

1 Gilmartin-Thomas, J., Sleath, B., Cooper Bailey, S., Carpenter, D., **Chater, A.**, MacAllister,
2 C., Pyzik, O., Wayman, B., Annis, I. & Smith, F. (2019). Preparing pharmacy students
3 to communicate effectively with adolescents. *International Journal of Pharmacy*
4 *Practice*. doi: 10.1111/ijpp.12581
5

6 **ABSTRACT**

7

8 **Objectives.** Develop an elective workshop designed to equip pharmacy students with skills to
9 effectively communicate with adolescents. To conduct preliminary evaluation of the workshop to
10 assess its impact on pharmacy student perceived confidence and knowledge relating to the importance
11 of adolescent counseling and counseling techniques.

12 **Methods.** Academics from three universities in three countries collaborated on the workshop
13 development and evaluation. The workshop structure was designed upon the foundations of
14 communication best practices and established techniques, and it consisted of two online modules and
15 an in-person tutorial. Pharmacy students undertaking a four-year Bachelor, Master or Doctor of
16 Pharmacy degree from all three participating universities evaluated the workshop via pre- and post-
17 questionnaires.

18 **Key findings.** A total of 81 pharmacy students volunteered to attend and evaluate the workshop. Of
19 these 81 students, 31 completed paired pre- and post-questionnaires, 44 students completed unpaired
20 questionnaires, and 6 students were lost to follow-up. Of the paired pre- and post-questionnaires,
21 students were mostly female (67.7%) with an average age of 24.9 years (Standard Deviation,
22 SD=5.6), and were in the first (32.3%), second (16.1%) or third (51.6%) year of their pharmacy
23 program. Over 80% of students somewhat or strongly agreed that the workshop made them feel more
24 comfortable speaking with young people in pharmacy settings. Mean (SD) perceived confidence
25 (pre=21.7 (4.0) and post=24.9 (4.5)) and knowledge scores (pre=5.2 (1.5) and post=6.6 (1.6))
26 significantly improved after undertaking the workshop.

27 **Conclusions.** The workshop increased pharmacy student perceived confidence and knowledge
28 relating to the importance of adolescent counseling and counseling techniques.

29
30 **Key words:** adolescent; communication; counselling; education; pharmacy.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

INTRODUCTION

Patient-centred care is defined as “ *providing care that is respectful of and responsive to individual patient preferences, needs, and values and ensuring that patient values guide all clinical decisions*”.¹

The importance of pharmacists providing patient-centred care has been highlighted in the pharmacy literature.² Skills needed to provide patient-centred care to support adolescents’ health management and medication use should be taught in pharmacy curricula, as adolescents may be more likely to forget to take their medications when they become more autonomous from their carers.³ However, current pediatric curricula have been described as inadequate⁴ as training tends to focus on adult care. Prescott *et al.* found that only 30 of 73 United States of America (USA) Doctor of Pharmacy programs taught effective communication techniques for children and parents.⁵ This deficiency exists despite adolescents: being comfortable and receptive to pharmacist-provided medication education⁶; the potential to improve their use and management of medications⁷; and the importance of them accessing medication-related information.⁸

A 2019 report by the Nuffield Trust and Association for Young People’s Health highlighted health challenges and needs of adolescents in the United Kingdom (UK) that require attention.⁹ These included high rates of obesity, chronic disease, giving birth (although, not as high as the USA), death associated with asthma (although, not as high as for Australia or the USA), and burden of disease (especially for Type 1 Diabetes), and low rates of exercise.⁹ The UK performs less well than 18 similar high-income countries both within and outside of Europe (including Australia and the USA) with regards to supporting young people to manage long-term health conditions.⁹ The authors highlighted that health services, professionals, and policy makers may be contributing to these statistics, as young people themselves are making better health choices than in the past.⁹

