

# PESTICIDE USE ON FRUIT AND VEGETABLE CROPS IN OHIO



## PESTICIDE USE ON FRUIT AND VEGETABLE CROPS IN OHIO-1990

Prepared by

Ohio Pesticide Impact Assessment Program

Dr. Acie C. Waldron State PIAP Liaison, Coordinator Ohio Cooperative Extension Service The Ohio State University

David S. Etzkorn Technical Assistant Ohio Cooperative Extension Service The Ohio State University

Michael H. Wilhelm Technical Assistant Ohio Cooperative Extension Service The Ohio State University

# CONTENTS

#### Page

Summary	5
Introduction	7
Procedures	7
Results and Discussion	8
General	8
Herbicide Use on Fruit Crops	8
Insecticide Use on Fruit Crops	9
Fungicide Use on Fruit Crops	.9
Other Pesticide Use on Fruit Crops	.9
Herbicide Use on Vegetable Crops	.9
Insecticide Use on Vegetable Crops	10
Fungicide Use on Vegetable Crops	10
Other Pesticide Use on Vegetable Crops	10
Pesticide Application for Processed Tomatoes	10
Application of Pesticides	11
Major Pest Problems for Ohio Fruit and Vegetable Crops	11
Trends in Pesticide Use	12
Crop Acreages	12
Pest Problems	13
Pesticide Use on Fruit Crops	13
Pesticide Use on Vegetable Crops	14
General	15
Figures	17
Data Tables — Pesticide Use on Fruit and Vegetable Crops	20
Appendix I — 1990 Ohio Pesticide Use Survey for Fruits and Vegetables	71
Appendix II — Glossary of Some Common Pesticides and Fruit and Vegetable Pests	75

## FIGURES

1	Treated Acres Pesticides Applied to Fruit and Vegetable Crops in Ohio	17
2	Acre Treatments of Pesticides Applied to Fruit and Vegetable Crops in Ohio	18
3	Pounds Active Ingredient Applied to Fruit and Vegetable Crops in Ohio	19

## TABLES

# Page

1	Surveys Sent to Fruit and Vegetable Producers in Ohio—1990	20
2	Fruit Crop Acreages in Ohio—1990	21
3	Vegetable Crop Acreages in Ohio—1990	22
4	Percent of Fruit Acreage Treated by Category in Ohio-1990	23
5	Percent of Vegetable Acreage Treated by Category in Ohio-1990	23
6	Acreage of Fruit Crops Treated in Ohio by Category-1990	24
7	Acre Treatments of Fruit Crops Treated With Pesticides in Ohio by Category-1990	25
8	Quantity of Pesticides Used on Fruit Crops in Ohio by Category-1990	26
9	Acreage of Vegetable Crops Treated With Pesticides in Ohio by Category - 1990	27
10	Acre Treatments of Vegetable Crops Treated With Pesticides in Ohio by Category - 1990	28
11	Quantity of Pesticides Used on Vegetable Crops in Ohio by Category-1990	29
12	Acreage of Fruit Crops Treated With Specific Pesticides in Ohio-1990	30
13	Acre Treatments of Fruit Crops Treated With Specific Pesticides in Ohio-1990	33
14	Quantity of Specific Pesticides by Category Applied to Fruit Crops in Ohio-1990	36
15	Acreage of Vegetable Crops Treated With Specific Pesticides in Ohio-1990	39
16	Acre Treatments of Vegetable Crops Treated With Specific Pesticides in Ohio-1990	41
17	Quantities of Specific Pesticides Applied to Vegetable Crops in Ohio-1990	43
18	Pesticide Application for Processing Tomatoes in Ohio 1990	45
19	Treatment Acres and Percent of Pesticide Application by Various Techniques in Ohio-1990	46
20	Major Weed Problems on Tree Fruits for Which Growers Applied Herbicides in Ohio - 1990	50
21	Major Insect Problems on Tree Fruit for Which Growers Applied Insectides in Ohio-1990	51
22	Major Disease Problems on Tree Fruit for Which Growers Applied Fungicides in Ohio-1990	53
23	Major Other Problems on Tree Fruit for Which Growers Applied Other Pesticides in Ohio-1990	54
24	Major Weed Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Herbicides in Ohio—1990	55
25	Major Insect Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Insecticides in Ohio—1990	56
26	Major Disease Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruit for Which Growers Applied Fungicides in Ohio—1990	58
27	Major Other Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Other Pesticides in Ohio-1990	59
28	Major Weed Problems on Vegetables for Which Growers Applied Herbicides in Ohio-1990	60
29	Major Insect Problems on Vegetables for Which Growers Applied Insecticides in Ohio-1990	63
30	Major Disease Problems on Vegetables for Which Growers Applied Fungicides in Ohio-1990	67
31	Major Other Problems on Vegetables for Which Growers Applied Other Pesticides in Ohio-1990	70

#### PESTICIDE USE ON FRUIT AND VEGETABLE CROPS IN OHIO-1990

#### SUMMARY '

#### Herbicide in Fruit Crops

Approximately 29,362 pounds a.i. of herbicides were applied in 13,031 acre treatments for weed control in tree and small fruits with 53% of that acreage and 46% of the poundage applied in apple orchards. (Acre treatment is the acres of crop treated multiplied by the number of times that acreage was treated with the same pesticide during the year.) Glyphosate (8,261 pounds) and simazine (4,635 pounds) were the herbicides used in the greatest quantity, again with the majority (6,703 and 2,713 pounds) applied in apple orchards. Simazine and diuron were the most used herbicides in grape production, DCPA and napropamide for strawberries, cyanazine for blueberries, and dichlobenil for peaches.

#### Insecticides on Fruit Crops

Approximately 143,642 pounds a.i. of insecticides were applied in 104,696 acre treatments for insect and mite control in fruit crops in Ohio in 1990. Approximately 81% of that poundage was applied to apple acreage, 5.5% to peaches, 5.3% to grapes, 2.8% to strawberries, 2.0% to melons, and 1.5% to raspberries. Phosmet (38,726 pounds), petroleum oil (21,000), chlorpyrifos (9,725) and azinphosmethyl (9,541 pounds) were the insecticides used in the greatest quantity on apples. Carbaryl was used on grapes (3,842 pounds), apples (2,414), melons (1,502), peaches (1,188), blueberries (458), and lesser quantities on other fruits and totaled 9,814 pounds. Malathion was the major insecticide used on strawberries (1,896 pounds), raspberries (1,838), and pears (620 pounds) with additional uses on apples (2,872) peaches (1,313), grapes (219), blueberries (90), and brambles (53) totalling 8,901 pounds.

#### **Fungicides on Fruit Crops**

Approximately 279,410 pounds of fungicide active ingredient were applied in 138,830 acre treatments to fruit crops in Ohio during 1990. Forty-nine percent of that quantity (116,187 pounds) was used in apple production with captan (79,232 pounds) and sulfur (15,351 pounds) constituting the major usage reported. Dodine (6,948 pounds), thiram (5,825) and benomyl (3,181) were the next most common used on apples although combination of the copper formulations constituted 10,611 pounds or 7.8% of total fungicide use. Sulfur was the chemical used in the largest quantities for disease control in grapes, peaches and cherries with 58,244; 23,112; and 3,139 pounds, respectively. In excess of 8,434 pounds of ferbam, 5,624 pounds of mancozeb, 5,106 pounds of maneb, and 3,770 pounds of captan were used on grapes. Captan was used on strawberries to the extent of 5,868 pounds and on peaches at 3,991 pounds. Vinclozolin accounted for the largest quantity used in strawberries at 7,293 pounds for 1,082 acre treatments.

#### Herbicide in Vegetable Crops

Approximately 109,470 pounds a.i. of herbicides were applied for weed control in vegetable crops in 1990 with 31.7% of that applied for sweet corn, 25.9% for tomato production, 12.7% for cucumbers and 11.5% for potatoes. Approximately 11,860 pounds of metribuzin were used with 90.4% of that applied to tomatoes. Of the 10,669 pounds of trifluralin applied, 63.1% was used for tomatoes. In addition, all of the pebulate (8,841 pounds) was applied to tomato acreage. Alachlor (15,527 pounds) and atrazine (12,479 pounds) were the major herbicides used for sweet corn, metolachlor (6,805 pounds) and linuron (2,876 pounds) for potatoes, bensulide (9,795 pounds) and naptalam (4,086 pounds) for cucumbers and lesser amounts of several herbicides for these and other vegetables.

#### Insecticide on Vegetable Crops

Of the 169,310 pounds of insecticide a.i. used on vegetable crops in Ohio in 1990, 28.4% was used on potatoes, 19.2% on sweet corn, 9.7% on pumpkins, 8.5% on tomatoes, 6.6% on greens, 5.8% on peppers, 5.7% on cabbage, 5.2% on beans, 4.9% on cucumbers, and 2.4% on radishes with lesser amounts on other crops. Carbaryl was the insecticide used in the largest quantity (39,302 pounds) with 12,596 pounds used on sweet corn, 7,463 pounds on tomatoes, 6,473 pounds on beans, 5,441 pounds on pumpkins, 3,787 pounds on cucumbers, and lesser amounts on other vegetables. Third in total quantity of use was endosulfan (12,326 pounds) with 41.3% (5,089 pounds) used on tomatoes and 24.0% (2,957 pounds) used on potatoes with lesser amounts on other vegetable crops For potatoes the major uses were piperonyl butoxide (11,691 pounds), phorate (9,096 pounds), methamidophos (6,587 pounds), azinphos-methyl (6,112 pounds) and phosmet (2,476 pounds). Malathion's major use was on pumpkins-9,552 pounds. The major uses for parathion, the second insecticide in quantity, were on greens (5,259 pounds), peppers (5,247 pounds), and sweet corn (3,636 pounds) although both malathion and parathion were

used on several crops. The major uses for naled were on greens - 3,891 pounds and cabbage - 1,680 pounds. Approximately 4,330 pounds of esfenvalerate were used on potatoes, 567 pounds on sweet corn and 451 pounds on tomatoes. The second largest usage on sweet corn was attributed to thiodicarb with 4,869 pounds applied. A variety of insecticides were applied to most of the vegetable crops to control insect problems with the exception of carrots, onions, ornamental corn, parsley, peas, and turnips where very little, if any, insecticide use was reported.

#### Fungicides on Vegetable Crops

Approximately 67.1% of the fungicides applied to vegetable crops in Ohio in 1990 (372,098 pounds a.i.) were applied to tomatoes (249,834 pounds), 18.8% to potatoes (70,118 pounds) and 3.9% (14,667 pounds) to pumpkins. Chlorothalonil accounted for 63.8% of all fungicides applied to all vegetable crops with significant distribution of 210,892 pounds for tomatoes, 10,000 pounds for pumpkins, 5,337 pounds for carrots, 4,727 pounds for squash, 4,168 pounds for cucumbers, 1,022 pounds for sweet corn, 578 pounds for cauliflower, 523 pounds for cabbage and less than 100 pounds for any other individual vegetable crop. Almost 22,136 pounds of anilazine were used exclusively on tomatoes and 15,815 pounds of copper compounds. Almost 6,600 pounds of copper hydroxide were used on peppers and some additional was used on several other vegetables. The most prevalent use of fungicides on potatoes was 40,647 pounds of mancozeb, 22,892 pounds of maneb and 6,340 pounds of metalaxyl. Approximately 91.5% of all the EBDC fungicides used on vegetable crops was applied to potatoes.

#### **Pesticide Application**

Fruit and vegetable growers self-applied the vast majority of pesticide chemicals to their crops with ground equipment

and in dilute sprays. However, significant custom or commercial application occurred with some pesticides on strawberries and some vegetable crops. The most significant aerial application was noted for insecticides and fungicides on potatoes. The most common distribution of pesticides was by broadcast methods, although banding application was also common in some row crops.

#### **Pest Problems**

Prevalent pest problems remained essentially the same as from past years. The pesticides applied for pest control also remained relatively constant reflecting the chemicals and the application technology recommended by the Ohio Cooperative Extension Specialists for effective pest control in Ohio fruit and vegetable crops.

#### Trends in Pesticide Use

It is difficult to establish trends in pesticide use on fruits and vegetables because of the 7 year time span between 1983 and 1990. The most notable changes are likely due to federal regulations on cancellation or potential cancellation and restricted uses and classifications of several pesticides. The use of chlorothalonil was probably influenced by the circumstances surrounding the EBDC fungicide episode. Reduction in azinphos-methyl use was probably affected by its classification for "restricted use." The increase in sulfur and copper use are also likely a result of the EBDC review and cancellation activities. The major trend, other than that discussed above, was the significant increase in the quantities of fungicides and herbicides applied and the decrease in total quantity of insecticides.

#### PESTICIDE USE ON FRUIT AND VEGETABLE CROPS IN OHIO-1990

#### INTRODUCTION

Fruit and vegetable production in Ohio constitutes a minor percentage of the total crop acreage but a significant contribution to the total crop income. In 1990, the Ohio Agricultural Statistics Service (OASS)<sup>1</sup> reported 17,200 acres of fresh market vegetables planted which included 12,300 acres of sweet corn, 350 acres of celery, 500 acres of onions and 3,200 acres of tomatoes. Of that report acreage, 10,700 acres of sweet corn, 300 acres of celery, 500 acres of onions and 2,900 acres of tomatoes were harvested with a production value of \$26,562,000. Processing crops consisting of tomatoes, potatoes and cucumbers, planted at 17,500, 8.000 and 4.600 acres respectively, resulted in \$44.629.000. Apples, grapes, peaches and strawberries harvested from 9,500, 2,000, 1,000 and 1,100 acres, respectively, resulted in \$43,135,000 in sales. The OASS report does not include other fruit and vegetable crops involving approximately 2,600 and 24,074 acres, respectively, as estimated by crop specialists at The Ohio State University (OSU) relative to other crops reported in this survey. Sales from fruits and vegetables in 1990 amounted to approximately 4.2% or greater of the total cash receipts for major agricultural commodities in Ohio.

The production of fruits and vegetables in Ohio is dependent upon adequate pest control measures, many of which involve chemical pesticides. The continued use of effective pesticides requires current knowledge of the extent of use and the subsequent importance of retaining the registration. The current dilemma over the registration and/or reregistration of pesticides for minor crop use, affected by the 1988 Amendment to FIFRA, requiring the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to reregister all pesticide products by 1997, and the subsequent requirements of the manufacturers and formulators to supply the necessary data for such registration, which involves substantial cost of and time, is of extreme concern to producers of minor crops as well as the pesticide manufacturers. Loss of registration of many pesticide products, some which has already occurred, could place severe restrictions on the continued profitable production of some of these crops.

Pesticide use surveys were conducted for Ohio fresh market vegetable crops in 1977<sup>2</sup>, processing vegetable crops in 1979<sup>3</sup>, tree fruit crops in 1978<sup>4</sup> and fruits and vegetable crops in 1983.<sup>5</sup> It was proposed that pesticide use surveys be updated at regular intervals of 4-5 years, which would not

only indicate current usage, but also show changes and trends in pesticide use and would be supplemented with yearly input as needed. However, financial conditions and time constraints have caused some alteration in schedule. The current USDA-National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) program for conducting pesticide use surveys as well as the Federal Extension Service (FES) and EPA programs for establishing pesticide use data bases may cause further evaluation for the time and type of surveys conducted. Experience in past years has shown the usefulness of pesticide usage data to governmental agencies, agricultural industries, and university and extension service personnel. The complications associated with minor crop registrations initiated by the amendments in FIFRA-88 and the costs, etc., to chemical manufacturing companies to obtain or maintain such minor crop registrations indicates a more urgent need to obtain pesticide use data. Such data is critical in documenting and determining the need for retention of associated pesticide registrations. However, the continuation of the pesticide use survey program as organized in the past depends largely upon the results of the national programs indicated above.

#### PROCEDURES

A survey questionnaire was developed that could obtain pesticide use information from fruit and vegetable producers regardless of the crop or crops grown. (Appendix 1). The survey was mailed to 1959 producers selected from the growers lists of the Ohio Fruit Growers Association (OFGA) and the Ohio Vegetable and Potato Growers Association (OVPGA) in late December 1990 and early January 1991. Because of the response, an additional 193 surveys were mailed in February of 1991. Also, 687 of the nonrespondents from the first mailing were contacted the second time. The second contact was made after the Executive Secretary of the growers associations in the monthly newsletter and at the annual meeting urged recipients to respond. Thus a total of 2,152 growers were contacted on a somewhat random basis, except the population sample was weighted in order to get a greater number of responses from growers and counties with the larger and more intensive fruit and vegetable production. Even with such efforts the response was not overwhelming, but that reported for most crops resulted in a very significant percentage of the crop(s) acreage.

The data from the survey questionnaires were edited by personnel of the OCES-PIAP office and entered on the computer. The data on the computer was reviewed several times and cross-checked with survey returns, was revised as necessary and then printed in several formats for reporting.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### General

Approximately 37 percent of the survey questionnaires were returned, but the majority (520 of 785 returned) were not usable because of the addressee being deceased, not producing fruits and vegetables, no longer farming, bad addresses, etc. (Table 1). The 265 returns with usable data represented 12.31 percent of the sample population and 17.6 percent of the fruit and 17.2 percent vegetable production acreage. For individual crops the survey returns represented less than 1.0 to 100 percent of the acreage of 12 fruit crops and 26 vegetable crops with most of the larger acreage crops in the 8-43% range (see Tables 2 and 3). In some cases the crops may be designated in this report in a cluster grouping because of the small acreage or the similarity of product. Production acreages were those reported by the OASS in their annual report and estimates from crop specialists of the OSU agricultural research and extension facilities. In some cases the acreage estimated and acreage reported are relatively insignificant and may be that reported from a single grower or a relatively large number of growers. The significance of the data can be related to both the number that reported for an individual crop and the percentage of that total crop acreage reported regardless of the number of For instance, 2 persons responded with responses. information on carrots yet it constituted 17% of the crop, whereas 36 people responded with data for peaches which accounted for only 10% of the production acreage.

The estimated percentage of Ohio fruit and vegetable acreages treated for weed, insect, disease and other control are listed in Tables 4 and 5. An exact percentage for each crop is difficult to ascertain because of the manner in which the data was interpreted and entered in the computer. Data was entered each time the individual farm report indicated that a different herbicide, insecticide, fungicide or other chemical was used for some of that same farm crop acreage. Thus an acre being treated with more than one pesticide in the category would be counted for each individual pesticide and the total acreage for the crop treated under that category heading may in some cases exceed the planted acreage. This could account for 100% or more of that individual farm crop acreage being treated. However, this phenomena should not be confused with the acre treatments data which is defined in the next paragraph. In some cases 0% of the acreage is reported as treated in the Tables. If less than 0.5% of the total crop acreage was treated the computer dropped the value to zero and if over 0.5% raised the value to one. In some cases the 0% is correct, whereas in others the percent treated may be less than 0.5%. For onions it is noted as <1% where data are known.

Tables 6 and 9 and Figure 1 provide data on the acreage by pesticide category for each fruit and vegetable crop to which pesticides were applied. Tables 7 and 10 and Figure 2 provide similar data for acre treatments. Acre treatments is defined as the acres of crop treated multiplied by the number of times that same acreage as treated with the same pesticide during the year. Thus acre treatments with a specific pesticide can greatly exceed the acres planted, especially if a large percentage of the crop receives multiple applications of the pesticides. Relationships and variations in pesticide use can be observed by scanning the data in the Tables. Interpretation of acres treated is related to the explanation provided in the preceding paragraph and may not be entirely accurate. Consequently, acre treatments may more accurately reflect application data. Herbicides were applied to a total of 13,031 acre treatments, insecticides to 104,696; fungicides to 138,830; and other pesticides to 11,136 for a total summation of 267,693 acre treatments in fruit crops. The greatest use was for apples, grapes, peaches and strawberries with 52% of the acre treatments attributed to disease control and 39.7% to insect control (Table 7). Weed control and other control were at about 5% and 4.2%, respectively. Similar data are presented for vegetable crops in Table 10 with tomatoes and potatoes accounting for the greatest uses followed by sweet corn, cabbage, pumpkins, carrots, cucumbers, and beans with 44.2%, 18.7, 11.1, 4.7, 3.5, 2.3, 2.2 and 2.2%, respectively.

The quantity of pesticides applied to fruit and vegetable crop in 1990 is reported in Tables 8 and 11, and Figure 3, respectively, with tomatoes, apples, potatoes, peaches and grapes receiving the majority of the 1,118,225 pounds of active ingredient. Tomatoes alone accounted for 45.9% of the pesticides applied to vegetable crops and 26% of that applied to all fruit and vegetable crops. Apple acreage received 60% of the pesticides applied to fruit crops and 26% of that applied to all fruit and vegetable crops.

The acres, acre treatments and pounds of pesticide active ingredients applied to fruit crops relative to the category of pest control and specific pesticide are listed in Tables 12, 13 and 14, respectively. Tables 15, 16 and 17 provide the same type of information for vegetable crops.

#### Herbicide Use on Fruit Crops

The summarization of specific pesticide use for weed control in fruit crops is shown in Tables 12, 13 and 14 for the acres treated, acre treatments and quantities of active ingredient used. As indicated previously, the fruit crops treated in the greatest quantity were apples, grapes strawberries and peaches for acreage and poundage. The major use of herbicide in apple orchards was 6,703 pounds of glyphosate a.i. used on 1,322 acres in 2,591 acre treatments. Approximately 2,713 pounds of simazine were used on 1,011 acres in 1,050 acre treatments; and 1,250 pounds of paraquat on 1.207 acres and 1.525 acre treatments. Simazine was used in the greatest quantity for grapes with 1,242 pounds applied to 369 acres on a one time basis followed by 976 pounds of diuron applied one time to 586 acres. The largest uses of herbicides for weed control in strawberries were 2,714 pounds of chlorthal-dimethyl (DCPA-Dacthal) and 2,691 pounds of napropamide on 343 and 744 acres, respectively, and 403 and 780 acre treatments. Dichlobenil was used in the greatest quantity for peaches and cyanazine for blueberries. Consequently, 28.1% of the total herbicide use on fruit crops was attributed to glyphosate, 15.8% to simazine, 9.2% each to DCPA and napropamide and 5.7% to diuron. Dichlobenil, paraquat and terbacil accounted for 5.0, 5.0, and 3.8%, respectively.

#### **Insecticide Use on Fruit Crops**

Approximately 143,642 pounds a.i. insecticides were applied to fruit crops in Ohio in 1990. Almost 81% of the total quantity was applied to apple acreage, 5.3% to grapes, 5.4% to peaches, 1.8% to melons 2.8% to strawberries, and 1.5% to raspberries (Table 14). Phosmet was the insecticide used in the largest quantity (43,328 pounds) with 89% or 38,726 pounds used on 6,637 acres of apples in 31,899 acre treatments. Over 39% of the carbaryl applied to fruit crops was applied to grapes, 24.6% to apples, 15.3% to melons and 12.1% to peaches. Over 90% of the parathion reported was used on grapes. Approximately 78.1% of the azinphosmethyl was used on apples, all of the barium polysulfide and 91.6% of the chlorpyrifos. (Barium polysulfide (Solabar, Solbar) is reported with the insecticide category because the majority of the growers who responded relative to its use indicated acaricide rather than fungicide. However, it could have been justifiably reported in the fungicide section or as other if the boron nutrient Solubar was the intended interpretation of the grower report.) Chlorpyrifos was used in 3,820 acres and 9,472 acre treatments and azinphos-methyl on 3,360 acres and 12,619 acre treatments. Phosmet was the insecticide used in the greatest quantity on peaches, plums and cherries; malathion on raspberries, strawberries and pears. Evaluation of the data in Tables 12, 13, 14 indicate multiple application of insecticides including some variety of insecticide use associated with the spray schedule. The use of petroleum/dormant oil was also common with the greatest use reported for apple trees.

#### Fungicide Use on Fruit Crops

By far, the greatest use of pesticides on fruit crops was for disease control. Approximately 54.7% of the pesticide use (279,409 pounds a.i. of the 510,771 pounds total) was attributed to fungicides (Table 14) on 42.0% of the total treated acreage (Table 12) and 51.9% of the acre treatments (Table 13). In excess of 36.0% of the fungicide poundage applied was attributed to sulfur with 15.2% of that quantity applied to apples, 22.9% to peaches, 57.8% to grapes and 3.1% to cherries. Captan was applied to approximately 6,109 acres of apples, 954 acres of strawberries, 567 acres of grapes, and 592 acres of peaches (61.9%, 68.1%, 28.4% and 58% of the planted acreage, respectively) with 40,818, 2,369, 2,163 and 2,545 acre treatments, respectively. Captan use accounted for 34.2% of the total fungicide a.i. applied (95,519 pounds) with 82.9% of that used on apples, 3.9% on grapes, 6.1% on strawberries and 4.2% on peaches.

In descending order following the use of captan and sulfur on apples were dodine - 6,948 pounds, thiram - 5,825, copper hydroxide - 5,227, benomyl - 3,181, copper sulfate pentahydrate - 3,844, metiram - 3,495, systhane - 2,960, ferbam - 2,040, mancozeb - 1,883, copper (metallic) - 1,504, fenarimol - 1,304 and streptomycin - 1,110 pounds. Sulfur was the major fungicide used on cherries, grapes, and peaches and second major on plums accounting for 69.7%, 68.2%, 74.5%, and 47.3%, respectively, of the total fungicide use on those crops. Ferbam was the second largest quantity of individual fungicides used on grapes followed by the EBDC fungicides (maneb, mancozeb). The major reported use for chlorothalonil was on melons, but only 1,276 pounds, with the balance of 249 pounds used on peaches. Vinclozolin (7,293 pounds), captan (5,868 pounds) and thiram (1,119 pounds) accounted for 90.2% of all fungicide use on strawberries.

#### Other Pesticide Use on Fruit Crops

The major uses on other pesticides applied to fruit crops was for apples and strawberries (Table 14). Calcium chloride accounted for 73.2% of such category use on apples with potassium salts 17.1%. For strawberries, dichloropropene (43.3%), bromothane (28.8%) and chloropicrin (27.7%) constituted the three major uses.

#### Herbicide Use on Vegetable Crops

The acreage, acre treatments and pounds a.i. of pesticide use on individual vegetable crops are reported in Tables 15, 16, and 17, respectively. Of the 109,470 pounds of herbicides applied 31.7% was applied to sweet corn, 25.9% to tomatoes, 12.7% to cucumbers and 11.5% to potatoes (Table 17). Metribuzin, trifluralin, metolachlor, sethoxydim, linuron, atrazine and alachlor were the seven herbicides used on the greatest acreage constituting 23.5%, 17.9%, 8.2%, 8.1%, 7.1%, 5.2% and 4.7%, respectively, of the total (Table 15). Approximately 87.6% of the acreage treated with metribuzin, 66.6% of the trifluralin and 97.4% of the sethoxydim was attributed to tomatoes. Most of the metolachlor and linuron was applied to potato acreage and the atrazine and alachlor to sweet corn. These same relationships can generally be observed in the table for acre treatments (Table 16) and pounds (Table 17) of active ingredient applied.

