Implementation of advanced practice nursing for orthopedic patients in the emergency care context – a study protocol for outcome studies

#### Running head: ANP in emergency care

Erika BOMAN, PhD, RNT, Senior lecturer<sup>1,2,3</sup> Elisabeth DUVALAND, RN, ICN<sup>4</sup> Kim GAARDE, RN<sup>4</sup> Alison LEARY, PhD, RN, Professor<sup>1,5</sup> Lisbeth FAGERSTRÖM, PhD, RN, Professor<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing and Health Sciences, University of South-Eastern

Norway, Drammen, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Department of Nursing, Åland University of Applied Sciences, Mariehamn, Finland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Nursing, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Drammen Hospital, Vestre Viken HF, Drammen, Norway

<sup>5</sup>School of Health and Social Care, London South Bank University, London, UK

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Education and Welfare Studies, Åbo Akademi University, Vaasa,

Finland

Corresponding author: Erika Boman, Neptunigatan 17, 22100 Mariehamn, Åland, Finland. E-mail: erika.boman@ha.ax. Phone: +358 18 537783

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review (not applicable for Editorials) but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/jan.14299</u>

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

DR ERIKA BOMAN (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-3989-609X)

Article type : Protocol

# ABSTRACT

**Aim.** To evaluate the implementation of advanced practice nursing in emergency care in Norway for patients with orthopedic injuries, including hip fractures. The outcomes relate to quality of care and patient trust.

**Design.** A non-inferiority study comparing an advanced practice nursing care model with a standard (physician-led) care model.

**Methods.** Data will be collected from patient records and through the Patient Trust Questionnaire, completed by patients. The data will be analysed by descriptive and inferential statistics. Funding for the research was granted in 2015 and the regional ethical committee approved the current study in February 2019.

**Discussion.** In Norway and the other Nordic countries, advanced practice nursing is still in its infancy, especially in the emergency care context. This study will evaluate advanced practice nursing in this new context.

**Impact.** The study will add to knowledge on the quality of care provided for orthopedic patients with minor orthopedic injuries or hip fractures as delivered by advanced practice nurses and physicians, respectively. It will also evaluate how well advanced practice nursing is accepted by patients in this new context.

**Key words:** advanced practice nursing, emergency care, hip fractures, minor injuries, nurse practitioner, outcome, protocol

## INTRODUCTION

Emergency department (ED) presentations are increasing (Bjornsen, Uleberg, & Dale, 2013; Tang, Stein, Hsia, Maselli, & Gonzales, 2010) and overcrowding is a common problem (Velt et al., 2018). One approach used to increase ED throughput is the introduction of clinical pathways for specific patient groups (Eriksson, Kelly-Pettersson, Stark, Ekman, & Skoldenberg, 2012; Wireklint Sundstrom et al., 2014). Another is the implementation of advanced practice nursing (APN), i.e., ED throughput can be increased by expanding nursing roles and the scope of nursing practice (Elder, Johnston, & Crilly, 2015).

APN involves, "nurses working in advanced roles beyond the traditional registered nurses' (RN) scope-of-practice, after additional training" (Maier & Aiken, 2016). In previous research, researchers have found advanced practice nursing to be as good as or, in some cases, even better than physician-led care (Cooper, Lindsay, Kinn, & Swann, 2002; Roche, Gardner, & Jack, 2017; Wilson, Zwart, Everett, & Kernick, 2009). Nurse-led care has been shown to have a positive impact on wait times (Hiza, Gottschalk, Umpierrez, Bush, & Reisman, 2015; Jennings, Clifford, Fox, O'Connell, & Gardner, 2015), patient satisfaction (Cooper et al., 2002; Jennings et al., 2015; Martinez-Gonzalez et al., 2014) and quality of care (Jennings et al., 2015; Martinez-Gonzalez et al., 2014).

In Norway, the physician-patient ratio is estimated to be higher than the RN-patient ratio, a situation that differs from many other countries also affected by physician shortages (Forsetlund, Vist, Dalsbø, et al., 2013). The Norwegian Government has approved the introduction of APN (Ministry of Health and Care Services, 2009), seen in both the education and health care systems (Henni, Kirkevold, Antypas & Foss, 2018). Still, to date, research on APN in the Norwegian healthcare system is scarce, due to its recent introduction. This study will add to knowledge on the quality of care provided by advanced practice nurses in comparison to physicians for orthopedic patients with hip fractures or minor orthopedic injuries, i.e. injuries and/or closed fractures in the elbow and/or distal of elbow and/or distal of the knee (listed in Appendix 1). During the study we will also explore how well patients accept APN in the above context by studying patient trust. The results are expected to be generalizable and add knowledge on APN in emergency care in an international perspective.