1 Pharmacists have a major role in the management of long-term health conditions through their support
2 around adolescent medication use. Gray *et al* identified perceived and potential pharmacist roles in the
3 care of young people with juvenile arthritis, following consultation with UK community and hospital
4 pharmacists, health service commissioners, rheumatology health professionals, and lay advocates.¹⁰
5 Adolescents managing a range of long-term health conditions may be better supported by pharmacists
6 who: teach them generic health care skills, such as how to request repeat supplies of medication;
7 facilitate information transfer between hospitals, community pharmacies and general practitioners;
8 build long-term relationships with adolescents and their families; gain specialist expertise in specific
9 health conditions; and who assist adolescents with finding credible online health information.¹⁰

10

11 Resources are available to support pharmacists when dispensing pediatric prescriptions¹¹ and
12 providing specific medications that may be used by adolescents, such as emergency hormonal
13 contraception.¹² However, guidance on effective communication between pharmacists and
14 adolescents in general has not been published by the USA, UK or Australian-specific pharmacy
15 organizations. Additionally, pharmacists feel inadequately trained in adolescent-specific issues¹³ and
16 they are not always taking the opportunity to provide pediatric-specific medication counselling.¹⁴

17

18 **AIM OF THE STUDY**

19

20 PharmAlliance is an international partnership between the pharmacy schools of the University of
21 North Carolina (UNC) at Chapel Hill (USA), University College London (England) and Monash
22 University (Australia). PharmAlliance provides opportunities for collaborative international efforts to
23 advance and transform research, education and practice in pharmacy and the pharmaceutical sciences
24 worldwide. Academics from each of these three universities collaborated on the development of an
25 elective workshop designed to equip pharmacy students with skills to effectively communicate with
26 adolescents (aged 12-18 years old) and which could be readily incorporated into each university's
27 pharmacy curriculum. Preliminary evaluation of this workshop was undertaken to assess its impact on

1 pharmacy student perceived confidence and knowledge relating to the importance of adolescent
2 counseling and counseling techniques.

3

4 **METHODS**

5

6 **Workshop Structure**

7

8 The workshop structure was informed by communication best practices (i.e. open-ended questioning)
9 and established techniques (i.e. Motivational Interviewing¹⁵ and the Teach-Back Method¹⁶ that were
10 already incorporated into the non-pediatric specific curriculum of the three pharmacy schools. The
11 workshop consisted of two online modules (available at:
12 <https://apps.media.unc.edu/pharmalliance/#!/home>) and an in-person tutorial utilizing a flipped
13 classroom approach. A flipped classroom involves delivering instructional content outside of the
14 classroom, while a tutor engages students in concepts within the classroom.¹⁷ The online module
15 lesson content was conveyed via text, photographs, graphics, and short videos with simulated patients
16 modeling both effective and ineffective communication between pharmacists and adolescents.
17 Lessons concluded with a series of reflective questions for students to consider how concepts could be
18 applied in local community pharmacy settings.

19

20 Module 1, entitled ‘An Overview of Counseling Young People in Pharmacies,’ focused on the health
21 needs of adolescents in the USA, UK and Australia, the importance of effective communication with
22 adolescents in relation to their health needs, and barriers that might impede effective communication.
23 Module 2, entitled ‘Strategies for Effectively Counseling Young People in Pharmacies,’ comprised
24 three lessons that focused on the fundamental principles of effective communication, Motivational
25 Interviewing, and the Teach-Back Method. Lesson 1 outlined communication micro-skills such as
26 open-ended questioning, affirming, reflecting, and summarizing, and how these strategies could be
27 used to accurately understand patient perceptions about a problem they are experiencing, heighten
28 their problem recognition, and resolve ambivalence; thereby moving them towards positive change.¹⁸

1 Lesson 2 outlined how these skills could be incorporated when communicating with adolescents using
2 the four guiding principles of Motivational Interviewing, which are Resist the righting reflex,
3 Understand, Listen and Empower: RULE.¹⁹ In lesson 3, the art of providing clinical information and
4 asking patients to explain their understanding of this was described via the Teach-Back Method.¹⁶

5

6 The *in-person tutorial* was accompanied by a tutor's guide, which emphasized the importance of
7 summarizing key points and clarifying questions concerning the online content. The tutor's guide also
8 provided suggestions to guide role-plays, where communication techniques outlined in the online
9 content could be practiced amongst students during the in-person tutorial.

