View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Repository@Nottingham

1 **Prevalence, risk factors and genotype distribution of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in soil in**
2 **China**
3

4 Wei Cong[a,*], Nian-Zhang Zhang[b], Rui-Si Hu[b], Feng-Cai Zou[c], Yang Zou[b], Wen-Yan
5 Zhong[d], Jing-Jing Wu[d], Christopher J. Fallaize[e,f], Xing-Quan Zhu[b], Hany M. Elsheikha[f,**]
6

7 [a] *Marine College, Shandong University at Weihai, Weihai, Shandong Province, PR China*
8 [b] *State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Key Laboratory of Veterinary Parasitology of*
9 *Gansu Province, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences,*
10 *Lanzhou, Gansu Province, PR China*
11 [c] *College of Veterinary Medicine, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, Yunnan Province, 650201,*
12 *PR China*
13 [d] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada*
14 [e] *School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom*
15 [f] *Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, University of*
16 *Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough, United Kingdom*
17
18

19 ***Correspondence:**
20 *Wei Cong*
21 *messicw@163.com*
22 *Hany M. Elsheikha*
23 *hany.elsheikha@nottingham.ac.uk*
24

25
26 ## ABSTRACT

27 In the present study, we performed a cross-sectional survey to determine the occurrence and
28 genotype distribution of *T. gondii* DNA in soil samples collected from different sources from
29 six geographic regions in China. Between March 2015 and June 2017, 2100 soil samples were
30 collected from schools, parks, farms and coastal beaches, and examined for *T. gondii* DNA
31 using three PCR assays targeting 529-bp repeat element (RE) sequence, B1 gene and ITS-1
32 gene sequences. Also, we investigated whether geographic region, soil source and type, and
33 sampling season can influence the prevalence of *T. gondii* DNA in the soil. Soil samples
34 collected from farms and parks had the highest prevalence, whereas samples collected from
35 school playgrounds and coastal beaches had the lowest prevalence. PCR assays targeting 529-
36 bp RE and ITS-1 gene sequences were more sensitive than the B1 gene-based assay. Positive
37 PCR products were genotyped using multi-locus PCR-RFLP, and ToxoDB #9 was the
38 predominant genotype found in the contaminated soil samples. Multiple logistic regression
39 identified factors correlated significantly with the presence of *T. gondii* DNA in the soil to be
40 the source of the soil, including farms (odds ratio 3.10; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.52 to
41 6.29; p = 0.002) and parks (2.59; 95% CI 1.28 to 5.27; p = 0.009). These results show that
42 Chinese soil hosts *T. gondii* of the most prevalent genotype in China (ToxoDB#9) and that the
43 soil type influences infection patterns.
44
45 **Keywords: Soil contamination; *Toxoplasma gondii*; Prevalence; Genotypes; China**
46
47
48 ## 1. Introduction

49 Toxoplasmosis is a zoonotic disease caused by the protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii*, which is
50 capable of infecting a wide range of avian and mammalian species (Montoya and Liesenfeld,
51 2004; Dubey and Beattie, 2010). Toxoplasmosis can cause serious illness and even death in
52 congenitally infected infants and immunocompromised patients (Dubey and Beattie, 2010).
53 This disease can also cause economic losses attributed to abortion and stillbirth in sheep and
54 goats (Dubey and Beattie, 2010; Robert-Gangneux and Dardé, 2012). In addition to con- genital
55 transmission, *T. gondii* is a foodborne and waterborne pathogen, which often leads to human
56 infection through the consumption of un- dercooked meat containing parasite cysts, or by
57 ingestion of food or water contaminated with sporulated oocysts (Frenkel et al., 1970).
58 Soil-borne toxoplasmosis has been reported in many countries, such as USA and Brazil
59 (Stagno et al., 1980; Coutinho et al., 1982), high- lighting the importance of avoiding accidental
60 ingestion of these en- vironmentally resistant oocysts (Alvarado-Esquivel et al., 2010; Dabritz
61 and Conrad, 2010). Although *T. gondii* oocysts spread in the environ- ment is an important
62 source of toxoplasmosis to humans and animals, little is known about the level of soil
63 contamination with oocysts and how prevalence varies across soil types in China.
64 Understanding the ecology of *T. gondii* in the soil can inform our environmental and public
65 health policy. In order to understand the factors contributing to oocyst adaptation and survival
66 in soil, an asso- ciation between the variables that influence the distribution of *T. gondii* oocysts
67 in soil, such as soil heterogeneity and climatic conditions, is required. Despite the availability
68 of some tools that can be used for the detection of *T. gondii* oocysts in the soil (Dubey and

Beattie, 2010; Dubey, 2010; Jones and Dubey, 2010; Salant et al., 2010), the pre- valence of oocysts in the soil remains poorly defined (Afonso et al., 2008; Lass et al., 2009; Dos Santos et al., 2010).

The objectives of this study were to (i) identify *T. gondii* oocyst's DNA in soil samples and compare infection prevalence and genotype distribution in six different geographic regions in China and (ii) identify the possible risk factors associated with soil contamination with *T. gondii* oocyst's DNA. Comparative analysis of the soil samples was performed using three PCR-based assays, targeting 529-bp RE, B1 gene and ITS-1 region of rDNA gene, for the detection of *T. gondii* oocyst DNA in soil samples. The study shows that 10.9% of the tested soil samples from various regions in China contained *T. gondii* DNA, which may pose a risk to humans.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study sites

