



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Luiselli, L and Akani, GC and Eniang, EA and Di Vittorio, M and Petrozzi, F and Hema, EM and Ségniagbeto, GH and Dendi, D and Diagne, T and Chirio, L and Fa, John (2020) Age-stratified interview campaigns suggest ongoing decline of a threatened tortoise species in the West African Sahel. Biodiversity. ISSN 1488-8386

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/624844/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2020.1831604>

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

1 **Age-stratified interview campaigns suggest ongoing decline of a threatened**
 2 **tortoise species in the West African Sahel**

3 Luca Luiselli ^{a,b}, Godfrey C. Akani ^{a,b}, Edem A. Eniang ^c, Massimiliano Di
 4 Vittorio ^d, Fabio Petrozzi ^e, Emmanuel M. Hema ^{b,f}, Gabriel Hoinsoudé
 5 Ségniagbeto ^{b,g}, Daniele Dendi ^{a,b}, Tomas Diagne ^h, Laurent Chirio ⁱ and John E.
 6 Fa ^{j,k}

7 ^a Niger Delta Ecology and Biodiversity Conservation Unit, Department of Applied and Environmental Biology,
 8 Rivers State University of Science and Technology, P. M. B. 5080 Nkpolu, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria; e-
 9 mails: gakanina2000@yahoo.com;

10 ^b IDECC — Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation, via G. Tomasi di Lampedusa 33,
 11 I-00144 Rome, Italy. Email: l.luiselli@ideccngo.org; d.dendi@ideccngo.org

12 ^c Department of Forestry and Natural Environmental Management, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Uyo,
 13 Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria; Email: edemeniang@yahoo.com

14 ^d Ecologia Applicata Italia s.r.l., via Jevonella 2, 90018 Termini Imerese (Palermo), Italy. Email:
 15 divittoriomassimiliano@gmail.com

16 ^e Ufficio Tecnico di Ecologia Applicata Fano, via Edoardo Jenner 70, I-00151 Rome, Italy. Email:
 17 fapetrozzi@gmail.com

18 ^f Université Ouaga 1 Professeur Joseph Ki ZERBO#CUP-D, laboratoire de Biologie et Ecologie Animales,
 19 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso; Email: hema.emmanuel@yahoo.fr

20 ^g University of Lome, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Zoology, BP: 6057 Lome Togo; Email:
 21 h_segniagbeto@yahoo.fr

22 ^h African Chelonian Institute, Ngaparou-Mbour, Senegal; Email: africanci@gmail.com

23 ⁱ Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle — Département Systématique et Evolution, CNRS-EPHE-MNHN-UPMC
 24 UMR 7205, Institut Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité — CP 30 (Reptiles), 57, rue Cuvier, F-75005 Paris,
 25 France; e-mail: lchirio@hotmail.com

26 ^j Division of Biology and Conservation Ecology, School of Science and the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan
 27 University, Manchester M1 5GD; e-mail: UK.jfa949@gmail.com

28 ^k Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), CIFOR Headquarters, Bogor 16115, Indonesia

29 **CONTACT:** Luca Luiselli  l.luiselli@ideccngo.org

ABSTRACT

Face-to-face interviews with local populations are often used to determine the distribution and population trends of elusive threatened species. Although interviewee responses may suffer from some bias, historical trends in the status of a species can be investigated from age-structured questionnaires. In this paper, we tested this idea by analysing separately answers given by older (> 60 years age) and younger respondents (25-44 years old) on the status of the African spurred tortoise, (*Centrochelys sulcata*), a charismatic large reptile listed as Vulnerable in the IUCN Red List. We interviewed 619 persons (hunters/farmers/cattle farmers) of different ages in three of the species' habitat countries (Burkina Faso, Niger and Nigeria). Interviewees were asked whether in their experience the tortoise was common, rare or absent. By using Generalized Linear Models we showed that the probability to answer "common" increased with age in Nigeria and Burkina Faso, whereas the probability of responding "absent" declined with age in Nigeria and Niger. There were no significant effects of age for the answer 'rare' in any country and no differences were found between villages in any of the studied countries. From our data we conclude that spurred tortoises have been extirpated in 16.7% of study sites. We argue that if statistical differences emerge between answers given by respondents of various age classes on the population status of a target species, it is possible to conclude that the species' situation may have significantly changed during the last 30-40 years.