10

11 The in-person tutor guide provided instructions on how to facilitate role-plays, available from the
12 corresponding author upon request, involving a pharmacist, patient, observer and a parent, as well as
13 example feedback for the tutor to provide students. A tutor to student ratio was not suggested to allow
14 for inter-university variability. Students could also access medication-related references to assist with
15 counseling. The same tutor conducted each in-person tutorial at each individual university.

16 Scenarios included medication counseling involving:

- 17 • a sensitive topic of conversation, where an antibiotic, indicated for either a sexually or non-
18 sexually transmitted disease, was prescribed for a 17-year old female with a history of using
19 an oral contraceptive;
- 20 • a non-sensitive topic of conversation, where an opioid-based cough suppressant was
21 prescribed for a 17-year old male who had not previously been dispensed any medications
22 and was currently playing sports and undertaking exams;
- 23 • medication counseling with a parent present, where an oral contraceptive, indicated for either
24 birth control or acne, was prescribed for a 15-year old female who had previously been
25 dispensed topical acne medications; and

- 1 • a demonstration-based session for an inhaler prescribed for a 14-year old male who had not
2 previously been dispensed any medications and had recently been diagnosed with exercise-
3 induced asthma.

4
5 The scenarios sought to highlight to pharmacy students issues that may be uniquely associated with
6 adolescents as opposed to younger (children) or older (adult) patient groups. These issues included:
7 assessing whether adolescents were comfortable discussing sensitive topics of conversation with
8 carers present, or, whether they preferred such conversation to be held in private; creating time and
9 space to speak with adolescents in private about their medication use; exploring how medication use
10 challenges may impact on adolescent-specific environments such as secondary school/college, as well
11 as work-life balance that may involve examinations, sport and work pursuits; and empowering
12 adolescents to self-manage medication and device use independently in preparation for adulthood.²⁰

13
14 The academic research team considered important differences between the USA, UK and Australian
15 cultural and healthcare contexts (e.g., varying over-the-counter, and prescription-only medications) to
16 ensure that the workshop content was relevant to pharmacy practice across all three countries.

17 Specific strategies to ensure relevance included referencing health statistics from all three countries,
18 and ensuring cross-country applicability of medication indications, pharmacy- or healthcare-specific
19 terminology, and communication techniques. Despite the differing roles of the pharmacist across the
20 three countries and the potential influence on patient perceptions, the workshop content advocated for
21 an increased involvement of pharmacists in adolescent medication counseling. For example,
22 anecdotally, the Australian public expect pharmacists to ask questions and provide counseling that is
23 relevant to medication use and primary care, whereas in the UK, pharmacists are not afforded any
24 significant authority other than dispensing medications.

25
26 To overcome communication difficulties associated with different time zones and challenges
27 associated with incorporating input from a large, international team, strategies employed included:
28 arranging face-to-face meetings at key stages of the workshop development either in person (e.g. at a

1 conference) or via teleconferencing facilities; nominating a single individual to collect and
2 incorporate input from individual team members; and collaboratively setting deadlines for project
3 milestones.

4
5 Evaluation of the workshop was conducted from March-May 2017 via a pre- and post-study design.
6 Participants included pharmacy students undertaking either a four-year Bachelor, Master or Doctor of
7 Pharmacy degree at each of the three participating universities. Participants included students who
8 were in contact with the research team members (e.g. being taught in classes led by research team
9 members) and this determined the point within the pharmacy course when the workshops were held.
10 Of the three universities, the workshops were held for: 1) first year Bachelor of Pharmacy students; 2)
11 first, second and third year Doctor of Pharmacy students; and 3) first, second and third year Master of
12 Pharmacy students. The workshop was considered complementary to existing communication
13 curricula in all university year levels. During recruitment, students were provided with study
14 information via face-to-face class announcements, email and/or advertising posters/flyers. Student
15 participation in the workshop and the evaluation was voluntary and participation did not contribute
16 towards university grades.