This study was performed in six provinces in China, including Shandong (Eastern), Henan (Centeral), Jilin (northeastern), Gansu (Northwestern), Yunnan (Southwestern), and Guangdong (Southern). Data about the climatic conditions of the studied regions were obtained from the local government website of each province. Shandong province (34°23’~38°24′N, 114°48’~122°42′E) has warm temperate monsoon climate, with an annual average temperature of 11 °C–14 °C and an average illumination of 2290–2890 h. Average annual rainfall ranges between 550 and 950 mm, with an annual precipitation of 60%–70%, concentrated in the summer. Henan province (31°23’~36°22′N, 110°21’~116°39′E) has warm temperate to sub- tropical, humid to semi-humid monsoon climate. The annual average temperature ranges between 12 °C and 16 °C. Average annual rainfall is between 500 and 900 mm, and the annual precipitation is 50%, concentrated in the summer. Jilin province (40°50’~46°19′N, 121°38’~131°19′E) has temperate monsoon climate. This province has an average temperature of −11 °C in the winter, whereas in the summer the average temperature is 23 °C. Average annual rainfall is between 400 and 600 mm, and the annual precipitation is 80%, concentrated in the summer. Gansu province (32°31’~42°57′N, 92°13’~108°46′E) has temperate continental monsoon climate. The annual average temperature is between 0 °C and 16 °C. Average annual rainfall is between 36.6 and 734.9 mm, and the annual precipitation is 50%–70% concentrated between June and August. Yunnan province (21°8’~29°15′N, 97°31’~106°11′E) has tropical monsoon to subtropical monsoon climate. In the hottest month (July), the temperature ranges from 19 °C to 22 °C, in the coldest month (January) temperature is about 6 °C–8 °C. The distribution of precipitation in this province varies by season and region. Guangdong province (20°13’~25°31′N, 109°39’~117°19′E) has subtropical monsoon climate. The annual average temperature is between 19 °C and 24 °C and the average annual rainfall is between 1300 and 2500 mm.

2.2. Soil sampling

From March 2015 to June 2017, a total of 420 soil sampling spots were examined. These included sampling spots from 20 schools, 20 parks and 20 farms from each of the six provinces (i.e. 360 sampling spots), in addition to sampling from 60 coastal beaches in Shandong

112 province. From each sampling spot (~10 m^2), five soil samples from five randomly selected
113 locations within each sampling spot were collected (i.e, a total of 2100 samples). Each soil
114 sample of 20 g was collected 5 cm below the soil's surface using stainless steel scoops, air-
115 dried, and passed through 20-mesh sieve (Du et al., 2012). The types of soil sample surveyed
116 included brown soil, yellow brown soil, dark brown forest soil, chernozem (black-coloured
117 soil), fine sand, coarse sand, red soil, latosolic red soil, and yellow cinnamon soil.
118
119 *2.3. DNA extraction and PCR assays*
120 Oocysts present in the soil samples were purified as described previously (Lélu et al., 2012).
121 Isolation of oocyst DNA from the soil samples was carried out using E. Z.N.A.^{TM} Soil DNA
122 Kit (OMEGA, USA), following the manufacturer's recommendations. Extracted DNA was
123 stored at−20 °C until use. The presence of *T. gondii* DNA was examined by conventional, semi-
124 nested and nested PCR assays that targeted a 529bp-repeat element (RE), a 98bp fragment of
125 B1 gene and a 227bp fragment of the multicopy internal transcribed spacer-1 (ITS-1) region of
126 rDNA, as described previously (Homan et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2000; Burrells et al., 2013).
127 The three assays were run in triplicate and included negative (without DNA) and positive (DNA
128 extracted from tachyzoites of *T. gondii* RH strain genotype I) controls. The primers used in the
129 three PCR assays are listed in the Supplementary Table S1.
130
131 *2.4. Genotyping of T. gondii*
132 Positive PCR products were subjected to further genetic characterization. Genotyping was
133 carried out using PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis of 11
134 genes and sequences – SAG1, SAG2, alter. SAG2, SAG3, BTUB, GRA6, c22–8, L358, c29-2,
135 PK1, and Apico – previously used as markers for *T. gondii* genotypic dis- crimination (Cong et
136 al., 2015, 2017). Nine reference *T. gondii* strains, GT1, PTG, CTG, MAS, TgCgCa1, TgCatBr5,
137 TgWtdSc40, TgCatBr64, and TgToucan, were included as positive controls. PCRs were
138 performed in 25-μl volumes. Each reaction was set up using 1 × PCR buffer, 0.2 μM of each
139 primer, 200 μM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 U of HotStart*Taq*
140 DNA polymerase (TAKARA, Japan). The PCR products were amplified using a thermal cycler
141 (PTC 200, Bio- RAD) under the following conditions: 95 °C for 5 min to activate the DNA
142 polymerase, followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30s, 55 °C for 60s, and 72 °C for 90s, and a
143 final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Multiplex PCR-amplified products were diluted 1:1 in
144 sterile, double-distilled water, and used for nested PCR amplification with internal primers for
145 each marker, separately. A similar amplification program was used for the nested PCR. The
146 nested PCR amplifications were carried out with an annealing temperature of 60 °C for 60s for
147 all the markers except Apico, which was amplified at 55 °C. The nested PCR products were
148 digested with restriction enzymes for 1 h, but the temperature for each enzyme was used as per
149 the manufacturer's instructions. The restriction fragments were resolved on 2% agarose gels,
150 visualized by GoldenViewTM and photographed using a gel documentation system (UVP
151 GelDoc- ItTM Imaging System, Cambridge, U.K.). Details of the oligonucleotide primers used
152 in the Mutiplex PCR and nested PCR are shown in Table S2 and Table S3, respectively.
153
154 *2.5. Statistical analysis*

155 Associations between categorical variables were analyzed by Chi- square test. *P* values < 0.05 were considered to represent statistical significance. Univariate logistic regression was performed to determine associations between the presence of *T. gondii* DNA in the soil and various factors, such as soil source, soil type, geographic region, season, and climatic features. Multiple logistic regression (using generalized linear mixed models) was carried out to determine which of the variables, when all of them are considered in combination, explain the observed variation in prevalence of *T. gondii* DNA. To account for correlation between the samples collected at each of the 420 sites, the sampling site was included as a random effect. Although multiple sites were sampled in each region, there are only six regions and their potential effects are of intrinsic interest, so sampling region was considered as a fixed effect. However, this was found to be non-significant. Hence, the grouping structure in the random effects part of the model was by sampling site only. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for the effects of the different levels of significant was performed using statistical software R (Version 3.4.4; http://www. R-project.org) (R Development Core Team, 2011). The generalized linear mixed models were fitted using penalized quasi-likelihood using routines from the R library MASS (Venables and Ripley, 2002).
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171

