ANTICANCER RESEARCH 34: 1849-1856 (2014)

Combinatorial Effects of PARP Inhibitor PJ34 and Histone Deacetylase Inhibitor Vorinostat on Leukemia Cell Lines

EWA JASEK, MARIUSZ GAJDA, GRZEGORZ J. LIS, MAŁGORZATA JASIŃSKA and JAN A. LITWIN

Department of Histology Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

Abstract. Background: Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors and histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors are new promising anticancer drugs. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of combination treatment with PARP inhibitor PJ34 and HDAC inhibitor vorinostat on human leukemia cell lines. Materials and Methods: Proliferation, apoptosis, mitochondrial membrane potential (ψ_m) and cell cycle were assessed in HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cells cultured with each drug alone and with both drugs. Results: PJ34 alone at 0.2-0.4 µM did not influence the examined parameters. Vorinostat alone at 1.0-2.5 µM reduced proliferation, increased apoptosis rate, lowered ψ_m and increased the percentage of sub- G_1 cells in all cell lines. Incubation with both drugs caused further inhibition of proliferation and increase in apoptosis associated with a decrease in ψ_m and sub-G₁ arrest in HL60, MOLT4 and K562 cells, but not in U937 cells. Conclusion: Combination of PARP and HDAC inhibitors can exert a synergistic effect on inhibition of proliferation and increase apoptosis of leukemia cells.

Targeted therapies have become a hot topic in anticancer research. Recently, considerable interest has been given on drugs that target histone-modifying enzymes and cells with impaired DNA repair: histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors and poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors.

PARP is a family of nuclear enzymes involved in DNA damage recognition and repair. PARP1 is the best known protein of this family which participates in single-strand breaks repair and may also be involved in the repair of double-strand breaks through the homologous recombination pathway (1, 2). Inhibition of its activity results in

Correspondence to: Ewa Jasek, Department of Histology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kopernika 7, PL-31034 Krakow, Poland. E-mail: ejasek@cm-uj.krakow.pl

Key Words: PARP inhibitors, HDAC inhibitors, PJ34, vorinostat, apoptosis, cell cycle, leukemia cell lines.

accumulation of single-strand breaks and ultimately leads to double-strand breaks. PARP inhibitors have been extensively studied as agents preventing DNA repair in cancer cells, especially in cells with mutated breast cancer-associated gene-1 and -2 (BRCA1/2), involved in the repair of doublestrand breaks (3). However, PARP inhibitors, such as PJ34, ABT-888 and KU-0058948, have been found to exert antiproliferative and pro-apoptotic effects when used alone or in combination with potential chemotherapeutic agents in various cancer types. PJ34 enhanced the antitumor effects of doxorubicin in HeLa cells (4). ABT-888 potentiated irinotecan treatment of colon cancer cell lines (5) and enhanced the cytotoxic effect of temozolomide in leukemia cells in vitro and in a melanoma model in vivo (6, 7). PJ34 and KU-0058948 induced cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis of primary myeloid leukemia cells and cell lines in vitro (8).

HDAC inhibitors are promising new anticancer agents with low toxicity toward normal non-malignant cells. They inhibit deacetylation of proteins, especially those involved in cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis (9). Vorinostat is a broad-spectrum HDAC inhibitor used in clinical trials (10, 11) shown to induce production of reactive oxygen species, growth arrest and apoptosis of leukemia cells (12). It has been postulated that the effect of vorinostat on cancer cells is due to induction of DNA damage, including single- and double-strand breaks (12, 13). Furthermore, impaired repair of double-strand DNA breaks is a common feature of acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes (14).

