

QMUL-PH-18-16

Quantum Information Processing and Composite Quantum Fields.

Sanjaye Ramgoolam^{a,b,1}, Michal Sedlák^{c,d,2}

^a *Centre for Research in String Theory, Department of Physics,
Queen Mary University of London,
Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK*

^b *National Institute for Theoretical Physics,
School of Physics and Mandelstam Institute for Theoretical Physics,
University of the Witwatersrand, Wits, 2050, South Africa*

^c *RCQI, Institute of Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences,
Dubravska cesta 9, 84511 Bratislava, Slovakia*

^d *Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University,
Botanická 68a, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic*

ABSTRACT

Some beautiful identities involving hook contents of Young diagrams have been found in the field of quantum information processing, along with a combinatorial proof. We here give a representation theoretic proof of these identities and a number of generalizations. Our proof is based on trace identities for elements belonging to a class of permutation centralizer algebras. These algebras have been found to underlie the combinatorics of composite gauge invariant operators in quantum field theory, with applications in the AdS/CFT correspondence. Based on these algebras, we discuss some analogies between quantum information processing tasks and the combinatorics of composite quantum fields and argue that this can be fruitful interface between quantum information and quantum field theory, with implications for AdS/CFT.

¹ s.ramgoolam@qmul.ac.uk

² fyzimsed@savba.sk

Contents

1	Identities from Quantum Information Theory	1
2	Representation theoretic proof	1
2.1	Trace of a sum of permutations in $V_N^{\otimes(n+1)}$	3
3	A generalization with $S_m \times S_n$	4
4	Multi-partite generalization	6
5	Permutation centralizer algebras, Composite gauge invariant operators and AdS/CFT	9
A	some facts about $U(N)$, S_n and the tensor product $V_N^{\otimes n}$	12

1 Identities from Quantum Information Theory

Some beautiful symmetric group identities have been found in the subject of quantum information processing [1]. A combinatoric proof has been given [2].

The key identity is

$$d_r n(n+1) = \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} d_R g(r, \square, R) (c_{\square}(R, r))^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where r is a Young diagram with n boxes. R is a Young diagram with $n+1$ boxes, d_r is the dimension of the irreducible representation (irrep) of the symmetric group S_n associated with the Young diagram r , d_R is the dimension of the S_{n+1} irrep associated with R . Finally, $g(r, \square, R)$ is the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient coupling the $V_r^U \otimes V_{\square}^U$ with V_R^U , where $V_r^U, V_{\square}^U, V_R^U$ are the $U(N)$ (or $GL(N)$) irreps associated with the respective Young diagrams and $c_{\square}(R, r)$ is the content (difference of the column and row number) of the additional box in Young diagram R which is not contained in r .

2 Representation theoretic proof

Consider the tensor product of $U(N)$ irreps.

$$V_r^U \otimes V_{\square}^U \quad (2.1)$$

It is an irreducible representation of $U(N) \times U(N)$. Under the diagonal subgroup, it is reducible. The decomposition is given by

$$V_r^U \otimes V_{\square}^U = \bigoplus_{R \vdash n+1} g(r, \square, R) V_R^U \quad (2.2)$$

It follows that

$$N \text{Dim}_N(r) = \sum_{R \vdash n+1} g(r, \square, R) \text{Dim}_N R \quad (2.3)$$

We also have a representation of $S_n \times S_1$ associated with (r, \square) . $S_n \times S_1$ is a subgroup of S_{n+1} . We can induce a representation of S_{n+1} from this representation of $S_n \times S_1$. This is a reducible representation of S_{n+1} . The multiplicity of an irrep R in this reducible rep is $g(r, \square, R)$ (see for example [3]).

This means that

$$(n+1)d_r = \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} g(r, \square, R)d_R \quad (2.4)$$

On the LHS we used the fact that the dimension of the rep of S_{n+1} induced from the irrep $V_r^{S_n} \otimes V_{\square}^{S_1}$ of $S_n \times S_1$, is

$$\frac{|S_{n+1}|}{|S_n \times S_1|} \text{Dim}(V_r^{S_n} \otimes V_{\square}^{S_1}) = \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} d_r = (n+1)d_r \quad (2.5)$$

On the RHS we use the decomposition in terms of irreps of S_{n+1} .

Useful relation between $\text{Dim}_N r$ and d_r is

$$\text{Dim}_N r = \frac{d_r f_r}{n!}, \quad (2.6)$$

where f_r is the product over the boxes of the Young diagram of $(N - c_{\square})$ and $c_{\square} = i - j$ for a box at row i and column j .

$$f_r = \prod_{\square \in r} (N - c_{\square}) = \prod_{i,j} (N + j - i) \quad (2.7)$$

Similarly,

$$\text{Dim}_N R = \frac{d_R f_R}{(n+1)!}. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus the ratio reads

$$\frac{\text{Dim}_N R}{\text{Dim}_N r} = \frac{d_R}{d_r(n+1)} (N - c_{\square}(R, r)), \quad (2.9)$$

where $c_{\square}(R, r)$ is the content of the box by which R and r differ. We can also write $c_{\square}(R, r) = \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) - \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r)$. Using the above equation in (2.3) we have

$$N = \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} g(r, \square, R) \frac{d_R}{d_r(n+1)} (N - c_{\square}(R, r)) \quad (2.10)$$

Comparing this with the induction equation (2.4), we find

$$\sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} g(r, \square, R) c_{\square}(R, r) d_R = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

2.1 Trace of a sum of permutations in $V_N^{\otimes(n+1)}$

In the group algebra $\mathbb{C}(S_{n+m})$, an interesting sub-algebra is formed by the subspace which is invariant under conjugation by elements of $S_n \times S_m$. This is an example of what was called a permutation centralizer algebra (PCA) in [4, 5], and which has many applications in the study of gauge invariant operators with relevance to AdS/CFT. It is denoted $\mathcal{A}(n, m)$. For $m = 1$ we have $\mathcal{A}(n, 1)$. The element $\sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1)$, which we will use here, is an element of $\mathcal{A}(n, 1)$. It is very interesting that PCAs are also finding a use in quantum information processing (QIP). We discuss this further in Section 5.

