of the additive, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Results of the analysis of three batches from 2018 support the modification of the composition and compliance with the specifications (average:

<sup>5</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2299 of 12 December 2017 concerning the authorisation of a preparation of *Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM MA 18/5M as a feed additive for pigs for fattening, minor porcine species (weaned and for fattening), chickens for fattening, minor poultry species for fattening and minor poultry species for laying, the authorisation of that feed additive for use in water for drinking and amending Regulations (EC) No 2036/2005, (EC) No 1200/2005 and Implementing Regulation (EU) No 413/2013 (holder of authorisation Danstar Ferment AG represented by Lallemand SAS). OJ L 329, 13.12.2017, p. 33.

<sup>6</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1119/2012 of 29 November 2012 concerning the authorisation of preparations of *Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM MA 18/5M DSM 11673, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* DSM 23376, NCIMB 12455 and NCIMB 30168, *Lactobacillus plantarum* DSM 3676 and DSM 3677 and *Lactobacillus buchneri* DSM 13573 as feed additives for all animal species. OJ L 330, 30.11.2012, p. 14.

<sup>7</sup> FEED dossier reference: FAD-2018-0054.

<sup>8</sup> The full report is available on the EURL website: <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/sites/jrcsh/files/FinRep-FAD-2013-0031-Bactocell.pdf>

<sup>9</sup> Commission Regulation (EC) No 429/2008 of 25 April 2008 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the preparation and the presentation of applications and the assessment and the authorisation of feed additives. OJ L 133, 22.5.2008, p. 1.

<sup>10</sup> The applicant declares that the additive is also marketed with other trade names: Bactocell PA, Bactocell 10Md, Bactocell Aqua

<sup>11</sup> The strain has also been deposited under the name DSM 11673.

$1.3 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/g additive).<sup>13</sup> The applicant also refers to a new formulation that is 10 times more concentrated ( $1 \times 10^{11}$  CFU/g additive), named as Bactocell® Aqua 100 Md, and provides analysis of three batches from 2018 showing compliance with specifications (average:  $1.5 \times 10^{11}$  CFU/g additive).<sup>13</sup> Further demonstration of compliance with specifications was shown in two recent batches (from 2017/2018) of both uncoated forms of the additive (for Aqua 10 Md: 1.2 and  $1.6 \times 10^{10}$  CFU/g, and for Aqua 100 Md: 1.7 and  $2.6 \times 10^{11}$  CFU/g additive, mean:  $1.7 \times 10^{11}$  CFU/g additive).<sup>14</sup>

Data from these five batches were provided for microbiological control of quality. Measurements included total coliforms and *Escherichia coli* (< 10 CFU/g) and *Salmonella* (absent in 25 g).<sup>15</sup> Three batches of each form of the additive were also subject to the analysis of chemical contaminants. Results showed values of cadmium (0.014–0.08 mg/kg), lead (< 0.02), mercury (< 0.005 mg/kg), arsenic (< 0.05 mg/kg), aflatoxins B1, B2 and G1 (< 0.1 µg/kg) and G2 (< 0.2 µg/kg), dioxins (0.0739–0.0788 ng/kg), dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (0.0395–0.0423 ng/kg) that do not raise safety concerns.<sup>16</sup>

The dusting potential of three recent batches of both forms of the additive measured with Heubach dustometer showed values ranging between 5.3 and 16.8 g/m<sup>3</sup>.<sup>17</sup>

### 3.1.2. Characterisation of the active agent

The active agent consists of viable cells of a *P. acidilactici* strain isolated from grass pasture. The strain was originally deposited in the Collection Nationale de Cultures de Micro-organismes with the accession number CNCM MA 18/5M. In 2012, the deposition of the strain was converted into a deposition under the 'Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure' and accordingly, the strain was assigned the new accession number CNCM I-4622.<sup>18</sup>

Identification and taxonomic classification of the active agent have been reconfirmed [REDACTED]

The susceptibility of the strain to the battery of antibiotics recommended by EFSA (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012e) was examined [REDACTED]. All the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values observed were below the cut-off values established, consequently *P. acidilactici* CNCM I-4622 is considered to be susceptible to all relevant antibiotics.

### 3.1.3. Conditions of use

The additive is currently authorised for use in feed for salmonids at the minimum content of  $3 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed and for shrimps and all fish, other than salmonids, at the minimum content of  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed. The applicant proposes to maintain the same conditions of use for shrimps and all fish other than salmonids, and to modify that of salmonids to  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed.

For the new use with all crustaceans, the applicant proposes also the same minimum inclusion level of  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed.

The authorisation for salmonids and shrimps includes under other provisions an indication that breathing protection shall be used during handling. In the authorisation for all fish other than salmonids, the same safety protection provision is included plus the requirement that glasses and gloves shall be used during handling.

<sup>13</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information February 2019.

<sup>14</sup> Technical dossier/Section II/Annexes II 2b and 2c and Supplementary information February 2019.

<sup>15</sup> Technical dossier/Section II/Annexes II 2b and 2c.

<sup>16</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information February 2019/Appendix\_1\_impurities.

<sup>17</sup> Technical dossier/Section II/Annex II 3d.

<sup>18</sup> Technical dossier/Section II/Annex II 4b.

## 3.2. Safety

### 3.2.1. Safety for the target species, consumers and the environment

The species *P. acidilactici* is considered by EFSA to be suitable for the Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) approach to safety assessment (EFSA, 2007; EFSA BIOHAZ Panel, 2017). This approach requires the identity of the strain to be conclusively established and evidence that it does not show resistance to antibiotics of human and veterinary importance. The identity of the active agent was established as *P. acidilactici* and the antibiotic resistance qualification has been met. Accordingly, this strain is presumed safe for the target species, consumers and the environment. Since the additive does not contain other components of concern, Bactocell is also considered safe for target animals, consumers and the environment. This conclusion applies as well to the new target species/categories for which a request for an extension of use is made.

### 3.2.2. Safety for the user

The safety for the user has been assessed by the FEEDAP Panel in a former opinion of the same product (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). The Panel concluded that the additive is non-irritant to skin and eyes and is not a dermal sensitiser but should be considered a respiratory sensitiser. The Panel does not expect that the use of the excipients listed raise additional safety concerns. The data submitted indicate high dusting potential; therefore, exposure of users by inhalation is very likely.