To our knowledge, there are only two studies demonstrating the effects of combinatorial treatment of tumor cells with PARP inhibitors and HDAC inhibitors (8, 15) and only one of them concerns leukemia cells. Gaymes *et al.* demonstrated that the HDAC inhibitor, MS275, enhanced the cytotoxic effect of the PARP inhibitor KU-0058948 in leukemia cells *in vitro* (8). Therefore, the aim of the present study was to demonstrate the effect of PARP1 inhibitor PJ34 in combination with DNA-damaging HDAC inhibitor vorinostat on proliferation, apoptosis and cell cycle of human leukemia cell lines.

Materials and Methods

Drugs. PJ34 was purchased from Merck Chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany) and vorinostat from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Stock solutions of drugs were aliquoted and kept frozen at -20° C.

Cell culture. Cultures of HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cells (all obtained from ECACC, Salisbury, UK) were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and 50 μ g/ml gentamicin (all from Life Technologies, Paisley, UK). Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere and used for experiments in the exponential growth phase.

PARP activity assay. Cellular PARP activity was measured using the Universal Colorimetric PARP Assay kit (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The assay measures incorporation of biotinylated poly(ADP-ribose) into histone proteins in 96-well plates. Briefly, cell lysates were prepared from 5×10^6 cells and 30 µg of protein was added in triplicates to the wells containing PARP buffer and PARP cocktail. Following incubation at room temperature for 1 h and a short wash with 0.1% Triton X-100, streptavidin horseradish peroxidase was added to the wells, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 20 min. After washing the plate with 0.1% Triton X-100, TACS-Sapphire colorimetric substrate was added and plate was incubated in the dark for 30 min. Absorbance of samples was measured at 450 nm using microplate fluorescence reader FL600 (Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA).

PARP activity was calculated using the standard curve obtained from readings of the standards and expressed as arbitrary absorbance units per mg of protein.

Cell proliferation and viability assays. Cell growth was assessed by the alamar blue assay (Biosource, Camarillo, CA, USA), as described previously (16). Briefly, the cells were seeded into 96-well plate at a density of 2×10^4 cells per well (100 µl) in culture medium alone or supplemented with the studied drugs and incubated for a specified amount of time (48 h with PJ34 and 24 h with vorinostat). After the incubation, alamar blue was added to the wells to a final concentration of 10% and the plates were incubated for 4 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. The intensity of fluorescence emitted due to reduction of alamar blue was measured using FL600 microplate reader (excitation: 530 nm, emission: 590 nm).

Cell viability was determined using the trypan blue exclusion method. Cell proliferation and viability were expressed as the percentage of that of the control (100%).

Apoptosis assay. Apoptotic cells were analyzed using Muse Annexin V and Dead Cell Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The assay utilizes annexin V to detect phosphatidylserine on the cell membrane surface of apoptotic cells and a dead cell marker, 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD), as an indicator of cell membrane integrity. Briefly, 5×10^5 cells were resuspended in 100 µl of culture medium containing 1% FBS and incubated with 100 µl of Muse Annexin V and Dead Cell Reagent for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. Annexin V–positive/7-AAD–negative cells represented early apoptotic cells, while annexin V–positive/7-AAD–positive cells demonstrated late apoptotic cells.

The cells were quantified using the Muse Cell Analyzer and Muse analysis software (Millipore).

Change of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \psi_m$). Mitochondrial membrane potential was determined using Mitochondrial Permeability Transition Detection kit MitoPT[™] JC-1 (AbD Serotec, Oxford, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. JC-1 dve exhibits potential-dependent accumulation in mitochondria indicated by a fluorescence emission shift from green to red (590 nm). Consequently, mitochondrial de-polarization is indicated by a decrease in the red/green fluorescence intensity ratio. Briefly, cells were resuspended at a density 1×10⁶ cells in 1 ml of MitoPT[™] JC-1 solution and incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ in the dark. After incubation, cells were resuspended in the assay buffer and seeded in a 96-well clear bottom black plate at a density 1×10^5 cells/100 µl per well. Fluorescence was assessed in the microplate reader by measuring both the monomer (527 nm emission; green) and J-aggregate (590 nm emission; red) forms of JC-1 following 488 nm excitation. $\Delta \psi_m$ was calculated as J-aggregate to monomer fluorescence intensity ratio. The membrane potential of mitochondria in treated cells was expressed as a percentage of that in control cells (100%).

