PRACA ORYGINALNA/ORIGINAL PAPER



Endokrynologia Polska DOI: 10.5603/EPa2018.0050 Tom/Volume 69; Numer/Number 5/2018 ISSN 0423–104X

# The relation of pituitary adenomas invasiveness and the proliferative index measured by immunoexpression of topoisomerase II $\alpha$

Związek między inwazyjnością gruczolaków przysadki a indeksem proliferacyjnym mierzonym immunoekspresją topoizomerazy IIα

Borys M. Kwinta<sup>1</sup>, Aleksander Wilk<sup>1</sup>, Małgorzata Trofimiuk-Müldner<sup>2</sup>, Ewelina Grzywna<sup>1</sup>, Roger M. Krzyżewski<sup>1</sup>, Krzysztof Stachura<sup>1</sup>, Dariusz Adamek<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurosurgery and Neurotraumatology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland <sup>3</sup>Department of Neuropathology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Cavernous sinus invasion by pituitary adenoma affects surgical procedure radicality and consequently the postoperative course and prognosis in pituitary adenoma treatment. The search for pituitary adenoma aggressive behaviour markers is still a matter of debate. **Material and methods:** This study evaluates the relation of pituitary adenoma invasiveness to the expression of topoisomerase IIα in 72 patients who underwent transsphenoidal pituitary surgery. The assessment of tumour growth was conducted according to the Hardy scale as modified by Wilson and the Knosp scale. Topoisomerase IIα expression in tumour specimens was evaluated using immunohistochemical staining.

**Results:** There was a correlation between the Knosp scale degree and the topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression (Spearman R = 0.3611, p < 0.005). The Kruskal-Wallis H test (p = 0.0034) showed that there was a statistically significant topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression increase in tumours classified as grade E on the Hardy scale. The topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression correlated also with tumour size (Spearman R = 0.4117, p < 0.001). Higher levels of expression were observed in macroadenomas, as compared to microadenomas (p < 0.05, Mann-Whitney test). Topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression correlated with cavernous sinus invasion.

**Conclusions:** The topoisomerase IIα expression correlated more with invasiveness than with extensiveness, which might make it an eminently useful marker in the assessment of aggressive pituitary adenoma behaviour. **(Endokrynol Pol 2018; 69 (5): 530–535)** 

Key words: pituitary adenoma, Knosp scale, topoisomerase IIa expression, cavernous sinuses invasion

#### Streszczenie

**Wstęp:** Naciekanie zatok jamistych przez gruczolaka przysadki wpływa na radykalność zabiegu operacyjnego, a w konsekwencji na przebieg pooperacyjny i rokowanie. Do chwili obecnej nie ustalono jednak, jakie markery najlepiej odzwierciedlają agresywne zachowanie gruczolaków przysadki.

**Materiał i metody:** W badaniu oceniono związek między inwazyjnością gruczolaków przysadki a ekspresją topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  w grupie 72 pacjentów po przebytej przezklinowej operacji z powodu gruczolaka przysadki. Zaawansowanie guza oceniano stosując skalę Hardy'ego w modyfikacji Wilsona i skalę Knospa. Ekspresję topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  w wycinkach guza oceniano w badaniu immunohistochemicznym. **Wyniki:** Stwierdzono istotną korelację między zaawansowaniem gruczolaka przysadki według skali Knospa a ekspresją topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  (współczynnik korelacji R Spearmana 0,3611, p < 0,005). Stwierdzono istotny wzrost ekspresji topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  w guzach w stopniu zaawansowania E wg skali Hardy'ego (test H Kruskal-Wallisa, p = 0,0034). Ekspresja topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  korelowała także z wielkością guza (współczynnik korelacji R Spearmana 0,4117, p < 0,001). Wyższe wartości indeksu ekspresji obserwowano w makrogruczolakach w porównaniu z mikrogruczolakami (p < 0,05, test Manna-Whitneya). Ekspresja topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  korelowała z naciekaniem zatok jamistych. **Wnioski:** Ekspresja topoizomerazy II $\alpha$  lepiej koreluje z inwazyjnością gruczolaków przysadki niż z ekstensywnością ich wzrostu. Może więc być bardzo przydatnym markerem agresywności gruczolaków przysadki. (Endokrynol Pol 2018; 69 (5): 530–535)

Słowa kluczowe: gruczolak przysadki, skala Knospa, topoizomeraza IIa, naciekanie zatok jamistych

#### Introduction

#### Pituitary adenomas

Pituitary adenomas are benign tumours that represent 10–20% of all intracranial neoplasms treated surgically, and are the most common lesions found in the sellar

area [1–3]. Microadenomas are tumours with diameter less than 1 cm. Larger tumours, referred to as 'macroadenomas', extend suprasellarly causing compression of the optic chiasm, may grow into the third ventricle, damage the hypothalamus, and extend laterally into the cavernous sinuses (CS) [4–7].

