1

A runaway PRH/HHEX-Notch3 positive feedback loop drives cholangiocarcinoma and determines response to CDK4/6 inhibition.

Philip Kitchen¹, Ka Ying Lee¹, Danielle Clark², Nikki Lau¹, Jomnarong Lertsuwan³,
Anyaporn Sawasdichai⁴, Jutamaad Satayavivad⁴, Sebastian Oltean⁵, Simon Afford⁶, Kevin
Gaston^{7*#} and Padma-Sheela Jayaraman^{1*#}

8

1. Institute of Cancer and Genomic Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, 9 University of Birmingham, UK. 2.Department of Biochemistry, Medical School, University 10 of Bristol, UK 3.Laboratory of Chemical Carcinogenesis, Chulabhorn Research Institute, 11 Bangkok, Thailand; 4.Laboratory of Pharmacology, Chulabhorn Research Institute, Bangkok, 12 Thailand; 5.Institute of Biomedical & Clinical Sciences, University of Exeter Medical 13 School, Exeter, UK. 6.Institute of Immunology and Immunotherapy, University of 14 Birmingham, Birmingham UK. 7. Division of Cancer and Stem Cells, School of Medicine, 15 University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK. 16

- 17 # joint senior author and *joint corresponding author
- 18 Running title: PRH drives cholangiocarcinoma and chemotherapeutic response

19 7 figures

20 Email: p.jayaraman@bham.ac.uk tel. no. +44(0)121-414-4383

- 21 Email: kevin.gaston@nottingham.ac.uk tel. no. +44(0)115-8231395
- 22

23 **Declaration of Interests**

- 24 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 25
- 26
- 27

28 Abstract

Aberrant Notch and Wnt signalling are known drivers of cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) but the 29 underlying factors that initiate and maintain these pathways are not known. Here we show 30 31 that the PRH/HHEX transcription factor forms a positive transcriptional feedback loop with Notch3 that is critical in CCA. PRH/HHEX expression was elevated in CCA and depletion of 32 PRH reduced CCA tumour growth in a xenograft model. Overexpression of PRH in primary 33 34 human biliary epithelial cells was sufficient to increase cell proliferation and produce an invasive phenotype. Interrogation of the gene networks regulated by PRH and Notch3 35 revealed that unlike Notch3, PRH directly activated canonical Wnt signalling. These data 36 indicate that hyperactivation of Notch and Wnt signalling is independent of the underlying 37 mutational landscape and has a common origin in dysregulation of PRH. Moreover, they 38 suggest new therapeutic options based on the dependence of specific Wnt, Notch, and 39 CDK4/6 inhibitors on PRH activity. 40

41 Significance

42 The PRH/HHEX transcription factor is an oncogenic driver in cholangiocarcinoma that43 confers sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors.

44

45 Keywords: cholangiocarcinoma, bile duct, biliary epithelial cells, cholangiocyte, HHEX,
46 PRH, Notch, Wnt, epithelial-mesenchymal transition

47

48 Introduction

49 Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a tumour of the bile duct epithelium with an unmet clinical need as this disease is usually advanced at diagnosis. For non-resectable disease median 50 survival is less than 12 months and 5-year survival is around 2% (1). New treatment options 51 and markers that allow early detection and/or inform personalised cancer treatment are 52 therefore urgently needed. Risk factors for CCA include viral infection (Hepatitis B and C), 53 54 liver fluke infection, primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), cholestasis (loss of bile flow), and exposure to dietary toxins or metabolites, all of which lead to inflammation in and around the 55 biliary tree. Chronic inflammation can provoke uncontrolled wound-healing responses 56 57 involving the generation of DNA-damaging reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, activation of immune cells (particularly macrophages) and stroma, and aberrant activation of autocrine 58 signalling, as well as activation of signalling pathways that promote epithelial-mesenchymal 59 60 transition (EMT) and angiogenesis. All these events ultimately lead to cancer development and progression (2). Many of the dysregulated pathways involved in CCA are also involved 61 in embryonic liver morphogenesis, such as the Wnt, TGF^β, Notch, Hedgehog and Hippo 62 pathways. Several of these pathways (including Wnt and Notch) can transiently promote 63 64 EMT or the acquisition of mesenchymal features, such as increased cell migration and matrix 65 invasion or loss of the epithelial cell-cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin (3, 4). In many cancers including mammary cancers, EMT is associated with an increased proportion of 66 tumour initiating cells (the so-called cancer stem cells or CSC) that show increased self-67 68 renewal properties and increased chemoresistance (3). EMT and the CSC phenotype can be induced by any one of a common set of aberrantly expressed EMT transcription factors (e.g. 69 Snail, Twist, Zeb) that, amongst many other things, directly repress the expression of E-70 cadherin (reviewed (5)). CCA patient samples exhibit decreased E-cadherin expression and 71 contain CSCs (6) suggesting that EMT may also be a feature of CCA. 72

Canonical Wnt signalling is critical for cell proliferation and contributes to the development 73 74 of CCA in a mouse CCA carcinogenesis model (7, 8). In canonical Wnt signaling, Wnt ligands activate Frizzled family receptors and stabilise the β-catenin protein which then enters 75 the nucleus and activates transcription of Wnt target genes through interaction with TCF/LEF 76 family transcription factors and co-activators (4). β -catenin, by acting as both a co-activator 77 for TCF/LEF transcription factors and a structural component of adherens junctions via 78 79 interaction with E-cadherin, facilitates cross-talk between canonical Wnt signalling and cellcell adhesion. Although there are few mutations in Wnt signalling components in CCA there 80 are high levels of nuclear β -catenin in the majority of CCA (7, 9). Importantly, 81 pharmacological inhibition of Wnt signalling decreases CCA formation in mouse models (7). 82 NOTCH signalling is also of central importance to the development of CCA (10). Notch 83 signalling involves four transmembrane NOTCH receptors and two families of ligands, 84 Serrate/Jagged (JAG-1, -2) and Delta-like (DLL-1, -3, -4), along with other proteins that 85 transduce and regulate the signal. Upon ligand binding, Notch receptors are sequentially 86 cleaved by an ADAM family protease and the γ -secretase protease complex. Cleavage 87 releases the NOTCH intracellular domain (ICD) which interacts with the DNA binding 88 89 protein RBP-J/CSL and MAML1 co-activator resulting in transcriptional activation of NOTCH target genes, including the HES and HEY family of genes encoding bHLH 90 91 transcriptional repressors (reviewed in (11)). In hepatic regeneration models, Wnt and Notch signalling promote different cell fates; Notch signalling promotes biliary fate in Hepatic 92 Progenitor Cells and Wnt signalling promotes hepatic specification (12). Dysregulation of 93 Notch signalling in mouse hepatocytes through constitutive Notch1 ICD or Notch2 ICD 94 expression can result in either hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or CCA depending on the co-95 operating oncogene. NOTCH3 is not expressed in adult liver but Notch3 expression is 96 elevated in CCA patient samples and knockout of Notch3 in a mouse model of CCA 97

abrogates tumour growth (10). Notch3 ICD is known to be a key driver of CCA in part
through activation of PI3K/Akt signalling (10). However, it is not known which genes the
Notch3 ICD regulates nor is the interplay between Notch3 ICD signalling and Wnt signalling
understood.

Proline-Rich Homeodomain protein/Haematopoietically Expressed Homeobox 102 The (PRH/HHEX) protein is a transcription factor encoded by the HHEX gene that is required in 103 104 the development of many tissue types including the liver and bile duct (reviewed (13)), where PRH regulates hepatic (HNF4a) and biliary (Onecut1) transcription factors, respectively (14). 105 106 PRH plays a growth inhibitory role in hepatic regeneration (15) and when over-expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma cells PRH inhibited tumour growth in a mouse xenograft model 107 (16, 17). PRH also exhibits tumour suppressive properties in other epithelial lineages and in 108 some haematopoietic lineages (13). Similarly, in breast and prostate cells, PRH inhibits cell 109 migration and loss or inactivation of PRH induces EMT-like changes in cell morphology and 110 behaviour (18) and increases the proportion of CSC-like cells (19, 20). In stark contrast, PRH 111 is involved in oncogenic transformation in at least two leukaemic subtypes in which 112 cytogenetic rearrangements promote dysregulated PRH expression (21-23). As PRH 113 potentiates Wnt signalling during early embryonic development (24) and early liver 114 development (25) it is of interest to understand whether PRH plays a role in CCA and 115 whether it is involved in regulating Wnt and Notch signalling. 116

Here we show for the first time that PRH plays an essential role in the maintenance of CCA.
We also demonstrate that PRH promotes multiple features of tumour initiation and spread in
primary untransformed biliary epithelial cells (cholangiocytes) isolated from human liver.
Our work shows that a PRH-Notch3 positive feedback loop is a novel driver of CCA as both
proteins collaborate to promote Wnt signalling. Further we demonstrate that PRH expression
levels can determine sensitivity or resistance to novel CCA chemotherapeutic strategies.