Cell-cycle analysis. Cell cycle distribution was determined using the Muse Cell Cycle Kit (Millipore) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The assay is based on the measurement of DNA content in nuclei labeled with propidium iodide (PI). Briefly, 1×10^6 cells were harvested and fixed with 70% ice cold ethanol at -20° C for 3-12 h. After washing with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), cell pellets were resuspended in 200 µl of Cell Cycle Reagent and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Cells were analyzed by Muse Cell Analyzer and the cell cycle phase distribution was quantified using Muse analysis software. Graphs were prepared with freeware Flowing Software 2.5 (http://www.flowingsoftware.com).

Statistical analysis. The results are expressed as means±SD of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by using two-tailed Student's *t*-test. *p*-Values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using the Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Effect of PJ34 on PARP activity, cell proliferation and viability. HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cell lines were exposed to increasing concentrations of the PARP1 inhibitor PJ34 (0.05 to 1.6 μ M) for 48 h. PARP activity was significantly reduced in cells in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A). In untreated control cells, the highest PARP activity was observed in the MOLT4 line (~80 U/mg of protein) and the lowest in the U937 line (~50 U/mg of protein) (Figure 1A). Cell proliferation and viability were also inhibited in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1B and C). Concentrations of 0.2 μ M PJ34 for HL60 and MOLT4 cells and 0.4 μ M for U937 and K562 cells were chosen for further studies, being the highest concentrations having a relatively low effect on proliferation and viability (~20% decrease in



Figure 1. Effect of PJ34 on poly (ADP-ribose) (PARP) activity (A), proliferation (B) and viability (C) of HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cell lines. PJ34 lowered PARP activity, and reduced cell proliferation and viability in a dose-dependent manner.

proliferation and ~90% viability) (Figure 1B and C). At these doses of PJ34, PARP activity was reduced to approximately 15 U/mg of protein in all cell lines (Figure 1A).

Effects of combined PJ34 and vorinostat treatment on cell proliferation and apoptosis. Cells were treated with appropriate doses of PJ34 and different concentrations of vorinostat, causing approximately 20% of total apoptosis (1 μ M for HL60



Figure 2. Effect of PJ34 and vorinostat (Vor) on the proliferation of HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cells. Each value is the mean \pm SD of three experiments. #Significantly different from the corresponding group of untreated (control) cells (p<0.04); *significantly different from the corresponding group of vorinostat-treated cells (p<0.05).

and MOLT4 cells; 2 μ M U937 cells and 2.5 μ M for K562 cells, data not shown) whether separately or in combination. In the latter case, PJ34 was added 24 h before vorinostat and then the cells were exposed to both drugs for the next 24 h.

At the concentrations used, PJ34 alone did not significantly influence proliferation in the studied cell lines, whereas treatment with vorinostat alone caused its significant reduction in all lines. Treatment with both drugs further significantly lowered proliferation of HL60, MOLT4 and K562 cells compared to treatment with vorinostat-alone. In U937 cells, this effect was not observed (Figure 2).

Treatment with PJ34-alone had no significant effect on apoptosis in either cell line: the total apoptotic rate ranged from 4.3% in HL60 cells to 5.5% in MOLT4 cells. Vorinostat-induced apoptosis was markedly potentiated after a combined treatment with PJ34 and the percentage of apoptotic cells nearly doubled, ranging from 37.6% in K562 cells to 48.5% in MOLT4 cells. This effect was, however, not observed in U937 cells (Figure 3). Similar results were obtained when early and late apoptotic cells were assessed separately (data not shown).

