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#### Abstract

Understanding the influence of geographical events and climate changes on genetic diversity is essential in explaining current patterns of genetic structure and geographic distribution of organisms. We inferred phylogenetic relationships, investigated historical demography, explored the evolutionary history, and clarified intraspecific taxonomy of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus, which is one of the commonest and most wide-ranging of Asian pitvipers. A total of 184 samples from 54 localities were sequenced and analyzed for two mitochondrial gene fragments and two nuclear genes. Phylogenetic reconstruction based on mtDNA sequences revealed the existence of a minimum of five geographically structured and wellsupported lineages within P. mucrosquamatus.. Based on the mtDNA gene tree, and the geographic relationship between populations allied by matrilineal lineages, a complex longitudinal and latitudinal diversification pattern was uncovered in $P$. mucrosquamatus. The estimated date of the origin of the species (about 5.3 Ma ) and divergence of the intraspecific lineages match the rapid uplifting of Qinghai-Xizang Plateau, and is also consistent with those of some other co-distributed Asian pitvipers. Formation of the two island lineages (Taiwan and Hainan) was generally congruent with the first isolation of the islands, but the two lineages showed different relationships with the continental Asian populations in comparison with some other pitvipers. Population historical demographic analyses, based on three methods, showed that all lineages have experienced slight population expansion in and around the Dali Glacial. Tests of intraspecific taxonomy indicated that no cryptic taxon is present within this widely distributed snake.
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Running title: Diversification pattern of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus

## 1. Introduction

Eastern and southeastern Asia contains several biodiversity hotspots; e.g., the Himalayan, Indo-Burman, and the Mountains of Southwest China (CEPF, 2017). This region, which exhibits extremely complex topography and varied climate, harbors rich biodiversity, and is an ideal setting for investigating species diversification and biogeographic pattern of organisms (Che et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2011, 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). Due to their limited dispersal ability and sensitivity to climatic fluctuations (being heterothermic), snakes are an ideal model to examine the influence of climate oscillations and geological events on population structure, genetic diversity, and evolutionary history (Guiher and Burbrink, 2008; Ursenbacher et al., 2008; Pyron and Burbrink, 2009; Fijarczyk et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2016). An increasing, but still limited, number of studies on snakes inhabiting this (or neighbouring) region have attempted to track species evolutionary history (Huang et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2011, 2016; Zhu et al., 2016), and include Deinagkistrodon acutus, Gloydius brevicaudus, Protobothrops jerdonii, Naja atra, Viridovipera stejnegeri, and Trimeresurus albolabris (Huang et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2011, 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). All studies have indicated that these snakes experienced population expansion in some or all mtDNA lineages defined, and the five pitvipers consistently showed an east-west division, or longitudinal divergence, while latitudinal divergence was also detected in T. albolabris and V. stejnegeri. The longitudinal divergence is particularly prominent in Jerdon's pitviper, P. jerdonii, inhabiting high elevation mountains (Guo et al., 2011). However, a better understanding of the biogeographic history of this region requires more phylogeographic studies for the species inhabiting this region.

The brown-spotted pit viper, Protobothrops mucrosquamatus (Cantor, 1839), is one of the most common venomous species occurring throughout southeastern Asia including China, Vietnam, Thailand, Laos, Myanmar, and India (Fig. 1) (Gumprecht et al., 2004; Zhao, 2006; Vasaruchapong et al., 2017). It is nocturnal and frequently found in bamboo forest, brushwood, fields, and near streams in plains, hills and low mountains (less than 1000 m elevation) (Zhao, 2006). Despite its wide distribution, P. mucrosquamatus is a monotypic species and no significantly morphological differences have been detected among populations (Zhong et al., 2017). However, whether P. mucrosquamatus displays distinct genetic structure similar to co-distributed pitvipers (e.g., P. jerdonii and V. stejnegeri) (Guo et al., 2011, 2016), or not as in the case of $N$.
atra (Lin et al., 2014), is unknown. Answering this question may allow us to understand which factors are responsible for the different evolutionary patterns seen in codistributed species.

In this study, we constructed a molecular phylogeny of $P$. mucrosquamatus based on dense sampling across most of its distributional range, to elucidate its phylogeographic and evolutionary history, particularly focusing on the origin of populations from Hainan and Taiwan Islands. Finally, we conducted a comparison of phylogeographic histories of snakes co-occurring in this region, to understand the causes of the evolutionary patterns found.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Samples and sequences acquisition

In total, 184 individuals of $P$. mucrosquamatus from 54 localities covering most of its range, were collected, sequenced and analyzed (Fig. 1; Table S1). Samples were obtained through fieldwork, or through tissue loans from colleagues or museums. Based on previous molecular studies on Asian pitvipers (Liu et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2016), several representatives of its closely related congeners P. maolanensis, P. tokarensis, and $P$. flavoviridis were also included, and $P$. flavoviridis was chosen as the outgroup.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from $85 \%$ ethanol-preserved livers, muscle tissues or buffer-preserved blood using E.Z.N.A Tissue DNA Kits (Omega Bio-tek, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA). Two mtDNA gene fragments [cytochrome b (cytb) and NADH subunit 4 (ND4)], as well as two nuclear genes [prolactin receptor (PRLR) and ubinuclein 1 (UBN1)] were amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers in Burbrink et al.(2001), Arevalo et al. (1994), Casewell et al. (2011), and Townsend et al. (2008) respectively (Table S2). The cycling parameters were identical to those found in the citations for each primer pair. For samples which failed to be sequenced using the primers mentioned above, additional primers were designed (based on sequenced samples) to amplify and sequence. PCR products were purified and double-stranded products were bidirectionally sequenced by a commercial company.

### 2.2. Phylogenetic reconstruction

Sequences were edited manually using Seqman in DNAstar (DNASTAR, Inc.), aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), and checked by eye for ambiguous alignments. A quality check of protein-coding sequences was carried out by translating into amino-acid
sequences and aligning with the published homologous sequences, to confirm that we had not amplified potential pseudogenes (Zhang and Hewitt, 1996).

We reconstructed mtDNA-based intraspecific phylogenetic relationships using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum-likelihood (ML) methods. Prior to analyses, three different partitioning strategies (unpartitioned; two partitions: partitioned by two fragments; six partitions: partitioned by protein-coding positions) were evaluated using Bayesian Factors (BF) in BEAST 1.80 using path-sampling (Lartillot and Philippe, 2006). The simplest best-fit model of evolution for each partition was chosen using PartitionFinder under BIC (Lanfear et al., 2012). For BI analyses, three runs and four Markov chains (three heated chains and a single cold chain) were executed in MrBayes 3.2.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012) using the models selected, and starting from a random tree. Each run was conducted with a total of $5 \times 10^{7}$ generations and sampled every 2000 generations; burn-in was checked using Tracer 1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014) and the first $25 \%$ samples discarded. Substitution parameters were unlinked and rates were allowed to vary across partitions. Convergence was assessed by examining effective sample sizes in Tracer (ESS >200 as recommended) (Rambaut et al., 2014). After confirming that the two analyses reached stationarity at a similar likelihood score, and the topologies were similar, the resultant trees were combined to calculate posterior probabilities (PP) for each node in a $50 \%$ majority-rule consensus tree. ML trees were constructed in the program RaxML 7.2.6 (Stamatakis, 2006) with the same model under the same partitioning scheme as chosen for the BI analyses. Branch support was assessed by performing 1000 non-parametric bootstrap (BS) replicates of the topology.

Several individuals were detected to be heterozygous in nuclear gene sequences, thus both nDNA genes were phased using the software program Phase with default sets of iterations, burn-in, and threshold (Stephens et al., 2001), on the web-server interface Seqphase (Flot, 2010). We ran Phase twice, with different seeds for the random-number generator, to check the consistency of results. Finally, one of the phased copies was selected at random to represent each individual in subsequent analyses (several analyses with alternative haplotypes were also conducted to ensure different haplotype datasets have no effect on results). We constructed a median-joining network (MJN) to depict intraspecific relationships based on the phased nuclear data. The MJN was executed using network 4.6.2.0 (Bandelt et al., 1999; http://www.fluxusengineering.com), with the parameter epsilon set to 0 . As inclusion of individuals with lots of missing data may influence statistical results, the individuals with more than $15 \%$
total length comprising missing data were excluded from these analyses.

### 2.3. Genetic diversity and clustering analysis

Several genetic diversity indices were computed for each lineage in DnaSP 5.10 (Librado and Rozas, 2009), including the number of haplotypes (H), haplotype diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ), and the mean number of pairwise differences ( $K$ ). In addition, pairwise distances ( $p$-distances) within and among mtDNA lineages were calculated in Mega 6.0 (Kumar et al., 2008; Tamura et al., 2013).

We used DAPC (Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components [Jombart et al., 2010]) to explore population structures based on a concatenated data set of mtDNA and nDNA sequences. This analysis was performed with prior information on individual populations, and eight populations were pre-defined based on the geographic location of individuals (Table S1, Fig. 1): MY (locality 1), SiC (localities 2-19), CC (localities 20-28), EC (localities 29-32), SoC (localities 33-43), VT (localities 44-51), HN (localities 52-53), and TW (locality 54). DAPC analyses were carried out and plots were created using the adegenet package (Jombart et al., 2014) in software $R$ ( $R$ Development Core Team, 2011).

### 2.4. Divergence date estimations

The date of origin of each mtDNA lineage of $P$. mucrosquamatus was estimated in BEAST 1.80 using path-sampling analysis based on mtDNA sequences (Drummond et al., 2012). We used uncorrelated relaxed molecular clocks to allow for rate heterogeneity among lineages, a normal prior on the global substitution rate to calibrate the estimation based on the mtDNA substitution rate of $0.65 \%$ changes/million years (Macey et al., 1998), which has been widely employed in dating squamate phylogenies (e.g. Werneck et al., 2012). Two independent searches of $2 \times 10^{8}$ generations, sampling every 2000 iterations, and with $25 \%$ of the initial samples discarded as burn-in, were conducted. We compared BFs based on path-sampling analysis (Drummond et al., 2012) to determine whether runs had converged on similar values.

