ARTYKUŁ ORYGINALNY / ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Age, sex, and secondary prevention of ischaemic heart disease in everyday practice

Piotr Jankowski¹, Danuta Czarnecka¹, Renata Wolfshaut-Wolak², Radosław Łysek², Anna Łukaszewska¹, Sławomir Surowiec¹, Magdalena Loster¹, Piotr Bogacki³, Ewa Bryniarska-Mirek⁴, Janusz Grodecki⁵, Jadwiga Nessler⁶, Piotr Podolec⁷, Kalina Kawecka-Jaszcz¹, Andrzej Pająk²

Abstract

Background: Many researchers have studied age- and sex-related differences in the management of patients with coronary artery disease. However, the results are inconsistent.

Aim: To assess sex- and age-related bias in the secondary prevention in patients hospitalised due to ischaemic heart disease.

Methods: Five hospitals with departments of cardiology serving a city and surrounding districts in southern Poland participated in the study. Consecutive patients hospitalised from 1 April 2005 to 31 July 2006 due to acute coronary syndrome or for a myocardial revascularisation procedure and aged ≤ 80 years were recruited and interviewed 6–18 months after hospitalisation.

Results: The hospital records of 640 patients were reviewed and 513 (80.2%) patients participated in the follow-up interview. Women were older and less educated than their male counterparts. Sex was not independently associated with the control of major risk factors in the post-discharge period, whereas age was related to a higher probability of having high blood pressure and a lower chance of smoking. Multivariate analysis showed that females were prescribed calcium antagonists (odds ratio [OR] 2.13; 95% confidence intervals [CI] 1.34–3.39) and diuretics (OR 1.52; 95% CI 1.00–2.31) more often than males. Age was independently related to the prescription rate of diuretics (≥ 70 years vs. < 60 years; OR 1.61; 95% CI 1.19–2.20). The prescription rate of antiplatelets, beta-blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme-inhibitors/sartans, lipid-lowering drugs, and anticoagulants was not related to age or sex.

Conclusions: We found no major sex-related difference in the frequency of achieving recommended goals in secondary prevention, whereas age was related to a lower prevalence of smoking and a higher probability of having high blood pressure in subjects after hospitalisation for coronary artery disease.

Key words: coronary artery disease, risk factors, secondary prevention, age, sex

Kardiol Pol 2013; 71, 12: 1251-1259

INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the most common single cause of death in developed countries. According to European Society of Cardiology guidelines, preventive interventions

aimed at patients with cardiovascular disease have been ranked as the highest priority [1].

Numerous studies have analysed the different management practices in men and women with acute coronary syn-

Address for correspondence:

Piotr Jankowski, MD, PhD, 1st Department of Cardiology and Hypertension, Jagiellonian University Medical College, ul. Kopernika 17, 31–501 Kraków, Poland, tel: +48 12 424 73 00, fax: +48 12 424 73 20, e-mail: piotrjankowski@interia.pl

Received: 11.01.2013 Accepted: 28.05.2013 Available as AoP: 20.06.2013

Copyright © Polskie Towarzystwo Kardiologiczne

¹1st Department of Cardiology and Hypertension, Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

²Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Population Studies, Institute of Public Health, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

³Department of Cardiology, Ludwik Rydygier District Hospital, Krakow, Poland

⁴Department of Cardiology, Józef Dietl Hospital, Krakow, Poland

⁵Department of Cardiology, G. Narutowicz Memorial General Hospital, Krakow, Poland

⁶Department of Coronary Disease, Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University, Medical College, Krakow, Poland

⁷Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

dromes [2–7]. Recently, several studies concerning sex-related differences in CAD management have been published [8–12]. Some [2–4, 9], but not all [5, 10, 11], of these studies showed that women are examined and treated less aggressively than men. Moreover, some analyses have indicated that the prognosis of women with ischaemic heart disease (IHD) is worse compared to their male counterparts [3, 4, 9]. However, other investigators have found no gender-related differences in cardiovascular risk in patients with CAD [5, 8, 10, 13–15]. It has also been suggested that differences in mortality, although present in univariate analysis, disappear after multiple adjustments [6, 7, 16–18]. Several analyses have shown an even higher risk in men [2, 19]. It has also been suggested that women were less likely than men to accept a physician's recommendation for any intervention [20].

Age is one of the most important factors determining the prognosis of patients with CAD [1, 15, 18]. Nevertheless, it has been shown that older people are often treated less aggressively [21–23]. Narrowing the gap between recommended therapy and actual practice in this population remains vitally important, because the population of older adults is rapidly growing and because cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the elderly.

The main goal of the Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease was to assess and improve the quality of clinical care in the secondary prevention of CAD in Krakow [24–26]. Subsequently, the same hospitals took part in the European Action on Secondary Prevention through Intervention to Reduce Events (EUROASPIRE) surveys which were conducted under the auspices of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). The surveys showed that there was considerable potential for further improvement in secondary prevention in European countries [27], including Polish hospitals [25, 26].