#### Background

Researchers have found that APN, "extends the traditional scope of nursing, involves highly autonomous practice, maximizes the use of nursing knowledge and contributes to the development of the profession" (Bryant-Lukosius, Dicenso, Browne, & Pinelli, 2004, p. 521). In Norway, APN can be undertaken by advanced practice nurses or nurse practitioners (NPs). It is recommended that these nurses as such have added skills and knowledge derived from clinical experience and post-basic education at the Master's level (International Council of Nurses, n.d.). There are other nursing roles that include some elements of the advanced scope of practice, but not on the same level as NPs or advanced practice nurses (Maier, Aiken, & Busse, 2017). APN can involve task-shifting, i.e., nurses performing activities that are traditionally performed by the medical profession (Maier, Aiken, & Busse, 2017). In Norway, the first NP educational program started in 2011 and there are now five NP Master's level programs throughout the country. In these programs, there is a focus on acute- , emergency-, geriatric- and family care (Henni, Kirkevold, Antypas, & Foss, 2018). In praxis there are examples of other advanced nursing roles where advanced nursing is applied in a specific area of practice, e.g., the orthopedic field.

#### Patients with minor injuries in the emergency care context

It is estimated that about 15% of the patients presenting to the ED in Norway are non-urgent patients (Bjornsen et al., 2013), a ratio that corresponds to recent figures from the United Kingdom (O'Keeffe, Mason, Jacques, & Nicholl, 2018). In international literature, however, considerable variability in the reporting of the proportions of non-urgent ED presentations are seen, ranging from about 5% to 90% (Durand et al., 2011). The relatively low proportion of non-urgent ED presentations in Norway may be explained by the current Norwegian health care system. General practitioners (*fastlege*) and urgent care centers (*legevakt*) have been given the key role of "gatekeepers" for specialist health services, including EDs (Ringard, Sagan, Sperre Saunes, & Lindahl, 2013). Urgent care centers in Norway provide a prehospital level of care and do not have full diagnostic resources. Therefore, if a patient is evaluated as needing further treatment, e.g., radiography services, he/she will be referred to specialist health services such as the ED in urgent cases. Previous research has shown that APN is convenient for non-urgent emergency care patients (Jennings, McKeown, O'Reilly, & Gardner, 2013; Li, Westbrook, Callen, Georgiou, & Braithwaite, 2013; van der Linden, Reijnen, & de Vos, 2010; Wilson et al., 2009) and one can therefore hypothesize that the implementation of APN roles in the Norwegian emergency care system will enhance the effectiveness of care.

Patient-centered communication styles positively influence patient outcomes, such as patient satisfaction, increased adherence to treatment plans and improved patient health (Charlton, Dearing, Berry, & Johnson, 2008). To gain patients' trust, caregiver's must be able to attend to patients' medical and psychosocial issues. It is therefore important that caregivers invite each patient to participate in the assessments and decisions concerning his/her own healthcare, in a credible manner and using everyday language (Wireklint Sundstrom & Dahlberg, 2011; Norberg Boysen, Nyström, Christensson, Herlitz, & Wireklint Sundström, 2017). Compared with physician-led care, researchers have found that patients experience nurses as being easier to talk to and as providing more information (Cooper et al., 2002).

When implementing APN in a new context and considering a potential redistribution of tasks, it is important to consider patients' perspectives and experiences. In respect to the Norwegian health care system, this is particularly important, because the NP role is new.

#### Patients with hip fractures in the emergency care context

Hip fractures are a common and major health problem among older people. Patients with hip fractures can be considered a vulnerable population, because such fractures are often associated with old age, frailty and comorbid diseases and many of these patients also suffer from cognitive impairment (Ranhoff, Holvik, Martinsen, Domaas, & Solheim, 2010). Researchers have found that hip fractures have a substantial impact on older peoples' abilities, function, quality of life and living situation; hip fracture survivors experience decreased mobility, independence in function, health and quality of life and higher rates of institutionalization (Dyer et al., 2016). Hip fractures have also been identified as a major cause of premature death (Panula et al., 2011; von Friesendorff et al., 2016).