### 2.5. Historical demography

To understand how population sizes changed through time, past population dynamics of each mtDNA phylogeographic lineage detected were explored using three different methods. First, Extended Bayesian Skyline Plots (EBSP) were executed using BEAST 1.80
(Drummond et al., 2012) to describe demographical history. In this test, time was scaled by using a substitution rate for the mtDNA locus of 0.0065 substitutions/site/million year as used in Squamata (Werneck et al., 2012). Each EBSP was run for $1 \times 10^{8}$ generations, and sampled every 1000 iterations with $25 \%$ of the initial samples discarded as burn-in. All operator parameters were set following that suggested in the EBSP manual. Stationarity was assessed by analyzing the effective sample sizes of all parameters in Tracer 1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). Second, mismatch distributions (MD; Slatkin and Hudson, 1991) were calculated in Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010) and used to compare observed distributions of nucleotide differences between pairs of haplotypes with those expected under demographic (Rogers and Harpending, 1992) and spatial (Excoffier, 2004) expansion models, using a generalized least square approach. The sum of squared deviations (SSD) and Harpending's raggedness index (Rag) were used to assess whether our model was working well for the observed and expected mismatch distributions, using 1000 bootstrap replicates. Lastly, Tajima's D* (Tajima, 1989) and Fu and Li's D* (Fu, 1997) tests were conducted and significant deviations from zero were tested using 1000 coalescent simulations in DnaSP 5.10 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). Tajima's $D^{*}$ and Fu and Li's $D^{*}$ are expected to be near zero if population sizes have been stable. Significant negative values are expected if the population has undergone recent expansion, whereas significant positive values are expected if the population has recently experienced a bottleneck (Tajima, 1989; Fu, 1997).

### 2.6. Migration

The level of gene flow between the lineage HN and the remaining lineages was assessed under an "Isolation with Migration" framework (Hey and Nielsen, 2004) using IMa2 (Hey, 2010). Mitochondrial and nuclear markers were analyzed concurrently with an HKY model of nucleotide substitution. The mtDNA gene tree was used as guide tree.

Gene flow was tested for $2 \times 10^{6}$ generations and the first $30 \%$ were discarded as burn-in. Each run was conducted with 80 chains, a geometric chain heating scheme with first and second heating parameters of 0.999 and 0.300 respectively. A likelihood-ratio test was used to determine if gene flow was present between lineages.

### 2.7. Species delimitation

To assess whether distinct cryptic species are present within P. mucrosquamatus, we implemented a Bayesian hypothesis-testing approach (Bayes Factor Delimitation: BFD)
to statistically test alternate hypotheses of species limits (Grummer et al., 2014). We took the suggestions provided by Grummer et al. (2014) to assess the strength of support for a particular species delimitation hypothesis. $0<\mathrm{BF}<2$ means "not worth more than a bare mention", 2 < BF < 6 means "positive" support, 6 < BF < 10 provides "strong" support, and BF >10 means "decisive" support in distinguishing between competing species delimitation hypotheses. All analyses in *BEAST were performed using BEAST 1.8 (Drummond et al., 2012) under an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed molecular clock for each locus where the mean clock rate of 1.0 was fixed for the mitochondrial gene and rates for the two nuclear loci were estimated relative to this gene. A Yule process was used for the species tree prior, and the piecewise linear and constant root was used for the population size model. Analyses were run for $1 \times 10^{8}$ generations with the first 20 million generations (20\%) discarded as burn-in, saving every 2000th tree. After *BEAST analyses, two methods of marginal-likelihood estimation in *BEAST were used in our BFD analyses: path-sampling (PS) (Lartillot and Philippe, 2004) and stepping-stone (SS) analysis (Xie et al., 2011). Both estimators were calculated on the collected samples with a chain length of $10^{6}$ generations for 100 path steps.

In addition, the genealogical sorting index (gsi; Cummings et al., 2008) was calculated to estimate the degree of exclusive ancestry of individuals of species to test whether the potential species or subspecies were monophyletic. The degree of exclusivity is based on interval [0-1], in which 1 indicates monophyly, <1 indicates paraphyly, and 0 indicates non-exclusive ancestry in relation to other sampled species. Analyses were run on the gsi web server (http://www.genealogicalsorting.org) for the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset. Input trees for this analysis were based on BI and $P$-values were calculated using $10^{4}$ permutations.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Phylogenetic reconstruction

A total of 2842 base pairs of sequence data from 184 samples were aligned for three markers (Table 1). Sample information was listed in the appendix and novel sequences generated have been deposited in GenBank (Table S1. Accession numbers MK 193033MK 193725).

The unpartitioned scheme was preferred by BF method for the mtDNA loci. For Bayesian analyses with GTR+I+G model, after discarding burn-in, the effective sample
sizes were above 200 for all parameters. BI analyses indicated all samples of putative $P$. mucrosquamatus formed a highly supported monophyletic group (PP 100\%) with five major lineages, with generally strong support for both the lineages themselves (except VM lineage) and the relationships among them (except between VM and SCV) (Fig. 2). The primary geographical lineages are as follows:

Hainan lineage (HN): This lineage comprises all lance-headed pit vipers from Hainan Island exclusively.

Vietnam and Myanmar lineage (VM): The individuals in this lineage occur in Vietnam and Myanmar. Within this weakly supported lineage, the individuals from Vietnam and Myanmar are reciprocally monophyletic (PP=1.0 for both)

Southern China and Vietnam lineage (SCV): This strongly supported lineage contains individuals from the southern China including Guangxi, Guangdong, Zhejiang, and Fujian, and extreme eastern Vietnam. Two sublineages can be distinguished within this lineage, the first one consisting of a few individuals from southern China and the second one being composed of individuals from eastern Vietnam and the rest of southern China. The populations from southern China and Vietnam did not form reciprocally monophyletic groups.

Southwestern China lineage (SWC): The samples allied to this lineage are found in southwestern China including Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou, Hubei, and Hunan provinces.

Taiwan lineage (TW): The Taiwan lineage inhabits Taiwan Island exclusively.
The ML tree was almost identical to the Bayesian tree, differing only in several weakly supported nodes (Fig. 2). The networks inferred from the two nDNA markers (Fig. 3) did not show the same clear phylogeographic structure illustrated in the mtDNA gene tree (Fig. 2). Some representatives from different mtDNA lineages shared nuclear haplotypes; for example, haplotype 1 is shared among four lineages for gene UBN1; haplotype 8 is shared among three lineages for gene PRLR (Fig. 3).

### 3.2. Genetic diversity and clustering analysis

Uncorrected $p$-distances within and between mtDNA lineages are listed in Table 2. The inter-lineage genetic distance ranges from 3.0\% (lineages SWC and TW) to 6.1\% (lineages SCV and HN) based on cytb and from 2.4\% (lineages SWC and TW) to 4.2\% (lineages SWC and HN) based on ND4. The largest within-lineage distance was found in the SCV lineage, based on cytb (2.1\%), and the smallest in the SWC lineage, in both fragments (0.1\%) (Table 2).

Altogether, 55 mtDNA haplotypes were defined for the whole sample of $P$. mucrosquamatus and overall haplotype diversity was comparable with that of nDNA (Table S3). For mtDNA, the highest within-lineage haplotype diversity occurs in lineage TW ( $H d=1.00$ ) and the lowest in lineage SWC ( $H d=0.70$ ) (Table S3). On the contrary, overall nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) was low ( $\% \pi=0.099-1.815$ ), with the lowest in SWC and the highest in SCV respectively (Table S3). In comparison with mtDNA data, nuclear data generally showed low diversity in each lineage and locus (Table 2; Table S3).

In DAPC analysis, 61 axes of the PCA were retained for DAPC, and seven discriminant functions were obtained. The plots uncovered five differentiated clusters. Three of them (HN, TW, SiC + CC) corresponded to the lineages defined by the BI tree (HN, TW, and SWC) respectively. Unexpectedly, the groups from lineages VM and SCV overlapped considerably with an exception of sublineage MY (all individuals from Myanmar within VM lineage) which formed a separated cluster (Fig. S4).

### 3.3. Historical population demography

The EBSP detected sudden recent population size expansion in four lineages (HN was excluded due to small number of samples) (Fig. 4). Tajima's D* for mtDNA in the HN, VM, TW, and SWC lineages are negative but not significant except for SWC; Fu and Li's D* were negative in lineages HN, TW, and SWC, but not significant in the first two lineages. The values of SSD and Harpending's Raggedness index calculated from mtDNA were non-significant in most lineages (except lineage VM in SSD), indicating that population expansion was detected for these groups (Table 3). For the two nuclear loci, most lineages were not significantly negative (Fig. S5). Summary statistics for the genetic diversity of each lineage and locus, Tajima's $D^{*}$ and Fu and Li's $D^{*}$ are listed in Table 1, Table 3, and Table S3.

### 3.4. Divergence dating

The Beast tree (Fig. 5) showed a slight topology difference compared to the $\mathrm{BI} / \mathrm{ML}$ gene trees, (three Myanmar samples formed a very poorly or unsupported VM lineage with the Vietnamese samples in $\mathrm{BI} / \mathrm{ML}$ gene trees). Divergence dating estimated that $P$. mucrosquamatus likely diverged from its sister taxon $\sim 5.29 \mathrm{Ma}$ [95\% Highest Posterior Density (HPD): 3.32-7.60 Ma] during the early Pliocene or late Miocene, and intraspecific divergence began at $4.66 \mathrm{Ma}(95 \%$ HPD: $2.88-6.76 \mathrm{Ma}$ ) (Fig. 5). The earliest intra-lineage divergence in P. mucrosquamatus occurred in SCV $\sim 3.42 \mathrm{Ma}(95 \%$ HPD: 1.96-4.90 Ma).

