Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Gardner, Charlie J. and Struebig, Matthew J. and Davies, Zoe G. (2020) Conservation must capitalise on climate's moment. Nature Communications, 11. p. 109. ISSN 2041-1723.

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13964-y

Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/79578/

Document Version

Publisher pdf

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html







COMMENT

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13964-y

OPEN

Conservation must capitalise on climate's moment

Charlie J. Gardner 1^{*}, Matthew J. Struebig ¹ & Zoe G. Davies ¹

The health of the natural environment has never been a greater concern, but attention to biodiversity loss is being eclipsed by the climate crisis. We argue that conservationists must seize the agenda to put biodiversity at the heart of climate policy.

The environment is finally having its moment. In 2018, Greta Thunberg started her School Strike for Climate¹, and Extinction Rebellion² launched an uprising against the UK government. Within a few months, both grew into mass civil movements and changed people's narratives on the environment. Indeed, public concern for the natural world has soared in many industrialised nations, and the environment has never had a higher profile in media or political discourse³. This attention is beginning to influence policy at all levels. National governments in Argentina, Canada and France (among others) have declared a climate emergency, and the United Kingdom has enshrined into law a deadline for reaching emissions net neutrality. The call to arms is also being embraced at smaller scales, with half the local authorities in the United Kingdom formally making climate emergency declarations.

However, the discourse is imbalanced. The environmental crisis has two interrelated components, climate breakdown and the loss of biodiversity, and each is as grave and important as the other. Beyond planetary heating, the recent *Global Assessment Report* of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) found that we also face the extinction of a million species over the coming decades⁴. Yet over the course of this century research funding, research outputs and, in particular, media coverage of climate issues have greatly outstripped those related to biodiversity⁵. In 2016, for example, climate stories featured eight times more than biodiversity ones across the media⁶. This disparity also extends to the political rhetoric, with many institutions declaring a climate emergency, but not a climate and biodiversity emergency.

The climate and biodiversity crises cannot be addressed in isolation, because intact ecosystems are indispensable to efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate change. 'Natural climate solutions', including the conservation, restoration and improved use of forests, wetlands and other ecosystems, can provide one-third of the cost-effective mitigation required to deliver the goals of the Paris Agreement⁷. However, at least in forests, this climate regulation service is dependent on the maintenance of intact animal communities⁸. Beyond mitigation, intact ecosystems also offer the most cost-effective defences against climate impacts and, therefore, will be critical to global adaptation efforts⁹.

How society chooses to respond to climate change from this point forward is critical. It is imperative that we avoid the continued degradation of ecosystems, which disrupts their functioning and undermines the contribution they make to climate mitigation and adaptation efforts. For example, we know that the installation of renewable energy infrastructure can be detrimental

¹Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NR, UK. *email: cg399@kent.ac.uk

for sensitive species and habitats¹⁰, while biofuel production triggers deforestation both directly and indirectly through displacement of food crops¹¹. Moreover, human adaptation to climate impacts, such as droughts and destructive storms, will increase pressures on ecosystems as people abandon failing livelihoods and turn to the safety net provided by natural resource exploitation⁹. This phenomenon is likely to be most severely felt in the tropics where biodiversity is concentrated, but where poverty and limited state capacity constrain adaptation options for human populations.

In the headlong rush to implement emergency climate actions, conservationists must ensure that biodiversity is placed at the heart of all future decision-making. Conservationists need to avert the potential threats associated with climate change mitigation and adaptation, and turn them into positive opportunities for biodiversity. One prime example is massive-scale reforestation, which is promoted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and numerous international initiatives¹², and is likely to become a major policy goal globally given that plants are the only effective 'negative emissions' technology. Nations such as Brazil, China and India have already made reforestation or forest 'restoration' commitments, although these often include plantation forestry using non-native species. However, the natural regeneration of forests is much more effective for carbon sequestration than plantations¹³, and will provide greater biodiversity benefits¹⁴. Critically, the potential afforestation of nonforest biomes, such as grasslands, risks negative impacts on both biodiversity and emissions, while the focus on forest establishment risks distracting from the more important task of effectively conserving existing carbon-rich ecosystems such as old-growth forests. Therefore, as the world embarks on a strategy of mass reforestation, it is essential that conservationists set the agenda to ensure forest policy is optimised synergistically towards dual climate and biodiversity goals.