Effects of combined PJ34 and vorinostat treatment on mitochondrial membrane potential. Treatment with PJ34 alone did not cause significant changes in ψ_m , an early indicator of mitochondrial involvement in apoptosis, while vorinostat-alone significantly reduced ψ_m in all cell lines compared to untreated cells. The combined treatment of cells with both drugs resulted in a significant decrease in ψ_m compared to treatment with vorinostat alone in all cell lines except U937 cells (Figure 4).



Figure 3. Effect of PJ34 and vorinostat (Vor) on apoptosis of HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cells. Representative dot plots of annexin V/7aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) apoptotic assay (A) and graph showing the percentage of apoptotic cells (B). Each value is the mean \pm SD of three experiments. #Significantly different from the corresponding group of untreated (control) cells (p<0.03); *significantly different from the corresponding group of vorinostat-treated cells (p<0.03).



Figure 4. Effect of PJ34 and vorinostat (Vor) on the mitochondrial membrane potential (ψ_m) of HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cells. Each value is the mean±SD of three experiments. #Significantly different from the corresponding group of untreated (control) cells (p<0.03); *significantly different from the corresponding group of vorinostat-treated cells (p<0.04).

Effects of combined PJ34 and vorinostat treatment on the cell cycle. PJ34 alone did not significantly influence the cell cycle in the studied lines (Figure 5). After treatment with vorinostat alone, the percentage of S-phase cells was significantly reduced in HL60 and U937 lines (Figure 5A-C) and all cell lines showed an increase in the percentage of cells in the sub-G₁ phase (Figure 5). Compared to the effect of vorinostat and PJ34 resulted in further decrease in the percentage of S-phase cells in all cell lines and of cells in the G₂/M phase for U937 and K562 lines, while the percentage of cells in G₀/G₁ phase was significantly increased for U937 and K562 cells and of those in sub-G₁ phase cells for all except U937 cells (Figure 5).

Discussion

Combining drugs which target DNA repair and epigenetic control of gene transcription seems to be an encouraging approach to anticancer treatment. The present study has demonstrated that combined exposure of human leukemia cell lines to the PARP inhibitor PJ34 and the HDAC inhibitor vorinostat results in a significant decrease in cell proliferation, accompanied by an increase in both early and late apoptosis, manifested by the loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, an early event preceding phosphatidylserine externalization (17, 18), annexin V binding and 7-AAD fluorescence.

Such effects were similar in three out of the four studied cell lines: HL60, MOLT4 and K562, but was not observed in U937 line: as compared to cells treated by vorinostat as a

single agent, combined treatment with vorinostat and PJ34 did not induce significant changes in cell growth, apoptosis rate and mitochondrial membrane potential. All leukemia cell lines studied revealed sensitivity to PJ34, manifested by a dose-dependent decrease in PARP activity. However, in untreated control cells, PARP activity was the lowest in U937 cells, and this could contribute to unresponsiveness of this cells to the combined treatment, although the relevant data seem to be controversial. As demonstrated in other studies on treatment of cell lines with PARP inhibitors combined with other anticancer drugs, PARP inhibitor ABT-888 did not enhance temozolomide activity in U937 cells and in some primary leukemia cells expressing low PARP activity. On the other hand, KG1 leukemia cells with low PARP activity showed a marked potentiation of temozolomide cytotoxicity in combination with ABT-888 (6). Another PARP inhibitor, GPI-15427 increased temozolomide and irinotecan activity in colon cancer xenografts and this effect was not dependent on PARP activity (19). In our previous study, etoposide-induced apoptosis of U937 cells was not potentiated after pretreatment with HDAC inhibitors, although such potentiation was observed in HL60 cells (16).