### 3.5. Bayesian species delimitation and coalescence analysis

The results using the path-sampling and stepping-stone methods of marginal-likelihood estimation were consistently in favor of a one-species model ( $\mathrm{BF} \approx 30$ ). Similarly, the gsi test indicated that the proposed two species ( HN and the remaining) were not monophyletic with respect to one another according to the mtDNA tree and the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA tree, with the exception of the HN lineage in the mtDNA gene tree (Table 4).

In IMa2 analysis, the ESS values for the time parameter were over 1000. However, statistically significant ( $P<0.001$ ) migration events were not detected between HN lineage and the remaining lineages (data not shown).

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Intraspecific divergence

Five large, geographically structured and divergent lineages were uncovered within $P$. mucrosquamatus, based on mtDNA sequences (Fig. 2). Levels of genetic differentiation suggest the presence of high genetic diversity within the brown-spotted pitvipers. Protobothrops mucrosquamatus is ectothermic, relatively immobile (low dispersal ability), and is often found in low elevation hills, generally lower than 1000 m (Zhao 2006). It is therefore susceptible to habitat change and climate fluctuation, and its phylogeographic pattern is likely to have been greatly influenced by contemporary and historical ecology. Avise (2000) proposed five intraspecific phylogeographical patterns for extant species. Based on Avise's suggestion, P. mucrosquamatus should be grouped as "Category I", having deeply subdivided gene trees and allopatric major lineages. Similar patterns have also been reported in some other Asian pit vipers, such as $P$. jerdonii (Guo et al., 2011), T. albolabris (Zhu et al., 2016), and V. stejnegeri (Guo et al., 2016), but have not been detected in other widespread and generally co-distributed species in southern China such as D. acutus (Huang et al., 2007), G. brevicaudus (Ding et al., 2013), and N. atra (Lin et al., 2014). They are all presumably subject to the same or similar climatic oscillations and biogeographic scenarios; however, they display different population structure and genetic diversity. Dispersal ability, habitat use, and more recent popular utilization in food and medicine may be reasons for these differences.

### 4.2. General biogeographic pattern

The biogeography of most organisms, including snakes, in southern China are generally thought to be allied to the uplifting of Qinghai-Xizang Plateau (QXP) (Fu et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2007; Che et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2011, 2016; Klause et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). Several lines of evidence provide indirect support for its centre of origin. First, nine of fourteen species (64\%) of the genus Protobothrops are found in the Hengduan Mountains or adjacent regions, with six being endemic to this region (Gumprecht et al., 2004; Zhao, 2006; Pan et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013). Second, the populations from Vietnam and Myanmar were the first to diverge from the other lineages in the BEAST tree. Thus, we reasoned that the ancestral area of this snake is likely to be located in QXP or adjacent regions. The QXP began its uplift during the Miocene (c. $25 \sim 10 \mathrm{Ma}$ ), and rapid uplift occurred at c. 3.4 Ma in the middle Pliocene (Sun, 1997). The date of origin of $P$. mucrosquamatus was estimated to be $\sim 5.3 \mathrm{Ma}$, and between-lineage divergence took place between $3 \sim 5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Fig. 5). Thus, the speciation and intra-specific divergence of $P$. mucrosquamatus matches the uplifting of QXP, and is generally congruent with the other pitvipers (Guo et al., 2011, 2016; Zhu et al., 2016) in date and original center.

The regions occupied by the five matrilineal lineages are generally located in geographically close proximity (Figs. 1 and 2), which is again very similar to that of three co-distributed Asian pit vipers (see above). It has been pointed out previously, however, that the two pitvipers P. jerdonii and D. acutus (Huang et al., 2007), as well as another venomous snake N. atra (Lin et al., 2014), distributed in southern and southwestern China, all present a longitudinal diversification pattern only, unlike P. mucrosquamatus, V. stejnegeri and T. albolabris which also underwent latitudinal divergence. We suggest that a longitudinal diversification pattern may be the general or predominant phylogeographical pattern for snakes occurring south of the Changjiang (= Yangtze) River, China, and that latitudinal divergence is a secondary one. In southwestern and southern China, the uplifting of the QXP has led to the formation of many mountains and rivers with a north-south orientation, which may shape the phylogeographical pattern of snakes; this geographic event, along with other factors such as humanmediated migration and population dispersal, could have resulted in the secondary pattern.

### 4.3. Island biogeography

Island biogeographic studies have long been attractive to many evolutionary biologists
and phylogeographers. Generally, island fauna has a continental origin, either over an originally existing land-bridge or by over-water dispersal (Creer et al., 2001; de Queiroz and Lawson, 2008; Huang et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2016).

Several intraspecific phylogenetic studies have included Hainan populations; some have been shown to be distinct matrilineal lineages (e.g. V. stejnegeri: Guo et al., 2016), while others are indistinguishable from Asian continental populations (e.g. Calotes versicolor: Huang et al., 2013; T. albolabris: Zhu et al., 2016). In P. mucrosquamatus, the Hainan population forms a distinct matrilineal lineage and a separate cluster in DAPC analysis, and diverged from its continental relatives in the SCV lineage at $\sim 4 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Fig. 5). Biogeographic analyses based on plants have revealed that Hainan Island was previously located near Guangxi and northern Vietnam during the early Cenozoic (Zhu, 2016) and was formed approximately 2-2.5 Ma (Shi et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2007). It may be that P. mucrosquamatus colonized what is now Hainan Island and started to diverge from the continental population before the isolation of Hainan from the Asian continent. Although Hainan Island has been connected with mainland China several times historically, temporary land-bridges may not have created corridor with suitable habitat for dispersal between Hainan Island and adjacent Guangdong Province, China. Exclusive matrilineal lineages in HN and SCV (Fig. 2), as well as no significant migration between HN and SCV, add supports for this speculation.

Taiwan Island is also thought to have been first isolated from mainland China at $\sim 5$ Ma (Teng 1990). Phylogenetic analyses revealed that the individuals from Taiwan formed a distinct matrilineal lineage (TW; Fig. 2), indicating a single colonization event from continental Asia since the initial isolation of Taiwan, which is different from Stejneger's pitviper (V. stejnegeri) with two dispersal events (Creer et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2016). The TW linage was dated to be divergent from the mainland China at about 3 Ma (Fig. 5), which well fits some other terrestrial vertebrates (Guo et al., 2016; He et al., 2018). However, somewhat unexpectedly, the TW lineage did not show a sister relationship with its geographically proximate lineage SCV, but rather with SWC. Spatially, Taiwan is far away from southwestern China (which includes Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou and Hunan), and both are geographically separated by Guangdong, Guangxi, and the Taiwan Strait (Fig. 1). Free dispersal between Taiwan and southwestern China seems to be impossible. The most parsimonious explanation is that the ancestors of SWC and TW were widely distributed from southwestern China to southern China, and dispersed into Taiwan Island via a land-bridge before 3 Ma ;
subsequently, the intervening populationd in southern China went extinct due to some unknown geologic event (eg., oceanic transgression).

### 4.4. Population demography

In Europe and North America, glacial cycles, accompanied by climatic oscillation, has had a crucial influence on the current distribution and genetic structure of ectothermic reptiles (Hewitt 2000, 2004; Guiher and Burbrink, 2008; Pyron and Burbrink, 2009; Fijarczyk et al., 2011; Ursenbacher et al., 2015; Jablonski et al., 2016; Kotsakiozi et al., 2018 ). In China, the last global glaciation, called the Dali glaciation (DLG), took place during 0.07-0.01 Ma (Shi and Wang, 1979). In the present study, three lines of evidence (including EBSP, MD, and neutrality tests) suggested that all defined matrilineal lineages have experienced recent population expansion. The expansion of populations TW and VM was estimated to take place about 0.03-0.04 Ma, which was close to the middle DLG (higher temperature than the post and early DLG), while the population SWC experienced a rapid expansion after the DLG $(\sim 0.005 \mathrm{Ma})$ when the temperature rose (Shi and Wang, 1979). However, the population SCV experienced an expansion before 0.07 Ma , which may have been triggered by pre-Glacial Maximum. high temperatures. Population demography studies have indicated that $P$. mucrosquamatus is similar to V. stejnegeri, in which all lineages experienced population expansion (Guo et al., 2016), while it is distinct from $T$. albolabris, in which only one lineage (southern China) experienced population expansion (Zhu et al., 2016). A number of independent phylogeographical studies have shown that some organisms have been influenced by temperature change resulting from glacial cycles (Qu et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014), while in other taxa, this has not been in the case (Yan et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2013).

### 4.5. Taxonomy of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus

While some snakes with wide distribution range frequently exhibit cryptic species diversity (Myers et al., 2013; Ukuwela et al., 2013), exceptions have also been found (Guo et al., 2009, 2016; Ding et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2016). Here, we used multilocus genetic data to explore population structure and infer the presence of additional evolutionary units within P. mucrosquamatus. Our analyses indicated that several distinct matrilineal lineages were present within this species, and that the HN lineage is much more divergent from the others (Fig. 2). Two analyses were conducted to test
whether the Hainan population represents a new taxon, and both analyses consistently rejected this hypothesis. Divergence date estimation using Beast showed that the Hainan population was nested within mainland China populations, providing additional evidences that it is not a distinct unit. A recent study using morphological data revealed that the Hainan population was morphologically different from mainland China populations, but not significantly (Zhong et al., 2017). It is possible that the Qiongzhong Strait has acted as a physical barrier for gene exchange between Hainan and mainland Asia mainly during the recent period. Based on all lines of evidence mentioned, we proposed that no cryptic species should be recognized within this species, which is in concordance with other Hainan pitvipers V. stejnegeri (Guo et al., 2016) and T. albolabris (Zhu et al., 2016).

