Evaluating Prescriptive Design Requirements through the Lens

of Low-Income Housing in Huntington, West Virginia

ΒY

DAVID HSU

ADVISED BY

JOHN LAWSON

DEC 2019

Contents

Abstract	. 3
Introduction	. 3
Project	. 4
Deliverables	. 5
Challenges	. 6
Impact	. 7
Lifelong Learning	. 8
Personal Reflection	. 9

Appendix A: Structural Calculations

Appendix B: Structural Drawings

Appendix C: Senior Project Presentation

Abstract

Journeyman International is a non-profit organization that pairs graduating seniors in the fields of architecture, architectural engineering, and construction management with professional mentors to provide practical services for humanitarian projects that seek their aid. The particular project of interest is economical housing for Habitat for Humanity in Huntington (West Virginia). The project aims to provide structural calculations and drawings for three different building layouts which the client would be able to submit as construction documents to the local authority having jurisdiction. This report includes the background of the project, the organizations involved, challenges faced by the sole architectural engineering student involved, structural design and calculations for the project.

Introduction

Habitat for Humanity (HFH) is a nonprofit organization that works all across the United States to build affordable housing in communities of need. They build houses with the sweat equity of volunteers, staff, and the recipients of these homes. The beneficiary of those efforts are allowed to purchase the home with a subsidized mortgage loan provided by HFH; eligibility criteria includes current housing insecurity or inadequacy, steady income, good credit, and sweat equity. For years, HFH of Huntington, West Virginia has been able to apply for building permits without traditional construction documents based on the good will of the local building department. Partnering with Journeyman International, this project aims to provide HFH a full set of construction documents that HFH could submit to their building department. Anything not covered by the scope of this project would fall in the hands of a design professional registered in that jurisdiction.

Journeyman International (JI) is another nonprofit design-build organization founded by fellow Cal Poly San Luis Obispo alum Daniel Wiens. Their mission statement is to foster the next generation of humanitarian designers. By partnering graduating seniors with licensed mentors, the students get training and exposure to humanitarian work in the field of their passion. Even if the thesis project doesn't get built or used in its entirety, the hope is that the student designer will continue to do humanitarian design work.

Project

The client furnished existing architectural and electrical plans (on 8.5x11) that they have been using to submit as construction documents to the local building department. The HFH chapter that reached out to JI is specifically for Huntington, West Virginia. For other jurisdictions, this would not be sufficient as construction documents. Luckily for HFH, the local (Huntington, WV) building department has an unspoken agreement to look the other way for the sake of fighting poverty. The past workmanship of the homes constructed by HFH have demonstrated proper construction methods and adequate designs.

Since the building that is to be designed has been constructed many times before with slight variations between them, there were very few design choices that need to be made. The primary intent was to create adequate structural drawings and calculations to go with them, so that they would be able to submit the more professional set of construction documents to the building department. In addition to that, the new set of construction documents will be used as fundraising material; a full construction set that is drafted using modern computer-aided design (CAD) looks much more convincing than a xerox of penciled plans drawn on standard printer paper.

Deliverables

Because the different floor plan layouts generally share the same structural design criteria, the calculations were based off of the largest of the floor plans (resulting in the greatest base shear to design for). The largest floor plan is a 24'x60' detached single-family dwelling with 5 bedrooms and 2 bathrooms. The building also includes a front porch that extends roughly 5 feet beyond the front of the house. Both the main structure and the front porch will likely be raised due to local conditions/preferences in Huntington, WV.

For personal exploration and enrichment, additional design methodologies were investigated for the design of this project. Based on the official building code adopted by the city of Huntington, WV (International Building Code, 2015, IBC), typical residential construction falls within the scope of the International Residential Code (IRC). The IRC and the IBC both allow a design method that is not mentioned in our curriculum: prescriptive design. As long as the building falls within the design limitations outlined by each prescriptive design method (IBC/IRC/Wood Frame Construction Manual), the amount of analysis required to produce a code-compliant structure is reduced. Most of the design proposed by the existing architectural drawings fell within the scope of both prescriptive methods. The parts of the current design that fell outside the scope are the porch at the front of the house and the raised floor (more precisely the girders); these must be designed by the accepted engineered method. The analyses are separated into two modules: traditional engineered design and prescriptive design based on the Wood Frame Construction Manual (WFCM) published by the American Wood Council. The prescriptions given by the WFCM are explicitly permitted in the 2015 IBC in §2301.2 and in the 2015 IRC in §R301.1. While collating the results of the different analyses, it became evident that the limitations that permit for prescriptive design were very carefully shaped. The buildings that are permitted to be designed prescriptively must be similar enough that the prescriptions are sufficient for all the variations that may still occur within those bounds. The results for a typical roof rafter (gravity calculation) and for the length of braced wall required (lateral calculation) were essentially at parity. The tangible differences between the design methods are the detailing requirements and the code classifications of braced wall methods.

Challenges

Because the focus of the project was to compare prescriptive design methods versus engineered design, difficulties arise when parts of the project fall outside the permitted scope of prescriptive design. The juxtapositions stopped there so that no apples were compared to oranges. There were two discrete parts of the house that had to be engineered: the front porch (posts supporting the beams and the floor framing) and the desired floor framing layout (have to do stem walls instead of piers). The beams at the porch supporting the roof framing didn't explicitly fall within a prescription but it was possible to finagle a table for load bearing headers to achieve the same loading and design criteria. Ideally, the prescriptive design methods would have permitted a floor framing system with intermediate beam (instead of load-bearing walls); instead, to create a foundation and floor framing layout that is prescriptive compliant, a separate layout had to be calculated and drawn with stem walls instead of a joist and beam configuration.

Impact

The global impact of this senior thesis project is limited. As of 2019, there are still efforts to push prescriptive light frame construction into other regions and nations. Unifying building codes in developing nations with tried and true construction methods would ideally ensure more safe buildings for everyone governed by those codes. Even though compliance is relatively costly compared to ignoring building codes, including a prescriptive design method in said building code would be a good compromise that promotes safer building standards.

The social impacts of this project are will be felt by the residents of the communities that Habitat for Humanity in Huntington West Virginia serves. By helping fight housing insecurity by being a non-predatory lender and builder, the effect of HFH's work should alleviate the largest worry of families living paycheck to paycheck. Based on Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, by helping fulfill basic physiological and security needs (shelter in this case) one is free to pursue personal development and growth. A byproduct of the "modernized" plans is any resistance that the building department may put up in the future regarding these homes should be mitigated.

The economic impact will be felt by the recipients of these low-income housing ventures. Ideally, this design package consisting of revamped construction documents will serve as a fundraising tool that allows them to bring in more donation revenue. Any additional

donations that may occur from this project would help finance the construction of more homes for the underserved.

The cultural impact of the project will hopefully be the continued flourishing of Habitat for Humanity. Not only would the design package help them be more successful in their particular venture in Huntington, WV, the lessons learned from the prescriptive design exploration should help them produce more code compliant plans for other jurisdictions and localities. Since the need of a "stamp" is evaluated on a state-by-state basis, the designs can be easily extrapolated within the state to all municipalities that adopt the IBC.

The environment influenced the project in a design sense. Because of the location of the project, it affects the types of wood that could be reasonably specified. Different species have different capacities (and even the loss of adjustment factors). Projects in West Virginia would have difficulty sourcing the Douglas Fir that we are familiar with in our curriculum; the design package is prepared with Southern Pine. If the client ever communicates that Spruce Pine Fir or another species of wood is more desirable or practical, the prescriptive methods will allow for quick adjustments to be made within minutes.

Lifelong Learning

Because codes are very specific to the region in which the design is being done, familiarity with a particular code is secondary to being able to parse and navigate whatever is relevant. This project has taught me how to dive into codes that have grey areas and navigate dependencies. Sometimes two applicable codes (the IBC and the IRC) will have different requirements while stating that both must be fulfilled; a reasonable interpretation would be to abide by the result that is more stringent and promoted the public health best. Essentially, this project introduced a new code and I needed to digest and extract all the relevant provisions. This is important training for being an adaptable engineer; it is paramount that they can constantly learn and improve themselves.