We used data from hospitals who had participated in the Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease and the EUROASPIRE surveys to estimate sexand age-related differences in the secondary prevention in patients hospitalised due to IHD in Krakow [24–26].

METHODS

The groups analysed in the study and the methods used in the Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease have been described in earlier reports [24–26]. A brief description is given below.

Five hospitals with departments of cardiology serving Krakow (a city in the south Poland) and surrounding districts participated in the study. The total population of this area was around 1,200,000. In each department, we reviewed the medical records of consecutive patients aged \leq 80 years and hospitalised between 1 April 2005 and 31 July 2006 with the following discharge diagnosis or procedures:

- acute myocardial infarction (first or recurrent, no prior percutaneous coronary intervention [PCI] or coronary artery bypass grafting [CABG])
- unstable angina (first or recurrent, no prior PCI or CABG)
- elective or emergency PCI (first, no prior CABG)
- elective or emergency coronary artery bypass surgery (first).

Patients were identified retrospectively. We did not include data of patients undergoing CABG and valve surgery during the same procedure. Those who died during their in-hospital stay were excluded from the analysis. If a patient was hospitalised more than once during the study period, only the first hospitalisation was regarded as an index event. All medical records were reviewed by trained reviewers using a standardised data collection form that included information about demographics, personal cardiac history, coronary risk factors, and medications.

The study participants were invited to take part in a follow-up examination 6-18 months after discharge. A standard questionnaire was used to obtain data on the patient's demographic characteristics, personal history of IHD, smoking status, blood pressure, fasting glucose, plasma lipids, and prescribed medications. The patient's height and weight were measured in a standing position without shoes and heavy outer garments using standard scales with a vertical ruler. The patient's body mass index (BMI) was calculated according to the following formula: BMI = weight [kg]/(height [mL])². The patient's blood pressure was measured twice from the upper right arm in a sitting position after at least 5 min of rest. For plasma lipid and glucose measurements, a fasting venous blood sample was taken between 7.30 and 8.30 a.m. We used for the present report the results of analyses conducted no later than 4 h after the blood collection. The analyses were carried out using enzymatic automated methods. The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Institution.

Statistical analysis

The categorical variables are reported as percentages and continuous variables as means \pm standard deviation. The Pearson χ^2 test was applied to all categorical variables. Normally distributed continuous variables were compared using the Student's t test, whereas variables without normal distributions were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using the χ^2 test (or Wilcoxon test when appropriate). The correlations were evaluated using the Spearman rank correlation. A two-tailed p value of less than 0.05 was regarded as indicating statistical significance. Multivariate logistic analysis was used to assess the independent influence of age and sex on the probability of a patient being prescribed a cardiovascular drug, as well as the probability of the patient having a risk factor controlled. All variables from Table 1 were included in the multivariate model.

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients participating in the study

	Women (n = 185)	Men (n = 455)	Р	Total (n = 640)
Age [years]	62.4 ± 8.9	60.5 ± 8.9	< 0.05	61.1 ± 8.9
Category:			< 0.05	
Myocardial infarction	30.3%	21.8%		24.2%
Unstable angina	33.5%	25.1%		27.5%
PCI	21.1%	32.5%		29.2%
CABG	15.1%	20.7%		19.1%
Type of hospital:			< 0.05	
Teaching	32.4%	48.3%		43.6%
District	67.6%	51.9%		56.4%
Mean time between index hospitalisation and	1.1 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.4	NS	1.1 ± 0.4
follow-up examination [years]*				
Mean duration of education [years]*	10.8 ± 3.5	12.1 ± 3.4	< 0.05	11.7 ± 3.5
Outpatient setting*:			NS	
Hospital outpatient clinic	53.3%	51.8%		52.2%
General practitioner	31.8%	34.3%		33.5%
Private cardiologist	11.7%	10.9%		11.1%
No physician	3.3%	3.1%		3.1%
Professionally active*:			< 0.05	
Yes	17.7%	37.3%		31.4%
No	82.3%	62.7%		68.6%

^{*}Available only for those who attended the follow-up examination (154 women and 359 men); PCI — percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG — coronary artery bypass grafting

RESULTS

The hospital records of 640 patients were reviewed. The clinical characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Two hundred and seventy two (42.5%) patients were < 60 years of age, 243 (38.0%) were 60-70 years, and 125 (19.5%) were ≥ 70 years. The patients qualified for CABG were significantly older than the other groups (CABG 64.0 ± 8.0 years; PCI 59.6 ± 9.2 years; myocardial infarction 60.6 \pm 9.2 years; unstable angina 61.0 \pm 8.6). Patients hospitalised in university and community hospital departments were of a similar age (60.9 \pm 9.1 vs. 61.2 \pm 8.8 years, p = NS). The patient's age correlated with the patient's duration of education (r = -0.17, p < 0.05), but not with the mean time between the index hospitalisation and the follow-up examination (r = -0.05, p = NS). Patients who were active professionally at the time of the follow-up examination were younger compared to the rest of the study group (55.4 \pm 7.4 vs. 63.9 \pm 8.3 years, p < 0.0001). No correlation was found between the outpatient setting and age (hospital outpatient clinic 60.8 ± 9.0 years, general practitioner 62.0 \pm 9.3 years, private cardiologist 60.8 \pm 7.9 years, no regular health check-up 62.4 ± 7.9 years; all comparisons were statistically not significant).