The different effectiveness of PARP inhibitors may reflect the variability of cell lines. Leukemia cells display different phenotypes and diverse chromosomal abnormalities. Some aberrant genes, especially in cells in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes, are components of the homologous recombination pathway of double-strand DNA break repair. Moreover, leukemia cells display error-prone non-homologous end-joining of double-strand DNA break repair and mutations in other double-strand DNA break repair components (20-22). This makes them good candidates for treatment with PARP inhibitors, however, the response of different cell lines to PARP inhibitors combined with other chemotherapeutic agents can also depend upon a variety of factors, such as drug concentration, exposure conditions and the sequence of drug administration. In MCF7 cells, the PARP inhibitor NU1025 increased the antiproliferative effect of topoisomerase II inhibitor C-1305 when added to the culture as the second drug, but reversal of the schedule had the opposite result: the antiproliferative effect of C-1305 was reduced (23). The combined treatment of leukemia cells with PARP, HDAC and DNA methyltransferase inhibitors can also be schedule-specific (8).

PARP inhibitors have been postulated to affect the cell cycle. As recently demonstrated, PJ34 causes cell-cycle arrest in various cancer cell lines (24-26). The arrest of cells at the cell-cycle checkpoints is an event preceding apoptosis (27). HDAC inhibitors can also influence cell cycle. Vorinostat has been shown to induce apoptosis of leukemia cells in the G_0/G_1 phase of the cell cycle by inducing the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 and to arrest cells in the G_1 phase (28, 29). In our study, PJ34 and vorinostat were



Figure 5. Effect of PJ34 and vorinostat (Vor) on cell-cycle distribution of HL60, MOLT4, U937 and K562 cells. Representative DNA histograms (A) and graphs showing the distributions of cells in specific cell cycle phases (B, C, D, E). Each value is the mean \pm SD of three experiments. #Significantly different from the corresponding group of untreated (control) cells (p<0.05); *significantly different from the corresponding group of vorinostat-treated cells (p<0.05).

used at concentrations showing low toxicity. At 0.2 and 0.4 μ M, PJ34-alone did not induce significant changes in the cell cycle. The main effect of vorinostat alone and in combination with PJ34 at the studied concentrations was a significantly increased percentage of cells in the sub-G₁ phase, indicative of apoptosis. This result fully corresponded to the effect of the drugs on apoptosis, with all cell lines except U937 showing further significant increase in the number of sub-G₁ cells after combined treatment. We also observed an increased percentage of K562 and U937 cells in the G₀/G₁ phase after treatment with PJ34 and vorinostat. A similar effect was reported after prolonged 24-h treatment of K562 cells with vorinostat alone (30).

In conclusion, combination of PARP inhibitors with HDAC inhibitors can effectively reduce survival of leukemia cells by inhibiting proliferation and inducing apoptosis, but this effect may depend on cell type, concentration of drugs within the range showing less additive toxicity for normal cells, and administration schedule. Nevertheless, it seems to be a promising therapeutic strategy for hematological malignancies.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by statutory funds K/ZDS/002849 from the Jagiellonian University Medical College to EJ.

References

- 1 Pieper AA, Verma A, Zhang J and Snyder SH: Poly (ADPribose) polymerase, nitric oxide and cell death. Trends Pharmacol Sci 20: 171-181, 1999.
- 2 Bryant HE, Petermann E, Schultz N, Jemth AS, Loseva O, Issaeva N, Johansson F, Fernandez S, McGlynn P and Helleday T: PARP is activated at stalled forks to mediate Mre11dependent replication restart and recombination. EMBO J 28: 2601-2615, 2009.
- 3 Peralta-Leal A, Rodríguez-Vargas JM, Aguilar-Quesada R, Rodríguez MI, Linares JL, de Almodóvar MR and Oliver FJ: PARP inhibitors: New partners in the therapy of cancer and inflammatory diseases. Free Radic Biol Med 47: 13-26, 2009.
- 4 Magan N, Isaacs RJ and Stowell KM: Treatment with the PARPinhibitor PJ34 causes enhanced doxorubicin-mediated cell death in HeLa cells. Anticancer Drugs 23: 627-637, 2012.
- 5 Davidson D, Wang Y, Aloyz R and Panasci L: The PARP inhibitor ABT-888 synergizes irinotecan treatment of colon cancer cell lines. Invest New Drugs *31*: 461-468, 2013.
- 6 Horton TM, Jenkins G, Pati D, Zhang L, Dolan ME, Ribes-Zamora A, Bertuch AA, Blaney SM, Delaney SL, Hegde M and Berg SL: Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor ABT-888 potentiates the cytotoxic activity of temozolomide in leukemia cells: influence of mismatch repair status and O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase activity. Mol Cancer Ther 8: 2232-2242, 2009.