Personal Reflection

The work Journeyman International does seems very effective to me. Outside of the humanitarian aspect, the project was good practice on designing and drafting a small residential project. Furthermore, this project allowed me to practice self-reliance and taught me how to explore reference material on my own. I was able to dip my toes into humanitarian design through this project. I am now able to make an informed conclusion that I would love to continue down this path of humanitarian work. It has been gratifying taking the education that I have a passion for and putting it to work in a tangible way for those less fortunate than I. Aside from doing more humanitarian designing/engineering, I am confident I want to get involved with disaster relief volunteer work when my career progression permits it.

Structural Calculations for Low-Income Housing

In Huntington, West Virginia

With Habitat for Humanity and Journeyman International

ΒY

DAVID HSU

Contents

Engineered Analysis	1
Prescriptive Analysis	16

Engineered analysis:

Typical header	4x4 Southern Pine #1 or btr
Typical floor joist	2x6 southern Pine #2 or btr @ 24" cc
Typical floor girder (if occurs)	(2)-2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr
Typical ceiling joist	2x10 Southern Pine SS or btr @ 24" cc
Typical roof rafter	2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr @ 24" cc
Shear wall	3/8" SP w/ 8d @ 6", 6", 12"
	4' in each braced wall line in both directions
	w/ HD5B ea chord
	use 3x chord in EW direction
Shear transfer	H1 ea joist to top plate connection
	1/2"ø A.B. w/ 6" min embed spaced at 48" cc

Typical porch joist	2x6 Southern Pine #2 or btr @ 24" cc
Typical porch beam	(2)-2x6 Southern Pine #1 or btr

Lateral analysis:

ASCE 7-16	Governing Load Combinations		
(2.4.1)	2.	D +L	
	3.	D + Lr	
	4.	D + 0.75L + 0.75L _r	
	5.	D + 0.6W	
	6.	D + 0.75L + 0.75*0.6W + 0.75L _r	
	7.	0.6D + 0.6W	

Seismic Equivalent Lateral Force procedure from Chapter 12

$$S_{DS} = 0.163$$

 $S_{D1} = 0.109$

(T1.5-2)
$$I_e = 1.00$$

(T12.2-1) R = 6.5 (A15)

<u>see pgfor unit loc</u>	<u>ads</u>		
	psf	Effective Area	Net Weight
Roof	16	1560	24960
Floor	14	1560	21840
Interior Partitions	8	1680	13440
Exterior Partitions	12	1344	16128
		W =	76368 #

$$(12.8-1) V = C_s W$$

(12.8-2)
$$C_s = S_{DS}/(R/I_e) = 0.163/(6.5/1.0) = 0.0251$$

(T12.8-2)
$$C_t = 0.02$$

$$x = 0.75$$

(12.8.2)
$$T = C_t h_n^x = 0.02 * 10^{0.75} = 0.112 s$$

(12.8-3)
$$C_{s,max} = S_{D1}/(T * R/I_e) = 0.109/0.112/6.5/1.00 = 0.150 > 0.0251$$

(12.8-5)
$$C_{s,min} = 0.044 S_{DS} I_e = 0.044 * 0.163 * 1.0 = 0.007 < 0.0251$$

V = 0.0251 * 76368 # = 1917 #

Seismic will not govern in either direction

Wind analysis per projected area approach from Chapter 28, Part 2, ASCE 7-16

V = 106 mph \rightarrow take as 110 mph to use tables in ASCE 7-16

(26.7.3)	Exposure C (see 26.7.4.2?)	Note: in hind sight.
(28.5-1)	$p_s = \lambda K_{zt} p_{s30}$	exposure should be B.
(F28.5-1)	λ = 1.21 (Mean roof height = 15', exposure C)	shears are roughly 20%
(26.8.2)	$k_{zt} = 1.0$	Resulting decign is conservative.
	$\theta = \tan^{-1}(4/12) = 18.4^{\circ} \rightarrow \text{take as } 20^{\circ} \text{ to use tal}$	bles

Building Geometry (slightly simplified)



(F28.5-1)	EW
()	

	p_{s30} @ exposure B	p_s @ exposure C	Projected Area	Net Force
А	26.6	32.2	48	1546
В	-7.0	-8.5	24	-204
С	17.7	21.4	432	9245
D	-3.9	-4.7	236	-1109
			$V_{wind,EW} =$	9478 #
	NS			
	p_{s30} @ exposure B	p_s @ exposure C	Projected Area	Net Force
А	19.2	23.2	68	1578
С	12.7	15.4	172	2649
			$V_{wind,NS} =$	4227 #
	EW (vs minimum desig	n load per 28.5.4)		
		Prescribed minimum p_s	Projected Area	Net Force
А	-	16	48	768
В	-	8	24	192
С	-	16	432	6912
D	-	8	236	1888
			$V_{wind,EW} =$	9760 #
	NS (vs minimum desigr	n load per 28.5.4)		
	p_{s30} @ exposure B	p_s @ exposure C	Projected Area	Net Force
А	19.2	16	68	1088
С	12.7	16	172	2752
			$V_{wind,NS} =$	3840 #
	Wind governs in both	directions (vs 1,917 # se	ismic base shear)	

Uplift

	p_{s30} @ exposure B	p_s @ exposure C	Projected Area	Net Force
Е	-23.1	-28.0	84	-2352
F	-16.0	-19.4	84	-1630
G	-16.0	-19.4	756	-14666
Н	-12.2	-14.8	756	-11189
Еон	-32.3	-39.1	70	-2737
G _{он}	-25.3	-30.6	70	-2142
			F _{uplift} =	-34716 #



NDS SDPWS

2015 (T4.2C)
$$v_n = 475 \ plf \rightarrow v_{ASD} = 475/2 = 237 \ plf > v_u = 0.6 * 176 \ plf = 106 \ plf$$

5/16" sheathing w/ 6d @ 6", 6", 12" permitted ($v_{ASD} = 237 \ plf$)
Aspect ratio check:

5

(4.2.4) L/W = 65/24 = 2.71; **unblocked** wood structural panels OK

$$T = C = M_u/diaphragm depth$$

$$M_u = w_u L^2/8 = 0.6 w_n L^2/8$$

$$M_{u,EW} = 0.6 * 150 * 65^2/8 = 47531 \# - ft$$

$$C_{EW} = 47531 \# - ft/24' = 1980 \#$$

$$M_{u,NS} = 0.6 * 176 * 24^2/8 = 7603 \# - ft$$

$$C_{NS} = 7603 \# - ft/65' = 117 \#$$

Design chords running EW for 1980# axial force;

negligible chord force running NS

Uplift anchorage:

	0.6D + 06W
	$F_u = 0.6 * F_{uplift} = 0.6 * 34716 \# = 20830 \#$
see catalog	use Simpson H1, uplift capacity: 480#/tie, shear capacity: 510#/tie
	one tie at each end of rafters \rightarrow 60 ties
	$R_n = 480 \ \# * 60 = 28800 \ \# > F_u = 20830 \ \# \ OK$
NDS 2018	$Z_{\perp} = 410 \ \text{#}$
(T12E)	$Z'_{\perp} = Z_{\perp} * C_D = 410 * 1.6 = 656 \text{ # for ea } 1/2'' \text{ø A.B. w/ 6'' min. embed}$
	Bearing perimeter: 168'
	$s = Z'_{\perp}/(F_u/wall length) = 656/(20830/168) = 5.3' \rightarrow s = 4'$

use Simpson H1 at each end of typical rafters

use 1/2"ø A.B. w/ 6" min. embed @ 48" cc

V = 106 mph → take as 110 mph to use tables in ASCE 7-16 3/8" sheathing w/ 8d @ 6", 6", 12" ($v_{ASD} = 730/2 = 365 \ plf$) Wall length required NS = 4227 * 0.6/365 = 6.9' → (2) 4' panels NS Wall length required EW = 9760 * 0.6/365 = 16.0' → (4) 4' panels EW