Borys M. Kwinta M.D., Ph.D., Department of Neurosurgery and Neurotraumatology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Botaniczna 3, Kraków Poland, tel.: +48 12 424 8662; e-mail: bmkwinta@gmail.com Approximately 6–10% of adenomas infiltrate CS [8–10]. CS invasion usually makes complete surgical removal of adenomas impossible, and in such cases alternative therapies, such as radiation, need to be applied. Tumour size itself is not an indicator of aggressive clinical behaviour [11]. Hence, evaluation of CS invasion is crucial for pituitary adenoma treatment planning and further prognosis [12].

Adenomas more prone to aggressive behaviour are: both growth hormone and prolactin secreting (acidophil stem cell adenomas), growth hormone secreting (scant grainy type adenomas), silent corticotroph adenomas, and silent adenomas subtype III [13–15]. Pituitary cancers are extremely rare [16] and represent about 0.2% of all lesions in this location [17].

#### Markers of aggressiveness

Currently, reliable markers of aggressive adenoma behaviour are lacking [18]. Cytological markers of aggressiveness, i.e. mitoses, polymorphism, or giant cells, are rarely found and are not linked to local invasiveness [2, 11]. The World Health Organisation pituitary adenoma classification discriminates between typical and atypical adenomas based on the Ki-67 labelling index cut-off value of 3% [15, 19]; however, further research indicated low sensitivity of this test [20–22]. The work of Paek et al. did not confirm the relation between the Ki-67 labelling index and infiltration of the CS [18].

Little attention is paid to another potential marker — topoisomerase II $\alpha$ . This is a nuclear enzyme maintaining chromatin loop homeostasis during DNA replication [23]. It is widely used for the evaluation of the proliferation level of many neoplasms, for example breast cancer, laryngeal cancer, and endometrial, haematopoietic, and central nervous system (CNS) neoplasms [24–28]. Topoisomerase II $\alpha$  is also a target for oncological therapies [29, 30]. Patients with adenomas with high expression of topoisomerase II $\alpha$  might be more sensitive to drugs inhibiting this enzyme. It has been noted that the sensitivity of adenoma cells to topoisomerase II $\alpha$  inhibitors depends on topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression in the tumour cells [30].

The presented study evaluates the relation between CS invasion and immunoexpression of topoisomerase  $II\alpha$  in pituitary adenomas — a potential marker of tumour aggressiveness.

#### Material and methods

#### Material

This retrospective study included 72 patients who underwent pituitary surgery in the Neurosurgery and Neurotraumatology Department of the JUMC between 2007 and 2015. All patients were qualified for surgical treatment by a multidisciplinary team consisting of neurosurgeons, radiologists, radiotherapists, endocrinologists, and ophthalmologists.

The group consisted of 44 females (61.1%) and 28 males (38.9%) aged 18 to 84 years (mean age 46.9 years; 45.1 years for females and 49.9 years for males). Thirteen patients (18.1%) were diagnosed with acromegaly, seven (9.7%) with Cushing's disease, one (1.4%) with secondary hyperthyroidism, and one (1.4%) with precocious puberty. Twenty-four patients (33.3%) presented significant hyperprolactinaemia, and the remaining 26 (36.1%) were harbouring clinically non-functioning pituitary adenomas. All patients were operated microsurgically via transsphenoidal approach. On immunohistochemistry eight (11.1%) tumours were positive for prolactin only, seven (9.7%) for growth hormone, two (2.8%) for ACTH, one (1.4%) for LH, one (1.4%) for FSH, and three (4.1%) for a-subunit. Twenty-one (29.2%) adenomas were plurihormonal.

This study was conducted in accordance to the Declaration of Helsinki (1964), and its design was approved by the local University Ethical Committee (protocol number KBET/157/B/2012).