Approximately 28,302 pounds of herbicide were applied for weed control on 46,332 acre treatments of tomatoes. The data provided for acres treated, as indicated earlier, reflects the summation of each acre treated once with one herbicide and again for each individual herbicide applied to the same acreage. Thus, the acres treated may exceed the planted acreage for that crop. In excess of 25.9% of the herbicides used on vegetable crops in Ohio in 1990 was applied to tomatoes. Metribuzin use accounted for almost 10,720 pounds and 37.9% of the herbicide use for tomatoes, trifluralin for 6,727 and 23.8%, and pebulate for 8,841 pounds and 31.2%. Atrazine (12,479 pounds on 4,264 acres) was the most prevalent use for weed control in sweet corn followed by alachlor (15,527 pounds on 3,589 acres), butylate (2,409 pounds on 469 acres) and cyanazine (2,219 pounds on 2,273 acres). The most prevalent uses for potatoes were metalachlor, linuron, diquat and metribuzin with 6,805, 2,876, 1,428 and 1,140 pounds applied to 4,546, 4,285, 2,727 and 2,359 acres, respectively. Approximately 9,795 pounds of bensulide and 4,086 pounds of naptalam were applied to 2,439 and 1,554 acres, respectively, of cucumbers. Linuron, trifluralin and fluazifop-p-butyl were the prevalent herbicides used for carrot production. Chlorthal-dimethyl (DCPA) and trifluralin were the herbicides that had the most common use for all vegetables reported.

#### Insecticide Use on Vegetable Crops

Approximately 169,310 pounds a.i. of insecticides were applied to the vegetable crops reported in 1990 (Table 17). Approximately 28.4% of that total was applied to potatoes, 19.2% to sweet corn, 9.7% to pumpkins, 8.5% to tomatoes, 6.5% to greens, 5.8% to peppers, 5.7% to cabbage, 5.2% to beans, 4.8% to cucumbers, and the balance of 6.2% to the remaining vegetables. Carbaryl was the insecticide used in the largest quantity (39,302 pounds) with 32.0% of that applied to sweet corn, 19.0% to tomatoes, 13.8% to pumpkins and 9.6% to cucumbers. Endosulfan was the insecticide of third greatest quantity with 12,326 pounds applied of which 41.3% was applied to tomatoes, 24.0% to potatoes, 9.1% to squash, and 8.7% to pumpkins. The insecticides used in the greatest quantities on potatoes were piperonyl butoxide, phorate, methamidophos, and azinphosmethyl at 11,691, 9,096, 6,587 and 6,112 pounds, respectively. The most significant uses of malathion were 9,552 pounds used on 342 acre treatments of pumpkins. Approximately 5,247 pounds of ethyl parathion, the insecticide of second most quantity for vegetable crops, were used on 1,432 acre treatments of peppers which constituted 30.9% of the total use for that insecticide. Another 5,259 pounds (31%) was used on greens, 3,636 pounds (21.4%) on sweet corn, 1,457 pounds (8.6%) on cabbage and 793 pounds (4.7%) on potatoes. Almost all the methyl parathion

reported was used on sweet corn (82.6%). All of the thiodicarb and terbufos reported was used on sweet corn and 48.2% of the methomyl. Another 35.7% of the methomyl was applied to peppers.

#### Fungicide Use on Vegetable Crops

Fungicide use far exceeded other categories of pesticide use on vegetable crops in Ohio in 1990. Of the 372,098 pounds applied (Table 17) 63.8% was attributed to chlorothalonil (237,501 pounds) of which 88.8% was applied to 127,710 acre treatments of tomatoes (Table 16). The next greatest uses for tomatoes were 14,636 pounds of copper hydroxide applied to 39,837 acre treatments, 22,136 pounds of anilazine applied to 18,817 acre treatments, 1,179 pounds of metallic copper applied to 766 acre treatments and 756 pounds of benomyl on 4,820 acre treatments. The second largest use of copper hydroxide was the 6,597 pounds on peppers. Of the total poundage of fungicides applied to tomatoes chlorothalonil accounted for 84.4%. Other significant uses of chlorothalonil on vegetable crops in Ohio consisted of 10,000 pounds applied to pumpkins, 4,168 pounds for cucumbers, 5,337 pounds to carrots, 4,727 pounds to squash and 1,022 pounds to sweet corn. Mancozeb (40,647 pounds and 58% of the total fungicides applied to potatoes) and maneb (22,892 pounds and 32.6%) accounted for 90.6% of the fungicides used on potatoes and 91.5% of the total use of those materials (Table 17). The only other significant use on potatoes was 6,340 pounds of metalaxyl applied to 3,073 acre treatments. Most of the captan use was for cauliflower and most of the benomyl was for tomatoes. Vinclozolin use (2,623 pounds on 262 acre treatments and 131 acres) was exclusively for lettuce.

#### Other Pesticide Use on Vegetable Crops

The most significant use of other pesticide type materials used on vegetables was ethephon with the 14,833 pounds used exclusively on tomatoes accounting for 75.8% of the total use of other materials on all crops reported. The other significant use was 4,099 pounds of maleic hydrozide on potatoes.

#### **Pesticide Application for Processed Tomatoes**

Pesticide use survey data for tomatoes were obtained from both producers and processors; the latter who scheduled and kept track of pesticide application according to contract. Because of the probable duplication in data, tomato acreages treated and reported under contract by the processor were not included in data presented previously in this report and in Tables 15, 16 and 17. Including such originally in preliminary calculation of total State usage caused a tremendous excess in pounds used versus the expert opinion estimate, particularly for anilazine, chlorothalonil and copper treatments. Survey returns from contract processors reported on approximately 21% of the planted processing tomato acreage (3675 of 17,500 acres). Data for pesticide use on tomatoes as reported from processors are presented in Table 18 which includes the data as reported and the extrapolations to state totals for 17,500 acres. The tomato data in Tables 15, 16 and 17 are that reported by individual growers and extrapolated to the 20,800 acre state production. Comparison of the data reported in the tables indicates some differences in type and quantity of some pesticide used for tomatoes between some individual growers and where application is controlled by the processor. Yet if the data reported from both sources are each extrapolated for the total planted tomato acreage (20,800 acres), the quantities of certain pesticides such as chlorothalonil, anilazine, ephephon, carbaryl, endosulfan, esfenvalerate and methomyl are fairly constant; i.e. chlorothalonil 218,153 pounds from the processor data (17.7% reported of the total acreage) vs. 210,892 pounds from reports minus any identified as processor; anilazine 22,627 pounds vs. 22,136; ephephon 16, 397 pounds vs. 14,833; carbaryl 6,181 pounds vs. 7,463; esfenvalerate 649 pounds vs. 451 and methomyl 271 pounds vs. 286. On that same basis the total amount of insecticide applied to the 20,800 becomes 17,565 pounds using the processor data vs. 14,452 using grower reported data; fungicide at 297,610 pounds vs. 249,834; and herbicide 52,893 vs. 28,302 pounds.

#### **Application of Pesticides**

Application of pesticides to fruit and vegetable crops was mostly done with ground equipment by the grower/producer or someone affiliated with the operation (Table 19). However, there was significant custom or commercial application of some pesticides on strawberries, bedding plants, cabbage, eggplant, cucumbers, greens, peas, peppers, potatoes, pumpkins, squash, sweet corn and tomatoes. Also there was a significant number of growers who did not report this information for certain classes of pesticides on strawberries, cabbage, eggplant, peas, and squash. Except for potatoes where 28% of the insecticides and 32% of the fungicides were applied by aerial means, almost all the pesticides were applied by ground equipment. However, a significant percentage of the application of some categories of pesticides for apples, blueberries, strawberries, cabbage, eggplant, peas, and squash was not designated. It is highly probable that such unreported data apply to ground application.

Data on the percentage of pesticides applied to each crop by method of application, type of material used and crop target to which the material was directed are also indicated in Tables 19. The majority of returned questionnaires did not specify the method of application for most of the crops listed. When specified the method of application depended upon the type of pesticide and the crop to which applied. For instance approximately 47% of the herbicides applied for tomatoes was by broadcast, 25% by incorporation and 53% not specified; whereas 58% of the insecticides, 56% of the fungicides and 55% of the other pesticides were broadcast applied. For sweet corn 62% of the herbicides were broadcast applied and 38% not specified; whereas 35% of the insecticides and 54% of the fungicides were broadcast and 22% of the insecticides, 38% of the fungicides and 58% of the other pesticides were applied by banding. Data for other fruit and vegetable crops can be reviewed by reference to the Table.

The information on type of formulation and the plant area or target for application is also found in Table 19. For vegetables dilute sprays were common, although many reports did not specify the type. Likewise dilute sprays were prevalent for fruit crops although low volume sprays were prevalent for insecticide and fungicide application to most tree fruits. Again, a large percentage of applicators did not respond to the question. Response to the area of application was almost unanimously not-specified. Further interpretation of the method of application and type of formulation must be referred for response from the research and extension specialists. For instance, it would generally be assumed that insecticide and fungicide application in apple orchards done mostly by the grower with ground equipment and using both dilute and LV spray would be by air blast systems directed to the foliage. Other comparisons on other crops using the common knowledge of such crop production can be made, but unfortunately many respondents to the survey questionnaire failed to designate such information.

#### Major Pest Problems for Ohio Fruit and Vegetable Crops

Pest problems in tree fruit plantings for which specific pesticides were applied are indicated in Tables 20, 21, 22 and 23 for weeds, insects and diseases, respectively. For bramble, bush and ground fruits the data are listed in Tables 24, 25, 26 and 27. Similar data for vegetables are found in Tables 28, 29, 30 and 31. Tables 20 through 31 also provide data on the range of the number of applications of each pesticide to the specific crop and the range in application rate. Interpretation of the data in these Tables may be somewhat confusing. The data are based upon the grower indication of using several pesticides to control specific pests on the same crop acreage and different pesticides used on different acreages for control of the same pest (spray programs as per control recommendations). The vertical column for percent of treated acreage represents the sum of all pesticides in the horizontal line for that pest, but adjusted to no more than 100%. The total for the vertical column, however, may exceed 100% because of the manner of reporting and the consequent count of the grower providing multiple answers relative to specific pest control for the crop.

For the most part weed problems in tree fruit orchards were identified as only weeds or not specified (Table 20). A similar reporting situation existed also for the bramble, bush and ground fruits (Table 24) except some specific weeds are identified for strawberries. Although a large percentage of the weed problems in all vegetable crops are designated as weeds, broadleaves and grasses, some specific problems were reported (Table 28). Foxtail was a specific weed problem in 31% of the asparagus acreage with half of it treated with diuron and half with terbacil. Lambsquarter was indicated as a weed problem in 26% of the cabbage acreage with trifluralin as the herbicide of choice. Lambsquarter was also a weed problem in greens and peppers with DCPA and trifluralin the herbicides of choice for greens and trifluralin and some napropamide for peppers. Ragweed was reported as a pest problem in potatoes, pumpkins and squash. Pigweed and yellow nutsedge with some Johnson grass and quackgrass were reported in potato fields. In addition to broadleaves, grasses and general weeds in sweet corn, smartweed and yellow nutsedge were of significant concern with atrazine and alachlor, respectively, the herbicides of choice. The choice of herbicides for broadleaf, general and grass weeds can be ascertained by referring to the Tables. Herbicides were applied generally only 1 to 3 times in most tree and bramble-bush- ground fruit acreages but up to 4 times in some vegetable acreage. However, in some apple orchards glyphosate was applied up to 12 times and polychlorobenzoic acid 8 times. These were probably spot treatments but were not reported as such in the returned questionnaire.

Although significant percentages of the insect problems for tree and bramble-bush-ground fruits were not identified, fruit growers tended to provide rather detailed lists of insects for which insecticides were applied for control (Tables 21 The reader is referred to those tables for and 25). information on the insect problems and the pesticide chemicals chosen for their control. Prevalent insect problems in apples included aphids, maggots, codling moth, leafhoppers, leafminers, leafrollers, mites and scales. In nectarines and peaches the insect problems were borers, codling moths, fruit moths, Japanese beetles, mites, oriental fruit moths and stink bugs. Some similar insect problems were reported from pears and plums. For blackberries and raspberries borers, fruitworm, Japanese beetles, leafhoppers, mosquitoes, aphids and sap beetles were reported as problems. Berry moths, beetles, Japanese beetles, codling moth, cutworms, mites, and mosquitoes were reported for grapes. Cucumber beetles, aphids, beetles and worms were prevalent in melons. In strawberries the prevalent insect problems were tarnished plant bug, spittlebug, clippers, mites, leafhoppers, beetles, and fruitworm. Aphids were common to all fruits and the reporting of general insects in all fruits constituted a high percentage of the reports. Insecticides were applied to some fruit sites up to 16 times during the season for pest control. In the Tables both mites and red mites, borers and peach tree borers, and scale and San Jose scale are listed because those are the designations that growers reported.

Respondents were also more specific on the insect problems in vegetables for which insecticides were applied (Table 29). Aphids and various beetles were common problems in most vegetables. Diamondback moths, loopers, various worms, thrips, leafhoppers, maggots, borers, mites and plant bugs were insects common to one or more vegetable crops. The common corn insect problems were reported for sweet corn as were also the common reported problems for bean, cabbage, cucumber, pepper, potato, pumpkin, squash, and tomato crops. The reader is again referred to that Table for information on the percentage of acreage infested with specific insects, the insecticide selected for such control, the range in number of applications and the range in rates of application.

Growers also provided more detail on specific disease problems for which fungicides were chosen and applied to tree and bramble-bush-ground fruit and to vegetables (Tables 22, 26 and 30). Scab was the major disease reported for apples, nectarines and peaches, and pears. Fruit rots, fire blight, mildew, sooty blotch, leaf curl and leaf spot were also reported. In grapes the various mildews were prevalent. Fungus, fruit rots, mildew and anthracnose were reported for raspberries. Leaf blight, botrytis, leaf spot, fruit rots and fungus problems were reported for strawberries. However again a large percentage of survey respondents did not specify the disease for which controls were applied. The range in number of applications and rate of application varied among the crops and the pesticide chemical used.

Not very much specific data were provided regarding the use of chemicals for other pest control, except for some uses in apples, some growth regulation in grapes, potatoes and tomatoes, prevention of seed decay in some vegetables, and coloring and ripening control in tomatoes. Tables 23, 27 and 31 provide this information with the chemicals of choice and the number of times and rates of application.

#### TRENDS IN PESTICIDE USE

#### Crop Acreages

Comparison between Table 2 and 3 of this publication with Table 1 of the survey publication for 1983<sup>6</sup> shows some changes in production acreages for fruits and vegetables over the 7 year span. In some cases the difference may only reflect the yearly variation that occurs in the production of that crop, but in others it does reflect a more definite change particularly in perennial type crops. Crops such as apples, cherries, peaches, strawberries, cucumbers, potatoes, sweet corn and tomatoes tend to have a more consistent production acreage from year to year, although comparison of the two surveys show some variation. The 1990 survey shows a significant increase in production acreages for blueberries, melons, raspberries, green and dry beans, broccoli, carrots, greens, lettuce, onions, peppers and pumpkins, and a significant decrease in production acreage for grapes, pears, plums, cucumbers, eggplant, peas, potatoes, and sweet corn. However some of those increases or decreases may only be seasonal. The most profound changes in the two reports are the acreages for beans (green and dried), escarole and radishes for 1990. Data on celery was not reported, although there is still significant acreage in Ohio.

#### **Pest Problems**

The major weed, insect and disease problems reported by survey respondents for fruits and vegetables in 1990 were essentially the same as that for 1983. Pest problems generally remain the same over the space of years unless new crops, pest resistant fruit cultivars and/or vegetable plants, different cropping practices, eradication programs, etc., occur. Advances in research are being made to improve practices of pest management, but for the most part pest problems remain constant from year to year although the intensity of the problem may vary.

#### Pesticide Use on Fruit Crops

The most notable change in fungicide use reported in the two surveys was the acreage decrease for the EBDC fungicides on apples but increase on grapes, and the increase of captan use on apples. This change is due to the special review and probable cancellations of EBDC registrations. This is also reflected in the quantity of product applied; i.e. captan on apples increasing by about 38% and manebmancozeb-metiram-zineb decreasing by almost 25-fold. The use of sulfur on apples decreased by slightly less than onethird, copper use increased 4-fold, dodine use decreased by 2.7-fold and benomyl by 2.6-fold. Ferbam, sythane and thiram were reported used on apples in 1990 but not in 1983.

In a survey report conducted by the OCES-PIAP in 1990<sup>7</sup> on EBDC fungicides use in Ohio, submitted to EPA in a package prepared by the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation in response for solicited comments on the Special Review and proposed cancellations of registrations data was requested for 1987, 1988, 1989 and 1990. A major purpose, besides indicating the importance of EBDC fungicides for fruits and vegetable production, was to show production changes and attitudes of growers relative to the proposed registration cancellations. It was found that whereas approximately 89.5% of the reporting apple growers had used EBDC fungicides in crop production during the 1987-89 period on 92.7% of the crop (65% of that usage attributed to mancozeb and 35% to metiram), the use of mancozeb in 1990 was reduced to 3.9% of that used in prior years.

Metiram use was reduced to 48.5% of that used in 1989. This drastic reduction in mancozeb use was due to the likelihood of cancellation and the advice to the growers from processors to avoid the use of EBDC fungicides wherever possible. The reduction in the use of EBDC's for apples as well as for other fruit crops probably had a significant effect on the use of other fungicides on those crops in 1990.

The use of sulfur on all fruits crops reported increased in 1990 by 2.7-fold over 1983 (100,777 pounds versus 37,749). However, lime sulfur reported used on grapes and raspberries (4,339 and 9,033 pounds respectively) in 1983 was not reported as such in 1990. Sulfur was reported used on cherries and plums, where it was not used in 1983 and increased in use on peaches and grapes by almost 2- and 42fold. Captofol and folpet were of significant importance in 1983 but had only 84 pounds for cherries and no pounds, respectively, reported for 1990.

In 1983, three herbicides constituted the major weed control use in apple orchards - simazine, oryzalin and paraguat. In 1990 eight herbicides were in common use with glyphosate used in the largest quantity, followed by simazine, napropamide, dacthal (DCPA), diuron, paraquat, dichlobenil and terbacil next in order. Other herbicides of significant use in 1990 were 2,4-D norflurazon, diphenamid, orvzalin, metolachlor, cvanazine and bensulide. Whereas glyphosate was the most used for weed control in grapes in 1983, simazine and diuron were more commonly used in 1990. Cyanazine was the prevalent herbicide used for blueberries in 1990 but was not reported used in 1983. Dichlobenil was the prevalent weed control chemical used in peach orchards in 1990 but not reported used in 1983 where paraquat and napropamide were prevalent. Simazine and dichlobenil were the most common uses in raspberries as compared to oryzalin, DCPA and diphenamid in 1983. The major uses of DCPA and napropamide for strawberries remained as such for both reporting periods but reversed order. In general, the acreage of fruit crops treated for weed control in 1990 increased 1.3-fold over 1983 and the quantity applied increased 1.5-fold.

The acre treatments and quantity of insecticides applied for fruit crops significantly decreased in 1990 from that of 1983. Insecticide use of 143,642 pounds applied in 104,696 acre treatments in 1990 contrasted to 243,503 pounds in 222,475 acre treatments in 1983. Insecticide poundage use on apples decreased by 40%, on grapes decreased 3.4-fold, decreased significantly on cherries and strawberries but increased on melons, peaches, and raspberries. Some of the changes are undoubtedly due to some differences in acreages between the two reported years.

The most notable changes in insecticide use on apples were a reduction is azinphos-methyl of approximately 3.0-fold, carbaryl of 8.7-fold and phosmet of 2.4-fold. By contrast chlorpyrifos and barium polysulfide (see explanation in paragraph on Insecticide Use on Fruit Crops) attained significant use of 9,725 and 8,920 pounds in 1990. Only 5 pounds of chlorpyrifos were reported in 1983. Significant increases were also noted for dimethoate, malathion, permethrin, propargite and pyrethrum uses in 1990, but decreases for diazinon, dicofol, fenvalerate, methomyl, methyl parathion and ethyl parathion uses. Carbaryl use on grapes decreased in poundage by 3.5-fold and methoxychlor use was not reported in 1990. Azinphos-methyl use on grapes decreased from 3,377 pounds in 1983 to 68 pounds in 1990. Parathion (ethyl and methyl) use on grapes remained about the same.

Phosmet use on peaches was number one for both reporting years but increased from 2,323 pounds in 1983 to 3,218 pounds in 1990. Azinphos-methyl use decreased by 2.6-fold, whereas carbaryl was increased by over 1.8-fold. Malathion and chlorpyrifos use was reported in 1990 but not in 1983. The only insecticide use reported on raspberries in 1983 was carbaryl at 117 pounds, which remained relatively the same at 110 pounds for 1990 on about 1.7 times the acre treatments. However, malathion became the prevalent insecticide used on raspberries with 1,838 pounds on 112 acre treatments compared to none in 1983. Malathion was also the prevalent use on strawberries in 1990 with a 4.2-fold increase over that reported in 1983. By contrast carbaryl use on strawberries decreased almost 22-fold. Dicofol was not reported used for strawberries in 1990, but some chlorpyrifos was used. Other significant strawberry use of azinphosmethyl and endosulfan differed only by +247 and -104 pounds, respectively, for 1990.

#### **Pesticide Use on Vegetable Crops**

As to be expected because of the EBDC situation, the most pronounced change in fungicide use in 1990 on tomatoes was the almost complete removal of mancozeb and maneb application and the 11.7-fold increase in chlorothalonil application in contrast to 1983 data. In addition, anilazine use was very common with 22,136 pounds used in 1990 in contrast to none in 1983. Benomyl use increased by 2.0-fold from 377 pounds in 1983 to 756 pounds in 1990. Copper use on tomatoes decreased by about 50% By contrast the use of mancozeb on potatoes increased by 22.9% over that of 1983 whereas maneb use decreased by almost one-third. Metalaxyl became the third largest poundage fungicide use for potatoes, whereas none was reported in 1983. Metalaxyl became of significant importance in use on potatoes, rumpkins, squash and peppers in 1990.

In addition to the 1990 use of chlorothalonil on tomatoes as indicated above, it became of prominent importance for squash replacing captafol and metiram, remained the most common fungicide used on cucumbers but decreased by 4fold relative to the poundage applied in 1983, became of prominent use on carrots and second in use on cauliflower, and was noted of significant use on several other crops for which no use was reported in 1983. There was also a significant increase in the use of copper on beans, carrots, cucumbers, greens, peppers, pumpkins, squash and sweet corn, but decrease of 58 fold on cabbage. The most significant use of captan in 1990 was for cauliflower, whereas the only use in 1983 was reported for cabbage at a very small amount.

Changes in fungicide uses can generally be attributed to the substitution attempts to replace the troubled EBDC fungicides and the label expansion of others. The EBDC survey report of 1990 showed that 100% of the potato and tomato growers used EBDC fungicides during the 1987-89 period with approximately 90% of the potato use being mancozeb and the balance maneb. Approximately 95% of the tomato use was attributed to mancozeb. In 1990, EBDC use on potatoes continued as 100% on the acreage reported (32% of the total planted acreage as reported by 14 respondents), whereas tomato use was reported by only a few growers representing only about 3% of the planted acreage. Those figures are of course reflected in the data for EBDC use on potatoes and tomatoes reported in Table 17, and the consequent increase in anilazine and chlorothalonil use on tomatoes.

The use of herbicides in vegetable crops increased approximately 2.2-fold in 1990 over that reported in 1983. The most significant increase was the 3.3-fold increase in tomatoes, 3.4-fold increase in sweet corn, 2.8-fold increase in cucumbers, and 9.3-fold increase in pumpkins. In addition significant herbicide use was reported on carrots, cauliflower, greens and cabbage of which very little, if any, was reported for 1983. A significant reduction of herbicide use was noted for squash and onions.

Metribuzin, pebulate, sethoxydim and trifluralin were the four prominent herbicides used for tomato acreage in 1990, although not in the same order of poundage importance. Sethoxydim was not used in 1983 but chloramben was. The quantities of the herbicides were 4.7-fold greater in 1990 than in 1983. For sweet corn alachlor and atrazine replaced cyanazine and butylate as the herbicides of preference, and with increases of 12.7- and 7-fold in quantity, respectively. Cyanazine and butylate use decreased somewhat. For potatoes metolachlor and linuron were the most prominent uses increasing 12.6- and 1.7-fold, respectively, replacing EPTC which had no reported use in 1990. Alachlor was not used in potato acreages in 1990, whereas diphenamid was used in significant amounts and metribuzin use increased from 918 pounds in 1983 to 1,140 pounds in 1990. The other most notable changes in 1990 were the use of bensulide on cucumbers, naptalam use reduced by 816 pounds, the use of chemical weed control in carrots and the increased use of DCPA and trifluralin for vegetable crops.

The most notable change in insecticide use on vegetables was the 2.5-fold decrease in total use in 1990 compared to 1983. The majority of that decrease can be attributed to carbaryl use noting a reduction from 266,604 pounds in 1983 to 39,302 pounds in 1990 with contrasts of 12,000 pounds to zero for carrots, 12,417 to 3,787 for cucumbers, 20,767 to 549 for potatoes, 16,924 to 12,596 for sweet corn and 198,723 to 7,463 pounds for tomatoes. On the other hand the poundage use on pumpkins, green beans, cabbage and cauliflower increased very significantly. Total endosulfan used overall remained relatively constant, but increased approximately 19.5% for tomatoes. It amounted to 2,957 pounds for potatoes, whereas none was reported in 1983. Some endosulfan use was reported for additional vegetable crops in 1990, but the use for cucumbers, onions, pumpkins and squash declined.

Other significant insecticide changes between 1983 and 1990 include a reduction in aldicarb use in potatoes from 19,603 pounds to 164 pounds; an increase in azinphos-methyl use from 2.549 to 8,038 pounds with most of that use in potatoes; an increase in malathion use from 472 to 12,177 pounds attributed to 9,552 pounds used on pumpkins, 1,795 pounds on cucumbers and 652 pounds on tomatoes, crops which had no reported use in 1983; a 2.8-fold increase in phorate use on potatoes; and an increases from 629 to 2,532 pounds of phosmet with almost all of that poundage applied to potatoes. Another significant change was the use of 11,691 pounds of piperonyl butoxide used on potatoes, whereas none was reported in 1983. (Piperonyl butoxide is a synergist used with several insecticides and is listed as an active ingredient, but is not in itself considered a toxin.) The use of methamidophos on potatoes dropped from 11,898 pounds in 1983 to 6,587 pounds in 1990 and from 9,608 to zero pounds on tomatoes. Ethyl parathion use increased by 3.416 pounds due to a 5.5-fold increase and use on greens and pumpkins not reported in 1983. However, some of the increase was offset by decreased use on squash, and sweet corn. Overall methyl parathion use decreased 2.8-fold.

The most notable contrasts in use of other pesticide materials between the two reporting periods was the increase of ethephon use on tomatoes from 1,795 to 14,833 pounds and of maleic hydrazide on potatoes from 2,290 to 4,099 pounds.

