



ELSEVIER



Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 11 (2019) 784–786

Alzheimer's
&
Dementia

Special Section: Blood-based biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease and related dementias

Blood-based biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease and related dementias: Keys to success and things to consider

During the last two decades, considerable progress has been made in the field of fluid and imaging biomarkers for neurodegenerative dementias. As a result, the most recent research and clinical guidelines (the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association, International Working Group 2, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence) incorporate cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and positron emission tomography (PET) biomarkers in the diagnostic criteria of dementia and mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease (AD) [1–3]. However, as both CSF and amyloid PET examinations require expert knowledge and are of limited availability outside specialized memory clinics, there is no doubt that blood tests would be much easier to implement in clinical medicine and as screening tools when recruiting patients for clinical trials.

However, there are several issues, both biological and technical, with the measurement of biomarkers for neurodegenerative dementias in blood. First, a biomarker that has its origin in the central nervous system (CNS) has to cross the blood-brain barrier to be detected in the periphery, and if the concentration in CSF is low, it will be even lower in the blood. Second, if the biomarker is not specific for the CNS but also expressed in peripheral tissues, the contribution from the CNS will potentially be hard to detect, given the high biological background caused by non-CNS sources. Third, the broad dynamic range of the plasma proteome, which is dominated by plasma proteins, such as albumin and immunoglobulins, with only minute amounts of CNS-derived proteins, presents an analytical challenge [4]. Fourth, heterophilic antibodies may be present in blood, which may interfere in immunoassays [5]. Fifth, the analyte of interest may undergo proteolytic degradation by various proteases in plasma [6]. Sixth, clearance of the biomarker by the liver or the kidneys, diurnal variation, and plasma volume changes may introduce significant variability.

In spite of all these challenges, there has been considerable progress in the field. Ultrasensitive high-precision assays that allow for the accurate determination of a ratio of 42 to 40 amino acid-long amyloid β ($A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$) can now detect cerebral β -amyloidosis (determined by amyloid PET) with 70–90% diagnostic accuracy [7–11], which is almost as good as the corresponding CSF test [12]. Serum or plasma neurofilament light (NFL) is emerging as a reliable biomarker for neurodegeneration and neuronal injury, irrespective of underlying cause [13]. Promising results also exist for plasma p-tau, measured using a sensitive immunoassay with electrochemiluminescence detection [14]. Several large replication studies, showing robust correlations of plasma p-tau concentration with CSF p-tau and amyloid PET results, were presented during the Alzheimer's Association International Conference 2019 with publications in preparation. Promising results have also been published in regards to multimarker plasma proteomic profiles that may be used to detect cerebral β -amyloidosis in AD [15].

How come this field has developed in such an unexpectedly good way? The most important explanation is probably improved analytical sensitivity and specificity of the biomarker assays. Recent technological breakthroughs now allow for biomarker measurements in the subfemtomolar concentration range. This means that small amounts of CNS-derived proteins can be isolated and quantified from the complex blood matrix in a reliable manner. The matrix can also be diluted to remove some of the interfering factors described previously. Much more attention has also been paid to preanalytical sample handling, and consensus protocols regarding this have been published [16,17]. Finally, it is essential to remember that modern biomarker research is now performed on much more well-characterized cohorts than only 5–10 years ago. The reference standard used to classify study participants nowadays often includes, in addition to careful clinical examination, advanced neuroimaging and molecular markers of AD pathology. Researchers are increasingly making sure that their control group is amyloid free, whereas the AD group is amyloid positive using amyloid PET or the CSF $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ ratio. In addition, from a basic technical point of view, many of the blood tests now

Disclosures: HZ has served at scientific advisory boards for Wave, Samumed, CogRx and Roche Diagnostics, has given lectures in symposia sponsored by Biogen and AlzeCure, and is a co-founder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB, a GU Ventures-based platform company at the University of Gothenburg.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2019.10.001>

2352-8729/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

contain blockers of heterophilic antibodies. From both a research and a clinical standpoint, the variation of many candidate blood biomarkers for neurodegenerative dementias is also carefully examined now, taking into account kidney and liver function, body constitution, and diurnal variation.

This special issue of *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring* is a follow-up on the article series on blood biomarkers for AD published 3 years ago [18]. The rationale to develop another special issue on this particular topic stems from the enormous research intensity in the field. In this special issue, we present the reader with articles on single and multiplexed biomarkers targeting different neurodegenerative pathologies, including traumatic brain injury and dementia with Lewy bodies. Although the majority of the manuscripts in this issue are reflecting on tau- and A β -related processes, we also include novel compelling findings focused on complement proteins and work reflecting fields of lipidomics and metabolomics.

We have every reason to believe that the blood-based biomarker toolbox will undergo further expansion during the coming years and move toward clinical implementation. There is a lot more work to be performed, however, particularly regarding biomarkers for non-AD neurodegenerative diseases. We anticipate seeing such markers emerging during the coming years, and hopefully, these will facilitate drug development and allow for efficient drug selection and dose finding, once we have disease-modifying drugs to prescribe.