#### Methods

Tumour size, invasiveness, and extension evaluation

To assess pituitary adenoma size and invasiveness computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) images of sellar regions were analysed.

Tumour size was evaluated in three orthogonal planes. The longest dimension in every plane was selected. Tumour volume was assessed using the Di Chiro and Nelson's equation:  $V = (\pi/6) * (x * y * z)$  [31], for the volume of a spheroid, where 'x, y, z' are the longest sizes on three orthogonal axes.

The assessment of tumour invasiveness was conducted according to the Hardy scale as modified by Wilson [7] and the Knosp scale, both of which are based on CT and MRI coronal sections [8]. The side of the tumour with higher grading according to the Knosp grading scale was chosen for further analysis (Figure 1).

## Morphometric analysis of topoisomerase II $\alpha$ expression

The pituitary adenoma tissue samples obtained during surgery were evaluated in the Neuropathology Department of the JUMC. Monoclonal immunoglobulin G-class antibodies directed against C-terminal domain of human topoisomerase (NCL-TOPOIIA, Novo-castra, Novocastra Laboratories Ltd., New Castle upon Tyne, United Kingdom) was performed in 1:30 dilution (1:30). The antigen was retrieved at 95°C in citrate buffer (pH = 6.0). Overnight incubation with primary antiserum



**Figure 1.** Sellar MRI T1 C. As an example, the MRI plane shows sections through the CS and both intracavernous and intracranial carotid artery segments. Knosp grading: right side 3, left side 0

**Rycina 1.** Rezonans magnetyczny siodła tureckiego (T1). Na przykładzie uwidoczniono przekrój przez zatoki jamiste oraz śródjamisty i śródczaszkowy odcinek tętnic szyjnych wspólnych. Zaawansowanie wg skali Knospa: strona prawa — stopień 3, strona lewa — stopień 0

(NCL-TOPOIIA) at 2–8°C was followed by incubation with a secondary biotinylated antibody for 30 minutes. Avidin–biotin complex horseradish peroxidase (30 minutes) with diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride was applied as chromogen. Slides were counterstained with haematoxylin.

After immunohistochemical staining, slides were analysed with an optical microscope, Nikon Optishot-2 at 200x magnification. Nondiagnostic fragments (presence of normal pituitary tissue, fibrosis, haemorrhage, etc.) were excluded from further analyses. The morphometric evaluation was performed only if at least one field of view at 200x magnification included adenoma material without thermal or mechanical damage and without massive necrotic or haemorrhagic lesions. If a sample was not suitable for assessment, staining was repeated after cutting another section from the specimen.

Topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression was assessed manually using an optical microscope with morphometric grid divided into 16 fields of equal area covering the entire field of view at 400x magnification. The number of stained cells and the total number of cells were counted for each field in five most suitable areas of the immunostained slide. The topoisomerase II $\alpha$  cell index was calculated as the percentage of cells exhibiting positive immunohistochemical reaction (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** *Immunostaining for topoisomerase IIa in pituitary adenoma (200x magnification)* 

**Rycina 2.** Barwienie w kierunku topoizomerazy IIo. w gruczolaku przysadki (powiększenie 200x)

#### Statistical methods

Statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA 12. Because assumptions for a parametric test were not valid according to Shapiro-Wilk test of normality, all data were evaluated by non-parametric tests. Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskall-Wallis analysis of variance as a multiple-comparison method were used to compare the topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression between micro- and macroadenomas and between all of the Hardy scale grades. The Spearman test was used to assess the statistical significance of the correlation between the Knosp scale grading, as well as adenoma size and topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

The median tumour size was 2793 mm<sup>3</sup> (IQR: 245–6703 mm<sup>3</sup>). Macroadenomas (adenomas larger than 1 cm) were diagnosed in 51 cases (70.84%), while microadenomas were found in 21 cases (29.16%).

The results of tumour invasiveness evaluation according to the tumour Hardy-Wilson and Knosp scales are presented in Tables I and II, respectively.

Topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression was observed in 48 out of 72 cases. No significant differences (p = 0.13) in the topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression cell index with respect to patient gender (female 0.31% vs. male 0.56%) were observed.