#### General

The preceding discussion has indicated changes in pesticide use during the period for which surveys were conducted. However, the 7-year span may be too large of an interval from which to determine trends. Several factors such as the changes in pesticide development, production and registration; and regulatory action against some pesticides that were in common use; changes in crop production practices; and perhaps changes in pest problems may account for some of the differences. It was noted that pesticide use for weed control had increased considerably since the 1983 survey, but pesticides used for insect control had significantly decreased. Fungicides total use increased by nearly 69% on vegetables but decreased 24.6% on fruits. However, there was considerable change on the type of and quantity of fungicide used on individual crops. Undoubtedly a significant impact causing change is due to the EPA "Special Review" and subsequent changes or expected changes in the registration of certain pesticides. The cancellation or potential cancellation of registration of some pesticides such as the EBDC fungicides, Alar, parathion, etc., and review of others of public and/or environmental health concern has caused the grower to seek other pest control agents, some of which require more intensive application. These changes would not justifiably constitute a trend in pesticide usage, but would rather reflect an immediate adjustment perpetuated by regulatory action. The attitude of the grower and the availability of suitable and economic alternatives has a direct affect on the pesticides that will be used. The grower has become more environmentally concerned as influenced by public (and media) involvement in food and water safety issues regardless of whether or not such concerns are based on factual or nonfactual panic driven information. Tt becomes difficult thus to interpret the changes in pesticide chemical use noted in this publication as the normal evolution over a period of time or changes driven strictly the changes in private/public opinion from and registration/regulation activities. Nevertheless, the data obtained via this survey indicates some dractic and significant changes in pesticide use. Many more interpretations and comparison of the data can be made by the reader as he(she) peruses the contents of this and past surveys.

1.Ohio Agricultural Statistics-1990. Ohio Agricultural Statistics Service and Ohio Department of Agriculture Annual Report.

2."Pesticide Use on Field Grown Fresh Market Vegetable Crops in Ohio 1977." Ohio Cooperative Extension Service (OCES) Bulletin 648. May 1979.

3."Pesticide Use on Vegetable Crops for Processing in Ohio-1979." OCES/OARDC Bulletin 701/1152. Agdex 250/606. January 1983.

4."Pesticide Use on Selected Tree Fruit Crops, Ohio-1978." OCES/OARDC Bulletin 696/1145. Agdex 210/608. May 1982.

5."Pesticide Use on Fruit and Vegetables in Ohio-1983." OCES/OARDC Bulletin/circular 731/1173. Agdex 606/202. January 1986.

#### 6.Ibid.

7."The Use and Importance of EBDC Fungicides for Fruit and Vegetable Production in Ohio." Acie C. Waldron. Report of survey of fruit and vegetable growers conducted for the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation and submitted to EPA in response to request for comments on proposed cancellation of registration.

# **FIGURES**

Figure 1. Treated Acres Pesticides Applied to Fruit & Vegetable Crops in Ohio

	<b>Treated Acres</b>		0		
Total Fruit Total Vegetables	Herbicide 10447 81186	Insecticide 38811 104008	Fungicide 38842 74066	Other 4291 20290	Ohio Total 92392 279549
Total Overall	91633	142820	112907	24581	371941

Acres Treated with a Pesticide



17

# FIGURES

Figure 2. Acre Treatm	ents of Pesticides /	Applied to Fru	it & Vegetable	Crops in C	Dhio
	Acre Treatmer	nts	-		
	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total
Total Fruit	13031	104696	138830	11136	267693
Total Vegetables	93723	231815	296587	21593	643718
Total Overall	106754	336510	435417	32729	911411

Acre Treatments with a Pesticide



18

# FIGURES

Figure 3. Pounds Active	Ingredient Appli	ed to Fruit & \	/egetable Crop	os in Ohio	
	Pounds Treate	ed			
	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total
Total Fruit	29362	143642	279409	58358	510771
Total Vegetables	109470	169310	372098	19576	670454
Total Overall	138832	312951	651508	77934	1181225

Pounds Active Ingredient



Pounds (Thousands)

## DATA TABLES

Table 1. Surveys Sent to Fruit and regetable Froudeers in Onio-177	Table 2	1.	Surveys	Sent	to	Fruit	and	Vegetable	Producers	in	<b>Ohio-199</b>
--	---------	----	---------	------	----	-------	-----	-----------	-----------	----	-----------------

	Total Sent	First Contact	Second Contact
1st mail out	1,959	1,959	
2nd mail out	880	193	687

	- ereent respe
265	12.31
13	0.60
306	14.22
108	5.02
33	1.53
60	2.79
520	24.16
785	36.47
	265 13 306 108 33 60 520 785

No. returned Percent Response

Сгор	Production Acres <sup>a</sup>	Reported in Survey			
		Acres	Percent	Number of Respondents	
Apples	10,000	2,077	20.8	120	
Apricots	6(?)	6	100.0(?)	1	
Blueberries	275	63	23.0	10	
Bramble berries	45 <sup>b</sup>	18	39.7	8	
Cherries	230 <sup>c</sup>	55	23.9	9	
Grapes	2,000	174	8.7	30	
Melons	654 <sup>d</sup>	89	13.6	25	
Nectarines & Peaches	1,020	101	9.9	36	
Pears	80	34	42.1	18	
Plums	120	17	13.9	14	
Raspberries	675	103	15.2	19	
Strawberries	1,400	174	12.4	30	
Total	16,503	2,910	17.6	320	

 Table 2. Fruit Crop Acreages in Ohio - 1990

\*Acreage estimates from Crop Specialists, The Ohio State University or the Ohio Agricultural Statistics Service \*Reported as 45 acres of blackberries. Not reported with raspberries because of desire to keep such crop designation separate.

Includes 200 acres of tart and 30 acres of sweet cherries

<sup>d</sup>Includes cantaloupes, muskmelons, watermelons

Table 3. Vegetable Crop Acreages in Onio-12.	Lable 3.	vegetable	Crop	Acreages	ın	<b>Uhio-199</b>
--	----------	-----------	------	----------	----	-----------------

Сгор	Production Acres <sup>a</sup>	Reported in Survey					
		Acres	Percent	Number of Respondents			
Asparagus	50	7	13.0	5			
Beans <sup>b</sup>	5,000°	420	8.4	26			
Bedding Plants <sup>d</sup>	242	15	6.2	2			
Beets <sup>e</sup>	250	74.2	29.7	3			
Broccoli	250	18	7.0	6			
Cabbage	3,000	1,179	39.3	30			
Carrots	1,500	257	17.1	2			
Cauliflower	350	3	0.9	3			
Cucumbers <sup>t</sup>	4,400	343	7.8	25			
Eggplant	50	28	56.2	8			
Escarole <sup>s</sup>	250 <b>°</b>	87	34.8	1			
Greens <sup>h</sup>	1,500	114	7.6	5			
Lettuce	1,400	320.3	22.9	3			
Onions	1,000	31.1	3.1	2			
Ornamental Corn	14	14	100.0	3			
Parsley	82	82	100.0	2			
Peas	< 50	14.3	>28.6	6			
Peppers	1,100	195	17.5	28			
Potatoes	8,000	1,849	23.1	15			
Pumpkins	2,500	230	9.2	37			
Radishes	4,000	268	6.7	2			
Squash <sup>i</sup>	1,000	187	18.7	15			
Sweet Corn	12,300	3,276	26.6	70			
Tomatoes	20,800 <sup>j</sup>	2,880	13.8	61			
Turnips	5	5	100	3			
Total	69,094	11,895	17.2	365			

Estimates from Crop Specialists, The Ohio State University or Ohio Agricultural Statistics Service Includes green, snap, and string beans, navy, great northern pinto and kidney 2000 acres of green beans and 3000 acres of dry beans. Acreage for dry in survey report is for navy beans Approximately 10,560,000 sq ft of bedding plants with 1,132,560 sq ft reported in the survey Includes table and red beets, does not include sugar beets Includes fresh and processing cucumbers and pickles Sacreage is for escarole and endive. But only escarole acres reported in the returned survey. Another estimate indicates possibly 1750 acres of escarole Includes gourds, kale, mustard, spinach and turning greens Includes gourds, kaush, zucchini and other vine crops Includes fresh and processing tomatoes

.

Table 04 Percent of Fruit Acreage Treated with Pesticide by Category in Ohio -

	Production		Percent Acre	es Treated wit	h a Pesticide
	Acres	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other
Apples	10000	25	78	70	26
Apricots	6	100	100	100	0
Blackberries & Brambles	45	11	84	84	0
Blueberries	275	76	38	18	0
Cherries	230	1	81	80	0
Grapes (Table, Juice, & Wine)	2000	39	87	85	8
Melons (Cantaloupe, Melon, Muskmelon, Watermelon)	654	24	94	75	0
Nectarines & Peaches	1020	28	88	84	2
Pears	80	14	96	80	31
Plums (Plums & Prunes)	120	22	61	67	6
Raspberries	675	18	22	27	0
Strawberries	1400	73	75	80	5
Total Fruit	16505	31	77	71	18

Table 05	Percent of	Vegetable Acrea	ge Treated wit	h Pesticide by	Category in	Ohio - 1990
----------	------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	-------------	-------------

	Production	0,	Percent Acre	es Treated w	ith a Pesticide
	Acres	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other
Asparagus	50	38	54	Ŭ O	0
Beans (Green, Lima, Navy, Sprouts, String)	5000	35	63	18	0
Bedding Plants	242	73	100	73	0
Beets (Red & Table)	250	100	100	100	0
Broccoli	250	3	82	1	0
Cabbage	3000	52	78	9	12
Carrots	1500	100	<1	99	0
Cauliflower	350	9	100	70	0
Cucumbers (Cucumber & Processed Pickles)	4400	64	90	29	0
Eggplant	50	54	80	78	0
Escarole	250	0	100	0	0
Greens (Spinach, Kale, Mustard)	1500	35	88	22	0
Lettuce	1400	0	100	9	0
Onions	1000	55	<1	<1	0
Ornamental Corn	14	100	100	0	64
Parsley	82	0	73	0	0
Peas	50	10	8	84	4
Peppers	1100	71	90	79	0
Potatoes	8000	73	81	71	25
Pumpkins	2500	45	84	67	0
Radishes	4000	0	75	0	0
Squash (Squash, Zucchini, Vine Crops)	1000	78	79	66	0
Sweet Corn	12300	51	68	11	2
Tomatoes	20800	81	54	96	85
Turnips	5	0	20	0	0
Total Vegetables	69544	59	67	51	29
Total Overall	86049	54	69	55	27

Table 6. Acreage of Fruit Crops Treated with Pesticides in Ohio by Category -	199	90
---	-----	----

Acr	eage for	Type of Pest	icide Active I	ingredient Ap	lied - (1)						
Crop Treated He	erbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total						
APPLES	6876	30103	25479	3976	66434						
APRICOTS	6	18	24		48						
BLACKBERRIES & BRAMBLES	5	53	81		139						
BLUEBERRIES	213	119	92		424						
CHERRIES	37	212	708		957	,					
GRAPES (TABLE, JUICE, & WINE)	1577	2729	5939	164	10408						
MELONS (CANTALOUPE, MELON, MUSKMELON, WATERMELON)	242	1179	762		2183						
NECTARINES & PEACHES	485	1971	1872	25	4352						
PEARS	23	165	138	30	356						
PLUMS (PLUMS & PRUNES)	59	172	110	7	347						
RASPBERRIES	224	213	525		962						
STRAWBERRIES	2702	1879	3098	89	7767						
Total for Fruit	12448	38811	38827	4291	94378						

.

 Acreage listed is a summation of all acres reported for each pesticide in that category applied. Some acreage may have received application of several pesticides in each category, and thus total acreage will exceed the planted acreage in some cases.

ible 7. Acre Treatments of Fruit Crops Treated	with Pesticides in Ohio by Category - 1990
--	--

Ac1	re Treatn	nents of Pestic	cide Active Ir	ngredient App	plied	
op Treated H	erbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total	
PLES	6919	84151	99075	9620	199766	
RICOTS	6	66	108		180	
ACKBERRIES & BRAMBLES	15	101	192		308	
UEBERRIES	399	232	135		767	
IERRIES	3	468	1564		2036	
APES (TABLE, JUICE, & WINE)	1577	5860	19749	1155	28341	
ELONS (CANTALOUPE, MELON, MUSKMELON, WATERMELON)	255	3822	1740		5817	
CTARINES & PEACHES	605	5598	6748	146	13098	
ARS	28	512	489	103	1132	
UMS (PLUMS & PRUNES)	59	735	879	7	1680	
SPBERRIES	263	590	1319		2172	
RAWBERRIES	2900	2561	6759	105	12325	
	12021	104606	100760	11126	267621	
tal for Fruit	13031	104696	138/58	11136	267621	

P	ounds of	Pesticide Act	ive Ingredient	Applied	
Crop Treated H	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total
APPLES	13576	116187	136159	40623	306546
APRICOTS	1	21	35		57
BLACKBERRIES & BRAMBLES	3	142	241		386
BLUEBERRIES	576	551	130		1257
CHERRIES	7	564	4505	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5076
GRAPES (TABLE, JUICE, & WINE)	2680	7626	85344	542	96191
MELONS (CANTALOUPE, MELON, MUSKMELON, WATERMELON)	829	2579	1888		5296
NECTARINES & PEACHES	2332	7861	31008	285	41486
PEARS	56	1239	824	109	2227
PLUMS (PLUMS & PRUNES)	42	696	1893	5	2635
RASPBERRIES	833	2223	1520		4576
STRAWBERRIES	8429	3952	15835	16794	45010
Total for Fruit	29362	143642	279382	58358	510744

# Table 8. Quantity of Pesticides Used on Fruit Crops in Ohio by Category - 1990

	Acreage for	Type of Pesti	icide Active In	le Active Ingredient Applied - (1)					
Crop Treated	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total				
ASPARAGUS	38	35			73				
BEANS (GREEN, LIMA, NAVY, SNAP, SPROUTS, STRING)	1760	4041	1730	12	7544				
BEDDING PLANTS	177	371	177		726				
BEETS (RED & TABLE)	250	250	249		749				
BROCCOLI	10	222	6	1	239				
CABBAGE	1561	8581	288	354	10784				
CARROTS	4150		2965		7115				
CAULIFLOWER	64	721	488		1273				
CUCUMBERS (CUCUMBERS & PROCESSED PICKLES)	3996	4928	1924		10848				
EGGPLANT	48	190	55		294				
ESCAROLE		750			750				
GREENS (SPINACH, KALE, MUSTARD)	973	4984	329		6285				
LETTUCE		4183	131		4315				
ONIONS	550	10	3		563				
ORNAMENTAL CORN	33	14		9	57				
PARSLEY		60			60				
PEAS	б	5	126	2	139				
PEPPERS	838	3280	2190		6308				
POTATOES	14609	28861	9207	1969	54647				
PUMPKINS	1222	3046	4470		8738				
RADISHES		2985			2985				
SQUASH (SQUASH, ZUCCHINI, VINE CROPS)	939	1660	2712		5311				
SWEET CORN	12937	19874	1307	257	34375				
TOMATOES	37132	14955	45708	17685	115480				
TURNIPS		1			1				
Total for Vegetable	81295	104008	74066	20290	279658				
Total for all crops	93743	142820	112893	24581	374036	<del></del>			

# Table 9. Acreage of Vegetable Crops Treated with Pesticides in Ohio by Category - 1990

 Acreage listed is a summation of all acres reported for each pesticide in that category applied. Some acreage may have received application of several pesticides in each category, and thus total acreage will exceed the planted acreage in some cases.

/		<b>v</b> , 1 1 1	<b>~</b>	0.1	Ohio	
Crop Treated	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Total	
ASPARAGUS	54	62			115	
BEANS (GREEN, LIMA, NAVY, SNAP, SPROUTS, STRING)	1829	7624	4426	24	13903	•
BEDDING PLANTS	177	565	177		920	
BEETS (RED & TABLE)	250	500	748		1498	
BROCCOLI	27	1145	11	6	1189	
CABBAGE	1819	27188	826	709	30541	
CARROTS	5632		8895		14527	
CAULIFLOWER	255	2036	976		3267	
CUCUMBERS (CUCUMBERS & PROCESSED PICKLES)	4001	6660	3605		14266	
EGGPLANT	49	340	102		491	
ESCAROLE		1500			1500	
GREENS (SPINACH, KALE, MUSTARD)	973	15305	657		16935	
LETTUCE		8367	262		8629	
ONIONS	553	13	6		572	
ORNAMENTAL CORN	33	32		9	75	
PARSLEY		180			180	
PEAS	7	10	126	2	144	
PEPPERS	841	8874	10595		20310	
POTATOES	15587	54854	47701	1969	120112	
PUMPKINS	1028	8110	13570		22709	
RADISHES		2986			2986	
SQUASH (SQUASH, ZUCCHINI, VINE CROPS)	940	3345	8377		12662	
SWEET CORN	13335	54779	3364	257	71736	
TOMATOES	46332	27337	192162	18617	284448	
TURNIPS		2			2	
Total for Vegetable	93723	231815	296587	21593	643718	
Total for all crops	106754	336510	435345	32729	911339	
		Contraction of the second s				

Acre Treatments of Pesticide Active Ingredient Applied

# Table 10. Acre Treatments of Vegetable Crops Treated with Pesticides in Ohio by Category - 1990

	Pounds o	f Pesticide A	ctive Ingredien	t Applied		
Crop Treated	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Other	Ohio Total	
ASPARAGUS	108	93			201	
BEANS (GREEN, LIMA, NAVY, SNAP, SPROUTS, STRING)	2310	8773	1018		12101	
BEDDING PLANTS	1331	1022	71		2424	
BEETS (RED & TABLE)	787	251	75		1113	
BROCCOLI	77	55	12	<b></b>	144	
CABBAGE	3142	9720	817	212	13891	
CARROTS	2405		5782		8186	
CAULIFLOWER	843	812	1458		3114	
CUCUMBERS (CUCUMBERS & PROCESSED PICKLES)	13884	8098	4660		26641	en e
EGGPLANT	244	139	153	****	537	
ESCAROLE		358		*****	358	
GREENS (SPINACH, KALE, MUSTARD)	3379	10952	592		14922	
LETTUCE		1996	2623		4619	
ONIONS	458	5	10		473	
ORNAMENTAL CORN	32	23			55	
PARSLEY		22			22	
PEAS	5	6	109		121	
PEPPERS	561	9753	8036		18350	
POTATOES	12595	48036	70118	4404	135152	
PUMPKINS	2271	16497	14667		33435	
RADISHES		4031			4031	
SQUASH (SQUASH, ZUCCHINI, VINE CROPS)	2032	1690	8581		12303	
SWEET CORN	34703	32521	3485	19	70728	
TOMATOES	28302	14452	249834	14941	307529	
TURNIPS	1	3			4	,
Total for Vegetable	109470	169310	372098	19576	670454	
Total for all crops	138832	312951	651481	77934	1181198	

# Table 11. Quantity of Pesticides Used on Vegetable Crops in Ohio by Category - 1990

		Crop and	d Acres	Freated										
Active Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Acres Treated / Year	
Herbicide														
	1967				33								2000	
2,4-D	73							20				278	371	
2,4-DB												82	82	
BENSULIDE CHIOPTHAL DIMETHYL (DCBA)							82					242	82	
CYANAZINE			148									343	148	
DICHLOBENIL								20			33		53	
DIPHENAMID										_	_	198	198	
DIURON EL LIAZIEOR D BLETVI	319		4		1	586		11		1	7	20	929	
GLYPHOSATE	1322	6	30		2	298		111	3		18	153	1952	
METOLACHLOR	10 MA	v			~	270		***	2		10	40	40	
NAPROPAMIDE	1		17			3						746	768	
NAPTALAM NOPELURAZON	256						112						112	
ORYZALIN	152							126	5	18	33		333	
PARAQUAT	1207		4			319		106	5	18			1659	
POLYCHLOROBENZOIC ACID	48										••		48	
SETHOXYDIM SD447DIE	1011			5		260		01	10	22	39	76	121	
TERRACIL	420				1	309		91	10	22	27	753	1200	
TRIFLURALIN	120						48				2.	100	48	
Herbicide Totals	6876	6	213	5	37	1577	242	485	23	59	224	2702	12448	
Insecticide														
AMIDITHION	5												5	
AMITRAZ									2				2	
AZINPHOS-METHYL	3360	6	7	5	153	126	155	417	14	47	46	728	5064	
B.T. VAR. KURSTAKI	200					149	1						151	
BARIUM POLISULFIDE RENDIOCAPR	390 164												164	
CARBARYL	829		98	8	1	1038	416	263	5	26	48	141	2873	
CARBOFURAN					-		44		-				44	
CHLORPYRIFOS	3820							253			••	130	4204	
DIAZINUN	432			25				21	21		20		4//	
DIMETHOATE	337							51	21				337	
DORMANT OIL	964					7				22			992	
ENDOSULFAN	2521	6				126	258	131	2		7	596	3647	
ESFENVALERATE	111						88	40	5				244	
ETHION ETUVI DADATUION	14.					762	1	01		1	10		14	
FENRUTATIN-OXIDE	1503					/05	1	16		1	10	80	1583	
FENVALERATE	45								9			00	54	
FORMETANATE HYDROCHLORID	E 862								-				862	
MALATHION	37		15	8	• •	93	1	10	5		66	85	320	
METHIOCARB	265				21		74				10	24	21	
METHOXYCHI OP	205						/4	э			10	24	572	
METHYL PARATHION	72				1	231		Z				89	392	

Table 12. Acreage of Fruit Crops Treated	, by Pesticide Category	y and Specific Pesticides in Ohio - 199	90
--	-------------------------	---	----

		Crop and	d Acres	Freated									
Active Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapès	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Acres Treated / Year
MEVINPHOS OXAMYL OXYDEMETON-METHYL	515					1	74						1 515 74
PERMETHRIN	1589						66	5	4				1664
PETROLEUM OIL	2818				1	47		52	21	4			2944
PHOSMET	6637	6		8	36	147		684	62	43	-		7621
PIPERONYL BUTOXIDE										•••	7		7
PROPARGITE	2396									29			2424
PYRETHRUM	202								<i>c</i>				202
									3				3
Insecticide Totals	30103	18	119	53	212	2729	1179	1971	165	172	213	1879	38811
Fungicide													
RENOMVI	4017	6	24	38	3	274	208	289	Q	30	168	937	6001
CALCIUM POLYSULFIDES	4017	0	21	25	5	229	200	207	,	50	125	201	380
CAPTAFOL				25	105	227					125		105
CAPTAN	6109	6	28	18	41	567		592	52	58	103	954	8528
CHLOROTHALONIL							346	171					517
COPPER (METALLIC)	378					229			7				615
COPPER HYDROXIDE	404					172	88						665
COPPER OXYCHLORIDE/CUSO4	14												14
CUPRIC SO4 PENTAHYDRATE	358					161							518
DICHLONE	29							10					39
DINOCAP	48						1	_					50
DODINE	3101					103		5					3209
FENARIMOL	2178								•				2178
FERBAM	489	0			142	990		192	2			80	1821
IPRODIONE	260				142	138		10	21		84	80	445
MANUUZEB	308 183					585	20	10	21				708
METALAYVI	105	6				365	29	60			45	40	190
METAM-SODIUM	57	v						20			15	-10	20
METIRAM-COMPLEX	657							20					657
OXYTHIOOUINOX	1843												1843
PHALTAN	10		17			3						64	95
STREPTOMYCIN	2119					103			42				2265
SULFUR	347				142	195		402	5	22			1112
SYSTHANE (MYCLOBUTANIL)	1989					195							2184
THIOPHANATE-METHYL	72											161	233
THIRAM	455					001						225	680
TRIADIMEFON	220		22		124	836	44	101					881
I KIFUKINE VINCLOZOLINI	229		22		134			121				676	505
Y MYCLULUN 7 INICD	12 .											030	42
20160	4) •												<del>1</del> J
Fungicide Totals	25479	24	92	81	708	5939	762	1872	138	110	525	3098	38827

31

			Crop and	d Acres 7	reated										
Active	Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Acres Treated / Year	
Other Pe	sticides														
6-BENZYI	ADENINE	159												159	
ALKYLAR	YLPOLYOXYETHYLENE	24					3							28	
BROMOET	HANE	24					5						24	24	
CALCIUM	CHLORIDE	440											2,	440	
CALCIUM	HYDROXIDE	24												24	
CHLOROF	ICRIN												24	24	
CREOSOT	E COAL TAR	303												303	
DI-1-P-ME	NTHENE: PINOLENE	58					161							218	
DICHLOR	OPROPENE	_											24	24	
<b>IRON SOII</b>	. NUTRIENTS	48											16	64	
NAPHTHA	LENEACETIC ACID	1235								5				1240	
NH3+ SO	APS OF FATTY ACIDS	29								-				29	
NITROGE	1	63												63	
POTASSIU	M SALTS	1464							25	21	7			1517	
SODIUM 2	-PROPIONATE	10												10	
SOYBEAN	VEGETABLE OILS	48								5				53	
ZINC PHO	SPHATE	72								-				72	
Other Pes	icides Totals	3976	0	0	0	0	164	0	25	30	7		89	4291	
Total for ca	ch crop	66434	48	424	139	957	10408	2183	4352	356	347	962	7767	94378	

Table 12.	Acreage of	Fruit Crops '	Freated, b	y Pesticide	Category	and Specific	Pesticides in	Ohio - 1990
the second								

(Continued)

Total for each crop	66434	48	424	139	957	10408	2183	4352	356	347	962	7767	94378	

= (Table, Juice, & Wine) = (Cantaloupe, Melon, Muskmelon, Watermelon) = (Nectarines & Peaches) = (Plums & Prunes)

.