123 Materials and methods

124 *Cell culture and plasmids*

CCLP-1, CCSW-1, AKN-1, KKU-100 and KKU-M213 cells (26, 27) were grown in 125 Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, D5796), 126 supplemented with 10% FBS and MEM non-essential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich, M1745). 127 CCLP-1, CCSW-1, AKN-1 cells were authenticated in house by phenotyping using flow 128 129 cytometry. KKU-100 and KKU-M213 (28) were obtained from the Japanese Cell Research Bank (JCRB1557 (KKU-213) and JCRB1568 (KKU-100)). HuCCA-1 (29) and RmCCA-1 130 131 (30) were kindly provided by the originators Prof. Stitaya Sirisinha and Assoc. Prof. Rutaiwan Tohtong respectively. HuCCA-1 and RmCCA-1 were grown in Ham's F12 132 medium (Thermofisher IBR21041025) and supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell lines were 133 mycoplasma tested every 3 months using EZ-PCR mycoplasma test kit (Biological 134 Industries). Primary biliary epithelial cells were isolated and grown as previously described 135 (31). Stable cell lines were generated by transfecting PRH shRNA in pRS (Origene, 136 TR312464), Notch3 shRNA in pLKO (Sigma-Aldrich, TRCN0000363316), CDH1 cDNA in 137 pCDNA3 (hE-cadherin-pcDNA3 was a gift from Barry Gumbiner, Addgene plasmid 138 #45769), or EGFP-PRH-myc in pEGFP-C1. Transient PRH knockdowns were performed 139 using 100 nM each of 4 HHEX targeted siRNAs (Qiagen, 1027416). pEGFP-C1-PRH-Myc 140 was generated by insertion of the human PRH cDNA between EcoRI and KpnI sites in 141 142 pEGFP-C1. PCR was used to generate a PstI-KpnI fragment that replaced PRH coding sequence and placed an in-frame Myc tag followed by a translation stop codon at the end of 143 the PRH coding sequence. This creates a double-tagged protein. Stable transfectants were 144 145 selected for vector integration using either puromycin (pRS, pLKO) or G418 (pcDNA3, pEGFP-C1). For transient over-expression, PRH was over-expressed either using an 146

adenoviral construct at MOI 50, or using pMUG1-myc-PRH (32). For transient Rb
knockdown we used 100nM of Rb targeted siRNAs (Qiagen, 1027416).

149

150 *EdU incorporation*

151 Cells were plated at 10⁴ cells per well in 96-well plates, and EdU incorporation was measured 152 after 24 hours. For inhibitor experiments, inhibitors were added at the time of plating. Click-153 It EdU microplate kit (ThermoFisher, C10214) was used according to the manufacturer's 154 instructions with the exception of replacement of the fluorescent kit substrate with the 155 colourimetric peroxidase substrate o-Phenylinediamine (Sigma-Aldrich, P9187) to improve 156 signal:noise. Cell lines were incubated with EdU for 2 hours and primary cells for 4 hours.

157

158 Immunohistochemistry

A formalin-fixed paraffin embedded cholangiocarcinoma tissue microarray (TMA) was purchased from Abcam (ab178201). Antigen retrieval was performed using 10 mM citrate, 0.05% tween-20, pH 6.0 in a microwave on full power (800 W) for 30 minutes. The array was stained using an in-house polyclonal mouse anti-PRH antibody and the Vector ImmPRESS kit (Vector labs, MP-7402), and imaged on a Zeiss Axio Scan.Z1 microscope.

164

165 Immunocytochemistry

Cells were seeded onto poly-L-lysine-coated glass coverslips and left to adhere for 24 hours.
Cells were washed with PBS and fixed using 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes. Cells
were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes then blocked in 5% BSA
+ 20% serum from the secondary antibody host species in PBS. Primary antibodies used were
E-cadherin (Cell Signaling Technology, 24E10) and β-catenin (Cell Signaling Technology,
D10A8). Secondary antibody was Texas Red conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich,

- SAB3700873). Cells were mounted using Prolong Gold with DAPI (ThermoFisher, P36931),
 and imaged using a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope.
- 174
- 175 Western blotting

The following primary antibodies were used for Western blotting: PRH (in-house mouse
polyclonal (33)), E-cadherin (Cell Signaling Technology, 24E10), Lamin A/C (Santa Cruz,
H-110), myc tag (Cell Signaling Technology, 9B11), Notch3 (Cell Signaling Technology,
D11B8), Vimentin (Cell Signaling Technology, D21H3), Cyclin D2 (Cell Signaling
Technology, D52F9), Rb (Cell signalling Technology, 4H1), Phospho-Rb (Ser807/811) (Cell
signalling Technology, 9308).

- 182
- 183 *Quantitative RT-PCR*

184 RNA was extracted using a Bioline Isolate II kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. 185 1µg of total RNA was used for reverse transcription (Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit 186 (Qiagen, 205311)). qRT-PCR was performed in a Rotor-Gene Q cycler (Qiagen) using 187 Quantitect SYBR green PCR kit (Qiagen, 204143). Genes of interest were normalised to β-188 actin expression using primer efficiency normalised relative quantification, with primer 189 efficiencies calculated from standard curves generated from cDNA dilutions. All primers are 190 listed in supplementary table 1.

191

192 *Mouse xenografts*

All animal experiments and procedures were approved by the UK Home Office in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals was followed. 10^6 CCLP-1 PRH knockdown or scrambled control cells were resuspended in 100 μ L Matrigel and injected subcutaneously into the flank of male CD- 1 nude mice. Tumours were measured with a calliper every three days until the
tumour reached 12 mm in diameter. Tumour volume was estimated using the following
formula: [(length+width)/2]*length*width.

200

201 *RNA sequencing*

RNA was isolated using a Bioline Isolate II kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. 202 Total poly-adenylated RNA was purified and adapter ligated using Illumina TruSeq RNA 203 Library Prep kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. This was followed by Illumina 204 sequencing of 75bp paired end reads (minimum 2x35 million reads/sample). Each 205 experimental condition was run in biological duplicate. Reads were quality-trimmed using 206 TrimGalore!, aligned using the gapped read mapper TopHat and differential expression 207 208 analysis was performed using DESeq2. Gene Ontology analysis was performed using the PANTHER webserver (http://www.pantherdb.org) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis for 209 Hallmark gene sets was performed using the Broad Institute GSEA webserver 210 (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb). Raw and processed RNA-seq data has been 211 submitted to NCBI GEO database Accession no. GSE124429. 212

213

214 ChIP sequencing

CCLP1 cells were infected with a recombinant adenovirus to express myc-PRH. Chromatin immunoprecipitation of myc-PRH (using the same antibody as for myc-tag Western blots) was carried out as previously described (34) with 1.5×10^7 CCLP1 cells infected with Admyc-PRH or empty adenovirus at MOI 50 for 48hrs. Sequencing libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library preparation kit, followed by Illumina sequencing of 100bp reads (minimum 20 million reads/sample). Reads were quality-trimmed using TrimGalore!, aligned using Bowtie2 and peaks were called using MACS2. Chromatin prepared from empty adenovirus infected cells and subjected to myc tag immunoprecipitation
was used as background in sequencing and peak calling. *De novo* motif analysis of ChIP
peaks was performed using HOMER. Raw and processed ChIP-seq data has been submitted
to NCBI GEO database Accession no. GSE124430.

226

227 TCF/LEF reporters

TCF/LEF dependent transcriptional activity was measured using the TOPflash firefly 228 luciferase reporter system, in which the firefly luciferase gene is downstream of several 229 230 TCF/LEF consensus binding sites; the same construct with scrambled TCF/LEF sites (FOPflash) is used to determine the TCF/LEF-independent activity of the promoter. Firefly 231 luciferase activity was normalised to Renilla luciferase activity from a constitutively active 232 promoter (pRL, Promega, E2261). For over-expression experiments, reporter constructs were 233 co-transfected with either myc-PRH, myc-PRH N187A DNA-binding deficient mutant (32) 234 or 3xFLAG-Notch3-ICD (hNICD3(3xFLAG)-pCDF1-MCS2-EF1-copGFP, a gift from 235 Brenda Lilly, Addgene plasmid #40640) expression vectors. 236

237

238 Soft agar colony formation

239 Colony formation assays and subsequent imaging were done as described by Borowicz et al

240 (35). 10^3 cells were plated per well and colonies were imaged after 10 days.

241

242 *Transwell migration*

Cells were starved overnight in serum-free medium with 0.2% BSA and 1mM hydroxyurea. 24 24 well ThinCert inserts (Greiner bio-one) were placed in the wells of a 24 well plate. 600μ L 245 DMEM with 10% serum was added to each. 200 μ L of serum-free medium with 0.2% BSA 246 containing $2x10^5$ cells was added to each insert. After 72 hours medium was replaced with 450 μ L of 8 μ M calcein-AM in DMEM with 10% serum. After 45 minutes incubation, inserts were transferred to a fresh 24 well plate containing 500 μ L prewarmed Trypsin-EDTA in each well. 200 μ L of the Trypsin-EDTA cell suspension was transferred to a black flat bottom 96 well plate. The fluorescence signal was read in a fluorescence plate reader at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 520 nm.