There was a significant correlation between the Knosp scale degree and topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression index (Spearman R = 0.3611, p = 0.0018. The Kruskal-Wallis H test (p = 0.0072) followed by Dunn's test showed that only

| Invasion                    |  | Extension   |  | Degree | % of evaluated<br>subjects |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------|--|--------|----------------------------|
| Floor of<br>sella intact    | I — I sella normal or focally expanded, tumour $< 10$ mm | Suprasellar | 0 — no   | 10     | 29.17                      |
|                             | II — sella enlarged, tumour $\ge$ 10 mm                  |             | A — expansion into suprasellar cistern: tumour < 10 mm above the sella, taking 25% of chiasmatic cistern                           | II O   | 8.33                       |
|                             |  |             |  | II A   | 11.11                      |
|                             |  |             |  | II B   | 6.94                       |
|                             |  |             |  | ll D   | 1.39                       |
| Sellar<br>floor<br>occupied | III — localised perforation of sellar floor              |             | B — anterior recesses of $3^{rd}$ ventricle<br>obliterated, tumour < 20 mm above the sella,<br>taking 50–70% of chiasmatic cistern | III A  | 5.56                       |
|                             |  |             |  | III B  | 5.56                       |
|                             |  |             |  | III C  | 5.56                       |
|                             |  |             |  | III E  | 1.39                       |
|                             | IV — diffuse destruction of sellar floor                 |             | C — displacement of 3 <sup>rd</sup> ventricle, tumour < 30<br>mm above the sella, reaching foramina of Monro                       | IV B   | 4.17                       |
|                             |  |             |  | IV C   | 5.56                       |
|                             |  |             |  | IV D   | 8.33                       |
|                             |  |             |  | IV E   | 6.94                       |
|                             | V — spread via cerebrospinal fluid or blood-borne        | Parasellar  | D — intracranial, intradural, anterior, middle, or posterior fossa occupation  | V      | 0                          |
|                             |  |             | E — extradural, inside or beneath cavernous sinus  |        |                            |

Table I. Pituitary adenoma invasiveness in the study group according to Hardy scale, as modified by Wilson [7]Tabela I. Ocena wielkości gruczolaków przysadki w badanej grupie wg skali Hardy'ego (modyfikacja Wilsona) [7]

### Table II. Pituitary adenoma invasiveness according to Knosp scale [8]

 Tabela II. Ocena inwazyjności gruczolaków przysadki według skali Knospa [8]

| Degree | MRI — coronal plane through the centre of sella turcica  | No of<br>patients (%) |
|--------|--|-----------------------|
| 0      | No cavernous sinus involvement, tumour<br>does not exceed line tangent to the medial<br>outlines of intra- and supracavernous<br>internal carotid artery (ICA) | 40 (55.56)            |
| 1      | Tumour does not exceed the line connecting centres of intra- and supracavernous ICA  | 6 (8.33)              |
| 2      | Tumour does not exceed the line tangent<br>to the lateral outlines of intra- and<br>supracavernous ICA   | 11 (15.28)            |
| 3      | Tumour crosses the line tangent to the<br>lateral outlines of intra- and supracavernous<br>ICA   | 12 (16.67)            |
| 4      | Total encasement of the intracavernous ICA   | 3 (4.17)              |

grades 3 and 4, which correspond with the true invasion of the tumour into the CS, tended to correlate with an increased topoisomerase  $II\alpha$  expression indices (Figure 3).

The Kruskal-Wallis H test (p = 0.0034) followed by Dunn's test showed that a significant increase in topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression was not observed in tumours classified as grade A, B, C, and D on the Hardy scale. However, there was a significant (p = 0.0143) expression increase in tumours classified as grade E (Figure 4).



**Figure 3.** Topoisomerase II- $\alpha$  expression in relation to the Knosp grading scale

**Rycina 3.** Ekspresja topoizomerazy IIa w zależności od zaawansowania według skali Knospa

The topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression correlated with tumour size (Spearman R = 0.4117, p < 0.001). Higher values of expression indices were observed in macroadenomas, as compared to microadenomas (p = 0.0003).



**Figure 4.** Topoisomerase II- $\alpha$  expression in relation to the Hardy grading scale

**Rycina 4.** Ekspresja topoizomerazy IIa w zależności od zaawansowania według skali Hardy'ego

#### Discussion

The study correlates CS invasion by pituitary adenoma with topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression as a predictor of tumour aggressiveness.