The highest rates of hip fracture are found in Scandinavia (Cheng et al., 2011) and Norway has the highest reported incidence rate of hip fractures in the world (Dhanwal, Dennison, Harvey, & Cooper, 2011; International Osteoporosis Foundation, 2017). To ensure that patients with hip fractures receive the best possible care and to facilitate patients' discharge in a condition relative to their prefracture condition, certain care standards related to aspects of pre-, intra- and post-operative management should be followed. In general, pre-operative management includes timing of surgery, expedited patient management, identification and treatment of correctable comorbidities, pain management, preventive measures and multidisciplinary management (Filiatreault, Hodgins, & Witherspoon, 2018). In a review, Farrow et al. (2018) concluded that low compliance to care standards is associated with

increased mortality, reduced likelihood of a short length of stay and increased odds of discharge to a high-care setting (Farrow et al., 2018). Other researchers have found that compliance with such standards is suboptimal (Seys et al., 2018; Sunol et al., 2015). In Norway, there are published national care standards for patients with hip fractures and national guidelines for the interdisciplinary treatment of such patients (Norsk ortopedisk forening, Norsk forening for geriatri & Norsk anestesiologisk forening, 2018).

Researchers have found in previous research that, compared with having a single physician managing care practice, the introduction of NP-physician co-management results in greater compliance with care standards (Norful, Swords, Marichal, Cho, & Poghosyan, 2017). In the orthopedic context, APN can play an important role in the coordination of patient care to ensure the delivery of high-quality evidence-based care for patients with hip fractures. Such care can involve performing a thorough health assessment that reveals prior fall history and previous functional ability, requesting diagnostic interventions, prescribing medication, planning management for current care and beginning discharge planning (Coventry et al., 2017; Pickles, Coventry, Glennon, & Twigg, 2014). Through the implementation of the NP role in the care of patients with hip fractures in the Norwegian health care system, we hypothesize that compliance to care standards will be at least as good as with the currently used traditional physician-led care model.

# The study

### Aims

Primary Outcome: To evaluate the implementation of APN for patients with orthopedic injuries, including patient trust and a comparison of outcomes in relation to APN versus standard (physician-led) care models.

Secondary Outcome: To evaluate outcomes related to care standards delineated for preoperative patients with hip fractures in the emergency care context in Norway, including the comparison of APN versus standard (physician-led) care models.

## **Objectives**

In the emergency care context in Norway, where advanced nursing practice is in an initial stage of implementation, the study objective is to:

1. Compare the quality of care, i.e., diagnostic and treatment accuracy, provided for patients with minor orthopedic injuries between APN versus standard (physician-led) care models. This also includes the evaluation of patient trust in relation to APN versus standard (physician-led) care model.

2. Compare compliance to care standards for patients with hip fractures in the preoperative phase in the emergency care context in relation to APN versus standard (physicianled) care model.

### Design

The proposed study is a non-inferiority study, comparing an APN care model with a standard (physician-led) care model. This research is part of a larger project entitled, "Providing person-centered healthcare - by new models of advanced nursing practice in cooperation with patients, clinical field and higher education".

### Intervention and control

The study will be performed in an ED unit in southern Norway that provides 24-hour care. The proposed ED unit receives about 30.300 annual patient visits.

Objective 1: All patients with suspected fractures/orthopedic injuries below the elbow or knee will be considered for inclusion in this study. In the standard (physician-led) care model, all patients presenting with minor orthopedic injuries will be diagnosed and treated by a medical intern (*LIS-1*) (control). In parallel, an APN model has been implemented (intervention). In the APN model, patients with minor orthopedic injuries will be assessed, diagnosed (including analysis of radiographs), treated and/or deemed in need of surgery by a RN, working at an advanced level following in-house-training, i.e., an advanced practice nurse. The nurses, with several years of experience from emergency care, have participated in a 1-day in-house education program, after which they started to treat patients in the clinic. When needed, the nurses consult the orthopedic surgeon on duty to support hands on support and instruction.

To ensure quality of care, a specialist in orthopedic surgery will review the charts and radiographs of all orthopedic patients presenting in the outpatient clinic for diagnostic and treatment accuracy within 1-3 days of initial assessment. If needed, based on the specialist's review, diagnosis and suggested treatment will be modified.

