

Consumption of fruits, vegetables, and fruit juices and differentiated thyroid carcinoma risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study

Raul Zamora-Ros^{1,*}, Virginie Béraud^{1,*}, Silvia Franceschi², Valerie Cayssials¹, Konstantinos K. Tsilidis^{3,4}, Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault^{5,6}, Elisabete Weiderpass^{7,8,9,10}, Kim Overvad¹¹, Anne Tjønneland¹², Anne Kirstine Eriksen¹², Fabrice Bonnet^{5,6,13}, Aurélie Affret^{5,6}, Verena Katzke¹⁴, Tilman Kühn¹⁴, Heiner Boeing¹⁵, Antonia Trichopoulou^{16,17}, Elisavet Valanou¹⁶, Anna Karakatsani^{16,18}, Giovanna Masala¹⁹, Sara Grioni²⁰, Maria Santucci de Magistris²¹, Rosario Tumino²², Fulvio Ricceri^{23,24}, Guri Skeie⁷, Christine L Parr²⁵, Susana Merino²⁶, Salamanca-Fernández^{27,28}, Maria-Dolores Chirlague^{28,29,30}, Elena Eva Ardanaz^{28,31,32}, Pilar Amiano^{28,33}, Martin Almquist^{34,35}, Isabel Drake³⁶, Joakim Hennings³⁷, Maria Sandström³⁸, H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita^{4,39}, Petra H. Peeters^{4,40}, Kay-Thee Khaw⁴¹, Nicholas J. Wareham⁴², Julie A. Schmidt⁴³, Aurora Perez-Cornago⁴³, Dagfinn Aune^{4,44,45}, Elio Riboli⁴, Nadia Slimani², Augustin Scalbert², Isabelle Romieu², Antonio Agudo¹, Sabina Rinaldi²

Author affiliations

¹Unit of Nutrition and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Barcelona, Spain.

²International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France.

³Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina School of Medicine, Ioannina, Greece

⁴School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁵CESP, Université Paris-Sud, UVSQ, INSERM, Université Paris-Saclay, Villejuif, France.

⁶Institut Gustave Roussy, F-94805, Villejuif, France.

⁷Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT, The Artic University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway.

⁸Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Institute of Population-Based Cancer Research, Oslo, Norway.

⁹Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

¹⁰Genetic Epidemiology Group, Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland.

¹¹Department of Public Health, Section for Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark.

¹²Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹³CHU Rennes, Rennes 1 University, Rennes, France

¹⁴Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany.

¹⁵Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany.

¹⁶Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

¹⁷WHO Collaborating Center for Nutrition and Health, Unit of Nutritional Epidemiology and Nutrition in Public Health, Dept. of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

¹⁸2nd Pulmonary Medicine Department, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "ATTIKON" University Hospital, Haidari, Greece ¹⁹Cancer Risk Factors and Life-Style Epidemiology Unit, Cancer Research and Prevention Institute – ISPO, Florence, Italy

²⁰Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy.

²¹Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Federico II University, Naples, Italy.

²²Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civic M.P. Arezzo" Hospital, ASP Ragusa, Italy.

²³Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

²⁴Unit of Epidemiology, Regional Health Service ASL TO3, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

²⁵Domain of Mental and Physical Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

²⁶Public Health Directorate, Asturias, Spain.

²⁷Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública. Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.Granada. Hospitales Universitarios de Granada/Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain.

²⁸CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain.

²⁹Department of Epidemiology, Regional Health Council, IMIB-Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain

³⁰Department of Health and Social Sciences, Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, Spain

³¹Navarra Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain

³²Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA) Pamplona, Spain

3

³³Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, Regional Government of the Basque Country, Spain

³⁴Department of Surgery, University Hospital Lund, Lund, Sweden

³⁵Malmö Diet and Cancer Study, University Hospital Malmö, Malmö, Sweden

³⁶Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

³⁷Department of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

³⁸Department for Radiation Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

³⁹Department for Determinants of Chronic Diseases (DCD), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

⁴⁰Department of Epidemiology, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁴¹Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, UK

⁴²MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, UK

⁴³Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, UK

⁴⁴Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway.

⁴⁵Bjørknes University College, Oslo, Norway.

*Both authors equally contributed to this research.

The entire manuscript is 4,181 words (including abstract (229 words), text (3,952 words), 53 references, 3 tables, 3 supplementary table).

4

Running Title: Fruits, vegetables, and fruit juices and thyroid cancer in EPIC

Corresponding author: Raul Zamora-Ros, Unit of Nutrition and Cancer, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Av Gran Via 199-203, 08908 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain. Phone: +34 932067401. Fax: +34 932607787.

E-mail: rzamora@iconcologia.net

Key words: Thyroid cancer, Fruits, Vegetables, Fruit juices, Intake, EPIC

Abbreviations: 24-HDR: 24-h dietary recall; BMI: Body Mass Index; CI: Confidence Interval; EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; F&V: Fruits and Vegetables; HR: Hazard Ratio; IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer; NOS: Not Otherwise Specified; TC: Thyroid cancer

Article category: Epidemiology

NOVELTY AND IMPACT OF THE WORK:

This large prospective cohort does not support any association between the consumption of vegetable, fruit and any individual type of vegetable or fruit and differentiated thyroid carcinoma risk, overall and by histologic type (papillary and follicular tumours). However, a positive trend with fruit juice intake was observed, possibly related to its high sugar content.

1 ABSTRACT

2 Fruit and vegetable (F&V) intake is considered as probably protective against overall cancer 3 risk, but results in previous studies are not consistent for thyroid cancer (TC). The purpose of 4 this study is to examine the association between the consumption of fruits, vegetables, fruit 5 juices and differentiated thyroid cancer risk within the European Prospective Investigation 6 into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. The EPIC study is a cohort including over half a 7 million participants, recruited between 1991 and 2000. During a mean follow-up of 14 years, 8 748 incident first primary differentiated TC cases were identified. F&V and fruit juice intakes 9 were assessed through validated country-specific dietary questionnaires. Hazard ratios (HRs) 10 and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using Cox regression models adjusted for 11 potential confounding factors. Comparing the highest vs. lowest quartile of intake, 12 differentiated TC risk was not associated with intakes of total F&V (HR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.68-13 1.15; p-trend=0.44), vegetables (HR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.69-1.14; p-trend=0.56), or fruit (HR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.79-1.26; p-trend=0.64). No significant association was observed with any 14 15 individual type of vegetable or fruit. However, there was a positive borderline trend with fruit 16 juice intake (HR: 1.23; 95% CI: 0.98-1.53; p-trend=0.06). This study did not find any 17 significant association between F&V intakes and differentiated TC risk; however a positive 18 trend with fruit juice intake was observed, possibly related to its high sugar content.

19

20 INTRODUCTION

The consumption of fruits and vegetables (F&V) has been consistently associated with a 21 22 reduced risk of many cancers in case-control studies, but these associations become weak or even null in cohorts.¹⁻³ In 2003 the World Health Organization (WHO)⁴ and in 2007 the 23 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)⁵ concluded that there was convincing 24 25 evidence that F&V lower the risk of cardiovascular diseases and probable evidence for lower respiratory and digestive cancer risks. Therefore, they recommended an intake of 400-500 26 27 g/day, the well-known campaign of 5 a day (including vegetables, fruits and also juices, 28 although juices are usually recommended ≤ 1 portion per day by several national nutrition health programmes, e.g. in the UK, France, Norway, etc.). However, little is known about its 29 association with thyroid cancer (TC) risk, particularly in prospective studies. TC is the most 30 common endocrine cancer (1-1.5% of all new cancers diagnosed each year) worldwide⁶ and 31 the incidence has been constantly increasing over the last three decades,⁷⁻¹⁰ mainly explained 32 by over-diagnosis.¹¹ 33

In 2014, a meta-analysis of 19 case-control studies on dietary factors and TC risk found a weak inverse association with the intake of vegetables and no association with the intake of fruits.¹² Cruciferous vegetables have been studied more closely due to their content in goitrogenic substances,¹³ which have tumour promoting effects upon rats' thyroid.^{14,15} Two recent meta-analyses of retrospective studies found either no association or a positive association between the intake of cruciferous vegetables and differentiated TC risk.^{12,16} For juices, a positive association was suggested between citrus fruit juice intake and TC risk.¹⁷

The aim of the present study was to prospectively evaluate the association between the consumption of vegetables, fruits, fruit juices and their subtypes and differentiated TC risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study, a large cohort with a high heterogeneity in dietary intake across the participating countries.¹⁸ 45

46 MATERIAL AND METHODS

47 Study population

48 EPIC is a multicentre prospective cohort study principally designed to investigate the 49 relationships between diet and other environmental factors and cancer risk. The study design has been explained in detail previously.^{19,20} In brief, EPIC enrolled more than half a million 50 51 participants, 70% women, mostly aged between 35 and 70 years old from 23 centres in 10 52 western European countries (Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Norway, Sweden, 53 Spain, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom) during the period 1991-2000. All 54 participants gave their written informed consent, and the Internal Review Boards of the IARC 55 and all ethical committees from participating centres approved the project.

56 Dietary assessment

57 Validated country-specific dietary questionnaires were used to evaluate participants' diets over the 12 months preceding recruitment.¹⁹ They were designed to collect the specificity of 58 59 local dietary habits and provide optimal compliance. According to the centres, quantitative or 60 semi-quantitative methods were applied. In Malmö-Sweden, a combination of a 7-day record 61 and a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire was administered. Questionnaires were 62 mainly self-reported except for Greece, Spain and two centres in Italy (Naples and Ragusa) where they were administered during a face-to-face interview. Lifestyle questionnaires about 63 64 educational and socio-economic status, lifetime history of tobacco smoking and alcohol 65 consumption, physical activity, menstrual and reproductive history and medical history were also collected at baseline.¹⁹ 66

The analysed food groups were vegetables (potatoes and legumes were not included), fresh
fruits (excluding nuts, olives, and seeds), and total juices or fruit juices (including citrus fruit

69 juices, non-citrus fruit juices, vegetable juices and fruit nectars). Vegetable juices were rarely 70 consumed (<2% of total juices) and this item was only recorded in the dietary questionnaires 71 of 3 countries; for this reason we have simplified and used the term fruit juices instead of total 72 juices. Total intake of F&V was calculated as the sum of fruits and vegetables, excluding fruit juices because of their large differences in nutritional composition compared to F&V.¹⁸ 73 74 Subgroups of vegetables and fruits were also considered: leafy vegetables, fruiting vegetables, root vegetables, cabbages, mushrooms, grain and pod vegetables, onion/garlic, sprouts/stalk 75 76 vegetables, citrus fruits, hard fruits (apples and pears), berries (strawberries, grapes, 77 blueberries), stone fruits (peach, nectarine, apricot, plum, cherry), banana, and other fruits 78 (fig, kiwi, melon, watermelon, pineapple). Details about the composition of the subgroups are given elsewhere.¹⁸ 79

80 Follow-up and case ascertainment

81 Except for Germany and Greece, all information on vital status came from national or regional mortality registries. In Germany and Greece, those data were continuously collected 82 83 through an active follow-up. Cancer incident cases were identified through regional/national 84 cancer registries, except for German, Greek, and French centres. For those, different methods 85 were used, including health insurance records, contacts with cancer pathology registries, and 86 active follow-up through study participants or relatives. Complete follow-up censoring dates ranged from December 2010 to December 2014, depending on the study centre.¹⁹ Cancer 87 88 cases were defined as subjects with a first primary TC (code C73 according to the 89 International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision) during follow-up. Differentiated TC 90 comprises papillary and follicular tumours.