Grapes Melons Peaches Plums

		C	Crop and	Acre Tre	eatments										
Active	Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Acre Trimnts / Year	
Herbicid	le														
2,4-D		121							40				278	439	
2,4-DB	שת							88					82	82	
CHLORT	HAL-DIMETHYL (DCPA)							00					403	403	
CYANAZ	INE			295					20			22		295	
DIPHENA	MID								20			33	198	53 198	
DIURON		319		4		1	586		11		1	7		929	
FLUAZIF	OP-P-BUTYL SATE	2591	6	78		2	208		171	8		18	32	32	
METOLA	CHLOR		v	/0		2	270		171	U		10	80	80	
NAPROPA	AMIDE	1		17			3	117					780	802	
NORFLU	RAZON	356						117						356	
ORYZAL	IN	152							126	5	18	33		333	
PARAQU.	AT OROBENZOIC ACID	1525		4			319		126	5	18			1997 385	
SETHOXY	YDIM				15							79	76	171	
SIMAZIN	E	1050				1	369		112	10	22	66	<b>Q1Q</b>	1630	
TRIFLUR	ALIN	420						50				21	010	50	
Herbicid	e Totals	6919	6	399	15	3	1577	255	605	28	59	263	2900	13031	
Insectici	de														
AMIDITH	lion	5								2				5	
AZINPHO	L DS-METHYL	12619	12	20	15	337	126	906	1438	57	285	263	978	17055	
B.T. VAR	. KURSTAKI	(70)					149	4						154	
BARIUM	CARB	679 164												079 164	
CARBAR	YL	3096		198	8	3	3052	1491	572	10	70	96	201	8796	
CARBOF	URAN VRIEOS	9472						44	253				227	44 9952	
DIAZINO	N	723			55				255			59	1.1.1	837	
DICOFOL	2 3 4 1972	311							34	104				449	
DORMAN	T OIL	1180					7				22			385 1209	
ENDOSU	LFAN	4630	24				161	876	352	5		13	706	6766	
ESFENVA	LERATE	236 144							80	5				321	
ETHYL P.	ARATHION	207					1383	2	133		1	20		1746	
FENBUTA	ATIN-OXIDE	3586								٥			80	3666	
FORMET	ANATE HYDROCHLORIDE	1329								7				1329	
MALATH	ION	151		15	15	- 11	96	4	70	33		112	100	598	
METHOM	IYL	323				21		147				20	72	562	
METHOX	YCHLOR	12					<i>c : c</i>		8				19	39	
METHYL MEVINPH	PARATHION IOS	159				1	563 1						177	900 1	

word were readed of a readed of a contract o	Table 13. Acre Treatments o	f Fruit Crops '	Treated by	Pesticide Categor	y and Specific	Pesticides in	Ohio - 19	<del>)</del> 9(
--	-----------------------------	-----------------	------------	-------------------	----------------	---------------	-----------	-----------------

	C	Crop and	Acre Tre	eatments									
Active Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Acre Trtmnts / Year
OXAMYL OXYDEMETON-METHYL PERMETHRIN PETROLEUM OIL PHOSMET PIPERONYL BUTOXIDE	645 1589 3151 31899	30		8	1 107	47 274	74 273	60 53 2544	6 21 254	4 295	7		645 74 1928 3277 35410 7
PROPARGITE PYRETHRUM THIODICARB	5217 2167								5	58			5275 2167 5
Insecticide Totals	84151	66	232	101	468	5860	3822	5598	512	735	590	2561	104696
Fungicide													
BENOMYL CALCIUM POLYSULFIDES CAPTAFOL	15189	42	37	103 25	14 105	571 229	613	1573	65	262	487 191	2294	21251 446 105
CAPTAN CHLOROTHALONIL COPPER (METALLIC) COPPER HYDROXIDE	40818 426 1146	42	41	63	191	2163 229 172	855	2545 211	240 40	422	405	2369	49300 1066 696 1318
COPPER OXYCHLORIDE/CUSO4 CUPRIC SO4 PENTAHYDRATE DICHLONE DINOCAP	14 695 29 77					321	7	10					14 1016 39 84
DODINE FENARIMOL FERBAM	8665 6765 812	12			284	103 3756 370		15 293	2		172	161	8783 6765 5158 996
MANCOZEB MANEB METALAXYL	1553 356 39	12			204	3969 2886	81 88	10 121	21		63	80	5634 3331 315
METAM-SODIUM METIRAM-COMPLEX OXYTHIOQUINOX PHALTAN	1510 1843		17			2		20				64	20 1510 1843
STREPTOMYCIN SULFUR SYSTHANE (MYCLOBUTANIL) THIOPHANATE-METHYI	5281 2003 7348 361		17		427	103 1882 390		1780	83 38	195		322	5468 6324 7738 683
THIRAM TRIADIMEFON TRIFORINE VINCL OZOL IN	3554 453		40		259	2591	96	171				386	3940 2687 923
ZINEB	130											1082	130
Fungicide Totals	99075	108	135	192	1564	19749	1740	6748	489	879	1319	6759	138758

(Continued)

			crop and	Acre Tre	atments										
Active Ingre	edient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Acre Trimnts / Year	
Other Pesticid	les														
6-BENZYLADE	NINE	159												159	
ALKYLARYLPO	DLYOXYETHYLENE	48					31							79	
BROMOETHAN	E												24	24	
CALCIUM CHL	ORIDE	2019												2019	
CALCIUM HYD	ROXIDE	96												96	
CHLOROPICRIN	1												24	24	
CREOSOTE CO.	AL TAR	303												303	
DI-1-P-MENTHI	ENE: PINOLENE	299					1124							1423	
DICHLOROPRO	PENE												24	24	
IRON SOIL NUT	RIENIS	144								~			32	177	
NAPHIHALENI	EACETIC ACID	1/50								5				1/55	
NH3+ SUAPS U	F FAITT ACIDS	62												173	
DOTASSILIASA	ITS	4170							146	60	7			4383	
SUDILIN 2-DDU	PIONATE	10							140	00	,			10	
SOVREAN/VEG	FTARI E OILS	280								38				377	
ZINC PHOSPHA	TE	96								50				96	
Other Pesticides	Totals	9620	0	0	0	0	1155	0	146	103	7		105	11136	
Total for each cro		199766	180	767	308	2036	28341	5817	13098	1132	1680	2172	12325	267621	

Table 13. Acre Treatments of Fruit Crops Treated by Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticides in Ohio - 1990

(Continued)

Brambles= (Blackberries & Brambles)Grapes= (Table, Juice, & Wine)Melons= (Canataloupe, Melon, Muskmelon, Watermelon)Peach= (Nectarines & Peaches)Plums= (Plums & Prunes)
		Crop and	Pounds of	of Pestici	de Active	e Ingred	ient App	lied							
Active	Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Pounds Treated / Year	
Herbicide	÷														
2,4-D		391							153				342	886	
2,4-DB BENSULII	)E							419					182	182 419	
CHLORTH	AL-DIMETHYL (DCPA)							-117					2714	2714	
CYANAZI	NE			472										472	
DICHLOB									1207			263	853	1470	
DIURON		642		14		2	976		27	1	2	16	0.7.5	1679	
FLUAZIFO	P-P-BUTYL					_							8	8	
GLYPHOS.	ATE	6703	1	78		3	344		352	30		18	731	8261	
NAPROPA	MIDE	2		8			5						2676	2691	
NAPTALA	M	-		•			-	351					2010	351	
NORFLUR	AZON	812							0.50					812	
PAPAOLA	4 T	340		4			113		258	1	5	99		709 1483	
POLYCHL	OROBENZOIC ACID	6					115		110		5			1405	
SETHOXY	DIM				3							15	14	32	
SIMAZINE		2713				2	1242		225	22	30	401		4635	
TRIFLURA	, LIN	/11						59				21	381	1113	
Herbicide	Totals	13576	1	576	3	7	2680	820	2332	56	42	833	8470		
	1 (1419	15570	*			······		023	2332		42	833	0429	29302	
Insecticid	e														
AMIDITHI	N	2												2	
AMITRAZ		05.41		•		<b>A</b> 10	<i>co</i>		0.67	1			-	1	
AZINPHOS	-METHYL VIDSTAVI	9541	6	2	11	243	68	471	867	17	118	179	702	12224	
BARIUM P	OLYSULFIDE	8920					1							8920	
BENDIOCA	RB	249												249	
CARBARYI	L	2414		458	12	3	3842	1502	1188	10	128	110	148	9814	
CARBOFUL	KAN DIEOS	0725						20	690				210	20	
DIAZINON	dros	501			63				080			50	210	623	
DICOFOL		329			05				86	114				529	
DIMETHO	TE	265												265	
DORMANT	OIL	3255					14	479	101	-	14	10		3283	
ESEENVAL	FAN FRATE	0158 70					192	408	121	/		13	605	/624	
ETHION		79							'					79	
ETHYL PA	RATHION	169 '					2451		88			5		2713	
FENBUTAT	IN-OXIDE	1783											80	1863	
FENVALER	ATE	70								2				72	
TURMEIAI	NALE HIDKUCHLUKIDE	1072		90	53		210		1212	620		1929	1906	1072	
METHIOCA	RB	2012		70	55	12	219		1313	020		1020	1050	12	
METHOMY	L	182						66				18	65	331	
METHOXY	CHLOR	52							40				97	188	
METHYL P	AKATHION	141					477						89	707	

Table 14. Quantity of Specific Pesticides by Category Applied to Fruit Crops in Ohio - 1990

#### Table 14. Quantity of Specific Pesticides by Category Applied to Fruit Crops in Ohio - 1990

(Continued)

Total Pounds Treated

/ Year

	Crop and	Pounds of	of Pestici	ide Activo	e Ingred	ient App	lied					
Active Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	· Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry
MEVINPHOS						1	A.M. 999 (1997) (1997)					
OXAMYL	943					-						
OXYDEMETON-METHYL							9					
PERMETHRIN	297						42	4	6			
PETROLEUM OIL	20999				2	44		250	88	14		
PHOSMET	38726	15		4	305	317		3218	372	370		
PIPERONYL BUTOXIDE											1	
PROPARGITE	5325									52		
PYRETHRUM	2042											
THIODICARB									1			
Insecticide Totals	116187	21	551	142	564	7626	2579	7861	1239	696	2223	3952
Fungicide												
BENOMYL	3154		11	35	6	262	153	464	23	81	161	1124
CALCIUM POLYSULFIDES				105		532					735	
CAPTAFOL					84							
CAPTAN	79232	21	64	101	511	3770		3991	624	916	421	5868
CHLOROTHALONIL							1276	249				
COPPER (METALLIC)	1504					707			36			
COPPER HYDROXIDE	5227					172						
COPPER OXYCHLORIDE/CUSO4	36											
CUPRIC SO4 PENTAHYDRATE	3844					1606						
DICHLONE	14							10				
DINUCAP	11					124	17	7				
DUDINE	0948					154		/				
FENAKIMUL	1304	14			407	0474		957	2			
FEKBAM IDDODIONE	2040	14			421	5434 210		827	1		140	80
MANCOTER	1997				284	5624	208	7	02		140	80
MANUULED	1992					J024	298		95			

MANEB

PHALTAN

SULFUR

THIRAM TRIADIMEFON

ZINEB

TRIFORINE

VINCLOZOLIN

Fungicide Totals

METALAXYL

METAM-SODIUM

OXYTHIOQUINOX

STREPTOMYCIN

METIRAM-COMPLEX

SYSTHANE (MYCLOBUTANIL)

THIOPHANATE METHYL

		Crop and	Pounds o	of Pestici	de Activo	e Ingred	ient Appl	ied							
Active	Ingredient:	Apples	Apri cots	Blue berry	Bram bles	Cher ries	Grapes	Melons	Peach es	Pears	Plums	Rasp berry	Straw berry	Total Pounds Treated / Year	
Other Pe	sticides														
6-BENZY	LADENINE	1												1	
ALKYLA	RYLPOLYOXYETHYLENE	15					2						4820	17	
BROMOE	I'HANE CUI OPIDE	20738											4829	4829	
CALCIUM	(HYDROXIDE	385												385	
CHLOROI	PICRIN												4660	4660	
CREOSOI	E COAL TAR	1140												1140	
DI-1-P-ME	ENTHENE: PINOLENE	9					540							549	
DICHLOR	OPROPENE	100											7267	7267	
IRON SOL	L NUTRIENIS	122								1			39	1400	
NHILL SO	ADS OF FATTY ACIDS	52								1				52	
NTROGE	N	44												44	
POTASSIL	M SALTS	6934							285	33	5			7256	
SODIUM 2	2-PROPIONATE	3												3	
SOYBEAN	VEGETABLE OILS	578								76				654	
ZINC PHO	SPHATE	194												194	
Other Pes	ticides Totals	40623	0	0	0	0	542	0	285	109	5		16794	58358	
Total for e	ach cron	306546	57	1257	386	5076	96191	5296	41486	2227	2635	4576	45010	510744	

Table 14. Quantity of Specific Pesticides by Category Applied to Fruit Crops in Ohio - 1990

Brambles Grapes Melons

= (Blackberries & Brambles)
= (Table, Juice, & Wine)
= (Cantaloupe, Melon, Muskmelon, Watermelon)
= (Nectarines & Peaches)
= (Plums & Prunes)

Peaches

Plums

					Crop	and A	cres T	reate	d																	
	Active Ingredient:	Aspar agus	Beans	Bed ding Plants	Beets	Broc coli	Cab bage	Car rots	Cauli flower	Egg plant	Cucum bers	Greens	Let tuce	Onions	Oma mental Com	Pars lcy	Peas	Pep pers	Pota tocs	Pump kins	Rad ishes	Squash	Sweet Corn	Toma toes	Tur nips	Total Acres Treated / Year
	Herbicide																									
	24.D														1					109						109
	2,4-DB						255								*								120			120
	ATRAZINE						255				2420				10								4264			4274
	BENTAZON		12								2439						2						124			138
	BUTYLATE CHLORAMBEN																	1		863		938	469	904		469 2705
	CHLORTHAL-DIMETHYL (E CYANAZINE	)CPA)	1	177		3	140	18	32	27		526		3	13			1					2273			911 2304
	DICAMBA DIMETHAZONE																			196			582	_		582 196
	DIPHENAMID DIQUAT																		2727					7		7 2727
	DIURON EPTC	19																					19			19 19
	FLUAZIFOP-P-BUTYL GLYPHOSATE							1167											87				30	433		1167 550
	LINURON METOLACHLOR		834					1482											4285 4546				1295			5767 6676
	METRIBUZIN NAPROPAMIDE									21								96	2359					16703 51		19061 168
1.5	NAPTALAM PARAQUAT										1554			547					433				150			1554 1130
6	PEBULATE PROPACHLOR																						19	2911		2911 19
	PYRAZON (CHLORIDAZON) SETHOXYDIM	)			250														173					6458		250 6631
	SIMAZINE TERBACIL	12 8																								12 8
	TRIDIPHANE TRIFLURALIN		913			7	1167	1482	32		3	447			9		5	740		54		1	2	9666		11 14517
	Herbicide Totals	38	1760	177	250	10	1561	4150	64	48	3996	973	0	550	33		6	838	14609	1222	·····	939	12937	37132		81295
	Insectioide																									
	ACEPHATE		,	65		1			17									710								811
	ALDICARB		•	05		71			52										82							82 71
	AZINPHOS-METHYL			48		1	1074			39		973						28	4703					61		6928 366
	B.T. VAR. KURSTAKI	10	1			91	1669		138	9	2120	881			5			6	500	33		16	3178	37		2871
	CARBOFURAN	19	3034			3	127		JL	0	1271				0			6	783	12.55	2085	122	819	4370		2878
	DIAZINON				249		152 76					104	1204		,			17		2	2705		252	35		631
	DISULFOTON		930			.,•	916		212	20	041	194	1394				3	102	1761	772		642	130	2707		1846
	ESFENVALERATE		00			2	662		212	32 39	173	657	1394	2			,	79 716	3873	240		321	2621	6407		14415
	FENVALERATE		1	177		3	1007		32		o	0.57		5				10	160	87		712	383	1047		1517
	MALATHION	8	1	111		3	109		32		386	14		3			1	29	2976	176		1	8	64	1	728
	METHOMYL METHOMYL		6				43			32	21							718	2020 964	8		86	1385	96		2394
	MEVINPHOS	•	1			3	173		32					3					800				1307	1		2340 39

# Table 15. Acreage of Vegetable Crops Treated by Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticides in Ohio - 1990

	Table 15. Acreage of Veg	etable Crops Treated by	Pesticide Category a	and Specific Pesticides	; in Ohio - 1990
--	--------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	------------------

_				Crop	and A	cres T	reated	4																	
Active Ingredient:	Aspar agus	Beans	Bed ding Plants	Beets	Broc coli	Cab bage	Car rots	Cauli flower	Egg plant	Cucum bers	Greens	Let tuce	Onions	Oma mental Com	Pars ley	Peas	Pep pers	Pota toes	Pump kins	Rad ishes	Squash	Sweet Corn	Toma toes	Tur nips	Total Acres Treated / Year
NALED OXAMYL OXYDEMETON-METHYL PERMETHRIN PHORATE PHOSMET PHOSMET PYRETHRUM TERBUROS THIODICARB	8	6	81		43	560 995		212	7 32		973	1394			60	<u></u>	23 653 6	3858 4895 1272 1212	22 11			278 3424 6 1217 1851	6 7 6		1613 30 278 12067 4895 1305 1212 17 1217 1851
Insecticide Totals	35	4041	371	250	222	8581	0	721	190	4928	4984	4183	10	14	60	5	3280	28861	3046	2985	1660	19874	14955	1	104008
Fungicide	99999201878877887																.,			<u></u>					
ANILAZINE BENOMYL CAPTAN CHLOROTHALONIL COPPER (METALLIC) COPPER HYDROXIDE DINOCAP MANGOLER		834 1 13 834		249	3 3	5 72 188	1482 1482	244 244	23	169 1163 487 1	329		3			42 42	6 6 34 704	2 541	1013 1320 370 57		708 650 380 1	631	8155 2621 7 19881 383 14616		8155 5351 266 25540 383 20247 59
MANCOZEB MANEB METALAXYL STREPTOMYCIN THIOPHANATE-METHYL TRIADIMEFON VINCLOZOLIN		48	177			20 3			32	51		131				42	73 720 647	3462 3527 1666 9	283 653 773		5 648 321	601 75	7 36 1		4410 3831 3942 647 9 1094 131
Fungicide Totals	0	1730	177	249	6	288	2965	488	55	1924	329	131	3			126	2190	9207	4470		2712	1307	45708		74066
Other Pesticides AMENOPYRIDE CAPTAN ETHEPHON ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE GLYCOLS, IPA MALEIC HYDRAZIDE POT SALT MALEIC HYDRAZID POTASSIUM SALTS	DE	12			1	354								9		2		1861 108				150 2 105	17676 9		150 25 17676 11 105 1861 108 354
Other Pesticides Totals	0	12	0	0	1	354	0	0	0	0	0	0		9		2		1969				257	17685		20290
										100.15															
Total for each crop	73	7544	726	749	239	10784	7115	1273	294	10848	6285	4315	563	57	60	139	6308	54647	8738	2985	5311	34375	115480	1	279658

\* The captan listed in the "Other Pestucides" category is used for corn seed treatment.

Beans= (Green, Lima, Navy, Snap, Sprouts, String)Beets= (Red & Table)Cucumbers= (Cucumbers & Processed Pickles)Greens= (Kale, Mustard, Spinach)Squash= (Squash, Zucchini, Vine Crops)

40

				Crop	and A	cres T	reatm	lents																	
Active Ingredient:	Aspar agus	Beans	Bed ding Plants	Beets	Broc coli	Cab bage	Car rots	Cauli flower	Egg plant	Cucum bers	Greens	Let tuce	Onions	Oma mental Com	Pars ley	Peas	Pep pers	Pota to <del>c</del> s	Pump kins	Rad ishes	Squash	Sweet Com	Toma tocs	Tur nips	Total Acres Treated / Year
Herbicide																									
2,4-D 2,4-DB ALACHLOR ATRAZINE						509								1 10								120 3683 4339			1 120 4192 4349
BENSULIDE BENTAZON BUTYLATE		12								2442						2						237 582			2442 250 582
CHLORAMBEN CHLORTHAL-DIMETHYL (D CYANAZINE	CPA)	5	177		11	141	18	127	28		526		6	13			2 2		778		939	2217	905		2624 1024 2248
DICAMBA DIMETHAZONE DIPHENAMID DIQUAT																		2727	196			382	7		582 196 7 2727
DIURON EPTC FLUAZIFOP-P-BUTYL GLYPHOSATE	35						1167											87				19 60	433		35 19 1167 580
LINURON METOLACHLOR METRIBUZIN NAPROPAMIDE		834					2965		21	1667							96	4285 5351 2359				1325	25898 51		7250 7511 28257 168
NAP IALAM PARAQUAT PEBULATE PROPACHLOR PYRAZON (CHLORIDAZON)				250						1337			547					433				150 19	2911		1337 1130 2911 19 250
SETHOXYDIM SIMAZINE TERBACIL TRIDIPHANE	12 8			200										9				346				2	6458		6804 12 8
TRIFLURALIN		978			16	1168	1482	127		3	447					5	741		54		2		9669		14694
Herbicide Totals	54	1829	177	250	27	1819	5632	255	49	4001	973	0	553	33		7	841	15587	1028		940	13335	46332		93723
Insecticide																									
ACEPHATE ALDICARB AMITRAZ		13	258		3 71			32									2822	82							3128 82 71
AZINPHOS-METHYL B.T. THURINGIENSIS B.T. VAR. KURSTAKI		12	48		900	2158 8741		742	61		1946 4378						113 28	13184 1359	131		64		202 112		17712 1359 15109
CARBARYL CARBOFURAN CHLORPYRIFOS DIAZINON	31	6444		499	17	1559 132 153		191	30	3393 1271				23 9			819 11 34	515 1865	4507	2985	294	10754 819 835 263	254		34003 3966 3962 1215
DIMETHOATE DISULFOTON ENDOSULFAN		930 179			6'	3225 916 16		424	48	907	552 184	4183 1394				7	335	1978	1862		2087	267	7169		8710 1846 17114
ESFENVALERATE ETHYL PARATHION FENVALERATE		2	177		6	2214 3294		64	91	6 27	1315		6			1	281 1432	10517 1575	349 464 349		321 474	6289 6980 2005	10821 59 1047		30889 15699 3401
MALATHION METHAMIDOPHOS METHOMYL	23	7 24	177	1	11	109 1 127 153		127	1 48	772 283	16		3			1	59 1567	4008	342 19	1	2 102	8 4637	439 1047	1	1816 4135 7880
METHYL PARATHION						346												866				3672	1		4884

### Table 16. Acre Treatments of Vegetable Crops Treated by Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticides in Ohio - 1990

41

Table 16. Acre Treatments of	Vegetable Crops	Treated by Pesticide	Category and Spec	eific Pesticides in Ohio - 1990
------------------------------	-----------------	----------------------	-------------------	---------------------------------

				Crop	and A	cres 7	Freatm	ents				381,													
Active Ingredient:	Aspar agus	Beans	Bed ding Plants	Beets	Broc coli	Cab bage	Car rots	Cauli flower	Egg plant	Cucum bers	Greens	Let tuce (	Onions	Orna mental Corn	Pars ley	Peas	Pep pers	Pota toes	Pump kins	Rad ishcs	Squash	Sweet Corn	Toma tocs	Tur nips	Total Acres Treated / Year
MEVINPHOS NALED OXAMYL OXYDEMETON-METHYL PERMETHRIN PHORATE PHOSMET PIPERONYL BUTOXIDE PYRETHRUM TERBUFOS THIODICARB	8	1	81		3	1680 2362		32 424	29 33		3891 3024	2789	3		180		45 1306 23	7913 4895 2463 3635	65 11			330 9067 11 1160 7667	18 29 52		39 5652 74 330 27810 4895 2545 3635 63 1160 7667
Insecticide Totals	62	7624	565	500	1145	27188	0	2036	340	6660	15305	8367	13	32	180	10	8874	54854	8110	2986	3345	54779	27337	2	231815
Fungicide ANILAZINE BENOMYL CAFTAN CHLOROTHALONIL COPPER (METALLIC) COPPER (METALLIC) COPPER (METALLIC) COPPER (METALLIC) DINOCAP MANCOZEB MANEB MANEB METALAXYL STREPTOMYCIN IHIOPHANATE-METHYL TRIADIMERON VINCLOZOLIN		834 1 63 3337 191	177	748	3 9	10 533 188 81 13	4447 4447	456 520	38 64	5 2405 962 3 77 154	657	262	6			42 42 42	45 45 98 6748 259 2105 1294	9 1082 27049 16446 3073 43	1296 6781 566 113 1176 1568 2069		1066 3337 1563 2 16 1750 642	1262 1802 300	18817 4820 58 127710 766 39837 7 144 3		18817 8067 573 147222 766 60215 118 30128 17576 8795 1294 43 2711 262
Fungicide Totals Other Pesticides AMENOPYRIDE CAPTAN ETHEPHON ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE	0	4426	177	748	6	826	8895	976	102	3605	657	262	6	9		126 2	10595	47701	13570		8377	3364 150 2	192162 18542 75		296587 150 37 18542 82
GLTCOLS, IFA MALEIC HYDRAZIDE POT SALT MALEIC HYDRAZI POTASSIUM SALTS Other Pesticides Totals	DE 0	24	0	0	6	708 709	0	0	0	0	0	0		9		2		1861 108 1969			<u></u>	257	18617		105 1861 108 708 21593
Total for each crop	115	13903	920	1498	1189	30541	14527	3267	491	14266	16935	8629	572	75	180	144	20310	120112	22709	2986	12662	71736	284448	2	643718

\* The captan listed in the "Other Pesticides" category is used for corn seed treatment.

Beans = (Green, Lima, Navy, Snap, Sprouts, String) Beets = (Red & Table) Cucumbers = (Cucumbers & Processed Pickles) Greens = (Kale, Mustard, Spinach) Squash = (Squash, Zucchini, Vine Crops)

.