Objective 2: All patients with clinical presentation of hip fracture will be referred through a fast-track system directly to the ED observation unit. To date, patients have been admitted to the ED unit and treated by a medical intern and a RN, which is the standard care model (control). From January 2019, the ED unit will be partly manned with NPs instead of medical interns (intervention). Due to the still small numbers of NPs in the rotating schedule, NPs cannot cover all shifts. Consequently, the standard (physician-led) care model will still be applied, which will enable comparison.

## **Participants**

Objective 1. Inclusion criteria: Patients diagnosed with suspected fractures/orthopedic injuries below the elbow or knee (Appendix 1) that could have been treated through either the APN or standard (physician-led) care model in the outpatient clinic.

To compare the quality of the care (diagnostic and treatment accuracy) provided for patients with minor orthopedic injuries between the APN and standard (physician-led) care models, data will be collected from 15 May 2019, until 310 (155 in each group) patients are included in the intervention and control groups. Power calculation was performed in sealed envelope<sup>TM</sup> (https://www.sealedenvelope.com/power/binary-noninferior/) and was set by:  $\alpha = 5$  %;  $1-\beta = 90\%$ ; percentage success (both groups) = 90 %;  $\delta = 10$  %.

To explore patient trust, patients receiving treatment for minor orthopedic injuries will be asked to fill in the Patient Trust Questionnaire (PTQ) (Norberg-Boysen et al., 2016). Exclusion criteria will be impaired cognitive function or inadequate skills in the Norwegian language, as determined by the professional responsible for treatment. Data will be collected from February 2020 until 50 patients are included in the intervention and control groups, respectively.

Objective 2: To identify participants, data will be extracted from patient records. Diagnostic codes will be used to identify potential participants and all patients with hip fractures will be included. Data will be collected prospectively from February 2020, until 310 (155 in each group) patients are included in the intervention and control groups. Power calculation was performed in sealed envelope<sup>TM</sup> (https://www.sealedenvelope.com/power/binary-noninferior/) and was set by:  $\alpha = 5$  %; 1- $\beta = 90$ %; percentage success (both groups) = 90 %;  $\delta = 10$  %.

#### Data collection

Objective 1: To assess clinical accuracy, a data collection tool has been developed (Appendix 1). The data collection tool will be used by the orthopedic surgery specialist to evaluate the diagnostic and treatment accuracy of the care provided for patients with minor orthopedic injuries through either in the APN or standard (physician-led) care models. Collected background characteristics will include patients' age, gender and diagnosis. Information on whether the suggested diagnosis and suggested treatment are accurate will be collected and scored. An answer of "No" on the question "Correct diagnosis?" will be scored with a zero (0) and an answer of "Yes" will be scored with a one (1). The question "Correct suggested treatment?" will be scored in similar way.

To assess patient trust, patients receiving treatment for minor orthopedic injuries will be asked to fill in the PTQ. The PTQ rates patients' degree of trust in terms of accessibility and credibility. The PTQ is an eight-item questionnaire with a 5-point Likert scale, with answers ranging from 1 (disagree) - 5 (strongly agree) (Norberg Boysen et al., 2016) and a total score (mean) will be calculated. Participants will also be asked to fill in background characteristics: age, gender and reason for visiting the emergency outpatient clinic.

The outpatient clinic receptionist will give the participants the questionnaire directly after the patient visit. Whether the participant has received treatment trough the APN or standard (physician-led) care model will be noted on the questionnaire. The participants will be asked to fill in the questionnaire in situ and return the questionnaire in a sealed envelope to the receptionist.

Objective 2: For data collection a research assistant not actively involved in the research group will extract data from the patient record system. Data on participant background characteristics and compliance with care standards will be collected. Participant background characteristics will include age, gender, date of admittance, date of discharge, hospital length of stay (LOS, days), length of wait before surgery (ED LOS, hours), residence (own home; service flat; nursing home), type of fracture/diagnostic code, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, patient's discharge destination (rehabilitation; nursing home; same as at admittance).

Together with the physician responsible for the running of the study setting's ED unit, 12 care standards, i.e., measures of compliance, have been determined whereby the care received will be evaluated (Appendix 2). The care standards are:

1. Examination of vital signs (ABCDE).

Assessment of prefracture falls.

2.

3. Assessment/examination for eventual acute, life-threatening condition(s) that may have caused patient fall, e.g., decompensated heart failure, myocardial infarction, pneumonia, sepsis, stroke.