91 Of the 857 TC cases, anaplastic (n=9), medullary (n=37), lymphoma (n=1) forms and "other 92 morphologies" (n=5) were excluded. Moreover, 29,332 participants (including 45 93 differentiated TC cases) were excluded from the initial database because of missing or null

94 follow-time or having a prevalent cancer other than non-melanoma skin cancer. Further 1,277 95 and 14,555 participants (including 12 differentiated TC cases) were also excluded because 96 their lifestyle and dietary data were not complete or coherent (they were in the top or the 97 bottom 1% of the distribution of the total energy intake to energy requirement ratio). 98 respectively. Finally, 748 first primary incident differentiated TC cases were considered: 601 99 papillary TCs, 109 follicular TCs, and 38 not otherwise specified (NOS) TCs, most likely 100 papillary TCs. A total of 142,232 men and 333,876 women were included in the present 101 study.

102 Statistical analysis

103 Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% 104 confidence intervals (CI). Intakes of vegetables, fruits, and fruit juices were stated in grams 105 per day. They were analysed as continuous variables (increments of 100 g/d for groups and 50 106 g/d or 10 g/d for subgroups), and as categorical variables using EPIC-wide quartiles (quartile 107 1 as reference) or EPIC-specific quartiles by sex, BMI categories, and physical activity status 108 for subgroup analyses. Tests for linear trend were performed by attributing the median of each 109 quartile as a score. Age was the primary time variable in all models. Entry time was age at 110 recruitment and exit time was age at diagnosis of differentiated TC, death, or censoring date 111 (loss of follow-up, end of follow-up), whichever occurred first. Two sets of models were used 112 without (model A) and with (model B) adjustment for total energy intake. Model A1 was 113 stratified by sex, age at recruitment (1-year interval) and centre. Model A2 was model A1 114 additionally adjusted for non-dietary variables: BMI, smoking status (never, former, current 115 smoker, and unknown), education (primary, secondary, and unknown), physical activity (inactive, active, and unknown according to the Cambridge Physical Activity Index),²¹ type of 116 117 menopause (pre-, peri-, post-, and surgical menopause), use of oral contraceptives (yes, no, 118 and unknown), and fertility problems (yes, no, and unknown), since these reproductive factors

were TC risk factors in our previous study.²² Model B1 was stratified by sex, age recruitment 119 120 (1-year interval) and centre, and adjusted for total energy intake (kcal/day). Model B2 was 121 model B1 additionally adjusted for non-dietary variables (as model A2) and dietary variables: 122 total alcohol (g/day) intake. We also created an additional model (model B3) for the main 123 exposure groups, which was model B2 mutually adjusted, when appropriate, for vegetables, 124 fruits, and fruit juices, accordingly. The interpretation of models without total energy intake is 125 focused on the impact of the effect of the absolute amount of compounds of F&V and fruit 126 juices (e.g. vitamins, polyphenols, and contaminants). Whereas in the case of the models with 127 total energy intake adjustment, the relevance is the relative amount compare to other 128 compounds, like in a substitution model. Tests and graphs based on Schoenfeld residuals were 129 used to assess proportional hazards assumptions, which were satisfied. Separate analyses were 130 performed for TC subtypes: follicular and papillary tumours. Heterogeneity of risk between 131 TC subtypes was assessed with the Wald test. Possible interactions with sex, smoking status, BMI (BMI<25 vs. BMI \geq 25), alcohol intake at recruitment (\leq 24 g/d vs. >24 g/d), and physical 132 133 activity (inactive, active) were tested by including an interaction term in the multi-adjusted 134 models. The Wald test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity of risk between BMI and 135 physical activity categories. Sensitivity tests were performed i) excluding female participants 136 from France, since they contributed to 37.2% of all differentiated TC cases among all female participants, and over-diagnosis in France could be relatively high;¹¹ (ii) excluding 137 138 participants who had diabetes or unknown diabetes status at baseline, because diabetes is a 139 potential risk factor of TC and iii) excluding cases with a follow-up period below two years, 140 since they could have changed their diets in the pre-diagnostic period. For all analyses, p-141 values <0.05 were considered as statistically significant and p-values >0.05 and <0.1 as 142 borderline statistically significant. To account for multiple testing for the subgroups of F&V, 143 Bonferroni correction was used and then results were considered statistically significant if P<0.05/23 (number of tests for the intakes of total F&V, vegetables, fruits, fruit juices and all
subgroups)=0.002. All statistical analyses were performed with the R 3.3.1 software (R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

147 Calibration of dietary data

148 A second dietary measurement was taken from an 8% random sample of the cohort (36,994 participants) using a detailed computerized 24-h dietary recall (24-HDR) method²³ to 149 150 calibrate dietary measurements of vegetable, fruit, and fruit juice intake across countries and to correct for systematic overestimation or underestimation of dietary intakes.²⁴ The 24-HDR 151 152 values of these participants were regressed on the main dietary questionnaire values for 153 vegetables, fruits, and fruit juices with adjustment for age at recruitment, centre, and total 154 energy intake, and weighting by day of the week and season of the year during which the 24-155 HDR was collected. Zero consumption values in the main dietary questionnaires were 156 excluded in the regression calibration models, and a zero was directly imputed as a corrected 157 value. Country and sex-specific calibration models were used to obtain individual predicted 158 values of dietary exposure for all participants. Cox regression models were then run using the 159 predicted (calibrated) values for each individual on a continuous scale. The standard error of 160 the calibrated coefficient was estimated with bootstrap sampling in the calibration and disease models and repeated 300 times.²⁴ 161

162 **RESULTS**

The median (percentile 25th and 75th) intakes of total F&V, vegetables, fruits, and fruit juices were 391 g/d (250-576 g/d), 175 g/d (110-276 g/d), 194 g/d (106-314 g/d), and 20 g/d (1-94 g/d), respectively. A great heterogeneity of F&V consumption in both men and women among EPIC countries was observed with higher F&V intakes in Southern than in Northern EPIC countries(**Table 1**). Differentiated TC incidence was higher among women (89% of cases) and the most common subtype was papillary (80.3%), followed by follicular (14.6%), and NOS tumours (5.1%) (Table 1). The analysis of baseline characteristics according to quartiles of total intake of F&V showed that participants in the highest quartile were more likely to be older women, less educated, and less physically active, and to have higher total energy intake and lower tobacco and alcohol consumptions. Women in the highest quartile of F&V tended to be postmenopausal or to have undergone surgical menopause, to have more infertility problems, and to take less oral contraceptives at the baseline (**Supplementary Table 1**).

176 Four multivariable Cox models with different levels of adjustment (with and without total 177 energy intake) were performed, showing similar results (difference below 10%) (Table 2 and 178 Supplementary table 2). In the fully adjusted model (model B2), no association was 179 observed between total intake of F&V and total differentiated TC risk for the highest vs. 180 lowest quartile (HR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.68-1.15; p-trend=0.44). No association was found with 181 the intake of vegetables (HR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.69-1.14; p-trend=0.56), or fruits (HR: 1.00; 182 95% CI: 0.79-1.26; p-trend=0.64). A borderline positive trend between fruit juice intake and 183 total differentiated TC risk was detected (HR: 1.23; 95% CI: 0.98-1.53; p-trend=0.06). After 184 calibration, results were unchanged. In separate analyses by TC type, null results were 185 observed between all exposures and either papillary or follicular TC risk (Table 2). A further 186 model (model B3) was also estimated mutually adjusting for the intake of fruits, vegetables or 187 fruit juices when appropriate and results were practically identical to those in model B2 188 (Supplementary table 2). Sensitivity tests, excluding French participants, subject with diabetes 189 at baseline, and cases with a follow-up below two years, showed results almost identical to 190 those in the entire cohort (data not shown).

191 No association between any subgroups of F&V intake and total differentiated TC risk was 192 found (**Table 3**). Associations with papillary and follicular TC risk were also evaluated. For 193 every 10g/day of sprouts/stalk vegetables, the risk of follicular TC was significantly lowered for the observed data (HR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.56-0.94; p-value=0.015) and for the calibrated data (HR: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.34-0.91%; p-value=0.020), but not for papillary TC risk. After applying the Bonferroni correction (p<0.002), the inverse association was no longer statistically significant. No associations were observed for any of the other subgroups of F&V with either papillary or follicular TC risk.

199 No interactions were observed between total intake of F&V and any of the tested potential 200 confounding factors: sex (P for interaction=0.84), smoking status (P for interaction=0.58), 201 BMI (P for interaction=0.37), alcohol (P for interaction=0.54), and physical activity (P for 202 interaction=0.41). Although no heterogeneity was observed between sexes, separate analyses 203 by sex were performed because of the large proportion of women with TC. The study of the 204 association between total intake of F&V and TC risk showed similar results from the previous 205 analyses for both women (HR: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.68-1.15; p-trend=0.34) and men (HR: 0.95; 206 95% CI: 0.42-2.12; p-trend=0.85) (data not tabulated). A statistically significant interaction 207 between fruit juice intake and BMI (P for interaction=0.03) and borderline significant with 208 physical activity (P for interaction=0.08) was detected. The highest quartile of fruit juice 209 consumption was positively associated with TC risk among inactive participants (HR: 1.31; 210 95% CI: 0.99-1.72; p-trend=0.05) but not among active subjects (HR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.70-211 1.42; p-trend=0.99); and in participants with BMI<25 (HR: 1.43; 95% CI: 1.08-1.89; p-212 trend=0.002), but not in those with BMI>25 (HR: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.75-1.48; p-trend=0.99). 213 Similar results were found for the analyses by TC subtypes, especially by BMI categories 214 (Supplementary table 3).

215 **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we prospectively evaluated the association between total F&V and fruit juice intakes and differentiated TC risk. We did not observe any association between total F&V intake and either all differentiated, papillary, or follicular TC risk. Similar null results were also found in a Korean case-control study on mainly papillary TC cases (90%) among women.²⁵ Furthermore in our study, no associations with the consumption of either fruits or vegetables were observed; whereas a borderline statistical positive trend with fruit juice intake was detected.