(Continued)

				Crop a	and P	ounds	of Pe	sticide	Acti	ve Ing	redien	t Applie	d												
Active Ingredient:	Aspar agus	Beans	Bed ding Plants	Beets	Broc coli	Cab bage	Car rots	Cauli flower	Egg plant	Cucum bers	Greens	Let tuce On	n ions	Orna nental Corn	Pars ley	Peas	Pep pers	Pota toes	Pump kins	Rad ishcs	Squash	Sweet Corn	Toma toes	Tur nips	Total Acres Treated / Year
Herbicide																									
2.4-D 2.4-DB ALACHLOR ATRAZINE BENSULIDE						1018				<del>9</del> 795				1 11								16 15527 12479			1 16 16545 12490 9795
BENTAZON BUTYLATE CHLORAMBEN CHLORTHAL-DIMETHYL (D	CPA)	6 29	1331		68	321		764	205		3155		48			1	3 17		2048		2031	230 2409	34	1	237 2409 4116 5939
CYANAZINE DICAMBA DIMETHAZONE DIPHENAMID							35							15					154			2219 142	36	-	2269 142 154 36
DIQUAT DIURON EPTC FLUAZIFOP-P-BUTYL	74						146											1428				56			1428 74 56 146
GLYPHOSATE LINURON METOLACHLOR METRIBUZIN NAPROPAMIDE		1668					1482		39	1004							138	87 2876 6805 1140				5 1211	650 10720 83		741 4359 9685 11860 260
NAPIALAM PARAQUAT PEBULATE PROPACHLOR PYRAZON (CHLORIDAZON)				787						4086			410					162				32 375	8841		4086 605 8841 375 787
SETHOXYDIM SIMAZINE TERBACIL TRIDIPHANE TRIFLURALIN	22 12	607			9	1803	741	80		3	223			6		4	402	97	68		2	1	1211 6727		1308 22 12 7 10669
Herbicide Totals	108	2310	1331	787	77	3142	2405	843	244	13884	3379	0	458	32		5	561	12595	2271		2032	34703	28302	1	109470
Insecticide																									
ACEPHATE ALDICARB AMITRAZ		7	387		2			24									1728	164							2148 164
AZINPHOS-METHYL B.T. THURINGIENSIS B.T. VAR. KURSTAKI CARBARYI	54	6479	22		9	1037 255		25	30	4797	681 139			22			55 2 849	6112 886	8 5441		4	12506	100 2 7463		8038 886 444 30302
CARBOFURAN CHLORPYRIFOS DIAZINON	34	0473		249	17	1505 173 153		191	30	1659	92	649		1			1	1528	1	4030	204	675 1109 69	232		3863 5313 712 2020
DISULFOTON ENDOSULFAN ESFENVALERATE EIHYL PARATHION		2139 98 1			1 3	689 14 117 1457		424 29	24 3	761 14	80 23 5259	048 232	3			5 1	179 38 5247	2957 4330 793	1071 93 230		1122 9 235	282 567 3636	5089 451 58		2828 12326 5609 16964
FENVALENCE FONOFOS MALATHION METHAMIDOPHOS METHOMYL METHOMYL PARATHION	36	14 21	532	2	2	582 64 69 173		18	29	1795 82	34						66 1288	6587 216	40 9552 40	2	55	19 19 1740 1847	652 286	2	475 1133 12177 6651 3610 2237

Table 17. Quantities of Specific Pesticides by Category Applied to Vegetable Crops in Ohio - 1990

€

				Crop a	and P	ounds	of Pe	sticide	Activ	ve Ing	redien	t Applie	d												
- Active Ingredient	Aspar agus	Beans	Bed dung Plants	Beets	Broc colı	Cab bage	Car rots	Cauli flower	Egg plant	Cucum bers	Greens	Let tuce On	ions	Orna mental Corn	Pars ley	Peas	Рер регя	Pota toes	Pump kms	Rad ish <del>es</del>	Squash	Sweet Corn	Toma to <del>cs</del>	Tur nips	Total Acres Treated / Year
MEVINPHOS NALED OXAMYL OXYDEMETON-METHYL PERMETHRIN PHORATE PHOSMET PHOSMET PYRETHRUM TERBUFOS THIODICARB	3	1	81		1	1680 522		16 86	14 8		3891 839	1116	2		22		23 260 8	650 9096 2476 11691	9 4			289 3062 17 1419 4869	1 11 6		20 5652 37 289 6791 9096 2532 11691 10 1419 4869
Insecticide Totals	93	8773	1022	251	55	9720	0	812	139	8098	10952	1996	5	23	22	6	9753	48036	16497	4031	1690	32521	14452	3	169310
r ungicide Anilazine BENOMYL ZAPTAN CHLOROTHALONIL COPPER (METALLIC) COPPER HYDROXIDE DINOCAP MANCOZEB MANCOZEB MANCOZEB METALAXYL STREPTOMYCIN HIOPHANATE-METHYL RIADIMEFON VINCLOZOLIN		313 1 84 334 286	71	75	3 9	21 523 125 122 25	5337 445	880 578	32 121	1 4168 212 48 231	592	2623	10			57 42 10	3 11 81 6597 392 879 73	13 180 40647 22892 6340 45	324 10000 566 11 2157 1371 237		267 4727 1774 1752 60	1022 2163 300	22136 756 14 210892 1179 14636 3 217 1		22136 1663 931 237501 1179 25609 12 45018 24439 10571 73 45 297 2623
Fungicide Totals	0	1018	71	75	12	817	5782	1458	153	4660	592	2623	10			109	8036	70118	14667		8581	3485	249834		372098
Other Pesticides MENOPYRIDE APTAN THEPHON THYLENE DIBROMIDE ULYCOLS, IPA FALEIC HYDRAZIDE OT SALT MALEIC HYDRAZII OTASSIUM SALTS	DE					212												4099 304				15 4	14833 108		15 14833 108 4099 304 212
Other Particidae Totale	0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				212		0										4404				10	14041		10576

537 26641 14922 4619

473

55

22

121 18350 135152 33435 4031 12303 70728 307529

Table 17. Quantities of Specific Pesticides by Category Applied to Vegetable Crops in Ohio - 1990

(Continued)

670454

4

\* The captan listed in the "Other Pesticides" category is used for corn seed treatment.

201 12101 2424 1113

144 13891 8186 3114

Beans = (Green, Lima, Navy, Snap, Sprouts, String) Beets = (Red & Table) Cucumbers = (Cucumbers & Processed Pickles) Greens = (Kale, Mustard, Spinach) Squash = (Squash, Zucchini, Vine Crops)

Total for each crop

44

	Acres	Treated	Acre	Treatments	Pounds a.i.	Applied
Active Ingredient	Reported	State Total	Reported	State Total	As Reported	State Total
Chloramben	353	1,681	353	1,681	965	4,595
Glyphosate	<b>9</b> 9	471	99	471	36	172
Metribuzin	3,675	17,500	4,234	20,162	1,372	6,533
Napropamide	1,536	7,314	1,536	7,314	1,628	7,752
Pebulate	1,430	6,810	1,430	6,810	4,655	22,167
Sethoxydim	232	1,105	232	1,105	<b>4</b> 6	219
Trifluralin	893	4,252	893	4,252	661	3,148
Herbicide	8,217	39,153	8,776	41,795	9,362	44,586
Azinphos-methyl	617	2,938	617	2,938	227	1,081
Carbaryl	1,044	4,971	1,044	4,971	1,094	5,210
Dimethoate	129	614	129	614	64	305
Endosulfan	1,907	9,081	1,907	9,081	1,362	6,486
Esfenvalerate	3,194	15,210	3,194	15,210	115	548
Fenvalerate	63	300	63	300	10	48
Methomyl	107	510	107	510	28	229
Methyl Parathion	169	805	169	805	67	319
Pyrethrum	787	3,748	787	3,748	122	581
Insecticide	8,015	38,167	8,015	38,167	3,109	14,807
Anilazine	3,675	17,500	4,212	20,057	4,005	19,071
Benomyl	1,441	6,862	1,441	6,862	396	1,886
Chlorothalonil	3,675	17,500	22,370	106,524	38,613	183,872
Copper	3,675	17,500	10,364	49,352	9,656	45,981
Metalaxyl	165	786	165	786	7	33
Fungicide	12,631	60,148	38,551	183,581	52,677	250,843
Ethephon	3,454	16,448	3,454	16,448	2,901	13,814
Other Pesticides	3,454	16,448	3,454	16,448	2,901	13,814
Total for each crop	32,318	153,895	58,796	279,991	68,050	324,050

Table 18. Pesticide Application for Processing Tomatoes in Ohio - 1990

			Type a	nd Met	hod of	f Applic	ation														
		Who A	pplied	Equ	ipment	Used			Me	thod of	Applica	ition				T	ype of .	Applicat	ion		Application Target
Сгор	Self	Cus tom	Not Speci fied	Aer ial	Grou nd	Not Speci fied	Air Blast	Band	Broad cast	Incor pora tion	Ir riga tion	Seed Treat ment	Spot	Fum iga tion	Not Speci fied	Di lute Spray	LV	Mist	Fog	Not Speci fied	Not Fol Speci iar fied
ASPARAGUS Herbicide Insecticide	100 100				100 100	<u>* 15 deserves en </u>			20 17	<u></u>	200300424100				80 83	80 83				20 17	100 100
BEANS (GREEN, LI	MA, NA	VY,	SNAP,	SPROU	JTS, S	STRING	5)														、
Herbicide	100				100		10		49	50					51	1				99	100
Insecticide	100				100		12	11	36	1					42	40				60	100
Other Pesticides	100				100				1			100			99	99				100	100
BEDDING PLANTS																					
Herbicide		100			100				100											100	100
Insecticide	46	54			100			31							69	69				31	100
Fungicide		100			100										100	100					100
BEETS (RED & TAB	LE)				100				100												100
Herbicide	100				100				100						100	100				100	100
Fungicide	100				100										100	100					100
RROCCOLI																					
Herbicide	100				100				11	5					89	84				16	100
Insecticide	94		6		94	6			13	5					87	81				19	100
Fungicide	100				100										100	100					100
Other Pesticides	100				100		100													100	100
CABBAGE																					
Herbicide	84		16		82	18	_	9	51	20					40	2				98	100
Insecticide	40	60			100		7	58	26						10	8				92	100
Other Pesticides		100			100			100	02						10	10				84 100	100
TADDOTS																					
Herbicide	100				100				53	26			21		27					100	100
Fungicide	100				100				55	20			21		100	100				100	100
CAULIFLOWER																					
Herbicide	100				100										100	100					100
Insecticide	100				100				21						79	79				21	100
Fungicide	100				100										100	100					100
EGGPLANT																					
Herbicide	1	33	66		34	66			33						67	1				99	100
Insecticide	39	38	24		76	24									100	39	38			24	100
rungicide	63		38		63	38				38					100		25			75	100
CUCUMBERS (CUCU	JMBER	S & P	ROCES	SSED P	ICKL	ES)									<b>.</b> .					~~	400
Herbicide	100	16			100		2	10	46	32					54	23				77	100
Fungicide	04 47	53			100		3	19	57						33	33	4			67	100
B1-1	-17	55			100		5		70						55	55					

Table 19. Treatment Acres & Percent of Pesticide Application by Various Techniques in Ohio -	1990
--	------

.

			Type and	d Metl	hod of	Applica	tion															
	1	Who Aj	pplied	Equ	ipment	Used			Me	hod of	Applica	tion				Т	pe of A	Applicati	ion		Applica	tion Target
Сгор	Self	Cus tom	Not Speci fied	Aer ial	Grou nd	Not Speci fied	Air Blast	Band	Broad cast	Incor pora tion	Ir riga tion	Seed Treat ment	Spot	Fum iga tion	Not Speci fied	Di lute Spray	LV	Mist	Fog	Not Speci fied	Fol iar	Not Speci fied
GREENS (SPINACH, Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	KALE, 46 9 100	MUS 54 91	STARD)		100 100 100			13	54 24	46					46 63 100	63 100				100 37		100 100 100
LETTUCE Insecticide Fungicide	100 100				100 100										100 100	100 100						100 100
ONIONS Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	100 100 100				100 100 100										100 100 100	100 100 100						100 100 100
ORNAMENTAL COR Herbicide Insecticide Other Pesticides	N 100 100 100				100 100 100		65	29	97 6			100	2		2	2				98 100 100		100 100 100
PARSLEY Insecticide	100				100										100	100						100
PEAS Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	100 100 100	50	50		100 100 50 100	50		25	25 17	30		100			50 100 83	20 100 33				80 67 100		100 100 100 100
PEPPERS Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	92 27 9	7 73 90	1		99 100 100	1	3	7	17 1	70					83 97 93	3 83 90	7 1			97 11 9		100 100 100
POTATOES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	82 72 68 100	18 28 32		28 32	100 72 68 100			9	69 61 52 41				1		31 30 48 59	31 23 38 59	7 10			69 70 53 41		100 100 100 100
PUMPKINS Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	56 77 54	44 23 46		4 4	100 96 96		48 25	23 25	67 10 23	8					33 19 26	14 15 26				86 85 74		100 100 100
SQUASH (SQUASH, 2 Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	ZUCCH 16 17 2	IINI, 67 72 91	VINE C 17 11 8	ROPS	) 83 87 92	17 13 8		16 75	72 3 8						28 80 17	2 10 9				98 90 91		100 100 100

Table 19. Treatment Acres & Percent of Pesticide Application by Various Techniques in Ohio - 1990

(Continued)

47

# Table 19. Treatment Acres & Percent of Pesticide Application by Various Techniques in Ohio - 1990

(Continued)

				Тур	e and	Met	hod of	Applic	ation																
			Who A	pplied	1	Equ	ipment	Used			Ме	thod of	Applica	ition				T	ype of A	Applicat	ion		Applic	ation Targe	t
Сгор	ſ	Self	Cus tom	No Spec fie	n r st si d	Aer ial	Grou nd	Not Speci fied	Air Blast	Banđ	Broad cast	Incor pora tion	Ir riga tion	Seed Treat ment	Spot	Fum iga tion	Not Speci fied	Di lute Spray	LV	Mist	Fog	Not Speci fied	Fol iar	Not Speci fied	٦
SWEET CORN Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides		73 76 9 100	26 23 91		1	2	99 98 100 100	1	1	22 38 58	62 35 54			1			38 42 9 41	27 29 9 41	1			72 71 91 59		100 100 100 100	
TOMATOES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides		73 81 90 84	27 18 10 15		1		96 100 99 100	4 1	2	1	47 58 56 55	25 3 3 4					53 40 43 45	21 31 38 41	6			79 63 62 59	3	97 100 100 100	
TURNIPS Herbicide Insecticide		100 100					100 100				59						100 41	100 41				59		100 100	
RADISHES Insecticide		100					100			100												100		100	
ESCAROLE Insecticide		100					100										100	100						100	
APPLES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides		88 95 96 92	1		2 4 3 8		66 96 97 88	34 4 3 12	2	17 1	3 5 5 16				1		80 94 93 83	28 37 34 34	10 45 51 33	1 1 3		62 16 14 30		100 100 100 100	
APRICOTS Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide		100 100 100					100 100 100										100 100 100	100 100 100						100 100 100	
BLUEBERRIES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide		100 100 100					26 100 100	74			4 51				21		96 79 49	22 70 20	9 29			78 21 51		100 100 100	
BLACKBERRIES & Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	BR	AMB 100 100 100	LES				100 100 100			100 15 18	30 24						55 58	40 32	15 26			100 45 42		100 100 100	
CHERRIES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide		100 100 100					100 100 100			50							50 100 100	50 1	99 100			50		100 100 100	

-----

Table 19	Treatment	Acres &	Percent of	of Pesticide	Application by	Various	Techniques in Ohio -	1990
----------	-----------	---------	------------	--------------	----------------	---------	----------------------	------

			Type ar	nd Metl	hod of	Applic	ation														
		Who A	pplied	Equ	ipment	Used			Me	ethod of	Applic	ation				T	ype of <i>l</i>	Applicat	ion		Application Target
Сгор	Self	Cus tom	Not Speci fied	Aer ial	Grou nd	Not Speci fied	Air Blast	Band	Broad cast	Incor pora tion	Ir riga tion	Seed Treat ment	Spot	Fum iga tion	Not Speci fied	Di lute Spray	LV	Mist	Fog	Not Speci fied	Not Fol Speci iar fied
GRAPES (TABLE, JU Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	JICE, & 100 100 100 100	WIN	E)		100 100 100 100		5 6	3 2	1 11 6			an taron yan sa Ake	6		89 84 88 98	89 65 49	17 32			11 18 19 100	100 100 100 100
MELONS (CANTALC Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	DUPE, N 100 100 100	MELC	DN, MU	ISKME	LON, 100 100 100	WATE	ERMEL 13 20	.ON) 6 1	15 15 4	1					79 71 76	18 13 46	43 26			82 44 28	100 100 100
NECTARINES & PEA Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	ACHES 100 94 100 100		6		100 94 100 100	6	3	47	3 4 2				3		47 93 98 100	47 50 60 100	28 30	1		53 21 10	100 100 100 100
PEARS Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	100 100 100 100				100 100 100 100			97							3 100 100 100	3 23 39 41	46 47 59	6	24 15	97 1	100 100 100 100
PLUMS (PLUMS & P Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	RUNES 100 100 100 100	5)			100 100 100 100			98							2 100 100 100	2 52 34 100	42 66	6		98	100 100 100 100
RASPBERRIES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide	100 100 100				100 100 100			43 42 41	38 20 27						20 38 32	20 36 29	2 3			81 62 68	100 100 100
STRAWBERRIES Herbicide Insecticide Fungicide Other Pesticides	54 68 80 100	11 5 4	35 27 16		65 73 84 100	35 27 16			42 46 40		1 3 21			23	57 51 40 77	19 23 15 31	4		1 3	81 77 79 69	100 100 100 100

49

•

(Continued)

	P	ercent of	Acreage T	reated with	h Specific	Herbicide	for Contro	l of Specif	fic Weed				
Major Weed Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	2,4-D	Di chlo benil	Diu ron	Gly pho sate	Na prop amide	Nor flu razon	Ory zalin	Para quat	Poly chlor oben zoic	Sima zine	Ter bacil	
APPLES Not Specified CRABGRASS DANDELIONS PERENNIAL WEEDS	32 0 0 0				4		2		2		2	2	
WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/	36 (ac) Low High	1 - 2 0.25 1.00	-	3 1- 1 1.00 4.00	9 1- 12 0.01 3.00	1- 1 4.00 4.00	2 1- 1 2.20 3.50	1 1- 1 0 50 3.00	10 1- 3 0.13 3.50	8- 8 0.13 0.13	9 1- 3 0.63 13.00	2 1- 1 0.08 3.00	
APRICOTS Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/	100 (ac) Low High	-	-	-	100 1- 1 0.02 0.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CHERRIES Not Specified PERENNIAL WEEDS WEEDS	15 1 1				1								
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/	'ac) Low High	-	-	1- 1 3.00 3.00	1- 1 0.50 0.50	-	-	-	-	-	1- 1 2.50 2.50	-	
NECTARINES & PEACHES Not Specified wEEDS	12 36	2	2	1	83			12	2 8		9		
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/	'ac) Low High	1.00 1.00	1- 1 150.00 150.00	3.00 3.00	0.13 1.00	-	-	0.01 1.50	0.08 1.00	-	0.75 10.00	-	
PEARS Not Specified WEEDS	6 23			1	4			6	6		6 7		
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/	ac) Low High	-	-	1- 1 3.00 3.00	1- 3 0.50 1.00	-	-	1- 1 0.40 0.40	1- 1 0.05 0.05	-	1- 1 0.50 10.00	-	
PLUMS (PLUMS & PRUNES) WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Pate (b/	49 (ac) Low	-	-	1 1- 1 3 00	-	-	-	15 1- 1 0 40	15 1- 1 0.05	-	19 1- 1 0.50	-	
rippiouton Rate (10/	High			3.00				0.50	0.63		4.00		

•

### Table 20. Major Weed Problems on Tree Fruits for Which Growers Applied Herbicides in Ohio - 1990

Main         And         Main         And         Main         And         Main         And         Main         Mai			Per	ent of	Acre	age	Trea	ated v	with S	pecific	Inse	cticide	for (	Contro	ol of S	pecifi	ic Inse	ct														
APPLES       No	Major Insect Problem	Percer Acreag Treate	nt e Am d thi	idi Ar on tra	Az pho ni Me	in os th yl	Bar ium Poly slfds	Bendi ocarb	Carb aryl	Chlor pyri fos	Diaz inon	Dico fol	Di meth oate	Endo sul fan	Esfen val erate	Eth ion	Ethyl Para thion	Fenbu tatin Oxide	Fen val erate	For met anate HCL	Mala thion	Methi ocarb	Meth omyl	Meth oxy chlor	Meth yl Para thion	Oxam yl	Per meth rin	Petro leum Oil	Phos met	Pro par gite	Pyre thrum	Thio di carb
NA specified       61       6       4       2       1       3       2       2       2       3       5       1       9       1         METEL MONTS       10       5       1       7       1       20       1       3       5       1       4       1	APPLES					_				_	_							_		_							_	-		_		
Implementation         Impleme	Not Specified	6	1			6	4	2	1	3	2							2		2							3	3	14	9	1	
Interting         No         J <thj< td=""><td>APPLE APHIDS</td><td>1</td><td>8 0</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>'</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thj<>	APPLE APHIDS	1	8 0			5			1	'																			1			
CODIAND MOTH       40       13       1       1       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       3       5       3       5       3       1 <th1< th="">       1       1       <th1< th=""> <t< td=""><td>BEETLES</td><td>•</td><td>ŏ</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></th1<></th1<>	BEETLES	•	ŏ			5			1																				-			
INSECTS, GENERAL       29       6       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       3       5       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       1       2       1	CODLING MOTH	4	Ĵ.		1	3			1																1				26			
MAPAROSE INFERTLES       2       .	INSECTS, GENERAL	2	9			6			2	2	2																4		10			
LEAFMONPER 5	JAPANESE BEETLES		2						1																							
LAMANNEK       17	LEAFHOPPER		5							1																						
LAPAR POLLER       9       1 <th1< th="">       1       1       <th1< th=""> <th1< td=""><td>LEAFMINER</td><td>1</td><td>7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td>5</td><td>3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<></th1<></th1<>	LEAFMINER	1	7												1								3			5	3					
MT183       5       1       2       1       2       1       10       5       13       12       1         RED MT185       7        3       2        3       2        1       2         RED MT185       7        3       2        3       2        1       2         SCALES       32        3       2        2       8        1       2       8         1       2        1       2        1        1       2        1        1        1        1        1        1<	MAGGOTS		9			1											1												8			
MOTTRS       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I         RED MITSE       7       .       .       .       .       .       .       .       1       2       .       1       2       .       .       1       .       2       .       .       1       .       2       .       .       1       .       2       .       .       1       .       2       .       .       .       .       .       .       1       .       1       .	MITES	5	ž			1				2		1						10		5							5	13	2	12		
RED MITES       7       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1 <th1< th="">       1       <th1< th=""> <th1< t<="" td=""><td>MOTHS</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<></th1<></th1<>	MOTHS	2	1			•				2		-								·							·		1			
SAN DORE SCALE       5       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       3       1       1       1       1       2       1       1       1       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       3       1	RED MITES		7															3		2								1		2		
SCALES       32       19       2<	SAN JOSE SCALE		5							3																		1				
TARKING PLAN BUO       3       1       1       2       2         WHITER V       0<	SCALES	3	2							19				-													2	8				
Marcine Let	TAKNISHED PLANT BUG		3										2	2																		
WORM       I	WOOLY APPLE APHID		0																													
No. of Applicationa Low - High Application Rate (blac) Low (High       1 - 1       1 -	WORMS		1			1																										
Application Rate (b/w)       Low       0.50       0.25       2.00       0.06       0.69       0.69       0.06       0.06       0.01       0.11       0.25       1.00       0.25       3.00       1.10       0.13       0.03       0.50       0.06       0.10       0.11         APRICOTS       BORRS       100       1.00       1.00       1.00       0.75       0.00       1.25       0.75       0.00       1.00       0.75       0.00       0.75       0.00	No. of Applications Low - High		- 1-	1 -	1-1	2 1	1-2	1-1	1-11	1-12	1-3	1-8	1-2	1-2	1-3	10-10	1-5	1-12	1-2	1-2	2-7	-	1-2	2-4	2-3	1-7	1-1	1-2	1-16	1-12	3-15	-
High       0.50       7.00       15.00       2.00       4.00       5.00       4.00       0.19       0.19       0.19       0.01       2.00       1.50       1.20 <th1.20< th="">       1.20       1.20</th1.20<>	Application Rate (lb/ac) 1	Low	0.:	50	0.2	5	2.00	2.00	0.06	0.06	0.69	0.50	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.25	1.00		0.25	3.50	0.16	0.13	0.03	0.50	0.06	0.01	0.13	
APARCO1S         INSECTS, GENERAL       100         No. of Applications Low High       2 2 2	1 DDLCOTT	High	0.:	50	7.0	0 1	5.00	2.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	0.19	0.19	0.63	0.07	3.00	2.00	0.50	1.50	10.00		0.75	10.00	1.20	1.25	0.75	20.00	15.00	8.00	1.50	
DUCKINS       100         INSECTS, GENERAL       100         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       -       -       -       5       5       -<	APRICOTS		-																													
Investers       Distribution       Distrestribution       Distrestrestrip	BUKEKS	10	0			~																							100			
Application Rate (like) Low       1.00       1.00       1.00         High       1.00       1.00         CHERRIES       1.00       1.00         Not Specified       86       62       15         MITES       0       1       1         No. of Applications Low - High       -       2.5       -       3.3       -	No. of Applications Low - High	10			, IU	2							_	_			_					-							100			
High       1.00         High       1.00         CHERRIES       9       15         Not Specified       86       62       9       15         MITES       0       1       1       1       1       1       1         MITES       0       1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th="">       1</th1<></th1<>	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	-	-	10	ñ	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	•	•	•	•	•	1 00	•	•	•
CHERRIES       Not Specified       86       62       9       15         FRUIT FLY       5       5       1       1       1         Not. of Application Rate (bloc) Low       2.00       0.75       0.19       2.00       2.00         Net Specified       34       4       2       0.75       0.19       2.00       2.00         Net Specified       34       4       2       4       16         Not Specified       34       4       2       4       16         Not Specified       34       4       2       4       16         OBDERS       9       3       3       3       3       3         CODLING MOTH       3       3       3       3       3       3         IAPANESE BEETLES       3       3       3       3       3       3         IAPANESE BEETLES       3       3       3       3       3       3       3         IAPANESE BEETLES       3       3       3       3       3       3       3         IAPANESE BEETLES       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	High			1.0	õ																							1.00			
Not Specified       86       62       9       15         FRUIT FLY       5       5       1       1         Not of Applications Low - High       -       2.5       -       3.3       -       -       1-1       1       1-1       1-1	CHERRIES	-																														
FRUÎT FLY       5       5       1         MTES       0       1	Not Specified	8	6		6	2																9							15			
MITES       0         No. of Applications Low - High       - 2 - 5 - 3 - 3 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	FRUIT FLY		5			5																							1			
No. of Application Low - High       -       2 - 5       -       3       -       -       1 - 1 <th1< th="">       1 - 1       1 - 1</th1<>	MITES		D												•														•			
Application Rate (lb/sc) Low       2.00       2.00       0.075       0.19       2.00       2.00         High       2.40       2.00       0.75       0.19       2.00       10.00         NeCTARINES & PEACHES       0       0.75       0.19       2.00       10.00         Not Specified       34       4       2       4       16         APHIDS       0       3       3       3       3         CODLING MOTH       3       3       3       3       3         FRUT MOTH       9       3       3       3       3         INSECTS, GENERAL       54       23       3       3       3         MITES       4       2       2       2       2         MOTHS       2       3       3       3       3         MITES       4       2       3       3       3       3	No. of Applications Low - High		•	-	2-	5	-	-	3-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	•	-	1-1	-	-	1-1	-	-	1-1	1-5	-	-	-
Ingit2.402.000.750.192.000.00NECTARINES & PEACHESNot Specified3442416APHIDS000000BORERS93333CODLING MOTH33333FRUIT MOTH913131JAPANESE BEETLES3322MITES4122ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH37102143	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low			2.0	0			2.00													0.75			0.19			2.00	2.00			
Net Sac PEACHESNot Specified3442416APHIDS00000BORERS93333CODLING MOTH39319INSECTS, GENERAL54233131JAPANESE BEETLES3312MITES4122ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH37102143	NEGTABILIES & DEACH	Tra			2.4	U			2.00													0.75			0.19			2.00	10.00			
Not SpecificationS442410APHIDS0000000BORERS9333930CODLING MOTH3333100INSECTS, GENERAL5423313100IAPANESE BEETLES333100MITES4122000ORIENTAL, FRUIT MOTH371021433	Net Specified	ies																											16			
BORERS     9     3       CODLING MOTH     3     3       FRUIT MOTH     9     9       INSECTS, GENERAL     54     23       JAPANESE BEETLES     3     31       MITES     4     1       MOTHS     2     2       ORIENTAL, FRUIT MOTH     3	APHIDS	3	4 D			4			2																			4	10			
CODLING MOTH33FRUIT MOTH9INSECTS, GENERAL54JAPANESE BEETLES3MITES4MOTHS2ORIENTAL, FRUIT MOTH37	BORERS		9							3																						
FRUIT MOTH99INSECTS, GENERAL542331IAPANESE BEETLES331MITES411MOTHS222ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH3710214	CODLING MOTH		3							Ū																			3			
INSECTS, GENERAL 54 23 IAPANESE BEETLES 3 3 3 MITES 4 1 MOTHS 2 2 ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH 37 10 21 4 3	FRUIT MOTH	1	9																										9			
JAPANESE BEETLES     3     3       MITES     4     1       MOTHS     2     2       ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH     37     10     21     4     3	INSECTS, GENERAL	5	4		2	3																							31			
MITES 4 1 MOTHS 2 2 ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH 37 10 21 4 3	JAPANESE BEETLES	:	3						3																							
MOINS 2 2 2 ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH 37 10 21 4 3	MITES		4																									1	•			
	ODIENTAL EDUIT MOTU	2	27		1	^			21																				2			
PFACH TREE BORERS 33 22 4 4	PEACH TREE BORERS	3	á		1	v			21	22					4		4												4			
STINK BUOS 4 4	STINK BUGS		4			4				~~					•														·			
No. of Applications Low - High 1 - 7 1 - 8 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 2 - 2 - 1 - 2 7 - 7 4 - 4 12 - 12 1 - 2 1 - 8	No. of Applications Low - High		-	-	1-	7	-	-	1-8	1-1	-	1-2	-	-	2-2	-	1-2	-	-	-	7-7	-	-	4-4	-	-	12-12	1-2	1-8	-	-	-
Application Rate (Ib/ac) Low         0.25         0.25         0.13         1.00         0.13         0.25         2.00         10.00         0.02         1.00	Application Rate (lb/ac) I	Low			0.2	5			0.25	0.13		1.00			0.13		0.25				2.00			10.00			0.02	1.00	0.40			
High 4.00 6.00 0.75 8.00 0.13 2.00 2.00 10.00 0.02 6.00 10.00	ł	ligh			4.0	0			6.00	0.75		8.00			0.13		2.00				2.00			10.00			0.02	6.00	10.00			