17
18 The online component of the workshop was designed to take approximately 60 minutes to complete
19 and was undertaken using university-specific methods, that is, either in students' own time, or during
20 the in-person, onsite tutorial. The in-person tutorial was also delivered using university-specific
21 methods. Two universities accompanied the approximately 60-minute in-person tutorial with verbal
22 key points summarizing the online content. The third university used university-specific electronic
23 and written material to summarize key points during a 90-minute tutorial.

24

25 **Evaluation**

26 The workshop was evaluated using a questionnaire with open- and closed-ended questions
27 (Supplemental Material). The questionnaire was developed and assessed for face and content validity
28 by the research team, where each member of the team independently assessed questions for clarity

1 and to ensure that they met the aims of the evaluation. Suggestions to amend questions were shared
2 amongst the research team and consensus reached regarding any edits. The questionnaire included a
3 measure consisting of 6 items to assess students' perceived confidence in communicating with
4 adolescents in community pharmacy settings (i.e. perceived confidence: communicating with
5 adolescents in a community pharmacy setting to obtain health-related information, helping
6 adolescents understand health information, building rapport, communicating effectively, and using
7 Motivational Interviewing and the Teach-Back Method). Each of the items assessing perceived
8 confidence used a 5-point Likert scale for response options (Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree);
9 responses to the items were summed for an overall score, which could range from 6 to 30 with higher
10 scores indicating higher perceived confidence. An 11-item knowledge questionnaire measured
11 students' familiarity with key concepts related to counseling adolescents in community pharmacy
12 settings (i.e. perceived knowledge of: prevalence and benefits associated with counseling adolescents,
13 topics to provide counseling in, specific counseling techniques, and available guidelines). Knowledge
14 items were reverse-engineered from content presented in the online workshop modules and included a
15 variety of question types, including true/false and multiple choice. Students were given one point for
16 each question that was answered correctly on the assessment; knowledge scores could therefore range
17 from 0 to 11 with higher scores indicating greater knowledge. The baseline questionnaire explored:
18 demographic details, prior experience of adolescent-specific counseling or related coursework,
19 perceived confidence in communication, and current knowledge of communicating with adolescents
20 in community pharmacy settings. The follow-up questionnaire included identical questions to the
21 baseline questionnaire, as well as open-ended questions exploring overall workshop evaluation and
22 perceived usefulness and satisfaction associated with the workshop (for example, workshop strengths,
23 and suggested strategies to improve the learning experience). Two universities included questions or
24 identifiers to allow pre- and post-questionnaire responses to be paired for each student, while the third
25 university did not. The questionnaire was delivered using university-specific methods, either in hard-
26 copy or online, to be completed onsite or in students' own time.

27

1 One university obtained ethical approval to evaluate the workshop from the Monash University
2 Human Research Ethics Committee (April 2017, Project Number: 8591), where implied consent to
3 evaluate the workshop was received upon submission of a questionnaire. Evaluation of the workshop
4 was determined to be exempt from ethical approval at the remaining two universities, after one
5 university submitted an application to their Institutional Review Board, and the second university
6 sought and obtained advice from their University Ethics Committee.

7
8 Descriptive statistics were calculated using SAS version 9.4 (Cary, NC). Categorical variables were
9 summarized in terms of frequency and percentage. Age was summarized in terms of mean and
10 standard deviation (SD). In the two universities that provided paired questionnaire responses and
11 where an approximately 60-minute in-person tutorial was accompanied by verbal key points
12 summarizing the online content, student baseline and follow-up perceived confidence and knowledge
13 scores were compared using a paired samples t-test. In the third university, mean scores were
14 computed for unpaired questionnaire responses. Limited participant feedback that was provided via
15 open-ended questions did not warrant full qualitative analysis, however, it provided insight into
16 students' perspectives following their workshop participation.