172 **3. Results**

173

174 *3.1. Contamination levels of T. gondii DNA in the soil*

175 The prevalence of *T. gondii* DNA in 2100 soil samples from six provinces in China was determined. As shown in Table 1, 230 PCR positive *T. gondii* DNA samples were detected amounting to 10.9% overall prevalence of *T. gondii* DNA in all soil samples. The prevalence of *T. gondii* DNA detected in the individually tested samples ranged from 4.3% in high school playground to 16% in poultry farms (Table 1). Soil samples collected from farms (poultry [16%] and livestock [14.7%]) and parks (comprehensive [15%] and residential [14.7%]) had the highest prevalence with *T. gondii* DNA (Table 1). The lowest prevalence was detected in samples collected from school playgrounds (high school [4.3%] and elementary school [5.3%]) and coastal beach (6.7%).
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183

184 Out of the 420 sampled sites, 136 were positive, meaning that 32.3% of the tested sites were contaminated by *T. gondii* DNA (Table 2). Considering all types of the 420 sampling sites, the proportion of soil samples tested positive for *T. gondii* DNA ranged from 21.7% in high school playground to 55% in residential park. Again, farms (livestock [41.6%%] and poultry [40%]) and parks (residential park [55%] and comprehensive [35%]) had the highest prevalence of contamination with *T. gondii* DNA (Table 2). Samples collected from school play- grounds (high school [21.7%] and elementary [23.3%]) and coastal beach (20%), had the lowest prevalence (Table 2).
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192

193 *3.2. Factors influencing T. gondii prevalence in soil*

194 According to univariate logistic regression analysis, we identified the following risk factors for the presence of *T. gondii* oocysts in soil: costal beach (odds ratio, 14; 95% confidence interval [CI], 9.14 to 22.76; $p < 2e\text{-}16$), geographic region Gansu (8.37; 95% CI, 5.90 to 12.31; $p < 2e\text{-}16$), autumn season (5.81; 95% CI, 4.51 to 7.61; $p < 2e\text{-}16$), brown soil (7.82; 95% CI, 5.55 to 11.37; p < 2e-16); and subtropical monsoon climate (8.67; 95% CI, 6.08 to 12.83; *p* <
195
196
197
198

2e-16) (Table S4). Multiple logistic regression analysis identified soil source as the only significant factor influencing the presence of *T. gondii*. The reference level for soil source is "coastal beach" and the odds ratios relative to this reference level found to be statistically significant is: soil source farm (3.10; 95% CI 1.52 to 6.29; p =0.002) and soil source park (2.59; 95% CI 1.28 to 5.27; p = 0.009) (Table 3). Therefore, for ex- ample, we estimate that a soil source farm increases the odds ratio of presence of *T. gondii* DNA by a factor of 3.10 over that of coastal beach. Logistic regression analysis was also performed on the results obtained by individual PCR assays, and similar conclusions were obtained.

3.3. PCR-RFLP analysis

We compared the effectiveness of three different PCR assays targeting the sequences of 529-bp RE sequence, B1 gene and ITS-1 region of rDNA gene. Out of 2100 soil samples, amplification products were obtained from 179, 212 and 207 specimens using B1 gene, 529-bp RE and ITS-1 region of rDNA gene, respectively. Of these, 156 samples yielded amplification products using the three PCR assays, 10 samples were positive by 529-bp RE-based PCR assay, one sample was positive by B1 gene-(semi) nested PCR assay, and 9 samples were positive by ITS-1-nested PCR assay. The comparative performance of the three PCR assays in terms of detecting oocysts in soil samples is shown (Supplementary Fig. 1). Out of the 231 positive soil samples, 15 samples were successfully genotyped by PCR-RFLP and had restriction digest profiles consistent with that of the most prevalent Chinese *T. gondii* genotype ToxoDB#9 (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Better understanding of how environmental factors may influence the prevalence and genotype distribution of *T. gondii* DNA in the soil is essential for identification, assessment and management of the health and safety risks faced by members of the public. In the present study, we found that 29 (4.8%) out of 600 soil samples from public schools were positive for *T. gondii* DNA. This prevalence is less that reported in a previous report, where 15 (14.15%) out of 106 soil samples were found contaminated with *T. gondii* oocysts from schools in Lanzhou (capital city of Gansu province), northwest China using PCR method based on the 529-bp RE sequence (Wang et al., 2014). Also, 50% (60/ 120) of the soil samples examined from the parks in the present study were contaminated by *T. gondii* DNA. These findings support results obtained previously where *T. gondii* oocysts were found widely distributed in soil samples of public parks in Wuhan (Du et al., 2012) and Lanzhou (Wang et al., 2014) in China.

The presence of such high levels of *T. gondii* DNA contamination in the soil samples obtained from the playground of schools and parks is worrying because these areas have become an increasingly important in the outdoor activities of the people in China; for the elderly to work out and for the children to play. Various factors can influence the level of soil contamination with *T. gondii* DNA, such as the density of the felid definitive host, hygienic standards implemented in the parks and schools, and climatic conditions (Dumètre and Dardé, 2003; Afonso et al., 2008; Meerburg and Kijlstra, 2009; Gotteland et al., 2014). Cats play a key role in the transmission of *T. gondii* by depositing oocysts into the soil with their feces. Cat-derived oocysts persist in the environment for long periods (Dumètre and Dardé, 2003; Dubey