KEYWORDS

Face-to-face interviews; threatened species; traditional ecological knowledge; tortoise; Sahel; conservation

54 **Introduction**

55 Global population trends may be determined using indirect evidence for rare/elusive taxa,
56 especially in tropical and/or difficult-to-access regions (Hellier et al. 1999; Wang et al. 2004;
57 Akani et al. 2013; Turvey et al. 2015; Pham et al. 2019). Face-to-face interviews with local
58 inhabitants have been widely used to explore the likely presence, local distribution and
59 apparent population trends (declining, stable, increasing) of several species of conservation
60 concern (Charnley et al. 2007; Padmanaba et al. 2013; Demaya et al. 2019). However, this use
61 of traditional knowledge can be affected by the difficulty of verifying the trustworthiness of
62 answers given by informants (e.g., Knapp et al. 2010; St. John et al. 2010; Keane et al. 2011;
63 Jenkins et al. 2011; Luiselli et al. 2017).

64 Our study focussed on the African spurred tortoise (*Centrochelys sulcata*), the second
65 largest tortoise in the world (male weight > 100 kg; Branch 2008). The species has a wide
66 distribution throughout much of the African Sahel (Branch 2008), with scattered populations
67 due to the impact of anthropogenic factors such as cattle grazing and fires (Branch 2008;
68 Petrozzi et al. 2016, 2017a, 2017b) and habitat-determined natural gaps in its distribution
69 (Petrozzi et al. 2017c). Thus, although strongly suspected (Branch 2008), it is not known
70 whether population sizes of this species have actually declined. In this paper, we use a large
71 number of interviews to ascertain whether the species was more/less common now than in the
72 past, by stratifying responses with respect to interviewee age. We argue that answers given by
73 older respondents (> 60 years age) would indicate the population status of the target species 30-
74 40 years ago, whereas answers provided by younger respondents (25-44 years old) would
75 reflect the species' current population status.

76 **Materials and methods**

77 **Protocol**

78 Our study was based on 2015-2017 structured interview data, building on a previous study
79 from 1994 to 2007 that provided indirect information on the abundance of tortoises within the

1
2 80 known range of the African spurred tortoise (Vetter 2005; Chirio 2009; Trape et al. 2012),
3
4 81 including four West and Central African Sahel countries, Central African Republic, Cameroon,
5
6 82 Niger and Burkina Faso (Table 1). We also confirmed the status of the species from ad-hoc
7
8 83 field surveys at each site. Using the results of these first surveys we developed more targeted
9
10 84 questionnaires for a second phase of work e.g. we decided to only interview men because men
11
12 85 hunt and women rarely spend time in the field. We applied the resulting questionnaire during
13
14 86 2015-2017 in a total of 30 villages (Fig. 1) in Nigeria (n = 13), Niger (n = 10) and Burkina Faso
15
16 87 (n = 7). Villages were selected on the basis of historical records for the presence of the species
17
18 88 in their surroundings and on their relative accessibility. CAR and Cameroon were not included
19
20 89 in the surveys for security reasons. A total of 619 adult men were interviewed, Nigeria (n =
21
22 90 233), Niger (n = 209), and Burkina Faso (n = 177).

23
24
25
26
27 91 We recorded the age of each interviewee. All interviewees were classified into one of
28
29 92 three groups: (i) >60 years age, (ii) 45-59 years old, and (iii) 21-44 years old. No person < 21
30
31 93 years old was interviewed. We obtained informed consent from all interviewees and their
32
33 94 identity was kept anonymous in order to respect their privacy and minimize the risk of
34
35 95 untrustworthy answers. All interviewees were informed that our study was merely for research
36
37 96 purposes and had no social or political implications.

38
39
40
41 97 Interviewees were asked the following two questions:

- 42
43 98 (1) Have you ever encountered very large tortoises during your hunting/shepherding
44
45 99 activities? We explained to older interviewees that we were interested in their
46
47 100 comments even if the information provided was historical (as most older interviewees
48
49 101 had not hunted or tended livestock for some years at the time of the interviews).
50
51
52 102 (2) If yes, did you meet them frequently (i.e. at least 4-5 times a year) or rarely (i.e. no
53
54 103 more than 1-2 times in each year)?

