

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Air-borne dermatitis from *Chrysanthemum* – case report with a discussion of diagnostic procedures and therapy

Aleksander Obtulowicz<sup>1</sup>, Grażyna Antoszczyk<sup>2</sup>, Anna Wojas-Pelc<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup> Department of Dermatology the Jagiellonian University Medical Collage, Cracow, Poland<sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical and Environmental Allergology Jagiellonian University Medical College, Cracow, PolandObtulowicz A, Antoszczyk G, Wojas-Pelc A. Air-borne dermatitis from *Chrysanthemum* – case report with a discussion of diagnostic procedures and therapy. *Ann Agric Environ Med.* 2014; 21(3): 531–533. doi: 10.5604/12321966.1120596**Abstract**

Airborne dermatitis belongs to a heterogeneous group of dermatoses of various etiopathology and clinical characteristics. This disease is characterized by acute or chronic inflammation of the uncovered skin exposed to irritants or allergens. Initially skin lesions are transient. The paper presents a description of chrysanthemum growers diagnosed with air-borne dermatitis from chrysanthemum. Etiology, pathomechanism, clinical course, diagnostics and therapeutical methods are described.

**Key words**

air-borne contact dermatitis, lactones, patch tests

**INTRODUCTION**

Airborne dermatitis is a heterogenous group of dermatoses of various clinical characteristics and etiopathology [1, 2]. It characterizes with acute or chronic inflammation of uncovered skin exposed to various airborne substances [3, 4, 5]. Although the epidemiology of airborne contact dermatitis is difficult to estimate, according to the literature, the incidence estimated in the European population is 0.9–5.9% [6–8]. The most important sensitizers are lactones of the Composite family, present in the oleoresin fraction of leaves, flowers, and probably pollen [9, 10, 11]. The first report of *Chrysanthemum* dermatitis was described in 1887 [12, 13].

At the beginning, the disease is seasonal, usually starting in summer and ending in autumn [10, 14]. In the classical form, airborne contact dermatitis, the rash involves the skin exposed to UV, e.g., face, neck, forearms and hands [2]. Initially, the skin lesions are transient and active only during the plant growing season because pollen grains may act as allergens – inducing IgE mediated reactions, or as haptens provoking delayed T lymphocytes dependent reactions, or as irritants. Repeated, long-term exposures to them may lead to prolonged and chronic disseminated skin lesions [2, 10].

**CASE REPORT**

The patient was a 55-year-old male, a grower and salesman of chrysanthemums, generally healthy, with negative familiar and personal history to atopy. The first lesions, a erythematooedematous rash localized on the face, neck, trunk and forearms, first appeared about 17 years ago. Initially, they were in a transient form and disappear during a period of isolation from his job. Later, they become persistent. According to the interview, the isolation of the patient from growing chrysanthemum led after a few years to complete remission of the disease.

On admission to hospital, diffuse erythematous-squamous lesions with lichenification were observed, mainly on the dorsal part of the hands and forearms (Photo 1). During hospitalization, patch tests with European Standard Allergens (Trolab, Hermal), prick skin tests with aeroallergens (Allergopharma), photo tests and patch tests with leaves of chrysanthemum were performed.



**Photo 1.** Erythematous maculopopular eruption on the face and upper part of the chest. Skin lesion remains active, although last exposure to chrysanthemum was noted 10 years ago

**RESULTS**

Positive patch test with lactones, positive patch test with peru of balm and fragrance mix were detected. Delayed strongly positive infiltrated erythematous – a popular contact

Address for correspondence: Aleksander Obtulowicz, Department of Dermatology the Jagiellonian University Medical Collage, Cracow, Poland  
e-mail: obtulowicz@poczta.fm

Received: 24 October 2012; accepted: 14 May 2013



**Photo 2.** Positive rub test 24 hrs after an application of a chrysanthemum leaf on forearm of the patient

test with the leaf of chrysanthemum was noted (Photo. 2). During the testing, aggravation of preexisting lesions on the face, chest and hands, as a result of secondary allergization, were observed. Results of basal biochemical tests and total IgE serum level were normal. Prick tests with aeroallergens and photo test were negative.