243 and Beattie, 2010; Dubey, 2010) and can contaminate the estuarial water through surface runoff
244 and soil washing. Given that cat-soil-intermediate host cycle presents a common mechanism
245 by which *T. gondii* can reach new hosts, public health authorities should consider investigating
246 the correlation between the presence of cats and the burden of *T. gondii* oocyst's contamination
247 in the playground of schools and public parks.
248 The factors contributing to the flux of *T. gondii* oocysts into coastal waters have received
249 some attention in the literature (Shapiro et al., 2010; Simon et al., 2013a,b). Anthropogenic
250 changes (human-induced alterations in the coastal landscape and destruction of wetland
251 habitats) and climate change (e.g. rising sea level, flooding and surface runoff) are among some
252 of the mechanisms contributing to the contamination of nearshore waters with terrestrially
253 derived zoonotic pathogens (Jones et al., 2008; Shapiro et al., 2010; Simon et al., 2013a,b;
254 VanWormer et al., 2016). In the present study, we found evidence for high prevalence (20%)
255 of *T. gondii* oocysts in soil samples from coastal/ bathing beaches (Table 2). *T. gondii* oocysts
256 kept in seawater (15 ppt NaCl) at 4 °C for 24 months maintained their infectivity to mice
257 (Lindsay and Dubey, 2009). Therefore, increased prevalence of *T. gondii* oocyst DNA
258 contamination in coastal beaches highlights the potential contamination of nearshore waters
259 with this terrestrially derived, water-borne zoonotic parasite and the subsequent increase in
260 human exposure to *T. gondii* infection through recreation activities or consumption of seafood
261 harvest (Jones et al., 2008, 2009; Simon et al., 2013a,b; VanWormer et al., 2016).
262 Animal farms have been considered as hotspot areas for the trans- mission risk of *T. gondii*
263 oocyst infection in rural environments (Gotteland et al., 2014; Simon et al., 2017). In agreement
264 with these studies, our data (Table 2) showed that soil samples from 58.3% of livestock farms
265 and 46.7% of poultry farms are contaminated with *T. gondii* DNA. Other variables, such as the
266 climatic feature subtropical monsoon and the autumn season also seem to influence the
267 occurrence of *T. gondii* oocysts in soil in the present study (Table S4). The effect of spring
268 conditions on the fate and dispersion of *T. gondii* oocysts from the melting snowpack to the
269 Canadian arctic coast via the freshwater runoff has been appreciated (Simon et al., 2013a,b).
270 More prevalence was detected in Gansu province and brown soil (Table S4), suggesting that
271 geographic region and soil types are potential risk factors for soil contamination with *T. gondii*
272 oocysts. Gansu province is characterized by a temperate continental monsoon climate with an
273 annual average temperature between 0 °C and 16 °C. *T. gondii* oocysts seem to have a better
274 survival rate at 4 °C compared to ambient temperature (Lindsay and Dubey, 2009; Gagelidze
275 et al., 2018). The reason for the high as- sociation between brown soil and occurrence of oocysts
276 in our study might be related to the possibility that soil type (brown soil) could also be linked
277 to soil source (e.g. farm), however the exact reasons remain to be determined.
278 Currently, there is lack of knowledge on the nature or extent of any effect of soil type on *T.*
279 *gondii* oocyst survival. A recent survey of 18 types of soils of Georgia has shown that the total
280 number of bacteria and frequency of occurrence of certain bacterial genera vary by soil type (Li
281 et al., 2015). The physical, chemical and biological parameters of the soil can vary with soil
282 type and sampling season. Hence, knowledge of soil parameters that may influence the survival
283 of *T. gondii* oocysts within soil is important for the development of risk assessment and
284 management strategies aimed at reducing public health risks from activities such as land
285 application of wastes containing human pathogens.

286 A few PCR-based methods have been developed for detection of *T. gondii* oocyst DNA
287 (Salant et al., 2010; Mancianti et al., 2015; Chemoh et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017). Of these,
288 PCR assays targeting highly conserved repetitive DNA sequences, such as B1 gene, 529-bp
289 repeat element (RE) and internal transcribed spacer-1 (ITS-1) region of ribo- somal DNA gene,
290 seem to have good sensitivities (Salant et al., 2007, 2010; Chemoh et al., 2016). Other detection
291 methods such as microscopy can not discern between oocysts of *T. gondii* and oocysts of other
292 coccidian species due to the similarities between their morophological features. To our
293 knowledge, this is the first study to analyze soil samples using, simultaneously, three PCR
294 assays based on the amplification of 529-bp RE sequence, B1 gene and ITS-1 region of rDNA
295 gene sequences.
296 A previous study comparing the prevalence of *T. gondii* DNA in cat feces using PCR assays
297 targeting 529-bp RE sequence and ITS-1 gene showed that amplification based on ITS-1 gene
298 was approximately seven times more sensitive than amplification based on 529-bp RE sequence
299 (Chemoh et al., 2016). In another survey of *T. gondii* oocysts presence in cat feces using PCR
300 assays targeting 529-bp RE sequence and B1 gene, the prevalence rate detected by PCR assay
301 based on 529- bp RE sequence was 5.3%, which was lower than the detection level obtained by
302 B1gene-based assay (17.95%). In our study, the concordance between the three PCR assays
303 showed that PCR assay based on *T. gondii* 529-bp RE sequence or ITS-1 sequence can detect
304 more positive samples than PCR assay based on B1 gene sequence. There are likely to be
305 inhibitors within soil (e.g. humic acid), which can alter PCR performance, however in this study
306 we did not use an internal control to monitor any inhibition.
307 We were also interested in defining the genetic diversity of the amplified *T. gondii* DNA
308 from the soil samples because understanding the genetic population structure of *T. gondii* in
309 soil samples can have epidemiological and public health relevance. Interestingly, PCR-RFLP
310 analysis revealed only one ToxoDB#9 genotype in all analyzed PCR products. This result
311 provides further support to previously reported finding where more than half of the examined
312 *T. gondii* isolates in China were found to belong to genotype ToxoDB#9, which is the most pre-
313 dominant genotype detected in all examined hosts (Pan et al., 2017).
314
315 **5. Conclusion**
316 This work shows that *T. gondii* DNA is widely distributed in soil samples collected from
317 schools, parks, farms and coastal beaches in six geographic regions in China. The performance
318 of PCR assays based on 529-bp RE sequence and ITS-1 sequence for the detection of *T. gondii*
319 DNA was better than that of the B1 gene-based PCR assay. ToxoDB#9 was the only genotype
320 detected in the examined soil samples in China. The prevalence of soil contamination with *T.*
321 *gondii* DNA varied by the level of sampling season, climatic feature, geographic region, and
322 soil type. Implementation of measures to manage the risk of oocysts derived from cats may
323 reduce soil contamination with *T. gondii* oocysts. More studies are warranted including more
324 sensitive detection methods and sampling of cats for *T. gondii* with rigorous field work
325 characterizing the ecology, movement, and behavior of potential wild felids. Our work provides
326 baseline data to further risk assessment of *T. gondii* oocysts' contamination in Chinese soil.
327 Future studies should explore the state of sporulation, viability and infectivity of the isolated
328 oocysts.
329

330 **Funding**

331 This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 332 31702383), by the State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Lanzhou Veterinary 333 Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Grant No. 334 SKLVEB2017KFKT007), by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 335 2016M602145), and by the Fundamental Research Funds of Chinese Academy of Agricultural 336 Sciences (Grant No. Y2016JC05).