55
56
57 104 We also asked interviewees whether the amount of time spent hunting and herding
58
59 105 livestock by them each year had declined so as to indirectly measure frequency of encounter

1
2 106 with tortoises. Since many interviewees did not want to answer personal lifestyle questions,
3
4 107 answers were only informally recorded, though they had no issues responding questions on the
5
6 108 status of our study species. A total of 153 interviewees (25% of all respondents) answered this
7
8
9 109 question; Nigeria (n = 41), Niger (n = 59) and Burkina Faso (n = 53).

10
11 110 If the interviewee answered affirmatively to questions (1) and (2), we also asked him to
12
13 111 show us the approximate size of shells of tortoises he had found in the field. This information
14
15 112 was crucial because adult African spurred tortoises (up to 100 kg in males) are readily
16
17 113 identified by their relatively large size and cannot be confused with any other sympatric species
18
19 114 (Branch 2008). When interviewees were clearly speaking of the wrong species, we did not
20
21 115 include any of their responses in our dataset. Interviewees were not asked whether they thought
22
23 116 the species was declining nor about the “current” status of the species. However, we
24
25 117 extrapolated this information by performing statistical comparisons between the frequency of
26
27 118 'yes frequently' answers to the question (2) among age classes, under the assumption that, in a
28
29 119 given village a lesser frequency of 'yes frequently' answers in younger interviewees compared
30
31 120 to the older respondents would indicate a decline in the population size of the species. To
32
33 121 minimize the probability of obtaining untrue answers from respondents, local assistants using
34
35 122 the native language performed all interviews. In addition, we interviewed each person
36
37 123 separately and independently from other interviews conducted in the same village. Since these
38
39 124 tortoises are popular pet animals in the Sahel region, it was explicitly explained to the
40
41 125 interviewees that we referred exclusively to specimens encountered in the wild. We kept the
42
43 126 length of each interview to 3-5 minutes to reduce inconveniencing the interviewee as much as
44
45 127 possible. As indirect evidence of the reliability of the interviewees' answers, (i) we observed
46
47 128 wild spurred tortoises in some sites where the respondents claimed that these reptiles were still
48
49 129 found (e.g., Baraboulé and Medjoari village (in Arly) in Burkina Faso; see Petrozzi et al., 2016,
50
51 130 2017a, 2017b), and (ii) examined shells of dead animals shown to us in sites where the older
52
53 131 respondents claimed they were common (e.g., Daura and Babura in Nigeria).

132 **Assumptions**

133 To interpret the answers given by our respondents, we used two main assumptions:

134 *(I.i)* Localities of occurrence of spurred tortoises were considered as highly reliable when
135 more than 65% of interviewees, of any age group, described them as 'common' in the
136 wild. This is of course just an arbitrary threshold, however indicating that most of the
137 interviewed people were consistent in their opinion about the abundance of the
138 tortoise, thus making highly unlikely that all of them were wrong or just lied to us.

139 *(I.ii)* Extirpation of spurred tortoises from a given locality was assumed when, in a given
140 locality, more than 65% of the interviewees in the 45-59 and >60 years groups
141 combined reported that the tortoises were as common whereas 0% of the 25-44 years
142 old people reported them as still present (either rare or common) in the wild. We
143 considered in this case the different age categories because people older than 60 do not
144 shepherd anymore as a general trend, being replaced in this task by younger persons.

145 **Statistical analyses**

146 To analyze the potential effect of 'level of hunting and shepherding' on the probability of
147 encounter with tortoises, we performed a Spearman's rank correlation analysis by country (n
148 =41 in Nigeria, n = 59 in Niger, n = 53 in Burkina Faso). In this analysis, the independent
149 variable was the 'level of hunting and shepherding' and the dependent variable was the
150 'frequency of meeting with the tortoises'. For the independent variable, we attributed a relative
151 score for the various types of interviewees' answers. When the interviewee answered that his
152 'level of shepherding' was 'stable' throughout the years, we attributed score =0, 'decreasing'
153 (score = -1) and 'increasing' (score=1). For the dependent variable, we scored the answer
154 'absent' = 0, 'rare' =1, 'common' =2.