Univariate analysis showed that women were prescribed calcium antagonists and diuretics at discharge from hospital

more frequently than men (Table 2). After multivariate adjustments, sex turned out to be independently related to the prescription rate of calcium antagonists (Table 3). Age was related to the prescription rates of calcium antagonists, diuretics and antidiabetic drugs (Table 2). Multivariate analysis confirmed that an age of 60–70 years was related to a higher probability of being prescribed calcium antagonists, diuretics and antidiabetic drugs compared to younger subjects (Table 3). Older patients (age \geq 70 years) also had a higher probability of being prescribed a diuretic. On the other hand, in older patients, the probability of being prescribed a beta-blocker was lower. The prescription rate of antiplatelets, angiotensin converting enzyme-inhibitors (ACE)-inhibitors/sartans, lipid-lowering drugs, and anticoagulants was not related to age or sex.

Out of a total of 640 recruited patients, 513 (80.2%) participated in the follow-up examination (1.1 \pm 0.4 years after discharge on average). The participation rate did not differ significantly between men and women (79.9% vs. 83.2%, p = NS) nor between age groups (79.4% vs. 79.0% vs. 84.0% for patients at age < 60 years, 60–70 years, and \geq 70 years, respectively, p = NS). The time from the patient's discharge to the follow-up examination did not differ significantly between either sex or age groups.

At the follow-up examination, women and older participants had blood pressure levels that were below

Table 2. Prescription rates at discharge by age and sex

	AP	ВВ	ACEI/S	CA	D	LLD	AC	AD
Men	98.0%	89.7%	88.6%	17.4%	32.8%	96.0%	4.2%	21.8%
Women	96.8%	89.7%	88.6%	31.9%	43.2%	94.6%	4.3%	23.8%
р	NS	NS	NS	< 0.0001	< 0.05	NS	NS	NS
Age [years]								
< 60	97.4%	92.7%	87.5%	14.7%	26.1%	96.3%	3.7%	16.9%
60–70	97.1%	88.5%	89.3%	28.0%	42.0%	95.1%	4.5%	26.3%
≥ 70	99.2%	85.6%	89.6%	24.0%	44.8%	95.2%	4.8%	26.4%
р	NS	NS	NS	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	NS	NS	< 0.05
Total	97.7	89.7	88.6	21.6	35.8	95.6	4.2	22.3

AP — antiplatelets; BB — beta-blockers; ACEI/S — angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors/sartans; CA — calcium antagonists; D — diuretics; LLD — lipid lowering drugs; AC — anticoagulants; AD — antidiabetic agents

Table 3. Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) of being prescribed a drug at discharge by age and sex

	AP	ВВ	ACEI/S	CA	D	LLD	AC	AD
Sex*:								
Men	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Women	0.67	1.07	0.90	2.22	1.37	0.76	0.97	1.01
	(0.23-1.97)	(0.60-1.90)	(0.52-1.57)	(1.48–3.35)	(0.95–1.97)	(0.34–1.72)	(0.41-2.29)	(0.67–1.53)
Age [years]**:								
< 60	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
60–70	1.01	0.53	1.19	2.14	1.93	0.78	1.31	1.75
	(0.31-3.24)	(0.28-0.99)	(0.68-2.08)	(1.36–3.38)	(1.31–2.84)	(0.32-1.89)	(0.53-3.19)	(1.12–2.72)
≥ 70	1.80	0.66	1.15	1.30	1.51	0.99	1.13	1.29
	(0.62-5.25)	(0.47-0.94)	(0.81–1.63)	(0.98–1.71)	(1.20-1.90)	(0.57–1.72)	(0.66-1.94)	(0.99–1.68)

^{*}adjusted for age, diagnostic category, and type of hospital; **adjusted for sex, diagnostic category, and type of hospital; abbreviations as in Table 2

Table 4. Risk factor control rates 6–18 months after hospitalisation by age and sex

	Non-smoking	BP < 140/90 mm Hg	LDL-C < 2.5 mmol/L	FG < 7.0 mmol/L	BMI < 30 kg/m²
Men	78.3%	54.8%	61.8%	85.5%	68.3%
Women	85.1%	44.7%	56.7%	90.7%	64.1%
р	NS	< 0.05	NS	NS	NS
Age [years]:					
< 60	67.1%	60.7%	57.7%	87.1%	62.7%
60–70	86.5%	46.6%	61.1%	85.8%	70.7%
≥ 70	96.2%	43.3%	64.1%	89.3%	68.9%
р	< 0.0001	< 0.01	NS	NS	NS
Total	80.3	51.8	60.3	87.2	67.0

