



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Am J Public Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Am J Public Health. 2018 September ; 108(9): e3–e4. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2018.304579.

FLYNN ET AL. RESPOND

Michael A. Flynn, MA,

Occupational Health Equity Program, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Cincinnati, OH.

Thomas R. Cunningham, PhD,

Training Research and Evaluation Branch, Education and Information Division, NIOSH.

Emily Q. Ahonen, PhD, MPH, and

Departments of Environmental Health Science and Social and Behavioral Sciences, Richard M. Fairbanks School of Public Health, Indiana University, Indianapolis.

Kaori Fujishiro, PhD

Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies, NIOSH.

We would like to thank Wegman and Davis for their response to our article and congratulate them and their colleagues on the recent report from the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine: *A Smarter National Surveillance System for Occupational Safety and Health in the 21st Century* (NAS report).¹ We share Wegman and Davis's optimism that this moment offers an opportunity for developing systems that generate better, more comprehensive data to improve our understanding of the social determinants that drive health inequities and empower society to eliminate health inequities. Whether arguing for a greater recognition for the influence of work on population health or a greater accounting for the role social structures play in health inequalities manifest in the workplace, the need for a more inclusive, social determinant approach to health inequity² is a central theme of both documents.

One way social determinants are rendered invisible is that relevant data are simply not collected. Including work-related items in public health data collection instruments and ensuring occupational safety and health (OSH) surveillance systems capture additional sociodemographic variables are essential steps to integrating a social determinants of health approach into health inequity research. The NAS report emphasizes the need to better account for race and ethnicity as well as employment arrangement in OSH systems. Two additional variables that are mentioned but warrant more attention are nativity and business size, as both are associated with elevated rates of occupational injury.³ These two variables, together with race/ethnicity and work arrangement, should be designated as core variables collected in OSH surveillance systems. Research should also explore how the combination and interaction of these risk factors contribute to increased vulnerability.⁴

Correspondence should be sent to Emily Q. Ahonen, Department of Environmental Health Science and Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Richard M. Fairbanks School of Public Health, Indiana University, 1050 Wishard Blvd (RG), Indianapolis, IN 46202 (eqahonen@iu.edu). Reprints can be ordered at <http://www.ajph.org> by clicking the "Reprints" link.

CONTRIBUTORS

M. A. Flynn drafted the letter. T. R. Cunningham, E. Q. Ahonen, and K. Fujishiro provided input.

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health work with the Mexican consulates⁵ and business associations⁶ demonstrates how partnerships with nontraditional stakeholders are essential to promoting health equity. The proposed flexible “systems of systems” approach¹ highlights minimally invasive ways to use existing data collection systems of partner organizations to gather better, more in-depth data on individuals from underrepresented groups. Research findings on social determinants of health inequity can lead to greater use and further integration of health equity concerns into the policies and practices of these stakeholders. Engaging nontraditional partners also provides an opportunity to educate them on the importance of OSH and the relationship between work and health. These efforts can lead to innovative approaches that capitalize on the existing infrastructure, programs, and resources to promote health equity.⁷

Acknowledgments

Note. The findings and conclusions in this letter are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

REFERENCES

1. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. A Smarter National Surveillance System for Occupational Safety and Health in the 21st Century. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2018.
2. Closing the Gap in a Generation: Health Equity Through Action on the Social Determinants of Health—Final Report. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2008.
3. Cunningham TR, Guerin RJ, Keller BM, Flynn MA, Salgado C, Hudson D. Differences in safety training among smaller and larger construction firms with nonnative workers: evidence of overlapping vulnerabilities. *Saf Sci.* 2018;103:62–69. [PubMed: 29375194]
4. US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; American Society of Safety Engineers Overlapping Vulnerabilities: The Occupational Safety and Health of Young Workers in Small Construction Firms. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2015.
5. Flynn MA, Check P, Eggerth DE, Tonda J. Improving occupational safety and health among Mexican immigrant workers: a bi-national collaboration. *Public Health Rep.* 2013;128(suppl 3):33–38.
6. Cunningham TR, Sinclair R. Application of a model for delivering occupational safety and health to smaller businesses: case studies from the US. *Saf Sci.* 2015;71(100): 213–225. [PubMed: 26300585]
7. Flynn MA, Wickramage K. Leveraging the domain of work to improve migrant health. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14(10):E1248. [PubMed: 29048386]