17

18 **RESULTS**

19

20 A total of 81 pharmacy students volunteered to attend and evaluate the workshop. Of these 81
21 students, 31 completed paired pre- and post-questionnaires, 44 students completed unpaired
22 questionnaires, and 6 students were lost to follow-up. With regards to the 31 paired responses from
23 two universities (n=6 first year students from one university, and n=4 first year, n=5 second year and
24 n=16 third year students from the second university), students were mostly female (67.7%) with an
25 average age of 24.9 years (SD=5.6), and were in the first (32.3%), second (16.1%) or third (51.6%)
26 year of their pharmacy program. A total of 22.6% had personal experience counseling young people
27 in a pharmacy setting, and 61.3% had taken a prior workshop or read educational material related to
28 improving communication skills as a pharmacist in general (not specifically related to adolescents).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Over 80% of students somewhat or strongly agreed the workshop made them feel more comfortable speaking with young people in pharmacy settings, encouraged them to consider how they would apply the information to their current practice as a pharmacy student and future practice as a pharmacist, and encouraged them to think differently (Table 1).

(insert Table 1 here)

The mean (SD) perceived confidence (pre=21.7 (4.0) and post=24.9 (4.5)) and knowledge scores (pre=5.2 (1.5) and post=6.6 (1.6)) significantly improved after undertaking the workshop ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2).

(insert Table 2 here)

There was no significant association between pharmacy program year of study and perceived confidence (pre-test p -value=0.758 and post-test p -value=0.242) and knowledge scores (pre-test p -value=0.451 and post-test p -value=0.711).

A total of 44 pharmacy students (first year $n=28$, second year $n=10$ and third year $n=6$ students all from a single university) completed unpaired pre- and post-questionnaire responses. Whole sample paired results from the 44 pre- and 44-post questionnaires showed similar findings in pre- and post-questionnaire mean (SD) perceived confidence (pre=21.3 (4.2), p -value=0.757 and post=25.3 (3.6), p -value=0.680) and post-questionnaire knowledge scores (post=6.2 (1.9), p -value=0.328). However, statistically significant differences were observed for pre-questionnaire knowledge scores (pre=3.9 (1.6), p -value=0.001) between students whose responses were paired and those whose responses were unpaired (i.e. significantly lower pre-knowledge scores were seen in students whose responses were unpaired).

1 Findings from the quantitative evaluation were similar across all three countries. There was no
2 significant association between country and the levels of response to the question “What is your
3 overall rating of this workshop?” (p-value=0.689) or to a composite score comprising five questions
4 where higher scores indicate higher satisfaction with the workshop (p-value=0.225) .

5

6 Qualitative feedback from students indicated that the workshop was positively received. In general,
7 students reported that the workshop was useful, well-organized, fulfilled its objectives, and provided
8 information that was not included in other workshops. Students also offered suggestions on how the
9 workshop could be improved, such as including additional assessment opportunities and incorporating
10 patient views on medication counseling.

11

12

13 **DISCUSSION**

14

15 The workshop increased pharmacy student perceived confidence and knowledge relating to the
16 importance of counseling and counseling techniques for adolescents. This study is the first evaluation
17 of a workshop designed by academics from three countries to equip pharmacy students with skills to
18 effectively communicate with adolescents, utilizing a flipped classroom approach.