252

253 *Matrigel invasion*

For invasion assays, insert transwells with 8.0 µm polycarbonate membrane (Corning costar, 254 New York, NY, USA) were coated with 50 µl of a 1:10 mixture of Matrigel[™] 255 (BDBiosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) in serum free medium. Cells were infected with Ad-256 empty or Ad-PRH (moi 50) and 24 hours after infection cells incubated with 1mM 257 hydroxyurea. 48 hours after infection 10^4 cells were plated per well in serum free media 258 containing 1mM hydroxyurea (200ul) and left to invade towards complete medium (500ul) in 259 the bottom chamber for 24 hours. Cells remaining on underside of insert after swabbing with 260 a cotton swab were fixed in methanol for 5 mins and stained with 0.1% w/v crystal violet in 261 12% glutaraldehyde in water for 5 mins and counted by microscopy. 262

263

264

265

266 <u>Results</u>

267 *PRH is highly expressed in CCA.*

Analysis of the cholangiocarcinoma dataset (n=45) in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) 268 revealed elevated expression of PRH mRNA in CCA samples compared to all TCGA 269 samples, with a mean log₂ fold-change of 3.2±0.9 (Fig.S1A) and high PRH expression is 270 limited to a small number of tumour types (Fig.S1B). In the majority of CCA samples, 271 elevated PRH mRNA expression is in the absence of gene amplification and in several 272 samples the transcript is elevated despite a single allele deletion. No coding mutations in the 273 274 HHEX gene encoding PRH were detected in any CCA samples. To examine PRH protein staining intensity in CCA samples we performed immunohistochemistry on a tissue 275 microarray containing 2 tumour cores and a non-involved border core from 42 CCA patients 276 277 (Abcam). Representative immunohistochemistry images of carcinomas and patient-matched border bile ducts are shown in Fig.1A. Of the 42 non-involved border cores, 26 had at least 278 one bile duct. In a paired analysis of these 26 samples compared to their matched carcinoma, 279 280 we found increased PRH staining intensity in 20/26 (p=0.00005), and in an unpaired analysis comparing all 42 carcinomas to the 26 non-involved bile ducts we found a 20% increase in 281 median PRH expression (p=0.0004, Fig.1B). We did not find any differences in PRH staining 282 intensity between different grades or TNM stages of carcinoma, although this may reflect 283 lack of statistical power as the majority of our samples were from grade II tumours. We next 284 285 examined PRH protein expression in four CCA cell lines compared to immortalised AKN-1 biliary epithelial cells (BECs) and two independently isolated primary human BEC cultures 286 derived from livers with alcoholic liver disease (ALD) and steatotic liver disease (NASH). 287 288 All four CCA cell lines showed increased PRH protein expression (Fig.1C) and we conclude that PRH mRNA and protein is highly expressed in CCA compared to primary BECs. 289

290

291 *PRH promotes tumour growth by CCLP1 cells in nude mice.*

To determine whether elevated PRH is important in CCA we generated stable PRH 292 knockdown (KD) in CCLP1 CCA cells. Western blotting and quantitative RT-PCR 293 294 demonstrated effective knockdown of PRH (Fig.S1C and S1D). One million CCLP1 control and PRH knockdown cells were then injected subcutaneously into nude mice and tumour size 295 measured over 25 days and at the termination of the experiment. Only 3/9 mice injected with 296 297 PRH knockdown cells produced tumours compared to 9/9 controls (p=0.003) and knockdown of PRH expression significantly reduced tumour growth (Fig.1D). PRH knockdown also 298 299 reduced the proportion of CCLP1 cells able to form colonies in soft agar (Fig.1E) and the average colony cross-sectional area (Fig.1F). To test whether over-expression of PRH in 300 BECs would be sufficient to recapitulate the phenotype observed in CCLP1 cells, AKN1 301 302 immortalised BECs were transfected with a double-tagged GFP-PRH-myc expression vector 303 and selected to generate a stable GFP-PRH-myc expressing cell line. In addition we overexpressed PRH in primary BECs using an adenovirus expressing myc-tagged PRH. Colony 304 305 formation in soft agar was increased in an AKN1 cell population over-expressing PRH compared to controls (Fig.1G-H) and strikingly, when expressing myc-PRH multiple 306 independently isolated primary BEC populations from different donors were able to form 307 colonies in soft agar whereas controls were not (Fig.11). We conclude that elevated PRH 308 expression promotes anchorage-independent growth of primary and immortalised BECs in 309 310 vitro and that reduction of PRH levels inhibits CCLP1 tumour growth in a xenograft model.

311

312 *PRH drives CCA cell proliferation.*

To examine why depletion of PRH in CCA cells decreases tumour growth in nude mice we examined the effect of PRH depletion and PRH over-expression on cell proliferation in culture. Knockdown of PRH in CCLP1 cells reduced cell growth, with the doubling time

increasing from 28 ± 3 to 40 ± 4 hours (Fig.S1E). To determine whether this was due to reduced 316 proliferation or increased cell death we measured proliferation by EdU incorporation and 317 apoptosis by caspase-3 enzymatic activity assay. EdU incorporation was reduced to 53±7% 318 of control with PRH shRNA knockdown (Fig.2A). There was a small decrease in caspase-3 319 activity in PRH knockdown cells compared to controls (Fig.S1F). We conclude that the 320 reduction in cell number on PRH knockdown was predominantly a result of decreased cell 321 322 proliferation. To determine whether this was a CCLP1-specific effect, or an off target effect of the PRH shRNA, we also measured EdU incorporation in KKU-M055 and KKU-M213 323 324 CCA cells 72 hours after transfection with a PRH siRNA with a different target sequence to the shRNA. EdU incorporation was reduced in both cell types (Fig.S1G) ruling out cell line-325 specific effects and off-target effects of the shRNA. To confirm that PRH promotes the 326 proliferation of CCA cells we over-expressed PRH in CCLP1 and CCSW1 CCA cells using a 327 recombinant adenovirus encoding myc-PRH (Fig.S2A). Over-expression of myc-PRH in both 328 cell lines increased EdU incorporation (Fig.2B) and increased growth rate, with doubling 329 times decreased from 30 ± 3 to 22 ± 1 hours and 29 ± 4 to 19 ± 3 hours, respectively (Fig.S2B). 330 Caspase-3 activity was also robustly decreased (Fig.S2C). Proliferation measured by EdU 331 incorporation was increased by PRH over-expression in both primary BECs and AKN1 cells 332 (Fig.2C). We conclude that PRH over-expression promotes the proliferation of primary BECs 333 and CCA cell lines and reduces basal levels of apoptosis. 334

335

336 *PRH* maintains the mesenchymal phenotype of CCA cells.

Following PRH knockdown in culture we noticed a marked change in morphology of CCLP1
cells from an elongated mesenchymal-like morphology to an epithelial-like morphology
(Fig.2D). Unlike normal BECs, CCLP1 cells do not express the epithelial cell adhesion
molecule E-cadherin. However, E-cadherin expression was restored upon PRH knockdown

(Fig.2E) and the mesenchymal marker protein Vimentin was also strongly decreased in these 341 cells (Fig.2E, lower). As changes in cell morphology and E-Cadherin expression are 342 associated with mesenchymal to epithelial transition (MET) we measured the migration and 343 invasion of PRH depleted CCLP1 cells in response to a serum gradient. Proliferation was 344 inhibited by hydroxyurea treatment in both experiments. PRH knockdown reduced the 345 number of migrated cells (Fig.2F) and reduced the number of invaded cells (Fig.2G). 346 347 Overexpression of PRH in the presence of hydroxyurea increased the invasion of both CCLP1 and CCSW tumour cell lines (Fig.S2D). Over-expression of PRH in both AKN1 and 348 349 primary human BECs reduced E-Cadherin expression and increased expression of Vimentin (Fig.2H). In addition, PRH over-expression increased cell migration and matrix invasion by 350 both primary BECs and AKN1 cells (Fig.2I-J). As might be expected based on these results, 351 352 PRH expression in AKN1 cells resulted in a change from an epithelial-like cell morphology to a more mesenchymal cell morphology (Fig.2K). We therefore examined changes in the 353 expression of EMT-related genes in AKN1 cells over-expressing PRH using qRT-PCR. The 354 epithelial and mesenchymal marker genes CDH1 and VIM encoding E-Cadherin and 355 Vimentin were down-regulated and upregulated respectively, following PRH expression 356 (Fig.S2E). In addition, the genes encoding EMT transcription factors (ZEB1, TWIST1) were 357 upregulated in AKN1 cells expressing PRH. Thus PRH over-expression in primary BECs and 358 in an immortalised BEC cell lines supresses the epithelial phenotype and promotes a 359 360 migratory mesenchymal phenotype.