	J	Percen	t of A	creag	e Tre	ated w	ith S <sub>1</sub>	pecific	Insec	cticide	for C	Contro	ol of S	pecifi	ic Inse	ct													
Major Insect Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Amidi thion	Ami traz	Azin phos Meth yl	Bar ium Poly slfds	Bendi ocarb	Carb aryl	Chlor pyri fos	Diaz inon	Dico fol	Di meth oate	Endo sul fan	Esfen val erate	Eth ion	Ethyl Para thion	Fenbu tatin Oxide	Fen val erate	For met anate HCL	Mala N thion o	1ethi Meth carb omyl	Meth oxy chlor	Meth yl Para ( thion	Oxam yl	Per meth rin	Petro leum Oil	Phos met	Pro par Pyre gite thrum	Thio di carb	
PEARS																													
Not Specified	70		2	7			6						6				12							4	3	24		6	
APPLE APHIDS	9			9																									
CODLING MOTH	17			2																						15			
INSECTS, GENERAL	36																		6							30			
LEAFMINER	3																									3			
MITES	59									39															18				
MOTHS	1						1																			6			
SCALES	6																								6				
No. of Applications Low - High		-	1-1	2-7	-	-	2-2	-	-	2-8	-	-	1-1	-	-	-	1-1	-	7-7		-	-	-	1-2	1-1	1-12		1-1	
Application Rate (lb/ac) L	XOW		0.50	0.50			2 00			2.00			0.07				0.03		2.00					0.06	0.25	0.05		0.06	
н	ligh		0.50	1.00			2.00			4.00			0.07				0.13		2.00					1.00	6.00	8.00		0.06	
PLUMS (PLUMS & PRUI	NES)																												
Not Specified	79			39			4								1											36			
JAPANESE BEETLES	18						18																						
MITES	43																								1		24		
SCALES	3																								3				
No. of Applications Low - High		-	-	4-7	-	-	1-12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2-2	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1-1	1-8	2-2 -	•	
Application Rate (lb/ac) L	.ow			0.50			0.17								1.00										0.13	2.00	3.00		
н	ign			1.20			5.00								1.00										4.00	3.00	3.00		

Table 21	Major Insec	t Problems on	Tree Fruit f	or Which	Growers	Applied	Insecticides in	o Ohio -	1990
----------	-------------	---------------	--------------	----------	---------	---------	-----------------	----------	------

(Continued)

•

*******	J	Percer	nt of a	Acrea	ge Tre	eated	with S	pecific	- Fung	gicide	for C	ontro	l of S	pecific	Dise	ase													
Major Disease	Percent Acreage	Ben	Can	Can	Chlor othal	Cop per, Metal		Cop	Cu pric SO4	Dich	Dino	Do	Fenar	Fer	Ipro	Man		N Meta	Aetam Sodi	Meti ram Com	Oxy thio oui	Phal	Strep	Sul	Svs	Thio phan ate	Thi	Tri for	
Problem	Treated	omyl	tafol	tan	onil	lic	CuOH	OxyCl	Penta	lone	cap	dine	imol	bam	dione	cozeb l	Maneb	laxyl	um	plex	nox	tan	mycin	fur	thane	CH3	ram	ine	Zineb
APPI ES	1.007000000000000000			n-texterit			21501025053	**********			0:2007.951		- CECENCER O																
Not Specified	100	22		36		2	4		3			28	17	4		3	2			6	12		16	3	15		1	2	
BACTERIAL DISEASES	0																												
BULLS-EYE	0																												
DISEASES	0																						2						
FINE BLIGHT	5					1			1														3						
FUNGUS	i			1																									
LEAF SPOT	2																						2						
MILDEW	6																				6								
POWDERY MILDEW	0																												
ROTS	0	16										2	¢																
SOOTY BLOTCH		15		23								5	5			I									5	1	2		
No. of Applications Low - High	· ·	1-13	-	1-15	-	1-2	1-8	1-1	1-8	1-1	1-3	1-14	1-10	1-4	-	1-8	1-3	1-1	-	1-12	1-1	1-1	1-7	1-10	1-12	5-5	2-10	1-3	3-3
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	0.02		0.06		4.00	5.00	5.00	0.17	1.00	0.25	0.01	0.01	1.40		0.05	0.75	0.02		1.00	1.00	2.00	0.09	1.00	0.01	0.94	1.40	0.08	0.22
I	ligh	5.00		15.00		8.00	16.00	5.00	16.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	1.13	5.00		8.00	5.00	1.00		7.50	5.00	2.00	12.00	14.00	8.00	0.94	6.00	0.28	0.22
APRICOTS																													
Not Specified	100	100		100										100				100											
No. of Application Low - High		1-1	-	7-7	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	2-2	-	-	-	2-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Application Rate (intac)	ligh	0.02		1.00										1.50				0.13											
CHERRIES																													
Not Specified	100	1	45	18										62	62									62				55	
LEAF SPOT	4																										•	4	
No. of Applications Low - High		2-6	1-1	3-6	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	2-2	2-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	3-3	•	-	-	1-2	-
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	0.25	1.00	2.00										3.00	2.00									8.00				0.13	
NECTADINES & DEACH	iiga	1.00	1.00	10.75										3.00	2.00									8.00				0.20	
Net Specified	100	24		15	17									15				£	•					24				12	
BROWN ROT	8	24		43	17					T				15		1		U	2					4					
CURL LEAF	4			·										4										-					
DISEASES	1			1																									
FUNGUS	0																												
POWDERY MILDEW	0																												
SCAB	12	4		6														•						2					
No. of Applications Low - High		2-9	-	1-8	1-3	-	-	-	-	1-1	-	3-3	-	1-2	-	1-1	-	2-2	1-1	-	-	-	-	1-7	-	-	-	1-2	-
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	0.03		0.38	0.13					2.00		0.75		0.75		1.00		0.13	30.00					0.50				0.08	
) Diring	ligh	0.80		10.00	0.38					2.00		0.75		6.00		1.00		0.13	30.00					18.00				2.38	
PEARS Not Specified	100					-																							
DISRASES	001 A	11		35		3								2		27							44	0					
FIRE BLIGHT	9			0																			9						
PEAR SCAB	6					6																	-						
SCAB	21	1		21		-																							
SOOTY BLOTCH	3			3																									
No. of Applications Low - High		3-8	-	1-8	-	1-8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-1	-	1-1	-	-	-	•	-	-	1-4	8-8 100	-	-	-	-	-
Application Kate (16/ac)	Low Lish	0.25		8 00		5.00								1.50		1.00							2.00	1.00					
PLUMS (PLUMS & PPU	NES	0.75		0.00		5.00								1.50		0.00													
Not Specified	79	19		43																				18					
BROWN ROT	12	6		6																									
No. of Applications Low - High		3-9	-	4-9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	9-9	-	-	-	-	-
Application Rate (lb/ac)	LOW	0.25		2.00																				5.00					
l l	ngn	U.0/		0.00																				5.00					

Table 22. Major Disease Problems on Tree Fruit for Which Growers Applied Fungicides in Ohio - 1990

	F	Percent o	f Acreage	e Treated	with Sp	ecific Otl	her Pestic	ide for C	control of	f Specific	Problem	1		
Major Other Pesticide Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	6-Ben zylad enine	Sprea der X-77	СаОН	Creo sote Coal Tar	Pino lene	Dichl oro pro pine	Iron Soil Nutr ients	NAA	Nitro gen	Potas sium Salts	Sodi um 2- Propi onate	Soy bean Oil	Zinc Phos phate
APPLES Not Specified ELONGATION GROWTH REGULATOR MICE NUTRIENT DEFICIENCY STICKER STOP DROP THINNING	21 1 9 0 2 0 0 5	1			3				2 4 5	1	14			
VOLES No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I H	0 .ow ligh	1- 1 0.06 2.00	2- 2 0.31 0.31	4- 4 4.00 4.00	1- 1 6.40 6.40	1- 6 0.03 0.09	-	2- 2 0.25 0.25	1- 7 0.01 20.00	1- 1 3.50 3.50	1- 10 1.00 10.00	1- 1 0.50 0.50	6- 6 2.00 2.00	1- 2 6.00 20.00
NECTARINES & PEACHES Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I H	2 .ow ligh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 1- 7 0.67 2.00	-	-	-
Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	38 .ow ligh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6 1- 1 0.06 0.06	-	26 2- 3 0.50 1.25		6 8- 8 2.00 2.00	-
PLUMS (PLUMS & PRUNES) Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	6 .ow igh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6 1- 1 0.67 0.67	-	-	

### Table 23. Major Other Problems on Tree Fruit for Which Growers Applied Other Pesticides in Ohio - 1990

	]	Percent	of Ac	reage '	Freated	with S	Specific	e Herbi	cide fo	or Cont	trol of	Specifi	c Wee	d		
	Percent Acreage Treated	2,4-D	2,4- DB	Ben sul ide	DCPA	Cyan azine	Di phe namid	Diu ron	Flu azi fop-P Butyl	Gly pho sate	Met ola chlor	Na prop amide	Nap talam	Ter bacil	Tri flur alin	
BLACKBERRIES & BRAMBL	ES II															
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I H	.ow ligh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLUEBERRIES Not Specified WEEDS	54 24					54		2		14		6				
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	.ow ligh	-	-	-	-	2- 2 2.00 2.00	-	1- 1 4.00 4.00	-	2- 2 0.25 0.25	-	1- 1 0.88 0.88	-	-	-	
GRAPES (TABLE, JUICE, & Not Specified BROADLEAVES	WINE) 12 2							11								
WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L	64 .ow	-	-	-	-	-	-	18 1- 1 1.00	-	15 1- 1 0.13	-	1- 1 3.04	-	-	-	
MELONS (CANTALOUPE, M Not Specified GPASSES	ielon,	MUSI	KMEL	ON, V 11	VATER	MELO	ON)	3.50		0.50		3.04	11		1	
WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L	11 .ow	-	-	1- 3 0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6 1-3 1.25	-	6 1- 1 0.25	
RASPBERRIES WEEDS	igh 33			1.50				1		3			2.00	4	0.25	
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	ow igh	-	-	-	-	-	-	1- 1 3.00 3.00	-	1- 1 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	1- 1 0.15 1.00	-	
STRAWBERRIES Not Specified BROADLEAVES CANADA TURTLE	87 10	5 7	3 2		19		3			11	3	20		20		
CANADA THISTLE FOXTAIL GRASSES WEEDS	0 1 3 92	7	1		5		1		2			34		34		
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	ow igh	1 - 1 0.13 0.38	1- 1 0.25 2.00	-	1- 2 6.00 12.00	-	1- 1 4.40 10.00	-	1- 1 0.25 0.25	1- 1 0.13 2.67	2- 2 1.00 1.00	1- 2 2.00 8.00	-	1- 2 0.25 1.00	-	

Table 24. Major Weed Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Herbicides in Ohio - 1990

	F	Percent	of Ac	reage T	reated	with S	pecific	e Insect	icide f	or Con	trol of	Specif	ic Inse	ect				
Major Pe Insect Act	rcent eage	Azin phos Me	Car	Chlor pyri	Diaz	Endo sul	Ethyl Para	Fenbu tatin	Mala	Meth	Meth oxy	Meth yi Para	Mevin	Oxyde meton Meth	Per meth	Petro leum	Phos	Piper onyl Butox
	eated	thyl	baryl	tos	inon	fan	thion	Oxide	thion	omyl	chlor	thion	phos	yl	rin	Oil	met	1de
BLACKBERRIES & BRAMBLES BORERS ERUITWORM	28		17		11													
JAPANESE BEETLES LEAFHOPPER	17 11	11			45												17	
MOSQUITOS	17	2 2	1 1		<b>~</b> ~				17								, ,	
Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) Low High		2.00 2.00	2.00 2.00	-	2- 3 2.00 3.00	-	-	-	0 38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-
BLUEBERRIES		2000	2.00		0100				0100								1.00	
APHIDS FRUITWORM	6 9		9						6									
MAGGOTS	21	2	18															`
No. of Applications Low - High	0	3. 3	1- 5	-	_	-	_	_	1- 1	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	-
Application Rate (lb/ac) Low High		0.25 0.25	0.03 2.50						0.09 2.00		_			-				
GRAPES (TABLE, JUICE, & WI	NE)																	
Not Specified	17					6	9					2						
BEETLES REPRY MOTH	11	1	22			1	10					10						
CODUNG MOTH	5	5	23				19					10					1	
CUTWORMS	5	5															5	
INSECTS, GENERAL JAPANESE BEETLES	10 19		9 19														2	
LEAFROLLER MITES	0 3															2		
MOSQUITOS MOTHS	5						1		5							-		
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) Low		1- 1 1.00	1- 8 0.25	-	-	1- 3 1.00	1- 4 0.03	-	1- 4 0.25	-	-	1- 5 0.25	1- 1 0.13	-	-	1- 1 1.00	1- 5 1.00	-
MELONG (CANTALOUDE MEL	ON	L.UU	4.00 784171 4	ONT W	ATED	3.00 MEL C	3.00		2.00			0.50	0.13			1.00	3.00	
Not Specified	54	12		<b>JIN, W</b>	AICK	IVIELU	11()			11								
APHIDS	11	12	11			15				11								
BEETLES	21		15			7												
CABBAGE LOOPER CUCUMBER BEETLES	0 86	11	32			14								11	10			
FLEA BEETLE INSECTS, GENERAL	1 2		1 2															
WUKMS No. of Applications Low High	5	2 10	1 6			2 5	1 1								7 F			
Application Rate (lb/ac) Low High		2-10 1.00 1.50	0.25 3.00	-	-	2- 8 0.17 1.50	1- 1 1.00 1.00	-	2- 2 0.06 0.06	2- 2 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	I- I 0.06 0.06	3- 5 0.05 0.06	-	-	-

Table 25. Major Insect Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Insecticides in Ohio - 1990

	Р	ercent	of Ac	reage T	reated	with S	pecific	e Insec	ticide f	or Con	trol of	Speci	fic Inse	ect					
Major Insect Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Azin phos Me thyl	Car baryl	Chlor pyri fos	Diaz inon	Endo sul fan	Ethyl Para thion	Fenbu tatin Oxide	Mala thion	Meth omyl	Meth oxy chlor	Meth yl Para thion	Mevin phos	Oxyde meton Meth yl	Per meth rin	Petro leum Oil	Phos met	Piper onyl Butox ide	
RASPBERRIES																			
Not Specified	3						1			1									
APHIDS	6	1							5										
BEETLES	0																		
FRUITWORM	1					1													
INSECTS, GENERAL	9		3		3				3										
JAPANESE BEETLES	2								1									1	
LEAFHOPPER	9	6	3																
SAP BEETLE	2		1						1										
No. of Applications Low - High		4-6	1-4	-	3-3	2-2	2-2	-	1-2	2-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-1	
Application Rate (lb/ac) ]	Low	0.25	0.06		2.00	2.00	0.03		1.50	1.00								0.25	
	ligh	2.00	2.00		2.00	2.00	0.03		8.00	1.00								0.25	
STRAWBERRIES																			
Not Specified	27	12	1			12				2									
APHIDS	1	1	~																
CIDDEDS	2		3	0															
FRUITWORM	2		2	,															
INSECTS GENERAL	14	6	3			1						6							
LEAFHOPPER	6	v				1			6			U							
MITES	6							6	v										
SPITTLEBUG	32	Q	1			22		v											
TARNISHED PLANT BUG	31	24	•			7													
No. of Applications Low - High		1-3	1-2	1-2	-	1-2	-	1-1	1-4	3-3	4-4	2-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Application Rate (lb/ac) I	Jow	0.25	1.00	0.13		0.14		2.00	0.13	1.00	10.00	0.25							
H	ligh	3.50	2.00	0.25		2.00		2.00	10.00	1.00	10.00	0.25							

Table 25. Major Insect Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Insecticides in Ohio - 1990

(Continued)

۰.

•

					-6-1	- Cuitou		Spoo	1110 1	ungic				or ob		150									
Major Disease Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Ben omyl	Cal cium Poly sulfd	Cap tan	Chlor othal onil	Cop per, Metal lic	CuOH I	Cu pric SO4 Penta	Dino cap	Do dine	Fer bam	Ipro dione	Man cozebN	Maneb	Meta laxyl	Phal tan 1	Strep to nycin	Sul fur	Sys thane	Thio phan ate CH3	Thi ram	Triad ime fon	Tri for ine	Vin clo zolin	
BLACKBERRIES & BF Not Specified FUNGUS No. of Applications Low - Hi Application Rate (lb/ac) 1 F	RAMBI 100 34 gh Low High	ES 73 11 2-5 0.13 1.00	45 11 1- 1 12.00 15.00	28 11 3- 5 2.00 5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BLUEBERRIES Not Specified No. of Applications Low - Hi Application Rate (lb/ac) J	33 gh Low ligh	9 1-3 0.25 1.00	-	10 1- 3 1.00 5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6 1- 1 5.00 5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 1-2 0.13 0.19	-	
GRAPES (TABLE, JUI) Not Specified BLACK ROT	CE, & 100 5	WINI 8	E)	28			9		8	5	32	7	24 4	27			5	10	10			34			
DOWN'T MILDEW MILDEW POWDERY MILDEW ROTS SCAB	9 74 2 0	5	11			11					17		20 2	3								7 1			
No. of Applications Low - Hi Application Rate (lb/ac) I	gh Low Iigh	1- 4 0.06 1.50	1- 1 8.00 8.00	1- 7 0.06 4.00	-	1- 1 4.00 4.00	1- 1 2.00 2.00	-	2-2 10.00 10.00	1- 1 2.00 2.00	1- 8 0.06 4.00	1- 8 1.50 2.00	1-20 0.03 7.00	1-5 0.38 3.00	-	1- 1 4.00 4.00	1- 1 0.25 0.25	8-10 0.25 6.00	2-2 0.31 0.31	-	-	1-15 0.06 2.00	-	-	
MELONS (CANTALOU Not Specified LEAF SPOT MILDEW	JPE, M 100 10 7	1ELO 32	N, M	USK	MEL 36 10 7	ON, '	WATE 14	SRM.	ELOI	N)			7	5								7			
No. of Applications Low - Hi Application Rate (lb/ac) I H	gh Low ligh	1-6 0.50 0.50	-	-	1- 5 0.19 2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1- 2 1.00 5.00	3-3 0.38 0.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2- 3 0.25 0.25	-	-	
KASPBERKIES Not Specified ANTHRACNOSE BLIGHTS FRUIT ROT	53 1 0 1	13 1	11	11 1								12			7										
FUNGUS MILDEW POWDERY MILDEW ROTS	20 0 1	9 1 1	8	3																					
No. of Applications Low - Hig Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	gh .ow ligh	1- 6 0.06 0.75	1-1 7.50 20.00	1- 8 0.06 4.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1- 4 1.00 2.00	-	-	1- 2 0.50 0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
STRAWBERRIES Not Specified BOTRYTIS GRAY MOLD FRUIT ROT EUNCUS	100 7 1	58 1		50											3	5				6	10			37 7	
LEAF BLIGHT LEAF SPOT ROTS	2 29 11 3	1 6 1		1 6 11 1								6								6	6			1	
No. of Applications Low - Hig Application Rate (lb/ac) L H	gh Low ligh	1-10 0.50 5.00	-	1- 8 1.00 10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2-2 1.00 1.00	-	-	2- 2 3.00 3.00	1- 1 2.00 2.00	-	-	-	2-2 1.00 1.00	1- 2 3.00 5.00	-	-	1- 4 0.50 3.00	

Table 26. Major Disease Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruit for Which Growers Applied Fungicides in Ohio - 1990

	Р	ercent of	Acreage T	reated with	n Specific (	Other Pest	icide for C	ontrol of Specific Problem
Major Other Pesticide Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Sprea der X-77	Bromo eth ane	Chlor opic rin	Pino lene	Di chlor opro pene	Iron Soil Nutr ients	
GRAPES (TABLE, JUICE, & WINE)								
GROWTH REGULATOR	8				8			
No. of Applications Low - High	U	8 - 8	-	-	7-7	-	-	
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	0.03			0.50			
I	ligh	0.03			0.50			
STRAWBERRIES								
Not Specified	5		2	2		2		
NUTRIENT DEFICIENCY	1						1	
No. of Applications Low - High		-	1-1	1-1	-	1-1	2-2	
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low		200.00	200.00		35.00	5.00	
I	ligh		200.00	200.00		35.00	5.00	

Table 27. Major Other Problems on Bramble, Bush, and Ground Fruits for Which Growers Applied Other Pesticides in Ohio - 1990

•

		Per	cent	of A	creag	e Tre	ated v	with S	pecifi	c Her	bicide	for C	Contro	ol of S	pecifi	c We	ed			******														
Major Weed Problem	Perces Acreas Treate	nt 50 xdi 2,4	-D	2,4- DB	Ala chlor	Atra zinc	Ben sul ide	Ben tazon	Buty late	Chlor amben	DCPA	Cyan azine	n D cambi	Di meth szone	i Di n phe namid	D	Xi I at uro	Di m EPT	Flu szi fop-P C Butyl	Gly pho sate	Lin uron	Met ola chlor	Mctri buzin	Na prop amide	Nap talam	Para quat	Pebu late	Propa chlor	Pyra zon	Seth oxy dim	Sim azinc	Ter becil	Tridi phane	Tri flur elin
ASPARAGUS BROADLEAVES FOXTAIL GRASSES WEEDS	3	8 1 8		_								_					1	8  5  5							ale na factoria da la construcción da la construcción da la construcción da la construcción da la construcción Na construcción da la construcción d						8	15	, ,	
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High				-	-	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	•	•	•	2.0	1 - 10 10	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	-	•	•	•	•	2.00 2.50	2.00 2.00	-	-
BEANS (GREEN, LIMA Not Specified	, NAV	Y, S	NAP	, SP	ROU	rs, s	STRIN	1G)																										
GRASSES WEEDS	1	8 7																				17												1
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-		-	-	-	-	1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	-	4-4 8.00 8.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1- 1 0.25 0.25	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	1- 4 0.13 0.38
BEDDING PLANTS	- 7	3									73																							
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	, Low Hish	-		-	-	-	•	•	-	-	1- 1 10.00	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	•	•	•
BEETS (RED & TABLE) Not Specified GRASSES		0																																
WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (ib/ac)	10 Low	0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	100 1- 1 0.75	-	-	-	:	-
BROCCOLI Not Specified	niga	1									1																		1.00					2
GRASSES No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	i			-		•	-	-	-	4-4 8.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1 1- 4 0.02
CABBAGE	High										8.00																							0.25
Not Specified GRASSES LAMBSQUARTERS	10 ( 20	D D 6			8																													1 26
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-	-	-	1- 1 0.50 0.50	-	•	•	-	-	5 1-4 3.00 8.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	-		-	-	-	-	1- 4 0.13 0.50
CARROTS Not Specified GRASSES	7	1 8										1							78															
WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	10 Low	•	-		-	-	-	-	•	-	-	1- 1 0.50	-	-	-		-	-	1- 1 0.13	-	99 2-2 0.13	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	99 1- 1 0.13
CAULIFLOWER	niga											0.50							0.13		0.13													0.15
Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	18 Low Ulab	-	-	•	-		-	-	-	-	9 4-4 8.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 4-4 0.13 0.13
CUCUMBERS (CUCUM Not Specified BROADLEAVES	BERS	& PR ) 5	loci	ESSE	ED PI	CKL	ES)				0.00														16									0.15
GRASSES WEEDS No. of Amiliations Low - Hish	10	5	-				16 40	_	_			-	_	_		_	_	_	_		_	_		r.	20								-	1. 1
Application Rate (Ib/ac)	Low Hish	2			-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	0.75 2.00	-	-	2	-	-	_	-	-	0.25 0.25