BP — blood pressure; LDL-C — low density lipoprotein; FG — fasting glucose; BMI — body mass index

140/90 mm Hg (Table 4) less frequently. After multivariate adjustments, age remained significantly related to the frequency of high blood pressure (Table 5). Sex was not independently related to the control of any major risk factor. The prescription rates 6–18 months after hospitalisation according to sex and age groups are presented in Table 6. Females were

prescribed diuretics and calcium antagonists more frequently in both the univariate and multivariate analyses (Table 7). Age was independently related to the prescription rate of diuretics. The prescription rate of antiplatelets, beta-blockers, ACE-inhibitors/sartans, lipid-lowering drugs, and anticoagulants was not related to age or sex.

Table 5. Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) of risk factor control 6-18 months after hospitalisation by age and sex

	Non-smoking BP < 140/90 mm Hg		oking BP < 140/90 mm Hg LDL-C < 2.5 mmol/L F0		BMI < 30 kg/m²
Sex*:					
Men	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Women	1.41 (0.80–2.48)	0.78 (0.52–1.17)	0.84 (0.56–1.27)	1.67 (0.87–3.20)	0.86 (0.56-1.31)
Age [years]**					
< 60	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
60–70	3.03 (1.77–5.21)	0.61 (0.40-0.93)	1.09 (0.71–1.66)	0.88 (0.48-1.61)	1.53 (0.98–2.38)
≥ 70	3.88 (2.25–6.68)	0.76 (0.59-0.98)	1.21 (0.93–1.58)	1.22 (0.81–1.83)	1.21 (0.92–1.58)

*adjusted for age, years of education, professional activity, diagnostic category, type of hospital, outpatient setting, and time between index hospitalisation and follow-up; **adjusted for sex, years of education, professional activity, diagnostic category, type of hospital, outpatient setting, and time between index hospitalisation and follow-up; abbreviations as in Table 4

Table 6. Prescription rates 6–18 months after hospitalisation by age and sex

	AP	ВВ	ACEI/S	CA	D	LLD	AC	AD
Men	90.7%	89.1%	79.2%	17.7%	30.6%	87.1%	7.3%	21.6%
Women	88.2%	82.5%	78.6%	32.5%	43.5%	81.7%	4.6%	22.1%
р	NS	< 0.05	NS	< 0.001	< 0.01	NS	NS	NS
Age [years]:								
< 60	89.7%	89.8%	79.0%	17.7%	25.7%	86.9%	4.7%	17.8%
60-70	90.5%	84.3%	80.1%	25.1%	38.7%	88.4%	8.4%	20.4%
≥ 70	89.5%	86.7%	77.4%	25.7%	44.8%	77.1%	6.7%	32.4%
р	NS	NS	NS	NS	< 0.01	< 0.05	NS	< 0.05
Total	90.0	87.1	79.0	22.1	34.5	85.5	6.5	21.8

Abbreviations as in Table 2

Table 7. Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) of being prescribed a drug 6-18 months after hospitalisation by age and sex

	AP	ВВ	ACEI/S	CA	D	LLD	AC	AD
Sex*:								
Men	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Women	0.77	0.64	1.04	2.13	1.52	0.71	0.51	0.97
	(0.40-1.46)	(0.36–1.14)	(0.64–1.71)	(1.34–3.39)	(1.00-2.31)	(0.40-1.23)	(0.21–1.24)	(0.59-1.59)
Age [years]**:								
< 60	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
60-70	0.98	0.97	1.19	1.64	1.86	1.10	2.26	1.19
≥ 70	(0.62-1.54)	(0.55-1.69)	(0.68-2.08)	(0.95-2.86)	(1.15-3.01)	(0.55-2.19)	(0.89-5.71)	(0.67-2.10)
	1.10	0.85	1.15	1.27	1.61	0.62	1.14	1.39
	(0.52-2.31)	(0.59–1.22)	(0.81–1.63)	(0.90-1.80)	(1.19–2.20)	(0.42-1.92)	(0.64-2.02)	(1.00–1.91)

*adjusted for age, years of education, professional activity, diagnostic category, type of hospital, outpatient setting, and time between index hospitalisation and follow-up; **adjusted for sex, years of education, professional activity, diagnostic category, type of hospital, outpatient setting, and time between index hospitalisation and follow-up; abbreviations as in Table 2

Finally, we compared the prescription rates at discharge and at the time of the follow-up interview. The prescription rate of antiplatelets, ACE inhibitors/sartans and lipid lowering drugs decreased (all p < 0.001), whereas the prescription rate of beta-blockers (p = 0.08), calcium antagonists (p = 0.72), diuretics (p = 0.74), anticoagulants (p = 0.074), and antidiabetic drugs (p = 0.69) did not change significantly.