4. Assessment/examination for eventual non-life-threatening factor(s) that may affect patient outcome in relation to surgery, e.g., anticoagulants, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic or recent wounds, dehydration, dementia or confusion, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, recent surgery, renal failure.

5. Timely analgesia, i.e., fascia iliaca compartment blockade (FICB) or other pain relief administered before X-ray.

6. Pain assessment.

7. Fluid treatment according to protocol.

8. Laboratory tests according to protocol.

9. Pressure ulcer assessment.

10. Fall risk assessment.

11. Identification of eventual urinary tract infection.

12. Preoperative medication ordered and given as suggested.

Data will be registered in a data collection tool (Appendix 2). If there is documentary evidence that all of the care standards have been achieved, a score of one (1) will be documented. A score of one (1) will also be documented if some of the care standards are not are achieved, but there are valid reasons for non-adherence given. If care standards are not achieved and there is no valid reason (i.e., an error of omission), a score of zero (0) will be documented.

### Data analysis

All data will be imported into and analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics will be used to describe the main characteristics of the population. Data will be presented as frequencies with percentage or means  $\pm$  standard deviations, or, if appropriate, median with

quartiles. Comparisons of baseline characteristics and outcome variables between the two groups (i.e. APN model vs. standard care model) will be performed using chi-square test or Student's t-test, or if appropriable non-parametric tests. Two-sided p-values of <0.05 will be considered as significant.

## Ethical considerations

Objective 1: During the assessment of clinical accuracy no consent will be obtained, because the only patient data collected are patients' age, gender and diagnosis. During the assessment of patient trust (using the PTQ), information about the study and voluntary participation will be included. A completed and returned questionnaire will be understood as informed consent. The questionnaires will be anonymous (no coding will be applied).

During the course of the study, the possibility exists that errors made by physicians and/or advanced practice nurses in regard to diagnostics and/or treatment will be revealed. If such occurs, appropriate changes will be made to the organization, routines and/or training offered to minimize the potential for future errors.

Objective 2: A research assistant not actively involved in the research group will collect and register the data in a data collection tool. In the data collection tool, each patient will be given a code. To be able to verify the data retrospectively, a list of identifier codes based on a patient identification number (date of birth) and code will be set up. This list will be stored on an IronKey USB in a locked cabinet in the research unit at the hospital. Only designated people in the hospital organization will be given access to the information on the USB. The data will be destroyed 5 years after the end of the data collection period. The study protocol will contain anonymized data, only shared with the research team. The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2019/173 and 2019/188) have given their approval for the studies (Objectives 1 and 2).

## Validity and reliability / Rigor

Objective 1: One of the evaluators, a specialist in orthopedic surgery, has tested and assessed the data collection tool in regard to the assessment of diagnosis and treatment accuracy before the data collection and found the data collection tool to be applicable.

While the PTQ has been tested in a similar context and is considered to have acceptable psychometric quality, no empirical validation has yet been conducted (Norberg Boysen et al., 2016). The PTQ has been translated from Swedish into Norwegian using a forward-back translation process.

The professional responsible for treatment will determine whether a patient has impaired cognitive function or inadequate skills in the Norwegian language that could prevent the patient from understanding or completing the PTQ. As this professional will not always be the same person, some variability in the decisions made is expected.

Objective 2: The data collection tool will be pilot tested and consensus on data collection gained by letting the data-collecting research assistant and a representative from the research group collect data in parallel. The results will be discussed in the research group and allowance made for a revision of the study protocol as needed.

# DISCUSSION

APN in the form of the NP role in emergency care in Norway will be pioneered in this project. Studying whether APN has an impact on service effectiveness for patients with minor injuries in the Norwegian health care system is of relevance. It is also of interest to study both whether an APN model can be considered equally effective in-service delivery as the previous, standard (physician-led) care model for patients with hip fractures and how patients perceive APN.

# Limitations

The study will be performed in Norway and caution will therefore be needed in generalizing the results to other countries with other health care systems and potential cultural differences. As part of a larger project, the outcome studies here will have a limited time frame. This could mean that fewer participants than the number estimated through power calculation could be an impending threat.

# DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics have given their approval (2019/173 and 2019/188). For data collection from patient records, no consent will be collected. A completed and returned PTQ will be understood as informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The datasets to be used and/or analysed during the current study will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Funding

Funding has been received from the Norwegian Research Council's PraksisVel program, for the project, "Providing person-centered healthcare – Development of new models of advanced nursing practice in cooperation with patients, clinical field and higher education". This study is performed in line with the project plan.

Authors' contributions

All authors meet the ICMJE recommended criteria.

All authors have made substantial contributions to the design of the manuscript. EB has drafted the manuscript and all of the other authors have substantively revised it. AL was responsible for power calculations, ED and KG for planning data collection in the ED and LF is the principal investigator.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

REFERENCES

Bjornsen, L. P., Uleberg, O., & Dale, J. (2013). Patient visits to the emergency department at a Norwegian university hospital: variations in patient gender and age, timing of visits and patient acuity. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 30(6), 462-466. doi:10.1136/emermed-2012-201191

Bryant-Lukosius, D., Dicenso, A., Browne, G., & Pinelli, J. (2004). Advanced practice nursing roles: development, implementation and evaluation. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 48(5), 519-529. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2004.03234.x

Charlton, C. R., Dearing, K. S., Berry, J. A., & Johnson, M. J. (2008). Nurse practitioners' communication styles and their impact on patient outcomes: an integrated literature review. Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners, 20(7), 382-388. doi:10.1111/j.1745-7599.2008.00336.x

Cheng, S. Y., Levy, A. R., Lefaivre, K. A., Guy, P., Kuramoto, L., & Sobolev, B. (2011). Geographic trends in incidence of hip fractures: a comprehensive literature review. Osteoporosis International, 22(10), 2575-2586. doi:10.1007/s00198-011-1596-z

Cooper, M. A., Lindsay, G. M., Kinn, S., & Swann, I. J. (2002). Evaluating emergency nurse practitioner services: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 40(6), 721-730.

Coventry, L. L., Pickles, S., Sin, M., Towell, A., Giles, M., Murray, K., & Twigg, D. E. (2017). Impact of the Orthopaedic Nurse Practitioner role on acute hospital length of stay and cost-savings for patients with hip fracture: A retrospective cohort study. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 73(11), 2652-2663. doi: 10.1111/jan.13330. doi:10.1111/jan.13330

Dhanwal, D. K., Dennison, E. M., Harvey, N. C., & Cooper, C. (2011). Epidemiology of hip fracture: Worldwide geographic variation. Indian Journal of Orthopaedics, 45(1), 15-22. doi:10.4103/0019-5413.73656

Durand, A. C., Gentile, S., Devictor, B., Palazzolo, S., Vignally, P., Gerbeaux, P., & Sambuc, R. (2011). ED patients: how nonurgent are they? Systematic review of the emergency medicine literature. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 29(3), 333-345. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2010.01.003

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Dyer, S. M., Crotty, M., Fairhall, N., Magaziner, J., Beaupre, L. A., Cameron, I. D., & Sherrington, C. (2016). A critical review of the long-term disability outcomes following hip fracture. *BMC Geriatrics*, *16*, 158. doi:10.1186/s12877-016-0332-0

Elder, E., Johnston, A. N., & Crilly, J. (2015). Review article: systematic review of three key strategies designed to improve patient flow through the emergency department. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 27(5), 394-404. doi:10.1111/1742-6723.12446

Eriksson, M., Kelly-Pettersson, P., Stark, A., Ekman, A. K., & Skoldenberg, O. (2012). 'Straight to bed' for hip-fracture patients: a prospective observational cohort study of two fasttrack systems in 415 hips. *Injury*, *43*(12), 2126-2131. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2012.05.017

Farrow, L., Hall, A., Wood, A. D., Smith, R., James, K., Holt, G., . . . Myint, P. K. (2018).
Quality of care in hip fracture patients: The relationship between adherence to national standards and improved outcomes. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, *100*(9), 751-757.
doi:10.2106/jbjs.17.00884

Filiatreault, S., Hodgins, M., & Witherspoon, R. (2018). An umbrella review of clinical practice guidelines for the management of patients with hip fractures and a synthesis of recommendations for the pre-operative period. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *74*(6), 1278-1288. doi:10.1111/jan.13550

Forsetlund, L., Vist, G.E., Dalsbø, T.K., Straumann, G.H., Underland, V., Norderhaug, I.H., & Holte, E.H. (2013). *Effekter av oppgavedeling for noen utvalgte helsetjenester i sykehus [Task sharing for selected health services in hospitals]*. Rapport fra Kunnskapssenteret nr. 12–2013. Oslo: Nasjonalt kunnskapssenter for helsetjenesten.