155 Generalized Linear Models (GLM) were used to model the interview results for the
156 different answer types and to quantify their relationship with site (village) and age classes
157 (three categories) of the interviewees (Hosmer and Lemeshow 2000). A single model was run

1
2 158 for all countries, with country identity as the factor. In the model, the three answer types (i.e.
3
4 159 common, rare, or absent) were the dependent variables and country and the three age classes
5
6 160 were the independent variables. The identity link function and a Poisson distribution of error
7
8
9 161 were used (McCullagh and Nelder 1989). The significant variables were computed using the
10
11 162 'all effects' (for the age classes) and the best subset procedure using Statistica 6.0 software.
12
13 163 Parametric tests were used on normally-distributed variables; otherwise, non-parametric tests
14
15 164 were used in our analyses. Normality and homoscedasticity was assessed by Kosmogorov-
16
17
18 165 Smirnov test ($P < 0.05$). Statistica 6.0 software was used for all analyses.

19 20 166 **Results**

21
22 167 The results from the unstandardized interviews are summarized in the online supplemental
23
24 168 materials (Table S1).

25 26 169 ***Standardized interviews***

27
28
29 170 In Nigeria, the 'level of hunting and shepherding' was positively correlated with the probability
30
31 171 of encounter with tortoises ($r_s = 0.529$, $n = 41$, $P < 0.001$), whereas there was no correlation in
32
33 172 both Niger ($r_s = -0.075$, $n = 59$, $P = 0.571$) and Burkina Faso ($r_s = -0.123$, $n = 53$, $P = 0.378$).

34
35
36 173 In most of the 30 localities, only respondents > 60 years age reported spurred tortoises
37
38 174 as 'common' (Table 1). The only exception to this rule was Kafin Sarki (Nigeria), where the
39
40 175 majority of the 45-50 year age group also reported the species as common (Table 1). However,
41
42 176 in 6 out of 8 localities in Nigeria and in all 8 localities in Niger, none of the younger
43
44 177 respondents (25-44 years old) considered the spurred tortoise to be common. There was a
45
46 178 decrease in numbers of respondents who reported the tortoise as common with age in Niger and
47
48 179 Nigeria (Table 2).

49
50
51 180 GLM model results indicated that probability of common responses increased with age
52
53 181 in Nigeria (estimate 1.27, $P = 0.000025$), Niger (estimate = 0.27; $P = 0.000000$) and Burkina
54
55 182 Faso (estimate = 0.72; $P = 0.002111$). The probability of the tortoise being absent decreased
56
57 183 with age in Nigeria (estimate = -2,31; $P = 0.000000$) and Niger (estimate = -0,21; $P = 0.000000$),

1
2 184 but not in Burkina Faso ($P = 0.087$). Effects of age classes on the answer 'rare' do not get
3
4 185 uncovered for any of the three countries (Nigeria: $P = 0.403$; Niger: $P = 0.522$; Burkina Faso: P
5
6 186 $= 0.488$). There was no significant effect of village or country as variables in all analyses
7
8
9 187 (Table S2). Extirpation of tortoises may have occurred in 16.7% of the sites (2 in Nigeria, 3 in
10
11 188 Niger, and 0 in Burkina Faso) where old-age interviewees reported the species as common and
12
13 189 the young interviewees as absent (Table 1).

16 190 **Discussion**

17
18 191 A main result of our study is that 'level of hunting and shepherding effort' did not influence the
19
20 192 type of answers on tortoise status in Burkina Faso and Niger, whereas it did in Nigeria.

21
22 193 Although based on a relatively low number of interviewees, we attributed these inter-country
23
24 194 differences to the rarity of the spurred tortoises in Nigeria (Vetter 2005; Petrozzi et al. 2015).