DISCUSSION

Recruiting coronary patients hospitalised in all city hospitals with departments of cardiology, we were able to show no major sex- or age-related bias in the quality of medical care in secondary prevention of CAD. We used goals from the ESC 2003 guidelines [28] as cut-off values because we recruited subjects hospitalised in 2005–2006, whereas the

follow-up interviews took place in 2006 and from January to March 2007 (thus prior to the publication of the ESC 2007 guidelines).

It is widely believed that women are on average ten years older at the time of developing cardiovascular disease. In our study population, the mean age of women was higher only by two years compared to men. Several factors could reduce this difference. Firstly, we recruited subjects after a first coronary event as well as subjects who had experienced a recurrent event. It can be assumed that a sex-based difference in age is much smaller in patients with a long history of CAD. Secondly, we excluded all patients aged over 80. As the majority of CAD patients aged 80 years or more are females, this criterion could indeed reduce the difference in the mean age between sexes. Thirdly, due to an increased number of co-morbidities, older patients are less likely to undergo CABG. Indeed, relatively fewer women were in the CABG group. Fourthly, the difference in the mean age at the time of the first manifestation of cardiovascular disease is now decreasing, as has been shown recently [29].

The common belief that women are underdiagnosed and undertreated may be due to atypical early symptoms, often ambiguous diagnostic test results, and the widespread belief that cardiovascular disease occurs more frequently in men [30, 31]. The results of the present study suggest that the sex-based bias in secondary prevention is not a major problem in departments of cardiology in the city where the study was done. We found no significant difference in the prescription rates of cardiovascular medications between women and men, with the exception of diuretics and calcium antagonists, which were prescribed more often to women. Indeed, the most interesting finding of the present analysis is that women with CAD were prescribed certain cardiovascular drugs more frequently than their male counterparts. This may be due to a higher proportion of women with severe hypertension. It is widely accepted that older females have hypertension more frequently, and that the course of hypertension is more serious in elderly females compared to males [32]. Unfortunately, we have no data on the number of patients with 1st, 2nd, or 3rd grade hypertension. In the past, numerous studies have been published indicating a somewhat lower probability of being prescribed cardiovascular drugs among women with IHD [2, 9, 33-35]. No major influence of sex was also reported [36, 37]. Recently, Dallongeville et al. [38] studied data from 22 European countries and showed results similar to ours on the prescription rates of calcium antagonists and diuretics in men and women. Our present results are in accordance with the data from the Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease which were obtained several years earlier [39].

Our results confirm that in recent decades there has been a significant improvement in the approach to secondary prevention of IHD in women. It seems that nowadays there is no significant sex-based difference in cardiovascular prevention. As hospitals from only one university city took part in the survey, the existence of a sex-based bias in secondary prevention in other regions of the country cannot be ruled out. It should also be stressed that control of the most important risk factors is insufficient in both men and women, and that the improvement in this area, if detectable, is very slow [24, 26, 40]. It is important to make further progress in the implementation of secondary prevention in everyday practice [41].

Previously published studies have indicated that the quality of medical care is related to the patients' age and that the prescription rates of cardioprotective drugs and that the control of risk factors is lower in aged subjects [21-23]. Our results show that in the city where the survey was carried out, age does not influence the quality of medical care in secondary prevention: rates of hyperglycaemia, high cholesterol level and obesity were similar across all age groups. On the other hand, age was related to the control of hypertension, but this can probably be explained by the increasing prevalence of hypertension with age as well as by more severe forms of hypertension and the difficulties of treating high blood pressure that are specific to elderly patients, rather than by a lower quality of medical care. Indeed, the prescription rates of diuretics were even higher in older participants compared to subjects below 60 years of age. This finding is consistent with the current guidelines [1].

We did not find any significant relation between age or sex and the prescription rate of antiplatelets, beta-blockers, drugs interfering with the renin—angiotensin system or lipid-lowering drugs. Indeed, our results suggest that the prescription rates of cardiovascular drugs with the biggest number of scientific proofs showing their beneficial effect on survival in CAD patients are not influenced by the patients' age or sex.

We found the control of hypercholesterolaemia to be much better compared to the high risk subgroup of the 3ST-POL study population [42]. However, important differences between the studies should be underlined. Śliż et al. [42] analysed ambulatory patients, whereas we recruited subjects hospitalised due to CAD. Indeed, the quality of the hypercholesterolaemia management during hospitalisation is the most important factor related to lipid management in the post-discharge period [43]. Moreover, Śliż et al. [42] defined high risk patients as those who have CAD, diabetes or SCORE ≥ 5, whereas we analysed only coronary patients.

Limitations of the study

Our study does have some limitations. The first is that we were not able to assess the risk of cardiovascular complications. Second, there may have been some unrecognised differences in the subgroups handled by particular hospitals or across diagnostic groups which might have influenced the approach to secondary prevention. Third, groups analysed in the study were not representative of all CAD patients as they

were limited to patients who were hospitalised for acute CAD events or for revascularisation procedures. Therefore, it should be stressed that our results should not be directly applied to coronary patients who do not have a history of hospitalisation due to IHD or to those living in other parts of Poland.