337

338 **Declaration of competing interest**

339 All authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

340

341 **References**

342 Afonso, E., Lemoine, M., Poulle, M.L., Ravat, M.C., Romand, S., Thulliez, P., et al., 2008. 343 Spatial distribution of soil contamination by *Toxoplasma gondii* in relation to cat 344 defecation behaviour in an urban area. Int. J. Parasitol. 38, 1017–1023.

345 Alvarado-Esquivel, C., Liesenfeld, O., Márquez-Conde, J.A., Estrada-Martínez, S., Dubey, 346 J.P., 2010. Seroepidemiology of infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* in workers occu- 347 pationally exposed to water, sewage, and soil in Durango, Mexico. J. Parasitol. 96, 847– 348 850.

349 Burrells, A., Bartley, P.M., Zimmer, I.A., Roy, S., Kitchener, A.C., Meredith, A., et al., 2013. 350 Evidence of the three main clonal *Toxoplasma gondii* lineages from wild mammalian 351 carnivores in the UK. Parasitology 140, 1768–1776.

352 Chemoh, W., Sawangjaroen, N., Nissapatorn, V., Sarmwittayawon, N., 2016. Molecular 353 investigation on the occurrence of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in cat faeces using TOX- 354 element and ITS-1 region targets. Vet. J. 215, 118–122.

355 Cong, W., Liu, G.H., Meng, Q.F., Dong, W., Qin, S.Y., Zhang, F.K., et al., 2015. *Toxoplasma* 356 *gondii* infection in cancer patients: prevalence, risk factors, genotypes and association 357 with clinical diagnosis. Cancer Lett. 359, 307–313.

358 Cong, W., Zhang, N.Z., Hou, J.L., Wang, X.C., Ma, J.G., Zhu, X.Q., et al., 2017. First detection 359 and genetic characterization of *Toxoplasma gondii* in market-sold oysters in China. Infect. 360 Genet. Evol. 54, 276–278.

361 Coutinho, S.G., Lobo, R., Dutra, G., 1982. Isolation of *Toxoplasma* from the soil during an 362 outbreak of toxoplasmosis in a rural area in Brazil. J. Parasitol. 68, 866–868.

363 Dabritz, H.A., Conrad, P.A., 2010. Cats and *Toxoplasma*: implications for public health. 364 Zoonoses. Public. Health 57, 34–52.

365 Dos Santos, T.R., Nunes, C.M., Luvizotto, M.C., de Moura, A.B., Lopes, W.D., da Costa, A.J., 366 et al., 2010. Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in environmental samples from 367 public schools. Vet. Parasitol. 171, 53–57.

368 Dubey, J.P., 2010. Toxoplasmosis of Animals and Humans. CRC Press Inc, Boca Raton, FL.

369 Dubey, J.P., Beattie, C.P., 2010. Toxoplasmosis of Animals and Humans, second ed. CRC Press 370 Inc, Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 1–313.

371 Du, F., Feng, H.L., Nie, H., Tu, P., Zhang, Q.L., Hu, M., et al., 2012. Survey on the 372 contamination of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in the soil of public parks of Wuhan, China. 373 Vet. Parasitol. 184, 141–146.

374 Dumètre, A., Dardé, M.L., 2003. How to detect *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in environ-mental 375 samples? FEMS. Microbiol. 27, 651–661.

376 Frenkel, J.K., Dubey, J.P., Miller, N.L., 1970. *Toxoplasma gondii* in cats: fecal stages identified 377 as coccidian oocysts. Science 167, 893–896.Gagelidze, N.A., Amiranashvili, L.L., 378 Sadunishvili, T.A., Kvesitadze, G.I., Urushadze, T.F.,