The tensor product $V_r \otimes V_{\square}$ is a subspace of $V_N^{\otimes(n+1)}$, where V_N is the fundamental of $U(N)$.

$$\begin{aligned} W = V_N^{\otimes(n+1)} &= V_N^{\otimes n} \otimes V_N \\ &= \bigoplus_{r \vdash n} (V_r^U \otimes V_r^{S_n}) \otimes (V_{\square}^U \otimes V_{\square}^{S_1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Consider the projector P_r in the group algebra of S_n , denoted $\mathbb{C}(S_n)$

$$P_r = \frac{d_r}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_r(\sigma) \sigma \quad (2.13)$$

We choose an embedding $\mathbb{C}(S_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(S_{n+1})$, where S_n acts on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and S_{n+1} acts on $\{1, \dots, n, n+1\}$, and construct an element in $\mathbb{C}(S_{n+1})$

$$\left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes 1\right) \sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1) \quad (2.14)$$

We then consider the trace in W

$$\text{tr}_W \left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes 1\right) \sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1) \quad (2.15)$$

This is a sum of permutations in $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$. Doing the multiplication of P_r with $\sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1)$ and taking the trace, we get

$$n \chi_r \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} N^{C_{\sigma}} \sigma \right) = n \text{Dim}_N r \quad (2.16)$$

See Appendix eq. A.10 for the derivation.

Let us consider another way to compute the same trace. We observe that

$$\sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1) = T_2^{(S_{n+1})} - T_2^{(S_n)}, \quad (2.17)$$

where $T_2^{(S_n)}$ is the sum of all permutations in S_n which consist of a single swap. Using Eqs. (A.11) and (A.16) we get

$$\text{tr}_W \left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes 1\right) (T_2^{(S_{n+1})} - T_2^{(S_n)}) = \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} \text{tr}_W P_R (P_r \otimes 1) (T_2^{(S_{n+1})} - T_2^{(S_n)})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} \left(\frac{\chi_R(T_2^{(S_{n+1})})}{d_R} - \frac{\chi_r(T_2^{(S_n)})}{d_r} \right) \text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} (-c_{\square}(R, r)) g(r, \square, R) \text{Dim}_N R
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We made use of the fact

$$\text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes 1 \right) = g(r, \square, R) \text{Dim}_N R \tag{2.19}$$

This is a special case $k = 1, n_1 = n, n_2 = 1$ of an identity A.27 derived in Appendix A.

Now we have

$$n \text{Dim}_N r = \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} (-c_{\square}(r, R)) g(r, \square, R) \text{Dim}_N R. \tag{2.20}$$

Dividing by $\text{Dim}_N r$ on both sides we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
n &= \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} (-c_{\square}(r, R)) g(r, \square, R) \frac{d_R (N - c_{\square}(r, R))}{d_r (n+1)} \\
&= \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} -N c_{\square}(r, R) g(r, \square, R) d_R + \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} (c_{\square}(r, R))^2 g(r, \square, R) \frac{d_R}{(n+1) d_r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

The first term in the above equation is zero due to Eq. (2.11). Thus, we get

$$d_r n (n+1) = \sum_{R \vdash (n+1)} (c_{\square}(r, R))^2 g(r, \square, R) d_R, \tag{2.22}$$

which is the desired identity.

3 A generalization with $S_m \times S_n$

Consider $S_m \times S_n \rightarrow S_{m+n}$ and the vector space $W = V_N^{\otimes m+n}$.

For Young diagrams r, s with m, n boxes respectively, consider

$$V_r^U \otimes V_s^U = \bigoplus_{R \vdash m+n} g(r, s, R) V_R^U \tag{3.1}$$

which gives the decomposition into irreducible representations of the $U(N)$ which acts diagonally on $V_r^U \otimes V_s^U$. First consider the dimension on both sides of the equation

$$\text{Dim}_N r \text{Dim}_N s = \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) \text{Dim}_N R, \tag{3.2}$$

which is using Eq. (2.6) rewritten as

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{m!n!} d_r d_s = \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) d_R \frac{f_R}{f_r f_s} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, using induction of $V_r^{S_m} \otimes V_s^{S_n}$ from $S_m \times S_n$ to S_{m+n} we obtain

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{m!n!} d_r d_s = \sum_R g(r, s, R) d_R \quad (3.4)$$