CONCLUSIONS

We found no major sex-related difference in achieving the recommended goals in secondary prevention, whereas age was related to a lower prevalence of smoking and a higher probability of having high blood pressure in subjects after hospitalisation due to CAD.

The study was supported by a grant from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education No 2 pO5D 008 30.

Conflict of interest: none declared

References

- Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012): the Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2012; 19: 585–667.
- Anand SS, Xie CC, Mehta S et al. Differences in the management and prognosis of women and men who suffer from acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol, 2005; 46: 1845–1851.
- Velders MA, Boden H, van Boven AJ et al. Influence of Gender on Ischemic Times and Outcomes After ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol, 2013; 111: 312–318.
- Janion M, Polewczyk A, Sielski J et al. Odmienności przebiegu choroby niedokrwiennej serca u kobiet. Kardiol Pol, 2006; 64: 628–636.
- Roeters van Lennep JE, Zwinderman AH et al. Gender differences in diagnosis and treatment of coronary artery disease from 1981 to 1997. No evidence for the Yentl syndrome. Eur Heart J, 2000; 21: 911–918.
- Sadowski M, Gąsior M, Gierlotka M et al. Gender-related differences in mortality after ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a large multicentre national registry. EuroIntervention, 2011; 6: 1068–1072.
- Nauta ST, Deckers JW, van Domburg RT et al. Sex-related trends in mortality in hospitalized men and women after myocardial infarction between 1985 and 2008: equal benefit for women and men. Circulation, 2012; 126: 2184–2189.
- Daly CA, De Stavola B, Sendon JL et al. Predicting prognosis instable angina: results from the Euro heart survey of stable angina: prospective observational study. BMJ, 2006; 32: 262–267.
- Daly C, Klemens F, Sendon JL et al. Gender differences in the management and clinical outcome of stable angina. Circulation, 2006; 113: 490–498.
- Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Czarnecka D et al. Gender does not influence event-free survival in patients with ischaemic heart disease undergoing non-emergency coronary angiography. A single centre analysis. Kardiol Pol, 2007; 65: 475–484.
- Lahoz C, Mantilla T, Taboada M et al. Gender differences in evidence-based pharmacological therapy for patients with stable coronary heart disease. Int J Cardiol, 2009; 133: 336–340.
- 12. Dallongevillle J, De Bacquer D, Heidrich J et al. Gender differences in the implementation of cardiovascular prevention measures after an acute coronary event. Heart, 2010; 96: 1744–1749.
- Schmidt M, Jacobsen JB, Lash TL et al. 25 year trends in first time hospitalisation for acute myocardial infarction, subsequent

- short and long term mortality, and the prognostic impact of sex and comorbidity: a Danish nationwide cohort study. BMJ, 2012; 344: e356.
- Steg PG, Greenlaw N, Tardif JC et al. Women and men with stable coronary artery disease have similar clinical outcomes: insights from the international prospective CLARIFY registry. Eur Heart J, 2012; 33: 2831–2840.
- Gierlotka M, Gąsior M, Wilczek K et al. Temporal Trends in the Treatment and Outcomes of Patients With Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction in Poland from 2004–2010 (from the Polish Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes). Am J Cardiol, 2012; 109: 779–786.
- 16. Jackson EA, Moscucci M, Smith DE et al. The association of sex with outcomes among patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction in the contemporary era: Insights from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium (BMC2). Am Heart J, 2011; 161: 106–112.
- 17. Jackson EA, Moscucci M, Smith DE et al. The association of sex with outcomes among patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction in the contemporary era: Insights from the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium (BMC2). Am Heart J, 2011; 161: 106–112.
- Sadowski M, Gąsior M, Gierlotka M et al. Gender-related differences in mortality after ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a large multicentre national registry. EuroIntervention, 2011; 6: 1068–1072.
- Szygula-Jurkiewicz B, Wilczek K, Zembala M et al. Obserwacja 6-miesięczna i czynniki ryzyka zgonu u 458 chorych z ostrymi zespołami wieńcowymi bez przetrwałego uniesienia odcinka ST poddanych wczesnej strategii inwazyjnej. Pol Arch Med Wewn, 2004: 112: 1459–1466.
- Mumma BE, Baumann BM, Diercks DB et al. Sex bias in cardiovascular testing: the contribution of patient preference. Ann Emerg Med, 2011; 57: 551–560.
- Ferrari R, Abergel H, Ford I et al. Gender- and age-related differences in clinical presentation and management of outpatients with stable coronary artery disease. Int J Cardiol, 2013; 167: 2938–2943.
- Salomaa V, Pääkkönen R, Hämäläinen H et al. Use of secondary preventive medications after the first attack of acute coronary syndrome.
 Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil, 2007; 14: 386–391.
- Tjia J, Briesacher B, Xie D et al. Disparities in combination drug therapy use in older adults with coronary heart disease: a cross-sectional time-series in a nationally representative US sample. Drugs Aging, 2010; 27: 149–158.
- Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Pająk A et al. Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischemic Heart Disease. Secondary prevention of ischemic heart disease after discharge in 1997–1998 and 1999–2000. Przegl Lek, 2003; 60: 1424–1426.
- 25. Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Pająk A et al. Secondary prevention of coronary artery disease in hospital practice over the decade 1996–2006. Results of Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease and Polish parts of EuroASPIRE II and EuroASPIRE III surveys. Kardiol Pol, 2009; 67: 970–977.
- Pająk A, Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K et al. Changes in secondary
 prevention of coronary artery disease in the post-discharge period
 over the decade 1997–2007. Results of the Cracovian Program for
 Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease and Polish parts of
 the EUROASPIRE II and III surveys. Kardiol Pol, 2009; 67: 1353–1359.
- Kotseva K, Wood D, De Backer GD et al. (The EUROASPIRE Study Group). EUROASPIRE III: a survey on the lifestyle, risk factors and use of cardioprotective drug therapies in coronary patients from 22 European countries. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil, 2009; 16: 121–137.
- De Backer G, Ambrosioni E, Borch-Johnsen K et al. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: third