19

20 It is a limitation that students volunteered to undertake the workshop, which may limit
21 generalizability. Students who volunteered may be more interested in communicating with
22 adolescents, more likely to evaluate the workshop favourably, and may provide more socially-
23 desirable responses. Secondly, the workshop was designed to be relevant and applicable to students in
24 the USA, UK and Australia and may therefore have limited applicability to countries with
25 substantially different university pharmacy programs and pharmacy work practices. For example, the
26 health statistics referenced in the online modules and the role-play scenarios were relevant to USA,
27 UK and Australian pharmacy services and cultural contexts. The cultural environment in which the
28 role-played conversations between the pharmacist and adolescent occur plays a significant part in the

1 compliance and counseling outcome and is related to the public's perceived professional authority of
2 the pharmacist. Therefore, carrying out this study in collaboration with universities from countries
3 other than the USA, UK and Australia may lead to different findings and challenges. Thirdly, a small
4 sample size of students had matching pre- and post- questionnaires. Further research is needed, with
5 larger sample sizes, to explore why significantly lower pre-knowledge scores were seen in students
6 whose responses were unpaired. Lastly, students were from different universities, and were
7 undertaking varied pharmacy programs in multiple year levels. As a result, students are likely to have
8 had varied course work and clinical and work placements and therefore varied levels of experience
9 and training in medication counseling. These different backgrounds may have influenced the
10 relevance of the workshop between students. The varied pharmacy programs may contribute towards
11 the finding that significantly lower pre-knowledge scores were seen in students whose responses were
12 unpaired. It was not possible to analyse results by student year level due to the small sample size.
13 Despite this, the workshop was generally evaluated favourably and findings show great potential for
14 future, large scale evaluation in specific student year levels and participant groups.

15

16 It is a strength that this study evaluated an engaging and reproducible workshop, which was informed
17 by international expertise from three countries. Despite university-specific variations in workshop
18 delivery and evaluation, similarly positive evaluation results were shown across the three universities,
19 highlighting the ability of the workshop to be adapted for local university settings in those countries.
20 Future research using larger sample sizes should explore if university-specific methods for delivering
21 the in-person tutorial impacts on study findings. The impact of the third university's in-person tutorial
22 running for 30-minutes longer and including electronic and written material is difficult to determine
23 with small sample sizes.

24

25 Pharmacy programs have employed diverse teaching and learning activities to develop understanding
26 and apply knowledge associated with professional practice. A range of approaches have been used to
27 develop and evaluate new modules introduced into pharmacy curricula, such as multi-faceted
28 interactive programs, blended learning (a combination of web-based online learning and traditional

1 face-to-face instruction) and flipped classrooms.²¹⁻²⁷ Similar to the findings of this study, positive
2 impacts have been reported by students, which have highlighted the value in understanding material,
3 improved perceived confidence regarding both subject matter and its potential application in
4 community practice settings, and the application of specific techniques for providing patient care.

5

6 National professional pharmacy organizations should consider offering continuing education to
7 practicing pharmacists that focuses on communicating with adolescents. This education can be
8 facilitated via collaboration with organisations that specifically advocate for pediatric patient
9 pharmacy services, such as the Pediatric Pharmacy Association (PPAG, Tennessee). The potential
10 benefits of collaboration between pharmacists and adolescent sexual health providers has been
11 highlighted, including increased understanding of issues associated with oral contraceptive
12 provision.²⁸ The workshop developed in the current study could be used in continuing education
13 programs aimed at improving practicing pharmacist confidence and knowledge in communicating
14 with adolescents. Future research should develop further educational material, such as communication
15 checklists and templates to facilitate more effective counseling, and to in turn support pharmacists to
16 empower adolescents to be more involved in discussions with their healthcare providers.²⁹

17

18 Future research should assess workshop applicability in other countries and determine its optimal
19 placement within pharmacy curricula, as well as if the workshop improves objectively measured
20 communication.

21

22 **CONCLUSION**

23

24 Academics from universities in three countries collaborated on the development and evaluation of a
25 workshop that resulted in positive learning outcomes, and addressed an internationally poorly met
26 need in pharmacy education. The workshop provides a framework that can be adapted in pharmacy
27 schools world-wide, potentially increasing confidence and knowledge of pharmacists in supporting
28 adolescents to achieve improved health outcomes.