The expression $\frac{f_R}{f_r f_s}$ has a large N expansion $1 + \mathcal{O}(1/N)$. Comparing the two equations, we conclude that all the $1/N$ corrections in

$$\frac{f_R}{f_r f_s} = \frac{\prod_{\square \in R} (1 - \frac{c_{\square}(R)}{N})}{\prod_{\square \in r} (1 - \frac{c_{\square}(r)}{N}) \prod_{\square \in s} (1 - \frac{c_{\square}(s)}{N})} \quad (3.5)$$

lead to identities. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) d_R \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r) + \sum_{\square \in s} c_{\square}(s) \right) \\ 0 &= \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) d_R \left(\sum_{i_1 < i_2 \in R} c_{i_1}(R) c_{i_2}(R) - \sum_{i \in R} \sum_{k \in r} c_i(R) c_k(r) - \sum_{i \in R} \sum_{l \in s} c_i(R) c_l(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k \in r} \sum_{l \in s} c_k(r) c_l(s) + \sum_{k \in r} (c_k(r))^2 + \sum_{l \in s} (c_l(s))^2 + \sum_{k_1 < k_2 \in r} c_{k_1}(r) c_{k_2}(r) + \sum_{l_1 < l_2 \in s} c_{l_1}(s) c_{l_2}(s) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

There will also be higher order equations: at each order in $1/N$ the equation involves Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and Young diagram contents, hence just data pertaining to the symmetric groups. All the equations arise from the large N expansion of

$$0 = \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) d_R \left(\frac{f_R}{f_r f_s} - 1 \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Now consider the trace

$$tr_W \left(\left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes \frac{P_s}{d_s} \right) (T_2^{(S_{m+n})} - T_2^{(S_m)} - T_2^{(S_n)}) \right). \quad (3.8)$$

We observe that

$$(T_2^{(S_{m+n})} - T_2^{(S_m)} - T_2^{(S_n)}) = \sum_{a=1}^m \sum_{b=m+1}^{m+n} (a, b) \quad (3.9)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &tr_W \left(\left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes \frac{P_s}{d_s} \right) (T_2^{(S_{m+n})} - T_2^{(S_m)} - T_2^{(S_n)}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!n!} \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_m} \sum_{\sigma_2 \in S_n} \chi_r(\sigma_1) \chi_s(\sigma_2) tr_W \left((\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) \sum_{a=1}^m \sum_{b=m+1}^{m+n} (a, b) \right) \\ &= \frac{mn}{m!n!} \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_m} \sum_{\sigma_2 \in S_n} \chi_r(\sigma_1) \chi_s(\sigma_2) N^{C_{\sigma_1} + C_{\sigma_2} - 1} \\ &= N^{-1} mn \text{Dim}_N r \text{Dim}_N s \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, using (A.11), (A.12) and (A.27), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_W \left(\left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \otimes \frac{P_s}{d_s} \right) (T_2^{(S_{m+n})} - T_2^{(S_m)} - T_2^{(S_n)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) \text{Dim}_N R \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r) + \sum_{\square \in s} c_{\square}(s) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Comparing (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} = N \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) \frac{d_R}{d_r d_s} \frac{f_R}{f_r f_s} \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r) + \sum_{\square \in s} c_{\square}(s) \right) \quad (3.12)$$

Consider the large N expansion.

$$\frac{f_R}{f_r f_s} = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r) + \sum_{\square \in s} c_{\square}(s) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \quad (3.13)$$

Fraction $\frac{f_R}{f_r f_s}$ is the only term in the summand of the RHS in (3.12) which contains N dependence. Considering the order N term of the RHS, we get zero using the first identity in (3.6). Considering the constant term, we get

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} = \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) \frac{d_R}{d_r d_s} \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r) + \sum_{\square \in s} c_{\square}(s) \right)^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{(m-1)!(n-1)!} d_r d_s = \sum_{R \vdash (m+n)} g(r, s, R) d_R \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{\square \in r} c_{\square}(r) + \sum_{\square \in s} c_{\square}(s) \right)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Now it is easy to see that this is a generalization of (2.22).

4 Multi-partite generalization

Consider $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k} \rightarrow S_{n_1+\dots+n_k}$ and tensor space $W = V_N^{\otimes n_1+\dots+n_k}$.

For Young diagrams r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k with n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k boxes, we have representations $V_{r_1}^U, V_{r_2}^U, \dots, V_{r_k}^U$ of $U(N)$. Considering the decomposition of the tensor product under the diagonal action of $U(N)$, we have

$$V_{r_1}^U \otimes V_{r_2}^U \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_k}^U = \bigoplus_{R \vdash n_1+\dots+n_k} g(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k; R) V_R^U \quad (4.1)$$

The multiplicities $g(r_1, \dots, r_k; R)$ can be expressed in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. For example

$$g(r_1, r_2, r_3; R) = \sum_{S \vdash n_1+n_2} g(r_1, r_2; S) g(S, r_3; R) \quad (4.2)$$

By considering the dimensions on the two sides of (4.1), we have

$$\text{Dim}_N r_1 \dots \text{Dim}_N r_k = \sum_{R \vdash (n_1 + \dots + n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k; R) \text{Dim}_N R \quad (4.3)$$

Using (2.6) the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_k)!}{n_1! \dots n_k!} d_{r_1} \dots d_{r_k} = \sum_{R \vdash (n_1 + \dots + n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k; R) d_R \frac{f_R}{f_{r_1} \dots f_{r_k}} \quad (4.4)$$

Now we switch to considering the induction of representations of symmetric groups associated with the above Young diagrams. Using induction of $V_{r_1}^{S_{n_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{r_k}^{S_{n_k}}$ from $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ to $S_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}$ we have

$$\frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_k)!}{n_1! \dots n_k!} d_{r_1} \dots d_{r_k} = \sum_R g(r_1, \dots, r_k; R) d_R \quad (4.5)$$