## DISCUSSION

Air-borne dermatitis, known as Composite dermatitis, is a disease with characteristic erythematous-squamous and papular lesions initially localized on uncovered skin [5, 13, 15]. Currently, five different types of airborne dermatitis can be distinguished: airborne irritant contact dermatitis, airborne allergic contact dermatitis, airborne phototoxic reactions, airborne photoallergic reactions and airborne contact urticaria [2, 16, 17]. The diagnosis is based on present acute or chronic dermatoses predominantly of exposed parts of body. It can be induced by occupational or non-occupational plant substances which are released into the air and affect exposed skin [2,17, 18, 19]. Patch tests are used to find the causative sensitizer. The most important sensitizers responsible for airborne contact dermatitis are lactones ring combined with a sesquiterpen. They are lipophilic and are present mainly in the oleoresin fraction of the plants [3,20, 21, 22]. Sesquiterpen lactones represent the main plant allergens of the Composite family [21]. According to the literature, in Europe the most common causes of this disease, chrysanthemum potential sensitizers were found in fractions of monoterpenes isolated from *Tanacetum parthenium* (in 88% of all received airborne substances) [12, 13]. They do not confirm the theory of a unique role of lactones in the pathogenesis of composite dermatitis, even though their importance in this disease is still under consideration [9, 23, 24]. Because of the variability of clinical presentation, frequent co-existence of atopic dermatitis and contact allergy, the most important in diagnosis seem to be tests with lactones and their extracts [10].

The positive patch test and lack of allergospecific IgE are proof of a delayed form of allergy. Studies performed on animals showed increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines typical for Th1 lymphocytes: IL 6,8,17 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF), IFN- $\gamma$ , and decreased levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-4 and IL-10.

The above data indicate that not only Th1 lymphocyte, e.g., TNF- $\alpha$ , INF- $\gamma$ , as well as Th2, play a significant role in the immunopathogenesis of airborne contact dermatitis [5, 25]. Persistence of skin lesions with seasonal aggravation may inform about cross-reactivity reactions in the group of terpenes.

The treatment of airborne contact dermatitis is difficult. For effective control of the dermatitis, it is necessary to detect and reduce exposure to the causal allergens or irritants. In the beginning, the patient was treated many times by the local steroid creams dexapolcort spray and Advantan (0.1% methylprednisolone aceponate emulsion), moisturizing cream and ceterizine in a dose of 10 mg, twice a day. Because of the considerable tightening of the skin lesions the patient was hospitalized. He received methylprednisolone iv. in a dose 0.5 mg/kg and Ceterizine a 10 mg twice a day. Local treatment was continued. Improvement of skin lesions appeared after 2–3 days. The patient was discharged in a well state of health after 10 days hospitalisation. The administration of methylprednisolone was stopped but Ceterizine was continued for a few more weeks.

In severe cases, in which more than 25% of the body surface is affected, or when the exposure to the sensitizer is longer than few weeks, PUVA or UVB-311 therapy may be considered [2, 26].