61

		F	Percei	nt of	Acrea	ige	Trea	ted v	ith S <sub>I</sub>	pecifi	c Her	bicide	for C	ontro	l of S	pecific	Wee	đ																	
Major Weed Problem	Pero Acre Trea	cent age ated	2,4-D	2,4- DB	Al chlo	a /	Atra zinc	Ben sul ide	Ben tazon	Buty late	Chlor	DCPA	Cyan azine	Di camba	Di meth azone	Di phe namid	Di quat	D	i n EPTC	Fiu azi fop-P Butyi	Gly pho sate	Lin uron	Mc ols chlor	t Metr buzin	Na i prop n amick	Nap talam	o Para	a Peb t lat	u Propa e chlor	Pyra zon	Seth oxy dim	Sim azinc	Ter bacil	Tridi phane	Tri flur alin
EGGPLANT Not Specified GRASSES No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (Ib/ac)	Low High	65 32	-	-	•		-	-	-	-	-	33 21 1- 4 8 00 10.00	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	32 11 1- 1 2.50 4.00	•	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-
GREENS (SPINACH, KA LAMBSQUARTERS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (Ib/ac)	LOW High	MU 65	JSTA -	RD) -			-	-	-	-	-	35 1- 1 8.00 8.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-				30 1- 1 0.13 0.13
Not Specified BROADLEAVES No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	0 55					-		-	-	-	2-2 10.00 10.00	-		-	-	-		-			-	-		-	-	5: 1- 0.5 0.5	5   - )	-	-		-	-	-	-
ORNAMENTAL CORN BINDWEED FALL PANICUM WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (ib/se)	Low	4 67 100	4 1- 1 0.50	-		1.	70 1	-		-			67 30 1- 1 0.50			-		-	-	-			-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67 1- 1 0.15	
PEAS Not Specified GRASSES VENICE MALLOW No. of Applications Low - High	rign.	1 8 3				1		-	3 1- 1		-	-	-					-	-	-					-	-			-		-		•	•	1 8 1- 2
PEPPERS Not Specified BROADLEAVES	Low High	7 3							0.13 0.13																3										0.13 0.25 7
GRASSES LAMBSQUARTERS WEEDS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	0 59 7		-	•	-			-	-	2-2 15.00	2-2 10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	5 1 1- 1 2.60 4.00		-				•	-			54 6 1- 2 0.07 0.25
POTATOES Not Specified BROADLEAVES GRASSES JOHNSON GRASS PIGWEED QUACKGRASS RAGWEED		54 28 13 2 15 1									15.00	10,00					34				1	28	7 13	15	,		5								
WEEDS YELLOW NUTSEDGE No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	37 18		-	•	-		•	-		-	-	-	•		•	l- 1 0.13 0.70			-	1- 1 0.25 0.25	13 11 1- 1 0.19 2.00	19 18 1- 1 0.06 0.25	1- 1 0.60 1.00	-		1- 1 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	2- 2 0.19 0.19	-			-

62

More result         Veneral biol         2,40         36         Asso         No.		-				,• 110																												
PUMPKINS Nessediation         12         8           Nessediation         12         8           Nessediation         13           WEBDS         14         8           Nord Argunations Leve . High         0.12         0.11           Argunations Leve . High         0.12         0.21           SOUASH (2004SH, 2004SH, 200	Major Weed Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	2,4-D	2,4- DB	Ala chlor	Atra zino	Ben sul ido	Ben tazon	Buty late	Chlor amben	DCPA	Cyan azine	Di camba	Di meth azone	Di phe namid	Di quat	Di wron	EPTC	Flu azi fop-P Butyl	Gly pho sate	Lin uron	Met ola chlor	Metri buzin	Na prop amide	Nap talam	Para quat	Pobu lato	Propa chlor	Pyra zon	Seth oxy dim	Sim azine	Ter bacil	Tridi phane	Tri flur alin
TOTALL       0       1       1       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 <th1< th="">       1       <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	PUMPKINS Net Section																										10.20.000	i e de anna de la poloci				1910 C 4155		
or Activity Distance - Tight Market Model and Leve - Tight Market Mark	FOXTAIL	0								o																								
MACKWEED       18	GRASSES	3								3																								
WEEDS       14       4       8	RAGWEED	18								18																								
No. of Application Rate (bit)       10, 1       1, 1       0, 0       1, 1       0, 0       1, 1       0, 0       1, 1       0, 0	WEEDS	14								4				8																				2
Application Rate (More) Low       0.38       0.19       0.21       0.23         SQU ASH (SQUASH, ZUCCHINI, VINE CROPS)	No. of Applications Low - High		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-2	-	•	-	1-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	I- I
High       4.00       0.21       0.25       0.25         SOUASH (SQUASH, ZUCCHNI), VINE CROPS)       74	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low								0.38				0.19																				0.25
SQUASH (SQUASH ZUCCHINI, VINE CROPS)  Na Specified  A   A   A   A   A   A   B   C  A   A   B   C  A   A   B   C   A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C  A   B   C   A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C  A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B   C   A   B		High								4.00				0.21																				0.25
No. 8 pecified       24         BROADILEAVES       5         ON MARSE       0         VIETON       2         No. 6 Application Low - High       0         Application Low - High       0         Model participation       0.33         Model participation       0.33         Model participation       0.36         Model participation       0.36         Model participation       0.37         Model participation       0.36         SWEET CORN       0.35         BROADILEAVES       0         PALL participation       2         Model participation       3.60         SWEET CORN       0         SWEET CORN       0         PALL PAINCUM       0         PALL PAINCUM       0         VELAWE LOAD       1         VELAWE LOAD       1         VELAWE LOAD       1         VELAWE LOAD       0.33         Ord       0.33         SMARPIGENCE NURSEDE       1         12       1       12       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1<	SQUASH (SQUASH, ZU	CCHIN	II, VIN	IE CI	lob2)	i i																												
BROADLEAVES       5         GRASSES       0         SWEET CORN       -         No. 6 Application Low- High       -         Here       -         No. 6 Application Low- High       -         Here       -         Mapplication Low- High       -         High       -         No. 6 Application Low- High       -         No. 7 Application Low- High       -         No. 6 Aph	Not Specified	24								24																								
UKANNED       63	BROADLEAVES	5								5																								
Anomenic       03       03       03         WEEDS       2       2       1 <th1< th="">       1       1</th1<>	GRASSES	0								~																								
No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       0.23<	WEED	03								63																								
http://wpileation.Rever_(Revise)_Low       1	No. of Applications Long. High	2								1 2																		_		_		_	-	1. 1
SWEET CORN       1         No Specified       8       2       4       2       1         RADLEAVES       10       2       4       1         FALLPANRCUM       0       7       4       4       1         FALLPANRCUM       0       7       1       1       1         FOXTALL       0       1       1       1       1       1         VEEDS       3       1       15       17       1       12       1 </td <td>Application Rate (lb/ac)</td> <td>Low Hish</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.25</td>	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low Hish	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			0.25
No. 50 / EDK       2       4       2       1         PRADPLEAVES       10       2       4       4         PRALPANEUM       0       0       2       4       4         SMARTWEED       12       12       4       4       1         VELVET LEAP       0       1       12       1       10       1         VELVET LEAP       0       1       12       1       10       1         VELVET LEAP       0       10       1	SWEET CODN	ngu								3.00																								0.25
Image: Non-Dillar end of the part o	Not Specified				2	4						2																						
PALL PANEUM       0       2       4         PALL PANEUM       0       1         POXTAIL       0       1         GRASSES       4       4         SMARTWEED       12       12       12         WEEDS       58       1       15       17       1       12       1 <th1< th=""> <th1< td=""><td>BROADI EAVES</td><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<></th1<>	BROADI EAVES	10			2							4										1												
FOXTAIL       0         GRASSES       4         VELUST LEAF       0         0.000       0.000         VELUST LEAF       0         VELUST LEAF       0         VELUST LEAF       0         Not of Applications Low + High       -         -       -         VELUST LEAF       0         VELUST LEAF       0	FALL PANICUM	0				4						-	4																					
GRASSES       4       4         SMARTWEED       12       12         VELVET LEAF       0       1         WEEDS       58       1       15       17       1       12       1       10       1         VELVET LEAF       0       12       12       10       1 <td>FOXTAIL.</td> <td>ŏ</td> <td></td>	FOXTAIL.	ŏ																																
SMARTWEED       12       12         VELVET LEAF       0         WEEDS       58       1       15       17       1       12       10       1         YELLOW NUTSEDGE       12       12       10       1       1       6       1       1       6       1       1       6       1       1       6       1       1       7       1       12       1       10       1	GRASSES	4							4																									
VELVET LEAF       0         WEEDS       58       1       15       17       1       12       1       10       1         VELLOW NUTSEDGE       12       12       12       1 </td <td>SMARTWEED</td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td></td>	SMARTWEED	12				12																												
WEEDS       58       1       15       17       1       12       1       12       10       1         YELLOW NUTSEDE       12       12       12       12       12       1	VELVET LEAF	0																																
YELOW NUTSEDGE       12         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1 <t< td=""><td>WEEDS</td><td>58</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>15</td><td>17</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>12</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	WEEDS	58		1	15	17		1				12	1									10				1								
No. of Applications Low - High       -       1       1       1       1       7       1       7       1       7       1       7       1       <	YELLOW NUTSEDGE	12			12																													
Application Rate (lb/ac) Low       0.06       0.38       0.13       0.19       0.59       0.25       0.05       0.60       0.02       0.06       0.13       5.00       0.15         High       0.09       2.00       0.31       0.63       1.30       0.13       0.50       0.02       0.06       0.13       5.00       0.15         TOMATOES       II       II       III       III<	No. of Applications Low - High		-	1-1	1-6	1-1	-	1-7	1-7	-	-	1-1	1-1	-	-	-	-	1-1	-	2-2	-	1-5	-	-	-	1-1	-	1-1	-	•	•	-	1-1	-
High       0.09       2.00       2.00       0.31       0.63       1.30       0.13       0.50       0.02       0.38       0.19       5.00       0.15         TOMATOES       1       0.09       2.00       2.00       0.31       0.63       0.13       0.50       0.02       0.38       0.19       5.00       0.15         Not Specified       0       0       1 <th1< th="">       1       1</th1<>	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low		0.06	0.38	0.13		0.19	0.59			0.25	0.05					0.50		0.02		0.06				0.13		5.00					0.15	
TOMATOES         Not Specified       0         BROADLEAVES       11         CANADA THISTLE       2         GRASSES       28         WEEDS       100         No. of Applications Low - High       -         High       0.33         Store       0.38         High       0.03         Not Specified       0.38         Not of Applications Low - High       -         High       20.00         TURNIPS       2         Not Specified	1	ligh		0.09	2.00	2.00		0.31	0.63			1.30	0.13					0.50		0.02		0.38				0.19		5.00					0.15	
Not Specified       0         BROADLEAVES       11         CANADA THISTLE       2       11         GRASSES       28       3       9         WEEDS       100       4       67       14       37         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1-3       -       1-1       -       1-1       -       1-1       -       1-5       37         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1-3       -       1-1       -       1-1       -       1-1       -       1-5       37       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.50         TURNIPS       -       -       -       2       -	TOMATOES																																	
BROADLEAVES       11         CANADA THISTLE       2         GRASSES       28         WEEDS       100         4       67       14       37         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1-1       -       1-5         Applications Low - High       0.33       5.50       0.38       0.04       3.00       0.50       0.13       0.13         TURNIPS       1High       20.00       5.50       0.38       1.00       4.00       0.63       0.13       0.50         Not Specified       2       2       -       <	Not Specified	0																																
CANDA THISTLE       2       3       9         GRASSES       28       67       14       37         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1-3       -       1-1       -       1-2       1-1       -       1-3       -       1-5       37         No. of Applications Low - High       -       -       1-3       -       1-1       -       1-2       1-1       -       1-5       3       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.50       0.38       1.00       4.00       0.63       0.13       0.50       0.50       0.38       1.00       4.00       0.63       0.13       0.50         TURNIPS       -       1.1       -       -       -       -       -<	BROADLEAVES	11																		_			11											
GRASSES     28     3     9       WEEDS     100     4     67     14     37       No. of Applications Low - High     -     -     1 - 3     -     1 - 1     -     1 - 2     1 - 1     -     1 - 1     1 - 5     37       No. of Applications Rate (Ib/ac) Low     0.33     5.50     0.38     0.04     3.00     0.50     0.13     0.13       High     20.00     5.50     0.38     1.00     4.00     0.63     0.13     0.50       TURNIPS     2     2     2     2     -     -     -     -     -       Not Specified     2     2     8.00     -     -     -     -     -     -       Not specified Low - High     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -	CANADA THISTLE	2																		2			_											
WELDS     100     4     67     14     37       No. of Applications Low - High     -     -     -     1     -     1     -     1       Application Rate (Ib/ac) Low     0.33     5.50     0.38     0.04     3.00     0.50     0.13     0.13       TURNIPS     0.05     0.38     1.00     4.00     0.63     0.13     0.50       Not Specified     2     2     -     -     -     -     -     -       Not Specified     2     2     -     -     -     -     -     -       Not Specified     2     2     -     -     -     -     -     -	GRASSES	28																					3											9
No. of Applications Low - Fugn       -       <	WEEDS	100								. 4								•					. 67				14					•		. 37
Application Rate (low)         0.33         5.50         0.38         0.04         3.00         0.50         0.13         0.13         0.13           High         20.00         5.50         0.38         1.00         4.00         0.63         0.13         0.50           TURNIPS         Not Specified         2         2         2         1         2         2         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         0.50         1         0.50         0.13         0.50         0.50         0.63         0.13         0.50         0.50         0.50         0.63         0.13         0.50         0.50         0.50         0.63         0.13         0.50         <	No. of Applications Low - High		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-3	-	•	-	-	1-1	-	-	-	-	1- 1	-	-	1-2	1- 1	-	-	- 1	-	-	1- 1	•	•	•	1- 3
TURNIPS         20100         3130         0.38         1.00         4.00         0.05         0.13         0.30           Not Specified         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         2         3 <td>Application Kale (10/ac)</td> <td>Jinh</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>20.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5.50</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.38</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.00</td> <td>3.00</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.30</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.13</td>	Application Kale (10/ac)	Jinh								20.00					5.50					0.38			1.00	3.00			0.30			0.13				0.13
No. of Applications Low - High	TI ID NIDS	ngu								20.00					3,30					V.38			1.00	4.00			0.03			0.15				0,00
No. of pplications Low - High         2         2           Annitisation Rate (Ib/se) Low         8.00         8.00	I UKINIPƏ Nət Gəzəlfiyi	~									~																							
100.01.7pp/12410/08.01 Filip	No. of Ambientions Laws 18-5	2									2																						``	
	Anniantion Pate (11/2-)		•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4-2 000	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
High 8.00	Application Rate (10/ac)	ligh									8.00																							

Percent of Acreage Treated with Specific Herbicide for Control of Specific Weed

(Continued)

Percent of Acreage Treated with Specific Insecticide for Control of Specific Insect

						,																												
	- Major Insect Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Ace phate	e Aldi e carb	Ami traz	Azin phos Me thyl	B.T. Thur ing ience	B.T. Var. Kur staki	Carb aryl	Carbo furan	Chlor pyri fos	Diaz inon	Di meth oate	Disul foton	Endo sul fan	Esfen val erate	Ethyl Para thion	Fen val erate	Fono fos	Mala thion	Meth amid ophos	Meth omyl	Meth yl Para thion	Mevin phos	Naled	Ox amyl	Oxyde meton Meth yl	Per meth rin	Phor ate	Phos met	Piper onyl Butox ide	Pyre thrum	Ter bufos	Thio di carb
	ASPARAGUS ASPARAGUS BEETLE BEETLES No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I	54 15 Low	-	-	~	-	-	-	38 1- 2 3.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15 1- 1 0.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	15 1- 1 0.75	-	-	-	-
	BEANS (GREEN, LIMA, Not Specified APHIDS	NAVY 2 2	(, SN	AP, S	PROU	TS, S	STRIN	(G)	4.00					2						0.15										0.75				
	BEAN BEETLES BEETLES CABBAGE LOOPER	5 36							5 35					-	1																			
	FLEA BEETLE MEXICAN BEAN BEETLE SQUASH BUG THRIPS	19 17 0							19					17																				
	No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I I	Low High	1- 1 1.00 1.00	-	-	-	-	10- 10 1.00 1.00	1- 6 0.03 2.00	-	-	-	-	1- 1 1.00 11.00	3- 3 1.00 1.50	-	2-2 3.00 3.00	-	-	4- 4 0.25 0.25	-	4- 4 1.00 1.00	-	1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	-	-	-	-	2-2 3.00 3.00	-	-	-	-
	BEDDING PLANTS DIAMONDBACK MOTH LICE	53 27 73	27	,		20													~						33									
•	No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I	73 Low High	4- 4 2.00 2.00	-	-	1- 1 1.30 1.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1- 1 0.75 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	•
හ	BEETS (RED & TABLE) LEAFMINER No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)   H	100 Low High	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100 2- 2 0.13 0.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4- 4 2.50 2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
	BROCCOLI Not Specified APHIDS CABBAGE LOOPER	63 1 24	1		28			34	1											1				1				17						
	THRIPS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I	1 Low	1- 1 1.00	-	1- 1 0.13	-	-	3-10 0.25	6- 6 2.00	-	-	-	-	-	2- 2 0.50	-	1 2-2 3.00			4- 4 0.25	-	-	-	1- 1 0.13	-	-		3- 3 0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CABBAGE Not Specified	20	1.00	1	0.13	1		1.00	2.00						0.50		3.00			0.25				0.13	19			0.00						
	APHIDS BEETLES CABBAGE LOOPER CABBAGE WORMS	0 24 28						1 4	2 1					31		19	1				2							19 2						
	CUTWORMS DIAMONDBACK MOTH FLEA BEETLE IMPORTED CAB. WORM	2 49 5 11				2		48	5			2																10						
	LEAFHOPPER MAGGOTS ROOT MAGGOTS	2 35 4				32					4								4				4					2						
	WORMS WORMS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I	09 6 Low	1- 1 1.00	-	-	1 1-4 0.25	-	2 2-15 0.25	1-28 0.25		1- 1 8.70 8.70	1 1- 3 0.13	26 3- 3 0.13	1- 1 0.09	2-2 0.25	3 2-8 0.05 0.30	35 1- 6 0.04 3.00	-	1- 1 1.33	4- 4 0.25 0.25	2-2 0.13 0.13	2- 4 0.25 0.25	2-2 0.25 0.25	1- 1 0.13 0.13	3- 3 0.13 0.13	-	-	1-13 0.03 0.38	-	-	-	-	-	-
	CAULIFLOWER APHIDS CABBAGE LOOPER	<sub>5</sub> u 70 100	9			1.50		39	2.00		0.10	5.17	0.13	0.09	61	0.59	0,00		1.00	9				9				61						
	THRIPS No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) I	9 Low Jieh	1- 1 1.00	-	-	-	-	4-10 1.00 1.00	6-6 2.00 2.00	-	-	-		-	2-2 2.00 2.00	-	9 2-2 3.00 3.00	-	-	4- 4 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	-	-	2-2 0.06 0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-

(Continued)

 	***									
Perce	ent of	Acreage	Treated	with Sp	ecific	Insecticide	for C	ontrol	of Specific	Insect

						Azin	вт	вт		****										Warna da kata di sana			Math				Ovuda				Diner				
	Major	Percent				phos	Thur	Var.	<u> </u>	a 1	Chlor		Di	<b>D</b> <sup>1</sup> 1	Endo	Esfen	Ethyl	Fen			Meth		yl				meton	Per	***	~	onyl			Thio	
	Insect Problem	Acreage Treated	Ace phate	Aldı carb	Ami traz	Me thyl	ience	Kur staki	aryl	Carbo furan	fos	inon	oate	foton	sul fan	vai erate	Para thion	val erate	Fono fos	Mala thion	ophos	Meth omyl	Para thion	phos phos	Naled	Ox amyl	Meth yl	meth rin	Phor ate	Phos met	Butox ide	Pyre thrum	Ter bufos	di carb	
	CUCUMBERS (CUCUM	BERS &	e PRO	CESS	ED P	ICKL	ES)										water and the second		**********	Particitie		*********	01790 <del>0.000.000</del>	and an other search			naskia (Sard	instangen er kyn	alao ng ng ng	Change da anna					
	Not Specified	12							4						4	4				0															
	BEETLES	11							10						i																				
	COLORADO POT BEETLE	0																																	
	CUCUMBER BEETLES	63 7							34	29					7																				
	THRIPS	5													5																				
	WHITEFLY No. of Applications Low - High	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1-5	1-1	-	-	-	-	1-4	1-1	1-4	-	-	2-2	-	1-20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High							0.03	8.70					0.25	0.02	0.06			0.13		0.13													
	EGGPLANT																																		
	Not Specified APHIDS	100 33				32									32	32						32 32						32							
	COLORADO POT BEETLE	65 1														32												33							
	FLEA BEETLE	90				46			15							14										14									
	LEAFHOPPER No. of Applications Low - High	32	-	-	-	1-4	-	-	4-4	-	-	-	-	-	32 1-2	1-6	1-1	-	-	3-3	-	1-2	-	-	-	4-4	-	1-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low				0.25			0.25						0.17	0.02	3.00			0.25		0.25				0.25		0.06							
	ESCAROLE					1.50			2,00						0.17	0.00	5.00			0.25		1.00				0.20		0.75							
	CUTWORMS LEAFHOPPER	100 100											100															100							
2	WORMS	100											2 2		100		_									_	-	<b>.</b>	_	_					
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	•	-	-	-	•	-	•	•	-	-	0.06		0.33	-	-	-	•	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20	•	-	-	-	•	-	
	GREENS (SPINACH, KA	High LE, MU	USTA	RD)									0.06		0.33													0.20							
	APHIDS CARRACE LOOPER	34						,					12															22							
	LEAFHOPPER	1						•												1															
	LEAFMINER LOOPERS	100						58									44								65			53							
	MAGGOTS	65 12				65									12																				
	No. of Applications Low - High	. 12	-	-	-	2-2	-	3-5	-	-	-	-	3-3	-	1-1	-	2-2	-	-	1-2	-	-	-	-	4-4	-	-	2-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High				1.00		0.25					0.06		0.25		0.50			0.25 2.00					0.13			0.04							
	LETTUCE	100																										100							
	LEAFHOPPER	100											100															100							
	WORMS No. of Applications Low - High	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3-3	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low											0.06		0.33													0.20							
	ONIONS												0.00		5.55													0.20							
	THRIPS	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	2-2	-	-	1-1	-	-	-	1-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low															3.00			0.13				0.13											
	ORNAMENTAL CORN	High															3.00			0.13				0.13											
	CORN EARWORMS	33							33		67																								
	No. of Applications Low - High	0/	•	-	-	-	-	-	4-5	-	1-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High							0.25 1.00		0.90 0.90																								
	PARSLEY	а- а-																										-							
	WORMS No. of Applications Low - High	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	73 3-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High																										0.04 0.04							

(Continued)

