



Provided by the author(s) and NUI Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	The impact of occlusion location and bridging therapy in patients affected by acute ischemic stroke in determining the total number of passes required to remove the clot and the final revascularization outcome
Author(s)	Rossi, Rosanna; Fitzgerald, Seán; Mereuta, Oana Madalina; Douglas, Andrew; Pandit, Abhay; Szikora, István; Tsivgoulis, Georgios; Psychogios, Klearchos; Murphy, Blathnaid; Brennan, Paul; Power, Sarah; O'Hare, Alan; Thornton, John; Rentzos, Alexandros; Tatlisumak, Turgut; Doyle, Karen M.
Publication Date	2019-10-21
Publication Information	Rossi, Rosanna, Fitzgerald, Seán, Mereuta, Oana Madalina, Douglas, Andrew, Pandit, Abhay, Szikora, István, Tsivgoulis, Georgios, Psychogios, Klearchos, Murphy, Blathnaid, Brennan, Paul, Power, Sarah, O'Hare, Alan, Thornton, John, Rentzos, Alexandros, Tatlisumak, Turgut, Doyle, Karen M. . (2019). The impact of occlusion location and bridging therapy in patients affected by acute ischemic stroke in determining the total number of passes required to remove the clot and the final revascularization outcome. Paper presented at the 15th WFITN (World Federation of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology) 2019 Congress, Naples, Italy, 21-24 October.
Publisher	WFITN (World Federation of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology)
Link to publisher's version	http://www.wfitn2019.com/
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/15718

Downloaded 2020-10-17T02:16:23Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



The impact of occlusion location and bridging therapy in patients affected by Acute Ischemic Stroke in determining the total number of passes required to remove the clot and the final revascularization outcome.

Rosanna Rossi^{1,2*}, Seán Fitzgerald^{1,2}, Oana Madalina Mereuta^{1,2}, Andrew Douglas^{1,2}, Abhay Pandit², István Szikora³, Georgios Tsivgoulis⁴, Klearchos Psychogios⁴, Blathnaid Murphy⁵, Paul Brennan⁵, Sarah Power⁵, Alan O Hare⁵, John Thornton⁵, Alexandros Rentzos⁶, Turgut Tatlisumak⁷, Karen M. Doyle^{1,2}

¹Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, National University of Ireland, Galway, University Road, Galway, Ireland, ²CÚRAM–Centre for Research in Medical Devices, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland, ³Department of Neurointerventions, National Institute of Clinical Neurosciences, Budapest, Hungary, ⁴Department of Neurology, Metropolitan Hospital, Piraeus, Greece. ⁵Department of Radiology, Royal college of Surgeons in Ireland, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin Ireland, ⁶Department of Interventional and Diagnostic Neuroradiology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden, ⁷Department of Neurology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

Purpose

Our purpose was to assess the impact of occlusion location in patients suffering from Acute Ischemic Stroke (AIS) on the total number of passes (attempts) necessary to retrieve the clot and on final revascularization outcome. Moreover, we analysed the impact of bridging-therapy, i.e. the concomitant use of IV tPA (intravenous tissue plasminogen activator) and mechanical thrombectomy (MT) on the different categories of occlusion locations.

Methods

550 mechanically extracted thrombi were collected from four partner hospitals: Beaumont (Dublin) Sahlgrenska (Gothenburg), National Institute of Clinical Neurosciences (Budapest) and Metropolitan Hospital (Piraeus). In the vast majority of the cases (311 patients, 56.5%) the thrombus was located in the Middle Cerebral Artery (MCA), followed by Carotid Terminus/Internal Carotid Artery (ICA) in 89 cases (16.2%) and by vertebral/basilar artery (45 patients, 8.2%). In 65 cases (11.8%) a tandem occlusion, i.e. the occlusion of both ICA and MCA was found, while a dual occlusion occurred in 26 cases (4.7%). 248 patients (45.1%) underwent bridging-therapy, while 291 patients (52.9%) were treated with MT alone. For 11 patients (2%) we have no information whether tPA was administered or not. Recanalization rate was defined by using the modified Thrombolysis In Cerebral Infarction (mTICI) score. Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test using IBM SPSS-25 software was used for statistical analysis.

Results

Occlusion location had a significant impact on the total number of passes required to retrieve the clot as well as on final revascularization outcome. The cases with tandem and dual occlusion showed higher number of procedural passes and lower percentage of complete revascularizations (mTICI=3, Table 1). Bridging-therapy did not significantly reduce the total number of passes or improve the recanalization rates for patients with singular occlusion. On the other hand, bridging-therapy significantly lowered the total number of passes to remove the clot in patients with dual and tandem occlusion (N=87, mean for MT+tPA= 2.63±1.73, MT alone=3.80±2.14, $H_1=7.608$, $p=0.006^*$), but had no statistically significant effect on the final mTICI score (N=87, $H_1=0.266$, $p=0.606$).

Conclusion

This study suggests that occlusion location significantly influences the total number of procedural passes in MT procedures as well as the final revascularization outcome. Furthermore, bridging-therapy lowers the number of procedural passes in cases of tandem and dual occlusion without having significant effect on final mTICI score.

Funding: Science Foundation Ireland (Grant Number 13/RC/2073) and Cerenovus.

Table 1. Impact of occlusion location on total number of passes and final mTICI score

Variable	Occlusion Location						Statistical analysis
	MCA	Carotid T/ ICA	Vertebral/basilar	Other	Tandem occlusion	Dual occlusion	
Mean Number of passes (\pm SD)	2.04 \pm 1.76	2.97 \pm 1.83	1.71 \pm 1.12	1.89 \pm 1.05	3.46 \pm 2.48	3.23 \pm 1.86	N=545, H ₅ =61.346, p=0.000*)
Final mTICI score (N (%))							
mTICI 0	3 (1.0)	4 (4.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	N=538, H ₅ =22.635, p=0.000*
mTICI 1	5 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	
mTICI 2a	14 (4.5)	6 (6.8)	1 (2.4)	1 (11.1)	6 (9.2)	2 (7.7)	
mTICI2b	66 (21.4)	19 (21.6)	9 (21.4)	3 (33.3)	24 (36.9)	11 (42.3)	
mTICI 2c	46 (14.9)	15 (17.0)	7 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	15 (23.1)	4 (15.4)	
mTICI 3	174 (56.5)	44 (50.0)	24 (57.1)	5 (55.6)	17 (26.2)	9 (34.6)	