1 **References**

2

- 3 1. Committee on Quality of Health Care in America Institute of Medicine (IOM). Crossing the
4 quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, DC: 2001.
- 5 2. Dowse R. Reflecting on patient-centred care in pharmacy through an illness narrative. *Int J*
6 *Clin Pharm.* 2015;37(4):551-4.
- 7 3. Taddeo D, Egedy M, Frappier J-Y. Adherence to treatment in adolescents. *Paediatr Child*
8 *Health (Oxford).* 2008;13(1):19-24.
- 9 4. Aucoin RG BM, Lee Dupuis L, Dominguez KD, Smith KP. Pediatric pharmacotherapeutic
10 education: current status and recommendations to fill the growing need. *Pharmacotherapy.*
11 2005;25(9):1277-82.
- 12 5. Prescott Jr W, Dahl E, Hutchinson D. Education in Pediatrics in US Colleges and Schools of
13 Pharmacy. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2014;78(3):51.
- 14 6. Abraham O, Brothers A, Alexander D, Carpenter D. Pediatric medication use experiences and
15 patient counseling in community pharmacies: Perspectives of children and parents. *Journal of the*
16 *American Pharmacists Association: JAPhA.* 2017;57:38-46.
- 17 7. Costello I, Wong I, Nunn A. A literature review to identify interventions to improve the use of
18 medicines in children. *Child Care Health Dev.* 2004;30(6):647-65.
- 19 8. The Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. The use of medication by adolescents and
20 young adults. *J Adolesc Health.* 2017;61:396-9.
- 21 9. Shah R, Hagell A, Cheung R. International comparisons of health and wellbeing in
22 adolescence and early adulthood. United Kingdom: Nuffield Trust, Association for Young People's
23 Health, 2019.
- 24 10. Gray N, Shaw K, Smith F, Burton J, Prescott J, Roberts R, et al. The role of pharmacists in
25 caring for young people with chronic illness. *J Adolesc Health.* 2017;60(2).
- 26 11. Benavides S HD, Morgan J, Briars L. Approach to the pediatric prescription in a community
27 pharmacy. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;16(4):298-307.
- 28 12. Pharmaceutical Society of Australia Ltd. Guidance for provision of a Pharmacist Only
29 medicine Levonorgestrel. Australia: 2014.
- 30 13. Conard L, Dennis Fortenberry J, Blythe M. Pharmacists' attitudes toward and practices with
31 adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2003;157:361-5.
- 32 14. Carpenter D, Abraham O, Alexander D, Horowitz K. Counseling of children and adolescents in
33 community pharmacies: Results from a 14-day observational study. *Journal of the American*
34 *Pharmacists Association : JAPhA.* 2016;56(3):266-9.
- 35 15. Barnett E, Sussman S, Smith C, Rohrbach L, Spruijt-Metz D. Motivational interviewing for
36 adolescent substance use: a review of the literature. 2012;37(12):1325-34.
- 37 16. Hager D HK, Margolis A, Martin B. Pharmacist behavior changes following a medication
38 counseling training program targeting Teach-Back and plain language. *Inov Pharm.* 2016;7(1).
- 39 17. Wong T, Ip E, Lopes I, Rajagopalan V. Pharmacy students' performance and perceptions in a
40 flipped teaching pilot on cardiac arrhythmias. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2014;78(10):185.
- 41 18. Miller W, Rollnick S. *Motivational Interviewing: Helping people change.* 3rd ed ed. New York:
42 Guilford Press; 2013.
- 43 19. Rollnick S, Miller W, Butler C. *Motivational interviewing in health care: Helping patients*
44 *change behaviour.* London: The Guildford Press; 2008.
- 45 20. Beardsley RS, Kimberlin CL, Tindall WN. *Communication skills in pharmacy practice - a*
46 *practical guide for students and practitioners.* 6th Ed ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Wolter; 2012.
- 47 21. Bates JS BL, Lyons K, Rao K, Pinelli NR, McLaughlin JE, et al. A study of layered learning in
48 oncology. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2016;80(4):68.