379 Kvrivishvili, T.O., 2018. Bacterial composition of different types of soils of Georgia. Ann.
380 Agric. Sci. (Cairo) 16, 17–21.
381 Gotteland, C., Gilot-Fromont, E., Aubert, D., Poulle, M.L., Dupuis, E., Dardé, M.L., et al.,
382 2014. Spatial distribution of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in soil in a rural area: influence
383 of cats and land use. Vet. Parasitol. 205, 629–637.
384 Homan, W.L., Vercammen, M., De Braekeleer, J., Verschueren, H., 2000. Identification of a
385 200- to 300-fold repetitive 529 bp DNA fragment in *Toxoplasma gondii*, and its use for
386 diagnostic and quantitative PCR. Int. J. Parasitol. 30, 69–75.
387 Jones, C.D., Okhravi, N., Adamson, P., Tasker, S., Lightman, S., 2000. Comparison of PCR
388 detection methods for B1, P30, and 18S rDNA genes of *T. gondii* in aqueous humor.
389 Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 41, 634–644.
390 Jones, J.L., Dargelas, V., Roberts, J., Press, C., Remington, J.S., Montoya, J.G., 2009. Risk
391 factors for *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in the United States. Clin. Infect. Dis. 49, 878–
392 884.
393 Jones, J.L., Dubey, J.P., 2010. Waterborne toxoplasmosis-recent developments. Exp. Parasitol.
394 124, 10–25.
395 Jones, K.E., Patel, N.G., Levy, M.A., Storeygard, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J.L., et al., 2008.
396 Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 451, 990–993.
397 Lass, A., Pietkiewicz, H., Modzelewska, E., Dumètre, A., Szostakowska, B., Myjak, P., 2009.
398 Detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in environmental soil samples using molecular
399 methods. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 28, 599–605.
400 Lélu, M., Villena, I., Dardé, M.L., Aubert, D., Geers, R., Dupuis, E., et al., 2012. Quantitative
401 estimation of the viability of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in soil. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
402 78, 5127–5132.
403 Lindsay, D.S., Dubey, J.P., 2009. Long-term survival of *Toxoplasma gondii* sporulated oocysts
404 in seawater. J. Parasitol. 95, 1019–1020.
405 Liu, X.C., He, Y., Han, D.G., Zhang, Z.C., Li, K., Wang, S., et al., 2017. Detection of
406 *Toxoplasma gondii* in chicken and soil of chicken farms in Nanjing region, China. Infect.
407 Dis. Poverty. 6, 62.
408 Li, Y.N., Nie, X., Peng, Q.Y., Mu, X.Q., Zhang, M., Tian, M.Y., et al., 2015. Seroprevalence
409 and genotype of *Toxoplasma gondii* in pigs, dogs and cats from Guizhou province,
410 Southwest China. Parasites Vectors 8, 214.
411 Mancianti, F., Nardoni, S., Mugnaini, L., Guerrini, A., Gazzola, V., Papini, R.A., 2015. A
412 retrospective molecular study of select intestinal protozoa in healthy pet cats from Italy.
413 J. Feline Med. Surg. 17, 163–167.
414 Meerburg, B.G., Kijlstra, A., 2009. Changing climate-changing pathogens: *Toxoplasma gondii*
415 in north-western europe. Parasitol. Res. 105, 17–24.
416 Montoya, J.G., Liesenfeld, O., 2004. Toxoplasmosis. *Lancet.* 363, 1965–1976.
417 Pan, M., Lyu, C., Zhao, J., Shen, B., 2017. Sixty years (1957-2017) of research on
418 toxoplasmosis in China-an overview. Front. Microbiol. 8, 1825.
419 R Development Core Team, 2011. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
420 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org.
421 Robert-Gangneux, F., Dardé, M.L., 2012. Epidemiology of and diagnostic strategies for
422 toxoplasmosis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 25, 264–296.
423 Salant, H., Marcovics, A., Spira, D.T., Hamburger, J., 2007. The development of a molecular
424 approach for coprodiagnosis of *Toxoplasma gondii*. Vet. Parasitol. 146, 214–220.
425 Salant, H., Spira, D., Hamburger, J., 2010. A comparative analysis of coprologic diagnostic
426 methods for detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* in cats. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 82, 865–870.
427 Shapiro, K., Conrad, P.A., Mazet, J.A., Wallender, W.W., Miller, W.A., Largier, J.L., 2010.
428 Effect of estuarine wetland degradation on transport of *Toxoplasma gondii* surrogates

429 from land to sea. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76, 6821–6828. Simon, A., Bigras Poulin, M.,
430 Rousseau, A.N., Dubey, J.P., Ogden, N.H., 2013a.
431 Spatiotemporal dynamics of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in Canadian lynx (*Lynx canadensis*)
432 in western Québec, Canada. J. Wildl. Dis. 49, 39–48.
433 Simon, A., Poulin, M.B., Rousseau, A.N., Ogden, N.H., 2013b. Fate and transport of
434 *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in seasonally snow covered watersheds: a conceptual fra-
435 mework from a melting snow pack to the Canadian arctic coasts. Int. J. Environ. Res.
436 Public Health 10, 994–1005.
437 Simon, J.A., Kurdzielewicz, S., Jeanniot, E., Dupuis, E., Marnef, F., Aubert, D., et al., 2017.
438 Spatial distribution of soil contaminated with *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in relation to the
439 distribution and use of domestic cat defecation sites on dairy farms. Int. J. Parasitol. 47,
440 357–367.
441 Stagno, S., Dykes, A.C., Amos, C.S., Head, R.A., Juranek, D.D., Walls, K., 1980. An out- break
442 of toxoplasmosis linked to cats. Pediatrics 65, 706–712.
443 VanWormer, E., Carpenter, T.E., Singh, P., Shapiro, K., Wallender, W.W., Conrad, P.A., et al.,
444 2016. Coastal development and precipitation drive pathogen flow from land to sea:
445 evidence from a *Toxoplasma gondii* and felid host system. Sci. Rep. 6, 29252.
446 Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D., 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S, fourth ed. Springer,
447 New York.
448 Wang, M., Meng, P., Ye, Q., Pu, Y.H., Yang, X.Y., Luo, J.X., et al., 2014. Detection of
449 *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in soils in northwestern China using a new semi-nested PCR
450 assay. BMC Vet. Res. 10, 238.
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460

461 **Table 1** Frequency of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts in 2,100 soil samples.

Source	Category (No. of samples)	No. of positive samples/PCR assay (529-bp-RE, B1, ITS-1)	Prevalence n (%) [a]	*p*-value Chi-square test
School playground	Elementary school (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 0 4 0 12 ITS-1 0 0 0 B1	16 (5.3)	0.568
	High school (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 0 3 0 10 ITS-1 0 0 0 B1	13 (4.3)	-
Park	Residential (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 2 8 3 27 ITS-1 3 0 1 B1	44 (14.7)	-
	Comprehensive (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 5 9 1 29 ITS-1 0 1 0 B1	45 (15)	0.909
Coastal beach	Bathing beach (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 0 2 1 14 ITS-1 1 2 0 B1	20 (6.7)	-
Farm	Poultry (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 1 3 6 32 ITS-1 4 2 0 B1	48 (16)	0.573
	Livestock (n = 300)	529-bp-RE 2 4 2 31 ITS-1 2 3 0 B1	44 (14.7)	-

Total	n = 2,100		230 (10.9)	-

462 [a] The prevalence values (presented inside parentheses) are the number of positive samples out of total number of samples
463 analyzed per category.
464

Table 2. Prevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in 420 sampling sites.