361

362 *PRH regulates pathways associated with Wnt signalling and with EMT.*

363 To understand how PRH promotes the proliferation of CCA cells and maintains a 364 mesenchymal phenotype, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) with total poly-365 adenylated RNA isolated from CCLP1 cells with stably knocked down or transiently over-

expressed PRH. We found 189 down-regulated and 430 up-regulated genes in PRH 366 knockdown cells, and 889 down-regulated and 1410 up-regulated genes in PRH over-367 expressing cells when compared to their respective controls (Fig.3A). To validate the RNA-368 369 seq data, we performed qRT-PCR for several genes identified as differentially expressed, all of which were in agreement with the RNA-seq (Fig.S3A). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis 370 (GSEA) using the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) Hallmark gene sets (36) showed 371 372 that both PRH knockdown and PRH over-expression led to the differential expression of genes within the same pathways many of which have been shown to be aberrantly activated 373 374 in CCA including EMT, Wnt/β-catenin, TGF-β, IL6/JAK/STAT3 and estrogen signalling (Fig.3B and Fig.3C). Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis was in broad agreement 375 with GSEA analysis and suggested enrichment of genes associated with epithelial and 376 mesenchymal differentiation as well as genes associated with both canonical and non-377 378 canonical Wnt signalling (Fig.S3B and S3C). We also noted changes in the expression of multiple transcription factors and markers associated with biliary differentiation and Notch 379 380 signalling (Fig.3D). These results are suggestive of alteration of the transcriptional network underlying biliary differentiation upon PRH knockdown. 381

In addition, GO analysis identified enrichment of genes associated with the control of cell 382 proliferation in both the knockdown and over-expression experiments, including genes 383 encoding cyclin D2 (CCND2), and the p27 (CDKN1B) and p15 (CDKN2B) cyclin-dependent 384 385 kinase (CDK) inhibitors (Fig.S3B and Fig.S3C), and GSEA analysis showed enrichment of the G2M gene set which contains cell cycle genes (Fig.S3C). Of particular interest in the 386 GSEA data was the finding that Notch and PI3K/Akt pathway genes were enriched in the 387 388 PRH over-expression gene set (Fig.3C) as NOTCH3 oncogenic activity in CCA models is at least partly due to non-canonical NOTCH signalling via PI3K/Akt (10). Furthermore, the 389 NOTCH3 gene was one of the 53 genes that were differentially regulated in both PRH over-390

expression and knockdown data sets, and both qRT-PCR and Western blotting showed
reduced *NOTCH3* gene expression and Notch3 ICD protein expression in PRH knockdown
cells (Fig.3D and 4A, respectively).

394 *A PRH-Notch3 positive feedback loop promotes cell proliferation and EMT.*

To determine whether NOTCH3 expression was increased by PRH in other CCA cell lines 395 we over-expressed myc-PRH and a DNA binding deficient myc-PRH N187A mutant in 396 397 CCLP1 and CCSW1 cells. Wild-type PRH but not the DNA binding deficient mutant increased NOTCH3 mRNA (Fig.4B) and protein levels in these cells (Fig.4C). Furthermore, 398 399 we found a striking correlation between PRH expression and Notch3 expression in cell lines and primary BECs (Fig.4D). In addition, HHEX and NOTCH3 gene expression positively 400 correlated in the TCGA CCA RNA-seq dataset as do HHEX and HES1 gene expression 401 402 (Fig.S3D and S3E), suggesting that PRH regulates NOTCH3 and HES1 in primary tumours. Finally, over-expression of PRH in both primary BECs and AKN1 cells led to expression of 403 the Notch3 protein (Fig.4E). 404

To determine whether the effects of PRH knockdown are recapitulated by depletion of Notch3, we generated Notch3 knockdown CCLP1 cells by integrating a Notch3 shRNA plasmid (Fig.4F). Strikingly, the proliferative and morphological phenotype of PRH knockdown cells (Fig.2A and 2D) was reproduced by Notch3 knockdown (Fig.4F-H). Moreover, knockdown of Notch3 resulted in a reduction of *HHEX* mRNA (Fig.S3F) and PRH protein (Fig.4F). These data suggest that PRH and Notch3 could form a positive transcriptional feedback loop where each regulates the other.

To better understand the transcriptome changes underlying the common phenotype between Notch3 and PRH knockdown cell lines, we performed RNA-seq with Notch3 knockdown and scrambled shRNA cell lines and compared differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in PRH knockdown cells to those in Notch3 knockdown cells. These experiments clearly showed that

both factors act in the same pathways as the DEGs after PRH depletion were a subset of those 416 differentially expressed after Notch3 knockdown (see Venn diagrams, Fig.4I). In agreement 417 with this finding, the gene sets enriched in the Notch3 knockdown DEGs were largely the 418 same sets enriched in PRH knockdown (red bars, Fig.4J), with a small number of Notch3-419 specific sets (black bars) including PI3K/Akt signalling and Notch signalling. In agreement 420 with the GSEA, Western blotting experiments showed that both PRH knockdown and Notch3 421 422 knockdown reduced phosphorylation of Akt at both T308 and S473 (both of which are known to increase kinase activity, T308 by 100-fold and S473 by a further 10-fold for full kinase 423 424 activity (37)) (Fig.S3G).

425

426 Notch3-dependent and Notch3-independent PRH target genes.

To separate PRH-regulated genes into Notch3-dependent (Notch correlated) and Notch3-427 independent (PRH correlated) subgroups, we over-expressed PRH in Notch3 knockdown 428 CCLP1 cells. Figure 5A shows qRT-PCR analyses examining representative PRH-correlated 429 430 and Notch3-correlated genes. Expression of the PRH regulated EMT associated genes CDH1 and VIM, as well as CCND2 was regulated by Notch3 rather than by PRH alone and this 431 result was reproduced at protein level (Fig.5B). EdU incorporation experiments after over-432 expression of PRH in Notch3 knockdown cells suggested that PRH was unable to drive 433 proliferation when it was decoupled from activation of Notch3 expression (Fig.5C). 434 435 Interestingly, we noted a reduced level of PRH mRNA and protein expression from the adenoviral PRH construct in the Notch3 knockdown cells compared to the control (Fig.5A 436 and Fig.5B) suggesting that Notch3 may regulate PRH expression at the level of transcript 437 438 stability. We found the same effects on proliferation and expression of genes and proteins shown in Fig.5A/B when the viral MOI was increased in the Notch3 knockdown cells to give 439 equal PRH protein expression and we therefore proceeded to perform RNA-seq in the 440

presence of equal MOIs. Across all four sample combinations, we found 5397 DEGs which 441 were correlated with either PRH or Notch3 expression. Of these, 4356 were Notch3-442 correlated (2110 positively correlated i.e. activated downstream of Notch3, and 2246 443 negatively correlated i.e. repressed downstream of Notch3), and 1041 were PRH-correlated 444 (604 positively and 437 negatively)(Fig.5D). We found strong enrichment of c-myc target 445 genes when we performed GSEA analysis on the 1041 PRH correlated genes (Fig.5D lower 446 447 panel). In addition to c-myc target genes, we found enrichment of a variety of gene sets (including Wnt/β-catenin signalling) that are also enriched in the Notch3-correlated gene set, 448 449 suggesting that PRH and Notch3 regulate different genes in the same pathways (Fig.5D). Finally, we present summary heat maps with hierarchical clustering analysis of all RNA-seq 450 samples showing PRH dependence, Notch dependence or co-dependence of genes (Fig.S4A) 451 and heat maps with hierarchical clustering analysis of RNA-seq samples for selected 452 pathways (Fig.S4B). 453

454

455 *Identification of PRH binding sites in CCA cells.*

To identify putative direct targets of PRH, we determined the genome-wide binding sites of 456 PRH in CCLP1 cells using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) with a 457 myc-tag antibody and chromatin prepared from myc-PRH or empty adenovirus infected 458 CCLP1 cells and assigned ChIP peaks to the nearest transcription start site within 100kb. 459 460 Comparison of these putative direct PRH target genes with the DEGs from the myc-PRH over-expression RNA-seq experiment suggests that of the 1410 up-regulated genes, only 143 461 (10.1%) were direct targets, whereas of the 889 down-regulated genes, 397 (44.7%) were 462 direct PRH targets (see Venn diagram, Fig.5E). These data produced the first consensus 463 binding site identified for PRH in cells (Fig.5F); the most strongly enriched motif (64.2% of 464 peaks, $p=10^{-96}$) underlying PRH ChIP-seq peaks contains a core ATTA motif characteristic of 465

homeodomain transcription factor binding sites (Fig.5F) and is in good agreement with the 466 avian PRH binding site determined in vitro by SELEX (38). Variants of this sequence have 467 been identified upstream of several PRH regulated genes in other cell types (39). Analysis of 468 the putative directly regulated genes using GSEA, revealed enrichment of genes associated 469 with apoptosis, proliferation (mitotic spindle and G2M checkpoint), EMT, and signalling 470 pathways including IL6, IL2, p53 and TNF-a (Fig.S5A). Multiple genes associated with 471 472 EMT including genes involved in cell adhesion or cell migration were present in these groups, however these targets do not include the SNAI/TWIST/ZEB families of EMT 473 474 transcription factors that regulate CDH1 or CDH1 itself. PRH did not directly bind near NOTCH3 and thus PRH may regulate Notch pathway genes by indirect means. However, 475 CDKN1B and CDKN2B genes (Fig.S5B and Fig.S5C) and a number of genes associated with 476 Wnt signalling including DKK1, WNT11 (Fig.5G and Fig.5H), TCF7L1 and WNT16 had 477 nearby PRH ChIP peaks. 478

479

480 *Notch3-dependent and Notch3-independent effects on Wnt signalling.*

To determine whether PRH regulates Wnt signalling in these cells, we made use of the 481 TOPFlash TCF/LEF luciferase Wnt-signalling reporter system (40). Transient transfection of 482 plasmids encoding myc-PRH into both CCLP1 and CCSW1 cells significantly increased 483 TOPFlash activity compared to the empty vector control (Fig.6A) or after over-expression of 484 485 the DNA-binding deficient N187A PRH mutant (Fig.6A, inset). Transfection of FLAG-Notch3-ICD did not alter the activity of the TOPFlash reporter (Fig.6A) despite robust 486 protein expression (Fig.S3H) and changes in the expression of Notch3 target genes (HEY2 487 488 and CCND2, Fig.S3I). This suggests that genes directly downstream of PRH rather than genes regulated by Notch3 are crucial for controlling the output of the canonical Wnt 489 signalling pathway in these cells. 490