### 3.2.3. Further evidence

The applicant conducted a literature search on the safety of Bactocell® using several databases: CAB Abstracts, Agris, Scopus, Google Scholar, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine (BASE) and the Liège University library. The search included terms such as CNCM MA 18/5 M, CNCM I-4622, Bactocell, feed, incompatibilities, interactions and terms referring to safety (e.g. toxicity, tolerance, adverse effects, epidemiology). The search covered the period 2007–2018 and identified 147 relevant publications (Appendix A). Although some studies included supplementation levels higher than the minimum recommended use level and assessed some health-related endpoints, none was designed to assess the safety *per se* of the additive. Most of the studies were designed to assess the effects of Bactocell® PA (alone or in combination with other additives or products) on the performance of animals, immunity or the effects on the intestinal microbiota (e.g. *Salmonella*, *E. coli*). None of these studies reported any safety concern with the additive under assessment.

### 3.2.4. Conclusions on safety

Considering all the above and the fact that the manufacturing process has not been significantly modified and the conditions of use are the same, the FEEDAP Panel concludes that there is no new evidence that would lead the Panel to reconsider its previous conclusions on the safety of the product for the target species, consumers, users and the environment under the authorised conditions of use. The additive Bactocell® PA is considered safe for the target species, including all crustaceans, consumers and the environment. The additive is non-irritant to skin and eyes and is not a dermal sensitiser but should be considered a respiratory sensitiser. Exposure of users by inhalation is very likely.

## 3.3. Efficacy

The additive is currently authorised for use in feed for salmonids at the minimum content of  $3 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed and for shrimps and at  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed for all fish other than salmonids. The present application for renewal of the authorisation does not include a proposal for amending or supplementing the conditions of the original authorisation for shrimps and all fish other than salmonids that would have an impact on the efficacy of the additive. Therefore, there is no need for assessing the efficacy of the additive in the context of the renewal of the authorisation for these species.

The applicant is proposing to reduce the authorised minimum inclusion level of the additive in feed for salmonids from  $3 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg feed to  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg feed. The efficacy of Bactocell at  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg feed was already shown in all fish, including salmonids, in a previous opinion (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012a). Therefore, the Panel reiterates its previous conclusion that Bactocell has the potential to reduce bone deformation in developing fish at the dose of  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg of complete feedingstuffs.

The Panel considers that the conclusion on the efficacy for shrimps established at  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg complete feed in a previous opinion (EFSA, 2009b) can be extrapolated to all crustaceans when used at the same inclusion level.

### 3.4. Post-market monitoring

The FEEDAP Panel considers that there is no need for specific requirements for a post-market monitoring plan other than those established in the Feed Hygiene Regulation<sup>21</sup> and Good Manufacturing Practice.

## 4. Conclusions

The applicant has provided evidence that the additive currently in the market complies with the existing conditions of authorisation.

The FEEDAP Panel concludes that Bactocell® Aqua 10 Md/100 Md is safe under the current conditions of authorisation for the target species (all fish and all crustaceans), consumers of products from animals fed the additive and the environment. Bactocell® Aqua 10 Md/100 Md is non-irritant to skin and eyes and is not a dermal sensitiser, but should be considered a potential respiratory sensitiser.

There is no need for assessing the efficacy of Bactocell® in the context of the renewal of the authorisation (all fish except salmonids and shrimps). The Panel concludes that the additive at the minimum inclusion level of  $1 \times 10^9$  CFU/kg feed has the potential to be efficacious in salmonids and in the new species proposed, i.e. all crustaceans.

## Documentation provided to EFSA/Chronology

Date	Event
30/07/2018	Dossier received by EFSA. Bactocell® <i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i> CNCM MA 18/5M for - All fish, salmonids (renewal of authorisation), all fish other than salmonids (renewal of authorisation), all crustaceans, shrimps (renewal of authorisation) and all other crustaceans (new). Submitted by Danstar Ferment AG
13/08/2018	Reception mandate from the European Commission
25/09/2018	Application validated by EFSA – Start of the scientific assessment
21/12/2018	Request of supplementary information to the applicant in line with Article 8(1)(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 – Scientific assessment suspended. <i>Issues: characterisation</i>
25/12/2018	Comments received from Member States
11/01/2019	Clarification teleconference during risk assessment with the applicant according to the "EFSA's Catalogue of support initiatives during the life-cycle of applications for regulated products"
18/02/2019	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant - Scientific assessment re-started
01/04/2019	Opinion adopted by the FEEDAP Panel. End of the Scientific assessment

## References

- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2007. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on a request from EFSA on the introduction of a Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) approach for assessment of selected microorganisms referred to EFSA. The EFSA Journal 2007;5(12):587, 16 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2007.587>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2008. Technical Guidance: Extrapolation of data from major species to minor species regarding the assessment of additives for use in animal nutrition. EFSA Journal 2008;6(9):803, 5 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2008.803>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009a. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on additives and products or substances used in animal feed (FEEDAP) on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell PA (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) as feed additive for fish. EFSA Journal 2009;7(4):1037, 13 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1037>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009b. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on additives and products or substances used in animal feed (FEEDAP) on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell PA (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) as feed additive for shrimp. EFSA Journal 2009;7(4):1038, 12 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1038>

<sup>21</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 laying down requirements for feed hygiene. OJ L 35, 8.2.2005, p. 1.



- EFSA BIOHAZ Panel (EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards), Ricci A, Allende A, Bolton D, Chemaly M, Davies R, Girones R, Herman L, Koutsoumanis K, Lindqvist R, Nørrung B, Robertson L, Ru G, Sanaa M, Simmons M, Skandamis P, Snary E, Speybroeck N, Ter Kuile B, Threlfall J, Wahlström H, Cocconcelli PS, Klein G (deceased), Prieto Maradona M, Querol A, Peixe L, Suarez JE, Sundh I, Vlak JM, Aguilera-Gomez M, Barizzone F, Brozzi R, Correia S, Heng L, Istace F, Lythgo C and Fernández Escamez PS, 2017. Scientific Opinion on the update of the list of QPS-recommended biological agents intentionally added to food or feed as notified to EFSA. EFSA Journal 2017;15(3):4664, 177 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4664>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2010a. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell PA 10 (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) as a feed additive for piglets. EFSA Journal 2010;8(7):1660, 10 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1660>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2010b. Scientific Opinion on Bactocell PA 10 (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) as a feed additive for laying hens. EFSA Journal 2010;8(10):1865, 9 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1865>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012a. Scientific Opinion on the efficacy of Bactocell (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) when used as a feed additive for fish. EFSA Journal 2012;10(9):2886, 6 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2886>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012b. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) as a feed additive for use in water for drinking for weaned piglets, pigs for fattening, laying hens and chickens for fattening. EFSA Journal 2012;10(7):2776, 17 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2776>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012c. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of *Pediococcus acidilactici* (CNCM I-3237, CNCM MA 18/5M—DSM 11673) and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (DSM 23376, NCIMB 12455, NCIMB 30237 and NCIMB 30168) as silage additives for all species. EFSA Journal 2012;10(6):2733, 15 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2733>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012d. Guidance for the preparation of dossiers for zootechnical additives. EFSA Journal 2012;10(1):2536, 19 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2536>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012e. Guidance on the assessment of bacterial susceptibility to antimicrobials of human and veterinary importance. EFSA Journal 2012;10(6):2740, 10 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2740>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2013. Guidance on the renewal of the authorisation of feed additives. EFSA Journal 2013;11(10):3431, 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3431>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2016. Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of Bactocell PA (*Pediococcus acidilactici* CNCM MA 18/5M) for pigs for fattening, minor porcine species, chickens for fattening and minor avian species. EFSA Journal 2016;14(6):4483, 15 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4483>