Source	Category (No. of samples)	No. of positive samples/PCR assay (529-bp-RE, B1, ITS-1)	Prevalence n (%) [a]	*p*-value Chi-square test
School playground				
	Elementary school (*n* = 60)	529-bp-RE 0 3 0 11 ITS-1 0 0 0 B1	14 (23.3)	0.666
	High school (*n* = 60)	529-bp-RE 0 3 0 10 ITS-1 0 0 0 B1	13 (21.7)	-
Park				
	Residential (*n* = 60)	529-bp-RE 2 1 2 21 ITS-1 1 0 0 B1	27 (55)	0.273
	Comprehensive (*n* = 60)	529-bp-RE 0 1 0 20 ITS-1 0 0 0 B1	21 (35)	-
Coastal beach				
	Bathing beach (*n* = 60)	529-bp-RE 0 1 0 10 ITS-1 0 1 0 B1	12 (20)	-
Farm				
	Poultry (*n* = 60)	529-bp-RE 0 0 0 24 ITS-1 0 0 0 B1	24 (40)	0.201



	Livestock (n = 60)		25 (41.6)	-
Total	n = 420		136 (32.3)	-

[a] The prevalence values (presented inside parentheses) are the number of positive samples out of total number of samples analyzed per category.

468 **Table 3** Parameter estimates and odds ratios obtained from the multiple logistic regression
469 model. The odds ratios presented for each remaining risk factor represent the odds ratio relative
470 to the reference level (source coastal beach) due to exposure to the factor.
471

Coefficient	Estimate	Standard error	Odds ratio (95% CI)	*p*-value
Intercept	-4.007	0.337	-	0.000
Source farm	1.130	0.362	3.10 (1.52 - 6.29)	0.002
Source park	0.953	0.362	2.59 (1.28 - 5.27)	0.009
Source school	0.066	0.384	1.07 (0.50 - 2.27)	0.864

472

473 **Table 4**
474 Genotyping of *Toxoplasma gondii* DNA in various soil sources in China.

475

Isolate ID	Host/source	Location	SAG1	5'+3' SAG2	Alt. SAG2	SAG3	BTUB	GRA6	c22-8	c29-2	L358	PK1	Apico	Genotype
GT1	Goat	United States	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Reference, Type I, ToxoDB #10
PTG	Sheep	United States	II/III	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	Reference, Type II, ToxoDB #1
CTG	Cat	United States	II/III	III	III	III	III	III	III	III	III	III	III	Reference, Type III, ToxoDB #2
MAS	Human	France	u-1	I	II	III	III	III	u-1[a]	I	I	III	I	Reference, ToxoDB #17
TgCgCa1	Cougar	Canada	I	II	II	III	II	II	II	u-1[a]	I	u-2[a]	I	Reference, ToxoDB #66
TgCatBr5	Cat	Brazil	I	III	III	III	III	III	I	I	I	u-1[a]	I	Reference, ToxoDB #19
TgWtdSc40	White-tailed deer	USA	u-1	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	I	II	I	Reference, ToxoDB #5
TgCatBr64	Cat	Brazil	I	I	u-1	III	III	III	u-1[a]	I	III	III	I	Reference, ToxoDB #111
TgToucan	Toucan	Costa Rica	u-1	I	II	III	I	III	u-2[a]	I	I	III	I	Reference, ToxoDB #52
TgS1	Soil	Shandong	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS2	Soil	Shandong	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS3	Soil	Henan	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS4	Soil	Henan	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS5	Soil	Jilin	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS6	Soil	Yunnan	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS7	Soil	Guangdong	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS8	Soil	Gansu	u-1	II	II	III	nd	II	II	III	II	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS9	Soil	Gansu	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	nd	ToxoDB #9
TgS10	Soil	Shandong	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	II	nd	ToxoDB #9
TgS11	Soil	Gansu	Nd	II	II	III	III	II	Nd	III	I	II	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS12	Soil	Guangdong	Nd	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	nd	I	ToxoDB #9
TgS13	Soil	Henan	u-1	II	II	III	III	nd	II	III	II	II	nd	ToxoDB #9
TgS14	Soil	Jilin	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	II	III	II	nd	nd	ToxoDB #9
TgS15	Soil	Yunnan	u-1	II	II	III	III	II	nd	nd	II	nd	nd	-

476
477 u-1 and u-2 denote novelRFLP genotypes.
478 nd: no data

479

Additional files

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Venn diagram showing the unique and shared positive results obtained by the three PCR assays based on 529-bp-RE, B1 and ITS-1 nucleotide sequences for detection of *T. gondii* DNA in soil samples.



Additional file 2: Table S1: Primers used in the PCR assays.

Method	Target	Nucleotide sequence (5'–3')	Amplicon	Reference
Conventional PCR	529 bp-RE	TOX4-CGCTGCAGGGAGGAAGACGAAAGTTG TOX5-CGCTGCAGACAGAGTGCATCTGGATT	529bp	(Lélu et al., 2012)
Semi-nested PCR	B1	TOXO1- GGAACTGCATCCGTTCATGAG TOXO2-TCTTTAAAGGGTTCGTGGTC TOXO4-TGCATAGGTTGCAGTCACTG	98bp	(Homan et al., 2000)
Nested PCR	ITS-1	Tg-NN1- TCAACCTTTGAATCCAAA Tg-NN2- CGAGCCAAGACATCCATT Tg-NP1- GTGATAGTATCGAAAGGTAT Tg-NP2- ACTCTCTCTCAAATGTTCCT	227bp	(Jones et al., 2000)

Additional file 3: Table S2: Primers used in the Mutiplex PCR-based genotyping of *T. gondii* strains.