Comparing equations (4.4), (4.5) we have

$$0 = \sum_{R \vdash (n_1 + \dots + n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k; R) d_R \left(1 - \frac{f_R}{f_{r_1} \dots f_{r_k}}\right) \quad (4.6)$$

Again we consider a large N expansion of

$$\frac{f_R}{f_{r_1} \dots f_{r_k}} = \frac{\prod_{\square \in R} \left(1 - \frac{c_{\square}(R)}{N}\right)}{\prod_{\square \in r_1} \left(1 - \frac{c_{\square}(r_1)}{N}\right) \dots \prod_{\square \in r_k} \left(1 - \frac{c_{\square}(r_k)}{N}\right)} \quad (4.7)$$

Validity of Eq. (4.6) for all $N \geq \sum_{j=1}^k n_j$ leads to identities for every power of $1/N$. For example, the $1/N$ terms give

$$0 = \sum_{R \vdash (n_1 + \dots + n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k, R) d_R \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\square \in r_i} c_{\square}(r_i) \right) \quad (4.8)$$

It is useful to be explicit about the embedding of $S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$ in $S_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}$. Let S_{n_1} be the group of permutations of $[n_1] = \{1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$. Let S_{n_2} be the group of permutations of $[n_2] = \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$. And S_{n_i} for $1 \leq i \leq k$ be the group of permutations of

$$[n_i] = \{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_i\} \quad (4.9)$$

We also let $S_{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k}$ be the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k\}$. Let us evaluate the trace

$$\text{tr}_W \left(\left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{P_{r_k}}{d_{r_k}} \right) (T_2^{(S_{n_1 + \dots + n_k})} - \sum_{i=1}^k T_2^{(S_{n_i})}) \right) \quad (4.10)$$

in two ways. We observe that

$$T_2^{(S_{n_1 + \dots + n_k})} - \sum_{i=1}^k T_2^{(S_{n_i})} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \sum_{a \in [n_i]} \sum_{b \in [n_j]} (a, b), \quad (4.11)$$

Direct calculation (analogous to Eq.(3.11)) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& tr_W \left(\left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{P_{n_k}}{d_{n_k}} \right) (T_2^{(S_{n_1+\dots+n_k})} - \sum_{i=1}^k T_2^{(S_{n_i})}) \right) = \frac{1}{n_1! \dots n_k!} \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \dots \sum_{\sigma_k \in S_{n_k}} \\
& \times \chi_{r_1}(\sigma_1) \dots \chi_{r_k}(\sigma_k) tr_W \left((\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_k) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \sum_{a \in [n_i]} \sum_{b \in [n_j]} (a, b) \right) \\
& = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_i n_j}{n_1! \dots n_k!} \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \dots \sum_{\sigma_k \in S_{n_k}} \chi_{r_1}(\sigma_1) \dots \chi_{r_k}(\sigma_k) N^{C_{\sigma_1+\dots+C_{\sigma_k}-1}} \\
& = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_i n_j}{N} \text{Dim}_N r_1 \dots \text{Dim}_N r_k \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (A.11), (A.12) and (A.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& tr_W \left(\left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{P_{n_k}}{d_{n_k}} \right) (T_2^{(S_{n_1+\dots+n_k})} - \sum_{i=1}^k T_2^{(S_{n_i})}) \right) \\
& = \sum_{R \vdash (n_1+\dots+n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k, R) \text{Dim}_N R \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\square \in r_i} c_{\square}(r_i) \right) \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_i n_j \right) \frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_k)!}{n_1! \dots n_k!} = \tag{4.14} \\
& N \sum_{R \vdash (n_1+\dots+n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k, R) \frac{d_R}{d_{r_1} \dots d_{r_k}} \frac{f_R}{f_{r_1} \dots f_{r_k}} \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\square \in r_i} c_{\square}(r_i) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the large N expansion.

$$\frac{f_R}{f_{r_1} \dots f_{r_k}} = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\square \in r_i} c_{\square}(r_i) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right) \tag{4.15}$$

In Eq. (4.14) the only term in the summand of the RHS, which contains N dependence is the fraction $\frac{f_R}{f_{r_1} \dots f_{r_k}}$. Considering the order N term of the RHS, we get zero using the first identity in (4.8). Considering the constant term, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_i n_j \right) \frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_k)!}{n_1! \dots n_k!} = \tag{4.16} \\
& \sum_{R \vdash (n_1+\dots+n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k, R) \frac{d_R}{d_{r_1} \dots d_{r_k}} \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\square \in r_i} c_{\square}(r_i) \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

This can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_i n_j \right) \frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_k)!}{n_1! \dots n_k!} d_{r_1} \dots d_{r_k} = \tag{4.17} \\
& \sum_{R \vdash (n_1+\dots+n_k)} g(r_1, \dots, r_k, R) d_R \left(- \sum_{\square \in R} c_{\square}(R) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\square \in r_i} c_{\square}(r_i) \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

We see that this is a generalization of (3.15).

5 Permutation centralizer algebras, Composite gauge invariant operators and AdS/CFT

The identities above have been derived by calculating the trace in tensor spaces of some elements in the group algebra of $S_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k}$, which are invariant under conjugation by permutations in $S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$. Let us specialise to the case $k = 2$. The subspace of $\mathbb{C}(S_{m+n})$ which is invariant under conjugation by $S_m \times S_n$ forms an algebra which has been studied in detail in [4, 5]. The motivation came from the role these played in the construction of bases of gauge invariant operators which diagonalise an inner product coming from free quantum field theory [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Key insights into the construction of these bases came from the physics of strings attached to branes in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [11, 12, 13].