## Abbreviations

CFU	colony-forming unit
EURL	European Union Reference Laboratory
MIC	minimum inhibitory concentration
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
QPS	Qualified Presumption of Safety

## Appendix A – List of references retrieved from the literature search provided by the applicant to support safety of the additive

### Poultry for fattening

- Chafai S, Ibrir F, Alloui N, Nouicer F, 2007. Effects of *Pediococcus acidilactici* feed supplementation on broiler chicken performances, immunity and health. World Poultry Science Association, Proceedings of the 16th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Strasbourg, France, 26–30 August, 2007, 4 p.
- Heidarnez K, Radfar M, 2007. Effect of whey powder with high lactose and *Pediococcus acidilactici* bacteria (Bactocell) on performance and intestinal microbial flora of broiler chickens. *Abstract Book of the 15th World Veterinary Poultry Congress abstract book: 2007 Beijing, China.* (not available)
- Lee S, Lillehoj HS, Park DW, Hong YH, Lin JJ, 2007a. Effects of *Pediococcus*- and *Saccharomyces*-based probiotic (MitoMaxs) on coccidiosis in broiler chickens. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, 30, 261–268.
- Lee SH, Lillehoj HS, Dalloul RA, Park DW, Hong YH, Lin JJ, 2007b. Influence of *Pediococcus*-based probiotic on coccidiosis in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, 86, 63–66.
- Rowghani E, Arab M, Akbarian A, 2007. Effects of a probiotic and other feed additives on performance and immune response of broiler chicks. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 6, 261–265.
- Tevelis G, Tevelis R, Gruzauskas A, Raceviciute-Stupeliene A, 2007. Effect of probiotics Bactocell and prebiotics Agrimoss on productivity and sensory attributes traits of broiler chicken meat. *Proceedings of the 15th Baltic and Finnish Poultry Conference, Riga (Latvia), 4–5 Oct 2007.*
- Buteikis G, Matusevicius P, Januškevičius A, Jankowski J, Mikulski D, Blok J, Kozłowski K, 2008. Use of synbiotic preparations in turkey diets and their effect on growth performance. *Veterinarija ir Zootechnika*, 43, 14–19.
- Ramdane MS, Guitarni D, 2008. Effets des probiotiques sur 3 germes de la flore intestinale poulet de chair. *Bulletin UASVM, Horticulture*, 65, 614–620.
- Rastad AH, Samie A, Daneshvar F, 2008. Effect of Bactocell and dry whey on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Journal of Water and Soil Science*, 12, 473–480.
- Tevelis G, 2008. Effect of probiotics Bactocell and prebiotics Agrimoss on productivity and sensory attributes traits of broiler chicken meat. *Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania.* 35 pp.
- Al-Zenki SF, Al-Nasser AY, Al-Saffar AE, Abdullah FK, Al-Bahouh ME, Al-Haddad AS, Alomirah H, Mashaly M, 2009. Effects of using a chicken-origin competitive exclusion culture and probiotic cultures on reducing *Salmonella* in broilers. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 18, 23–29.
- Buliukaitė Ž, 2009. Effect of enzymes Rovabio™ EXCEL AP, probiotics Bactocell®, and prebiotics Agrimos® on productivity, digestive processes and meat quality of broiler chickens. *Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania.* 44 pp.
- Buteikis G, 2009. Effects of synbiotic preparations on digestive physiological processes, productivity and production quality of turkeys. *PhD Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania.*
- Temim S, Hammami N, Bedrani L, Sahraoui L, Kaddour R, Khelef D, Boudina H, Adjou K, Ainbaziz H, 2009. Evaluation de l'efficacité du probiotique *Pediococcus acidilactici* sur les performances de croissance la morphométrie et la flore lactobacillaire de l'intestin du poulet de chair. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 38, 119–128.
- Alkhalaf A, Alhajj M, Al-Homidan I, 2010a. Influence of probiotic supplementation on blood parameters and growth performance in broiler chickens. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 17, 219–225.
- Alkhalaf A, Alhaj M, Al-Homid I, 2010b. Influence of probiotic supplementation on immune response of broiler chicks. *Egyptian Poultry Science Journal*, 30, 271–280.
- Beiki BM, 2010. The effects of Fermacto, Bactocell and Biostrong in antibiotic-free diets on the performance and some intestinal characteristics of broilers. Qom (Iran): Qom Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Center, in Persian.
- El-Banna H, et al., 2010. Effect of probiotic, prebiotic and synbiotic on broiler performance. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 11, 388–393.
- Misina A, Nudiens J, 2010. Investigation of probiotic Bactocell used on broiler chickens diets. *XVth Baltic Animal Breeding Conference*, 15, Riga (Latvia), 31 May-1 June 2010.
- Taheri HR, Moravej H, Malakzadegan A, Tabandeh F, Zaghari M, Shivazad M, Adibmoradi M, 2010. Efficacy of *Pediococcus acidilactici*-based probiotic on intestinal coliforms and villus height, serum cholesterol level and performance of broiler chickens. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 9, 7564–7567.