## Note

When this article was revised, we received cyt. b and ND4 sequences of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus from a sample from Mizoram, India. A reanalysis of Bayesian Inference with these sequences indicated that the Indian specimen formed a highly supported clade with these from Myanmar.

## Acknowledgements

This project was supported by grants from the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) (XDA 20050201), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 31372152, 31501843), the Department of Education of Sichuan Province (13TD 0027), the Southeast Asia Biodiversity Research Institute, CAS (Y4ZK111B01: 2017CASSEABRIQG002), and the Animal Branch of the Germplasm Bank of Wild Species, CAS (Large Research Infrastructure Funding). Fieldwork visits to Hainan and Taiwan were funded by Royal Society International project grants to A. Malhotra. We are grateful to J. Vindum, D. Kizirian, Q. T. Nguyen, H. Zhao, K. Jiang, L. Zhang, Y. Y. Wu, J. Hu, S. Y. Liu, M. Hou, F. Shu, and G. C. Shu for their help with sampling. We are also grateful to R. X. Xie, Y. Y. Gong, G. R. Luo, Y. Y. Huang, J. X. Li, M. Fang, and R. Xiao who helped in lab work. J. Hu and L. F. Gao are acknowledged for their help in data analysis.

## References

Arevalo, E., Davis, S.K., Sites, J. W., 1994. Mitochondrial DNA sequence divergence and phylogenetic relationships among eight chromosome races of the Sceloporus grammicus complex (Phrynosomatidae) in central Mexico. Syst. Biol. 43, 387-418.

Avise, J.C., 2000. Phylogeography: the history and formation of species. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
Bandelt, H.J., Forster, P., Rohl, A., 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol.16, 37-48.
Burbrink, F.T., 2001. Systematics of the eastern ratsnake complex (Elaphe obsoleta). Herpetol. Monog. 15, 1-53.
Casewell, N.R., Wagstaff, S.C., Harrison, R.A., Wuster, W., 2011. Gene tree parsimony of multilocus snake venom protein families reveals species tree conflict as a Result of Multiple parallel gene loss. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28(3), 1157-1172.
Cantor, T.E., 1839. Spicilegium Serpentium Indicorum, A, Venomous serpents. P. Zool. Soc. London 7(1), 31-34.

Che, J., Zhou, W.W., Hu, J.S., Yan, F., Papenfuss, T.J., Wake, D.B., Zhang, Y.P., 2010. Spiny frogs (Paini) illuminate the history of the Himalayan region and Southeast Asia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 13765-13770.

Creer, S., Malhotra, A., Thorpe, R.S., Chou, W.H., 2001. Multiple causation of phylogeographical pattern as revealed by nested clade analysis of the bamboo viper (Trimeresurus stejnegeri) within Taiwan. Mol. Ecol. 10, 1967-1981.

Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF), 2015. The biodiversity hotspots. Available at http://www.cepf.net/resources/hotspots/Pages/default.aspx (Accessed on 15 May 2015).

Cummings, M.P., Neel, M.C., Shaw, K.L., 2008. A genealogical approach to quantifying lineage divergence. Evolution 62, 2411-2422.
de Queiroz, A., Lawson, R., 2008. A peninsula as an island: multiple forms of evidence for overwater colonization of Baja California by the gartersnake Thamnophis validus. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 95, 409-424.

Ding, L., Gan, X.N., He, S.P., Zhao, E.M., 2011. A phylogeographic, demographic and historical analysis of the short-tailed pitviper (Gloydius brevicaudus): evidence for early divergence and late expansion during the Pleistocene. Mol. Ecol. 20, 19051922

Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A., 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Mol. Biol. Evol.29, 1969-1973.
Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32(5), 1792-1797
Excoffier, L., 2004. Patterns of DNA sequence diversity and genetic structure after a range expansion: lessons from the infinite-island model. Mol. Ecol. 13(4), 853-864.
Excoffier, L., Lischer, H.E.L., 2010. Arlequin v 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol. Ecol. Res. 10, 564-567.
Fijarczyk, A., Nadachowska, K., Hofman, S., Litvinchuk, S.N., Wieslaw, B., Stuglik, M., Gollmann, G., Choleva, L., CogaLniceanu, D., Vukov, T., Dzukic, G., Szymura, J.M., 2011. Nuclear and mitochondrial phylogeography of the European fire-bellied toads Bombina bombina and Bombina variegata supports their independent histories. Mol. Ecol. 20, 3381-3398.
Flot, J.F., 2010. SEQPHASE: a web tool for interconverting PHASE input/output files and FASTA sequence alignments. Mol. Ecol. Res. 10, 162-166.
Fu, J.Z., Weadick, C.J., Zeng, X.M., Wang, Y.Z., Liu, Z.J., Zheng, Y.C., Li, C., Hu, Y., 2005. Phylogeographic analysis of the Bufo gargarizans species complex: a revisit. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 37, 202-213.
Fu, Y.X., 1997. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. Genetics 147, 915-925.

Gao, Y., Wan, S.Y., Luo, J., Murphy, R.W., Du, R., Wu, S.F., Zhu, C.L., Li, Y., Poyarkov, A.D., Nguyen, S.N., Luan, P.T., Zhang, Y.P., 2012. Quaternary palaeoenvironmental oscillations drove the evolution of the East Asian Carassius auratus complex (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae). J. Biogeogr. 39, 2264-2278.
Grummer, J.A., Bryson, R.W., Reeder, T.W., 2014. Species delimitation using Bayes factors: simulations and application to the Sceloporus scalaris species group (Squamata: Phrynosomatidae). Syst. Biol. 63(2), 119-133.
Guiher, T.J., Burbrink, F.T., 2008. Demographic and phylogeographic histories of two venomous North American snakes of the genus Agkistrodon. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 48, 543-553

Gumprecht, A., Tillack, F., Orlov, N.L., Captain, A., Ryabov, S., 2004. Asian Pitvipers. Berlin: Geitje Books.
Guo, P., Liu, Q., Li, C., Chen, X., Jiang, K., Wang, Y.Z., Malhotra, A., 2011. Molecular phylogeography of Jerdon's pitviper (Protobothrops jerdonii): importance of the
uplift of the Tibetan plateau. J. Biogeogr. 38, 2326-2336.
Guo, P., Liu, Q., Zhu, F., Zhong, G.H., Chen, X., Myers, E.A., Che, J., Zhang, L., Ziegler, T., Nguyen, T.Q., Burbrink, F.T., 2016. Complex longitudinal diversification across South China and Vietnam in Stejneger's pit viper, Viridovipera stejnegeri (Schmidt, 1925) (Reptilia: Serpentes: Viperidae). Mol. Ecol. 25, 2920-2936

Guo, P., Malhotra, A., Li, C., Creer, S., Pook, C.E., Wen, T., 2009. Systematics of the Protobothrops jerdonii complex (Serpentes, Viperidae, Crotalinae) inferred from morphometric data and molecular phylogeny. Herpetol. J. 19, 85-96.
He, J.K., Gao, Z. F., Su, Y.Y., Lin, S. L., Jiang, H.S., 2018. Geographical and temporal origins of terrestrial vertebrates endemic to Taiwan. J. Biogeogr. 1-13.

Hewitt, G.M., 2000. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature 405, 907-913.
Hewitt, G.M., 2004. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. Philos. T. R. Soc. B 359, 183-195.
Hey, J., 2010. Isolation with migration models for more than two populations. Mol. Biol. Evol. 27, 905-920.

Hey, J., Nielsen, R., 2004. Multilocus methods for estimating population sizes, migration rates and divergence time, with applications to the divergence of Drosophila pseudoobscura and D. persimilis. Genetics 167, 747-760.
Huang, S., He, S.P., Peng, Z.G., Zhao, K., Zhao, E.M., 2007. Molecular phylogeography of endangered sharp-snouted pitviper (Deinagkistrodon acutus; Reptilia, Viperidae) in mainland China. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44, 942-952.

Huang, Y., Guo, X.G., Ho, S.Y.W., Shi, H.T., Li, J.T., Li, J., Cai, B., Wang, Y.Z., 2013. Diversification and demography of the oriental garden lizard (Calotes versicolor) on Hainan Island and the adjacent mainland. PLoS ONE 8(6), 1-13.

Jablonski, D., Jandzik, D., Mikulíček, P., Džukić, G., Ljubisavljević, K., Tzankov, N., Jelić, D., Thanou, E., Moravec, J., Gvoždík, V. 2016. Contrasting evolutionary histories of the legless lizards slow worms (Anguis) shaped by the topography of the Balkan Peninsula. BMC Evol. Biol. 16: 99.
Jombart, T., Collins, C., Solymos, P., Ahmed, I., Calboli, F., Cori, A., 2014. Adegenet: an R package for the exploratory analysis of genetic and genomic data. Version 1.4-2. Available at http://adegenet.r-forge.r-project.org
Jombart, T., Devillard, S., Balloux, F., 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: A new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genetics 11, 94.

Kass, R.E., Raftery, A.E., 1995. Bayes factors. J. Am. Stat. Assco., 90, 773-795.
Klaus, S., Morley, R.J., Plath, M., Zhang, Y.P., Li, J.T., 2016. Biotic interchange between the Indian subcontinent and mainland Asia through time. Nature Commun. 7, 12132.

Kotsakiozi, P., Jablonski, D., Ilgaz, Ç., Kumlutaş, Y., Avcı, A., Meiri, S., Itescu, Y., Kukushkin, O., Gvoždík, V., Scillitani, G., Roussos, S., Jandzik, D., Kasapidis, P., Lymberakis, P., Poulakakis, N., 2018. Multilocus phylogeny and coalescent species delimitation in Kotschy's gecko, Mediodactylus kotschyi: hidden diversity and cryptic species. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 125: 177-187.