25
26
27 195 Older interviewees more frequently reported the tortoise as being common than did
28
29 196 younger interviewees. Our GLM models showed that these differences were not by chance, and
30
31 197 therefore that these differences really depended on the divergent experience that older and
32
33 198 younger interviewees had with the African spurred tortoises in the field. The most plausible
34
35 199 explanation for the different answers between older and younger respondents is that the African
36
37 200 spurred tortoise has dramatically declined in many parts of its range, and that it may have even
38
39 201 disappeared in several sites (over 15% of the surveyed sites) where it was once common. We
40
41 202 doubt that other reasons (such as suboptimal 'research searching' by shepherds in some sites
42
43 203 compared to others; differential levels of elusive habits of the tortoise by site and by
44
45 204 interviewee's age group) can explain the observed pattern, given (i) the high number of
46
47 205 interviewees and villages, and (ii) the heterogeneous social, political and cultural background
48
49 206 of the various populations inhabiting Burkina Faso, Niger and northern Nigeria. However, it is
50
51 207 possible that some of the older interviewees may have exaggerated the abundance of tortoises
52
53 208 in their memory (a variant of the 'old times' sake' syndrome). Identification errors can be surely
54
55 209 ruled out given the huge size of the species and its role as a 'pet animal' in local contexts.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 210 Using percentage responses in which older interviewees declared the target species'
3
4 211 presence, it is possible to suggest that the spurred tortoise was widely distributed (and possibly
5
6 212 abundant) in Niger, but scarce in Burkina Faso. These patterns are consistent with the known
7
8
9 213 history of the distribution of this species in West Africa (Boulweydou 2008; Chirio 2009; Trape
10
11 214 et al. 2012; Petrozzi et al. 2016, 2017c).

12
13 215 Our study suggests that a stratified-by-age-interview approach may be useful to
14
15 216 determine patterns of decline in threatened species inhabiting unstable and/or difficult-to-access
16
17
18 217 regions of the world. Target species should be, as was the case of the African spurred tortoise,
19
20 218 (i) easily and non-ambiguously identified by the respondents and (ii) charismatic, in order to
21
22 219 minimise potential biases in the patterns of answer by the interviewees. Presently, we do not
23
24 220 have any evidence that our method can work well also with non-charismatic species.

25
26
27 221 The information collected from the interviews was coarse regarding abundance
28
29 222 (common, rare, absent) and with no temporal references other than the interviewee age, thus
30
31 223 these coarse resolutions could potentially hamper fine analyses of population trends (Turvey et
32
33 224 al. 2012, 2015). We intended to use broad categories of “abundance” in order to highlight
34
35 225 general population trends with minimizing the eventual lack of reliability by interviewees in a
36
37 226 geographic region where local communities are very often suspicious and reluctant in being
38
39 227 precise in their answers to scientists (our personal experience).

40 41 42 43 228 **Acknowledgments**

44
45 229 Field surveys were supported by the Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund (project
46
47 230 No. 13256954, to FP), the Turtle Conservation Fund (TCF 0606, 0688 and 0744, all to FP) and
48
49 231 IDECC-Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation (to LL). We thank
50
51 232 the anonymous referees for having much improved the submitted draft. This research project
52
53 233 complied with the ethical guidelines developed by the British Sociological Association.

54 55 56 57 234 **Disclosure statement**

58
59 235 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.
60

References

- 236
- 237 Akani, G.C., N. Ebere, D. Franco, and L. Luiselli. 2013. "Using local African communities' Ecological
238 Knowledge to support scientific evidence of snake declines". *Herpetozoa* 25: 133-142.
- 239 Anadón, J.D., A. Giménez, R. Ballestar, and I. Pérez. 2009. "Evaluation of local ecological knowledge
240 as a method for collecting extensive data on animal abundance". *Conservation Biology* 23: 617–
241 625.
- 242 Boulweydou, A. 2008. Caractérisation de l'habitat de la Tortue sillonnée (*Geochelone sulcata*, Miller,
243 1979 [sic]) dans le Massif de Termit (Zinder-Niger). Diplôme d' Etudes Approfondies en
244 Biologie appliquée, option "Protection et restauration des écosystèmes soudaniens et Sahélo-
245 sahariens", Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey - Projet Antilope Sahélo-Sahariennes,
246 Faculté des Sciences, Département de Biologie, Laboratoire GARBA Mounkaila, Niamey,
247 Niger.
- 248 Branch, B. 2008. *Tortoises, terrapins & turtles of Africa*. Cape Town: New Holland Publishing.
- 249 Charnley, S., A.P. Fischer, and E.T. Jones. 2007. "Integrating traditional and local ecological
250 knowledge into forest biodiversity conservation in the Pacific Northwest". *For. Ecol. Manage.*
251 246: 14-28.
- 252 Chirio, L. 2009. "Inventaire des reptiles de la région de la Réserve de Biosphère Transfrontalière du W
253 (Niger/Bénin/Burkina Faso: Afrique de l'Ouest)". *Bull. Soc. Herpetol. Fr.* 132: 13-41.
- 254 Demaya, G. S., Benansio, J. S., Lado, T. F., Jubarah, S. K., Ladu, J. L. C., and Luiselli, L. 2019. Local
255 Ecological Knowledge in South Sudan Can Help Conservation and Management of *Cyclanorbis*
256 *elegans*. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 18: 259-264.
- 257 Hellier, A., A.C. Newton, and S.O. Gaona. 1999. "Use of indigenous knowledge for rapidly assessing
258 trends in biodiversity: a case study from Chiapas, Mexico". *Biodivers. Conserv.* 8: 869-889.
- 259 Hosmer, D.W., and S. Lemeshow. 2000. *Applied logistic regression analysis*. 2nd ed. New York: John
260 Wiley and Sons.
- 261 Keane, A.M., R.A. Andriamatsiaro, J.P.G. Jones, and E.J. Milner-Gulland. 2011. "Evidence for the
262 effects of education and environmental engagement on knowledge of wildlife laws in
263 Madagascar". *Conserv. Lett.* 4: 55-63.