In many papers, different MRI criteria were used to assess CS invasion by pituitary adenomas [8, 9, 12, 32]. In this study, we used the Hardy-Wilson classification and the Knosp scale. The radiological evaluation of pituitary adenoma growth allows the assessment of the possible radicality of tumour resection [33]. The Hardy scale indicates the degree of the sellar floor invasion. Wilson's modification of this scale shows the advancement of suprasellar growth. The Knosp scale, on the other hand, specifies the extension of the tumour into the CS and the internal carotid arteries encasement, which directly affect the chances of complete resection. The reference point for the Knosp scale assessment is the coronal plane, which runs through the centre of the sella turcica [8]. Modern studies comparing MRI with intraoperative findings acknowledge the utility of the Knosp group's findings concerning the lateral intercarotid line as a good marker of CS invasion [8, 12, 33]. In the study of Cottier et al., crossing the lateral intercarotid line by a tumour was an indicator of CS invasion (PPV 85%, NPV 95%) [33]. This is consistent with the presented results because it corresponds with degrees 3 and 4 of the Knosp scale.

This study provides evidence that topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression was higher in tumours classified as grade 3 and grade 4 on the Knosp scale, which is concordant with CS occupation. A significant increase in topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression indices was not observed in tumours classified as grade A, B, and C on the Hardy scale, i.e. in adenomas characterised by intra- and suprasellar growth. However, there was a significant topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression increase in tumours classified as grade E (occupying the CSI). It could be said that the topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression index is correlated more with invasiveness than with extensiveness).

To date, only a few studies have investigated topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression in pituitary adenomas. Sarkar et al. showed that MIB-1 proliferation index, p53 expression, and elevated mitotic index are factors correlated with parasellar invasion [34]. Intrasellar invasion in his study was not related to any immunocytochemical marker [34]. In the studies of Landolt et al., Yilmaz et al., and Moldovan et al. a higher Ki-67 labelling index in invasive pituitary adenomas was found [35-37]. In the study conducted by Wolfsberger et al., the MIB-1 proliferation index, unlike the topoisomerase IIa expression, was significantly higher in the group of invasive adenomas [38]. However, this group was characterised not only by intraoperative signs of CS invasion but also by intraoperative signs of dura and bone infiltration [38]. Simultaneously, in the same paper, a strong correlation between MIB-1 and topoisomerase II $\alpha$  was reported [38]. Trofimiuk et al. presented a relationship between the topoisomerase IIα expression level and the tumour size and invasiveness [39]. In their study, prospective analysis was performed, and topoisomerase IIa index exceeding 1% was assessed as a prognostic factor of pituitary adenoma recurrence [39]. In the study of Vidal et al., topoisomerase IIa expression was significantly higher in invasive tumours [30], which is also consistent with the results presented in our study.

#### Conclusions

From the clinical perspective, CS occupation is a very important factor that affects surgical procedure radicality and safety, and consequently the postoperative course and prognosis. As can be concluded from the data obtained from our study, topoisomerase II $\alpha$ expression correlates with CS invasion and might be a useful marker in the assessment of aggressive pituitary adenoma. A further prospective study concerning topoisomerase II $\alpha$  expression in recurrent tumours is needed.