Kumar, S., Dudley, J., Nei, M., Tamura, K., 2008. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. Brief. Bioinform. 9, 299-306.
Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S.Y.W., Guindon, S., 2012. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29, 1695-1701.
Lartillot, N., Philippe, H., 2004. A Bayesian mixture model for across-site heterogeneities in the amino-acid replacement process. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21, 1095-1109
Librado, P., Rozas, J., 2009. DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25, 1451-1452.
Lin, L.H., Hua, L., Qu, Y.F., Ji, X., 2014. The phylogeographical pattern and conservation of the Chinese cobra (Naja atra) across its range based on mitochondrial control region sequences. PLoS ONE 9, 1-7.

Liu, Q., Myers, E.A., Zhong, G.H., Hu, J., Zhao, H., Guo, P., 2012. Molecular evidence on the systematic position of the lance-headed pitviper Protobothrops maolanensis Yang et al., 2011. Zootaxa, 3178, 57-62

Macey, J.R., Schulte, J.A., Ananjeva, N.B., Larson, A., Rastegar-Pouyani, N., Shammakov, S.M. Papenfuss, T.J., 1998. Phylogenetic relationships among agamid lizards of the Laudakia caucasia species group: testing hypotheses of biogeographic fragmentation and an area cladogram for the Iranian Plateau. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 10, 118-131.
Myers, E.A., Rodriguez-Robles, J.A., Denardo, D.F., Staub, R.E., Stropoli, A., Ruane, S., Burbrink, F.T., 2013. Multilocus phylogeographic assessment of the California Mountain Kingsnake (Lampropeltis zonata) suggests alternative patterns of diversification for the California Floristic Province. Mol. Ecol. 22, 5418-5429.
Pan, H.J., Chettri, B., Yang, D.D., Jiang, K., Wang, K., Zhang, L., Vogel, G., 2013. A new
species of the genus Protobothrops (Squamata: Viperidae) from southern Tibet, China and Sikkim, India. Asian Herpetol. Res. 4(2), 109-115.

Pyron, R.A., Burbrink, F.T., 2009. Neogene diversification and taxonomic stability in the snake tribe Lampropeltini (Serpentes: Colubridae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 52, 524529.

Qu, Y.H., Ericson, P.G.P., Lei, F.M., Li, S.H., 2005. Post-glacial colonization of the Tibetan plateau inferred from matrilineal genetic structure of the endemic red-necked snow finch, Pyrgilauda ruficollis. Mol. Ecol. 14, 1767-1781.
R Development Core Team, 2011. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
Rambaut, A., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Drummond, A.J., 2014. Tracer v1.6. http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
Rogers, A.R., Harpending, H., 1992. Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic differences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9, 552-569.
Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Mark, P.V.D., Ayres, D., Darling, A., Hohna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M.A., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61(3), 539-542.

Shi, Y.F., Cui, Z.J., Su, Z., 2006. The quaternary glaciations and environmental variations in China. Shijiazhuang, China: Hebei Science and Technology Press.

Shi, Y.F., Wang, J.T., 1979. The fluctuations of climate, glaciers and sea level since late Pleistocene in China. In: Sea Level, Ice, and Climatic Change (Proceedings of the Canberra Symposium) (ed. Allison, I.), pp, 281-293. IAHS Publication, No. 131.

Slatkin, M., Hudson, R.R., 1991. Pairwise comparisons of mitochondrial DNA sequences in stable and exponentially growing populations. Genetics 123, 603-613.

Smith, M. A., 1943. The Fauna of British India Ceylon and Burma, including the whole of the Indo-Chinese subregion. Reptilia and Amphibia. IIIV, Serpentes. London: Taylor \& Francis.

Stamatakis, A., 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22, 2688-2690.

Stephens, M., Smith, N.J., Donnelly, P., 2001. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. AM. J. Hum. Genet. 68, 978-989.
Sun, H.L., 1997. Research of the formation, enviroment change on Qinghai-Xizang (Tibetan) Plateau. Changsha, China: Hunan Science and Technology Press.

Tajima, F., 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 123, 585-595.
Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 2725-2729.

Teng, L. S.,1990. Geotectonic evolution of late Cenozoic arc-continent collision in Taiwan. Tectonophysics, 183, 57-76.
Townsend, T.M., Alegre, R.E., Kelley, S.T., Wiens, J.J., Reeder, T.W., 2008. Rapid development of multiple nuclear loci for phylogenetic analysis using genomic resources: An example from squamate reptiles. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 47, 129-142
Ukuwela, K.D.B., de Silva, A., Mumpuni, Fry, B.G., Lee, M.S.Y., Sanders, K.L., 2013. Molecular evidence that the deadliest sea snake Enhydrina schistosa (Elapidae: Hydrophiinae) consists of two convergent species. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 66, 262269
Ursenbacher, S., Guillonm, M., Cubizolle, H., Dupoue, A., Blouin-Demers, G., Lourdais, O., 2015. Postglacial recolonization in a cold climate specialist in western Europe: patterns of genetic diversity in the adder (Vipera berus) support the centralmarginal hypothesis. Mol. Ecol. 24, 3639-3651.
Ursenbacher, S., Schweiger, S., Tomovic, L., Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J., Fumagalli, L., Mayer, W., 2008. Molecular phylogeography of the nose-horned viper (Vipera ammodytes, Linnaeus (1758)): Evidence for high genetic diversity and multiple refugia in the Balkan Peninsula. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 46, 1116-1128.
Vasaruchapong, T., Laoungbua, P., Tangrattanapibul, K., Tawan, T., Chanhome, L., 2017. Protobothrops mucrosquamatus (Cantor, 1839), a highly venomous species added to the snake fauna of Thailand (Squamata: Viperidae). Trop. Nat. Hist. 17(2), 111115

Werneck, F.P., Gamble, T., Colli, G.R., Rodrigues, M.T., Sites, Jr J.W., 2012. Deep diversification and long-term Persistence in the South American "dry Diagonal": integrating continent-wide phylogeography and distribution modeling of geckos. Evolution 1-21.

Wood, Jr. P.L., Heinicke, M.P., Jackman, T.R., Bauer, A.M., 2012. Phylogeny of bent-toed geckos (Cyrtodactylus) reveals a west to east pattern of diversification. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 65, 992-1003.
Xie, W., Lewis, P.O., Fan, Y., Kuo, L., Chen, M.H., 2011. Improving marginal likelihood estimation for Bayesian phylogenetic model selection. Syst. Biol. 60(2), 150-160.

Yan, F., Zhou, W.W., Zhao, H.T., Yuan, Z.Y., Wang, Y.Y., Jiang, K., Jin, J.Q., Murphy, R.W., Che, J., Zhang, Y.P., 2013. Geological events play a larger role than Pleistocene climatic fluctuations in driving the genetic structure of Quasippa boulengeri (Anura: Dicroglossidae). Mol. Ecol. 22, 1120-1133

Yang, J.H., Orlov, N.L., Wang, Y.Y., 2011. A new species of pitviper of the genus Protobothrops from China (Squamata: Viperidae). Zootaxa 2936, 59-68.
Zhang, D.X., Hewitt, G.M., 1996. Nuclear integrations: challenges for mitochondrial DNA markers. Trends Ecol. Evol. 11, 247-251.
Zhang, H., Yan, J., Zhang, G.Q., Zhou, K.Y., 2008. Phylogeography and demographic history of Chinese black-spotted frog populations (Pelophylax nigromaculata): evidence for independent refugia expansion and secondary contact. BMC Evol. Biol. 8(21), 1-16.
Zhao, E.M., 2006. Snakes of China. Hefei, China: Anhui Science and Technology Press.
Zhao, H.T., Wang, L.R., Yuan, J.Y., 2007. Origin and time of Qiongzhou Strait. Mar. Geol. Quat. Geol. 27, 33-40.
Zhong, G.H., Liu, Q., Li, C., Peng, P.H., Guo, P., 2017. Sexual dorphism and geographic variation in the Asian lance-headed pitviper Protobothrops mucrosquamatus in the mainland China. Asian Herpetol. Res. 8(2), 118-122
Zhou, W.W., Yan, F., Fu, J.Z., Wu, S.F., Murphy, R.W., Che, J., Zhang, Y.P., 2013. River islands, refugia and genetic structuring in the endemic brown frog Rana kukunoris (Anura, Ranidae) of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Mol. Ecol. 22, 130-142.

Zhu, F., Liu, Q., Che, J., Zhang, L., Chen, X., Murphy, R.W., Yan, F., Guo, C., Guo, P., 2016. Molecular phylogeography of white-lipped tree viper (Trimeresurus; Viperidae). Zool. Scr. 45, 252-262.

Zhu, H., 2016. Biogeographical evidences help revealing the origin of Hainan Island. PLoS ONE 11(4), e0151941.

## Figure Legends

Figure 1 Topographic map of China and adjoining countries showing the distribution (dashed outline) and sampling localities for Protobothrops mucrosquamatus from 54 localities analyzed in the present study. The numbers indicate specimens locality listed in Table 1; the symbols indicate different lineages. Filled circles: SWC; diamonds: VM; squares: HN; inverted triangles: TW; triangles: SCV.

Figure 2 Bayesian 50\% majority-rule consensus tree of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus inferred from the mitochondrial dataset of cytb and ND4 analyzed using the models detailed in the text. Numbers in parentheses correspond to localities labeled in Figure 1. Posterior probabilities from Bayesian inference (>50\%) and bootstrap support values from maximum likelihood analysis ( $>50$ ) are given adjacent to respective nodes for major nodes. Branch support indices are not given for most shallow nodes to preserve clarity.

Figure 3 Median-joining networks of nuclear gene alleles for UBN1 (A) and PRLR (B). Circle size indicates the relative number of individuals sharing a particular allele. A number close to the line indicates the number of mutations between haplotypes when more than one exists; an empty circle represents an inferred but unsampled haplotype.

Figure 4 Extended Bayesian skyline plot illustrating effective population sizes (Ne) through time for each matrilineal lineage of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus. The mean estimate and 95\% HPD limits are indicated.