- 1
2 264 Knapp, E.J., D. Rentsch, J.M. Schmitt, J. Lewis, and S. Polasky. 2010. "A tale of three villages:
3
4 265 choosing an effective method for assessing poaching levels in western Serengeti, Tanzania".
5
6 266 *Oryx* 44: 178-184.
- 7
8 267 Jenkins, R.K.B., A. Keane, A.R. Rakotoarivello, V. Rakotomboavonjy, F.H. Randrianandrianina, H.J.
9
10 268 Razafimanahaka, S.R. Ralaiarimalala, and J.P.G. Jones. 2011. "Analysis of patterns of
11
12 269 bushmeat consumption reveals extensive exploitation of protected species in eastern
13
14 270 Madagascar". *PLoS ONE* 6(12): e27570. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027570>.
- 15
16 271 Luiselli, L., F. Petrozzi, G.C. Akani, M. Di Vittorio, N. Amadi, N. Ebere, D. Dendi, G. Amori, and E.A.
17
18 272 Eniang. 2017. "Rehashing bushmeat - Interview campaigns reveal some controversial issues
19
20 273 about the bushmeat trade dynamics in Nigeria". *Revue d'Ecologie (Terre et Vie)* 72: 3-18.
- 21
22 274 McCullagh, P., and J.A. Nelder. 1989. *Generalized linear models*. London: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- 23
24 275 Moro, M., A. Fischer, N. Czajkowski, D. Brennan, A. Lowassa, L. Naiman, and N. Hanley. 2013. "An
25
26 276 investigation using the choice experiment method into options for reducing illegal bushmeat
27
28 277 hunting in western Serengeti". *Conserv. Lett.* 6: 37-45.
- 29
30 278 Padmanaba, M., D. Sheil, and I. Basuki. 2013. "Assessing local knowledge to identify where species of
31
32 279 conservation concern occur in a tropical forest landscape". *Environ. Manage.* 52: 348-359.
33
34 280 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0051-7>.
- 35
36 281 Petrozzi, F., E.A. Eniang, G.C. Akani, N. Ebere, N. Amadi, E.M. Hema, T. Diagne, G.H. Segniagbeto,
37
38 282 L. Chirio, G. Amori, and L. Luiselli. 2017a. "Exploring the main threats to the threatened
39
40 283 African Spurred Tortoise *Centrochelys sulcata* in the West African Sahel". *Oryx* 52: 544-551.
41
42 284 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605316001125>.
- 43
44 285 Petrozzi, F., L. Luiselli, and E.A. Eniang. 2015. "Supplementary distribution data of *Centrochelys*
45
46 286 *sulcata* (Miller, 1779) in northern Nigeria (West Africa)". *Herpetozoa* 28: 92-94
- 47
48 287 Petrozzi, F., E.M. Hema, D. Sirima, B. Douamba, G.H. Segniagbeto, T. Diagne, N. Amadi, G. Amori,
49
50 288 G.C. Akani, E.A. Eniang, L. Chirio, and L. Luiselli. 2017b. "Distance-generated field density
51
52 289 estimates for the threatened Sahel tortoise *Centrochelys sulcata*". *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 25: 83-87.
- 53
54 290 Petrozzi, F., E.M. Hema, D. Sirima, B. Douamba, G.H. Segniagbeto, T. Diagne, N. Amadi, G. Amori,
55
56 291 G.C. Akani, E.A. Eniang, L. Chirio, and L. Luiselli. 2017c. "Habitat determinants of the
57
58
59
60