A meta-analysis of 19 case-control studies¹² and a pooled-analysis of 11 case-control studies²⁶ 223 224 summarized the associations between vegetable intake and TC risk, showing a weak inverse 225 association with the intake of total vegetables and vegetables excluding cruciferous 226 vegetables. An American cohort study of 300,000 participants (the NIH-AARP diet and 227 health study) showed an impact of adolescent (12-13 years old) and midlife diet (10 years 228 before recruitment when respondents were 41-62 years of age) on TC risk, with a significant inverse association of vegetable intake among women.²⁷ Although intakes of vegetables 229 230 consumed by both Americans at midlife and our study population were comparable, we did 231 not find any association between the intake of vegetables and the risk of differentiated TC 232 either overall or by subtype.

233 In the present cohort study, we observed no association between differentiated TC risk and 234 the consumption of cruciferous vegetables. Similar results were observed in two metaanalyses and one pooled-analysis.^{12,26,28} However, in the most recent meta-analysis, a positive 235 236 association was pointed out, after excluding studies evaluating only one type of cruciferous vegetables and hospital-based case-control studies.¹⁶ The NIH-AARP diet and health study 237 238 also showed a positive association with the intake of broccoli during midlife in men, however 239 no other cruciferous vegetables were considered in the dietary questionnaire.²⁷ Overall, no 240 association between the intake of vegetables and cruciferous vegetables and TC was observed 241 in the last 5-10 years before diagnosis (i.e. middle and late adulthood), although potential 242 relationships in earlier life stages, such as adolescence and young adulthood, could not be 243 ruled out according to the results from the NHI-AARP study. Those results suggest that a diet rich in cruciferous vegetables during early adulthood could have an impact in the early development of TC, but not during the 5-10 years prior to TC diagnosis, which is the mean time of follow-up of TC cases in our study.

Overall, no associations were found with vegetable subclasses with overall, papillary or 247 248 follicular TC risk. Some previous studies suggested inverse associations with raw vegetables,²⁵ green vegetables,²⁹ carrots,^{29,30} green salad,³⁰ raw tomatoes,³¹ turnips,³² 249 rutabagas,³² and cassava.³³ However, these studies were relatively small (<400 TC cases) and 250 had a retrospective design. Moreover these inverse associations have not been consistently 251 252 observed in other similar studies and were not even suggested in our large cohort. In the 253 analysis by TC type, there was an inverse association with sprouts/stalk vegetables but only 254 for follicular TC risk (n=109 cases), although no longer after Bonferroni correction. In 255 addition, sprouts/stalk vegetables (including asparagus, celery, fennel and leek) are a very 256 heterogeneous group and are generally consumed in low amounts in Europe, and therefore the 257 results could be due to chance.

258 In the current study, total fruit intake, as well as intake of fruit subtypes was not associated 259 with TC risk. Although less information is available on this field, our findings are in 260 concordance with the results of previous studies, including the meta-analysis of 19 casecontrol studies¹² and the American cohort study considering adolescent and midlife periods.²⁷ 261 262 Some studies showed a special interest in citrus fruits because of their high content in antioxidants (such as vitamin C and flavonoids). A pooled-analysis of four Italian-based case-263 control studies showed an inverse association with TC risk.³⁰ Both Greek and South Korean 264 case-control studies reported inverse associations with the consumption of lemons³¹ and 265 tangerines,²⁵ respectively. However, in the present study, there was no association with citrus 266 267 fruits intake, similarly to the NIH-AARP cohort where consumption of orange and tangelos was not associated with TC risk in any period.¹⁷ 268

269 In the present study, a positive trend between the intake of fruit juices and TC risk was 270 observed, especially in subjects with a BMI<25 or physically inactive. In the NIH-AARP 271 cohort study, the consumption of orange and grapefruit juices was also associated with a higher risk of TC.¹⁷ In our study, fruit juices were mainly citrus juices (>61%), but most of 272 273 them were commercial fruit juices and fruit nectars (62.3%) with a high sugar content. The 274 contribution of fruit juices to total sugars varied from <2% in Mediterranean countries to 15% in Germany.³⁴ In our study, we cannot differentiate between commercial fruit juice and fruit 275 276 nectar (fruit juice with added sugars), and therefore we cannot evaluate the impact of the 277 consumption of fruit juices with different amounts of sugar in the TC risk. Moreover, we 278 cannot distinguish between fruit juices and vegetable juices in all participating countries, 279 although vegetable juices are rarely consumed (only contributing to <2% of total juices in our 280 study). Our results by BMI categories were in concordance with a recent study within EPIC, 281 showing that the intake of sugar was positively associated with TC risk among people with a BMI <25.35 This finding could be partially due to a higher consumption of fruit juices in 282 283 subjects with a BMI<25 (66.9 g/d) than with a BMI≥25 (60.4 g/d). However, the opposite 284 results would be expected, since overweight is a major determinant of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia,³⁶ which were associated with a higher prevalence of differentiated TC.³⁷ 285 286 Furthermore, after calibration, no differences in the association between fruit juice intake and 287 TC by BMI were observed. This could be due to a higher under-reporting of fruit juice intake 288 among overweight people. Therefore, we need to be cautious in the interpretation of these 289 sub-analyses. In the analyses by physical activity status, the intake of fruit juices was 290 positively associated with TC risk among inactive participants. It has been reported that physical activity significantly improves glycaemia and sensitivity to insulin, which have been 291 associated with a higher risk of TC.^{37,38} Moreover, physical activity modifies female hormone 292 levels,³⁹ which may have a weak influence on TC risk.^{22,40} 293

On one hand, various components of F&V and fruit juices could exert a protective effect against TC. The intake of vitamins (in particular vitamin C and E, carotenoids), polyphenols and fibre has been related to a reduced risk of TC in some studies.^{41,42} Moreover, a deficiency in magnesium may be involved in the aetiology of some thyroid diseases.⁴³ However the protective effects of F&V and fruit juices components are not consistent and several studies showed null results,^{17,35,44,45} or even positive associations [e.g., with beta-carotene,⁴⁶ vitamin C^{47} and flavanones].¹⁷

301 On the other hand, F&V and fruit juices can also contain traces of chemical pesticides. A high exposure to organophosphate insecticides was associated with a higher TC incidence among 302 spouses of pesticide applicators.⁴⁸ Vegetables are also good sources of nitrates, accounting for 303 80% of their intake in Westernized diets.⁴⁹ They are involved in the formation of N-nitroso 304 compounds, which are known carcinogens.⁵⁰ Indeed, nitrate intake has been positively 305 associated with TC risk in two cohort studies.^{51,52} Cruciferous vegetables contain large 306 307 amounts of glucosinolates, which are a nutritional source of thiocyanates and isothiocyanates. Those molecules can block the action of carcinogenic substances and suppress the expression 308 of neoplasia in initiated cancer cells,⁵³ but they also act as goitrogens,¹³ promoting thyroid 309 tumour growth in rats.^{14,15} 310

311 Strengths of the EPIC study are its prospective design, its large number of cancer cases, and 312 the wide range of F&V and fruit juice intake across participants from 10 European countries 313 with standardized information on diet and lifestyle exposures. Several limitations have to be 314 taken into account. Although EPIC is a large study, participants are not representative of the 315 whole population in most of the countries because of the criteria of recruitment, and therefore 316 the results are not totally generalizable to general population. The measurement error in the 317 estimation of F&V and fruit juice intake by dietary questionnaires may have attenuated our 318 findings, although the use of the calibrated F&V and fruit juice data, obtained from the 319 standardized 24-HDR, did not alter the results. As discussed above, we do not have data on 320 fruit juices and fruit nectars separately. Dietary and lifestyle habits during the follow-up 321 period are not available in EPIC, although diet is relatively stable in adult populations through 322 the years.

323 To conclude, in the present study, no association with differentiated TC risk was observed for 324 the consumption of total F&V, vegetables, fruits, and their subgroups. This result might be 325 explained by a counterbalance between pro- and anti-carcinogenic effects of their 326 components. However, fruit juice intake was associated with an increased risk of 327 differentiated TC, possibly due to the high sugar content. Our study supports having a diverse 328 intake of F&V, but not consuming too much fruit juice, especially those rich in sugars. 329 Further prospective studies are warranted to confirm these relationships between fruit juice 330 intake and TC risk.

331

332 **Conflict of interest**: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: We thank Mr Bertrand Hémon and Miss Leila Luján-Barroso for their
valuable help with the EPIC database.

335 Financial support: This study was supported by the Institute of Health Carlos III, Spain (CP15/00100), and cofounded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) "A way 336 337 to build Europe". The coordination of EPIC is financially supported by the European 338 Commission (DG-SANCO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer. The 339 national cohorts are supported by Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Ligue Contre le Cancer, 340 Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale, Institut National de la 341 Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France); German Cancer Aid, German Cancer 342 Research Center (DKFZ), Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) (Germany); 343 the Hellenic Health Foundation (Greece); Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro344 AIRC-Italy and National Research Council (Italy); Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare 345 and Sports (VWS), Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR), LK Research Funds, Dutch 346 Prevention Funds, Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland), World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Health Research Fund (FIS): PI13/00061 347 348 to Granada; PI13/01162 to EPIC-Murcia, Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, 349 Basque Country, Murcia and Navarra, AGAUR, Generalitat de Catalunya (exp. 2014 SGR 350 726), The Health Research Funds (RD12/0036/0018) (Spain); Swedish Cancer Society, 351 Swedish Research Council and County Councils of Skåne and Västerbotten (Sweden); Cancer 352 Research UK (14136 to EPIC-Norfolk; C570/A16491 and C8221/A19170 to EPIC-Oxford), 353 Medical Research Council (1000143 to EPIC-Norfolk, MR/M012190/1 to EPIC-Oxford) 354 (United Kingdom). RZ-R would like to thank the "Miguel Servet" program (CP15/00100) 355 from the Institute of Health Carlos III (Spain) and the European Social Fund (ESF).

356

357 **References**

Aune D, Giovannucci E, Boffeta P, Fadnes LT, Keum N, Norat T, Greenwood DC,
 Riboli E, Vatten LJ, Tonstad S. Fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of cardiovascular
 disease, total cancer and all-cause mortality–a systematic review and dose-response meta analysis of prospective studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;In press. doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw319

362 2. Boffetta P, Couto E, Wichmann J, Ferrari P, Trichopoulos D, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, 363 van Duijnhoven FJ, Büchner FL, Key T, Boeing H, Nöthlings U, Linseisen J, Gonzalez CA, 364 Overvad K, Nielsen MR, Tjønneland A, Olsen A, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, Morois S, Lagiou P, Naska A, Benetou V, Kaaks R, Rohrmann S, Panico S, Sieri S, Vineis P, 365 366 Palli D, van Gils CH, Peeters PH, Lund E, Brustad M, Engeset D, Huerta JM, Rodríguez L, 367 Sánchez MJ, Dorronsoro M, Barricarte A, Hallmans G, Johansson I, Manjer J, Sonestedt E, Allen NE, Bingham S, Khaw KT, Slimani N, Jenab M, Mouw T, Norat T, Riboli E, 368 369 Trichopoulou A. Fruit and vegetable intake and overall cancer risk in the European 370 Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). J Natl Cancer Inst 371 2010;102:529-37.