End $f_{12} psf$ $T_{12} psf$ $Ef_{1} = 0; 34^{4} (2') + 366 pit (4')(5') + 6(4')$ 5' + 12 psf $C = 3120^{4}$ $2f_{1} = 0; T = 2756^{4}$ 4b 5B = 0/3x chord $T_{Allow} + 3750^{4b} > 2756^{4b}$ of



Checking worst case of 4' span DL = 16 psfASCE 7-16 4 LL = 10 psf uninhabited attic, irreducible LL_r = 20 psf typical sloped roof, irreducible Governing load combination: D + 0.75L + 0.75Lr $w_{\mu} = (16 + 0.75(10 + 20)) * 14' = 539 \, plf$ $w_I = (20) * 14' = 280 \, plf$ $W_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 16 + 20) * 14' = 392 \, plf$ $M_{\mu} = w_{\mu} L^2 / 8 = 539 * 4^2 / 8 = 1078 \# - ft = 12936 \# - in$ presume Southern Pine #2 $E = 1400000 \ psi$ $\Delta_{L,max} = L/240 = 48/240 = 0.2"$ $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_L L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 5.76 in^4$ $\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/180 = 48/180 = 0.267"$ $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 6.04 in^4$ presume 4x6 $I_x = 48.53 in^4$ $F'_b = F_b * C_D = 1100 \ psi * 1.25 = 1375 \ psi$ (Table 4B) $f_b = M_u/S_x = 12936/17.65 = 733 \ psi < F'_b = 1375 \ psi \ OK$ check Southern Pine #3 E = 1300000 psi $\Delta_{0.5D+L} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E I) = 0.035 in < \Delta_{max} = 0.267" OK$ $F'_b = 650 \ psi * 1.25 = 813 \ psi > f_b = 733 \ psi \ OK$ $V_u = w_u L/2 = 539 * 4/2 = 1078 \# \rightarrow \text{bearing will be non-issue}$ check (2)-2x4 Southern Pine #2 $S_x \approx 6 \ in^3 \rightarrow f_b = 12936/6 = 2156 \ psi > 1375 \ psi \ NG$ check 4x4 Southern Pine #1 $S_x = 7.15 \ in^3 \rightarrow f_b = 12936/7.15 = 1809 \ psi > 1875 \ psi \ OK$ use 4x4 Southern Pine #1 or btr

Typical floor joist

L = 8', s = 24''DL = 14 psfASCE 7-16 4 LL = 40 psf living areas or sleeping areas and partitions, irreducible Governing load combination: D + L $w_{\nu} = (14 + 40) * 2' = 108 \, plf$ $w_I = (40) * 2' = 80 \, plf$ $W_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 14 + 40) * 2' = 94 \, plf$ $M_{\mu} = w_{\mu} L^2 / 8 = 108 * 8^2 / 8 = 864 \# - ft = 10368 \# - in$ presume Southern Pine #2 E = 1400000 psi $\Delta_{L,max} = L/360 = 96/360 = 0.267$ " $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_L L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 19.72 in^4$ $\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/240 = 96/240 = 0.4"$ $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 15.47 in^4$ presume 2x6 $I_x = 20.80 in^4$, $S_x = 7.56 in^3$ $F'_b = F_b * C_D * C_r = 1100 \ psi * 1.0 * 1.15 = 1265 \ psi$ (Table 4B) $f_b = M_u/S_x = 10368/7.56 = 1371 \, psi < F'_b = 1265 \, psi \, NG$ Try Southern Pine #1 E = 1600000 psi $F'_b = 1500 \ psi * 1.0 * 1.15 = 1725 \ psi > f_b = 1371 \ psi \ OK$ use 2x6 Southern Pine #1 or btr

Typical floor girder

L = 8', s = 96''DL = 14 psfASCE 7-16 4 LL = 40 psf living areas or sleeping areas and partitions, irreducible Governing load combination: D + L $w_{\nu} = (14 + 40) * 8' = 432 \, plf$ $w_L = (40) * 8' = 320 \, plf$ $w_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 14 + 40) * 8' = 376 \, plf$ $M_{\mu} = w_{\mu} L^2 / 8 = 376 * 8^2 / 8 = 3008 \# - ft = 36096 \# - in$ presume Southern Pine #2 E = 1400000 psi $\Delta_{L,max} = L/360 = 96/360 = 0.267$ " $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_L L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 78.99 in^4$ $\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/240 = 96/240 = 0.4"$ $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 W_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 61.88 in^4$ presume (2)-2x8 $I_x = 2 * 47.63 = 95.26 in^4$ $F'_b = F_b * C_D = 1100 \ psi * 1.0 = 1100 \ psi$ (Table 4B) $f_b = M_u/S_x = 36096/(2 * 13.14) = 1374 \, psi < F'_b = 1100 \, psi \, NG$ Try Southern Pine #1 E = 1600000 psi $F'_b = 1500 \ psi * 1.0 = 1500 \ psi > f_b = 1374 \ psi \ OK$

use (2)-2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr

 $V_u = w_u L/2 = 376 * 8/2 = 1504 \# \rightarrow \text{bearing will be non-issue}$

Typical ceiling joist

L = 24', s = 24''DL = 16 psfASCE 7-16 4 LL = 10 psf uninhabited attic, irreducible Governing load combination: D + L $w_u = (16 + 10) * 2' = 52 \, plf$ $w_I = (10) * 2' = 20 \, plf$ $w_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 16 + 10) * 2' = 36 \, plf$ $M_{\mu} = w_{\mu} L^2 / 8 = 52 * 24^2 / 8 = 3744 \# - ft = 44928 \# - in$ presume Southern Pine SS $E = 1800000 \, psi$ $\Delta_{L,max} = L/240 = 24 * 12/240 = 1.2$ " $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_L L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 69.1 in^4$ $\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/180 = 24 * 12/180 = 1.6$ " $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 93 in^4$ presume 2x10 $I_x = 98.93 in^4$, $S_x = 21.39 in^3$ $F'_b = F_b * C_D * C_r = 2350 \, psi * 1.15 = 2703 \, psi$ (Table 4B) $f_b = M_u/S_x = 44928/21.39 = 2100 \ psi < F'_b = 2703 \ psi \ OK$

use 2x10 Southern Pine SS or btr

 $\rightarrow f_{c\perp} = V_u / A_{brg} = 624 / (1.5 * 3.5) = 119 \, psi$

 $C_{b} = (l_{b} + 0.375)/l_{b} = (1.5 + 0.375)/1.5 = 1.25$

 $F'_{c\perp} = F_{c\perp} * C_b = 565 * C_b = 565 * 1.25 = 706 \ psi > f_{c\perp} = 119 \ psi$

 $V_{\mu} = w_{\mu}L/2 = 52 * 24/2 = 624 \#$

Typical roof rafter

L = 14', s = 24''DL = 16 psfASCE 7-16 4 $LL_r = 20 \text{ psf}$ typical sloped roof, irreducible Governing load combination: D + Lr $w_{\nu} = (16 + 20) * 2' = 72 \, plf$ $w_I = (20) * 2' = 40 \, plf$ $W_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 16 + 20) * 2' = 56 \, plf$ $M_{\mu} = w_{\mu} L^2 / 8 = 72 * 14^2 / 8 = 1764 \# - ft = 21168 \# - in$ presume Southern Pine #2 E = 1400000 psi $\Delta_{L,max} = L/240 = 14 * 12/240 = 0.7$ " $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_L L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 35.3 in^4$ $\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/180 = 14 * 12/180 = 0.9"$ $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 38.4 in^4$ presume 2x8 $I_x = 47.63 in^4$, $S_x = 13.14 in^3$ $F'_b = F_b * C_D * C_r = 1100 \ psi * 1.25 * 1.15 = 1581 \ psi$ (Table 4B) $f_b = M_u/S_x = 21168/13.14 = 1611 \, psi > F'_b = 1581 \, psi \, NG$ try #1 E = 1600000 psi $F'_b = 1500 * C_D * C_r = 1500 \, psi * 1.25 * 1.15 = 2156 \, psi > f_b = 1611 \, psi \, OK$ use 2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr

 $V_{\mu} = w_{\mu}L/2 = 72 * 14/2 = 504 \#$

Typical porch joist

$$L = 4.75', s = 24"$$

$$DL = 10 \text{ psf}$$
ASCE 7-16 4 LL = 60 psf 1.5 x occupancy served
Governing load combination: D + L

$$w_u = (10 + 60) * 2' = 140 \text{ plf}$$

$$w_L = (60) * 2' = 120 \text{ plf}$$

$$w_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 10 + 60) * 2' = 130 \text{ plf}$$

$$M_u = w_u L^2/8 = 140 * 4.75^2/8 = 395.8 \# - ft = 4738 \# - in$$
presume Southern Pine #2 E = 1400000 psi

$$\Delta_{L,max} = L/360 = 4.75 * 12/360 = 0.158"$$

$$\rightarrow I_{req} = 5 w_L L^4/(384 E \Delta_{max}) = 6.21 \text{ in}^4$$

$$\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/240 = 4.75 * 12/240 = 0.238"$$

$$\rightarrow I_{req} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4/(384 E \Delta_{max}) = 4.67 \text{ in}^4$$
presume 2x6 $I_x = 20.80 \text{ in}^4, S_x = 7.56 \text{ in}^3$
(Table 4B)
$$F_b' = F_b * C_D * C_r = 1100 \text{ psi} * 1.0 * 1.15 = 1265 \text{ psi}$$

$$f_b = M_u/S_x = 13440/13.14 = 627 \text{ psi} < F_b' = 1265 \text{ psi} \text{ OK}$$
use 2x6 Southern Pine #2 or btr @ 24" cc

 $V_u = w_u L/2 = 140 * 8/2 = 560 \# \rightarrow$ bearing will be non-issue

Typical porch beam

L = 8', s = 2.375'DL = 10 psfASCE 7-16 4 LL = 60 psf 1.5 x occupancy served Governing load combination: D + L $w_{\mu} = (10 + 60) * 2.375' = 166 \, plf$ $w_L = (60) * 2.375' = 143 \, plf$ $w_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 10 + 60) * 2.375' = 154 \, plf$ $M_{\mu} = w_{\mu} L^2 / 8 = 166 * 8^2 / 8 = 1328 \# - ft = 15936 \# - in$ presume Southern Pine #2 E = 1400000 psi $\Delta_{L,max} = L/360 = 96/360 = 0.267$ " $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_L L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 35.3 in^4$ $\Delta_{0.5D+L,max} = L/240 = 96/240 = 0.4"$ $\rightarrow I_{reg} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^4 / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 25.3 in^4$ presume 2x8 $I_x = 47.63 in^4$, $S_x = 13.14 in^3$ $F'_b = F_b * C_D = 1100 \ psi * 1.0 = 1100 \ psi$ (Table 4B) $f_b = M_u/S_x = 15936/13.14 = 1213 \ psi > F'_b = 1100 \ psi \ NG$ try #1 E = 1600000 psi $F'_b = 1500 * C_D = 1500 \, psi * 1.25 = 1875 \, psi > f_b = 1213 \, psi \, OK$ $V_u = w_u L/2 = 140 * 8/2 = 560 \# \rightarrow \text{bearing will be non-issue}$ check (2)-2x6 Southern Pine #1 $S_x \approx 15 \; in^3 \to f_b = 15936/15 = 1062 \; psi < F_b' = 1875 \; psi \; OK$ use (2)-2x6 Southern Pine #1 or btr

Double top plate check

 $P = 1980 \ \#$

check 2x4 Southern Pine #3 $A=5.25~in^2$, $F_{c\parallel}=850~psi$

 $F'_{c\parallel} = F_{c\parallel} * C_D = 850 * 1.6 = 1360 \ psi$

 $f_{c\parallel} = P/A = 1980 \ \#/5.25 \ in^2 = 377 \ psi < F_{c\parallel}' = 1360 \ psi \ OK$

use 2x4 Southern Pine #3 or btr

Light-framed wood construction prescriptions:

Typical header	2x8 Southern Pine #2 or btr
Typical floor joist	2x6 Southern Pine #1 or btr @ 24" cc
Typical ceiling joist	2x10 Southern Pine SS @ 24" cc
Typical roof rafter	2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr @ 24" cc
	w/ (8)-16d ea heel joint
	w/ (2)-8d ea end of ridge strap
Braced wall requirements	3/8" SP w/ 8d @ 6", 6", 12"
	8' in each braced wall line in EW direction
	4' in each braced wall line in NS direction
	w/ HD5B at ea chord
Shear transfer	(3)-8d ea joist to top plate connection
	5/8" ø A.B. w/ 6" min embed spaced at 48" cc

WFCM/IBC/IRC prescriptive approach does not cover design of porch

Floor system:

	Floor joists
	DL = 20 psf
	LL = 40 psf living areas or sleeping areas + partitions
	Deflection limited to L/360
T3.18B	<u>use 2x6 Southern Pine #1 @ 24" cc</u>
	or 2x8 Southern Pine #2 @ 24" cc
	or 2x10 Southern Pine #3 @ 24" cc
3.3.1.4	no additional lateral bracing required

Roof System:

T3.26A

use 2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr @ 24" cc
Deflection limited to L/180
LL = 20 psf
DL = 20 psf
Rafter (with ceiling not attached to rafter)

- or 2x10 Southern Pine #2 or btr @ 24" cc
- 3.3.1.4 no additional lateral bracing required
- TA-3.6 w/ (2)-8d common nails in each end of 1-1/4" strap as ridge strap

<u> </u>	•	•	• •
(01	lina	10	1ct
CCI	iiiig	14	ποι

Deflection limited to L/240 for flexible finishes

LL = 10 psf uninhabitable attics without storage

- T3.25A1 use 2x10 Southern Pine SS @ 24" cc
- T3.25A2 brittle finishes (L/360) all noncompliant for Southern Pine @ 24" cc
- 3.3.1.4 both edges of member shall be sheathed ("held in line for their entire length")

Rafter/ceiling joist heel joint connection

T3.9A use (8)-16d common nails per connection

Joist to top plate connection

T3.4A use (3)-8d common nails per connection

Roof sheathing

110 mph wind speed

Exposure B

Rafters spaced at 24" cc

T3.12CA use 3/8" minimum Sheathing grade WSP

T3.10 w/ 8d common nails @ 6", 6", 12"

or 10d box nails @ 6", 6", 12"

Lateral System:

Shear Walls

Exposure B, 110 mph

T3.4B use 7/16" OSB or 15/32" plywood w/ 8d common nails @ 3", 6", 12" $NS \rightarrow 3.6' * 0.50 (roof pitch reduc) = 1.8" ea wall line$ $EW \rightarrow 9.1' * 0.50 (roof pitch reduc) = 4.6" ea wall line$ braced wall segment lengths based on minimums in IBC/IRC

Hold-downs

- T3.17D 3488# per holdown / 1.61 = 2167# req per hold down
- T3.17F **use HD5B at each shear wall chord** (2405# capacity)

Anchorage to foundation

- T3.2A use 5/8" ø A.B. w/ 6" min embed spaced at 48" cc max in both NS and EW
- T3.2B can use 1/2"ø A.B. as alternative but maximum spacing permitted is 31" cc
- T3.2C

Wall System:

Typical Header (dropped exterior)

- T3.22Ause 2x8 Southern Pine #2 or btrno flat configurations permitted
- T3.22F supported by (1)-2x jack stud