β-catenin facilitates cross-talk between canonical Wnt signalling and cell-cell adhesion by 491 acting as a co-activator for TCF/LEF transcription factors and a structural component of the 492 adherens junctions complex that includes E-cadherin (41). Although there was no change of 493 494 β-catenin gene expression after PRH over-expression or knockdown, we observed a large increase in E-cadherin expression after PRH knockdown in CCLP1 cells (Fig.2E) and 495 decreased E-cadherin expression after PRH over-expression in BECs (Fig.2H). PRH 496 497 knockdown reduced β -catenin nuclear localization compared to the control as measured by immunofluorescence micrographs (Fig.6B) and densitometry of Western blots for β-catenin 498 499 following subcellular fractionation (Fig.6C). TCF/LEF reporter activity in PRH knockdown CCLP1 cells was also decreased (Fig.6D). To determine whether the increased expression of 500 E-cadherin could explain the reduction in TCF/LEF transcriptional activity in PRH KD cells, 501 502 we restored E-cadherin protein expression independently of EMT transcription factors in 503 CCLP1 cells by generating a stable cell line expressing the CDH1 gene under the control of the CMV promoter. Expression of E-cadherin decreased TOPFlash reporter activity (CDH1 504 empty TOP compared to pcDNA empty TOP in Fig.6E), suggesting that the increase in E-505 cadherin seen on PRH depletion (and consequent decrease in β-catenin nuclear localisation) 506 is likely to be responsible for decreased Wnt signalling. Moreover, E-cadherin over-507 expression significantly reduced the ability of PRH to increase TOPFlash reporter activity 508 (Fig.6E). Taken together, these data indicate that PRH directly promotes aberrant expression 509 of Wnt pathway genes leading to activation of Wnt-responsive transcription independently of 510 Notch3. In addition, repression of CDH1 downstream of PRH via Notch3 amplifies the 511 aberrant PRH-dependent Wnt signal. Thus PRH and Notch3 exert regulation over Wnt 512 signalling at multiple levels. 513

514

515 *PRH over-expression and sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs.*

22

As PRH regulated several components of the Notch signalling pathway including γ -secretase 516 components, we investigated whether PRH could modulate the inhibition of cell proliferation 517 by γ -secretase inhibition. Treatment with the γ -secretase inhibitor DAPT had a greater 518 inhibitory effect on the proliferation of CCLP1 cells than it did on the proliferation of CCLP1 519 cells over-expressing PRH (Fig.7A). To summarise these data we calculated a log ratio of 520 sensitivity CCLP1 PRH 521 between and over-expressing CCLP1, as $\log_2((P_{PRH,drug}/P_{PRH,vehicle})/(P_{empty,drug}/P_{empty,vehicle}))$ where P is the relative proliferative rate 522 measured by EdU incorporation (Fig.7B). The log ratio is negative if PRH expression 523 524 increases sensitivity to a drug, and positive if it increases resistance. PRH also drives canonical Wnt signalling in CCA cell lines, and we wondered whether the anti-proliferative 525 effect of Wnt pathway inhibition previously reported in CCA cell lines (7) would be 526 527 modulated by the PRH expression level. Treatment with the β -catenin/CBP interaction inhibitor ICG-001 had a greater inhibitory effect on the proliferation of CCLP1 cells than it 528 did on the proliferation CCLP1 cells over-expressing PRH (Fig.7A/7B and dose-response 529 curve Fig.S6A). These data indicate that high PRH levels induce resistance to canonical Wnt 530 pathway inhibition by ICG-001 as well as resistance to γ -secretase inhibition. It has recently 531 been shown in a mouse model of AML that repression of Cdkn2a by PRH is dependent on 532 recruitment of Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) (23). We therefore tested whether 533 inhibition of EZH2, the catalytic subunit of PRC2 (using UNC1999), would block 534 535 proliferation of CCLP1 cells in a PRH-dependent fashion. However, although EZH2 inhibition reduced cell proliferation, the effect was PRH-independent (Fig.7A/7B). 536

537 Since exogenous PRH represses *CDKN1B* and *CDKN2B* and activates *CCND2* indirectly via 538 Notch3 we next examined whether inhibition of CDK4/6 using palbociclib would block 539 proliferation in CCLP1 cells and whether sensitivity to this drug is modulated by PRH 540 expression level. EdU incorporation experiments with CCLP1 cells over-expressing PRH in

the presence of palbociclib showed that high levels of PRH sensitised CCLP1 cells to the 541 anti-proliferative effects of palbociclib (Fig.7A/7B and dose-response curve Fig.S6B). We 542 conclude that PRH over-expression drives CDK4/6 activity via both increased expression of 543 cyclin D2 (via Notch3) and by direct repression of p15 and p27 expression. To determine 544 whether increased PRH levels result in increased sensitivity to palbociclib in other CCA cell 545 lines, we over-expressed the protein in a panel of cell lines in the presence of palbociclib and 546 547 measured EdU incorporation. Figure 7C shows the log ratio of sensitivity between control cells and PRH over-expressing cells in each case. In all of the cell lines tested increased PRH 548 549 levels result in increased sensitivity to palbociclib treatment.

The effect of palbociclib on cell proliferation is thought to be dependent on the presence and 550 inhibition of phosphorylation of the Rb tumour suppressor protein (42). We therefore 551 examined Rb expression in the CCA cell lines and BECs used in this study. Interestingly, Rb 552 protein expression was very low or not detectable in primary BECs or immortalised BECs 553 although it is present in CCA cell lines (Fig.S7A). Moreover, treatment of CCA cell lines 554 with palbociclib at their LD50 reduced the levels of phosphorylated Rb in each case 555 (Fig.S7B). To determine whether the effects of palbociclib on the proliferation of CCLP1 556 cells requires the presence of Rb we knocked down Rb using siRNA. Although the 557 proliferation of control cells was inhibited by palbociclib treatment, Rb knockdown CCLP1 558 cells are far less sensitive to the effects of this drug (Fig.7D). In addition, palbociclib has no 559 560 effect on the proliferation of PRH knockdown CCLP1 cells (Fig.7D).

Figure 7E summarises the transcriptome and phenotypic changes that are dependent on PRH expression and the associated altered sensitivities to palbociclib and other chemotherapeutics. We conclude that the clinical efficacy of various chemotherapeutic strategies is likely to depend on PRH expression level, and that patient stratification on the basis of PRH

- 565 expression could improve the clinical usefulness of several compounds that have recently
- 566 been suggested as potential novel CCA treatments including palbociclib.

567

568 Discussion

569

Previous work in a variety of animal and *in vitro* models has suggested that hyper-activation 570 of both Wnt signalling (7) and Notch signalling (10) are crucial events in carcinogenesis and 571 progression of CCA. Here we show that both of these events have a common origin in the 572 dysregulation of PRH. We reveal that the PRH protein is elevated in human CCA cell lines 573 574 and primary tumours relative to primary BECs and we use a xenograft model and colony formation assays with primary human biliary epithelial cells to demonstrate the importance of 575 PRH in CCA. We show that PRH depletion in CCA cells decreases cell proliferation and 576 577 inhibits cell migration and cell invasion and brings about changes in gene expression consistent with MET. The ability of PRH to influence tumour cell behaviour is not confined 578 to increasing cell proliferation and maintaining a mesenchymal phenotype in CCA cell lines. 579 Indeed over-expression of PRH increases cell proliferation, migration, invasion and 580 anchorage independent growth of primary human BECs and AKN1 cells. Moreover transient 581 582 elevated PRH expression in primary BECs induces changes in gene expression characteristic of EMT. Collectively these experiments show that PRH is a novel oncoprotein in at least a 583 large proportion of CCA tumours and they suggest that PRH dysregulation underlies both 584 585 CCA and the biliary pathologies that precede CCA.

586

587 PRH regulates NOTCH3 in CCA

We have shown that PRH is a regulator of *NOTCH3* gene expression. However, ChIP-seq experiments did not show binding of PRH near *NOTCH3* or near to any of the other PRHregulated genes in the Notch pathway, such as *NOTCH1*, *JAG1* and *JAG2*. Although the regulation of *NOTCH3* appears to be indirect, it is one of only 53 genes that are differentially expressed upon both over-expression and knockdown of PRH. We also show that *NOTCH3* knockdown decreases PRH expression and that this creates a positive feedback loop. One

consequence of activation of a Notch3-PRH positive reinforcement loop in CCA and in 594 primary BECs is that a small perturbation to the activity or expression of either factor could 595 lead to amplification of gene expression changes that ultimately give rise to the phenotypic 596 alterations associated with CCA. Since we observed a strong correlation between HHEX and 597 NOTCH3 gene expression in the whole TCGA dataset, which contains samples with a variety 598 of driver mutations, we propose that a positive feedback loop between Notch3 and PRH is 599 600 initiated independently of the underlying mutational landscape through common changes in the tumour microenvironment and the intracellular signalling milieu. We also see correlation 601 602 of HHEX and HES1mRNA expression which may occur because of co-regulation of HES1 by PRH and Notch3. The co-activation of multiple genes in the pathway such as the gamma 603 secretase activator PSEN2 by Notch3 and PRH likely also leads to the increase in Notch3 604 605 ICD observed following PRH expression.