- Valipouri AR, et al., 2010. Effect of several feed additives on growth performance and microbial load in *Escherichia coli* challenged broilers. Journal of Animal Sciences 88 (E-Suppl. 2), Journal of Dairy Sciences 93 (E-Suppl. 1) and Poultry Science 89 (E-Suppl. 1), 851.
- Wajda S, Smiecińska K, Jankowski J, Matusevicius P, Buteikis G, 2010. The efficacy of lactic acid bacteria *Pediococcus acidilactici*, lactose and formic acid as dietary supplements for turkeys. Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 13, 45–51.
- Kantauskaitė V, 2011. The Influence of probiotics and phytobiotics on productivity and digestive processes of broiler chickens. Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania. 36 pp.
- Unikaitė R, 2011. Influence of probiotic Bactocell and phytobiotic Sangrovit on productivity and meat quality of broiler chickens. Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania.
- Abd-El-Rahman AH, Kamel HH, Ahmed W, Mogoda OSH, Mohamed AH, 2012. Effect of Bactocell® and Revitilyte-Plus™ as probiotic food supplements on the growth performance, hematological, biochemical parameters and humoral immune response of broiler chickens. World Applied Sciences Journal, 18, 305–316.
- Alloui N, Chafai S, Alloui N, 2012. Effect of probiotic feed additives on broiler chickens health and performance. Online Journal of Animal Feed Research, 2, 104–107.
- Sacy A, et al., 2012a. The effect of the supplementation with *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA 18/5 M on growth performances and fecal microflora of fattening ducks. World's poultry science Journal, Supplement 1, Abstract book: WPC2012, Salvador Bahia, Brazil, 5–9 August 2012, p. 563–565.
- Totilas Z, 2012. The effects of active ingredients of the plant *Macleaya cordata*, mananoligosaccharides and *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA 18/5 additives on broiler chickens' productivity, digestive processes and production quality. PhD Thesis, Kaunas University, Lithuania.
- Uchewa EN, Onu PN, 2012. The effect of feed wetting and fermentation on the performance of broiler chick. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, 28, 433–439.
- Valipouri AR, Rahimi S, Zahraei ST, 2012. The effect of growth promoter feed additives on performance of broilers challenged with *Escherichia coli*. Iranian Journal of Animal Science Research, 3, 15.
- Beiki M, et al., 2013. The effects of Fermacto, Bactocell and Biostrong in antibiotic-free diets on the performance of broilers. International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research Volume, 1, 1535–1542.
- Djezzar R, Benamirouche K, Baazizeammi D, Khoubei A, Meroukhi A, Maghni E, Guetarni D, 2013. Impact of dietary supplementation with *Pediococcus acidilactici* on zootechnical and sanitary performances of broilers in Algeria. Journal of Animal Science Advances, 3, 157–164.
- Habibi S, Khojasteh S, Jafari M, 2013. The Effect of Bactocell and protexin probiotics on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. Journal of Novel Applied Sciences, 2, 565–570.
- Juskiewicz J, et al., 2013. Comparative effects of dietary phytobiotic (*Macleaya cordata* alkaloid extract) and probiotic (*Pediococcus acidilactici* MA 18/5 M) preparations as single supplements or in combination on fermentative processes in the broiler chickens caeca. Veterinarija ir Zootechnika, 62, 50–55.
- Djezzar R, Benamirouche K, Baazize-Amami D, Mohamed-Said R, Guetarni D, 2014. Effect of a dietary supplementation combining a probiotic and a natural anticoccidial in broiler chickens. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 9, 3783–37887.
- Hegazy H, M A, Barakat B, Mb M, Fadlb S, Abeer E, 2014. Effect of *Pedicoccus acidilactici* on immunity, production and lipid profile in broilers. Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 41, 35–46.
- Holub K, Šimerda B, Demey V, 2014. Effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* probiotic on the performances of fattening ducks. Proceedings of the XIVth European Poultry Conference Stavanger, Norway, 23–27 June 2014, 570 pp (abstract).
- Sahraoui N, Djezzar R, Kisarli L, Brahim Errahmani M, Hornick JL, Guetarni D, 2014. Effet du *Pediococcus acidilactici* sur le bilan lipidique sanguin du poulet de chair. Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa, 62, 23–29.
- Akoy RAM, 2015. The effects of probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics on gut flora, immune function and blood characteristics of broilers. PhD Thesis, University of Plymouth, UK. 329 pp.
- Hahn-Didde D, Purdum SE, 2015. Prebiotics and probiotics used alone or in combination and effects on pullet growth and intestinal microbiology. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 25, 1–11.
- Iždonienė GM, 2015. Effect of eubiotics on digestive processes of broiler chickens and layer hens. Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania. 68 pp.
- Ghany WAAE, Awaad MH, Nagwa SR, 2015. Efficacy of certain feed additives for the prevention of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in broiler chickens. Asian Journal of Animal Sciences, 9, 427–433.

- Bazzoli A, Demey V, Hocke N, Baulez M, Bravo De Laguna F, 2016. Etude terrain sur le profil fermentaire des aliments en soupe pour porcs charcutiers dans le Nord de l'Italie (Field study about fermentative profiles of liquid feed for swine according to their composition). Proceedings of the 48e Journées de la Recherche Porcine, Paris, France, 2 to 3 February 2016; 48, pp. 135–136.
- Chamani M, 2016. Efficacy of Bactocell® and Toyocerin® as probiotics on growth performance, blood parameters and intestinal morphometry of Turkey poults. Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science, 6, 211–218.
- Gugała D, Flis M, Grela ER, 2016. Effect of fat source and additive type on performance, productive traits and gut morphology of broiler chickens. Journal of Animal Production, 17, 211–221.
- Dorosti F, et al., 2017. Effect of red grapes vinegar and *Pediococcus acidilactici* bacteria supplementation on growth performance, immune system and some carcass traits of broiler chickens. Animal Science Researches, 1, 41–53.
- Mahmoodtabar A, Karimi Torshizi MA, Sharafi M, Mojgani N, 2017. Comparing the effects of growth antibiotic promoter, some Iranian probiotics and similar imported products on performance, economic indicators and small intestinal morphology of broilers. Iranian Journal of Animal Science, 48, 321–334.
- Majidi-Mosleh A, Sadeghi AA, Mousavi SN, Chamani M, Zarei A, 2017. Effects of in ovo infusion of probiotic strains on performance parameters, jejunal bacterial population and mucin gene expression in broiler chicken. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science, 19(spe), 97–102.

