brought to you by CORE



ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13772-4

OPEN

Sensitivity of the global carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux to climate and land-use changes

Sibo Zeng 1, Zaihua Liu 2.3* & Georg Kaufmann 1*

The response of carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux (CCSF) to its environmental drivers is still not well understood on the global scale. This hinders understanding of the terrestrial carbon cycle. Here, we show that there is likely to be a widespread and consistent increase in the global CCSF (ranging from + 9.8% (RCP4.5) to + 17.1% (RCP8.5)) over the period 1950–2100. In the coming years the increasing temperature might be expected to have a negative impact on carbonate weathering. However, the increasing rainfall and anticipated land-use changes will counteract this, leading to a greater CCSF. This finding has been obtained by using long-term historical (1950–2005) and modeled future (2006–2100) data for two scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) for climate and land-use change in our CCSF equilibrium model. This study stresses the potential role that carbonate weathering may play in the evolution of the global carbon cycle over this century.

¹ Institute of Geological Sciences, Geophysics Section, Freie Universität Berlin, 12249 Berlin, Germany. ² State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, CAS, 550081 Guiyang, China. ³ CAS Center for Excellence in Quaternary Science and Global Change, 710061 Xi'an, China. *email: liuzaihua@vip.gyig.ac.cn; georg.kaufmann@fu-berlin.de

here are huge uncertainties in the response of the terrestrial carbon cycle to changing environmental conditions, such as global warming and human intervention^{1,2}. A growing body of evidence indicates that contemporary continental weathering processes are sensitively responding to climate change and human activities³⁻⁶. The carbonate weathering carbon sink, about 0.2–0.7 Gt C yr⁻¹, is an important component of the global carbon budget, accounting for ~7-25% of the estimated terrestrial carbon sink^{5,7,8}. The rapid kinetics driving carbonate weathering (reaching equilibrium in three hours under experimental conditions⁹) results in dissolution rates nearly 15 times faster than those of silicate rocks¹⁰, thereby responding quickly to environmental fluctuations. The chemical weathering of carbonate rocks is a complex terrestrial process that is controlled by numerous natural and anthropogenic drivers⁵⁻⁹. To summarize and simplify the mixed impacts of all drivers, a generic equation for the carbon-sink flux produced by carbonate weathering can be expressed as¹¹:

$$CCSF = 0.5 \times 12 \times R \times DIC \tag{1}$$

where CCSF (t C km⁻² yr⁻¹) is the carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux, *R* is runoff in m yr⁻¹, and DIC (mmol L⁻¹) is the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon produced by carbonate weathering; 12 is the molar atomic weight of carbon, and the ratio 0.5 indicates that only one half of the HCO₃⁻ generated by carbonate weathering is of atmospheric origin⁵.

Previous work has highlighted the diverse geochemical, climatic and ecological factors that influence both R and DIC, and thus the CCSF variations, including (amongst others) surface temperature⁶, precipitation and runoff^{11,12}, net primary production of ecosystem and soil CO2^{6,13}, carbonate lithologies¹², atmospheric CO2 concentration¹⁴, soil water content¹⁵ and land-use patterns, and practices^{4,16,17}. In natural environments, these factors are tightly interwoven and controlled by climate and land cover^{17,18}. Recently, studies on different spatial scales have reported that climate perturbations and human interventions have dramatically changed CCSF over the past few decades. For example, in the Mississippi River basin the increased rainfall, high proportion of cultivated area, water management, and use of lime for fertilization have remarkably enhanced the HCO_3^- export flux, with a nearly +50% increase in the recent decades⁴. In addition, the N-fertilizer uses for agriculture also produced nitric acid which enhanced HCO₃⁻ flux as a CO_2 source¹⁹. The HCO₃⁻ and ground water CO_2 storage of a karst aquifer in Konza Prairie (central USA) displayed synchronous increases during the past 26.5 years, which was attributed to the long-term changes of temperature and land use¹⁶. In northern high latitudes, two large Russian arctic rivers have experienced major increases (135-180%) in alkalinity due to climate change and anthropogenic impacts during the past 40 years²⁰. However, there are also reports of a decline of CCSF in some other regions. For instance, in the typical monsoon region of Southwest China, a model study found that climate change (especially, reduced rainfall) caused a 19% decrease in CCSF during the past 40 years¹². In sum, although these individual studies have detected regional CCSF perturbations attributed to one or a few environmental drivers, a comprehensive analysis of the overall global CCSF fluctuation in response to all driving factors is still lacking. In particular, to our knowledge no studies have considered the impacts of long-term land-use change on CCSF fluctuations at the global scale. In many areas with intensive human intervention, land-use changes have altered the CCSF by changing the runoff patterns and affecting the soil pCO₂ through changing, amongst others, the productivity and soil properties¹⁷, etc.