606 Previous studies showed that Notch3 promotes PI3K/Akt signalling in CCLP1 cells in a noncanonical manner (10). Here we present the first genome-wide identification of Notch3 607 regulated genes in CCA and we use GSEA to show that multiple PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway 608 genes are regulated by Notch3. Interestingly, the most strongly enriched pathway in the 609 Notch3-correlated gene set is 'cholesterol homeostasis', and 'bile acid metabolism' is also 610 enriched. Cholesterol-derived conjugated bile acids can drive cholangiocarcinoma cell 611 proliferation and cholestasis is a known risk factor for CCA (43, 44) suggesting that part of 612 613 the effect of Notch3 in CCA could be due to dysregulation of bile acid synthesis and metabolism. 614

615

616 PRH regulates Wnt signalling

617 PRH over-expression in CCLP1 cells resulted in the differential expression of several genes618 involved in Wnt signalling including *WNT11*, *WNT16*, *TCF7L1* and the endogenous LRP6

inhibitor DKK1, and PRH ChIP-seq showed binding of PRH at these loci. These results 619 suggest that PRH directly regulates several key genes in the Wnt signalling pathway. PRH 620 also indirectly promotes Wnt signalling in CCLP1 cells through Notch3-mediated repression 621 of CDH1. We infer that the dominant effect of Notch3 on Wnt signalling is to increase the 622 available pool of β -catenin and thus amplify the effects of PRH on Wnt signalling. In 623 addition our PRH over-expression ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data reveal that many EMT-624 associated genes are direct targets of PRH, including FAP, DST and ITGAV. Thus we 625 conclude that PRH and Notch3 collaborate to drive EMT and Wnt signalling; PRH directly 626 regulates genes that impact on both pathways and indirectly regulates additional genes in the 627 same pathways via Notch3. Macrophages in the tumour microenvironment have been 628 proposed to provide a source of Wnt ligands to drive the dysregulated Wnt signalling 629 observed in CCA (7). We suggest that independently of exogenous Wnts, the Notch3-PRH 630 loop may also drive aberrant autocrine Wnt signalling in CCA. 631

PRH plays a complex role in liver development; PRH null mice are embryonic lethal (45) 632 with multiple defects including defective liver development, decreased proliferation and 633 migration of hepatic progenitors (46, 47). Conditional deletion of PRH (FoxA3-Cre) results 634 in liver hypoplasia and loss of extrahepatic ducts, whereas a later conditional deletion (Alfp-635 Cre) results in viable mice with cystic ducts and decreased differentiated intrahepatic bile 636 ducts (48). Here we show that PRH protein expression is turned off in mature human bile 637 ducts and that its re-expression in bile duct epithelial cells promotes cell proliferation and cell 638 invasion. One possibility is that the aberrant expression of PRH in differentiated adult bile 639 duct cells mimics the role that PRH plays in promoting tissue growth in early organogenesis 640 as the PRH partners that are required for PRH dependent bile duct differentiation are likely 641 limiting. 642

643

644

645

646 *PRH and response to chemotherapeutics*

We have shown that PRH influences resistance to canonical Wnt pathway inhibition by 647 ICG-001 (an inhibitor of β -catenin acetylation by CBP). As ICG-001 is reported to be 648 effective at reducing tumour growth in a mouse model of CCA carcinogenesis (7) and is in 649 clinical trial for various solid tumours (49), it could become a compound of interest in the 650 development of novel CCA treatments. Our data suggests that in this case, patient 651 stratification on the basis of PRH expression may be useful to optimise the clinical benefits of 652 this drug or its future derivatives. Inhibition of Notch signalling by targeting the γ -secretase 653 complex is an emerging chemotherapeutic strategy for a variety of cancers (71 clinical trials 654 undertaken as of 2018 (50)). Our data suggests that as well as driving aberrant Notch 655 signalling, PRH also determines resistance to Notch inhibition, at least by non-transition state 656 analogue γ -secretase inhibitors such as DAPT. Our Notch3 knockdown data suggests that 657 direct targeting of Notch3 (for example, by a blocking antibody or by a small molecule that 658 659 specifically interrupts interactions between Notch3-ICD and components of the Notch 660 transcriptional complex) could be more effective than γ -secretase inhibition. In addition, the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib strongly inhibited the proliferation of CCA cells in the presence 661 of exogenous PRH whereas it had significantly less effect on control cells at the same 662 concentration and PRH knockdown cells were resistant to pablociclib treatment. The effects 663 of palbociclib on CCA cells are thought to be dependent on Rb expression and it is interesting 664 to note that primary BECs and immortalised BECs express much lower levels of Rb than 665 CCA cell lines. Moreover, the effects of palbociclib on CCLP1 cells are largely lost in the 666 absence of Rb and are abolished when PRH is knocked down. These data suggest that CCA 667 cells with high PRH are likely to be highly sensitive to palbociclib treatment and that this 668

sensitivity is driven by the presence of PRH in these cells, but also requires Rb. These data
also indicate that at least part of the mechanism by which PRH drives cell proliferation is
likely to be through hyper-activation of CDK4/6. We show that PRH activates cyclin D2
expression via Notch3 and directly represses *CDKN2B* (p27) and *CDKN1B* (p15). Moreover
these data suggest that palbociclib or other CDK4/6 specific inhibitors could be especially
effective in the treatment of CCA with high PRH expression levels.

In conclusion, we propose that monitoring PRH and Notch3 levels in patients with high CCA risk biliary pathologies, either directly by biopsy or indirectly by detection of the protein products of a set of PRH/Notch3 transcriptional targets (such as cell surface proteins or secreted proteins) in bile or serum may be a useful diagnostic tool to help predict the development of CCA in at-risk groups such as PSC patients in the West and liver flukeinfected patients in south-east Asia. In addition, monitoring PRH expression in patients with CCA may be a useful tool for guiding the choice of chemotherapeutic strategy.

682 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ms L. Wallace for technical assistance isolating primary BECs, Dr
Anetta Ptasinska for help with the ChIP protocol and Ms Gagandeep Batth for Akt Western
blotting experiments. We thank Prof. Constanze Bonifer for comments on the manuscript and
for providing palbociclib and Dr John Halsall for UNC1999. We also thank Prof. Stefan
Roberts (University of Bristol) for critical reading of this manuscript and useful discussions.
This work was funded by an MRC Newton Fund Project Grant (MR/N012615/1) and
Thailand Research Fund (TRF) (DBG5980005).

690

691 <u>References</u>

Bridgewater et al. PRG, , Shahid A. Khan, Josep M. Llovet, , Joong-Won Park Tushar Patel,
Timothy M. Pawlik, Gregory J. Gores. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intrahepatic
cholangiocarcinoma. *journal of Hepatology* 2014; 60: 1268-1289.

Landskron G, De la Fuente M, Thuwajit P, Thuwajit C, Hermoso MA. Chronic inflammation and cytokines in the tumor microenvironment. *J Immunol Res* 2014; 2014: 149185.

697 3 Ye X, Weinberg RA. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Plasticity: A Central Regulator of Cancer
698 Progression. *Trends in cell biology* 2015; 25: 675-686.

Takebe N, Warren RQ, Ivy SP. Breast cancer growth and metastasis: interplay between
cancer stem cells, embryonic signaling pathways and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Breast cancer research : BCR* 2011; 13: 211.

Polyak K, Weinberg RA. Transitions between epithelial and mesenchymal states: acquisition
 of malignant and stem cell traits. *Nature reviews Cancer* 2009; 9: 265-273.

Cardinale V, Renzi A, Carpino G, Torrice A, Bragazzi MC, Giuliante F *et al.* Profiles of cancer
stem cell subpopulations in cholangiocarcinomas. *The American journal of pathology* 2015; 185:
1724-1739.

707 7 Boulter L, Guest RV, Kendall TJ, Wilson DH, Wojtacha D, Robson AJ *et al*. WNT signaling
 708 drives cholangiocarcinoma growth and can be pharmacologically inhibited. *The Journal of clinical* 709 *investigation* 2015; 125: 1269-1285.

7108Guest RV, Boulter L, Dwyer BJ, Forbes SJ. Understanding liver regeneration to bring new711insights to the mechanisms driving cholangiocarcinoma. NPJ Regen Med 2017; 2: 13.

Loilome W, Bungkanjana P, Techasen A, Namwat N, Yongvanit P, Puapairoj A *et al*. Activated
macrophages promote Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in cholangiocarcinoma cells. *Tumour biology : the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine* 2014; 35: 53575367.

716 10 Guest RV, Boulter L, Dwyer BJ, Kendall TJ, Man TY, Minnis-Lyons SE *et al*. Notch3 drives

717 development and progression of cholangiocarcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016; 113: 12250718 12255.

719 11 Geisler F, Strazzabosco M. Emerging roles of Notch signaling in liver disease. *Hepatology*720 2015; 61: 382-392.

Boulter L, Govaere O, Bird TG, Radulescu S, Ramachandran P, Pellicoro A *et al*. Macrophagederived Wnt opposes Notch signaling to specify hepatic progenitor cell fate in chronic liver disease. *Nature medicine* 2012; 18: 572-579.