- 1 22. Frick A, Benton C, Scolaro K, McLaughlin J, Bradley C, Suzuki O, et al. Transitioning
2 pharmacogenomics into the clinical setting: training future pharmacists. *Front Pharmacol.*
3 2016;7(241).
- 4 23. Lockman K, Haines S, McPherson M. Improved learning outcomes after flipping a
5 therapeutics module: results of a controlled trial. *Acad Med.* 2017;92(12):1786-93.
- 6 24. McLaughlin J, Griffin L, Esserman D, Davidson C, Glatt D, Roth M, et al. Pharmacy student
7 engagement, performance, and perception in a flipped satellite classroom. *Am J Pharm Educ.*
8 2013;77(9):196.
- 9 25. Mnatzaganian C, Fricovsky E, Best B, Singh R. An interactive, multifaceted approach to
10 enhancing pharmacy students' health literacy knowledge and confidence. *Am J Pharm Educ.*
11 2017;81(2):32.
- 12 26. Prescott W, Woodruff A, Prescott G, Albanese N, Bernhardt C, Doloresco F. Introduction and
13 assessment of a blended-learning model to teach patient assessment in a doctor of pharmacy
14 program. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2016;80(10):176.
- 15 27. Remsberg C, Bray B, Wright S, Ashmore J, Kabasenche W, Wang S, et al. Design,
16 implementation, and assessment approaches within a pharmacogenomics course. *Am J Pharm Educ.*
17 2017;81(1):11.
- 18 28. Gray N. A call for action: supporting and engaging pharmacists in the provision of emergency
19 contraception. *J Adolesc Health.* 2018;63(1):1-2.
- 20 29. Sleath B, Carpenter D, Davis S, Watson C, Lee C, Loughlin C, et al. Acceptance of a pre-visit
21 intervention to engage teens in pediatric asthma visits. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2017;100(11):2005-11.
- 22
- 23

1 Table 1. Student satisfaction with workshop, (post-test), N=31, n (%)

2

Question	Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
The information presented in this workshop...					
... was mostly new to me.	0	7 (22.6)	2 (6.5)	17 (54.8)	5 (16.3)
... has made me feel more comfortable speaking with young people in the pharmacy setting.	0	1 (3.2)	4 (12.9)	14 (45.2)	12 (38.7)
... has encouraged me to consider how I would apply the information to my current practice as a pharmacy student. ^a	0	1 (3.3)	2 (6.7)	6 (20.0)	21 (70.0)
... has encouraged me to consider how I would apply the information to my future practice as a pharmacist	0	1 (3.2)	2 (6.5)	5 (16.1)	23 (74.2)
... has comprehensively covered the topic of youth counselling in the pharmacy setting.	0	4 (12.9)	6 (19.4)	17 (54.8)	4 (12.9)
... has encouraged me to think differently.	0	1 (3.2)	4 (12.9)	9 (29.0)	17 (54.8)
The online material...					
... was interesting to me.	0	1 (3.2)	2 (6.5)	14 (45.2)	14 (45.2)
... was applicable to pharmacy practice in my country.	0	1 (3.2)	3 (9.7)	6 (19.4)	21 (67.7)

3 ^an=30

4

5

1 Table 2. Perceived confidence and knowledge score from the pre- and post-test questionnaire, n=31

2

Measure	Pre-test Mean (SD)	Post-test Mean (SD)	Difference^a Mean (SD)	p-value^b
Confidence score	21.7 (4.0)	24.9 (4.5)	3.3 (4.4)	0.0003
Knowledge score	5.2 (1.5)	6.6 (1.6)	1.5 (2.0)	0.0004

3 ^aDifference = post-test score – pre-test score

4 ^bp-values based on paired samples t-test, df=30.

5