Henni, S. H., Kirkevold, M., Antypas, K., & Foss, C. (2018). The role of advanced geriatric nurses in Norway: A descriptive exploratory study. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, *13*(3):e12188. doi:10.1111/opn.12188

Hiza, E. A., Gottschalk, M. B., Umpierrez, E., Bush, P., & Reisman, W. M. (2015). Effect of a dedicated orthopaedic advanced practice provider in a Level I Trauma Center: Analysis of length of stay and cost. *Journal of Orthopeadic Trauma, 29*(7), e225-230. doi:10.1097/bot.00000000000261

International Council of Nurses (n.d.). *Nurse Practitioner/Advanced Practice Nurse: Definition and Characteristics of the Role.* Retrieved June 14, 2019 from https://international.aanp.org/Practice/APNRoles International Osteoporosis Foundation (2017). *Hip fracture incidence map*. Retrieved June 14, 2019. https://www.iofbonehealth.org/facts-and-statistics/hip-fracture-incidence-map

Jennings, N., Clifford, S., Fox, A. R., O'Connell, J., & Gardner, G. (2015). The impact of nurse practitioner services on cost, quality of care, satisfaction and waiting times in the emergency department: a systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, *52*(1), 421-435. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.07.006

Jennings, N., McKeown, E., O'Reilly, G., & Gardner, G. (2013). Evaluating patient presentations for care delivered by emergency nurse practitioners: a retrospective analysis of 12 months. *Australasian Emergency Nursing Journal*, *16*(3), 89-95. doi:10.1016/j.aenj.2013.05.005

Li, J., Westbrook, J., Callen, J., Georgiou, A., & Braithwaite, J. (2013). The impact of nurse practitioners on care delivery in the emergency department: a multiple perspectives qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*, *13*, 356. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-356

Maier, C. B., & Aiken, L. H. (2016). Task shifting from physicians to nurses in primary care in 39 countries: a cross-country comparative study. *European Journal of Public Health*, 26(6), 927-934. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckw098

Martinez-Gonzalez, N. A., Djalali, S., Tandjung, R., Huber-Geismann, F., Markun, S., Wensing, M., & Rosemann, T. (2014). Substitution of physicians by nurses in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Health Services Research*, *14*, 214. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-214

Ministry of Health and Care Services (2009). *Samhandlingsreformen. Rett behandling – på rett sted – til rett tid. St.meld. nr. 47. (2008-2009). [The Coordination Reform— Proper treatment – at the right place and right time Report No. 47 to the Storting (2008-2009)].* Retrieved June 14, 2019.

https://www.regjeringen.no/contentassets/d4f0e16ad32e4bbd8d8ab5c21445a5dc/no/pdfs/stm 200820090047000dddpdfs.pdf

Norberg Boysen, G., Christensson, L., Wireklint Sundström, B., Nyström, M., & Jutengren, G. (2016). Use of the Medical emergency services by patients with suspected acte primary healthcare problems: Developing a questionnaire to measure patient trust in healthcare. *European Journal for Person Centered Healthcare*, *4*(3), 444-452.

Norberg Boysen, G., Nyström, M., Christensson, L., Herlitz, J., & Wireklint Sundström, B. (2017). Trust in the early chain of healthcare: lifeworld hermeneutics from the patient's perspective. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*, *12*(1), 1356674. doi:10.1080/17482631.2017.1356674

Norful, A. A., Swords, K., Marichal, M., Cho, H., & Poghosyan, L. (2017). Nurse practitioner-physician comanagement of primary care patients: The promise of a new delivery care model to improve quality of care. *Health Care Management Review*, *44*(3), 235-245. doi:10.1097/hmr.000000000000161

Norsk ortopedisk forening, Norsk forening for geriatri & Norsk anestesiologisk forening (2018). Norske retningslinjer for tverrfaglig behandling av hoftebrudd [Guidelines for multiprofessional treatment of hip fractures]. Retrieved June 14, 2019. http://legeforeningen.no/PageFiles/329853/Norske%20retningslinjer%20for%20tverrfaglig% 20behandling%20av%20hoftebrudd.pdf