- joint task force of European and other societies on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil, 2003: 10: S1–S10.
- Puymirat E, Simon T, Steg PG et al. Association of changes in clinical characteristics and management with improvement in survival among patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. JAMA, 2012; 308: 998–1006.
- Arslanian-Engoren C, Patel A et al. Symptoms of men and women presenting with acute coronary syndromes. Am J Cardiol, 2006; 98: 1177–1181.
- Piotrowski W, Polakowska M, Koziarek J et al. Sudden cardiovascular death rate and ischaemic heart disease death rate changes during the 5-year period of 2003–2008. Kardiol Pol. 2012; 70: 1225–1234.
- Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Pośnik-Urbańska A, Jankowski P. Rozpowszechnienie nadciśnienia tętniczego w zależności od płci w świetle badań epidemiologicznych w Polsce. Nad Tęt, 2007: 11: 377–383.
- Reibis RK, Bestehorn K, Pittrow D et al. Elevated risk profile of women in secondary prevention of coronary artery disease: a 6-year survey of 117,913 patients. J Womens Health (Larchmt), 2009; 18: 1123–1131.
- Janion M. Myocardial infarction in women. Gender-related differences in clinical course and 6 year long term follow-up. Kardiol Pol, 1999: 51: 305–314.
- Opotowsky AR, McWilliams JM, Cannon CP. Gender differences in aspirin use among adults with coronary heart disease in the United States. J Gen Intern Med, 2007; 22: 55–61.

- Ben-Ami T, Gilutz H, Porath A et al. No gender difference in the clinical management and outcome of unstable angina. Isr Med Assoc J, 2005; 7: 228–232.
- Elkoustaf RA, Mamkin I, Mather JF et al. Comparison of results of percutaneous coronary intervention for non-ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina pectoris in men versus women. Am J Cardiol, 2006; 98: 182–186.
- Dallongeville J, De Bacquer D, Heidrich J et al. Gender differences in the implementation of cardiovascular prevention measures after an acute coronary event. Heart, 2010; 96: 1744–1749.
- Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Jankowski P, Pająk A et al. Wtórna prewencja choroby niedokrwiennej serca u kobiet. Krakowski Program Wtórnej Prewencji Choroby Niedokrwiennej Serca. Folia Cardiol, 2001; 8: D61–D67.
- Starczewska ME, Pietrasik A, Główczyńska R. Prewencja chorób układu sercowo-naczyniowego w podstawowej opiece zdrowotnej: punkt widzenia lekarza domowego. Przew Lek, 2005; 6: 40–46.
- Jankowski P. Czy jakość opieki medycznej nad osobami z niewydolnością serca można poprawić? Kardiol Pol, 2012; 70: 1009.
- Śliż D, Filipiak KJ, Naruszewicz M et al. Standards of statin usage in Poland in high-risk patients: 3ST-POL study results. Kardiol Pol, 2013: 71: 253–259.
- Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Jankowski P, Pająk A. Determinants of appropriate lipid management in patients with ischaemic heart disease. Cracovian Program for Secondary Prevention of Ischaemic Heart Disease. Int J Cardiol, 2003; 91: 15–23.