Figure 5 Bayesian estimates of mean divergence times (Ma, above the node) with 95\%

HPD (in the brackets) of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus lineages and sublineages, computed using BEAST 1.80 (Drummond et al., 2012).
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Appendix S5 Mismatch distributions for each matrilineal lineage and sublineage of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus. The blue line refers to the observed frequencies of pairwise divergences among sequences and the red line refers to the expectation under the model of population expansion.







Table 1 Sequences genetic statistics for each locus of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus

| Locus | Numbers $^{*}$ | Length (bp) | Polymorphic sites | Parsimony-informative sites | $H$ | $H d$ | $\Pi(\%)$ | $\kappa$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cyt. b | $184(174)$ | 1097 | 154 | 127 | 57 | 0.838 | 2.979 | 26.153 |
| ND4 | $184(179)$ | 692 | 76 | 59 | 34 | 0.711 | 2.368 | 13.002 |
| UBN1 | $169(167)$ | 488 | 18 | 27 | 36 | 0.804 | 0.616 | 2.261 |
| PRLR | $167(146)$ | 565 | 17 | 32 | 41 | 0.858 | 0.623 | 2.758 |

*Individuals with missing data $\geq 15 \%$ of sequence data were excluded from analyses.

Table 2 Average sequence divergence estimates (mean uncorrected-p distances, \%) between and within five lineages of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus defined by the mitochondrial DNA phylogeny. Inter-lineage distance is calculated from cyt. b (above the diagonal) and ND4 (below the diagonal); intra-lineage distance is calculated from cyt.b/ND4 (on the diagonal).

| Lineage | HN | VM | SCV | SWC | TW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HN | $0.7 / 0.5$ | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| VM | 3.6 | $1.2 / 0.7$ | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.4 |
| SCV | 3.7 | 3.0 | $2.1 / 1.3$ | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| SWC | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.5 | $0.1 / 0.1$ | 3.0 |
| TW | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.4 | $0.6 / 0.4$ |

Table 3 Statistics of population demography based on mtDNA data for each lineage

| Lineage | $\mathrm{HN}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{VM} \mathbb{V}^{25}$ | $\mathrm{SCV}^{50}$ | $\mathrm{SWC}^{95}$ | $\mathrm{TW}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fu and Li's D | -0.4281 | 0.3305 | 0.4131 | -6.3897 | -0.1297 |
| $P$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.02$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.02$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ |
| Tajima's D* | -0.4281 | -0.9100 | 0.2469 | -2.6632 | -0.1297 |
| P | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}>0.10$ |
| SSD. | 0.1823 | 0.2163 | 0.0154 | 0.0008 | 0.0167 |
| $P_{\text {SSD }}$ | 0.1186 | 0.0081 | 0.3792 | 0.3870 | 0.8928 |
| Raggedness index | 0.4700 | 0.0246 | 0.0057 | 0.4768 | 0.0600 |
| $P_{\text {RAG }}$ | 0.2496 | 0.9993 | 0.6671 | 0.6498 | 0.9615 |

*The superscript number indicates the samples analyzed

Table 4 Genealogical sorting index (gsi) for the two proposed species of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus

| Lineage/Lineages | mtDNA | mtDNA+nuDNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HN | 1 | 0.240133260992304 |
| VM+SC+SWC+TW | 0.875887923305055 | 0.791061452513967 |
| ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}=0.0001$. |  |  |

Table S1 Sample information for Protobothrops mucrosquamatus analyzed in this study (AMNH: American Museum of Natural History, New York; CAS: California Academy of Science, San Francisco; IEKB: Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Hanoi; UMMZ: University of Michigan Museum of Zoology, Michigan; ROM: Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto; AM: Anita Malhotra catalogue number; FK: Fred Kraus, field tag; GP: Guo Peng, own catalogue number)

| Taxon | Voucher Number | Locality | Locality number | GenBank Numbers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cyt.b | ND4 | UBN1 | PRLR |
| Protobothrops mucrosquamatus | CAS 224380 | KaChin State, Myanmar | 1 | MK193050 | MK193227 | MK193575 | MK193408 |
|  | CAS 224693 | KaChin State, Myanmar | 1 | MK193051 | MK193228 | MK193576 | MK193409 |
|  | CAS 232934 | KaChin State, Myanmar | 1 | MK193052 | MK193229 | MK193577 | MK193410 |
|  | GP 31 | Liujiang, Sichuan, China | 2 | MK193148 | MK193326 | MK193668 | MK193498 |
|  | GP 32 | Liujiang, Sichuan, China | 2 | MK193150 | MK193328 | MK193670 | MK193500 |
|  | GP 33 | Liujiang, Sichuan, China | 2 | MK193151 | MK193329 | MK193671 | MK193501 |
|  | GP 34 | Liujiang, Sichuan, China | 2 | MK193152 | MK193330 | MK193672 | MK193502 |
|  | GP 1381 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193066 | MK193243 | MK193588 | MK193421 |
|  | GP 2057 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193093 | MK193270 | MK193615 | MK193445 |
|  | GP 2065 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193094 | MK193271 | MK193616 | MK193446 |
|  | GP 2066 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193095 | MK193272 | MK193617 | MK193447 |
|  | GP 2067 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193096 | MK193273 | MK193618 | MK193448 |
|  | GP 2068 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193097 | MK193274 | MK193619 | MK193449 |
|  | GP 2425 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193118 | MK193295 | MK193637 | MK193467 |
|  | GP 2428 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193119 | MK193296 | MK193638 | MK193468 |
|  | GP 2422 | Mingshan, Sichuan, China | 3 | MK193120 | MK193297 | MK193639 | MK193469 |
|  | GP 2543 | Dujiangyan, Sichuan, China | 4 | MK193134 | MK193311 | MK193654 | MK193484 |


| GP 1041 | Anxian, Sichuan, China | 5 | MK193054 | MK193231 | MK193579 | MK193412 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP 1575 | Jianyang, Sichuan, China | 6 | MK193067 | MK193244 | MK193589 | MK193422 |
| GP 1576 | Jianyang, Sichuan, China | 6 | MK193068 | MK193245 | MK193590 | - |
| GP 1578 | Jianyang, Sichuan, China | 6 | MK193069 | MK193246 | MK193591 | MK193423 |
| GP 1579 | Jianyang, Sichuan, China | 6 | MK193070 | MK193247 | MK193592 | MK193424 |
| GP 1580 | Jianyang, Sichuan, China | 6 | MK193071 | MK193248 | MK193593 | MK193425 |
| GP 314 | Longquan, Sichuan, China | 6 | MK193149 | MK193327 | MK193669 | MK193499 |
| GP 1209 | Ziyang, Sichuan, China | 7 | MK193059 | MK193236 | MK193582 | MK193415 |
| GP 2173 | Zizhong, Sichuan, China | 8 | MK193101 | MK193278 | MK193623 | MK193453 |
| GP 2175 | Zizhong, Sichuan, China | 8 | MK193102 | MK193279 | MK193624 | MK193454 |
| GP 2172 | Zizhong, Sichuan, China | 8 | MK193103 | MK193280 | MK193625 | MK193455 |
| GP 2319 | Zigong, Sichuan, China | 9 | MK193112 | МК193289 | MK193634 | МK193464 |
| GP 2328 | Zigong, Sichuan, China | 9 | MK193113 | MK193290 | - | - |
| GP 2329 | Zigong, Sichuan, China | 9 | MK193114 | MK193291 | - | - |
| GP 2330 | Zigong, Sichuan, China | 9 | MK193115 | MK193292 | MK193635 | MK193465 |
| GP 2331 | Zigong, Sichuan, China | 9 | MK193116 | MK193293 | - | - |
| GP 2453 | Pingshan, Sichuan, China | 10 | MK193124 | МК193301 | - | - |
| GP 425 | Hengjiang, Sichuan, China | 11 | MK193164 | MK193343 | MK193683 | MK193512 |
| GP 426 | Hengjiang, Sichuan, China | 11 | MK193165 | MK193344 | MK193684 | MK193513 |
| GP 427 | Hengjiang, Sichuan, China | 11 | MK193166 | МК193345 | MK193685 | MK193514 |
| GP 428 | Hengjiang, Sichuan, China | 11 | MK193167 | MK193346 | MK193686 | МK193515 |
| GP 2452 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193065 | MK193242 | MK193587 | МК193420 |
| GP 2470 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193081 | MK193258 | MK193603 | MK193433 |
| GP 2487 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193117 | MK193294 | MK193636 | MK193466 |
| GP 2658 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193123 | MK193300 | MK193642 | MK193472 |
| GP 2669 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193125 | MK193302 | MK193643 | MK193473 |


| GP 30 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193130 | MK193307 | MK193650 | MK193480 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP 523 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193135 | MK193312 | MK193655 | MK193485 |
| GP 920 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193136 | MK193313 | MK193656 | MK193486 |
| GP 1380 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193147 | MK193325 | MK193667 | MK193497 |
| GP 1677A | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193170 | MK193349 | MK193689 | MK193518 |
| GP 2377 | Yibin, Sichuan, China | 12 | MK193186 | MK193365 | MK193703 | MK193533 |
| GP 659 | Changning, Sichuan, China | 13 | MK193172 | MK193351 | MK193690 | MK193519 |
| GP 1092 | Junlian, Sichuan, China | 14 | MK193056 | MK193233 | - | - |
| GP 1097 | Junlian, Sichuan, China | 14 | MK193057 | MK193234 | - | - |
| GP 2683 | Junlian, Sichuan, China | 14 | MK193058 | MK193235 | MK193581 | MK193414 |
| GP 2758 | Junlian, Sichuan, China | 14 | MK193137 | MK193314 | MK193657 | MK193487 |
| GP 2759 | Junlian, Sichuan, China | 14 | MK193140 | MK193318 | MK193661 | MK193491 |
| GP 1091 | Junlian, Sichuan, China | 14 | MK193141 | MK193319 | MK193662 | MK193492 |
| GP 1245 | Suining, Sichuan, China | 15 | MK193060 | MK193237 | - | - |
| GP 1767 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193082 | MK193259 | MK193604 | MK193434 |
| GP 1769 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193083 | MK193260 | MK193605 | MK193435 |
| GP 1770 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193084 | MK193261 | MK193606 | MK193436 |
| GP 2488 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193131 | MK193308 | MK193651 | MK193481 |
| GP 509 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193168 | MK193347 | MK193687 | MK193516 |
| GP 512 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193169 | MK193348 | MK193688 | MK193517 |
| GP 640 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193171 | MK193350 | - | - |
| GP 964 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193187 | MK193366 | MK193704 | MK193534 |
| GP 965 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193188 | MK193367 | MK193705 | MK193535 |
| GP 967 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193189 | MK193368 | MK193706 | MK193536 |
| GP 968 | Hejiang, Sichuan, China | 16 | MK193190 | МК193369 | MK193707 | MK193537 |
| GP 1080 | Nanchuang, Chongqing, China | 17 | MK193055 | MK193232 | MK193580 | MK193413 |