## REFERENCES

1. Czarnecka-Operacz M, Jenerowicz D. Wyprysk powietrzno pochodny. *Alergia Immunologia* 2004; 2(1): 10–12 (in Polish).
2. Handa S, Dipanjar D, Mahajan R. Airborne contact dermatitis- current perspectives In etiopathogenesis and management. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2011; 56(6): 700–706.
3. Tobin AM, Kirby B. Airborne contact dermatitis induced by neighbours beeheves. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2003; 49: 213–222.
4. Schmacher MJ, Silvis NG. Airborne contact dermatitis from ambrosia deltoidea (train leaf bursage). *Contact Dermatitis* 2003; 48(4): 212–216.
5. Stingeni L, Agea E, Lisi P, et al. T-lymphocyte profiles in composite airborne dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol.* 1999; 141(4): 689–693.
6. du P Ménage H, Hawk JL, et al. Sesquiterpene lactone mix contact sensitivity and its relationship to chronic actinic dermatitis. A follow – up study. *Contact Dermatitis* 1998; 39: 119–122.
7. Geier J, Hausen BM. Epikutantestung mit dem kompositen-mix. Ergebnisse eider studie der deutschen kontaktallergie-gruppe einer informationsverbunders dermatologischer Kliniken. *Allergologie* 2000; 25: 334–341.
8. Tan E, Leow YH, NG Sk, et al. A study of the sensitization rate to sequiterpene lactone mix in Singapore. *Contact Dermatitis* 1999; 41: 80–83.
9. Christensen LP, Jakobsen HM, Paulsen E, et al. Airborne composite dermatitis: monoterpenes and no porthenolide are released from flowering *Tanacetum parthenium* (feverfew) plants. *Arch Dermatol Res.* 1999; 291(7–8): 425–431.
10. Gordon LA. Composite dermatitis. *Australian J Dermatol.* 1999; 40(3): 123–128.
11. Bangha E, Elsner P. Occupational contact dermatitis sesquiterpene lactons in florist. *Am J Contact Dermat.* 1996; 7(3): 188–190.
12. Jovanovic M, Poljacki M. Composite dermatitis. *Med Pregl.* 2003; 56(1–2): 43–49.
13. Bjoern M, Hausen A. 6-year experience with composite mix. *Am J Contact Dermatol.* 1996; 2: 94–99.
14. Rudzki E, Rebandel P. Wyprysk powietrzno pochodny w warunkach zawodowych. *Przegląd Dermatologiczny* 2000; 3: 221–224 (in Polish).
15. Rudzki E. Uczulenie na pyłki roślin w chorobach skóry. *Pol Tyg Lek.* 1985; 12–13: 389–391 (in Polish).
16. Lotti T, Menchini G, Teofoli P. The challenge of air borne dermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis.* 1998; 16: 27–31.
17. Śpiewak R. The substantial differences between photoallergic and phototoxic reactions

18. Ann Agric Environ Med. 2012; 19(4): 888–889.
19. Antoszczyk G, Obtulowicz A, Obtulowicz K. Allergic air-borne contact dermatitis tone and half-terpenlactones of pollen grains. *Alergologia Immunologia* 2012; 9: 2–3. 19. Santos R, Gossens AR. An update on airborne contact dermatitis: 2001–2006. *Contact Dermatitis* 2007; 57: 353–360.
20. Paulsen E, Christensen LP, Andersen KE. Composite dermatitis from air borne parthenolide. *Br J Dermatol.* 2007; 156: 510–515.
21. Fowler JF. Occupational contact dermatitis toward sesquiterpene lactones in a florist. *Am J Contact Dermatitis.* 1966; 7(3): 188–190.
22. Huygen S, Goossen A. An update on airborne contact dermatitis: *Contact Dermatitis.* 2001; 44: 1–6.
23. Paulsen E, Christensen LP, Andersen KE. The monoterpenes released from feverfew (*Tanacetum parthenium*) plants cause airborne Composite dermatitis? *Contact Dermatitis.* 2002; 47(1): 14–18.
24. Paulsen E, Andersen KE, Hausen BM. An 8 – year experience with routine SL mix patch testing supplemented with Compositae mix in Denmark. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2001; 45: 29–35.
25. Akhtar N, Verma KK, Sharma A. Study of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine profile in the patients with parthenium dermatitis. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2010; 63: 203–208.
26. Nicholson PJ, Llewelly D, English JS. On behalf of the Guidelines Development Group. Evidencebased quidelines for the prevention, identification and management of occupational contact dermatitis and urticaria. *Contact Dermatitis.* 2010; 63: 177–186.