Wiek, płeć a wtórna prewencja choroby niedokrwiennej serca w codziennej praktyce

Piotr Jankowski¹, Danuta Czarnecka¹, Renata Wolfshaut-Wolak², Radosław Łysek², Anna Łukaszewska¹, Sławomir Surowiec¹, Magdalena Loster¹, Piotr Bogacki³, Ewa Bryniarska-Mirek⁴, Janusz Grodecki⁵, Jadwiga Nessler⁶, Piotr Podolec⁷, Kalina Kawecka-Jaszcz¹, Andrzej Pajak²

¹I Klinika Kardiologii i Elektrokardiologii Interwencyjnej oraz Nadciśnienia Tętniczego, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, *Collegium Medicum*, Kraków ²Zakład Epidemiologii i Badań Populacyjnych, Instytut Zdrowia Publicznego, Wydział Nauk o Zdrowiu, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, *Collegium Medicum*, Kraków

³Oddział Kardiologii, Szpital Specjalistyczny im. L. Rydygiera, Kraków

⁴Oddział Kardiologii, Szpital Specjalistyczny im. J. Dietla, Kraków

⁵Klinika Kardiologii, Szpital im. G. Narutowicza, Kraków

⁶Klinika Choroby Wieńcowej, Instytut Kardiologii, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Collegium Medicum, Kraków

⁷Klinika Chorób Serca i Naczyń, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, *Collegium Medicum*, Kraków

Streszczenie

Wstęp: W ostatnich latach opublikowano wiele analiz, w których oceniano związek między wiekiem i płcią pacjenta a jakością opieki medycznej u osób z chorobą niedokrwienną serca. Jednak wyniki badań nie są zgodne. Część wskazuje, że różnica zależna od płci jest spowodowana bardziej zaawansowanym wiekiem i innym profilem czynników ryzyka kobiet w porównaniu z mężczyznami.

Cel: Celem pracy była ocena wpływu wieku i płci na częstość stosowania leków o działaniu kardioprotekcyjnym oraz na częstość kontroli głównych czynników ryzyka u pacjentów po hospitalizacji z powodu ostrego zespołu wieńcowego (OZW) lub w celu rewaskularyzacji mięśnia sercowego.

Metody: Do badania kwalifikowano kolejnych pacjentów w wieku ≤ 80 lat hospitalizowanych na 5 oddziałach kardiologicznych krakowskich szpitali od 1 kwietnia 2005 r. do 31 lipca 2006 r. z powodu OZW lub w celu rewaskularyzacji mięśnia sercowego. Osoby te zostały zaproszone do badania w okresie 6–18 miesięcy po wypisie ze szpitala. Na podstawie dokumentacji szpitalnej oceniono częstość zalecania przy wypisie ze szpitala podstawowych grup leków o działaniu kardioprotekcyjnym, a także częstość ich stosowania i kontrolę głównych czynników ryzyka w 6–18 miesięcy po wypisie.

Wyniki: Do badania zakwalifikowano 640 pacjentów, a do badania w 6–18 miesięcy po wypisie ze szpitala zgłosiło się 513 (80,2%) osób. Kobiety były starsze niż mężczyźni (62,4 \pm 8,9 vs. 60,5 \pm 8,9 roku; p < 0,05). Płeć żeńska wiązała się z większym prawdopodobieństwem zalecenia leku z grupy antagonistów wapnia przy wypisie ze szpitala [iloraz szans (OR) 2,22; 95% przedziały ufności (Cl) 1,48–3,35], natomiast wiek z częstością zalecania antagonistów wapnia (≥ 60 vs. < 60 lat; OR 2,14; 95% Cl 1,36–3,38) i diuretyków (≥ 70 vs. < 60 lat; OR 1,51; 95% Cl 1,25–1,90). Z kolei w 6–18 miesięcy po hospitalizacji płeć wiązała się z częstością stosowania antagonistów wapnia (OR 2,13; 95% Cl 1,34–3,39) oraz diuretyków (OR 1,52; 95% Cl 1,00–2,31), natomiast wiek jedynie z częstością stosowania diuretyków (≥ 70 vs. < 60 lat; OR 1,61; 95% Cl 1,19–2,20). Częstość stosowania leków przeciwpłytkowych, leków beta-adrenolitycznych, inhibitorów enzymu konwertującego angiotensynę lub sartanów, leków hipolipemizujących i antykoagulantów nie wiązała się z wiekiem lub płcią. Płeć nie była istotnie związana z częstością właściwej kontroli czynników ryzyka, natomiast wiek korelował z częstością niepalenia (≥ 70 vs. < 60 lat; OR 3,88; 95% Cl 2,25–6,68) i częstością ciśnienia tętniczego < 140/90 mm Hg (≥ 70 vs. < 60 lat; OR 0,76; 95% Cl 0,59–0,98).

Wnioski: W grupie osób po hospitalizacji z powodu OZW lub w celu rewaskularyzacji mięśnia sercowego nie stwierdzono zależnych od płci istotnych różnic w jakości opieki medycznej w zakresie wtórnej prewencji choroby niedokrwiennej serca. Wiek korelował z rzadszym paleniem tytoniu i gorszą kontrolą ciśnienia tętniczego, co może się wiązać z częstszym występowaniem umiarkowanego i ciężkiego nadciśnienia w starszych grupach wiekowych.

Słowa kluczowe: choroba niedokrwienna serca, czynniki ryzyka, wtórna prewencja, wiek, płeć

Kardiol Pol 2013; 71, 12: 1251-1259