Conservationists must reinforce efforts to engage with decisionmakers through existing channels, although this has failed to deliver the breadth of policy change needed thus far. We therefore have to make more concerted attempts to engage with those who are pushing the agenda and calling governments and businesses to account, including media, the public and, in particular, the new wave of popular civil movements. The viral growth of the latter, in turn, provides an important lesson in how such engagement can be achieved. The remarkable rise of Greta Thunberg and the youth movement she stimulated can be attributed not to the facts and figures she communicates, but rather to her emotive communication and the impassioned response she inspires. Indeed, experimental psychological research shows that instinctive, emotional thinking sways decision-making more than the deliberative, logical thinking favoured by scientists¹⁵. Greater scientific understanding does not necessarily lead to increased concern¹⁶, yet conservation communication strategies all too often remain centred on the transmission of knowledge. Embedding biodiversity issues into mainstream policy will require political will, and this is driven by the public and media. If we are to get the public to care about biodiversity and express their concerns overtly, as they are currently doing for the climate, we may need to become more emotive.

The recent upsurge in concern for the environment is driven largely by climate change, but it presents a unique, and perhaps final, chance to mainstream the conservation of biodiversity. Conservationists must leverage climate's pre-eminence and capitalise on the opportunities it presents. If we do not, we may not only miss our best opportunity to avoid the extinction of a million species as IPBES warns, but also undermine our prospects for avoiding the worst of the accelerating climate breakdown. Received: 6 November 2019; Accepted: 11 December 2019; Published online: 14 January 2020

References

- Marris, E. Why young climate activists have captured the world's attention. *Nature* 573, 471–472 (2019).
- Yamin, F. Why I broke the law for climate change. Nature 573, 337–339 (2019).
- Gardner, C. J. & Wordley, C. F. R. Scientists must act on our own warnings to humanity. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 1271–1272 (2019).
- IPBES. Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services; Diaz, S. et al., Eds. (IPBES Secretariat, 2019).
- Veríssimo, D., MacMillan, D. C., Smith, R. J., Crees, J. & Davies, Z. G. Has climate change taken prominence over biodiversity conservation? *BioScience* 64, 625–629 (2014).
- Legagneux, P. et al. Our house is burning: discrepancy in climate change vs. biodiversity coverage in the media as compared to scientific literature. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 5, 175 (2018).
- Griscom, B. W. et al. Natural climate solutions. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 11645–11650 (2017).
- Gardner, C. J., Bicknell, J. E., Baldwin-Cantello, W., Struebig, M. J. & Davies, Z. G. Quantifying the impacts of defaunation on natural forest regeneration in a global meta-analysis. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4590 (2019).
- Martin, T. G. & Watson, J. E. M. Intact ecosystems provide best defence against climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 122–124 (2016).
- Gibson, L., Wilman, E. N. & Laurance, W. F. How green is 'green' energy? Trends Ecol. Evol. 32, 922–935 (2017).
- 11. Correa, D. F. et al. Towards the implementation of sustainable biofuel production systems. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* **107**, 250–263 (2019).
- 12. Bastin, J.-F. et al. The global tree restoration potential. *Science* **365**, 76–78 (2019).
- Lewis, S. L., Wheeler, C. E., Mitchard, E. T. A. & Koch, A. Regenerate natural forests to store carbon. *Nature* 568, 25–28 (2019).
- Barlow, J. et al. Quantifying the biodiversity value of tropical primary, secondary, and plantation forests. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 18555–18560 (2007).
- 15. Kahneman, D. Thinking, Fast and Slow. (Farrar, Straus & Giroux, 2011).
- Kahan, D. M. et al. The polarizing impact of science literacy and numeracy on perceived climate change risks. *Nat. Clim. Change* 2, 732–735 (2012).

Author contributions

C.J.G. conceived the paper; C.J.G., M.J.S. and Z.G.D. wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.J.G.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020