#### References

- Faglia G. Epidemiology and pathogenesis of pituitary adenomas. Acta Endocrinol. 1993; 129(Suppl 1): 1–5, indexed in Pubmed: 8396832.
- Kontogeorgos G. Classification and pathology of pituitary tumors. Endocrine. 2005; 28(1): 27–35, doi: 10.1385/ENDO:28:1:027, indexed in Pubmed: 16311407.
- Korali Z, Müller A, Schopol J. Hypophysentumoren und Kraniopharyngeome. Manual — Hirntumoren und primäre Tumoren des Rückenmarks. Tumorzentrum, München 2001: 89–108.
- Elster AD. Imaging of the sella: anatomy and pathology. Semin Ultrasound CT MR. 1993; 14(3): 182–194, indexed in Pubmed: 8357621.
- 5. Greenberg M. Handbook of neurosurgery. Thieme, New York 2001.
- Rennert J, Doerfler A. Imaging of sellar and parasellar lesions. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2007; 109(2): 111–124, doi: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.11.001, indexed in Pubmed: 17126479.
- Wilson C. Neurosurgical management of large and invasive pituitary tumours. In: Tindal G, Collins W. ed. Clinical management of pituitary disorders. Raven Press, New York 1979: 335–342.
- Knosp E, Steiner E, Kitz K, et al. Pituitary adenomas with invasion of the cavernous sinus space: a magnetic resonance imaging classification compared with surgical findings. Neurosurgery. 1993; 33(4): 610–617; discussion 617, indexed in Pubmed: 8232800.
- Ahmadi J, North CM, Segall HD, et al. Cavernous sinus invasion by pituitary adenomas. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1986; 146(2): 257–262, doi: 10.2214/ajr.146.2.257, indexed in Pubmed: 3484572.
- Fahlbusch R, Buchfelder M. Transsphenoidal surgery of parasellar pituitary adenomas. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 1988; 92(1-4): 93–99, indexed in Pubmed: 3407479.
- Chacko G, Chacko AG, Lombardero M, et al. Clinicopathologic correlates of giant pituitary adenomas. J Clin Neurosci. 2009; 16(5): 660–665, doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2008.08.018, indexed in Pubmed: 19285407.
- Sol YuLi, Lee SK, Choi HS, et al. Evaluation of MRI criteria for cavernous sinus invasion in pituitary macroadenoma. J Neuroimaging. 2014; 24(5): 498–503, doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6569.2012.00710.x, indexed in Pubmed: 23157451.
- Horvath E, Lloyd RV, Kovacs K. Plurihormonal adenoma. In: Delellis RA, Lloyd RV, Heitz PU, Eng C. ed. World Health Organisation Classification of Tumours: Pathology & Genetics — Tumours of Endocrine Organs. IARC Press, Lyon 2004: 35.
- Kontogeorgos G, Watson Jr, Lindell EP. Growth hormone producing adenoma. In: Delellis RA, Lloyd RV, Heitz PU, Eng C. ed. World Health Organisation Classification of Tumours: Pathology & Genetics — Tumours of Endocrine Organs. IARC Press, Lyon 2004: 14–19.
- Lloyd RV, Kovacs K, Young Jr WF. Pituitary tumours: introduction. In: Delellis RA, Lloyd RV, Heitz PU, Eng C. ed. World Health Organisation Classification of Tumours: Pathology & Genetics — Tumours of Endocrine Organs. IARC Press, Lyon 2004: 10–13.
- Kaltsas GA, Nomikos P, Kontogeorgos G, et al. Clinical review: Diagnosis and management of pituitary carcinomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005; 90(5): 3089–3099, doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-2231, indexed in Pubmed: 15741248.
- Heaney A. Management of aggressive pituitary adenomas and pituitary carcinomas. J Neurooncol. 2014; 117(3): 459–468, doi: 10.1007/s11060-014-1413-6, indexed in Pubmed: 24584748.
- Paek KI, Kim SH, Song SH, et al. Clinical significance of Ki-67 labeling index in pituitary macroadenoma. J Korean Med Sci. 2005; 20(3): 489–494, doi: 10.3346/jkms.2005.20.3.489, indexed in Pubmed: 15953875.
- Fahlbusch R, Buslei R. The WHO classification of pituitary tumours: a combined neurosurgical and neuropathological view. Acta Neuropathol. 2006; 111(1): 86–87, doi: 10.1007/s00401-005-1106-5, indexed in Pubmed: 16311771.
- Grossman AB. The 2004 World Health Organization classification of pituitary tumors: is it clinically helpful? Acta Neuropathol. 2006; 111(1): 76–77, doi: 10.1007/s00401-005-1101-x, indexed in Pubmed: 16328520.