Consider quantum fields X, Y which are $N \times N$ matrices transforming in the adjoint of a $U(N)$ gauge symmetry.

$$\begin{aligned} X &\rightarrow UXU^\dagger \\ Y &\rightarrow UYU^\dagger \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

For large N , the space of gauge invariant operators is in 1-1 correspondence with the elements of $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$. One way to count the dimension of this space is to count the traces, which amounts to counting cyclic words built from two letters. As explained in the references above (and reviewed in [14]) the dimension of the space of gauge invariant operators is also given in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $g(R_1, R_2, R_3)$ which are multiplicities for the $U(N)$ representation associated with Young diagram R_3 (having $m + n$ boxes) to appear in the tensor product of $R_1 \otimes R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 have m and n boxes.

$$\text{Dim}(\mathcal{A}(m, n)) = \sum_{\substack{R_1 \vdash m \\ R_2 \vdash n}} \sum_{R_3 \vdash m+n} (g(R_1, R_2, R_3))^2 \tag{5.2}$$

The finite N counting is given simply by restricting R_3 to have no more than N rows. This follows by application of Schur-Weyl duality. The reason these permutation equivalences arise in constructing gauge invariants is that if we consider a general operator

$$X_{j_1}^{i_1} \dots X_{j_n}^{i_n} Y_{j_{n+1}}^{i_{n+1}} \dots Y_{j_{n+m}}^{i_{n+m}} \tag{5.3}$$

the upper indices transform in $V^{\otimes(m+n)}$ of $U(N)$. The lower indices transform as $\bar{V}^{\otimes(m+n)}$. The invariants of $U(N)$ are obtained by contracting with Kronecker δ 's. As a result, we can construct a gauge invariant for every permutation $\sigma \in S_{m+n}$.

$$\mathcal{O}_\sigma(X, Y) = X_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{i_1} \dots X_{i_{\sigma(n)}}^{i_n} Y_{i_{\sigma(n+1)}}^{i_{n+1}} \dots Y_{i_{\sigma(n+m)}}^{i_{n+m}} \tag{5.4}$$

The bosonic symmetry leads to an equivalence

$$\mathcal{O}_\sigma(X, Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\gamma\sigma\gamma^{-1}}(X, Y) \quad (5.5)$$

for all $\gamma \in S_m \times S_n$. Fourier transformation on $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ using representation theory of symmetric groups leads to a Young diagram basis $Q_{R_1, R_2; \nu_1, \nu_2}^{R_3}$, with $1 \leq \nu_1, \nu_2 \leq g(R_1, R_2, R_3)$. Representation theoretic formulae for the Fourier coefficients giving the transformation from trace basis to the Young diagram basis are given in the papers above. Structural questions about $\mathcal{A}(m, n)$, notably regarding minimal sets of generators for maximal commuting subalgebras, are related to the question of how many charges (generalized Casimirs) are needed to specify a state in the 2-matrix system [15, 4]. This can be considered to be a measure of complexity of this state space.

$\mathcal{A}(m, n)$ is an example of a permutation centralizer algebra. $\mathcal{A}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is analogously defined, and is relevant to gauge invariant operators made from k flavours of matrix quantum fields. The elements we have used to get the identities above are in fact special elements which are central in $\mathcal{A}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$. The central subspace is spanned by products of elements from the centre of $\mathbb{C}(S_{\sum_i n_i})$ with elements from the centre of $\prod_i \mathbb{C}(S_{n_i})$. (these properties of the centre of PCAs are explained in [4] and the special role of the centre in terms of the complexity of correlator computations is discussed). The traces of central elements can thus be obtained using character formulae for symmetric groups [16].

In fact any central element of $\mathcal{A}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ will lead to an identity of the kind we discussed in the earlier sections.

We may make a few remarks about the analogies which are emerging between quantum information processing and gauge invariant composite quantum fields through the shared feature of permutation centralizer algebras and Schur-Weyl duality. In QIP, multiple uses of a unitary operation occur in the computational tasks like oracle based algorithms, estimation problems or arbitrary protocols, which should perform equally well for all states or channels³. In QFT, these multiple uses of a unitary U arise through the action on a polynomial composite quantum field.

We thus have a first simple interesting analogy, somewhat simplified from the above setup, which seems to hold promise of wider implications :

A unitary quantum channel is analogous to a unitary gauge transformation of an elementary quantum field

In the QIP problem, multiple uses of channels occur within multi step quantum protocols (i.e. within networks of quantum channels). In the composite operator problem of QFT, multiple uses occur in different copies of the elementary quantum field occurring within a composite. We thus have a second simplified analogy to think about.

³ For a broad discussion of group techniques in quantum information tasks, see [17]. For use of symmetries in multi step quantum protocols see [18, 19, 20, 21]

A multi step quantum protocol is analogous to a composite local operator

The simplicity of these analogies seems to suggest there should be wider applications. For example, for the multi-partite generalization in Section 4 we may ask, is there an appropriate optimization task in quantum information theory involving multiple quantum devices interacting with each other in some way, which employs the multi-partite identities (4.17) - generalizing the use of (2.22) in perfect probabilistic storage and retrieval [1]?