- 7 Palma JP, Rodriguez LE, Bontcheva-Diaz VD, Bouska JJ, Bukofzer G, Colon-Lopez M, Guan R, Jarvis K, Johnson EF, Klinghofer V, Liu X, Olson A, Saltarelli MJ, Shi Y, Stavropoulos JA, Zhu GD, Penning TD, Luo Y, Giranda VL, Rosenberg SH, Frost DJ and Donawho CK: The PARP inhibitor, ABT-888 potentiates temozolomide: correlation with drug levels and reduction in PARP activity *in vivo*. Anticancer Res 28: 2625-2635, 2008.
- 8 Gaymes TJ, Shall S, MacPherson LJ, Twine NA, Lea NC and Farzaneh F: Inhibitors of poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) induce apoptosis of myeloid leukemic cells: Potential for therapy of myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. Haematologica 94: 638-646, 2009.
- 9 Dokmanovic M, Clarke C and Marks PA: Histone deacetylase inhibitors: Overview and perspectives. Mol Cancer Res 5: 981-989, 2007.
- 10 Siegel D, Hussein M, Belani C, Robert F, Galanis E, Richon VM, Garcia-Vargas J, Sanz-Rodriguez C and Rizvi S: Vorinostat in solid and hematologic malignancies. J Hematol Oncol 2: 31, 2009.
- 11 Weber DM, Graef T, Hussein M, Sobecks RM, Schiller GJ, Lupinacci L, Hardwick JS and Jagannath S: Phase I trial of vorinostat combined with bortezomib for the treatment of relapsing and/or refractory multiple myeloma. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 12: 319-324, 2012.
- 12 Petruccelli LA, Dupéré-Richer D, Pettersson F, Retrouvey H, Skoulikas S and Miller WH Jr.: Vorinostat induces reactive oxygen species and DNA damage in acute myeloid leukemia cells. PLoS One 6: e20987, 2011.
- 13 Conti C, Leo E, Eichler GS, Sordet O, Martin MM, Fan A, Aladjem MI and Pommier Y: Inhibition of histone deacetylase in cancer cells slows down replication forks, activates dormant origins, and induces DNA damage. Cancer Res 70: 4470-4480, 2010.
- 14 Haase D: Cytogenetic features in myelodysplastic syndromes. Ann Hematol 87: 515-526, 2008.
- 15 Zhang JX, Li DQ, He AR, Motwani M, Vasiliou V, Eswaran J, Mishra L and Kumar R: Synergistic inhibition of hepatocellular carcinoma growth by cotargeting chromatin modifying enzymes and poly (ADP-ribose) polymerases. Hepatology 55: 1840-1851, 2012.
- 16 Jasek E, Lis GJ, Jasinska M, Jurkowska H and Litwin JA: Effect of histone deacetylase inhibitors trichostatin A and valproic acid on etoposide-induced apoptosis in leukemia cells. Anticancer Res 32: 2791-2799, 2012.
- 17 Mantymaa P, Siitonen T, Guttorm T, Saily M, Kinnula V, Savolainen ER and Koistinen P: Induction of mitochondrial manganese superoxide dismutase confers resistance to apoptosis in acute myeloblastic leukaemia cells exposed to etoposide. Br J Haematol 108: 574-581, 2000.
- 18 Korper S, Nolte F, Rojewski MT, Thiel E and Schrezenmeier H: The K+ channel openers diazoxide and NS1619 induce depolarization of mitochondria and have differential effects on cell Ca²⁺ in CD34⁺ cell line KG-1a. Exp Hematol *31*: 815-823, 2003.
- 19 Tentori L, Leonetti C, Scarsella M, D'Amati G, Vergati M, Portarena I, Xu W, Kalish V, Zupi G, Zhang J and Graziani G: Systemic administration of GPI 15427, a novel poly(ADPribose) polymerase-1 inhibitor, increases the antitumor activity of temozolomide against intracranial melanoma, glioma, lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res 9: 5370-5379, 2003.

