

# Toward fluid-structure-piezoelectric simulations applied to flow-induced energy harvesters

C. HOAREAU, L. SHANG and A. ZILIAN

Institute of Computational Engineering, FSTC, University of Luxembourg , Luxembourg, christophe.hoareau@uni.lu

## 1 Flow Induced Energy Harvesting

Ambient fluid flow energy → Energy Harvester → Electrical energy

- Structure
- Piezoelectric patch
- Fluid
- Circuit

- Autonomous piezo-ceramic power generators
- Nonlinear dynamic behavior
- Flutter induced vibrations (1)

## 2 Research objectives

Maximize the power output  
Minimize the fatigue exposure

- Model and predict the nonlinear dynamic behavior (2)
- Quantify the sensibility under changing conditions
- Allow just-in-time feedback : construct on-line approximations

## 3 Problems

- Complex multiphysics phenomena
- Increasing number of parameters
- Numerous fields (displacement, velocity, pressure, intensity ...)
- Nonlinear behaviors (geometrical nonlinearity, fluid dynamic)

Can we construct a Reduced Order Model to approximate a nonlinear multiphysic problem ?

## 4 Methodology

Parameters → Expensive mathematical model → Quantity of interest

ROM *a posteriori*      ROM *a priori*

Numerical tool (3)  
Finite Element Method  
FEniCS PROJECT

## 5 High fidelity model+FEniCS

### Hypotheses

Fluid	Structure	Piezo + circuit
Incompressible Newtonian Homogeneous Isotropic Low Reynolds number Moving mesh	Elastic Homogeneous Isotropic Finite strain	Linear electromechanica Resistor circuit

**Example : Steady-Navier Stokes - 2D Lid driven cavity**

- Strong form (velocity - pressure)
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_f$$

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla p + \nabla p - \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_f$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_d \quad \text{on } \Gamma_d$$

- Weak form (velocity - pressure)
$$c(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}, \delta \mathbf{v}) - b(p, \delta \mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{Re} a(\mathbf{v}, \delta \mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \forall \delta \mathbf{v}$$

$$b(\mathbf{v}, \delta p) = 0 \quad \forall \delta p$$

## 6 Reduced Order Modelling

### A priori approaches

**Proper Generalized Decomposition (5)**  
Example : Steady Navier-Stokes

- Series of separated functions variables products
$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{X}, Re) \simeq \sum_{i=1}^N \Lambda_i(\mathbf{X}) \lambda_i(Re) + \mathbf{v}^*$$

Satisfy Dirichlet BC

$$p(\mathbf{X}, Re) \simeq \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i(\mathbf{X}) \lambda_i(Re)$$

For each i-th modes : Greedy Algorithm (GA)

**Modal Basis (6)**  
Example : Linearized hydroelasticity

- In vacuo eigenvalue problem
$$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{0}$$

**KU + MÜ = f**

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{f}_k(\mathbf{U}) + \mathbf{f}_d(\dot{\mathbf{U}}) + \mathbf{f}_a(\ddot{\mathbf{U}})$$

displacement      velocity      acceleration

added stiffness      added damping      added mass

Reduced problem with reduced added operators

$$\mathbf{U} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i \mathbf{U}_i$$

**Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (4)**  
Example with steady Navier-Stokes

**Discussions**

- Appropriate method / weakly nonlinear problems
- Subjected to the curse of dimensionality
- Tests with moving meshes on-going

**Discussions**

- Overcome the curse of dimensionality
- No convergence of the pressure for now
- Tests with time intergation on-going

**Discussions**

- Give valuable physical information
- Necessitates restriction of hypotheses
- Linearization around flow on-going

## 7 Conclusion - Work in Progress

### Work done

- Learn and use FEniCS
- Solve Navier-Stokes problems
- Linearized hydroelasticity
- A posteriori reduction : POD
- A priori reduction : PGD

### Work in progress

- Fluid - Time integration
- Fluid - Structure : ALE formulation
- Fluid - Structure : Aeroelasticity
- Structure : Velocity formulation
- Comparison between POD-PGD-MB

### Outlook

- Add piezoelectricity equations
- Investigate structural models (beam - plates)
- Design an experimental set-up (7)
- Generate a data-base of F-S-P simulations
- Machin Learning

## References

- (1) A. Kornecki & al., JSV, 1976
- (2) S. Ravi & A. Zilian, IJNME 2017
- (3) M. S. Alnaes & al., ANS, 2015
- (4) G. Berkooz & al., ARFM, 1993
- (5) F. Chinesta & al., ACME, 2011
- (6) C. Hoareau, PhD thesis, 2019
- (7) C. De Marqui & al., JFS, 2018