- Saeger W, Lüdecke DK, Buchfelder M, et al. Pathohistological classification of pituitary tumors: 10 years of experience with the German Pituitary Tumor Registry. Eur J Endocrinol. 2007; 156(2): 203–216, doi: 10.1530/eje.1.02326, indexed in Pubmed: 17287410.
- Turner HE, Wass JA. Are markers of proliferation valuable in the histological assessment of pituitary tumours? Pituitary. 1999; 1(3-4): 147–151, indexed in Pubmed: 11081192.
- Kontogeorgos G. Predictive markers of pituitary adenoma behavior. Neuroendocrinology. 2006; 83(3-4): 179–188, doi: 10.1159/000095526, indexed in Pubmed: 17047381.
- Bildrici K, Tel N, Ozalp SS, et al. Prognostic significance of DNA topoisomerase II-alpha (Ki-S1) immunoexpression in endometrial carcinoma. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol. 2002; 23(6): 540–544, indexed in Pubmed: 12556100.
- Boege F, Gieseler F, Biersack H, et al. The measurement of nuclear topoisomerase II inhibition in vitro: a possible tool for detecting resistance on a subcellular level in haematopoietic malignancies. Eur J Clin Chem Clin Biochem. 1992; 30(2): 63–68, indexed in Pubmed: 1316175.
- Koshiyama M, Fujii H, Kinezaki M, et al. Immunohistochemical expression of topoisomerase Ilalpha (Topo Ilalpha) and multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP), plus chemosensitivity testing, as chemotherapeutic indices of ovarian and endometrial carcinomas. Anticancer Res. 2001; 21(4B): 2925–2932, indexed in Pubmed: 11712788.
- Depowski PL, Rosenthal SI, Brien TP, et al. Topoisomerase IIalpha expression in breast cancer: correlation with outcome variables. Mod Pathol. 2000; 13(5): 542–547, doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3880094, indexed in Pubmed: 10824926.
- Feng Y, Zhang H, Gao W, et al. Expression of DNA topoisomerase II-α: Clinical significance in laryngeal carcinoma. Oncol Lett. 2014; 8(4): 1575–1580, doi: 10.3892/ol.2014.2367, indexed in Pubmed: 25202370.
- Kiyoshi M. Immunocytochemical study of 150 tumours with clinicopathologic correlation. Cancer. 1983; 52: 648–653, indexed in Pubmed: 6190550.
- Vidal S, Kovacs K, Horvath E, et al. Topoisomerase IIalpha expression in pituitary adenomas and carcinomas: relationship to tumor behavior. Mod Pathol. 2002; 15(11): 1205–1212, doi: 10.1097/01.MP0000036342.73003.55, indexed in Pubmed: 12429800.
- Di Chiro G, Nelson KB. The volume of the sella turcica. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med. 1962; 87: 989–1008, indexed in Pubmed: 13885978.
- Goel A, Nadkarni T, Muzumdar D, et al. Giant pituitary tumors: a study based on surgical treatment of 118 cases. Surg Neurol. 2004; 61(5): 436–45; discussion 445, doi: 10.1016/j.surneu.2003.08.036, indexed in Pubmed: 15120215.
- Cottier JP, Destrieux C, Brunereau L, et al. Cavernous sinus invasion by pituitary adenoma: MR imaging. Radiology. 2000; 215(2): 463–469, doi: 10.1148/radiology.215.2.r00ap18463, indexed in Pubmed: 10796926.
- Sarkar S, Chacko AG, Chacko G. Clinicopathological correlates of extrasellar growth patterns in pituitary adenomas. J Clin Neurosci. 2015; 22(7): 1173–1177, doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2015.01.029, indexed in Pubmed: 25979255.
- Landolt AM, Shibata T, Kleihues P. Growth rate of human pituitary adenomas. J Neurosurg. 1987; 67(6): 803–806, doi: 10.3171/jns.1987.67.6.0803, indexed in Pubmed: 3681419.
- Yilmaz M, Vural E, Koc K, et al. Cavernous sinus invasion and effect of immunohistochemical features on remission in growth hormone secreting pituitary adenomas. Turk Neurosurg. 2015; 25(3): 380–388, doi: 10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.9347-13.1, indexed in Pubmed: 26037177.
- Moldovan IM, Melincovici C, Mihu C, et al. Diagnostic criteria in invasive pituitary adenomas. Rom Neurosurg. 2016; 30(3): 345–359, doi: 10.1515/ romneu-2016-0054.
- Wolfsberger S, Wunderer J, Zachenhofer I, et al. Expression of cell proliferation markers in pituitary adenomas--correlation and clinical relevance of MIB-1 and anti-topoisomerase-IIalpha. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2004; 146(8): 831–839, doi: 10.1007/s00701-004-0298-0, indexed in Pubmed: 15254805.
- Trofimiuk-Müldner M, Bałdys-Waligórska A, Sokołowski G, et al. Topoisomerase IIα as a prognostic factor in pituitary tumors. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2014; 124(10): 500–508, indexed in Pubmed: 25692206.