Henrik Zetterberg^{a,b,c,d,*}

Liana G. Apostolova^{e,f,g,h}

Peter J. Snyderⁱ

^aDepartment of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry
Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology
The Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg
Mölndal, Sweden

^bClinical Neurochemistry Laboratory
Sahlgrenska University Hospital
Mölndal, Sweden

^cDepartment of Neurodegenerative Disease
UCL Institute of Neurology
London, United Kingdom

^dUK Dementia Research Institute at UCL
London, United Kingdom

^eDepartment of Neurology
Indiana University School of Medicine
Indianapolis, IN, USA

^fDepartment of Radiology and Imaging Sciences
Center for Neuroimaging
Indiana University School of Medicine
Indianapolis, IN, USA

^gDepartment of Medical and Molecular Genetics
Indiana University School of Medicine
Indianapolis, IN, USA

^hIndiana Alzheimer Disease Center
Indiana University School of Medicine
Indianapolis, IN, USA

ⁱRyan Institute for Neuroscience
University of Rhode Island
Kingston, RI, USA

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 31 3430025; Fax: +46 31 419289.

E-mail address: henrik.zetterberg@clinchem.gu.se

References

- [1] Jack CR Jr, Bennett DA, Blennow K, Carrillo MC, Dunn B, Haeberlein SB, et al. NIA-AA Research Framework: toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018; 14:535–62.
- [2] Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, Hampel H, Molinuevo JL, Blennow K, et al. Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:614–29.
- [3] NICE guideline [NG97]. Dementia: assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. London, UK: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2018.
- [4] Apweiler R, Aslanidis C, Deufel T, Gerstner A, Hansen J, Hochstrasser D, et al. Approaching clinical proteomics: current state and future fields of application in fluid proteomics. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2009;47:724–44.
- [5] Bolstad N, Warren DJ, Nustad K. Heterophilic antibody interference in immunometric assays. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; 27:647–61.
- [6] Yoshimura T, Fujita K, Kawakami S, Takeda K, Chan S, Beligere G, et al. Stability of pro-gastrin-releasing peptide in serum versus plasma. *Tumour Biol* 2008;29:224–30.
- [7] Janelidze S, Stomrud E, Palmqvist S, Zetterberg H, van Westen D, Jeromin A, et al. Plasma beta-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease and vascular disease. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:26801.
- [8] Ovod V, Ramsey KN, Mawuenyega KG, Bollinger JG, Hicks T, Schneider T, et al. Amyloid beta concentrations and stable isotope labeling kinetics of human plasma specific to central nervous system amyloidosis. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017;13:841–9.
- [9] Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villemagne VL, Kato T, Doecke J, Dore V, et al. High performance plasma amyloid-beta biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 2018;554:249–54.
- [10] Palmqvist S, Janelidze S, Stomrud E, Zetterberg H, Karl J, Zink K, et al. Performance of fully automated plasma assays as screening tests for Alzheimer disease-related beta-amyloid status. *JAMA Neurol* 2019; <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1632> [Epub ahead of print].
- [11] Schindler SE, Bollinger JG, Ovod V, Mawuenyega KG, Li Y, Gordon BA, et al. High-precision plasma beta-amyloid 42/40 predicts current and future brain amyloidosis. *Neurology* 2019; <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000008081> [Epub ahead of print].
- [12] Janelidze S, Pannee J, Mikulskis A, Chiao P, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, et al. Concordance between different amyloid immunoassays and visual amyloid positron emission tomographic assessment. *JAMA Neurol* 2017;74:1492–501.
- [13] Gaetani L, Blennow K, Calabresi P, Di Filippo M, Parnetti L, Zetterberg H. Neurofilament light chain as a biomarker in neurological disorders. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2019;90:870–81.
- [14] Mielke MM, Hagen CE, Xu J, Chai X, Vemuri P, Lowe VJ, et al. Plasma phospho-tau181 increases with Alzheimer's disease clinical

- severity and is associated with tau- and amyloid-positron emission tomography. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018;14:989–97.
- [15] Burnham SC, Rowe CC, Baker D, Bush AI, Doecker JD, Faux NG, et al. Predicting Alzheimer disease from a blood-based biomarker profile: a 54-month follow-up. *Neurology* 2016;87:1093–101.
- [16] O'Bryant SE, Gupta V, Henriksen K, Edwards M, Jeromin A, Lista S, et al. Guidelines for the standardization of preanalytic variables for blood-based biomarker studies in Alzheimer's disease research. *Alzheimers Dement* 2015;11:549–60.
- [17] Rozga M, Bittner T, Batrla R, Karl J. Preanalytical sample handling recommendations for Alzheimer's disease plasma biomarkers. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2019;11:291–300.
- [18] O'Bryant SE. Introduction to special issue on Advances in blood-based biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2016;3:110–2.