372 3. Takachi R, Inoue M, Ishihara J, Kurahashi N, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Iso H, Tsubono
373 Y, Tsugane S; JPHC Study Group. Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of total cancer and
374 cardiovascular disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 2008;167:59–70.

World Health Organization. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases.
Technical Report Series, No. 916; 2003. 159 p.

377 5. World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Food,
378 Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. Cancer
379 Research. 2007. 517 p.

380 6. Milas ZL, Brainard J, Milas M. Thyroid cancer: classification and cytological
381 diagnosis. In Clinical Management of Thyroid Cancer. Future Medicine Ltd. 2013. p18–32.

382 7. Wiltshire JJ, Drake TM, Uttley L, Balasubramanian SP. Systematic Review of Trends
383 in the Incidence Rates of Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* 2016;26(11):1541-1552.

Albores-Saavedra J, Henson DE, Glazer E, Schwartz AM. Changing patterns in the
 incidence and survival of thyroid cancer with follicular phenotype - Papillary, follicular, and
 anaplastic: A morphological and epidemiological study. *Endocr Pathol* 2007;18:1–7.

387 9. Hodgson NC, Button J, Solorzano CC. Thyroid cancer: is the incidence still
388 increasing? *Ann Surg Oncol* 2004;11:1093–7.

389 10. Burgess JR. Temporal trends for thyroid carcinoma in Australia: an increasing
390 incidence of papillary thyroid carcinoma (1982-1997). *Thyroid* 2002;12:141–9.

391 11. Vaccarella S, Franceschi S, Bray F, Wild CP, Plummer M, Dal Maso L. Worldwide
392 Thyroid-Cancer Epidemic? The Increasing Impact of Overdiagnosis. *N Engl J Med*393 2016;375:614–7.

Liu ZT, Lin AH. Dietary factors and thyroid cancer risk: a meta-Analysis of
observational studies. *Nutr Cancer* 2014;66: 1165-78.

396 13. Eisenbrand G, Gelbke HP. Assessing the potential impact on the thyroid axis of
397 environmentally relevant food constituents/contaminants in humans. *Arch Toxicol*398 2016;90:1841–57.

399 14. Philip J., Crooks J, Macgregor AG, McIntosh JA. The growth curve of the rat thyroid
400 under a goitrogenic stimulus. *Br J Cancer* 1969;23:515–23.

401 15. Kanno J, Matsuoka C, Furuta K, Onodera H, Miyajima H, Maekawa A, Hayashi Y.

402 Tumor promoting effect of goitrogens on the rat thyroid. *Toxicol Pathol* 1990;18:239–46.

403 16. Cho YA, Kim J. Dietary Factors Affecting Thyroid Cancer Risk: A Meta-Analysis.
404 *Nutr Cancer* 2015;67:811–7.

405 17. Xiao Q, Park Y, Hollenbeck AR, Kitahara CM. Dietary flavonoid intake and thyroid
406 cancer risk in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*407 2014;23:1102–8.

408 18. Agudo A, Slimani N, Ocké MC, Naska A, Miller AB, Kroke A, Bamia C, Karalis D,
409 Vineis P, Palli D, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Peeters PH, Engeset D, Hjartåker A, Navarro C,
410 Martínez Garcia C, Wallström P, Zhang JX, Welch AA, Spencer E, Stripp C, Overvad K,
411 Clavel-Chapelon F, Casagrande C, Riboli E. Consumption of vegetables, fruit and other plant
412 foods in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohorts
413 from 10 European countries. *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:1179–96.
414 19. Riboli E, Hunt K, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M, Charrondière UR, Hémon

Riboli E, Hunt K, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M, Charrondière UR, Hémon
B, Casagrande C, Vignat J, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Clavel-Chapelon F, Thiébaut A,
Wahrendorf J, Boeing H, Trichopoulos D, Trichopoulou A, Vineis P, Palli D, Bueno-DeMesquita HB, Peeters PH, Lund E, Engeset D, González CA, Barricarte A, Berglund G,
Hallmans G, Day NE, Key TJ, Kaaks R, Saracci R. European Prospective Investigation into
Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr*2002;5:1113-24.

421 20. Riboli E, Kaaks R. The EPIC Project: rationale and study design. European
422 Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Int J Epidemiol* 1997;26 Suppl 1:S6–14.

423 21. Wareham NJ, Jakes RW, Rennie KL, Schuit J, Mitchell J, Hennings S, Day NE.
424 Validity and repeatability of a simple index derived from the short physical activity
425 questionnaire used in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition
426 (EPIC) study. *Public Health Nutr* 2003;6:407–13.

Zamora-Ros R, Rinaldi S, Biessy C, Tjønneland A, Halkjaer J, Fournier A, BoutronRuault MC, Mesrine S, Tikk K, Fortner RT, Boeing H, Förster J, Trichopoulou A,
Trichopoulos D, Papatesta EM, Masala G, Tagliabue G, Panico S, Tumino R, Polidoro S,

- 430 Peeters PH, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Weiderpass E, Lund E, Argüelles M, Agudo A, Molina-
- 431 Montes E, Navarro C, Barricarte A, Larrañaga N, Manjer J, Almquist M, Sandström M,
- 432 Hennings J, Tsilidis KK, Schmidt JA, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Romieu I, Byrnes G, Gunter
- MJ, Riboli E, Franceschi S. Reproductive and menstrual factors and risk of differentiated
 thyroid carcinoma: The EPIC study. *Int J Cancer* 2015;136:1218–27.
- 435 23. Slimani N, Kaaks R, Ferrari P, Casagrande C, Clavel-Chapelon F, Lotze G, Kroke A,
- 436 Trichopoulos D, Trichopoulou A, Lauria C, Bellegotti M, Ocké MC, Peeters PH, Engeset D,
- 437 Lund E, Agudo A, Larrañaga N, Mattisson I, Andren C, Johansson I, Davey G, Welch AA,
- 438 Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Van Staveren WA, Saracci R, Riboli E. European prospective
 439 investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC) calibration study: rationale, design and
 440 population characteristics. *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:1125–45.
- 441 24. Ferrari P, Kaaks R, Fahey MT, Slimani N, Day NE, Pera G, Boshuizen HC, Roddam
 442 A, Boeing H, Nagel G, Thiebaut A, Orfanos P, Krogh V, Braaten T, Riboli E; European
 443 Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. Within- and between-cohort
 444 variation in measured macronutrient intakes, taking account of measurement errors, in the
 445 European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition study. *Am J Epidemiol*446 2004;160:814–22.
- 447 25. Jung SK, Kim K, Tae K, Kong G, Kim MK. The effect of raw vegetable and fruit
 448 intake on thyroid cancer risk among women: a case-control study in South Korea. *Br J Nutr*449 2013;109:118–28.
- 26. Bosetti C, Negri E, Kolonel L, Ron E, Franceschi S, Preston-Martin S, McTiernan A,
 Dal Maso L, Mark SD, Mabuchi K, Land C, Jin F, Wingren G, Galanti MR, Hallquist A,
 Glattre E, Lund E, Levi F, Linos D, La Vecchia C. A pooled analysis of case-control studies
 of thyroid cancer. VII. Cruciferous and other vegetables (International). *Cancer Causes Control* 2002;13:765–75.

- 455 27. Braganza MZ, Potischman N, Park Y, Thompson FE, Hollenbeck AR, Kitahara CM.
 456 Adolescent and mid-life diet and subsequent risk of thyroid cancer in the NIH-AARP diet and
 457 health study. *Int J Cancer* 2015;137:2413–23.
- 458 28. Choi W, Kim J. Dietary Factors and the Risk of Thyroid Cancer: A Review. *Clin Nutr*459 *Res* 2014;3:75–88.
- 460 29. Franceschi S, Fassina A, Talamini R, Mazzolini A, Vianello S, Bidoli E, Serraino D,
- 461 La Vecchia C. Risk factors for thyroid cancer in northern Italy. *Int J Epidemiol* 1989;18:578–
 462 84.
- 463 30. Franceschi S, Levi F, Negri E, Fassina A, La Vecchia C. Diet and thyroid cancer: a
 464 pooled analysis of four European case-control studies. Int J Cancer 1991;48:395–8.
- 465 31. Markaki I, Linos D, Linos A. The influence of dietary patterns on the development of
 466 thyroid cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2003;39:1912–9.
- 467 32. Mack WJ, Preston-Martin S, Bernstein L, Qian D. Lifestyle and other risk factors for
 468 thyroid cancer in Los Angeles County females. *Ann Epidemiol* 2002;12:395–401.
- 469 33. Cléro É, Doyon F, Chungue V, Rachédi F, Boissin JL, Sebbag J, Shan L, Rubino C, de
 470 Vathaire F. Dietary patterns, goitrogenic food, and thyroid cancer: a case-control study in
- 471 French Polynesia. *Nutr Cancer* 2012;64:929–36.
- 472 34. Cust AE, Skilton MR, van Bakel MM, Halkjaer J, Olsen A, Agnoli C, Psaltopoulou T,
- 473 Buurma E, Sonestedt E, Chirlaque MD, Rinaldi S, Tjønneland A, Jensen MK, Clavel-
- 474 Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, Kaaks R, Nöthlings U, Chloptsios Y, Zylis D, Mattiello A,
- 475 Caini S, Ocké MC, van der Schouw YT, Skeie G, Parr CL, Molina-Montes E, Manjer J,
- 476 Johansson I, McTaggart A, Key TJ, Bingham S, Riboli E, Slimani N. Total dietary
- 477 carbohydrate, sugar, starch and fibre intakes in the European Prospective Investigation into
- 478 Cancer and Nutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009;63 Suppl 4:S37-60.

479 Zamora-Ros R, Rinaldi S, Tsilidis KK, Weiderpass E, Boutron-Ruault MC, 35. 480 Rostgaard-Hansen AL, Tjønneland A, Clavel-Chapelon F, Mesrine S, Katzke VA, Kühn T, 481 Förster J, Boeing H, Trichopoulou A, Lagiou P, Klinaki E, Masala G, Sieri S, Ricceri F, 482 Tumino R, Mattiello A, Peeters PH, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Engeset D, Skeie G, Argüelles 483 M, Agudo A, Sánchez MJ, Chirlaque MD, Barricarte A, Chamosa S, Almquist M, Tosovic A, 484 Hennings J, Sandström M, Schmidt JA, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Cross AJ, Slimani N, 485 Byrnes G, Romieu I, Riboli E, Franceschi S. Energy and macronutrient intake and risk of 486 differentiated thyroid carcinoma in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and 487 Nutrition study. Int J Cancer 2016;138:65-73.