724 13 Gaston K, Tsitsilianos MA, Wadey K, Jayaraman PS. Misregulation of the proline rich

homeodomain (PRH/HHEX) protein in cancer cells and its consequences for tumour growth and
invasion. *Cell & bioscience* 2016; 6: 12.

727 14 Zong Y, Stanger BZ. Molecular mechanisms of bile duct development. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*728 2011; 43: 257-264.

72915Bhave VS, Mars W, Donthamsetty S, Zhang X, Tan L, Luo J *et al*. Regulation of liver growth by730glypican 3, CD81, hedgehog, and Hhex. *The American journal of pathology* (Research Support, N.I.H.,

731 Extramural) 2013; 183: 153-159.

Su J, You P, Zhao JP, Zhang SL, Song SH, Fu ZR *et al*. A potential role for the homeoprotein
Hhex in hepatocellular carcinoma progression. *Med Oncol* (Comparative Study

734 Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't) 2012; 29: 1059-1067.

735 17 Marfil V, Blazquez M, Serrano F, Castell JV, Bort R. Growth-promoting and tumourigenic

activity of c-Myc is suppressed by Hhex. *Oncogene* (Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't) 2015; 34:
3011-3022.

738 18 Kershaw RM, Siddiqui YH, Roberts D, Jayaraman PS, Gaston K. PRH/HHex inhibits the 739 migration of breast and prostate epithelial cells through direct transcriptional regulation of Endoglin. 740 Oncogene 2014; 33: 5592-5600. 741 Siddiqui YH, Kershaw RM, Humphreys EH, Assis Junior EM, Chaudhri S, Jayaraman PS et al. 19 742 CK2 abrogates the inhibitory effects of PRH/HHEX on prostate cancer cell migration and invasion and 743 acts through PRH to control cell proliferation. Oncogenesis 2017; 6: e293. 744 20 Kershaw RM, Roberts D, Wragg J, Shaaban AM, Humphreys E, Halsall J et al. Proline-Rich 745 Homeodomain protein (PRH/HHEX) is a suppressor of breast tumour growth. Oncogenesis 2017; 6: 746 e346. 747 Smith S, Tripathi R, Goodings C, Cleveland S, Mathias E, Hardaway JA et al. LIM Domain Only-21 748 2 (LMO2) Induces T-Cell Leukemia by Two Distinct Pathways. PloS one 2014; 9. 749 McCormack MP, Young LF, Vasudevan S, de Graaf CA, Codrington R, Rabbitts TH et al. The 22

Lmo2 oncogene initiates leukemia in mice by inducing thymocyte self-renewal. *Science* 2010; 327:
879-883.

Shields BJ, Jackson JT, Metcalf D, Shi W, Huang Q, Garnham AL *et al*. Acute myeloid leukemia
requires Hhex to enable PRC2-mediated epigenetic repression of Cdkn2a. *Genes Dev* 2016; 30: 7891.

Zamparini AL, Watts T, Gardner CE, Tomlinson SR, Johnston GI, Brickman JM. Hex acts with
beta-catenin to regulate anteroposterior patterning via a Groucho-related co-repressor and Nodal. *Development* 2006; 133: 3709-3722.

Marfil V, Moya M, Pierreux CE, Castell JV, Lemaigre FP, Real FX *et al.* Interaction between
 Hhex and SOX13 modulates Wnt/TCF activity. *JBiolChem* (M109.046649 pii

760 ;10.1074/jbc.M109.046649 doi) 2010; 285: 5726-5737.

Nussler AK, Vergani G, Gollin SM, Dorko K, Morris SM, Jr., Demetris AJ *et al.* Isolation and
characterization of a human hepatic epithelial-like cell line (AKN-1) from a normal liver. *In vitro cellular & developmental biology Animal* 1999; 35: 190-197.

Shimizu Y, Demetris AJ, Gollin SM, Storto PD, Bedford HM, Altarac S *et al*. Two new human
cholangiocarcinoma cell lines and their cytogenetics and responses to growth factors, hormones,
cytokines or immunologic effector cells. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer* 1992; 52: 252-260.

Sripa B, Leungwattanawanit S, Nitta T, Wongkham C, Bhudhisawasdi V, Puapairoj A *et al.*Establishment and characterization of an opisthorchiasis-associated cholangiocarcinoma cell line
(KKU-100). *World journal of gastroenterology : WJG* 2005; 11: 3392-3397.

Sirisinha S, Tengchaisri T, Boonpucknavig S, Prempracha N, Ratanarapee S, Pausawasdi A.
Establishment and characterization of a cholangiocarcinoma cell line from a Thai patient with
introduction bills duct concern. Asian Proc. J. Allorem. January J. 1001: 01:152-157.

intrahepatic bile duct cancer. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol* 1991; 9: 153-157.

 774
 30
 Rattanasinganchan P, Leelawat K, Treepongkaruna SA, Tocharoentanaphol C,

775 Subwongcharoen S, Suthiphongchai T *et al*. Establishment and characterization of a

cholangiocarcinoma cell line (RMCCA-1) from a Thai patient. *World journal of gastroenterology : WJG* 2006; 12: 6500-6506.

Ileo A, Zhang W, McDonald WH, Seeley EH, Leung PS, Coppel RL *et al.* Shotgun proteomics:
identification of unique protein profiles of apoptotic bodies from biliary epithelial cells. *Hepatology*2014; 60: 1314-1323.

Desjobert C, Noy P, Swingler T, Williams H, Gaston K, Jayaraman PS. The PRH/Hex repressor
 protein causes nuclear retention of Groucho/TLE co-repressors. *The Biochemical journal* (Research
 Support, Non-U.S. Gov't) 2009; 417: 121-132.

Jayaraman P, Frampton J, Goodwin G. The homeodomain protein PRH influences the
 differentiation of haematopoietic cells. *LeukRes* 2000; 24: 1023-1031.

786 34 Noy P, Sawasdichai A, Jayaraman PS, Gaston K. Protein kinase CK2 inactivates PRH/Hhex

using multiple mechanisms to de-repress VEGF-signalling genes and promote cell survival. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40: 9008-9020.

789 35 Borowicz S, Van Scoyk M, Avasarala S, Karuppusamy Rathinam MK, Tauler J, Bikkavilli RK et 790 al. The soft agar colony formation assay. Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE 2014: e51998. 791 Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdottir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. The Molecular 36 792 Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst 2015; 1: 417-425. 793 37 Manning BD, Toker A. AKT/PKB Signaling: Navigating the Network. Cell 2017; 169: 381-405. 794 38 Crompton MR, Bartlett TJ, MacGregor AD, Manfioletti G, Buratti E, Giancotti V et al. 795 Identification of a novel vertebrate homeobox gene expressed in haematopoietic cells. Nucleic Acids 796 Res 1992; 20: 5661-5667. 797 39 Williams H, Jayaraman PS, Gaston K. DNA wrapping and distortion by an oligomeric 798 homeodomain protein. JMolBiol 2008; 383: 10-23. 799 40 Korinek V, Barker N, Morin PJ, van Wichen D, de Weger R, Kinzler KW et al. Constitutive 800 transcriptional activation by a beta-catenin-Tcf complex in APC-/- colon carcinoma. Science 1997; 801 275: 1784-1787. 802 Bienz M. beta-Catenin: a pivot between cell adhesion and Wnt signalling. Current biology : 41 803 CB 2005; 15: R64-67. 804 42 Knudsen ES, Hutcheson J, Vail P, Witkiewicz AK. Biological specificity of CDK4/6 inhibitors: 805 dose response relationship, in vivo signaling, and composite response signature. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 806 43678-43691. 807 43 Liu R, Zhao R, Zhou X, Liang X, Campbell DJ, Zhang X et al. Conjugated bile acids promote 808 cholangiocarcinoma cell invasive growth through activation of sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 2. 809 Hepatology 2014; 60: 908-918. 810 44 Lozano E, Sanchez-Vicente L, Monte MJ, Herraez E, Briz O, Banales JM et al. Cocarcinogenic 811 effects of intrahepatic bile acid accumulation in cholangiocarcinoma development. Molecular cancer 812 research : MCR 2014; 12: 91-100. 813 Hallag H, Pinter E, Enciso J, McGrath J, Zeiss C, Brueckner M et al. A null mutation of Hhex 45 814 results in abnormal cardiac development, defective vasculogenesis and elevated Vegfa levels. 815 Development 2004; 131: 5197-5209. 816 46 Bort R, Martinez-Barbera JP, Beddington RS, Zaret KS. Hex homeobox gene-dependent tissue 817 positioning is required for organogenesis of the ventral pancreas. Development 2004; 131: 797-806. 818 47 Bort R, Signore M, Tremblay K, Martinez Barbera JP, Zaret KS. Hex homeobox gene controls 819 the transition of the endoderm to a pseudostratified, cell emergent epithelium for liver bud 820 development. DevBiol 2006; 290: 44-56. 821 Hunter MP, Wilson CM, Jiang X, Cong R, Vasavada H, Kaestner KH et al. The homeobox gene 48 822 Hhex is essential for proper hepatoblast differentiation and bile duct morphogenesis. DevBiol 2007; 823 308: 355-367. 824 49 Lyou Y, Habowski AN, Chen GT, Waterman ML. Inhibition of nuclear Wnt signalling: 825 challenges of an elusive target for cancer therapy. Br J Pharmacol 2017; 174: 4589-4599. 826 50 Tamagnone L, Zacchigna S, Rehman M. Taming the Notch Transcriptional Regulator for 827 Cancer Therapy. Molecules 2018; 23. 828 829