| GP 2764 | Guang'an, Sichuan, China | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP 134 | Tongjiang, Sichuan, China | 19 |
| GP 135 | Tongjiang, Sichuan, China | 19 |
| GP 136 | Tongjiang, Sichuan, China | 19 |
| GP 138 | Tongjiang, Sichuan, China | 19 |
| GP 777 | Yichang, Hubei, China | 20 |
| GP 778 | Yichang, Hubei, China | 20 |
| GP 848 | Yichang, Hubei, China | 20 |
| GP 849 | Yichang, Hubei, China | 20 |
| GP 2685 | Shimen, Hunan, China | 21 |
| GP 424 | Laifeng, Hubei, China | 22 |
| GP 2001 | Xiushan, Chongqing, China | 23 |
| GP 2009 | Xiushan, Chongqing, China | 23 |
| GP 887 | Taoyuan, Hunan, China | 24 |
| GP 886 | Luxi, Hunan, China | 25 |
| GP 891 | Luxi, Hunan, China | 25 |
| GP 892 | Luxi, Hunan, China | 25 |
| GP 890 | Luxi, Hunan, China | 25 |
| GP 2948 | Jiangkou, Guizhou, China | 26 |
| GP 2968 | Yinjiang, Guizhou, Sichuan | 26 |
| GP 2976 | Yinjiang, Guizhou, Sichuan | 26 |
| GP 2013 | Huaihua,Hunan, China | 27 |
| GP 2012 | Huaihua,Hunan, China | 27 |
| GP 2472 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 |
| GP 2473 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 |
| GP 2474 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 |


| MK193142 | MK193320 | MK193663 | MK193493 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MK193061 | MK193238 | MK193583 | MK193416 |
| MK193062 | MK193239 | MK193584 | MK193417 |
| MK193063 | MK193240 | MK193585 | MK193418 |
| MK193064 | MK19324 | MK193586 | MK193419 |
| MK193175 | MK193354 | MK193693 | MK193522 |
| MK193176 | MK193355 | MK193694 | MK193523 |
| MK193177 | MK193356 | MK193695 | MK193524 |
| MK193178 | MK19335 | MK193696 | MK193525 |
| - | MK19331 | MK193658 | MK193488 |
| MK19316 | MK19334 | MK1936 | MK193511 |
| MK19308 | M | M | 7 |
| MK193086 | MK193263 | MK193608 | 193438 |
| MK193181 | MK193360 | MK193699 | MK193528 |
| MK193180 | MK193359 | MK193698 | MK193527 |
| MK193183 | MK19336 | MK193700 | MK193530 |
| MK19318 | MK19336 | MK193 | MK193531 |
| MK193185 | MK19336 | MK193702 | MK193532 |
| MK193144 | MK193322 | - | - |
| MK193145 | MK193323 | MK193665 | MK193495 |
| MK193146 | MK19332 | MK193666 | MK193496 |
| MK193087 | MK19326 | MK19360 | MK193439 |
| MK193088 | MK193265 | MK193610 | MK193440 |
| KT220313 | KT220333 | MK193644 | MK193474 |
| KT220314 | KT220334 | MK193645 | MK193475 |
| KT220315 | KT220335 | MK193646 | MK193476 |


| GP 2475 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 | MK193126 | MK193303 | MK193647 | MK193477 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP 2476 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 | MK193127 | MK193304 | MK193648 | MK193478 |
| GP 2477 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 | MK193128 | MK193305 | - | - |
| GP 2471 | Pingyang, Guizhou, China | 28 | MK193129 | MK193306 | MK193649 | MK193479 |
| GP 2689 | Liuyang, Hunan, China | 29 | MK193111 | MK193288 | MK193633 | MK193463 |
| GP 2916 | Liuyang, Hunan, China | 29 | MK193138 | MK193316 | MK193659 | MK193489 |
| GP 2311 | Liuyang, Hunan, China | 29 | MK193143 | MK193321 | MK193664 | MK193494 |
| GP 3858 | Shangrao, Jiangxi, China | 30 | MK193154 | MK193333 | MK193674 | MK193504 |
| GP 3697 | Shangrao, Jiangxi, China | 30 | MK193157 | MK193336 | MK193677 | MK193507 |
| GP 2694 | Fuzhou, Fujian, China | 31 | MK193139 | MK193317 | MK193660 | MK193490 |
| GP 2430 | Dehua, Fujian, China | 32 | MK193121 | MK193298 | MK193640 | MK193470 |
| GP 2431 | Dehua, Fujian, China | 32 | MK193122 | MK193299 | MK193641 | МК193471 |
| GP 2047 | Shixing, Guangdong, China | 33 | MK193091 | MK193268 | MK193613 | MK193443 |
| GP 2084 | Shixing, Guangdong, China | 33 | MK193098 | MK193275 | MK193620 | MK193450 |
| GP 2217 | Shixing, Guangdong, China | 33 | MK193104 | MK193281 | MK193626 | MK193456 |
| GP 2218 | Shixing, Guangdong, China | 33 | MK193105 | MK193282 | MK193627 | MK193457 |
| GP 2219 | Shixing, Guangdong, China | 33 | MK193106 | MK193283 | MK193628 | MK193458 |
| GP 2220 | Shixing, Guangdong, China | 33 | MK193107 | MK193284 | MK193629 | MK193459 |
| GP 2040 | Conghua, Guangdong, China | 34 | MK193090 | MK193267 | MK193612 | MK193442 |
| GP 2533 | Conghua, Guangdong, China | 34 | MK193108 | MK193285 | MK193630 | MK193460 |
| GP 2237 | Conghua, Guangdong, China | 34 | MK193132 | MK193309 | MK193652 | MK193482 |
| GP 888 | Luokeng, Guangdong, China | 35 | MK193182 | MK193361 | - | MK193529 |
| GP 2035 | Ruyuan, Guangdong, China | 36 | MK193089 | MK193266 | MK193611 | MK193441 |
| GP 360 | Ruyuan, Guangdong, China | 36 | MK193153 | MK193332 | MK193673 | MK193503 |
| GP 391 | Ruyuan, Guangdong, China | 36 | MK193158 | MK193337 | MK193678 | МК193508 |
| GP 417 | Ruyuan, Guangdong, China | 36 | MK193161 | MK193340 | MK193680 | MK193509 |


| GP 749 | Ruyuan, Guangdong, China | 36 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GP 402 | Ruyuan, Guangdong, China | 36 |
| GP 1585 | Chenzhou, Hunan, China | 37 |
| GP 1586 | Yongzhou, Hunan, China | 38 |
| GP 1587 | Yongzhou, Hunan, China | 38 |
| GP 1588 | Yongzhou, Hunan, China | 38 |
| GP 1589 | Yongzhou, Hunan, China | 38 |
| GP 1590 | Yongzhou, Hunan, China | 38 |
| GP 3799 | Xing'an, Guangxi, China | 39 |
| GP 3800 | Xing'an, Guangxi, China | 39 |
| GP 3954 | Xing'an, Guangxi, China | 39 |
| GP 3986 | Xing'an, Guangxi, China | 39 |
| GP 163 | Jinxiu, Guangxi, China | 40 |
| GP 745 | Jinxiu, Guangxi, China | 40 |
| GP 2542 | Jinxiu, Guangxi, China | 40 |
| GP 997 | Cenxi, Guangxi, China | 41 |
| GP 998 | Cenxi, Guangxi, China | 41 |
| GP 999 | Cenxi, Guangxi, China | 41 |
| GP 2055 | Guangzhou, China | 42 |
| GP 1622 | Maoming, Guangzhou, China | 43 |
| IEKB 2492 | Lang Son, Vietnam | 44 |
| IEKB 201138 | Cao Bang, Vietnam | 45 |
| ROM 26695 | Cao Bang, Vietnam | 45 |
| ROM 26696 | Cao Bang, Vietnam | 45 |
| ROM 26912 | Cao Bang, Vietnam | 45 |
| ROM 26924 | Cao Bang, Vietnam | 45 |


| MK193162 | MK193341 | MK193681 | MK193510 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MK193174 | MK193353 | MK193692 | MK193521 |
| MK193072 | MK193249 | MK193594 | MK193426 |
| MK193073 | MK193250 | MK193595 | MK193427 |
| MK193074 | MK193251 | MK193596 | MK193428 |
| MK193075 | MK193252 | MK193597 | MK193429 |
| MK193076 | MK193253 | MK193598 | MK193430 |
| MK193077 | MK193254 | MK193599 | MK193431 |
| MK193155 | MK193334 | MK193675 | MK193505 |
| MK193156 | MK193335 | MK193676 | MK193506 |
| MK193159 | MK193338 | MK193679 | - |
| MK193160 | MK193339 | - | - |
| MK193079 | MK193256 | MK193601 | MK193432 |
| MK193133 | MK193310 | MK193653 | MK193483 |
| MK193173 | MK193352 | MK193691 | MK193520 |
| MK193191 | MK193370 | MK193708 | MK193538 |
| MK193192 | MK193371 | MK193709 | MK193539 |
| MK193193 | MK193372 | - | MK193540 |
| MK193092 | MK193269 | MK193614 | MK193444 |
| MK193078 | MK193255 | MK193600 | - |
| MK193194 | MK193373 | MK193710 | MK19354 |
| MK193053 | MK193230 | MK193578 | MK193411 |
| MK193205 | MK193384 | MK193721 | MK193552 |
| MK193206 | MK193385 | MK193722 | MK193553 |
| MK193207 | MK193386 | MK193723 | MK193554 |
| MK193208 | MK193387 | - | - |