- 488 36. Kaaks R, Lukanova A, Kurzer MS. Obesity, endogenous hormones, and endometrial
 489 cancer risk: a synthetic review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; 11:1531–43.
- 37. Bae MJ, Kim SS, Kim WJ, Yi YS, Jeon YK, Kim BH, Lee BJ, Lee JC, Kim IJ, Wang
 SG, Kim YK. High prevalence of papillary thyroid cancer in Korean women with insulin
 resistance. *Head Neck* 2016;38:66-71.
- 38. Rapp K, Schroeder J, Klenk J, Ulmer H, Concin H, Diem G, Oberaigner W, Weiland
 SK. Fasting blood glucose and cancer risk in a cohort of more than 140,000 adults in Austria. *Diabetologia* 2006;49:945–52.
- 496 39. Rinaldi S, Kaaks R, Friedenreich CM, Key TJ, Travis R, Biessy C, Slimani N,
- 497 Overvad K, Østergaard JN, Tjønneland A, Olsen A, Mesrine S, Fournier A, Dossus L,
- 498 Lukanova A, Johnson T, Boeing H, Vigl M, Trichopoulou A, Benetou V, Trichopoulos D,
- 499 Masala G, Krogh V, Tumino R, Ricceri F, Panico S, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Monninkhof
- 500 EM, May AM, Weiderpass E, Quirós JR, Travier N, Molina-Montes E, Amiano P, Huerta JM,
- 501 Ardanaz E, Sund M, Johansson M, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Scalbert A, Gunter MJ, Riboli E,
- 502 Romieu I. Physical activity, sex steroid, and growth factor concentrations in pre- and post-

- 503 menopausal women: A cross-sectional study within the EPIC cohort. *Cancer Causes Control*504 2014;25:111–24.
- 40. Horn-Ross PL, Canchola AJ, Ma H, Reynolds P, Bernstein L. Hormonal factors and
 the risk of papillary thyroid cancer in the California Teachers Study cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011;20:1751–9.
- 508 41. D'Avanzo B, Ron E, La Vecchia C, Francaschi S, Negri E, Zleglar R. Selected 509 micronutrient intake and thyroid carcinoma risk. *Cancer* 1997;79:2186–92.
- 510 42. Haselkorn T, Stewart SL, Horn-Ross PL. Why are thyroid cancer rates so high in
 511 Southeast Asian women living in the United States? The Bay Area thyroid cancer study.
 512 *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12:144–50.
- 513 43. Blaszczyk U, Duda-Chodak A. Magnesium: its role in nutrition and carcinogenesis.
 514 Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig 2013;64:165–71.
- 515 44. Zhang LR, Sawka AM, Adams L, Hatfield N, Hung RJ. Vitamin and mineral 516 supplements and thyroid cancer : a systematic review. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2013;22:158–68.
- 517 45. Lee IM, Cook NR, Manson JE, Buring JE, Hennekens CH. Beta-carotene
 518 supplementation and incidence of cancer and cardiovascular disease: the Women's Health
 519 Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:2102–6.
- 520 46. Cook NR, Le IM, Manson JE, Buring JE, Hennekens CH. Effects of beta-carotene
 521 supplementation on cancer incidence by baseline characteristics in the Physicians' Health
 522 Study (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 2000;11:617–26.
- 523 47. O'Grady TJ, Kitahara CM, DiRienzo AG, Gates MA. The association between 524 selenium and other micronutrients and thyroid cancer incidence in the NIHAARP diet and 525 health study. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e110886.
- 526 48. Lerro CC, Koutros S, Andreotti G, Friesen MC, Alavanja MC, Blair A, Hoppin JA,
- 527 Sandler DP, Lubin JH, Ma X, Zhang Y, Beane Freeman LE. Organophosphate insecticide use

- and cancer incidence among spouses of pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study. *Occup Environ Med* 2015;72:736–44.
- 49. Hord NG, Tang Y, Bryan NS. Food sources of nitrates and nitrites: the physiologic
 contact for potential health benefits. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;90:1–10.
- 532 50. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Ingested
- Nitrate and Nitrite, and Cyanobacterial Peptide Toxins IARC *Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum* 2010;94:1-412.
- 535 51. Kilfoy BA, Zhang Y, Park Y, Holford TR, Schatzkin A, Hollenbeck A, Ward MH.
 536 Dietary nitrate and nitrite and the risk of thyroid cancer in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health
 537 Study. *Int J Cancer* 2011;129:160–72.
- 538 52. Warda MH, Kilfoy BA, Weyer PJ, Anderson KE, Folsom AR, Cerhan JR. Nitrate 539 Intake and the Risk of Thyroid Cancer and Thyroid Disease. *Epidemiology* 2010;21:389–95.
- 540 53. Steinmetz KA, Potter JD. Vegetables, fruit, and cancer. II. Mechanisms. *Cancer*541 *Causes Control* 1991;2:427–42.

542

	Vegetables and fruits (g/d)								
Characteristics	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4					
Cutoffs (mg/d)	<250	250-391	392-576	>576					
Sex, male (%)	42	29.6	23.3	24.6					
Mean age (SD, y)	50.3 (9.7)	51.2 (9.8)	51.7 (9.9)	51.7 (10.3)					
Mean BMI (SD, kg/m ²)	25.4 (4.1)	25.2 (4.1)	25.2 (4.3)	25.9 (4.6)					
Mean total energy (SD, kcal/d)	1924 (609)	2024 (596)	2096 (596)	2257 (628)					
Mean alcohol (SD, g/d)	13.9 (19.9)	12.3 (16.8)	11.3 (15.6)	10.4 (15.6)					
Smoking status (%) ^a									
Never	39.1	47.3	53.4	56.1					
Former	26.9	28.2	26.7	24.9					
Current	32.6	22.7	17.8	16.4					
Education (%) ^a									
Primary	30.4	27	28.3	34.3					
Secondary	67.9	69.9	67.3	60.8					
Physical activity (%) ^a									
Inactive	51	51.3	55	58.4					
Active	46.1	46.4	43.5	41					
Diabetes at baseline (%) ^a									
No	90.9	88.5	87.9	89.5					
Yes	2.1	2.1	2.1	3.1					
Unknown	7.2	9.2	9.1	7.1					
Menopausal status (%) ^{a,b}									
Premenopausal	38.3	34.7	33.2	33.9					
Postmenopausal	39.2	42.7	44.5	45.4					
Perimenopausal	20.6	20.1	19.3	17					
Surgical menopause	2	2.6	3	3.8					
Oral contraceptive use (%) ^{a,b}									
No	31.6	35.7	41.4	50.2					
Yes	63.6	61.6	56.7	48.5					
Unknown	4.8	2.7	1.9	1.3					
Infertility problems (%) ^{a,b}									
No	44.5	56.5	69.1	79.3					
Yes	1.5	2.6	3.7	4.3					
Unknown	54	40.9	27.2	16.4					

Supplementary table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants in the EPIC study according to quartiles of combined intake of vegetables and fruits

Abbreviations: BMI Body Mass Index; Q Quartile; SD Standard Deviation ^aMissing values: Smoking status 9,676 (2.03%), Education 16,929 (3.56%), Physical activity 8,824 (1.85%), Oral contraceptive use 8,427 (1.77%), Infertility problems 111,162 (23.35%), Diabetes at baseline 38,970 (8.19%) ^b% only in women (n=333,876)

Supplementary table 2. Modelling strategy to estimate hazard ratios and 95%										
confidence intervals for differentiated thyroid cancer according to quartile of										
intake of vegetables, fruits and fruit juices in the EPIC study										

				Differentiat	ed thyroid carcinon	na	
	Intake (g/d)	No of	Model A1 ^a	Model A2 ^b	Model B1 ^c	Model B2 ^d	Model B3 ³
	(8)	cases	HR (95% CI)				
Total vegetables a	nd fruits						
Quartile 1	<250	137	1 (ref)				
Quartile 2	250- 391	163	0.93 (0.73-1.17)	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	0.91 (0.72-1.16)	0.90 (0.71-1.15)
Quartile 3	392- 576	226	1.03 (0.81-1.30)	1.02 (0.81-1.30)	1.03 (0.81-1.31)	1.00 (0.78-1.27)	0.98 (0.77-1.25)
Quartile 4	>576	222	0.93 (0.73-1.20)	0.93 (0.72-1.19)	0.94 (0.73-1.22)	0.89 (0.68-1.15)	0.87 (0.67-1.13)
P-trend			0.69	0.64	0.78	0.44	0.38
Observed conti	nuous (per	100g/d)	1.01 (0.97-1.04)	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	1.01 (0.98-0.99)	0.99 (0.97-1.03)	1.00 (0.97-1.03)
Calibrated cont	inous (per	100g/d)	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	1.03 (0.95-1.11)	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	1.09 (0.93-1.09)
Vegetables							
Quartile 1	<110	160	1 (ref)				
Quartile 2	110- 175	164	0.87 (0.69-1.09)	0.87 (0.69-1.09)	0.87 (0.70-1.09)	0.87 (0.69-1.08)	0.87 (0.69-1.09)
Quartile 3	176- 276	204	0.93 (0.74-1.17)	0.93 (0.74-1.17)	0.94 (0.75-1.19)	0.93 (0.74-1.17)	0.93 (0.73-1.17)
Quartile 4	>276	220	0.91 (0.71-1.16)	0.90 (0.70-1.15)	0.92 (0.71-1.18)	0.89 (0.69-1.14)	0.87 (0.67-1.13)
P-trend			0.69	0.62	0.77	0.56	0.47
Observed conti	nuous (per	100g/d)	0.99 (0.99-1.00)	0.98 (0.93-1.05)	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	0.97 (0.91-1.04)
Calibrated cont	inous (per	100g/d)	1.02 (0.84-1.24)	1.01 (0.83-1.23)	1.03 (0.84-1.25)	0.99 (0.81-1.21)	0.97 (0.79-1.19)
Fruits							
Quartile 1	<106	149	1 (ref)				
Quartile 2	106- 194	162	0.91 (0.73-1.15)	0.91 (0.72-1.15)	0.92 (0.73-1.15)	0.90 (0.71-1.13)	0.90 (0.71-1.13)
Quartile 3	195- 314	194	0.90 (0.72-1.13)	0.90 (0.72-1.13)	0.91 (0.73-1.14)	0.88 (0.70-1.10)	0.88 (0.70-1.11)
Quartile 4	>314	243	1.04 (0.83-1.31)	1.04 (0.83-1.31)	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	1.00 (0.79-1.26)	1.01 (0.79-1.28)
P-trend			0.43	0.43	0.36	0.64	0.59
Observed conti	nuous (per	100g/d)	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	1.02 (0.98-1.06)	1.00 (0.97-1.05)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)
Calibrated cont	inous (per	100g/d)	1.04 (0.95-1.13)	1.04 (0.95-1.13)	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	1.02 (0.93-1.12)	1.02 (0.93-1.12)
Fruit juices							
Quartile 1	<1	205	1(Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1(Ref)	1 (ref)
Quartile 2	1-20	151	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	1.03 (0.82-1.30)	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	1.03 (0.82-1.30)
Quartile 3	21-94	207	1.14 (0.93-1.41)	1.16 (0.94-1.43)	1.15 (0.93-1.42)	1.16 (0.94-1.43)	1.17 (0.95-1.44)
Quartile 4	>94	185	1.19 (0.96-1.48)	1.22 (0.98-1.52)	1.21 (0.97-1.50)	1.23 (0.98-1.53)	1.24 (0.99-1.54)
P-trend			0.10	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.05
Observed conti	nuous (per	100g/d)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.02 (0.99-1.06)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.02 (0.99-1.06)
Calibrated cont	inous (per	100g/d)	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	0.99 (0.92-1.08)	0.99 (0.92-1.08)	0.99 (0.92-1.08)	0.99 (0.92-1.08)