<u>General</u> I. Interpretation of drawings & specifications A) For convenience, specifications have been prepared for this project and are arranged in several sections, but such separation shall not be considered as the limits of the work required by any separate trade. The terms and conditions of such limitations are wholly between the contractor and his subcontractors. B) In general, the working details will indicate dimensions, positions and kind of construction, and the specifications will indicate qualities and methods. Any work indicated on the working details mentioned but not in the specifications, or vice versa, shall be furnished as though fully set forth in both. Work not particularly detailed, marked, or specified, shall be the same as similar parts that are detailed, marked, or specified. If conflicts occur between drawings and specifications, the most expensive materials or methods will prevail. C) Should an error appear in the working details or specifications or in work done by others affecting this work, the contractor shall notify the architect at once and in writing. If the Contractor proceeds with the work so affected without having given such written notice and without receiving the necessary approval, decision or instruction in writing from the owner, then he shall have no valid claim against the owner, for the cost of so proceeding and shall make good any resulting damage or defect. No verbal approval, decision, or instruction shall be valid or be the basis for any claim against the owner, its officers, employees or agents. The foregoing includes typical errors in the specifications or notational errors in the working details where the interpretation is doubtful or where the error is sufficiently apparent as to place a reasonably prudent contractor on notice that, should be elect to proceed, he is doing so at his own risk. 2. Construction shall conform to all applicable codes and regulations. 3. Safety Note: A) It is the Contractors responsibility to comply with the pertinent sections, as they apply to this project, of the "Construction Safety Orders" issued by the State of California latest edition, and all OSHA requirements. B) The owner and the Structural Engineer do not accept any responsibility for the Contractor's failure to comply with these requirements. C) The Contractor shall be responsible for adequate design and construction of all forms and shoring required. 4. The Contractor shall notify the Architect and Structural Engineer where a conflict or a discrepancy occurs between the structural drawings and any other portion of the contract documents or existing field conditions. Such notification shall be given in due time so as not to affect the construction schedule. In case of a conflict between structural drawings and specifications, the more restrictive condition shall take precedence unless written approval has been given for the least restrictive. Contractor shall verify all dimensions with architectural and structural drawings

- prior to commencing any work. 5. Where no specific detail is shown, the construction shall be identical or similar to that indicated for like cases of construction on this project. Should there be any
- question, contact the Architect prior to proceeding. 6. Any substitutions for structural members, hardware, or details shall be reviewed by the Architect. Such review will be billed on a time and materials basis to the
- General Contractor with no quarantee that the substitution will be allowed. 7. Do not scale drawings. Contact the Architect or Structural Engineer for any dimensions not shown.
- 8. These drawings are not complete until reviewed and accepted by the local building official and signed by the owner and the Structural Engineer
- 9. The structure shown on these drawings is structurally sound only in its completed form. The stability of this structure depends on the diaphragms and the bracing members shown. The Contractor is to provide for the design and construction of shoring for all earth, forms, concrete, steel, wood, and masonry to resist gravity, earth, wind, seismic, and construction loads. Shoring shall remain in place until all diaphragms and lateral resisting elements are in place in their entirety. Construction materials shall be spread out if placed on framed floors or roofs. Load shall not exceed the design live load per square foot.

<u>Wood</u>

- I. All sawn lumber shall be Southern Pine as graded by the Southern Pine Inspection Bureau (SPIB). All members shall have a minimum arade of No. 1 except 2x4 and 2x6 wall studs, plates, and blocking may be No. 2, v.n.o.
- 2. All structural sheathing used for shearwalls and roof sheathing shall conform to the requirements for their type in DOC PSI, DOC PS2 or ANSI/APA PRP 210. Each panel or member shall be identified for grade, bond classification, and performance category by the trademarks of an approved testing and grading
- 3. All foundation plates or sills on concrete slabs which are in direct contact with earth, and plates or sills on concrete or masonry foundations, shall be pressure treated.
- 4. All wood shall have a moisture content of not more than 19% when sheathing is applied.
- 5. δ'' minimum clearance shall be maintained at all exterior walls between finish grade and bottom of wood walls. 6. Sill plate anchor bolts shall be installed with plate washers 3x3x0.229 between nut
- and plate.
- 7. Provide solid blocking between joists and rafters at all supports. 8. Provide blocking at all ceiling levels.
- 9. Joists under and parallel to partitions shall be doubled and nailed together. 10. Holes for bolts in wood shall be bored with a bit of the same nominal diameter as the bolt plus 1/16".
- II. Connector hardware model number are those for Simpson Strong-Tie Company. All joist hangers shall be Simpson U series unless noted otherwise. Equivalent connectors with ICC acceptance may be submitted for review as an alternate.
- 12. Notify Structural Engineer after wall, floor, and roof sheathing nailing has been completed and a minimum of 48 hours prior to concealing sheathing.

Design Criteria

- Code: 2015 International Building Code 2. Design Live Loads: <u>Area</u> Roof
- A) 4:12 to ≤ 12:12 Lr Floor 3. Snow Design Parameters: Ground Snow Load Flat-Roof Snow Load Snow Exposure Factor Snow Load Importance Factor Thermal Factor
- 4. Wind Design Parameters: Ultimate Design Wind Speed (3-s Nominal Design Wind speed (3-se Risk Category Exposure Category Analysis Method

5. Earthquake Design Parameters:

- 5.1. Seismic Importance Factor 5.2. Risk Category
- 5.3. Soil Site Classification
- 5.4. Seismic Design Category 5.5. Mapped Spectral Response A
- A) Short period
- B) I-sec period 5.6 Design Spectral Response Ac
- A) Short Period B) I-sec period
- 5.7 Seismic Force Resisting System
- A) Wood Bearing / Shear Walls
- 5.8 Seismic Base Shear 5.9 Seismic Response Coefficient
- 5.10 Component Response Modifica 5.11 Analysis Procedure

Foundations

- I. Foundation construction shall be done ordinances.
- 2. All building pad preparation and foundation work shall be done in accordance with
- the requirements of the 2015 IBC. 3. The Inspection Agency shall observe all footing excavations prior to placement of
- reinforcing steel and concrete. 4. Foundation depths indicated on plans are below undisturbed/compacted, non-expasive soil. Unexpected soil conditions shall be brought to the Architect's
- attention immediately. reinforcing steel prior to concrete placement. Provide 48 hours notice to structural engineer prior to concrete placement.
- streets, and utilities in accordance with the local building department.
- 7. Foundation type: conventional spread footings 8. Spread footing design values: Allowable Bearing Pressure

Basic Load Combinations Alt ASD w/ wind or seismic 2000 psf

Lateral Resistance Passive Pressure Coefficient of Friction

Minimum Footing Dimensions Depth = 12'Width = 12"

e (IBC)	
<u>re Load</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
= 12-20 psf = 40 psf	Reducible per code Reducible per code
	$P_{g} = N/A$ $P_{f} = N/A$ $C_{e} = N/A$ $I_{s} = N/A$ $C_{t} = N/A$
ec gust) ec gust)	Vut = 110 mph Vasa = 85 mph II B Enclosed Simple Diaphragm of Low-Rise Buildings Procedure
A 1	IE = 1.0 II 'D' 'A'
4 <i>CCB</i> 1	S₅ = 0.152g Sı = 0.068g
em	Svs = 0.163g Sv1 = 0.109g
s & Flexible Diap ation Factor	ohragm V = 1900 # Cs = 0.03g R = 6.5 Equivalent Lateral Force
in accordance w	ith the 2015 IBC ¢ all local

local

5. When structural observation is required, structural engineer shall observe footing

6. The contractor shall be solely responsible for all excavation procedures including, but no limited to, lagging, shoring and protection of adjacent property, structures,

1500 psf

100 psf/ft below natural grade (up to 15') N/A

lailina	Schedule

All nails for structural work shall be common wire nails conforming to the following

	minimum sizes:	
	8d	0.131"\$x21/2"
	IOd	О.148"ФхЗ"
	IOd shorts	O.148"Φx15%" plus thickness of shtq
	16d	0.162"\$x31/2"
	20d	О.192"Фх4"
2.	Provide nails at co.	nnections as indicated on the structural drawings. Where nails at
	connections are not	t indicated nail per nailing schedule in note 5.

3. Nailing not noted in schedule or on plans shall be a minimum of two nails at each

contact. 8d nails for I" material and 16d nails for 2" material.