- 1
2 292 threatened Sahel tortoise *Centrochelys sulcata* at two spatial scales”. *Herpetol. Conserv. Biol.*
3
4 293 12: 402-409.
5
6 294 Petrozzi, F., E.M. Hema, L. Luiselli, and W. Guenda. 2016. “A survey of the potential distribution of
7
8 295 the threatened tortoise *Centrochelys sulcata* populations in Burkina Faso (West Africa)”. *Trop.*
9
10 296 *Ecol.* 57: 709-716.
11
12 297 Pham, V.T., Le Duc, O., Leprince, B., Bordes, C., Luu, V. Q., and Luiselli, L. 2019. “Hunters'
13
14 298 structured questionnaires enhance ecological knowledge and provide circumstantial survival
15
16 299 evidence for the world's rarest turtle”. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater*
17
18 300 *Ecosystems*. Doi <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3225>.
19
20
21 301 St John, F.A.V., J.M. Gibbons, and G. Edward-Jones. 2010. “Testing novel methods for assessing rule
22
23 302 breaking in conservation”. *Biol. Conserv.* 143: 1025-1030.
24
25 303 Trape, J.F., L. Chirio, and S. Trape. 2012. *Lézards, crocodiles et tortues d’Afrique occidentale et du*
26
27 304 *Sahara*. Paris: IRD Editions.
28
29 305 Turvey, S.T., C.T. Trung, V.D. Quyet, H.V. Nhu, D.V. Thoai, V.C.A. Tuan, D.T. Hoa, K. Kacha, T.
30
31 306 Sysomphone, S. Wallate, C.T.T. Hai, N.V. Thanh, and N.M. Wilkinson. 2015. “Interview-based
32
33 307 sighting histories can inform regional conservation prioritization for highly threatened cryptic
34
35 308 species”. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 52: 422-433. <https://doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12382>.
36
37
38 309 Vetter, H. 2005. *Leopard and African spurred tortoise Stimocheles pardalis and Centrochelys sulcata,*
39
40 310 Frankfurt-am-Main: Edition Chimaira.
41
42 311 Wang, J.X., H.M. Liu, H.B. Hu, and L. Gao. 2004. “Participatory approach for rapid assessment of plant
43
44 312 diversity through a folk classification system in a tropical rainforest: case study in
45
46 313 Xishuangbanna, China”. *Conserv. Biol.* 18: 1139-1142.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Raw data distribution of the three types of answer (tortoise is common, rare or absent) by respondents' age in each village of the three countries. Highlighted in bold are those cases where >60 common was coupled with 25-45 absent. Total sample sizes in each village and in each country are also presented.

	> 60 common	> 60 rare	> 60 absent	45-60 common	45-60 rare	45-60 absent	25-45 common	25-45 rare	25-45 absent
NIGERIA									
Daura	6	2	0	2	2	5	0	1	7
Babura	4	2	0	1	0	3	0	1	4
Medu	4	1	0	0	2	6	0	1	4
Nguru	3	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	5
Auyo	0	1	4	0	0	6	0	0	7
Geidem	0	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	8
Sabon Birni	0	1	3	0	0	5	0	0	8
Gwadabawa	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	7
Moriki	5	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	5
Kafin Sarki	4	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	6
Botawa	3	0	1	2	3	0	0	1	6
Isa	6	2	0	4	3	0	1	3	7
Shanga	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	8
TOTAL	35	14	22	13	14	42	2	10	82
NIGER									
Zabori	6	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7
Niamey	7	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	7
Diney	7	0	0	1	6	0	0	3	5
Safia	5	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	8
Madaoua	4	3	0	0	0	8	0	0	7
Dosso	6	1	0	0	5	1	0	4	4
Matamey	2	5	0	2	3	2	0	0	6
Maradi	6	0	0	1	3	2	0	3	5
Eroupa	6	1	0	1	3	2	0	5	2
Tatori	8	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	8
TOTAL	57	10	0	14	38	15	0	16	59
BURKINA FASO									
Kantchari	2	1	6	0	3	5	0	0	9
Medjoari	1	3	3	0	3	4	0	1	9
Dori	0	1	8	0	0	9	0	0	10
Baraboulé	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	11
Thiou	0	0	9	0	0	11	0	2	7
Dokuy	1	3	1	0	1	9	0	3	5
Tansila	2	5	0	0	4	3	0	3	3
TOTAL	6	13	35	0	11	49	0	9	54

6

Table 2 Synopsis of the percent distribution of the three types of answer (tortoise is common, rare or absent) by respondents' age in each of the three countries (all sample sizes for each village being cumulated). Standard Deviations are also presented after the means.