As noted earlier in this section, structural questions about PCAs have been used to characterize the complexity of quantum states in multi-matrix systems, which have a Young diagram basis as well as a trace basis. The Schur-Weyl duality transformation from tensor product basis to the Young diagram basis for $V_N^{\otimes n}$ has been studied from a quantum information perspective [22]. The question of efficient quantum circuits having polynomial number of gates has been addressed. Similar questions can be studied for the transformation from trace basis to Young diagram basis for multi-matrix systems. The definition of complexity of quantum circuits requires a choice of a basic gate set. A reasonable choice in the context of AdS/CFT would be to consider the quantum dilatation operator at one loop and higher loops (see [23] for the 1-loop dilatation operator and [24, 25] for applications to brane physics of the action of the one-loop dilatation operator on the Young diagram basis). A challenge would be to identify an AdS/CFT dual for such a notion of circuit complexity involving the quantum dilatation operator in the 2-matrix system.

As we have seen, permutation centralizer algebras, with their traces illuminating aspects of perfect probabilistic storing/retrieving and their structure constants having information about correlators of relevance to AdS/CFT, provide an intriguing mathematical connection between quantum information and AdS/CFT. An interesting question is whether there is a physical interpretation of this mathematical connection between QIP and AdS/CFT. In this connection, it is worth noting that studies of quantum state spaces in AdS/CFT from information theoretic perspectives have been undertaken [26, 27, 28], primarily in the context of state spaces associated with invariants of a single matrix and the related free fermion system. More broadly on this theme the work of [29] has motivated a rich exploration of connections between AdS/CFT and quantum information. For example it has led to the idea of space-time emerging from entanglement [30] with implications for AdS/CFT holography [31] and black hole physics [32].

Acknowledgements

SR is supported by the STFC consolidated grant ST/L000415/1 “String Theory, Gauge Theory & Duality” and a Visiting Professorship at the University of the Witwatersrand, funded by a Simons Foundation grant to the Mandelstam Institute for Theoretical Physics. SR thanks the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality and the INFN for partial support during the completion of this work. He also thanks the organizers of the workshop on Matrix Models for non-commutative geometry and string theory in Vienna and

the KEK theory group for hospitality during the completion of this project. We are grateful for useful discussions to David Berenstein, Robert de Mello Koch, Costis Papageorgakis, Rodolfo Russo, Masaki Shigemori. MS acknowledges the support by the QuantERA project HIPHOP (project ID 731473), projects QETWORK (APVV-14-0878), MAXAP (VEGA 2/0173/17), GRUPIK (MUNI/G/1211/2017) and GAČR No. GA16-22211S. MS is grateful to A. Bisio and M. Ziman for fruitful discussions and collaborative work, which led to formulation of the identity (1.1), which is re-derived and generalized in this manuscript. We are grateful to the organizers of the Quantum Physics and Logic (QPL2017) conference where this interdisciplinary collaboration was initiated.

A some facts about $U(N)$, S_n and the tensor product

$$V_N^{\otimes n}$$

This section is brief review of some key facts about the representation theory of symmetric groups, Unitary groups and their relations following from Schur-Weyl duality. More details are in mathematical physics references such as [33] or mathematics texts such as [3]. We will start with a useful piece of notation. We will use $r \vdash n$ to denote a partition r of n . Partitions of n correspond to Young diagrams with n boxes, which have row lengths $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots$, with $n = r_1 + r_2 + \dots$. Young diagrams with n boxes correspond to irreducible representations of S_n . Letting V_N be the fundamental representation of $U(N)$, the tensor product $V_N^{\otimes n}$ is a representation of the diagonal $U(N)$ acting as

$$U \otimes U \otimes \dots \otimes U \tag{A.1}$$

as well as the symmetric group of all permutations of n objects (S_n). These two actions commute with each other, which leads to Schur-Weyl duality

$$V_N^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{r \vdash n} V_r^{U(N)} \otimes V_r^{S_n} \tag{A.2}$$

This gives the decomposition of $V_N^{\otimes n}$ into irreducible reps of $U(N) \times S_n$ as a direct sum labelled by Young diagrams.

A useful formula for the dimension of unitary group $U(N)$ irreps in terms of characters of S_n is

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_r(\sigma) N^{C_\sigma} = \text{Dim}_N r, \tag{A.3}$$

where C_σ is the number of cycles in the permutation σ . This follows from Schur-Weyl duality (A.2). To project to a fixed Young diagram, we can use a projector element in the group

algebra

$$P_r = \frac{d_r}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_r(\sigma) \sigma \quad (\text{A.4})$$

If we apply this to the states in $V_N^{\otimes n}$ and take a trace, we need to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{V_N^{\otimes n}}(\sigma) &= \langle e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} | \sigma | e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \rangle \\ &= \langle e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} | e_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{\sigma(n)}} \rangle \\ &= N^{C_\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

(usual summation convention, so the i indices are summed from 1 to N). To understand the last line, it is instructive to do some examples at $n = 2$. If $\sigma = (1)(2)$, the trace is

$$\delta_{i_1, i_1} \delta_{i_2, i_2} = N^2 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

If $\sigma = (12)$, the trace is

$$\delta_{i_1, i_{\sigma(1)}} \delta_{i_2, i_{\sigma(2)}} = \delta_{i_1, i_2} \delta_{i_2, i_1} = N \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We need to understand some multiplications in the group algebra of S_{n+1} . The group algebra consists of formal sums of group elements with complex coefficients. What happens when a generic group element σ in the S_n subgroup is multiplied with $(a, n+1)$ for $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$? Example at $n = 3$, with $\sigma = (1, 2, 3)$

$$(1, 2, 3)(2, 4) = (1, 4, 2, 3) \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The number of cycles in $\sigma.(a, n+1)$ is the same as the number of cycles in σ . As a result, if