4. Holes shall be pre-drilled where necessary to prevent splitting. 5. Nailing schedule:

ranng schedule:		
Connection	Fastening	Location
Joist to sill or girder.	3-8d common (2½"x0.131")	toenail
. Blocking to joist.	2-8d common (2½"x0.131")	toenail ea end
. Sole plate to joist or locking	16d (3½"×0.135") @ 16"cc	typical face nail
ole plate to joist or blocking t braced wall panel	2-16d (3½"x0.135") @ 16"cc	typical face nail
Top plate to stud.	2-16d common (3½"x0.162")	end nail
Stud to sole plate	4-8d common (2½"x0.131")	toenail
	2-16d common (3½"×0.162")	end nail
. Double studs.	16d (3½"x0.135") @ 24"cc 3"x0.131" nail @ 8"cc	face nail
Double top plates.	16d (3½"x0.135") @ 16"cc	typical face nail
	8-16d common (3½"×0.162")	lap splice
. Blocking between joists or afters to top plate.	3-8d common (2½"x0.131")	toenail
Top plates, laps and tersections.	2-16d common (3½"x0.162")	face nail
P. Cont. header, two pieces.	16d common (3½"×0.162")	16"cc along edge
Ceiling joists to plate.	3-8d common (2½"x0.131")	toenail
?. Continuous header to stud.	4-8d common (2½"x0.131")	toenail
3. Ceiling joists to parallel afters.	8-16d common (3½"x0.162") min. WFCM Table 3.9A	face nail
. Rafter to plate.	3-16d box (3½"x0.135")	toenail

Abbreviations

	Amonican
	American
<i>HB</i>	Anchor bo
·····	And
₽	At
0.0	Bottom of
m	Beam
rg	Bearing
ptr	Better
ptwn	Between
olka	Blockina
ott	Bottom
3N	Boundary
	Ceilina
	Center to
 Г.	Center lin
<u>-</u>	Column
	Connection
ont	Continuous
ווסי	Dead Loa
/L	Deda Loa
16L	Delan
10	DILLO
<i>і</i> Ы	Double
ea	Each
ΕΝ	Edge Nail
Ir	.Floor
ta	Footing
nd	Foundation
.0	Face of
rma	Framina
dr	Header
	Height
ct	loict
フレ	JUISL

Plywood Association

nail center

jh	Joist hanger
	Live Load
max	.Maximum
min	.Minimum
nts	Not to scale.
#	Number or pounds
00	.On center
opp	.Opposite
<i>O.H.</i>	.Opposite Hand
£	.Plate
ply, plywd	.Plywood
pcf	Pounds per cubic foot
psf	Pounds per square foot.
psi	Pounds per square inch
PT	Pressure Treated
req'd	Required
rf	.Roof
Ψ	Round or alameter
schea	Scheaule
sntg	.Sheathing
snt	.Sheet
sım	.Similar
s.o.g	Slab on grade
<i>#</i>	.square
stagg	Staggerea
struct	Structural
<i>t.0.f.</i>	.Top of framing
t\$g	.Tonque & Groove
typ	.Typical
ŴSP	Wood structural panel
v.n.o	Unless noted otherwise





ntingto Ι anity J fo itat 0 σ Т

3BR/2B

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
0	ORIGINAL	12.31.2019

SHEET INFORMATION			
12.31.2019			
DHH			

GENERAL NOTES

SHEET



2 52.1A	<u>Shearwall S</u>	chedule
Mark	Sheathing	Edge Nailing
6	³∕s" APA rated shtg	8d @ 6"cc

<u>NC</u> 1.	<u>otes</u> Symb	ol N	lome	ncla	ture
	6 (6) (1) (1)		-num -min	iber	ina re
17					







SHEET INFORMATION		
DATE	12.31.2019	
JOB NUMBER		
DRAWN	DHH	
CHECKED		
APPROVED		

FOUNDATION PLAN



re:

ndicates shearwall type per schedule above required length of panel (actual length may be longer)







3



DRAWING ISSUE / REVISIONS			
NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE	
0	ORIGINAL	12.31.2019	

SHEET INFORMATION			
DATE	12.31.2019		
JOB NUMBER			
DRAWN	DHH		

CHECKED APPROVED

FOUNDATION PLAN



6 number indicates shearwall type per schedule above (x'-x'') minimum required length of panel (actual length may be longer)

2. Field nailing to be 8d @ 12"cc typical. 3. Holdown bolts shall not be considered to replace (or act as) anchor bolts. 4. For typical plywood shearwall nailing see 8

5. All exterior walls not designated as shear walls shall be constructed per $\overbrace{6}^{6}$



filename: Floor-Frmg-Notes-(wood)

Huntington 3BR/2BA umanity fo Habitat DRAWING ISSUE / REVISIONS NO DESCRIPTION DATE

ORIGINAL 12.31.2019

SHEET INFORMATION		
DATE	12.31.2019	
JOB NUMBER		
DRAWN	DHH	
CHECKED		
APPROVED		

NO

0

FLOOR FRAMING PLAN

1/4" = 1'-0"	SCALE
	SHEET
$\mathbf{C} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{A}$	

IEET

52.2A

filename: Floor-Frmg-Notes-(wood)

Huntingto 3BR/2BA manity 0 Habitat

DRA	NING ISSUE / REV	ISIONS
NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
0	ORIGINAL	12.31.2019

SHEET INFORMATION		
DATE	12.31.2019	
JOB NUMBER		
DRAWN	DHH	
CHECKED		
APPROVED		

FLOOR FRAMING PLAN

52.3 Roof Framing Plan 1/4"=1'-0" Prescriptive compliant North

<u>Roof Framing Notes</u>

I. 🛛 Indicates wood post. See Foundation Plan for size.

2. Ceiling joists must be 2x10 Southern Pine Structural Select or better.

Huntington, 3BR/2BA Humanity Habitat fo

 $\mathbf{\tilde{s}}$

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE
0	ORIGINAL	12.31.2019

SHEET INFORMATION		
DATE	12.31.2019	
JOB NUMBER		
DRAWN	DHH	
CHECKED		
APPROVED		

ROOF FRAMING PLAN

1/4" = 1'-0"	SCALE
	SHEET
S2 .	3

SHEET INFORMATION		
DATE	12.31.2019	
JOB NUMBER		
DRAWN	DHH	
CHECKED		
APPROVED		

DETAILS

A Look At Prescriptive "Design"

Presented by David Hsu

- Huntington West Virginia Municipal Code 1711.01.a
 - Adopts the IBC as the official building code of the city
- IBC 2015 101.2
 - Explicitly points towards IRC for construction of detached one- and twofamily dwellings and townhouses less than three stories tall.
- IRC 2015 105.1, 106.1
 - Construction documents must be prepared by a "registered design professional"
- West Virginia Legislature §30-12-12
 - A detached single family dwelling is an exception to needing a professional license

Background

Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs Conclusion

1711.01 - ADOPTION.

(a) The International Building Code 2015 adopted as the Official Building Code of the State of West Virginia, as promulgated by the State Fire Commission pursuant to W. Va. Code §§ 29-3-5b, 8-12-13, and 7-1-3n, as amended, together with any amendments and modifications thereto as may hereafter be adopted and promulgated from time to time by the Commission, is hereby adopted as the Official Building Code of the City.

(b) The City of Huntington does not adopt any of the additional appendices authorized pursuant W. Va. Legislative Rule identified as § 87-4-1, et seq. and specifically authorized in § 87-4-7(7.3).

(c) The City of Huntington does adopt the provisions of the national codes with respect to the penalty

(d) The City of Huntington does not reject the International Property Maintenance Code and hereby

(Ord. of 11-27-06; Ord. of 6-28-10(1); Ord. of 10-15-13(1); Ord. of 9-12-16(2))

- Huntington West Virginia Municipal Code 1711.01.a
 - Adopts the IBC as the official building code of the city
- IBC 2015 101.2
 - Explicitly points towards IRC for construction of detached one- and twofamily dwellings and townhouses less than three stories tall.
- IRC 2015 105.1, 106.1
 - Construction documents must be prepared by a "registered design professional"
- West Virginia Legislature §30-12-12
 - A detached single family dwelling is an exception to needing a professional license

Background

Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs

101.2 Scope

The provisions of this code shall apply to the construction, alteration, relocation, enlargement, replacement, repair, equipment, use and occupancy, location, maintenance, removal and demolition of every building or structure or any appurtenances connected or attached to such buildings or structures

Exception: <u>Detached one- and two-family dwellings</u> and multiple single-family dwellings (townhouses) not more than three stories above grade plane in height with a separate means of egress, and their accessory structures not more than three stories above grade plane in height, shall comply with the International Residential Code.