| ROM 6551 | Tuyen Quang, Vietnam | 46 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ROM 6809 | Tuyen Quang, Vietnam | 46 |
| AMNH 153720 | Lao Cai, Vietnam | 47 |
| ROM 14465 | Bac Thai, Vietnam | 48 |
| ROM 14466 | Bac Thai, Vietnam | 48 |
| ROM 14889 | Vinh Phu, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| ROM 18207 | Vinh Phu, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| ROM 18208 | Vinh Phu, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B106 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B744 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B745 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B746 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B748 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B749 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| AM B750 | Vinh Phuc, Tam Dao, Vietnam | 49 |
| ROM 24163 | Hia Duong, Vietnam | 50 |
| ROM 24164 | Hia Duong, Vietnam | 50 |
| ROM 25111 | Hia Duong, Vietnam | 50 |
| ROM 25715 | Nghe An, Vietnam | 51 |
| ROM 25716 | Nghe An, Vietnam | 51 |
| GP 35 | Lingshui, Hainan, China | 52 |
| GP 2107 | Lingshui, Hainan, China | 52 |
| GP 2121 | Lingshui, Hainan, China | 52 |
| AM B753 | Qiongzhong, Hainan, China | 53 |
| AM B754 | Qiongzhong, Hainan, China | 53 |
| AM A211 | Taiwan, China | 54 |
|  |  |  |


| MK193209 | MK193388 | MK193724 | MK193555 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MK193210 | MK193389 | MK193725 | MK193556 |
| MK193049 | MK193226 | MK19357 | MK193407 |
| MK193195 | MK193374 | MK193711 | MK193542 |
| MK193196 | MK193375 | MK193712 | МК193543 |
| MK193038 | AY294266 | MK193563 | MK193396 |
| MK193041 | MK193218 | MK19356 | MK193399 |
| MK193042 | MK19321 | MK19356 | MK193400 |
| MK193043 | MK193220 | MK19356 | MK1 |
| MK193044 | MK193221 | MK193569 | MK1934 |
| MK193045 | MK193222 | MK193570 | MK193403 |
| MK193046 | MK193223 | MK19357 | MK19340 |
| MK193197 | MK19337 | MK193713 | MK19 |
| MK193198 | MK193377 | MK19371 | MK1935 |
| MK193199 | MK19337 | MK193715 | MK1935 |
| MK193200 | MK19337 | MK193716 | MK1935 |
| MK193204 | MK193383 | MK193720 | MK1935 |
| MK193201 | MK193380 | MK193 | MK1935 |
| MK193202 | MK19338 | MK193718 | MK1 |
| MK193203 | MK19338 | MK193719 | MK19 |
| MK193099 | MK193276 | MK193621 | MK1934 |
| MK193100 | MK193277 | MK193622 | MK193452 |
| AY763224 | MK193331 | - | - |
| MK193047 | MK193224 | MK193572 | MK193405 |
| MK193048 | MK193225 | MK193573 | MK19340 |
| MK193033 | MK193211 | MK193557 | MK193390 |


|  | AM A231 | Taiwan, China | 54 | MK193034 | MK193212 | MK193558 | MK193391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM A232 | Taiwan, China | 54 | MK193035 | MK193213 | MK193559 | MK193392 |
|  | AM A233 | Taiwan, China | 54 | AF171897 | AY294265 | MK193560 | MK193393 |
|  | AM B537 | Taiwan, China | 54 | MK193039 | MK193216 | MK193564 | MK193397 |
|  | GP 164 | China (trade) |  | MK193080 | MK193257 | MK193602 | - |
|  | GP 2289 | China (trade) |  | MK193109 | MK193286 | MK193631 | MK193461 |
|  | GP 2301 | China (trade) |  | MK193110 | MK193287 | MK193632 | MK193462 |
|  | GP 850 | China (trade) |  | MK193179 | MK193358 | MK193697 | MK193526 |
|  | AM A235 | Vietnam (no detail) |  | MK193036 | MK193214 | MK193561 | MK193394 |
|  | AM A236 | Vietnam (no detail) |  | MK193037 | MK193215 | MK193562 | MK193395 |
|  | AM B586 | Vietnam (no detail) |  | MK193040 | MK193217 | MK193565 | MK193398 |
| P. maolanensis | GP 1883 | Maolan, Guizhou, China |  | JN799401 | JN799409 | - | - |
| P. elegans | UMMZ 199970 | Ryukyu Is., Japan |  | AY223575 | U41893 | - | - |
| P. flavoviridus | FK 1997 | Ryukyu Is., Japan |  | AY223576 | AY223628 | - | - |
| P. tokararensis | UMMZ 199973 | Ryukyu Is., Japan |  | AY223574 | U41894 | - | - |

Table S2 Primers Used for DNA Amplification and Sequencing

| Primers | Primer sequences | Use | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyt. b |  |  |  |
| L14919 | 5'-AACCACCGTTGTTATTCAACT-3' | Amp./Seq. | Burbrink et al. 2000 |
| L14910 | 5'-GACCTGTGATMTGAAAACCAYCGTTGT-3' | Amp./Seq. | Burbrink et al. 2000 |
| H16064 | 5'-CTTTGGTTTACAAGA ACAATGCTTTA-3' | Amp./Seq. | Burbrink et al. 2000 |
| ND4 |  |  |  |
| ND4F | 5'-CACCTATGACTACCA AAAGCTCAGTAGAAGC-3' | Amp./Seq. | Arevalo et al. 1994 |
| LEUR | 5'-CATTACTTTTACTTGGATTTGCACCA-3' | Amp./Seq. | Arevalo et al. 1994 |
| PRLR |  |  |  |
| PRLR_f1 | 5'-GACARYGARGACCAGCAACTRATGCC-3' | Amp./Seq. | Townsend et al. 2008 |
| PRLR_r3 | 5'-GACYTTGTGRACTTCYACRTAATCCAT-3' | Amp./Seq. | Townsend et al. 2008 |
| PRLR_PMF | 5'- ASTCACYCCAATAAACATGTAAAG-3' | Amp./Seq. | This study |
| PRLR_PMR | 5'- AATCCATTGGCTTYGTRGATGTAA -3' | Amp./Seq. | This study |
| UBN1 |  |  |  |
| UBN1_F | 5'-TGGTTACTCAGCAGCA-3' | Amp./Seq. | Casewell et al. 2011 |
| UBN1_R | 5'-GGCCACTCCTTGTGTTC-3' | Amp./Seq. | Casewell et al. 2011 |

Table S3 Population genetic statistics for each locus and linage of Protobothrops mucrosquamatus

| Locus | Lineage | Length | Sample size | H | Hd | $\Pi(\%)$ | K | Polymorphic sites | Fu and Li's D | Tajima's D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mtDNA | HN | 1798 | 4 | 3 | 0.833 | 0.00700 | 11.500 | 22 | -0.42812 | -0.42812 |
|  | VM | 1798 | 25 | 14 | 0.937 | 0.01015 | 9.907 | 48 | 0.33054 | -0.91000 |
|  | SC | 1798 | 50 | 34 | 0.983 | 0.01815 | 27.212 | 112 | -0.41313 | 0.24692 |
|  | SWC | 1798 | 95 | 25 | 0.703 | 0.00099 | 1.624 | 50 | $-6.38969^{* *}$ | $-2.66315^{* * * *}$ |
|  | TW | 1798 | 4 | 4 | 1.000 | 0.00486 | 7 | 13 | -0.12970 | -0.12970 |
|  |  | 1798 | 178 | 55 | 0.820 | 0.02859 | 24.586 | 131 | 0.03259 | 0.05739 |
| UBN1 | HN | 488 | 4 | 3 | 0.833 | 0.00206 | 1.000 | 2 | -0.70990 | -0.70990 |
|  | VM | 488 | 26 | 7 | 0.563 | 0.00265 | 1.117 | 12 | $-3.46541^{* *}$ | $-2.17147^{* * *}$ |
|  | SC | 488 | 44 | 16 | 0.867 | 0.00620 | 2.305 | 14 | -1.09655 | -1.03870 |
|  | SWC | 488 | 88 | 18 | 0.785 | 0.00595 | 2.326 | 14 | 0.44479 | -0.44844 |
|  | TW | 488 | 5 | 2 | 0.400 | 0.00087 | 0.400 | 1 | -0.81650 | -0.81650 |
|  |  | 488 | 167 | 36 | 0.804 | 0.00616 | 2.261 | 27 | -1.87822 | -1.59534 |
| PRLR | HN | 565 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | VM | 565 | 23 | 16 | 0.945 | 0.00843 | 3.905 | 12 | -1.63650 | -1.15962 |
|  | SC | 565 | 41 | 15 | 0.845 | 0.00551 | 2.679 | 19 | $-2.50536^{*}$ | -1.40582 |
|  | SWC | 565 | 74 | 20 | 0.793 | 0.00474 | 2.262 | 13 | 0.39397 | -0.42703 |


| TW | 565 | 4 | 4 | 1.000 | 0.00815 | 4.167 | 8 | -0.44637 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 565 | 146 | 41 | 0.858 | 0.00623 | 2.758 | 32 | $-3.41774^{* *}$ |
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