Primer IDs	Primer sequence (5'–3')	Loci	Size (bp)
SAG1-Fext	GTTCTAACCACGCACCCTGAG	SAG1	503
SAG1-Rext2	AAGAGTGGGAGGCTCTGTGA		
5SAG2-Fext	GCTACCTCGAACAGGAACAC	5'-SAG2	334
5SAG2-Rext	GCATCAACAGTCTTCGTTGC		
3SAG2-Fext	TCTGTTCTCCGAAGTGACTCC	3'-SAG2	327
3SAG2-Rext	TCAAAGCGTGCATTATCGC		
P43S1	CAACTCTCACCATTCCACCC	SAG3	311
P43AS1	GCGCGTTGTTAGACAAGACA		
Btb (ext)F	TCCAAAATGAGAGAAATCGT	BTUB	529
Btb (ext)R	AAATTGAAATGACGGAAGAA		
GRA6-F1x	ATTTGTGTTTCCGAGCAGGT	GRA6	546
GRA6-R1	GCACCTTCGCTTGTGGTT		
c22-8Fext	TGATGCATCCATGCGTTTAT	c22-8	657
c22-8Rext	CCTCCACTTCTTCGGTCTCA		
c29-2Fext	ACCCACTGAGCGAAAAGAAA	c29-2	689
c29-2Rext	AGGGTCTCTTGCGCATACAT		
L358-Fext	TCTCTCGACTTCGCCTCTTC	L358	690
L358-Rext	GCAATTTCCTCGAAGACAGG		
PK1-Fext	GAAAGCTGTCCACCCTGAAA	PK1	1027
PK1-Rext	AGAAAGCTCCGTGCAGTGAT		
SAG2-Fext	GGAACGCGAACAATGAGTTT	SAG2	729
SAG2-Rext:	GCACTGTTGTCCAGGGTTTT		
Apico-Fext:	TGGTTTTAACCCTAGATTGTGG	Apico	846
Apico-Rext:	AAACGGAATTAATGAGATTTGAA		

Additional file 4: Table S3: Primers used in the nested PCR-based genotyping of *T. gondii* strains.

Primer IDs	Primer sequences (5'–3')	Loci.	Size（bp）
SAG1-S2	CAATGTGCACCTGTAGGAAGC	SAG1	390
SAG1-Rext	GTGGTTCTCCGTCGGTGTGAG		
5-SAG2F	GAAATGTTTCAGGTTGCTGC	5'-SAG2	242
5-SAG2R	GCAAGAGCGAACTTGAACAC		
3-SAG2F	ATTCTCATGCCTCCGCTTC	3'-SAG2	222
3-SAG2R	AACGTTTCACGAAGGCACAC		
P43S2	TCTTGTCGGGTGTTCACTCA	SAG3	225
P43AS2	CACAAGGAGACCGAGAAGGA		
Btb-F	GAGGTCATCTCGGACGAACA	Btub	411
Btb-R	TTGTAGGAACACCCGGACGC		
GRA6-F1	TTTCCGAGCAGGTGACCT	GRA6	344
GRA6-R1x	TCGCCGAAGAGTTGACATAG		
SAG2-Fa	ACCCATCTGCGAAGAAAACG	SAG2	546
SAG2-Ra	ATTTCGACCAGCGGGAGCAC		
L358-F2	AGGAGGCGTAGCGCAAGT	L358	418
L358-R2	CCCTCTGGCTGCAGTGCT		
PK1-F	CGCAAAGGGAGACAATCAGT	PK1	903
PK1-R	TCATCGCTGAATCTCATTGC		
c22-8F	TCTCTCTACGTGGACGCC	c22-8	521
c22-8R	AGGTGCTTGGATATTCGC		
c29-2F	AGTTCTGCAGAGTGTCGC	c29-2	446
c29-2R	TGTCTAGGAAAGAGGCGC		
Apico-F	TGCAAATTCTTGAATTCTCAGTT	Apico	640
Apico-R	GGGATTCGAACCCTTGATA		

Additional file 5: Table S4: Univariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for the presence of *T. gondii* oocysts in soil samples in China based on the three PCR assays used in the present study.

Risk factors	Prevalence Positive/total (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI) *	*p*-value
Soil source			
Coastal beach	20/300 (6.7)	14.00 (9.14 – 22.76)	<2e-16†
Farm	93/600 (15.5)	0.38 (0.22 – 0.63)	0.000
Park	89/600 (14.8)	0.41 (0.24 – 0.66)	0.000
School	29/600 (4.8)	1.40 (0.77 – 2.51)	0.255
Region			
Gansu	32/300 (10.7)	8.37 (5.90 – 12.31)	<2e-16†
Shandong	54/600 (9)	1.20 (0.75 – 1.90)	0.423
Henan	44/300 (14.7)	0.69 (0.42 – 1.12)	0.142
Jilin	32/300 (10.7)	1.00 (0.59 – 1.68)	1.000
Guangdong	31/300 (10.3)	1.03 (0.61 – 1.75)	0.894
Yunnan	38/300 (12.7)	0.82 (0.49 – 1.35)	0.446
Season			
Autumn	71/450 (15.8)	5.81 (4.51 – 7.61)	<2e-16†
Winter	24/255 (8.7)	1.33 (0.84 – 2.16)	0.219
Spring	44/485 (9.1)	1.72 (1.15 – 2.59)	0.008
Summer	102/910 (11.2)	1.52 (1.08 – 2.14)	0.014
Soil type			
Brown soil	34/300 (11.3)	7.82 (5.55 – 11.37)	<2e-16†
Yellow brown soil	44/300 (14.7)	0.74 (0.45 – 1.19)	0.225
Dark brown forest soil	17/160 (10.6)	1.07 (0.58 – 2.03)	0.817
Chernozem	15/140 (10.7)	1.06 (0.56 – 2.07)	0.847
Fine sand	8/150 (5.3)	2.26 (1.07 – 5.38)	0.043
Coarse sand	12/150 (8)	1.46 (0.75 – 3.04)	0.273
Red soil	38/300 (12.7)	0.88 (0.53 – 1.44)	0.615
Latosolic red soil	31/300 (10.3)	1.10 (0.66 – 1.86)	0.693
Yellow cinnamon soil	32/300 (10.7)	1.07 (0.64 – 1.79)	0.794
Climatic feature			
Subtropical monsoon	69/600 (11.5)	8.67 (6.08 – 12.83)	<2e-16†
Continental Monsoon Climate	32/300 (10.7)	0.96 (0.57 – 1.62)	0.894
Temperate monsoon	32/300 (10.7)	0.96 (0.57 – 1.62)	0.894
Tropical monsoon	38/300 (12.7)	0.79 (0.47 – 1.31)	0.371
Warm temperate monsoon	54/600 (9)	1.16 (0.72 – 1.84)	0.519

Warm to subtropical, humid to semi-humid monsoon	44/300 (14.7)	0.67 (0.40 – 1.09)	0.110

* OR: Odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval
[†] Reference against which other categories are compared