#### Poultry for laying

- Tollba AAH, Wagdy AZ, Shabaan SAM,, 2007. Improvement of Fayoumi laying hens performance under hot climate conditions: 1-probiotic and prebiotic. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal, 27, 1–20.
- Bakšenskaitė R, 2008. Influence of the preparation BACTOCELL and AGRIMOS in lipids metabolism in Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). Master Thesis, Vilnius University, Lithuania. 50 pp.
- Quarantelli A, Federico R, Agazzi A, Invernizzi G, Ferroni M, Chevaux E, 2008. Effects of the administration of *Pediococcus acidilactici* to laying hens on productive performance. Veterinary Research Communications, 32(Suppl. 1), S359–S361.
- Dravininkas E, 2010. Influence of probiotics, prebiotics and organic acid salt on productivity and eggs quality of laying hens. Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania. 50 pp.
- Alleman F, et al., 2011. Influence of the supplementation with *Pediococcus acidilactici* on zootechnical performances of free range laying hens. 30th Poultry Science Symposium. Alternative Systems for Poultry – Health, Welfare and Productivity, 7–9 September 2011, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, 59 pp.
- Jakub N, Jankowski J, Mikulski D, Demey V, 2011. Efficacité de *Pediococcus acidilactici* sur les performances zootechniques des poules pondeuses et la qualité de leurs oeufs. Proceedings of the « Neuvièmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole, Tours, 29 et 30 mars 2011 », 4 pp.
- Mikulski D, Jankowski J, Naczmannski J, Mikulska M, Demey V, 2012. Effects of dietary probiotic (*Pediococcus acidilactici*) supplementation on performance, nutrient digestibility, egg traits, egg yolk cholesterol, and fatty acid profile in laying hens. Poultry Science, 91, 2691–2700.
- Sacy A, Baulez M, Hocke N, Khetrou M, Hansen B, 2012. Improving the performance of layers with probiotic bacteria. International Poultry Production, 20, 11–13.
- Denev S, Chevaux E, Demey V, 2013. Efficacité du probiotique *Pediococcus acidilactici* sur les performances zootechniques de poules pondeuses. Proceedings of the « Dixièmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole et Palmipèdes à Foie Gras, La Rochelle, du 26 au 28 mars 2013 », p. 943–946.
- Sacy A, Treut Yle, Luro D, Demey V, 2013. Efficacy of the supplementation with *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M on zootechnical performances and fecal microflora of fattening ducks. Proceedings of the « Dixièmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole et Palmipèdes à Foie Gras, La Rochelle, du 26 au 28 mars 2013 », p. 670–673.
- Zdunczyk Z, Gruzauskas R, Juškiewicz J, Dauksiene A, Racevičiute-Stupeliene A, Jarule V, 2013. Effect of dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA 18/5 M and prebiotic mannanoligosaccharides and their combination on caecal parameters in hens. Veterinarija ir Zootechnika, 63, 89–93.
- Awaad M, et al., 2014. Effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* supplementation through drinking water on immune response and *E. coli* infection, in layer hens. Proceedings of the XIVth European Poultry Conference Stavanger, Norway, 23–27 June 2014, 483 p. (abstract)
- Chevaux E, et al., 2014. Effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* on organic laying hens performances. Proceedings of the XIVth European Poultry Conference Stavanger, Norway, 23–27 June 2014, p. 530 (abstract).

- Izdonienė GM, 2015. Effect of eubiotics on digestive processes of broiler chickens and layer hens. Master Thesis, University of Kaunas, Lithuania. 68 pp.
- Pineda Quiroga C, Atxaerandio R, Zubiria I, Gonzalez-Pozuelo I, Hurtado A, Ruiz R, Garcia A, 2017. Productive performance and cecal microbial counts of floor housed laying hens supplemented with dry whey powder alone or combined with *Pediococcus acidilactici* in the late phase of production. *Livestock Science*, 195, 9–12.
- Karimzadeh S, et al., 2018. Effect of different probiotics on performance, intestinal morphology and gut bacteria in Japanese quail. 6th Asian Federation of Societies for lactic acid bacteria International Symposium and 4th International Congress of Probiotics and Function foods, Tehran, Iran, 5–7 May 2018. Abstract of oral presentation.
- Tayeb I, Karam N, 2018. Effects of dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* supplementation on performance of poultry under Algerian condition. 6th Mediterranean Poultry Summit, 18–20 June 2018, Torino, Italy. Oral presentation. *World Poultry Science Journal*, 74, 22.

### Swine

- Gagnon N, Talbot G, Ward P, Roy D, Dupuis M, Farnworth E, Tompkins TA, Lessard M, 2007. Evaluation of bacterial diversity in the gut of piglets supplemented with probiotics using ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 87, 207–219.
- Di Giancamillo A, Vitari F, Savoini G, Bontempo V, Bersani C, Dell'Orto V, Domeneghini C, 2008. Effects of orally administered probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on the small and large intestine of weaning piglets. A qualitative and quantitative micro-anatomical study. *Histology and Histopathology*, 23, 651–664.
- Rekiel A, 2008. Effect of probiotic on the biochemical parameters in blood of fatteners. *Medycyna Weterynaryjna*, 64, 110–112.
- Brousseau JP, et al., 2009. Effects of *Pediococcus acidilactici* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii* on the ileal microbiota of piglets two weeks after weaning. *Journal of Animal Science* 87(E-Suppl. 2) and *Journal of Dairy Science* 92 (E-Suppl. 1).
- Daudelin JF, 2009. Détermination des effets de l'administration de probiotiques sur l'attachement d'*Escherichia coli* entérotoxigène F4 et l'expression de cytokines chez le porcelet sevré (Administration of *Pediococcus acidilactici* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii* influences enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* F4 attachment and expression of intestinal cytokines in weaned pigs). Master Thesis, University of Montréal, Canada. 120 pp.
- Lessard M, Dupuis M, Gagnon N, Nadeau E, Matte JJ, Goulet J, Fairbrother JM, 2009. Administration of *Pediococcus acidilactici* or *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii* modulates development of porcine mucosal immunity and reduces intestinal bacterial translocation after *Escherichia coli* challenge. *Journal of Animal Science*, 87, 922–934.
- di Giancamillo A, Vitari F, Bosi G, Savoini G, Domeneghini C, 2010. The chemical code of porcine enteric neurons and the number of enteric glial cells are altered by dietary probiotics. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility*, 22, e271–e278.
- Le Bon M, Davies H, Glynn C, Thompson C, Madden M, Wiseman J, Dodd C, Hurdidge L, Payne G, Treut Y, Craigon J, Töttemeyer S, 2010. Influence of probiotics on gut health in the weaned pig. *Livestock Science*, 133, 179–181.
- Missotten JAM, Michiels J, Willems W, Obyn A, De Smet S, Dierick NA, 2010. Effect of fermented liquid feed on morphohistological parameters in the piglet gut. *Livestock Science*, 134, 155–157.
- Rekiel A, Bielecki W, Więcek J, Kulisiewicz J, 2010a. Histological changes in the small intestinal epithelium in fattening pigs fed selected feed additives. *Acta Veterinaria (Brno)*, 1, 67–71.
- Rekiel A, Bielecki W, Więcek J, 2010b. The effect of probiotics on the morphological characteristics of the small intestinal mucosa. *Acta Veterinaria (Brno)*, 79, 519–524.
- Daudelin JF, Lessard M, Beaudoin F, Nadeau E, Bissonnette N, Boutin Y, Brousseau JP, Lauzon K, Fairbrother JM, 2011. Administration of probiotics influences F4 (K88)-positive enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* attachment and intestinal cytokine expression in weaned pigs. *Veterinary Research*, 42, 69.
- Wang JQ, Yin FG, Zhu C, Yu H, Niven SJ, de Lange CFM, Gong J, 2012. Evaluation of probiotic bacteria for their effects on the growth performance and intestinal microbiota of newly weaned pigs fed fermented high-moisture maize. *Livestock Science*, 145, 79–86.
- Weiss E, Eklund M, Semaskaite A, Urbaityte R, Metzler-Zebeli B, Sauer N, Ratriyanto A, Gruzauskas R, Mosenthin R, 2013. Combinations of feed additives affect ileal fibre digestibility and bacterial numbers in ileal digesta of piglets. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 58, 351–359.