- 20 Drexler HG and MacLeod RA. Leukemia-lymphoma cell lines as model systems for hematopoietic research. Ann Med *35*: 404-412, 2003.
- 21 Gaymes TJ, Mufti GJ and Rassool FV: Myeloid leukemias have increased activity of the nonhomologous end-joining pathway and concomitant DNA misrepair that is dependent on the Ku70/86 heterodimer. Cancer Res 62: 2791-2797, 2002.
- 22 Varon R, Reis A, Henze G, von Einsiedel HG, Sperling K and Seeger K: Mutations in the Nijmegen breakage syndrome gene (*NBS1*) in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Cancer Res 1: 3570-3572, 2001.
- 23 Węsierska-Gądek J, Zulehner N, Ferk F, Składanowski A, Komina O and Maurer M: PARP inhibition potentiates the cytotoxic activity of C-1305, a selective inhibitor of topoisomerase II, in human *BRCA1*-positive breast cancer cells. Biochem Pharmacol 84: 1318-1331, 2012.
- 24 Inbar-Rozensal D, Castiel A, Visochek L, Castel D, Dantzer F, Izraeli S and Cohen-Armon M: A selective eradication of human nonhereditary breast cancer cells by phenanthridine-derived polyADP-ribose polymerase inhibitors. Breast Cancer Res 11: R78, 2009.
- 25 Chevanne M, Zampieri M, Caldini R, Rizzo A, Ciccarone F, Catizone A, D'Angelo C, Guastafierro T, Biroccio A, Reale A, Zupi G and Caiafa P: Inhibition of PARP activity by PJ-34 leads to growth impairment and cell death associated with aberrant mitotic pattern and nucleolar actin accumulation in M14 melanoma cell line. J Cell Physiol 222: 401-410, 2010.

- 26 Madison DL, Stauffer D and Lundblad JR: The PARP inhibitor PJ34 causes a PARP1-independent, p21 dependent mitotic arrest. DNA Repair *10*: 1003-1013, 2011.
- 27 Stewart ZA, Westfall MD and Pietenpol JA: Cell-cycle dysregulation and anticancer therapy. Trends Pharmacol Sci 24: 139-145, 2003.
- 28 Vrana JA, Decker RH, Johnson CR, Wang Z, Jarvis WD, Richon VM, Ehinger M, Fisher PB and Grant S: Induction of apoptosis in U937 human leukemia cells by suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) proceeds through pathways that are regulated by BCL-2/BCL-XL, c-JUN, and p21^{CIP1}, but independent of p53. Oncogene 25: 7016-7025, 1999.
- 29 Dupéré-Richer D, Kinal M, Ménasché V, Nielsen TH, Del Rincon S, Pettersson F and Miller WH Jr.: Vorinostat-induced autophagy switches from a death-promoting to a cytoprotective signal to drive acquired resistance. Cell Death Dis 4: e486, 2013.
- 30 Ruefli AA, Bernhard D, Tainton KM, Kofler R, Smyth MJ, J'ohnstone RW: Suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) overcomes multidrug resistance and induces cell death in Pglycoprotein-expressing cells. Int J Cancer 99: 292-298, 2002.

Received January 13, 2014 Revised February 11, 2014 Accepted February 12, 2014