Abbreviations: HR Hazard Ratio; CI confidence interval; TC thyroid carcinoma ^aModel A1: Cox model stratified by centre, age at baseline and sex

^bModel A2: Model 1 additionally adjusted for BMI, smoking status, education, physical activity, menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, infertility problems ^cModel B1 Cox model stratified by centre, age at baseline and sex, and adjusted for total energy intake

^dModel B2: Model B1 additionally adjusted for BMI, smoking status, education, physical activity, menopause status and type, oral contraceptive use, infertility problems, and alcohol intake

^eModel B3: Model B2 additionally adjusted for vegetables, fruits and/or fruit juices, accordingly.

			Overall diffe	erentiated TC		Papillary TC				Follic	ılarTC		
		No of	Model A1 ^a	Model B2 ^b	P-	No of	Model A1 ^a	Model B2 ^b	P-	No of	Model A1 ^a	Model B2 ^b	P-
	Intake	cases	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	value	cases	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	value	cases	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	value
Physically inact	ive (n=256,627))											
Quartile 1	< 0.3	127	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		104	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		18	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2	0.3-16.4	92	1.05 (0.79-1.41)	1.05 (0.79-1.41)		71	1.01 (0.73-1.41)	1.01 (0.73-1.40)		16	1.23 (0.59-2.55)	1.27 (0.61-2.63)	
Quartile 3	16.5-78.6	117	1.17 (0.89-1.53)	1.17 (0.90-1.54)		94	1.16 (0.86-1.57)	1.16 (0.86-1.56)		17	1.30 (0.63-2.67)	1.39 (0.67-2.87)	
Quartile 4	>78.6	126	1.30 (0.99-1.71)	1.31 (0.99-1.72)		94	1.24 (0.91-1.68)	1.23 (0.90-1.67)		17	1.02 (0.48-2.18)	1.12 (0.51-2.42)	
P-trend			0.05	0.05			0.15	0.17			0.75	0.93	
Observed c	ontinuous (per	50g/d)	1.04 (1.00-1.08)	1.04 (1.00-1.08)	0.19 ^c		1.05 (1.00-1.09)	1.04 (1.00-1.09)	0.20 ^c		0.98 (0.87-1.10)	0.98 (0.87-1.10)	0.15 ^c
Calibrated	Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) 1.03 (0.94-1.14)		1.03 (0.94-1.13)	0.20 ^c		1.06 (0.95-1.18)	1.06 (0.95-1.18)	0.14 ^c		0.95 (0.76-1.20)	0.95 (0.76-1.19)	0.58°	
Physically active	e (n=210,657)												
Quartile 1	<1.7	75	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		64	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		7	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2	1.7-28.6	66	0.98 (0.69-1.40)	0.98 (0.69-1.40)		57	1.00 (0.68-1.47)	0.99 (0.67-1.48)		9	1.45 (0.51-4.08)	1.52 (0.54-4.32)	
Quartile 3	28.7-100.1	76	1.07 (0.77-1.50)	1.08 (0.77-1.51)		63	1.04 (0.72-1.50)	0.78 (0.50-1.20)		10	1.58 (0.58-4.28)	1.64 (0.60-4.48)	
Quartile 4	>100.1	65	0.97 (0.69-1.39)	1.00 (0.70-1.42)		51	0.90 (0.61-1.32)	0.69 (0.45-1.08)		14	2.39 (0.91-6.28)	2.63 (0.98-7.02)	
P-trend			0.91	0.99			0.54	0.63			0.08	0.06	
Observed c	ontinuous (per	50g/d)	0.99 (0.94-1.05)	1.00 (0.94-1.06)			0.98 (0.91-1.05)	0.98 (0.91-1.05)			1.05 (0.96-1.15)	1.07 (0.97-1.19)	
Calibrated	continuous (per	50g/d)	0.93 (0.80-1.08)	0.93 (0.80-1.08)			0.92 (0.77-1.10)	0.92 (0.77-1.09)			1.01 (0.80-1.27)	1.03 (0.81-1.31)	
BMI<25 (n=246,	,028)												
Quartile 1	<1.2	108	1(ref)	1(ref)		93	1(ref)	1(ref)		8	1(ref)	1(ref)	
Quartile 2	1.2-27.6	78	0.92 (0.68-1.25)	0.93 (0.68-1.26)		63	0.86 (0.61-1.20)	0.87 (0.62-1.21)		14	1.98 (0.80-4.87)	1.95 (0.79-4.80)	
Quartile 3	27.7-100.1	95	1.11 (0.84-1.48)	1.13 (0.85-1.51)		81	1.11 (0.82-1.52)	1.14 (0.83-1.55)		11	1.48 (0.58-3.77)	1.46 (0.57-3.73)	
Quartile 4	>100.1	115	1.39 (1.05-1.84)	1.43 (1.08-1.89)		89	1.29 (0.95-1.76)	1.33 (0.98-1.82)		20	2.61 (1.09-6.23)	2.64 (1.09-6.38)	

Supplementary table 3. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for overall, papillary and follicular thyroid cancer risk according to quartiles of intake of juices in separate analyses by physical activity status and categories of BMI in the EPIC study