830

831

832 Figure legends

833 Figure 1 PRH is over-expressed in cholangiocarcinoma.

(A) Representative immunostaining of PRH in a cholangiocarcinoma tissue microarray. 834 Arrowheads mark border tissue biliary epithelium. (B) Quantification of PRH staining 835 intensity in non-involved bile ducts compared to cholangiocarcinoma. (C) Western blot 836 showing PRH expression in four human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines, two human primary 837 biliary epithelial cell isolates and an immortalised cholangiocyte cell line. (D) Growth of 838 CCLP1 control (34±17 mm³) and PRH knockdown tumours (176±27 mm²) in nude mouse 839 xenografts, n=9 per group, p=0.0006 at day 25. (E) Proportion of colony initiating cells in 840 soft agar for PRH knockdown (3.4±0.4%) and control (7.6±0.5%) CCLP1 cells, n=3, p=0.01. 841 (F) Final cross-sectional area of colonies in soft agar for PRH knockdown (2063 \pm 103 μ m²) 842 and control (4783±53 µm²) CCLP1 cells, n=3, p=0.002. (G, H) As E,F for AKN1 cells. (I) 843 844 Colony formation in soft agar of primary BECs infected with Ad myc-PRH or empty adenovirus. *denotes p<0.05. 845

846

847 Figure 2 Effects of PRH manipulation on cholangiocarcinoma cell biology.

(A) Proliferation of CCLP1 cells stably transfected with PRH shRNA or scrambled control, 848 n=3, p=0.03. (B) Proliferation of CCLP1 and CCSW1 cells infected with Ad myc-PRH or 849 empty virus control, n=3, p=0.03 (CCLP1), p=0.02 (CCSW1). (C) Proliferation of AKN1 and 850 primary BECs over-expressing GFP-PRH-myc (stable) or myc-PRH (transient, 48 hours) 851 n=3, p=0.003 (AKN1), p=0.006 (BEC). (D) Morphology of CCLP1 cells stably transfected 852 853 with PRH shRNA or scrambled control. (E) Western blot showing increased expression of Ecadherin protein after PRH knockdown. Lamin A/C as loading control. (F) Migration of 854 CCLP1 PRH knockdown cells through transwell filters in a 10% serum gradient, n=3, 855 p=0.03. (G) Invasion of CCLP1 cells through Matrigel, n=4, p=0.03. (H) Western blotting for 856

myc-PRH and EMT associated proteins E-cadherin and Vimentin in AKN1 cells and primary BECs. (I,J) As G,H for AKN1 cells and primary BECs. *denotes p<0.05. (K) Morphology of equal numbers of AKN1 cells stably transfected with plasmids expressing GFP (control) or a GFP-PRH-Myc-tagged fusion protein (GFP-PRH-Myc). The scale bars represent 50 μ M in length.

862

Figure 3 RNA sequencing of PRH knockdown and over-expressing CCLP1 cells.

(A) Number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) detected in PRH knockdown (KD) and
over-expression (OE) experiments, and overlap of these gene sets. (B,C) GSEA using
Hallmark gene sets for PRH OE and KD DEGs. Red bars represent Hallmark sets that are
enriched in both PRH KD and OE DEG lists. FDR – false discovery rate. (D) log2 fold
change of gene expression from PRH OE and KD RNA-seq for BEC related genes. TF transcription factor.

870

871 Figure 4 Notch3 expression is regulated by PRH.

872 (A) Western blot showing Notch3 protein expression in PRH knockdown CCLP1 cells. (B) NOTCH3 gene expression in CCLP1 and CCSW1 cells infected with Ad myc-PRH, Ad myc-873 PRH DNA-binding deficient N187A mutant or empty virus control. (C) Western blot for 874 875 CCLP1 samples in panel B. (D) Notch3 protein expression correlates with PRH protein expression in four human cholangiocarcinoma cell lines, two human primary biliary epithelial 876 cell isolates and an immortalised BEC line. (E) Western blot showing elevated expression of 877 Notch3 upon PRH over-expression in both AKN1 cells and primary BECs. (F) Western blot 878 879 showing increased expression of E-cadherin and reduced expression of PRH proteins after Notch3 knockdown. Lamin A/C as loading control. (G) Proliferation of CCLP1 cells stably 880 transfected with Notch3 shRNA or scrambled control, n=3, p=0.04. (H) Morphology of 881

882 CCLP1 cells stably transfected with Notch3 shRNA or scrambled control. (I) DEGs detected 883 in Notch3 KD compared to PRH KD experiments. Hypergeometric test $p=10^{-229}$ for up-884 regulated genes and $p=10^{-31}$ for down-regulated genes. (J) Hallmark GSEA of genes 885 differentially expressed after Notch3 KD. Red bars indicate gene sets that are also enriched 886 after PRH knockdown.

887

888 Figure 5 Notch3- and PRH-correlated gene sets.

(A) qRT-PCR analysis of genes from CCLP1 cells over-expressing PRH in the presence or 889 890 absence of Notch3 shRNA identifying PRH and Notch3 correlated expression signatures. (B) Western blot analysis of EMT proteins E-cadherin and Vimentin and Cyclin D2 in CCLP1 891 cells over-expressing myc-PRH in the presence of absence of Notch3 shRNA. (C) 892 893 Proliferation of CCLP1 cells over-expressing myc-PRH in the presence or absence of Notch3 894 shRNA. (D) Hallmark GSEA of Notch3-correlated and PRH correlated gene sets identified from analysis of RNA-seq data. Red bars indicate gene sets enriched in both PRH- and 895 896 Notch3-correlated sets. * denotes p<0.05 after Bonferroni correction, compared to nontargeting shRNA/empty virus control. # denotes no statistically significant difference in the 897 comparison indicated. (E) Overlap of genes with PRH binding sites determined by ChIP-seq 898 and genes that are differentially expressed after PRH over-expression determined by RNA-899 seq in CCLP1 cells. (F) Comparison of the primary motif underlying PRH ChIP-seq peaks 900 901 identified using HOMER with the PRH SELEX motif (derived from (38)). (G) RNA-seq and ChIP-seq tracks of putative direct PRH target DKK1. Red tracks indicate myc-PRH over-902 expression. (H). RNA-seq and ChIP-seq tracks of putative direct PRH target WNT11. Red 903 904 tracks indicate myc-PRH over-expression.

905

906 Figure 6 Regulation of Wnt signalling.

907 (A) TOPFlash TCF/LEF reporter activity in CCLP1 and CCSW1 cells expressing myc-PRH, DNA-binding deficient N187A mutant of myc-PRH and Flag-Notch3-ICD. Inset: 908 showing expression of myc-PRH constructs. (B) Representative 909 Western blot 910 immunofluorescence micrographs of CCLP1 PRH knockdown cells stained for E-cadherin and β-catenin. (C) Western blot of subcellular fractions of CCLP1 PRH knockdown cells. 911 (D) TOPFlash reporter activity in PRH knockdown CCLP1 cells. (E) TOPFlash reporter 912 activity in control CCLP1 cells (pcDNA empty) and CCLP1 cells over-expressing E-cadherin 913 (CDH1) in the presence and absence of myc-PRH expression. Inset: Western blot showing 914 915 successful over-expression of E-cadherin. *denotes p<0.05, # denotes no statistically significant difference. 916

917

918 Figure 7 Altered sensitivity to therapeutics.

(A) Proliferation measured by EdU incorporation in CCLP1 cells infected with Ad empty or 919 Ad myc-PRH and treated with various compounds used at their LD50 as shown (B) Log 920 921 sensitivity ratio to compounds from panel A. Drugs with a log ratio >0 are less effective after PRH over-expression and vice versa. *denotes a log ratio significantly different (p<0.05) 922 from 0, # denotes no significant difference. (C) Proliferation measured by EdU incorporation 923 in a panel of CCA cell lines infected with Ad empty or Ad myc-PRH and treated with 924 palbociclib at their LD50. CCLP1, CCSW and KKU-213 (LD50= 100nM) and KKU-100 925 926 (LD50=150nM) as in (A) and presented as log sensitivity ratio as in (B) (*denotes p<0.05, **denotes p<0.01). (D) Three independent control and Rb knockdown CCLP1 cell 927 populations were treated with palbociclib at 100nM and cell proliferation measured using 928 EdU incorporation assays. Inset: Western blot showing successful knockdown of Rb. 929 *denotes p<0.05, ns denotes no statistically significant difference. (E) Schematic of pathways 930 affected by PRH in CCA cells and compounds targeting these pathways. Red indicates 931

- 932 compounds whose efficacy is reduced by PRH over-expression and green indicates
- 933 compounds whose efficacy is increased.





Figure 2













Figure 5











A runaway PRH/HHEX-Notch3 positive feedback loop drives cholangiocarcinoma and determines response to CDK4/6 inhibition

Philip Kitchen, Ka Ying Lee, Danielle Clark, et al.

Cancer Res Published OnlineFirst December 16, 2019.



E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2019/12/14/0008-5472.CAN-19-0942. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.