- Plante S, 2014. Développement d'un programme alimentaire pour porcelet sevré en production biologique. Master Thesis, University of Québec, Canada. 159 pp.
- Brousseau JP, Talbot G, Beaudoin F, Lauzon K, Roy D, Lessard M. 2015. Effects of probiotics *Pediococcus acidilactici* strain MA18/5M and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* subsp. *boulardii* strain SB-CNCM I-1079 on fecal and intestinal microbiota of nursing and weanling piglets. *Journal of Animal Sciences*, 93, 5313–5326.
- Missotten JAM, Michiels J, Ovyne A, De Smet S, Dierick NA, 2015. Fermented liquid feed for weaned piglets: impact of sedimentation in the feed slurry on performance and gut parameters. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 60, 195–207.

### Salmonids

- Lorgeoux B, 2007. Incorporation du microorganisme *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA 18/5 M (Bactocell®) en tant qu'additif alimentaire zootechnique pour la truite arc-en-ciel (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): effets sur la digestibilité de l'aliment, les performances zootechniques et la pigmentation de la chair. Master Thesis, Agrocampus. 54 pp.
- Robert J, 2007. Evaluation de l'efficacité de la bactérie lactique *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M (Bactocell®) sur les paramètres zootechniques d'élevage et la prévention du Syndrome de Compression Vertébrales chez la Truite Arc en Ciel (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Master Thesis, François Rabelais University, Tours, France.
- Merrifield DL, Bradley G, Harper GM, Baker RTM, Munn CB, Davies SJ, 2009a. Assessment of the effects of vegetative and lyophilized *Pediococcus acidilactici* on growth, feed utilization, intestinal colonization and health parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 17, 73–79.
- Merrifield DL, Harper GM, Dimitroglou A, RingÅ E, Davies SJ, 2009b. Possible influence of probiotic adhesion to intestinal mucosa on the activity and morphology of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) enterocytes. *Aquaculture Research*, 1–5.
- Harper GM, Monfort M, Saoud IP, 2011. An ex vivo approach to studying the interactions of *Pediococcus acidilactici* and *Vibrio (Listonella) anguillarum* in the anterior intestine of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development*, S1, 6. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.s1-004>
- Abid A, et al., 2012. Influence of dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* on health and the microbial communities of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus* Walbaum). Poster presented at Aqua 2012, Global aquaculture securing our future, Prague, Czech Republic, 01 to 05 September 2012.
- Madsen L, Ingerslev H-C, Boye M, Dalsgaard I, 2012. Influence of organic diets and probiotics on an experimental *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* infection in rainbow trout fry. Summary in Program and abstract for the conference Flavobacterium 2012. Åbo Akademi University, Finland, 88 pp.
- Svendson GH, 2012. A quantitative method for detection of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* in the intestine of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fry fed diets supplemented with Bactocell. Master Thesis, DTU, National Veterinary Institute, Denmark.
- Voller S, 2012. An ex-vivo experiment into the effects of feed additives on the interactions of *Aeromonas salmonicida* and *Pediococcus acidilactici* in the anterior intestine of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). PhD Thesis, Plymouth University, UK.
- Abid A, Davies SJ, Waines P, Emery M, Castex M, Gioacchini G, Carnevali O, Bickerdike R, Romero J, Merrifield DL, 2013. Dietary synbiotic application modulates Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) intestinal microbial communities and intestinal immunity. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 35, 1948–1956.
- Anderson A, 2013. The effect of the probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on the gut microbiota ecology of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum) analysed using DGGE. *The Plymouth Student Scientist*, 6, 86–103.
- Abid AA, 2014. Investigations on the gut microbiota of salmonids and the applications of probiotics-based feed additives. PhD Thesis, University of Plymouth, UK. 352 pp.
- Castex M, et al., 2014. Evaluation of *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA 18/5M in rainbow trout under different inclusion levels of alternative plant proteins in the diet. 16th International Symposium on Fish Nutrition and Feeding Cairns Convention Centre, QLD, Australia 25–30 May 2014, poster.
- Haghparsat R, Meshkini S, Tukmechi A, 2014. Effects of bactocell probiotic and manan prebiotic on growth and immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Journal of Veterinary Research (Iran)*, 68, 375–382.