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \sigma. \sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1) \\ C_\tau &= C_\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{V_N^{\otimes n+1}} \left(\frac{P_r}{d_r} \sum_{a=1}^n (a, n+1) \right) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_r(\sigma) \text{tr}_{V_N^{\otimes n+1}} (\sigma.(a, n+1)) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^n \text{Dim}_N r = n \text{Dim}_N r \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Central elements (such as $T_2^{(S_n)}$) multiplying a projector give normalized characters times the projector.

$$T_2^{(S_n)} P_r = \frac{\chi_r(T_2^{S_n})}{d_r} P_r \quad (\text{A.11})$$

To see this, note that both LHS and RHS are central elements in the group algebra of S_n , as a result they are determined by their irreducible characters, and we can easily verify that the two sides have the same irreducible characters. The normalized character is known [16] to be the sum of contents

$$\frac{\chi_r(T_2^{S_n})}{d_r} = \sum_{\square \in r} (-c_{\square}(r)) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

An implication of Schur-Weyl duality is that the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $g(r_1, r_2; R)$ which give the multiplicities of $U(N)$ tensor product decompositions

$$V_{r_1}^U \otimes V_{r_2}^U = \oplus_R g(r_1, r_2, R) V_R^U \quad (\text{A.13})$$

also have an interpretation purely in terms of symmetric groups. They are the reduction multiplicities for the decomposition of the irrep $V_R^{S_n}$ in terms of the subgroup $S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2}$. We may express this as

$$V_R^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{r_1, r_2} V_{r_1}^{S_{n_1}} \otimes V_{r_2}^{S_{n_2}} \otimes V_{r_1, r_2}^R \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where V_{r_1, r_2}^R is the multiplicity space, of dimension $g(r_1, r_2, R)$. Considering the trace in $V_R^{S_n}$

$$\text{tr}(P_{r_1} \circ P_{r_2}) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

we arrive at

$$g(r_1, r_2, R) = \frac{1}{n_1! n_2!} \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \sum_{\sigma_2 \in S_{n_2}} \chi_{r_1}(\sigma_1) \chi_{r_2}(\sigma_2) \chi_R(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

More generally

$$V_{r_1}^U \otimes V_{r_2}^U \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{r_k}^U = \oplus_R g(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k; R) V_R^U \quad (\text{A.17})$$

and

$$g(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k; R) = \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_k \in S_{n_k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi_{r_i}(\sigma_i)}{n_i!} \right) \chi_R(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_k) \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Let $W = V_N^{\otimes n} = V_N^{\otimes(n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k)}$. Consider the trace

$$\text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \frac{P_{r_2}}{d_{r_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{P_{r_k}}{d_{r_k}} \right) \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where R is a Young diagram with n boxes, r_i are Young diagrams with n_i boxes, P_R and P_{r_i} are the corresponding projectors. Using Schur-Weyl duality

$$W = V_N^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{R \vdash n} V_R^U \otimes V_R^{S_n} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

When the projector P_R acts on W , we project to a single factor $V_R^U \otimes V_R^{S^n}$. We can decompose $V_R^{S^n}$ in terms of $S_{n_1} \times S_{n_2} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$. The multiplicities are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

$$V_R^U \otimes V_R^{S^n} = V_R^U \otimes \bigoplus_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k} g(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k; R) \left(V_{r_1}^{S_{n_1}} \otimes V_{r_2}^{S_{n_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{r_k}^{S_{n_k}} \right) \quad (\text{A.21})$$

It follows that

$$\text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \frac{P_{r_2}}{d_{r_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{P_{r_k}}{d_{r_k}} \right) = (\text{Dim}_N R) g(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k; R) \quad (\text{A.22})$$

This is an important identity we use in the paper. To make the above proof more explicit, we can expand the projectors in terms of characters.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \frac{P_{r_2}}{d_{r_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{P_{r_k}}{d_{r_k}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_k \in S_{n_k}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{d_R \chi_R(\sigma)}{n!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi_{r_i}(\sigma_i)}{n_i!} \right) \text{tr}_W (\sigma(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_k)) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

For any permutation $\tau \in S_n$, Schur-Weyl duality implies that

$$\text{tr}_W(\tau) = \sum_{S \vdash n} \chi_S(\tau) \text{Dim}_N S \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \frac{P_{r_2}}{d_{r_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{P_{r_k}}{d_{r_k}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_k \in S_{n_k}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{d_R \chi_R(\sigma)}{n!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi_{r_i}(\sigma_i)}{n_i!} \right) \sum_{S \vdash n} \chi_S(\sigma(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_k)) \text{Dim}_N S \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Using the character orthogonality relation

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} \chi_S(\sigma) \chi_R(\sigma \rho) = \delta_{RS} \frac{\chi_R(\rho)}{d_R} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

which holds for any $\rho \in S_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_W P_R \left(\frac{P_{r_1}}{d_{r_1}} \otimes \frac{P_{r_2}}{d_{r_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{P_{r_k}}{d_{r_k}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{n_1}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_k \in S_{n_k}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\chi_{r_i}(\sigma_i)}{n_i!} \right) \chi_R(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_k) \text{Dim}_N R \\ &= g(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k; R) \text{Dim}_N R \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