- Huntington West Virginia Municipal Code 1711.01.a
 - Adopts the IBC as the official building code of the city
- IBC 2015 101.2
 - Explicitly points towards IRC for construction of detached one- and twofamily dwellings and townhouses less than three stories tall.
- IRC 2015 105.1, 106.1
 - Construction documents must be prepared by a "registered design professional"
- West Virginia Legislature §30-12-12
 - A detached single family dwelling is an exception to needing a professional license

Background

Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs

R105.1 Required

Any owner or owner's authorized agent who intends to construct, enlarge, alter, repair, move, demolish or change the occupancy of a building or structure, or to erect, install, enlarge, alter, repair, remove, convert or replace any electrical, gas, mechanical or plumbing system, the installation of which is regulated by this code, or to cause any such work to be performed, shall first make application to the building official and obtain the required permit.

R106.1 Submittal Documents

Submittal documents consisting of construction documents, and other data shall be submitted in two or more sets with each application for a permit. The construction documents shall be prepared by a registered design professional where required by the statutes of the jurisdiction in which the project is to be constructed. Where special conditions exist, the building official is authorized to require additional construction documents to be prepared by a registered design professional.

- Huntington West Virginia Municipal Code 1711.01.a
 - Adopts the IBC as the official building code of the city
- IBC 2015 101.2
- Explicitly points towards IRC for construction of detached one- and twofamily dwellings and townhouses less than three stories tall.
- IRC 2015 105.1, 106.1
 - Construction documents must be prepared by a "registered design professional"
- West Virginia Legislature §30-12-12
- A detached single family dwelling is an exception to needing a professional license

Background

Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs

§30-12-12. Exceptions.

(a) Any of the activities that, apart from this exemption, would constitute the practice of architecture, if performed in connection with any of the following:

(1) A detached single family dwelling and any sheds, storage buildings and garages incidental thereto;

Prescriptive Design

1. Allowable stress design in accordance with Sections 2304, 2305 and 2306. 2. Load and resistance factor design in accordance with Sections 2304, 2305, 2307.	
2. Load and resistance factor design in accordance with Sections 2304, 2305, 2307.	
3. Conventional light-frame construction in accordance with Sections 2304 and 2308.	
4. AWC WFCM in accordance with Section 2309.	
5. The design and construction of log structures in accordance with the provisions of ICC 400.	WFCM
R301.1 Alternative provisions.	2015 EDITION
As an alternative to the requirements in Section R301.1, the following standards are permitted subject to the limitations of this code and the limitations therein. Where engineered design is used in conjunction with these standards, the design shall comply with the <i>International Building Code</i> .	
1. AF&PA Wood Frame Construction Manual (WFCM).	Report the baller of a loss
2. AISI Standard for Cold-Formed Steel Framing – Prescriptive Method for One- and Two-Family Dwellings (AISI S230).	
3. ICC Standard on the Design and Construction of Log Structures (ICC 400)	

Prescriptive Criteria Check

	Attribute	Limitation	Reference Section	Figure
	BUILDING	DIMENSIONS		
Building	Mean Roof Height (MRH)	33'	2.1.3.1	1.2
	Number of Stories	3	1.1.3.1a	
	Building Length and Width	80'	1.1.3.1b	
	FLOOP	SYSTEMS		
Lumber	Joist Span	26'	3.1.3.2a	~
Joists	Joist Spacing	24" o.c.	3.1.3.2b	
	Cantilevers - Supporting loadbearing walls ¹	d	3.1.3.2c	2.1a
	Setbacks - Loadbearing walls ¹	d	3.1.3.2d	2.1d
Floor	Vertical Floor Offset	d,	3.1.3.2e	2.1i
Diaphragm	Floor Diaphragm Aspect Ratio	Tables 3.16B and 3.16C	3.1.3.2f	
	Floor Diaphragm Openings	Lesser of 12' or 50% of Building	3.1.3.2g	2.1k
	1 10 1 10	Dimension		
	WALL	SYSTEMS		
Wall Studs	Loadbearing Wall Height	10'	3.1.3.3a	
	Non-Loadbearing Wall Height	20'	3.1.3.3a	
	Wall Stud Spacing	24" o.c.	3.1.3.3b	-
Shear Walls	Shear Wall Line Offset ¹	4'	3.1.3.3c	2.11, 3.1
	Shear Wall Story Offset ¹	No offset unless per Exception	3.1.3.3d	
	Shear Wall Segment Aspect Ratio	Table 3.17D	3.1.3.3e	
	ROOF	SYSTEMS		
Lumber	Rafter Span (Horizontal Projection) ²	26'	3.1.3.4a	
Rafters	Rafter Spacing	24" o.c.	3.1.3.4b	1.00
	Eave Overhang Length ¹	Lesser of 2' or rafter span/3	3.1.3.4c	2.1f
	Rake Overhang Length ¹	Lesser of 2' or purlin span/2	3.1.3.4c	2.1g
	Roof Slope	Flat - 12:12	3.1.3.4d	-
Roof	Roof Diaphragm Aspect Ratio ¹	Tables 3.16A and 3.16C	3.1.3.4e	

2308.2 Limitations.

Buildings are permitted to be constructed in accordance with the provisions of conventional light-frame construction, subject to the limitations in Sections 2308.2.1 through 2308.2.6.

2308.2.1 Stories.

Structures of conventional light-frame construction shall be limited in story height in accordance with Table 2308.2.1.

TABLE 2308.2.1 ALLOWABLE STORY HEIGHT

SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY	ALLOWABLE STORY ABOVE GRADE PLANE
A and B	Three stories
C	Two stories
D and E ^a	One story

a. For the purposes of this section, for buildings assigned to Se walls are solid blocked and do not exceed 14 inches in height. Design Category D or E, cripple walls shall be co to be a s

2308.2.2 Allowable floor-to-floor height. Maximum floor-to-floor height shall not exceed 11 feet, 7 inches (3531 mm). Exterior bearing wall and interior braced wall heights shall not exceed a stud height of 10 feet (3048 mm).

Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs Conclusion

Typical roof	rafter $L = 14', s = 24^{\circ}$ DL = 16 psf	Table 3.2	26A Rafte (Ceiling	Not At	tached t	commo o Rafte	on Lum rs) Live L	ber Sp .oad = 20	opsf,L/	ALL = 180		LL L/	= 2 ;	0 psf = 180
	LL _r = 20 psf typical sloped roof, irreducible					Dea	ad Load = 10	psf			Dea	d Load = 20	psf	
	Governing load combination: D + Lr				2x4	Zxb	Zx8	Zx10 Mi	2x12 aximum Raf	Zx4 ter Spans ^{1,2}	2xb	2x8	2x10	2x12
	$w_{u} = (16+20) * 2' = 72 plf$ $w_{u} = (20) * 2' = 40 plf$	Joist Spacin (in.)	Species	Grade	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)	(ft-in.)
	$w_L = (26) * 2 = -40 \mu_f$ $w_{0.5D+L} = (0.5 * 16 + 20) * 2' = 56 plf$ $M_{ii} = w_{ii} L^2/8 = 72 * 14^2/8 = 1764 \# - ft = 21168 \# - in$		Douglas Fir-Larch Douglas Fir-Larch Douglas Fir-Larch Douglas Fir-Larch	SS No.1 No.2 No.3	11-6 11-1 10-10 8-9	18-0 17-4 16-10 12-10	23-9 22-5 21-4 16-3	26-0† 26-0† 26-0† 19 - 10	26-0† 26-0† 26-0† 23 - 0	11-6 10-6 10-0 7-7	18-0 15-4 14-7 11-1	23 - 9 19 - 5 18 - 5 14 - 1	26-0† 23 - 9 22 - 6 17 - 2	26-0† 26-0† 26-0† 19 - 11
	presume Southern Pine #2 $E = 1400000 psi$ $\Delta_{L,max} = L/240 = 14 * 12/240 = 0.7"$	12	Hem-Fir Hem-Fir Hem-Fir Hem-Fir Southern Pine	SS No.1 No.2 No.3	10-10 10-7 10-1 8-7	17-0 16-8 15-11 12-6	22-5 22-0 20-8 15-10 23-4	26-01 26-01 25-3 19-5 26-01	26-01 26-01 26-01 22 - 6 26-01	10-10 10-4 9-8 7-5	17-0 15-2 14-2 10-10	22-5 19-2 17-11 13-9 23-4	26-0† 23-5 21-11 16-9 25-0†	26-01 26-01 25 - 5 19 - 6 26-01
(Table 4B)	$ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow I_{req} = 5 w_{L} L^{4} / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 35.3 in^{4} \\ \Delta_{0.5D+Lmax} = L/180 = 14 + 12/180 = 0.9^{\circ} \\ \rightarrow I_{req} = 5 w_{0.5D+L} L^{4} / (384 E \Delta_{max}) = 38.4 in^{4} \\ presume 2x8 I_{x} = 47.63 in^{4}, S_{x} = 13.14 in^{3} \\ F_{b}^{i} = F_{b} + C_{b} + C_{c} = 1100 psi + 1.25 + 1.15 = 1581 psi \\ f_{b} = M_{u}/S_{w} = 21168 / 1.314 = 1611 psi > F_{b}^{i} = 1581 psi NG \\ truth f = 1 60000 nsi \end{array} $	24	Douglas Fir-Larch Douglas Fir-Larch Hem-Fir Hem-Fir Hem-Fir Southern Pine Southern Pine Southern Pine Southern Pine Spruce-Pine Fir Spruce-Pine Fir	No.2 No.3 SS No.1 No.2 No.3 SS No.1 No.2 No.3 SS No.1 No.2 No.3	8-2 6-2 8-7 8-5 7-11 6-1 8-11 8-7 7-4 5-8 8-5 8-0 8-0	11-11 9-1 13-6 12-4 11-7 8-10 14-1 12-9 11-0 8-4 13-3 11-9	15-1 11-6 17-10 15-8 14-8 11-3 18-6 16-2 13-11 10-6 17-5 14-10	18-5 14-1 22-9 19-2 17-10 13-8 23-8 18-11 16-6 12-9 21-8 18-2	21-4 16-3 26-0† 22-2 20-9 15-11 26-0† 22-6 19-6 15-1 25-2 21-0	7-0 5-4 8-7 7-4 6-10 5-3 8-11 7-5 6-4 4-11 8-4 6-11 5-1	10 - 4 7 - 10 12 - 10 10 - 9 10 - 0 7 - 8 13 - 10 11 - 1 9 - 6 7 - 3 12 - 2 10 - 2	13-0 10-0 16-3 13-7 12-8 9-9 17-6 14-0 12-1 15-4 12-10 12-10	15-11 12-2 19-10 16-7 15-6 11-10 20-10 16-5 14-4 11-0 18-9 15-8 15-8	18-6 14-1 23-0 19-3 17-11 13-9 24-8 19-6 16-10 13-1 21-9 18-3 18-3
	$a_{ij} * i = 100000 \text{ psi}$ $F_b^* = 1500 \circ C_b \circ C_r = 1500 \text{ psi} * 1.25 * 1.15 = 2156 \text{ psi} > f_b = 1611 \text{ psi} OK$ use 2x8 Southern Pine #1 or btr											Hab or Hur	itat manity	

Туріса	al Rafter 4 ways		
	Engineered	2x8 Southern Pine #1	
	IBC	2x8 Southern Pine #1 2x10 Southern Pine #2	2308.7.2(1)
	IRC	2x8 Southern Pine #1 2x10 Southern Pine #2	R802.5.1(1)
	WFCM	2x8 Southern Pine #1 2x10 Southern Pine #2	T3.26A

R602.12 Simplified wall bracing.

Buildings meeting all of the conditions listed below shall be permitted to be braced in accordance with this section as an alternate to the requirements of Section R602.10. The entire building shall be braced in accordance with this section; the use of other bracing provisions of Section R602.10, except as specified herein, shall not be permitted.

1. There shall be not more than three stories above the top of a concrete or masonry foundation or basement wall. Permanent wood foundations shall not be permitted.

2. Floors shall not cantilever more than 24 inches (607 mm) beyond the foundation or bearing wall below.

3. Wall height shall not be greater than 10 feet (3048 mm).

4. The building shall have a roof eave-to-ridge height of 15 feet (4572 mm) or less.

5. Exterior walls shall have gypsum board with a minimum thickness of 1/2 inch (12.7 mm) installed on the interior side fastened in accordance with Table R702.3.5.

6. The structure shall be located where the ultimate design wind speed is less than or equal to 130 mph (58 m/s), and the exposure category is B or C.

7. The structure shall be located in Seismic Design Category A, B or C for detached one- and two-family dwellings or Seismic Design Category A or B for townhouses.

8. Cripple walls shall not be permitted in three-story buildings.

R602.12.3 Bracing unit.

A bracing unit shall be a full-height sheathed segment of the exterior wall without openings or vertical or horizontal offsets and a minimum length as specified herein. Interior walls shall not contribute toward the amount of required bracing. Mixing of Items 1 and 2 is prohibited on the same story.

1. Where all framed portions of all exterior walls are sheathed in accordance with Section R602.12.2, including wall areas between bracing units, above and below openings and on gable end walls, the minimum length of a bracing unit shall be 3 feet (914 mm).

2. Where the exterior walls are braced with sheathing panels in accordance with Section R602.12.2 and areas between bracing units are covered with other materials, the minimum length of a bracing unit shall be 4 feet (1219 mm).

Background Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs

Conclusion

	TABLE R602.12.4															
	MINIMUM NUMBER OF BRACING UNITS ON EACH SIDE OF THE CIRCUMSCRI								SCRIB	ED RE	CTANC	GLE				
		EAVE-TO-RIDGE	MINI UNIT	MUM I S ON	NUMB	ER OF	F BRA G SIDE	CING a, b, d	MIN UNIT	IMUM	NUME	BER OF	F BRA	CING E ^{a, b, d}		
SPEED	STORY LEVEL	HEIGHT	L	ength	of she	ort sid	e (fee	t) ^c	1	Lengt	h of lo	ng sid	e (feet)) ^c		
(mph)		(feet)	10	20	30	40	50	60	10	20	30	40	50	60		
			1	2	2	2	3	3	1	2	2	2	3	3		
		10	2	3	3	4	5	6	2	3	3	4	5	6		
115	Ê		2	3	4	6	7	8	2	3	4	6	7	8		
	aêê		1	2	3	3	4	4	1	2	3	3	4	4		
		15	2	3	4	5	6	7	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	Code Wal	kthrough		Con		Cala					onclu	N	P	Habi	t at	X

Shear V	Walls 4 ways (EW	/ direction)	
	Engineered	3/8" WSP w/ 8d @ 6", 6", 12" 16' required of shear wall required ≈ 8' required <i>per braced wall line</i> *	
	IBC	3/8" WSP w/ 8d @ 6", 6", 12" "Each end and ≤ 25'-0" o.c." ≈ 8' required <i>per braced wall line</i>	2308.6.1
	IRC	3/8" WSP w/ 8d @ 6", 6", 12" 3 bracing units ≈ 9' required per <i>braced wall line</i>	R602.12.4
	WFCM	7/16" OSB or 15/32" plywood 9.1' required <i>per shear wall line</i> *	T3.4B T3.17A

Cookbook OK?

• Prescriptive/tabulated approach meets rigor and intent of code

Background Code Walkthrough Sample Calcs

- Sometimes overstressed (e.g. holdowns)
- Sometimes limiting (e.g. headers, joists)

Conclusion