- Ingerslev, H-C, von Gersdorff Jørgensen L, Strube M, Larsen N, Dalsgaard I, Boye M, Madsen L, 2014. The development of the gut microbiota in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is affected by first feeding and diet type. *Aquaculture*, 424–425, 4–34.
- Ramos MA, Gonçalves JF, Batista S, Costas B, Pires MA, Rema P, Ozório RO, 2015. Growth, immune responses and intestinal morphology of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) supplemented with commercial probiotics. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 45, 19–26.
- Grasteau A, 2015. Contributing to an integrated approach for the prevention of flavobacteriosis in farmed rainbow trout. PhD Thesis, University of Bordeaux, France. 261 pp.
- Hoseinifar SH, Mirvaghefi A, Amoozegar MA, Sharifian M, Esteban MÁ, 2015. Modulation of innate immune response, mucosal parameters and disease resistance in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) upon synbiotic feeding. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 45, 27–32.
- Kiron V, et al., 2015. Microbial feed additive alleviates intestinal inflammation in Atlantic salmon. 17th International Conference on Diseases of Fish And Shellfish: Las Palmas, Spain, Abstract book (pp. 45). [O-037].
- Vasanth GK, Kiron V, Kulkarni A, Dahle D, Jeppinamogeru L, Kitani Y, 2015. A microbial feed additive abates intestinal inflammation in Atlantic salmon. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 6, 409.
- Smedley MA, 2016a. Nutritional and environmental effects on triploid Atlantic salmon skeleton deformity, growth and smoltification. Master Thesis, University of Stirling, UK.
- Smedley MA, 2016b. The impact of *Pediococcus acidilactici* on triploid Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) growth performance and skeletal development. Application to Jules Tournut Probiotics Prize delivered by European Probiotic Association by Prof. Hervé Migaud on behalf of M.A. Smedley.
- Jaramillo-Torres A, 2017. Intestinal health and microbiota in salmonids: the impact of probiotics under potentially stressful conditions. PhD Thesis, University of Plymouth, UK. 216 pp.
- Al-Hisnawi A, et al., 2018. Dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M modulates the intestinal microbiota and stimulates intestinal immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Manuscript submitted to *Shellfish Immunology*.
- Jalili M, et al., 2018a. Different feedings on chemical characteristics of Atlantic salmon fillet. Conference Paper (abstract only): 20th International Conference on Food Design and Production, 27–28 March 2018, Tokyo, Japan.
- Jalili M, et al., 2018b. Transcriptomic and translational regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors after different feedings in salmon. Conference Paper (abstract only): 20th International Conference on Marine Biology and Biological Sciences, 23–24, April 2018, Boston, USA.

#### Other fin fish

- El Banna SA, Attalah ST, 2009. Study the role of feed additives in prevention of fish diseases incidence in *Oreochromis niloticus* and common carp fish and its economic importance. *Journal of the Arabian Aquaculture Society*, 4, 121–140.
- Ferguson RM, Merrifield DL, Harper GM, Rawling MD, Mustafa S, Picchiatti S, Balcázar JL, Davies SJ, 2010. The effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* on the gut microbiota and immune status of on-growing red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 109, 851–862.
- Reda RM, El-Nobi GA, Hassanin ME, Elmowalid G, 2010. Effects of some feed additives on health and growth of Nile tilapia "*Oreochromis niloticus*". *Zagazig Veterinary Journal*, 38, 18–26.
- Covès D, de Vogué B, Desbruyères E, Dhormes B, Fievet J, Huelvan C, Lallement S, Le Gall MM, Ruelle F, Vidal MO, Castex M, Mazurais D, Cahu CL, Gatesoupe FJ, 2011. Probiotic treatment of live food organisms for Atlantic Bluefin tuna larvae: microbiological & immunological criteria. Presented at Aquaculture Europe - "Mediterranean Aquaculture 2020" – October 18–21 2011, Rhodes, Greece, 1 pp.
- Zacarias-Soto M, Lazo JP, Viana MT, 2011. Effect of three probiotics administered through live feed on digestive enzyme activity in California halibut, *Paralichthys californicus*, larvae. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 42, 321–331.
- Gatesoupe F, et al., 2012. The effects of dietary addition of two lactic acid bacteria on the development of sea bass larvae, *Dicentrarchus labrax*. Poster presented at Aqua 2012, Global aquaculture securing our future, Prague, Czech Republic, 01 to 05 September 2012.
- Lamari F, Castex M, Larcher T, Ledevin M, Mazurais D, Bakhrouf A, Gatesoupe J, 2013. Comparison of the effects of the dietary addition of two lactic acid bacteria on the development and conformation of sea bass larvae, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, and the influence on associated microbiota. *Aquaculture*, 376–379, 137–145.

- Neissi A, Rafiee G, Nematollahi M, Safari O, 2013. The effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* bacteria used as probiotic supplement on the growth and non-specific immune responses of green terror, *Aequidens rivulatus*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 35, 1976–1980.
- Standen BT, Rawling MD, Davies SJ, Castex M, Foey A, Gioacchini G, Carnevali O, Merrifield DL, 2013. Probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* modulates both localised intestinal- and peripheral-immunity in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 35, 1097–1104.
- Ali MZ, et al., 2014. Evaluation of selected probiotics as feed additives in the formulated diets of climbing perch, *Anabas testudineus* in ponds. *Journal of Aquaculture in the Tropics*, 29, 85–96.
- Yiğit NÖ, Bahadır Koca S, Dulluç A, Didinen BI, Diler I, 2014. Effect of *Pediococcus acidilactici* supplementation in diet on growth and survival rate of angel fish (*Pterophyllum scalare* Lichtenstein, 1823). *Erciyes Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 30, 53–55.
- El-Tahawy AS, El-Shafey HA, 2015. The influence of different feed additives on the profitability of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings reared in Hapas. *Global Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture Researches*, 2, 1–28.
- Ghobadi SH, Tavakoli H, Mojazi Amiri B, 2015. Effects of probiotic Bactocell additives on the growth indices and body composition of juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Journal of Aquaculture Development*, 8, 77–87.
- Lima Baião LF, 2015. Assessment of the *Pediococcus acidilactici* probiotic effects on growth performance of *Solea senegalensis*. Master Thesis, University of Porto, Portugal. 106 pp.
- Sharibi R, Pour F, Vahedasl A, Maniat M, Gavrioloie C, 2015. Effects of probiotic Bactocell on growth and survival parameters of benni fish (*Mesopotamichthys sharpeyi*) fingerlings. *AACL Bioflux*, 8, 805–809.
- Azimirad M, Meshkini S, Ahmadifard N, Hoseinifar SH, 2016a. Effects of feeding adult Artemia enriched with synbiotic on growth indices, intestinal microbiota and stress resistance of angel fish (*Pterophyllum scalare*). *Journal of Fisheries (Iranian Journal of Natural Resources)*, 69, 77–88.
- Azimirad M, Meshkini S, Ahmadifard N, Hoseinifar SH, 2016b. The effects of feeding with symbiotic (*Pediococcus acidilactici* and fructooligosaccharide) enriched adult Artemia on skin mucus immune responses, stress resistance, intestinal microbiota and performance of angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*). *Fish Shellfish Immunology*, 54, 516–522.
- Jacquemond F, 2016. Production d'alevins de sandre sevrés destinés à un élevage raisonnablement intensifié en milieu contrôlé. Institut des Sciences de l'Environnement et des Territoires d'Annecy, France Rapport technique final Action n° 2013–2015, 79 pp.
- Asadi Khomami S, Mooraki N, Valipour A, 2016. The effects of dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on the growth performance and survival rate of oriental bream fry (*Abramis brama orientalis*). *Iranian Journal of Aquatic Animal Health*, 2, 55–66.
- Rivero F, Torrecillas S, Caballero MJ, Makol A, Izquierdo M, Montero D, 2016. Combined effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharides and *Pediococcus acidilactici* and their combination in low fish meal and fish oil diets for European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, juveniles. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 53, 69–69.
- Ayyat MS, Mahmoud HK, El-Hais AEM, Abd El-Latif KM, 2017. The role of some feed additives in fish fed on diets contaminated with cadmium. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24, 23636–23645.
- Delsoz N, Khara H, Shenavar AR, Mohseni M, 2017. Interaction of dietary *Pediococcus acidilactici* and folic acid on growth performance, haematological parameters and nonspecific immune response of finger barbel, *Acipenser nudiventris*. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16, 869–883.
- Modanloo M, Soltanian S, Akhlaghi M, Hoseinifar SH, 2017. The effects of single or combined administration of galactooligosaccharide and *Pediococcus acidilactici* on cutaneous mucus immune parameters, humoral immune responses and immune related genes expression in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fingerlings. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 70, 391–397.
- Taridashti F, Zare A, Delafkar K, Azari-Takami G, 2017. Effects of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on growth performance, survival rate, and stress resistance of Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*). *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, 29, 220–232.
- Zare A, Azari-Takami G, Taridashti F, Khara, H, 2017. The effects of *Pediococcus acidilactici* as a probiotic on growth performance and survival rate of great sturgeon, *Huso huso* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 16, 150–161.