P-trend			0.004	0.002			0.02	0.02			0.06	0.06	
Observed co	ntinuous (per 5	0g/d)	1.04 (1.00-1.09)	1.05 (1.00-1.09)	0.05 ^d		1.04 (1.00-1.08)	1.05 (1.00-1.10)	0.05^{d}		1.05 (0.95-1.15)	1.04 (0.95-1.15)	0.20^{d}
Calibrated co	ontinuous (per s	50g/d)	1.00 (0.88-1.13)	1.00 (0.88-1.13)	0.87 ^d		0.99 (0.85-1.15)	0.99 (0.85-1.16)	0.78^{d}		1.05 (0.85-1.30)	1.04 (0.84-1.29)	0.46 ^d
l≥ 25 (n=230,0)79)												
Quartile 1	< 0.57	98	1(ref)	1(ref)		79	1(ref)	1(ref)		17	1(ref)	1(ref)	
Quartile 2	0.57-15.8	76	1.09 (0.78-1.52)	1.09 (0.78-1.52)		58	1.05 (0.72-1.54)	1.05 (0.72-1.54)		14	1.20 (0.55-2.61)	1.23 (0.56-2.68)	
Quartile 3	15.9-75.91	97	1.25 (0.91-1.71)	1.26 (0.91-1.73)		77	1.22 (0.86-1.74)	1.21 (0.85-1.73)		12	1.16 (0.52-2.61)	1.26 (0.56-2.86)	
Quartile 4	>75.91	81	1.04 (0.75-1.46)	1.06 (0.75-1.48)		61	0.98 (0.67-1.43)	0.96 (0.66-1.41)		13	0.98 (0.42-2.25)	1.10 (0.48-2.56)	
P-trend			0.93	0.99			0.71	0.64			0.76	0.98	
Observed co	ntinuous (per 5	0g/d)	0.99 (0.94-1.05)	0.99 (0.94-1.05)			0.99 (0.94-1.06)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)			0.98 (0.85-1.12)	0.99 (0.87-1.14)	
Calibrated co	ontinuous (per 5	50g/d)	0.99 (0.90-1.10)	0.99 (0.89-1.10)			1.02 (0.91-1.14)	1.01 (0.90-1.13)			0.91 (0.69-1.20)	0.93 (0.71-1.22)	
	P-trend Observed co Calibrated co [≥25 (n=230,0 Quartile 1 Quartile 2 Quartile 3 Quartile 4 P-trend Observed co Calibrated co	P-trend Observed continuous (per 5) Calibrated continuous (per 5) [≥25 (n=230,079) Quartile 1 <0.57 Quartile 2 0.57-15.8 Quartile 3 15.9-75.91 Quartile 4 >75.91 P-trend Observed continuous (per 5) Calibrated continuous (per 5)	P-trend Observed continuous (per 50g/d) Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) ≥25 (n=230,079) Quartile 1 <0.57 98 Quartile 2 0.57-15.8 76 Quartile 3 15.9-75.91 97 Quartile 4 >75.91 81 P-trend Observed continuous (per 50g/d) Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d)	P-trend 0.004 Observed continuous (per 50g/d) 1.04 (1.00-1.09) Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) ≥ 25 (n=230,079) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) Quartile 1 <0.57	P-trend 0.004 0.002 Observed continuous (per 50g/d) 1.04 (1.00-1.09) 1.05 (1.00-1.09) Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) ≥ 25 (n=230,079) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) Quartile 1 <0.57 98 1 (ref) Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 1.09 (0.78-1.52) Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 1.25 (0.91-1.71) 1.26 (0.91-1.73) Quartile 4 >75.91 81 1.04 (0.75-1.46) 1.06 (0.75-1.48) P-trend 0.93 0.99 Observed continuous (per 50g/d) 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.05) Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) 0.99 (0.90-1.10) 0.99 (0.89-1.10)	P-trend 0.004 0.002 Observed continuous (per 50g/d) $1.04 (1.00-1.09)$ $1.05 (1.00-1.09)$ 0.05^d Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) $1.00 (0.88-1.13)$ $1.00 (0.88-1.13)$ 0.87^d $\ge 25 (n=230,079)$ $1.00 (0.78-1.52)$ $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ 0.87^d Quartile 1 <0.57 98 $1(ref)$ $1(ref)$ Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 $1.25 (0.91-1.71)$ $1.26 (0.91-1.73)$ Quartile 4 >75.91 81 $1.04 (0.75-1.46)$ $1.06 (0.75-1.48)$ P-trend 0.93 0.99 0.99 $0.99 (0.94-1.05)$ Calibrated continuous (per $50g/d$) $0.99 (0.90-1.10)$ $0.99 (0.89-1.10)$	P-trend 0.004 0.002 Observed continuous (per 50g/d) $1.04 (1.00-1.09)$ $1.05 (1.00-1.09)$ 0.05^d Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) $1.00 (0.88-1.13)$ $1.00 (0.88-1.13)$ 0.87^d $\ge 25 (n=230,079)$ $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ 79 Quartile 1 <0.57 98 $1(ref)$ $1(ref)$ 79 Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ $1.09 (0.78-1.52)$ 58 Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 $1.25 (0.91-1.71)$ $1.26 (0.91-1.73)$ 77 Quartile 4 >75.91 81 $1.04 (0.75-1.46)$ $1.06 (0.75-1.48)$ 61 P-trend 0.93 0.99 $0.99 (0.94-1.05)$ $0.99 (0.94-1.05)$ $Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d)0.99 (0.90-1.10)0.99 (0.89-1.10)$	P-trend 0.004 0.002 0.02 Observed continuous (per 50g/d) 1.04 (1.00-1.09) 1.05 (1.00-1.09) 0.05^d 1.04 (1.00-1.08)Calibrated continuous (per 50g/d) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 0.87^d 0.99 (0.85-1.15) ≥ 25 (n=230,079) 1.00 (0.78-1.52) 1.00 (0.78-1.52) 79 $1(ref)$ Quartile 1 <0.57 98 $1(ref)$ $1(ref)$ 79 $1(ref)$ Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 58 1.05 (0.72-1.54)Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 1.25 (0.91-1.71) 1.26 (0.91-1.73) 77 1.22 (0.86-1.74)Quartile 4 >75.91 81 1.04 (0.75-1.46) 1.06 (0.75-1.48) 61 0.98 (0.67-1.43)P-trend 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.06) 0.99 (0.94-1.06)Calibrated continuous (per 50 g/d) 0.99 (0.90-1.10) 0.99 (0.89-1.10) 1.02 (0.91-1.14)	P-trend 0.004 0.002 0.02 0.02 Observed \Box invous (per \Box) 1.04 (1.00-1.09) 1.05 (1.00-1.09) 0.05^d 1.04 (1.00-1.08) 1.05 (1.00-1.10)Calibrated \Box invous (per \Box) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 0.87^d 0.99 (0.85-1.15) 0.99 (0.85-1.16) \Box 255 (n=230, \Box) \Box 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 0.87^d 0.99 (0.85-1.16) 0.99 (0.85-1.16)Quartile 1 <0.57 98 1 (ref) 79 1 (ref) 1 (ref)Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 58 1.05 (0.72-1.54) 1.05 (0.72-1.54)Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 1.25 (0.91-1.71) 1.26 (0.91-1.73) 77 1.22 (0.86-1.74) 1.21 (0.85-1.73)Quartile 4 >75.91 81 1.04 (0.75-1.46) 0.99 61 0.98 (0.67-1.43) 0.96 (0.66-1.41)P-trend 0.93 0.99 0.71 0.64 Observed \Box invous (per \Box) 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.91-1.04) 0.99 (0.91-1.01)Calibrated \Box invous (per \Box) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 1.02 (0.91-1.01) 1.01 (0.90-1.13)	P-trend 0.004 0.002 0.02 0.02 Observed contracts (per 50/s) 1.04 (1.00-1.09) 1.05 (1.00-1.09) 0.05^d 1.04 (1.00-1.08) 1.05 (1.00-1.10) 0.05^d Calibrated contracts (per 50/s) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 0.87^d 0.99 (0.85-1.15) 0.99 (0.85-1.16) 0.78^d Quartile 1 <0.57 98 1 (ref) 1 (ref) 79 1 (ref) 1 (ref)Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 58 1.05 (0.72-1.54) 1.05 (0.72-1.54)Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 1.25 (0.91-1.71) 1.26 (0.91-1.73) 77 1.22 (0.86-1.74) 1.21 (0.85-1.73)Quartile 4 >75.91 81 1.04 (0.75-1.46) 1.06 (0.75-1.48) 61 0.98 (0.67-1.43) 0.96 (0.66-1.41)P-trend 0.93 0.99 0.91 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.06) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01)Observed contracts (per 50/s) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.89-1.00) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01)	P-trend 0.004 0.002 0.02 0.02 Observed \odot invos (per 5U) 1.04 (1.00-1.09) 1.05 (1.00-1.09) 0.05^d 1.04 (1.00-1.08) 1.05 (1.00-1.10) 0.05^d Calibrated \Box invos (per 5U) 1.00 (0.88-1.13) 0.07^d 0.99 (0.85-1.16) 0.99 (0.85-1.16) 0.78^d 225 (n=230, U) U U U U U U U U Quartile 1 <0.57 98 1 (ref) 1 (ref) 79 1 (ref) 1 (ref) 17 Quartile 2 $0.57-15.8$ 76 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 1.09 (0.78-1.52) 58 1.05 (0.72-1.54) 1.05 (0.72-1.54) 12 Quartile 3 $15.9-75.91$ 97 1.25 (0.91-1.71) 1.26 (0.91-1.73) 77 1.22 (0.86-1.74) 1.21 (0.85-1.73) 12 Quartile 4 >75.91 81 1.04 (0.75-1.46) 1.06 (0.75-1.48) 61 0.98 (0.67-1.43) 0.96 (0.66-1.41) 13 P-trend U 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.05) 0.99 (0.94-1.06) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01)Observed \Box invo (per 5U) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.89-1.10) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01) 0.99 (0.91-1.01)	P-trend 0.004 0.002 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.06 Observed $\Box = U = U = U = U = U = U = U = U = U = $	P-trend 0.004 0.002 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.06 0.06 Observed $\cup I = V \cup V$ 1.04 (1.00-1.09 1.05 (1.00-1.00 0.05^d 1.04 (1.00-1.08 1.05 (1.00-1.10) 0.05^d 1.05 (0.95-1.15) 1.04 (0.95-1.15) Calibrated $\smile I = V \cup V$ $I.00$ (0.88-1.13) 0.07^d 0.99 (0.85-1.16) 0.78^d 1.05 (0.95-1.15) 1.04 (0.94-1.29) $\triangleright 25$ ($n=230$, $\lor V$ V

Abbreviations: BMI Body mass Index; HR Hazard Ratio; CI confidence interval; TC thyroid carcinoma

^aModel A1: Cox model stratified by centre, age at baseline and sex

^bModel B2: Cox model stratified by centre, age at baseline and sex, and adjusted for BMI, smoking status, education level, physical activity, total energy and alcohol intake. In women, also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems.

^cp-heterogeneity for physically inactive vs. physically active using the Wald test

^dp-heterogeneity for participants with a BMI<25 vs. participants with a BMI≥25 using the Wald test

Country	All	Person-	Differentiated thyroid carcinoma cases				Total vegetables and fruits (g/d)	Vegetables (g/d)	Fruits (g/d)	Fruit juices (g/d)
-		years	Overall	Papillary	Follicular	NOS	Median (25th-75th)	Median (25th-75th)	Median (25th-75th)	Median (25th-75th)
WOMEN										
France	67,391	869,292	248	227	19	2	510 (379-669)	264 (189-356)	234 (145-332)	18 (0-83)
Italy	30,511	434,977	106	81	16	9	483 (353-638)	162 (109-232)	306 (210-426)	8 (0-38)
Spain	24,846	398,818	74	62	11	1	523 (363-714)	216 (138-315)	279 (169-429)	1 (0-6)
United Kingdom	52,565	793,526	39	24	10	5	496 (359-671)	256 (186-347)	223 (138-338)	51 (9-120)
The Netherlands	26,910	384,225	13	10	3	0	325 (232-432)	126 (98-162)	187 (119-278)	56 (13-137)
Greece	15,229	168,454	28	22	1	5	753 (586-945)	412 (317-527)	332 (233-443)	24 (6-47)
Germany	27,373	284,894	67	47	18	2	254 (189-344)	117 (89-156)	118 (88-198)	114 (38-248)
Sweden	26,365	442,218	29	20	4	5	310 (206-434)	119 (70-184)	175 (109-265)	16 (0-89)
Denmark	28,714	432,361	26	18	8	0	358 (244-504)	172 (112-244)	170 (98-274)	9 (2-43)
Norway	33,972	452,152	36	31	4	1	268 (185-378)	126 (87-179)	130 (72-212)	43 (0-107)
TOTAL	333,876	4,660,917	666	542	94	30	415 (274-594)	186 (118-286)	210 (120-324)	21 (1-95)
MEN										
Italy	14,032	195,955	21	16	3	2	460 (332-620)	147 (99-211)	296 (195-423)	13 (0-38)
Spain	15,138	239,107	6	4	2	0	540 (361-751)	240 (150-353)	272 (145-435)	1 (0-5)
United Kingdom	22,850	329,232	5	5	0	0	411 (291-564)	223 (159-306)	172 (100-270)	17 (8-120)
The Netherlands	9,627	140,422	4	2	1	1	247 (176-348)	115 (88-148)	125 (66-224)	40 (7-108)
Greece	10,815	112,787	8	6	0	2	798 (631-992)	451 (356-565)	334 (233-450)	26 (7-61)
Germany	21,178	219,542	15	11	3	1	216 (160-293)	108 (81-142)	98 (65-160)	101 (32-224)
Sweden	22,301	358,848	10	5	3	2	214 (128-332)	82 (40-142)	119 (60,6-198)	13 (0-71)
Denmark	26,291	382,654	13	10	3	1	281 (185-402)	151 (97-216)	115 (54-192)	8 (2-43)
TOTAL	228,875	1,978,547	82	59	15	8	328 (203-523)	151 (94-248)	156 (82-280)	17 (1-77)

Table 1. Distribution of participants, thyroid cancer cases according to cancer type and intakes of vegetables, fruits and fruit juices by sex across the EPIC countries

ALL	476,108 6,639,464	748	601	109	38	391 (250-576)	175 (110-276)	194 (106-314)	20(1-94)
-----	-------------------	-----	-----	-----	----	---------------	---------------	---------------	----------

Abbreviations: NOS not otherwise specified

Table 1. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for overall,	papillary and follicular th	yroid cancer according	to quartile of intake
of vegetables, fruits and fruit juices in the EPIC study			