References

- [1] M. Sedláč, A. Bisio, M. Ziman, “Optimal probabilistic storage and retrieval of unitary channels”, arXiv:1809.04552 [quant-ph]
- [2] M. Sedláč, and A. Bisio, “On some new hook content identities”, arXiv:1809.02008 [math.CO].
- [3] W. Fulton and J. Harris, “Representation Theory: a first course” Springer 2004.
- [4] P. Mattioli and S. Ramgoolam, “Permutation Centralizer Algebras and Multi-Matrix Invariants,” Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.6, 065040 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.065040 [arXiv:1601.06086 [hep-th]].
- [5] Y. Kimura, “Noncommutative Frobenius algebras and open-closed duality,” arXiv:1701.08382 [hep-th].
- [6] Y. Kimura and S. Ramgoolam, “Branes, anti-branes and brauer algebras in gauge-gravity duality,” JHEP **0711** (2007) 078 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/078 [arXiv:0709.2158 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. W. Brown, P. J. Heslop and S. Ramgoolam, “Diagonal multi-matrix correlators and BPS operators in N=4 SYM,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 030 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/030 [arXiv:0711.0176 [hep-th]].
- [8] R. Bhattacharyya, S. Collins and R. de Mello Koch, “Exact Multi-Matrix Correlators,” JHEP **0803** (2008) 044 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/044 [arXiv:0801.2061 [hep-th]].
- [9] R. Bhattacharyya, R. de Mello Koch and M. Stephanou, “Exact Multi-Restricted Schur Polynomial Correlators,” JHEP **0806** (2008) 101 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/06/101 [arXiv:0805.3025 [hep-th]].
- [10] T. W. Brown, P. J. Heslop and S. Ramgoolam, “Diagonal free field matrix correlators, global symmetries and giant gravitons,” JHEP **0904** (2009) 089 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/089 [arXiv:0806.1911 [hep-th]].
- [11] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, “Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual N=4 SYM theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2002) 809 doi:10.4310/ATMP.2001.v5.n4.a6 [hep-th/0111222].
- [12] V. Balasubramanian, M. x. Huang, T. S. Levi and A. Naqvi, “Open strings from N=4 superYang-Mills,” JHEP **0208** (2002) 037 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/08/037 [hep-th/0204196].

- [13] V. Balasubramanian, D. Berenstein, B. Feng and M. x. Huang, “D-branes in Yang-Mills theory and emergent gauge symmetry,” JHEP **0503** (2005) 006 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/006 [hep-th/0411205].
- [14] S. Ramgoolam, “Permutations and the combinatorics of gauge invariants for general N,” PoS CORFU **2015** (2016) 107 doi:10.22323/1.263.0107 [arXiv:1605.00843 [hep-th]].
- [15] Y. Kimura and S. Ramgoolam, “Enhanced symmetries of gauge theory and resolving the spectrum of local operators,” Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 126003 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.126003 [arXiv:0807.3696 [hep-th]].
- [16] M. Lasalle, “An explicit formula for characters of the symmetric group,” arXiv:0707.2732 [math.CO]
- [17] M. Hayashi, “A Group Theoretic Approach to Quantum Information,” Springer 2017.
- [18] G. Chiribella, G. M. D’Ariano, P. Perinotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 060401 (2008).
- [19] G. Gutoski, J. Watrous, Proc. of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computation, 565 (2007).
- [20] G. Chiribella, G. M. D’Ariano, P. Perinotti, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 022339 (2009).
- [21] A. Bisio, G. Chiribella, G. M. D’Ariano, P. Perinotti, Acta Physica Slovaca 61, No.3, 273-390 (2011).
- [22] Dave Bacon, Isaac Chuang, Aram Harrow, “Efficient Quantum Circuits for Schur and Clebsch-Gordan Transforms” Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 97, 170502 (2006), <https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0407082>
- [23] N. Beisert, “The complete one loop dilatation operator of N=4 superYang-Mills theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **676** (2004) 3 doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.10.019 [hep-th/0307015].
- [24] R. de Mello Koch, M. Dessein, D. Giataganas and C. Mathwin, “Giant Graviton Oscillators,” JHEP **1110** (2011) 009 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)009 [arXiv:1108.2761 [hep-th]].
- [25] R. de Mello Koch and S. Ramgoolam, “A double coset ansatz for integrability in AdS/CFT,” JHEP **1206** (2012) 083 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)083 [arXiv:1204.2153 [hep-th]].
- [26] V. Balasubramanian, B. Czech, K. Larjo and J. Simon, “Integrability versus information loss: A Simple example,” JHEP **0611** (2006) 001 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/11/001 [hep-th/0602263].
- [27] J. Simon, “Correlations vs connectivity in R-charge,” arXiv:1805.11279 [hep-th].

- [28] D. Berenstein and A. Miller, “Superposition induced topology changes in quantum gravity,” JHEP **1711** (2017) 121 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2017)121 [arXiv:1702.03011 [hep-th]].
- [29] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 181602 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602 [hep-th/0603001].
- [30] M. Van Raamsdonk, “Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement,” Gen. Rel. Grav. **42** (2010) 2323 [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **19** (2010) 2429] doi:10.1007/s10714-010-1034-0, 10.1142/S0218271810018529 [arXiv:1005.3035 [hep-th]].
- [31] T. Faulkner, M. Guica, T. Hartman, R. C. Myers and M. Van Raamsdonk, “Gravitation from Entanglement in Holographic CFTs,” JHEP **1403** (2014) 051 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2014)051 [arXiv:1312.7856 [hep-th]].
- [32] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, “Cool horizons for entangled black holes,” Fortsch. Phys. **61** (2013) 781 doi:10.1002/prop.201300020 [arXiv:1306.0533 [hep-th]].
- [33] M. Hamermesh, “Group theory and its application to physical problems,” Dover 1962.