- Ghiasi M, Binaii M, Naghavi A, Rostami HK, Nori H, Amerizadeh A, 2018. Inclusion of *Pediococcus acidilactici* as a probiotic candidate in diets for beluga (*Huso huso*) modifies biochemical parameters and improves immune functions. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 44, 1099–1107.
- Rahimnejad S, Guardiola F, Leclercq E, Esteban M, Castex M, Sotoudeh E, Lee S-M 2018. Effects of dietary supplementation with *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M, galactooligosaccharide and their synbiotic on growth, innate immunity and disease resistance of rockfish (*Sebastes schlegeli*). *Aquaculture*, 482, 36–44.

### Crustaceans

- Castex M, Chim L, Pham D, Lemaire P, Wabete N, Nicolas J-L, Schmidely P, Mariojouis C, 2008. Probiotic *P. acidilactici* application in shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris* culture subject to vibriosis in New Caledonia. *Aquaculture*, 275, 182–193.
- Castex M, 2009. Evaluation du probiotique bactérien *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M chez la crevette péneïde *Litopenaeus stylirostris* en Nouvelle-Calédonie. PhD Thesis, University of AgroParisTech, Paris, France. 451 pp.
- Castex M, Lemaire P, Wabete N, Chim L, 2009. Effect of dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on antioxidant defences and oxidative stress status of shrimp *Litopenaeus stylirostris*. *Aquaculture*, 294, 306–313.
- Castex M, Lemaire P, Wabete N, Chim L., 2010. Effect of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* on antioxidant defences and oxidative stress of *Litopenaeus stylirostris* under *Vibrio nigripulchritudo* challenge. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 28, 622–631.
- Soltani M, et al., 2014. Effect of Bactocell on White Leg Shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) growth performance and the shrimp survival to *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences* 8(12) World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology (abstract).
- Safari O, Paolucci M, 2017. Modulation of growth performance, immunity, and disease resistance in narrow-clawed crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus leptodactylus* (Eschscholtz, 1823) upon symbiotic feeding. *Aquaculture*, 479, 333–341.
- Safari O, Paolucci M, Motlagh HA, 2017. Effects of synbiotics on immunity and disease resistance of narrow-clawed crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus leptodactylus* (Eschscholtz, 1823). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 64, 392–400.

### Other animal species

- Juśkiewicz J, Semaskaite A, Zdunczyk Z, Wróblewska M, Gruzauskas R, Juśkiewicz M, 2007. Minor effect of the dietary combination of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* with fructooligosaccharides or polysaccharidases on beneficial changes in the cecum of rats. *Nutrition Research*, 27, 133–139.
- Parois S, 2013. Effets d'un probiotique sur la réactivité émotionnelle et les capacités de mémoire de la caille japonaise (*Coturnix japonica*) [Effects of a probiotic on the emotional reactivity and memory capacity of Japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*)]. Master Thesis, AgroCampus Ouest, University of Rennes, France. 52 pp.
- Iturria et al., 2015. El modelo in vivo pez cebrá para evaluar las propiedades probióticas de bacterias en acuicultura. VI Workshop Probiotics, 05 to 06 February 2015, Oviedo, Spain.
- Valcarce DG, Pardo MÁ, Riesco MF, Cruz Z, Robles V, 2015. Effect of diet supplementation with a commercial probiotic containing *Pediococcus acidilactici* (Lindner, 1887) on the expression of five quality markers in zebrafish (*Danio rerio* (Hamilton, 1822)) testis. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 31 (S1), 18–21.
- Parois S, Calandreau L, Kraimi N, Gabriel I, Leterrier C, 2017. The influence of a probiotic supplementation on memory in quail suggests a role of gut microbiota on cognitive abilities in birds. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 331, 47–53.
- Ayyat MS, Al-Sagheer AA, Abd El-Latif KM, Khalil BA, 2018. Organic selenium, probiotics, and prebiotics effects on growth, blood biochemistry, and carcass traits of growing rabbits during summer and winter seasons. *Biological Trace Elements Research*, 186, 162–173.
- El Khoury S, Rousseau A, Lecoœur A, Cheaib B, Bouslama S, Mercier P-L, Demey V, Castex M, Giovenazzo P, Derome N, 2018. Deleterious interaction between honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) and its microsporidian intracellular parasite *Nosema ceranae* was mitigated by administering either endogenous or allochthonous gut microbiota strains. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 1–15 (article 58).