	Intolse		Overall diffe	erentiated TC		Papilla	ary TC		Follicu	ılar TC	P-value ^c
	intake (g/d)	No of	Model A1 ^a	Model B2 ^b	No of	Model A1 ^a	Model B2 ^b	No of	Model A1 ^a	Model B2 ^b	
	(g/u)	cases	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	cases	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	cases	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	
Total vegetables and	fruits										
Quartile 1	<250	137	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	100	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	29	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2	250-391	163	0.93 (0.73-1.17)	0.91 (0.72-1.16)	131	1.00 (0.76-1.31)	0.98 (0.74-1.28)	22	0.66 (0.37-1.17)	0.67 (0.38-1.20)	
Quartile 3	392-576	226	1.03 (0.81-1.30)	1.00 (0.78-1.27)	190	1.11 (0.85-1.45)	1.06 (0.81-1.40)	31	0.92 (0.52-1.62)	0.96 (0.54-1.71)	
Quartile 4	>576	222	0.93 (0.73-1.20)	0.89 (0.68-1.15)	180	0.98 (0.85-1.45)	0.91 (0.68-1.22)	27	0.83 (0.45-1.56)	0.84 (0.43-1.62)	
P-trend			0.69	0.44		0.77	0.43		0.87	0.90	
Observed con	tinuous (per 100	Og/d)	1.01 (0.97-1.04)	0.99 (0.97-1.03)		1.00 (0.97-1.04)	1.00 (0.96-1.03)		1.05 (0.96-1.13)	1.05 (0.97-1.15)	0.86
Calibrated cor	ntinuous (per 10	00g/d)	1.03 (0.96-1.11)	1.01 (0.94-1.09)		1.02 (0.94-1.11)	1.00 (0.91-1.09)		1.15 (0.96-1.37)	1.15 (0.95-1.39)	0.20
Vegetables											
Quartile 1	<110	160	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	122	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	26	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2	110-175	164	0.87 (0.69-1.09)	0.87 (0.69-1.08)	124	0.84 (0.65-1.09)	0.83 (0.64-1.08)	28	1.01 (0.58-1.75)	1.05 (0.60-1.83)	
Quartile 3	176-276	204	0.93 (0.74-1.17)	0.93 (0.74-1.17)	169	0.94 (0.73-1.22)	0.92 (0.71-1.20)	32	1.24 (0.71-2.19)	1.34 (0.75-2.39)	
Quartile 4	>276	220	0.91 (0.71-1.16)	0.89 (0.69-1.14)	186	0.92 (0.70-1.21)	0.88 (0.66-1.17)	23	1.04 (0.54-2.00)	1.12 (0.57-2.22)	
P-trend			0.69	0.56		0.89	0.66		0.87	0.71	
Observed con	tinuous (per 100	Og/d)	0.99 (0.99-1.00)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)		0.99 (0.92-1.05)	0.97 (0.91-1.04)		1.07 (0.91-1.26)	1.09 (0.92-1.29)	0.83
Calibrated cor	ntinuous (per 10	00g/d)	1.02 (0.84-1.24)	0.99 (0.81-1.21)		1.00 (0.80-1.25)	0.96 (0.77-1.20)		1.31 (0.81-2.10)	1.34 (0.94-2.16)	0.13
Fruits											
Quartile 1	<106	149	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	114	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	28	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2	106-194	162	0.91 (0.73-1.15)	0.90 (0.71-1.13)	133	0.97 (0.75-1.25)	0.95 (0.73-1.22)	23	0.74 (0.42-1.31)	0.74 (0.42-1.30)	
Quartile 3	195-314	194	0.90 (0.72-1.13)	0.88 (0.70-1.10)	157	0.94 (0.73-1.22)	0.91 (0.70-1.17)	24	0.69 (0.39-1.23)	0.70 (0.39-1.25)	
Quartile 4	>314	243	1.04 (0.83-1.31)	1.00 (0.79-1.26)	197	1.08 (0.84-1.39)	1.02 (0.79-1.33)	34	1.01 (0.58-1.78)	0.99 (0.55-1.78)	
P-trend			0.43	0.64		0.41	0.68		0.70	0.73	
Observed con	tinuous (per 100	Og/d)	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	1.00 (0.97-1.05)		1.02 (0.97-1.06)	1.01 (0.96-1.05)		1.05 (0.94-1.17)	1.05 (0.94-1.18)	0.88
Calibrated cor	ntinuous (per 10	00g/d)	1.04 (0.95-1.13)	1.02 (0.93-1.12)		1.03 (0.93-1.13)	1.00 (0.91-1.11)		1.15 (0.92-1.42)	1.15 (0.91-1.43)	0.28
Fruit juices											
Quartile 1	<1	205	1(Ref)	1(Ref)	172	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	24	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	
Quartile 2	1-20	151	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	121	1.38 (0.75-2.54)	1.40 (0.76-2.57)	25	1.01 (0.78-1.30)	1.00 (0.78-1.30)	
Quartile 3	21-94	207	1.14 (0.93-1.41)	1.16 (0.94-1.43)	168	1.53 (0.85-2.74)	1.59 (0.88-2.86)	29	1.10 (0.88-1.39)	1.11 (0.88-1.40)	
Quartile 4	>94	185	1.19 (0.96-1.48)	1.23 (0.98-1.53)	140	1.57 (0.87-2.85)	1.69 (0.92-3.08)	31	1.10 (0.87-1.41)	1.12 (0.88-1.43)	
P-trend			0.10	0.06		0.28	0.19		0.41	0.35	
Observed con	tinuous (per 50g	g/d)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.02 (0.99-1.06)		1.02 (0.94-1.10)	1.02 (0.94-1.11)		1.02 (0.98-1.06)	1.02 (0.98-1.06)	0.37
Calibrated cor	tinuous (per 50)g/d)	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	0.99 (0.92-1.08)		1.01 (0.92-1.10)	1.01 (0.92-1.10)		0.98 (0.82-1.16)	0.98 (0.82-1.16)	0.65

Abbreviations: HR Hazard Ratio; CI confidence interval; TC thyroid carcinoma

^aModel A1: Cox model stratified by centre, age at baseline and sex,

^bModel B2: Model A1 additionally adjusted for body mass index, smoking status, education level, physical activity, total energy and alcohol intake. In women, also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems.

^cP for heterogeneity using the Wald test.

Table 1. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for overall, papillary and follicular thyroid cancer according to continuous variable of intake of subgroups of vegetables and fruits in the EPIC study

	Overall diffe (748	rentiated TC cases)	Papilla (601 c	nry TC cases)	Follicu (109	P-heterogeneity ^d		
	Observed ^b Calibrated ^c		Observed ^b Calibrated ^c		Observed ^b	Calibrated ^c		
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	Observed	Calibrated
Vegetables								
Leafy vegetables (per 50g/d) ^a	0.98 (0.88-1.09)	1.01 (0.69-1.47)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)	0.94 (0.62-1.43)	1.15 (0.86-1.53)	1.56 (0.56-4.34)	0.25	0.34
Fruiting vegetables (per 50g/d)	1.02 (0.96-1.09)	1.00 (0.84-1.20)	1.03 (0.96-1.10)	1.01 (0.83-1.24)	1.06 (0.89-1.27)	1.13 (0.72-1.79)	0.27	0.55
Root vegetables (per 50g/d)	1.04 (0.90-1.18)	1.16 (0.84-1.58)	1.06 (0.91-1.23)	1.24 (0.88-1.74)	1.04 (0.73-1.47)	1.11 (0.52-2.37)	0.62	0.7
Cabbages (per 50g/d)	1.02 (0.88-1.19)	1.17 (0.70-1.96)	1.09 (0.92-1.28)	1.34 (0.75-2.40)	0.81 (0.51-1.28)	0.99 (0.28-3.57)	0.64	0.52
Mushrooms (per 10g/d)	1.03 (0.93-1.14)	1.02 (0.67-1.56)	1.06 (0.95-1.17)	1.03 (0.63-1.68)	0.78 (0.53-1.14)	0.82 (0.25-2.67)	0.24	0.45
Grain and pod vegetables (per 10g/d)	1.02 (0.95-1.09)	1.04 (0.80-1.36)	1.04 (0.97-1.12)	1.15 (0.86-1.53)	1.04 (0.86-1.24)	0.83 (0.40-1.74)	0.3	0.22
Onion/garlic (per 10g/d)	1.00 (0.93-1.09)	0.97 (0.78-1.21)	1.01 (0.92-1.11)	0.97 (0.76-1.25)	1.02 (0.84-1.23)	0.97 (0.55-1.72)	0.49	1.00
Sprouts/stalk vegetables (per 10g/d)	0.96 (0.90-1.03)	0.91 (0.75-1.09)	1.00 (0.93-1.07)	1.01 (0.82-1.24)	0.72 (0.56-0.94) ^e	0.56 (0.34-0.91) ^e	0.03	< 0.001
Fruits								
Citrus fruits (per 50g/d)	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	1.02 (0.89-1.15)	0.97 (0.91-1.05)	1.00 (0.87-1.15)	1.11 (0.96-1.29)	1.26 (0.95-1.68)	0.54	0.17
Apples and pears (per 50g/d)	0.98 (0.93-1.03)	0.96 (0.89-1.04)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	0.96 (0.88-1.05)	0.97 (0.85-1.11)	0.92 (0.76-1.12)	0.51	0.6
Berries (per 50g/d)	1.03 (0.87-1.21)	1.07 (0.81-1.41)	1.08 (0.91-1.29)	1.17 (0.87-1.58)	0.86 (0.52-1.42)	0.72 (0.30-1.74)	0.15	0.05
Stone fruits (per 50g/d)	1.04 (0.96-1.12)	1.10 (0.90-1.33)	1.01 (0.93-1.11)	1.05 (0.85-1.30)	1.25 (0.80-1.04)	1.40 (0.84-2.33)	0.81	0.34
Banana (per 50g/d)	0.94 (0.81-1.09)	0.82 (0.59-1.13)	0.97 (0.82-1.14)	0.91 (0.63-1.31)	0.90 (0.61-1.30)	0.64 (0.29-1.40)	0.73	0.12
Other fruits (per 50g/d)	1.06 (0.96-1.17)	1.05 (0.80-1.36)	1.07 (0.96-1.19)	1.11 (0.83-1.47)	0.98 (0.69-1.40)	0.74 (0.31-1.75)	0.18	0.10

Abbreviations: HR Hazard Ratio; CI confidence interval; TC thyroid carcinoma

^aexcluding Norway (n=33 972 participants)

^bModel B2: Cox model stratified by centre, age at baseline and sex, and adjusted for body mass index, smoking status, education level, physical activity, total energy and alcohol intake. In women, also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems.

^cModel B2 applied to calibrated variables ^dP for heterogeneity using the Wald test. ^eAfter applying the Bonferroni correction, the inverse association was no longer statistically significant.