	> 60	> 60	> 60	45-60 years	45-60 years	45-60 years	25-45 years	25-45 years	25-45 years
	common	Rare	absent	common	rare	absent	common	Rare	absent
Nigeria	46 ±38	18.35±11.28	35.6±46.8	20.07±25.31	19.88±21.71	60.05±43.87	1.66±4.11	10.78±11.52	87.55±13.35
Niger	85.71±24.28	14.29±24.27	0	21.21±16.48	57.6±26.12	21.21±31.12	0	20.75±26.3	79.25±26.3
Burkina Faso	12.16±12.11	28.07±29.63	59.93±40.07	0	21.07±24.16	78.93±24.16	0	17.1±20.22	82.9±20.22


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

11

1 **Fig. 1.** Map of the study region in the West African Sahel, showing the villages where
2 interviews were carried out. Abbreviations: WAN = Nigeria; NIG = Niger; BF = Burkina Faso;
3 1: Kantchari; 2: Dori; 3: Baraboulè; 4: Madjoari; 5: Thiou; 6: Dokuy; 7:Tansila; 8: Niamey; 9:
4 Diney; 10: Safia; 11: Madaoua; 12: Dosso; 13: Matamey; 14: Maradi; 15: Eroupa; 16: Tatori;
5 17: Zabori; 18: Daura; 19: Auyo; 20: Babura; 21: Shanga; 22: Gwadabawa; 23: Geidem; 24:
6 Botawa; 25: Kafin Sarki; 26: Isa; 27: Sabon Birni; 28: Moriki; 29: Medu; 30: Nguru.

7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



295x111mm (299 x 299 DPI)

1 ONLINE SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

2

3 **Results from the Unstandardized interviews**

4 A summary of the unstandardized interview campaigns is given in Table S1. These
5 unstandardized interviews provided very valuable information on relative abundance of
6 the species, but also on its natural history within the different sites. Interestingly, part of
7 the information provided by the interviewees was later confirmed by ad-hoc field
8 surveys, thus showing the reliability and feasibility of using these interviews. For
9 instance, the fact that the species was quite abundant in the Termit-Teneré area, as
10 reported in the interviews, was later confirmed by transect surveys using Distance
11 (Petrozzi et al., 2017b), and that above-ground activity and egg hatching occur during
12 rainy season was also confirmed by field surveys (Petrozzi et al., 2017b, 2017c, and
13 unpublished observations).

14

15 **Table S1** Synopsis of the non-standardized interviews on the population status and natural
 16 history of African spurred tortoises. CAR = Central African Republic.

Year	No. Of interviewees	Locality	Coordinates	Declaration
1994	15	Birao (CAR)	10,28N+22,79E ; 500 m	Rather common north of the town
1995	4	Am-Dafok (CAR)	10,46N+23,29E ; 500 m	Uncommon in the bush around the village
2000	3	Bouba Ndjida (Cameroon)	8,72N+14,58E : 330 m	Formerly rather common in the protected area; now most probably extinct
2005	8	Termit (Niger)	16,38N+11,47E ; 550 m	Quite abundant during rainy season
2007	5	La Tapoa (Niger)	12,04N+2,26E ; 250 m	Very abundant hatchlings during rainy season
2007	5	Diapaga (Burkina Faso)	12,07N+1,79E ; 280 m	Formerly rather common around the village; now very rare

18

19

20 **Table S2.** GLM effect of the village on the probability of answers by interviewees in
 21 the three surveyed countries

Type of answer	P-value
Nigeria	
Common	0.917
Rare	0.840
Absent	0.983
Niger	
Common	0.667
Rare	0.633
Absent	0.689
Burkina faso	
Common	0.391
Rare	0.494
Absent	0.852

22

23

24

25

26