O'Keeffe, C., Mason, S., Jacques, R., & Nicholl, J. (2018). Characterising non-urgent users of the emergency department (ED): A retrospective analysis of routine ED data. *PLoS One*, *13*(2), e0192855. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0192855

Panula, J., Pihlajamaki, H., Mattila, V. M., Jaatinen, P., Vahlberg, T., Aarnio, P., & Kivela, S.
L. (2011). Mortality and cause of death in hip fracture patients aged 65 or older: a populationbased study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, *12*, 105. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-105

Pickles, S. M., Coventry, L. L., Glennon, D. A., & Twigg, D. E. (2014). 'Making a difference' a clinical pathway for hip fractures and the advance practice role in managing patients with minimal trauma hip fractures. *International Journal of Orthopaedic & Trauma Nursing*, *18*(4), 205-213.

Ranhoff, A. H., Holvik, K., Martinsen, M. I., Domaas, K., & Solheim, L. F. (2010). Older hip fracture patients: three groups with different needs. *BMC Geriatriatrics*, *10*, 65. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-10-65

Roche, T. E., Gardner, G., & Jack, L. (2017). The effectiveness of emergency nurse practitioner service in the management of patients presenting to rural hospitals with chest pain: a multisite prospective longitudinal nested cohort study. *BMC Health Services Research*, *17*(1), 445. doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2395-9

Seys, D., Sermon, A., Sermeus, W., Panella, M., Bruyneel, L., Boto, P., & Vanhaecht, K. (2018). Recommended care received by geriatric hip fracture patients: where are we now and where are we heading? *Archives of Orthopedic and Trauma Surgery*, *138*(8), 1077-1087. doi:10.1007/s00402-018-2939-4

Sunol, R., Wagner, C., Arah, O. A., Kristensen, S., Pfaff, H., Klazinga, N., . . . Groene, O. (2015). Implementation of Departmental Quality Strategies Is Positively Associated with Clinical Practice: Results of a Multicenter Study in 73 Hospitals in 7 European Countries. *PLoS One*, *10*(11), e0141157. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141157

Ringard, Å., Sagan, A., Sperre Saunes, I., & Lindahl, A.K. (2013). Norway: health system review. *Health Systems in Transition*, 15(8), 1–162.

Tang, N., Stein, J., Hsia, R. Y., Maselli, J. H., & Gonzales, R. (2010). Trends and characteristics of US emergency department visits, 1997-2007. *JAMA*, *304*(6), 664-670. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.1112

Thorpe, K. E., Zwarenstein, M., Oxman, A. D., Treweek, S., Furberg, C. D., Altman, D. G., .
. Chalkidou, K. (2009). A pragmatic-explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS):
a tool to help trial designers. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, *62*(5), 464-75. doi:
10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008

van der Linden, C., Reijnen, R., & de Vos, R. (2010). Diagnostic accuracy of emergency nurse practitioners versus physicians related to minor illnesses and injuries. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, *36*(4), 311-316. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2009.08.012

Velt, K. B., Cnossen, M., Rood, P. P. M., Steyerberg, E. W., Polinder, S., & Lingsma, H. F. (2018). Emergency department overcrowding: a survey among European neurotrauma centres. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 5(7), 447-448. doi:10.1136/emermed-2017-206796

von Friesendorff, M., McGuigan, F. E., Wizert, A., Rogmark, C., Holmberg, A. H., Woolf, A. D., & Akesson, K. (2016). Hip fracture, mortality risk and cause of death over two decades. *Osteoporosis International*, *27*(10), 2945-2953. doi:10.1007/s00198-016-3616-5

Wilson, A., Zwart, E., Everett, I., & Kernick, J. (2009). The clinical effectiveness of nurse practitioners' management of minor injuries in an adult emergency department: a systematic review. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, *7*(1), 3-14 12p.

Wireklint Sundstrom, B., & Dahlberg, K. (2011). Caring assessment in the Swedish ambulance services relieves suffering and enables safe decisions. *International Journal of Emergency Nursing*, *19*(3), 113-119. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2010.07.005

Wireklint Sundstrom, B., Petersson, E., Sjoholm, M., Gelang, C., Axelsson, C., Karlsson, T.,
& Herlitz, J. (2014). A pathway care model allowing low-risk patients to gain direct
admission to a hospital medical ward--a pilot study on ambulance nurses and Emergency
Department physicians. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 22, 72. doi:10.1186/s13049-014-0072-0