Percent of Acreage Treated with Specific Insecticide for Control of Specific Insect

	-		~~~~~																																
	Major Insect Problem	Percen Acreage Treated	t s A I pha	ice ate	Aldi carb	Ami traz	Azin phos Me thyl	B.T. Thur ing ience	B.T. Var. Kur staki	Carb aryl	Carbo furan	Chlor pyri fos	Diaz inon	Di meth oate	Disul foton	Endo sul fan	Esfen val erate	Ethyl Para thion	Fen val erate	Fono fos	Mala thion	Meth amid ophos	Meth omyl	Meth yl Para thion	Mevin phos	Naled	Ox amyl	Oxyde meton Meth yl	Per meth rin	Phor ate	Phos met	Piper onyl Butox ide	Pyre thrum	Ter bufos	Thio di carb
	PEAS BEETLES PEA WEEVIL No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	3 7 Low High	-		-	-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	7 2-2 0.25 0.25		1 2- 2 3.00 3.00	-	-	1 2- 2 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	PEPPERS Not Specified APHIDS BEETLES	ې ۱۵۵ ۱۵۵	) ) .	3 59									2			3		6			3		6 59				2								
	BORERS CORN BORER CORN BARWORMS CUCUMBER BEETLES CUTWARMS	59 25 1	) 5 1	2 1			2		1	13 1	1						7												59						
	FLEA BEETLE INSECTS, GENERAL LEAFMINER WORMS	1 3 59 7	, , ,				1			2 2						1 5		59											1		2				
	No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)   POTATOES	Low High	1- 0. 1.	5 67 25	-	-	4- 4 1.00 1.50	-	5- 5 1.00 1.00	2-12 0.25 2.00	2- 2 1.00 1.00	-	2- 2 0.50 0.50	-	-	2- 4 0.17 2.00	3- 5 0.06 0.30	2-2 0.06 3.00	-	•	2-2 0.13 0.13	-	1- 4 0.25 0.50	-	-	-	2- 2 0.25 0.25	-	2- 2 0.03 0.10	-	4- 4 0.75 0.75	•	-	-	-
6	Not Specified APHIDS BEETLES COLORADO POT BEETLE	7 20 11 100	7 )		1		36	5		6	1					22	39	11				20 28		11					11 37	7	1	15			
UI	FLEA BEEILE LEAFHOPPER MITES No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	41 42 11	-	2	1-1	-	5 18 1-12 0.08	2-4 1.00	-	1-2 013	8 1-11 0.13	-	-	-		1-3 013	9 1-4	1-2	-	-	-	1- 1 0 21	-	1- 1 0.13	-	-			1- 5 0.04	15 11 1- 1 3.40	1-12 2.00	3-3 0.40	-	-	
	PUMPKINS Not Specified	High 11	l	2	80.00		2.00	1.25		2.00	0.25					12.00 3	0.56	2.00				0.80		0.13					0.80	15.80	5.00	0.40			
	BEETLES CUCUMBER BEETLES INSECTS, GENERAL JAPANESE BEETLES MITES	2 44 9 37 7	2           						1	2 32 7						1 18	6	18	3		7								1						
	SQUASH BUG VINE BORER WHITEFLY No. of Applications Low - High	3 10 0	 ) ) -		-	_	-	-	4-4	3 2 1-15	-	-	6-6	-	-	8 2-3	2-3	1-3	4-4	-	1-2	-	1-6	-	-	-	-	-	3-3	-	_	-	1-1	-	-
	Application Rate (lb/ac)                   	Low High							1.00 1.00	0.25 4.00		~	0.02 0.02			0.17 2.00	0.25 0.50	0.06 3.00	0.06 0.06		0.38 4.00		1.00 1.50						0.05 0.05				6.00 6.00		
	No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	7: Low High	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75 1- 1 9.00 9.00	-	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	SQUASH (SQUASH, ZU Not Specified BORERS CUCUMBER BEETLES EVEN BEETLE	CCHIN 41 1 27	VI, N	VINI	E CR	OPS)				1 11						16	16 16						9												
	INSECTS, GENERAL JAPANESE BEETLES SQUASH BUG VINE BORER	2 47 1 47							2							1 47		47																	
	No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)   H	Low High	-		•	-	-	-	4- 4 1.00 1.00	2-36 0.03 2.00	-	-	-	-	-	1- 3 0.17 2.00	1- 1 0.02 0.02	1- 3 0.06 3.00	-	-	2- 2 0.38 0.38	-	1- 4 0.25 1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	•	-

(Continued)

.

Percent of	f Acreage	Treated with	Specific	Insecticide	for Control	of Specific Ir	nsect

Major Insect Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Ace phate	Aldi carb	An tra	A: ph i } z ti	zin I 104 7 Me hyl ie	B.T. Thur ing ence	B.T. Var. Kur staki	Carb ( aryl	Carbo furan	Chlor pyri fos	Diaz inon	Di meth oate	Disul foton	Endo sul fan	Esfen val erate	Ethyl Para thion	Fen val erate	Fono fos	Mala thion	Meth amid ophor	Meth omyl	Meth yl Para thion	Mevin phos	Naled	Ox amyl	Oxyde meton Meth yl	Per meth rin	Phor ate	Phos met	Piper onyl Butox ide	Pyre thrum	Ter bufos	Thio di carb
SWEET CORN Not Specified APHIDS ARMYWORM BEETLES BOREPS	3 4 7 1 0								2 1						1		7	2									2							
CODLING MOTH CORN BORER CORN EARWORMS EARWORM	0 11 88 0								5		1				1	1 20	2 9	2				5 6	11					21						1 13
EUROPEAN CORN BOREI FLEA BEETLE INSECTS, GENERAL JAPANESE BEETLES MAGGOTS	R 24 6 5 0 2								11 2 5	1 4	1	2					3											6						
ROOTWORM TIP WORM WIREWORM WORMS	9 0 2 0									1																							7 2	
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac) TOMATOES	1 ) Low High	-	•	-	-		-	-	1- 7 0.03 4.00	1- 1 0.13 8.70	1-12 0.19 6.00	1- 2 0.31 1.09	-	-	1- 2 0.25 3.00	2- 6 0.02 0.50	1- 7 0.03 4.00	1- 7 0.05 0.50	1- 1 5.00 10.00	1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	1- 8 0.09 0.50	2- 4 0.25 0.38	-	•	-	1- 2 0.25 0.80	2-10 0.03 0.62	-	2-2 3.00 3.00	-	-	1- 1 1.09 10.00	1-10 0.13 0.88
Not Specified APHIDS BEETLES COLORADO POT BEETLE	15 1 0 16								5						1	8 10		3																
FLEA BEETLE FRUITWORM GRASSHOPPERS HORNWORMS	4 3 0 2								3						2	10		0																
INSECTS, GENERAL THRIPS WHITEFLY WORMS	23 4 1 3	_				10		2. 4	5			7.0			3 4 2	12	1- 4	2		3, 10	_	1.36	1_ 1	_	_	_	_	2.5		<b>A</b> . <b>A</b>	_	<b>0</b> . 0		_
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-	-	-	1.0 1.3	00 50		0.50 0.50	0.25 3.00 2	-	-	0.14 0.25	-	-	0.05	0.01 0.50	0.13 2.00	0.04 0.04	-	0.05 0.25	-	0.05	0.38 0.38	-	-	-	-	0.01 0.33	-	0.75 0.75		2.00 2.00		
LEAFHOPPER No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	20 Low High	-	-	-	-		-	-	2-2 3.00 3.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2-2 3.00 3.00	-	-	20 1-3 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	Р	ercent o	f Acrea	ge Trea	ted witl	n Speci	fic Fung	gicide fo	r Contr	ol of Sp	ecific D	Disease				
Major Disease Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Anil azine	Ben omyl	Cap tan	Chlor othal onil	Cop per, Metal lic	CuOH	Dino cap	Man cozeb	Maneb	Meta laxyl	Strep to mycin	Thio phan atc CH3	Triad ime fon	Vin clo zolin	
BEANS (GREEN, LIMA, NAVY Not Specified RUST	, SNAP, SI 34 0	PROUT	S, STRI 17	NG)			17	<u>apta</u>		1						
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	) Low High	-	1- 1 0.75 0.75	1- 1 2.00 2.00	2- 3 0.25 1.50	-	4- 4 0.20 0.20	-	-	4- 4 0.38 0.38	-	-	-	-	-	
BEDDING PLANTS Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73 1- 1	-	-	-	-	
Application Rate (ID/ac) BEETS (RED & TABLE)	Low High						100				0.20					
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-	-	-	-	-	3- 3 0.20 0.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BROCCOLI Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	2 Low High	-	-	1 1- 1 2.00 2.00	1 3- 3 0.25 0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CABBAGE Not Specified DISEASES LEAF SPOT MILDEW	9 0 0				2		6			1						
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-	-	1- 2 2.00 4.00	2- 8 0.19 1.00	-	1- 1 1.33 1.33	-	-	4- 4 0.38 0.38	5- 5 1.00 1.00	-	-	-	-	
CARROIS Not Specified LEAF SPOT	99 99				99 2 2		99						_	_	_	
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-	-	-	0.20 0.20	-	0.20 0.20	-	-	-	-	-				
Not Specified LEAF SPOT No. of Applications Low - High	79 61	_	_	70 1- 2	9 61 2- 3	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High PROCESS	ED PIC	KLES)	2.00 4.00	0.19 0.25											
Not Specified ANTHRACNOSE LEAF SPOT MILDEW	38 4 1		4		21 4 1		11		1	1						
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High	-	2- 2 0.50 0.50	-	2- 5 0.19 2.25	-	3- 3 0.44 0.44	2- 2 0.50 0.50	1- 3 0.03 2.00	3- 3 0.38 0.38	-	-	-	-	-	

 Table 30. Major Disease Problems on Vegetables for Which Growers Applied Fungicides in Ohio - 1990

67

•

`

							5		1770		ورويد وربو مركا المحمد المتقوم			(0011	
	P	ercent o	of Acre	age Tre	ated with	h Speci	fic Fung	icide fo	or Cont	rol of Sp	ecific I	Disease			
- Major Disease Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Anil azine	Ben omyl	Cap tan	Chlor othal onil	Cop per, Metal lic	CuOH	Dino cap	Man cozeb	Maneb	Meta laxyl	Strep to mycin	Thio phan ate CH3	Triad ime fon	Vin clo zolin
EGGPLANT Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	100 Low High	-	-		-	-	46 1- 3 1.50 2.00	-	-	-	64 1- 2 0.38 1.60	-	-	-	_
GREENS (SPINACH, KALE, MU Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	JSTARD) 22 Low High	-	-	-	-	-	22 2- 2 0.20 0.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LETTUCE Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	9 Low High	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 2- 2 2.00 2.00
ONIONS Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	0 Low High	-	-	-	2- 2 0.38 0.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEAS Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	100 Low High	-	-	-	84 1- 1 1.50 1.50	-	84 1- 1 2.00 2.00	-	-	-	84 1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	-	-	-
PEPPERS Not Specified BLIGHTS DISEASES FUNGUS	100 1 1 2		1	1	1 1 1 1 1		63			2	65 1	59			
LEAF ROT No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	5 Low High	-	8- 8 0.13 0.13	8- 8 0.50 0.50	1- 6 0.06 1.00	-	4- 10 0.50 2.00	-	-	5 2- 4 0.38 2.00	1- 5 0.20 1.00	2- 2 0.33 0.33	-	-	-
OTATOES Not Specified BLIGHTS DISEASES MOLDS	74 30 4						7		27 15 2	27 15 2	14				
TUBER ROT No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	7 Low High	-	-	-	4- 4 0.25 0.25	-	2- 2 0.33 0.33	-	1- 9 1.00 2.00	1- 10 0.90 25.00	7 1-2 1.50 2.00	-	5- 5 1.50 1.50	-	-

.

Table 30. Major Disease	Problems on V	egetables for	Which Growers A	Applied ]	Fungicides in (	Ohio - 1990
		0				

(Continued)

	F	ercent	of Acrea	age Trea	ated wit	h Speci	fic Fung	gicide fo	or Conti	rol of Sp	ecific I	Disease			
- Major Disease Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Anil azine	Ben omyl	Cap tan	Chlor othal onil	Cop per, Metal lic	CuOH	Dino cap	Man cozeb	Maneb	Meta laxyl	Strep to mycin	Thio phan ate CH3	Triad ime fon	Vin clo zolin
PUMPKINS															
Not Specified	100		14		30		15	2	11		25			13	
GUMMY STEM BLIGHT	40		21		19						1				
LEAF SPOT	18													18	
MILDEW POWDERY MILDEW	5 3		5		3										
No. of Applications Low - High	_	-	1- 3	-	1-15	-	2-2	2-2	1-9	-	1-2	-	-	2-3	-
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low High		0.50		0.25		2.00	0.50	0.03		0.20			0.03	
SQUASH (SQUASH, ZUCCHINI,	VINE CF	(OPS)	0.50		1.75		2.00	0.05	2.50		1.50			0.50	
Not Specified	100		16		16		38		1		63				
LEAF SPOT	32				2						Z			32	
POWDERY MILDEW	55		55		47										
No. of Applications Low - High	47	-	1-36	-	47 1-6	-	2-2	2-2	3-3	-	1-1	-	-	2-2	-
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low		0.50		0.25		1.50	0.63	0.03		0.75			0.19	
SWEET CORN	Hign		0.50		1.50		1.50	0.63	0.03		1.00			0.19	
Not Specified	6				5					1					
FUNGUS	5	_	_		<b>,</b> ,				3 3	4 4	_	_	_	_	-
Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	-	-	-	0.90	-	-	-	1.50	0.25					
TOMATOES	High				0.90				1.50	0.25					
Not Specified	100	26	10		68	2	55								
ANTHRACNOSE RACTERIAL DISEASES	10	12			10		10								
BACTERIAL DISEASES BACTERIAL SPOT	3	15			3		3								
BLIGHTS BOTBYTIS CRAY MOLD	4				3										
DISEASES	2		2												
EARLY BLIGHT	9				9		2								
MOLDS	5				2		2								
SEPTORIA GLUMEBLOTCH	0	1 0	1 0		1 17		1 10		1 1		A A				
NO. OI Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	Low	1-3	1- 9 0.13	8-8 0.50	1-15 0.06	2-2	1- 10 0.19	-	1- 1	4- 4 0.38	4- 4 2.00	-	-	-	-
**	High	3.00	1.00	0.50	5.00	2.00	2.25		1.00	0.38	2.00				

`

	]	Percent o	f Acreage	Treated	l with Spo	cific Otl	ner Pestic	ide for Control of Specific Problem	
— Major Other Pesticide Problem	Percent Acreage Treated	Ameno pyr ide	Captan	Eth ephon	Gly cols IPA	Mal eic Hydra zide	Potas Salts Malec Hydra	Potas sium Salts	
BEANS (GREEN, LIMA, NAVY,	SNAP, S	PROUT	S, STRIN	(G)					
SEED DECAY No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	0 Low High	-	1- 1 0.04 0.04	-	-	-	-	-	
BROCCOLI Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	1 Low High	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
CABBAGE Not Specified No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	12 Low High	-	-	-	-	-	-	12 2- 2 0.30 0.30	
ORNAMENTAL CORN SEED DECAY No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	67 Low High	-	67 1- 1 0.04 0.04	-	-	-	-	-	
PEAS SEED DECAY No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	3 Low High	-	3 1- 1 0.04 0.04	-	-	-	-	-	
POTATOES GROWTH REGULATOR No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)	25 Low High	-	-	-	-	23 1- 1 1.30 2.00	1 1- 1 1.25 1.25	-	
SWEET CORN Not Specified BIRDS SEED DECAY	1 1 0	1			1				
No. of Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)   H	Low High	1 - 1 10.00 10.00	1- 1 0.04 0.04	-	1- 1 0.13 0.13	-	-	-	
TOMATOES Not Specified COLORING, UNIFORM DEFOLIANT GROWTH REGULATOR RIPENER	8 9 1 2 65			8 9 1 2 65					
NO. OI Applications Low - High Application Rate (lb/ac)   H	Low Tigh	-	-	1- 1 0.31 0.50	-	-	-	-	

# Table 31. Major Other Problems on Vegetables for Which Growers Applied Other Pesticides in Ohio - 1990

#### APPENDIX I

# 1990 Ohio Pesticide Use Survey for Fruits and Vegetables

The Onio State University	
Ohio Cooperative Extension Ser	vice
Pesticide Impact Assessment Pro	gram
Extension Entomology Buildir	١ġ
1991 Kenny Road	•
Columbus, Ohio 43210-109	0
(614) 292-7541	

Please update information if different or missing from label above.

NAME: SS#:	/	1			
COMPANY or FA	RM NAME:				
ADDRESS:					
CITY:		STATE:		ZIP:	
COUNTY:			TOWNSHIP:		
PHONE Bus:	( ) –		Home: [	)	

Dear Fruit and/or Vegetable Grower:

Current federal, public and environmental concerns relative to pesticide use/food and water safety in addition to EPA Special Review investigations of many existing registered pesticides and the policies in the reregistraton process cause increased concern in having factual data on pesticide/commodity use as a defense for agricultural production practices. The use of pesticides remains of major importance in modern agriculture. It is essential that those necessary for effective crop production, which can be used without adverse effects to man and the environment, continue to be available when and where needed. This survey will contribute to that defense by providing information on the types and quantities of pesticides necessary for profitable crop production as determined by the grower. Alternatives in pest control practices will be considered which should influence EPA in making reliable scientific decisions.

Similar surveys were conducted in 1978, 1979 and 1983 and were highly successful. The recent survey on EBDC use provided important information on apple, potato, and tomato crops, and has received support from Ohio Congressmen, Farm Bureau, and Growers Groups designed to influence EPA towards making a reasonable, agriculturally satisfactory decision on the future use of those materials. The 1990 survey will identify trends and major changes in pesticide use since 1983 and will be important in providing data that will be highly useful during the future regulatory decisions that may affect the continued registration of many pesticide materials. Your cooperation by providing the information requested in the following survey is important in measuring the importance of pesticides in fruit and vegetable production in Ohio. Your reply is confidential and will be used only to determine area, region or state totals. A glossary of some pesticides and some pest problems is provided as assistance in completing the survey.

Please return your completed questionnaire in the envelope provided by December 21, 1990.

Thank you.

Acie C. Waldron, Coordinator Pesticide Impact Assessment Program OCES-OSU

In the past surveys have collected data on:

			Fruits		
apples	cherries	melons	pears	raspberries	
blueberries	grapes	peaches	plums	strawberries	
	······································	Ve	getables		
broccoli	cauliflower	eggplant	lettuce	peppers	squash
cabbage	celery	green beans	onions	potatoes	sweet corn
carrots	cucumbers	greens	peas	pumpkins	tomatoes

We will accept data on all fruit and vegetable crops and publish all significant data.

If you did not raise Fruit or Vegetable crops in 1990 please check the box below and return the survey unanswered so we may update our database.

If you have quit farming all together (raise no crops) please check the box below and return the survey unanswered so we may update our database.

Name:	County:	Date:
# 1990 Ohio Pesticide Use Survey for Fruits and Vegetables

Report for the Farm You Operate (Owned and Rented Acreage)				Practices		Type of Operation				
		How Many Acres or Square Feet Greenhouse Were Treated For				List Cultural or Biological	Fresh Market		Contracted Processing	
	Total Acres	List Acres or Squar	e Feet Only Once Eve	en If Same Area Trea	ted More Than Once	Pest Management Practices				
1990 Crop	in Field per Crop (or Square Footage for Greenhouse)	Weed Control (Herbicide)	Insect/ Nematode Control (Insecticides)	Disease Control (Fungicides)	Other Control: Rodents, Deer, Defoliant, Dessicant Growth Regulator etc.	Root Pruning (Growth Reg ) Cultivation (Herbicide) Fiel Parasitic Insects (Insecticide) Grov etc. Acre	Field Grown Acres	Greenhouse (Acres or Sg. Ft.)	Field Grown Acres	Greenhouse (Acres or Sq. Ft.)
Example							*****		a na mana na manging dina in cina palang na pa	
Sweet Corn	25 ac		25 Ac			Cultwation	25 ac			1
Apple	50 ac		50 ac	50 ac		Root Pruning	10 ac		40 ac	
										ļ
	+									t
*****										t
	1									
									****	
								+		
	+									f
						••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				1
										1
L	l									l
								ļ		
h										
					<u> </u>					
	+	+								
L		1		l				L		

72

Page 2

Total AcresofofUndilutedVolumeTreatedApplicationstheEquipmentinPesticidePesticidePesticideor(or Squareper yearPesticidesused toFieldPer CropPer CropFor SquarePesticidePer CropUsed Per AcreApplied perGreenhouse)PerPesticidePesticideCrop(or SquarePesticidePercent of ActiveWP., DF., G., or 1000 Sq Ft GHApplicationperForPercent of ActiveWP., DF., G., or 1000 Sq Ft GHApplicationperForForForApplicationperForForForApplicationperForForForApplicationperForForForForApplicationperFo	Application Dilute Spray LV Spray, Fog Irrigation Soil Fumigant Fumigation Incorporated Broadcast Band, Spot, Other (Specify)	(Identify Major Reasons for Pesticide Use for Each Chemical and/or Tank Mix)
List List List List List List List List	List	List
Example Sweet Corn 25 Carbaryl(Sevin) 50 % W 4 100 lb 25 4 Self Ground   Apple 50 Dodine 65 % WP 2.3 lb * 115 lb * 50 7 Self Ground   50 Mancozeb 80 % WP 5 lb * 250 lb * 50 7 Self Ground   50 Mancozeb 80 % WP 5 lb * 250 lb * 50 7 Self Ground   50 Imidan 50 % WP 8 lb * 400 lb * 60 7 Self Ground   50 Cygon 4 Ib E .5 pt * 3.13 gal * 50 3 Self Ground   * Based on Quartity of formulation used in 100 gal ons of water and 400 gallons applied per acre - - - - - - - - -	Broadcast Broadcast Broadcast Broadcast Broadcast	Corn Earworm Scab Blotch Codling Moth Apple Aphid
Image: state in the state		
	(0)	

# 1990 Fruit and Vegetable Use Survey – Pesticides Used on Each Crop

73

#### Number of Pest Target Formulation Total Who Applied Concentration Quantity of Acres Type of Form of Undiluted Volume Treated Applications the **Total Acres** of of Equipment Application (or Square Pesticides in Pesticide Pesticide Pesticide or per year used to Dilute Spray Field Formulation Quantity Footage for LV Spray, Fog Apply Used Per Acre Applied per Greenhouse) Pesticide Irrigation Per Crop Application WP , DF., G., or 1000 Sq Ft GH Crop (or Square Pesticide Percent of Active per Soil Fumigant E., EC., L., Application (Identify Major Ingredient For Each Fumigation Treated Footage for Used Dry Materials Greenhouse) Dust, Bait, Application Self Helicopter Incorporated Reasons for or Number of (Specify Ib., (Specify Ib., Pesticide Use for Aerosol. Airplane Broadcast or Pounds A.I. pt ,qt.,gal., Custom Each Chemical Other (etc.) pt.,qt.,gal , Ground Band, Spot, (Specify) oz, etc.) Applied and/or Tank Mix) for liquids Equipment Other (Specify) oz., etc) List List

# 1990 Fruit and Vegetable Use Survey - Pesticides Used on Each Crop

74

Page 4

# APPENDIX II Glossary of Some Common Pesticides

Common	Name
Common	Tamo

2.4-D 2,4-D amine salt 2.4-DB Acifluorfen Alachlor Ametryn Amitrole Atrazine Benefin Bensulide Bentazon Bromoxynil Butylate CDAA CDEC Chloramben

Chlorpropham Cvanazine Cycloate

Dalapon DCPA

Dicamba Diclobenil Diethatyl ethyl Dimethazone Diphenamid Diquat Diuron

Acephate Aldicarb Amitraz Azinphos-methyl Bacillus thuringiensis B.T. var. kurstaki Biphenthrin Carbaryl Carbofuran Chlorpyrifos Clofentezine Cypermethrin Cythion Cyhexatin DDVP Diazinon Dicofol Diflubenzuron Dimecron Dimethoate Disulfoton DNOC Endosulfan EPN Esfenvalerate Ethion Ethoprop Fenamiphos Fenbutatin-Oxide Fenvalerate Fonofos Flucythrinate Fluvalinate Lead Arsenate Lindane

Trade Name Herbicides 2,4-D, Dacamine 4D, Formula 40 Weedar 64, Weedone Butoxone, Butyrac Blazer, Tackle Lasso Fuik Amitrol T, Weedazol T AAtrex. Atrazine Balan Prefar, Betasan Basagran Brominal, Buctril Sutan, Sutan+ Randox Vegadex Amiben Chloro IPC, Furloe Bladex Ro-Neet Dowpon-M Dacthal Banvel Casoran Antor Command Enide, Dymid Aquacide, Diquat Karmex Orthene Temik BAAM. Mitac Guthion Trident Biobit, Dipel, Javelin, Thuricide

Insecticides

Brigade, Talstar Sevin Furadan Dursban, Lorsban, Killmaster Apollo, Acaristop Cymbush, Ammo Malathion Plictran Dichlorvos Diazinon, Spectrocide, Alfa-tox, MG-50 Kelthane Dimilin Phosphamidon Cygon, Defend, Rebelate Di-Syston DNOC, Sinox Thiodan EPN Asana Ethion, Ethanox Mocap Nemacur Vendex, Hexakis Pydrin Dyfonate Pay-Off, Cybolt Mavrik, Spur Lead Arsenate, Gypsine, Soprabel Fulex Aphid Smoke, Isotox

Common Name EPTC EPTC + Endothal Fluazifop-p-butyl Glyphosphate Imazaquin Linuron MCPA Metolachlor Metribuzin Napropamide Naptalam Oryzalin Oxyfluorfen Paraquat Pebulate Pendimethalin Prometryne Pronamide Propachlor Pyrazon Sethoxydim Simazine Stoddard Mineral Spirits Terbacil Thifensulfuron methyl Tribenuron methyl Trifluralin Vernolate

Malathion Methamidophos

Methidathion Methiocarb Methomyl Methoxychlor Methyl parathion Mevinphos Monocrotophos Naled Oil. Dormant Oil, Superior

Oxamyl Oxydemeton-Methyl Oxythioquinox

Parathion (ethyl) Parathion (methyl) Permethrin Phorate Phosalone Phosmet Phosphamidon Propargite Pyrethrins Sodium Fluoaluminate Sulfotep (Tetradifon) Terbufos

#### Thiodicarh

Trichlorfon

Trade Name

Eptam, Genep Eradicane Extra H-273, Endothall Fusilade Roundup Scepter Lorox, Linex

Amine, Chiptox Dual Sencor, Lexone Devrinol Alanap, Rescue Surflan Goal Gramoxone, Paraquat Tillam Prowi Caparol Kerb Ramrod Pyramin FL Poast Aquazine, Princep, Sim-Troi Stoddard Solvent Sinbar Harmony Express Treflan, Trilin Reward, Surpass, Vernam

Malathion, Cythion Monitor

Supracide Draza, Mesurol Lannate, Nudrin Mariate, Methoxychlor Penncap-M, Methyl Parathion Phosdrin Azodrin Dibrom Dormant Oil Superior Oil, SunSpray

Oxamyl 10G, Vydate L Metasystox-R Morestan

Parathion, Phoskil Penncap-M, Methyl Parathion Pounce, Ambush Phorate, Thimet Zolone Imidan Dimecron, Phosphamidon Omite Pyrethrum, Rotenone, Pyrenone Spray Cryolite, Kryocide, Prokil Tedion Counter

Larvin

Dipterex, Dylox, Proxol

# Fungicides & Nematicides

Common Name	Trade Name	Common Name	<u>Trade Name</u>			
Anilazine	Dyrene					
Benomyl	nyl Benlate, Tersan		Dithane M-45 (&DF), Manzate 200			
Bordeaux Mixture	rdeaux Mixture Bordeaux Mixture, Comac Macuprax		Manex, Maneb 80WP, Ronilan			
Botran	DCNA	Maneb + Zinc Sulfate	Dithane M-22, Manex, Manzate			
Calcium Polysulfides	Lime Sulfur	Metalaxyl	Apron, Ridomil			
Captafol	Difolatan	Methyl Bromide	Brom-O-Gas, Bromosol, Meth-O-Gas			
Captan	Orthocide, Captan					
Chloropierin	Chlor-O-Pic, Also used in combination					
-	with Methyl Bromide	Metiram	Pallinal, Pallitop, Polyram			
Chlorothalonil	Bravo	Oxamyl	Oxamyi 10G, Vydate L			
Copper Hydroxide	Comac Parasol, Criscobre, Kocide	PCNB	Terraclor			
Copper, Fixed	Champ, Champion, Copper Sulfate, Nutra-Spray					
DCNA	Botran					
Dichlone	Dichlone, Phygon	Streptomycin	Agri-Mycin, Agri-Strep, AgStrep			
Dichloropropene	Telone II, Telone C-17, Vorlex	Sulfur	Alfa Wettable Sulfur, Suffa,			
Dinocap	Karathane		Super Six Liquid Sulfur, Thiolux			
Dithiocarbamic Acids/Salts	Polyram, Metam-Sodium					
Dodine	Cyprex	Thiophanate-methyl	Topsin M			
D-D	D-D Soil Fumigant					
		Thiram	AAtack, Arasen, Thiram			
Fenamiphos	Nemacur	Triadimefon	Bayleton /			
Ferbam	Carbamate	Triforine	Funginex			
Folpet	Faltex, Folpan, Phaltan, Thiophal	Triphenyltin hydroxide	Brestanid, Du-Ter, Super-Tin, Tubotin			
Formaldehyde	Formalin, Methanal, Formaldehyde Solution	Vinclozin	Ronilan			
Glyodin	Crag 341 Fungicide	Zineb	Zineb, Dithane Z-78, Parzate C, Aspor			
Glyoxide	Glyoxide					
Iprodione	Rovral					
Other Chemicals & Pesticides						

Amenopyride Ethephon Methyl Bromide

Avitrol Ethrel Brom-O-Gas, Bromosol, Meth-O-Gas, Terr-O-Gas NAA

Zinc Phosphide

NAA, Naphthalene Acetic Acid, Fruitone N Phosvin, ZP Tracking Powder, ZP Rodent Bait

# Glossary of Some Common Pest Problems in Ohio Fruit and Vegetable Crops

#### Weed Problems: Fruit and Vegetable Crops

Artichoke Barnyardgrass Bindweed Canada Thistle Chickweed Climbing Milkweed Crabgrass Cocklebur Common Ragweed Dandelion Fall Panicum Foxtails General Broadleaves General Grasses Giant Ragweed Jimsonweed Johnsongrass Lambsquarter Morning Glory Multiflora Rose Nightshade Peppergrass Pigweed Quackgrass Smartweed Tall Ironweed Velvetleaf Wild Cucumber Wild Garlic/Onion Wild Mustard Yellow Nutsedge Yellow Rocket

### **Disease Problems: Fruit and Vegetable Crops**

Angular Leaf Spot Anthracnose Bacterial Blight Bacterial Diseases Bacterial Spot Black Rot Blight Blotch

Aphids

Apple Maggot

Codling Moth

Berry Moth

Fruit Flies

Botrytis Brown Rot Downy Mildew Fire-blight Fruit Rot General Diseases Gray Mold Leaf Blight Leaf Curl Powdery Mildew Root Rot Rust Scab Scorch Sooty Blotch Wirestem muhly

#### Insect Problems: Fruit Crops

General Insects Japanese Beetle Leafhopper Leafminer Leafroller Mites Peach Tree Borer Pear Psylla Plum Curculio Scale Spittlebug Tarnished Plant Bug

#### Insect Problems: Vegetable Crops

Aphids Armyworm Cabbage Looper Colorado Potato Beetle Corn Earworm Crown Borer Cucumber Beetle Cutworms European Corn Borer

- Flea Beetle Fruitworm General Insects Grubs Hornworm Japanese Beetle Leafhopper Mexican Bean Beetle Nematodes
- Rootworm Sap Beetle Stink Bug Squash Beetle Tarnished Plant Bug Thrips Vine Borer Wireworms

All educational programs and activities conducted by the Ohio Cooperative Extension Service are available to all potential clientele on a nondiscriminatory basis without regard to race, color, creed, religion, sexual orientation, national origin, sex, age, handicap, or Vietnam-era veteran status.

4

#### 10/92-300-100861

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Keith L. Smith, Director of the Ohio Cooperative Extension Service, The Ohio State University.



.