Here, we explore the spatiotemporal CCSF variations on global carbonate rock outcrops by constructing a mixed-effect model that considers the interrelated impacts of climate and land-use dynamics. We provide a comprehensive interpretation of environmental impacts on CCSF fluctuations by analyzing the spatialtemporal relationship over a lengthy historical period, 1950-2005. We further predict the response of CCSF to the changes in temperature, precipitation, and land use that are presented in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) climate projection, adopting two of its representative scenarios, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5. CMIP5 is trying to predict future climate by estimating the amounts of atmospheric carbon dioxide that will be produced in the future. Different RCPs predicting the radiative forcing achieved by the year 2100 AD range from 2.6 to 8.5 (RCP2.6–2RCP8.5) watts per square meter (Wm^{-2}). Here, RCP4.5 is selected to be representative of the moderate-stabilized emission scenarios (medium CO2 increase), whereas RCP8.5 represents the more aggressive scenarios (large CO₂ increases). Based on these choices, we attempt to predict the coupled effects of current major shifts in climate and land use on the CCSF fluctuations in the future. We reveal the sensitivity of the CCSF response to the above-mentioned drivers in different latitudinal regions and estimate the role played by carbonate rock weathering in the global carbon cycle over the remainder of this century.

Results

General overview. In this section, we show the results of our CCSF model first at a global scale and then focus on drivers that will vary at broad regional scales. As a first step, soil CO₂ pressure $(pCO_{2(soil)})$ is derived from (3) and ET (evapotranspiration) is based on Eq. (6) (see Methods). Accordingly, we can calculate the calcium equilibrium concentration $[Ca^{2+}]_{eq}$ from Eq. (2) (see Methods), and the *R* from the difference between precipitation (P) and ET. Next, we extract the $[HCO_3^{-1}]$ and P-ET for each grid cell located on a carbonate outcrop to obtain the CCSF by Eqs. (1) or (7) (see Methods), then sum to obtain the total carbon sink (TCS) budget using Eq. (8) (see Methods). We consider that these results can help us to estimate the feedback of CCSF response to climate and land-use change under the different future scenarios envisioned by CMIP5, thereby evaluating the role that carbonate weathering will play in the global carbon cycle in the future.

Overall fluctuation in $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, *R*, and CCSF. In Fig. 1a, b, we present the overall changes of the two fundamental CCSF drivers, $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, and runoff (*R*), over the full model period. $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ displays steadily increasing trends of +2.1-+2.6% from 1950 to 2100. The larger $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ increase is found in scenario RCP8.5, with about +0.0006 mmol L⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Fig. 1a). The amplitude of global runoff variations, by contrast, is 5.7–8.0 times larger than the $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ in the same period (Fig. 1b), with runoff increasing at around +0.18 mm yr⁻² for the historical period, a finding that is close to other published results^{21,22}. For the full period, runoff from carbonate rocks increases around +12.0% (RCP4.5) or +20.9% (RCP8.5). After summing these two drivers by using Eq. (8) (see Methods), we found a widespread and consistent increase in global CCSF, with values around +9.8% (RCP4.5) or +17.1% (RCP8.5) at the end of this century (Fig. 1c). As with runoff, the CCSF increase under RCP8.5 (0.0068 t C km⁻² yr⁻²).

Spatial differences in CCSF and its long-term trend. To determine which areas have experienced significant CCSF changes, particularly the areas that are mainly responsible for the calculated increases, we now consider the different geographical regions. Figure 2a summarizes the spatial annual mean CCSF at the global scale for the historic period. Mean annual CCSF ranges from 0.06 t C km⁻² yr⁻¹ in the arctic regions to



Fig. 1 Interannual changes in relevant variables. a $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, **b** *R* (runoff), and **c** CCSF (carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux) on global carbonate rock outcrops during the historical period (1950-2005) and the two future (2006-2100) scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5). All the variables display increasing trends. The historical period (black line) has the lowest CCSF variation and RCP8.5 (purple line) has the highest, indicating the substantial response of CCSF to dramatic climate change and land-use conversion.

46.42 t C km⁻² yr⁻¹ in and near the equatorial regions. We observe prominent spatial differences, with the highest CCSF occurring in tropical areas and temperate to subtropical humid areas, such as Southwest China, North America, and West Europe, whereas the lowest CCSF occurs mostly in the arctic regions and arid areas, e.g., Central Asia and Saharan Africa. We use spatial linear regression analysis to extend the spatialtemporal CCSF trends of 1950–2005 to 2006–2100. The two RCP scenarios show similar spatial CCSF trends. The strongest CCSF increases occur in most tropical regions and also in North America, West Europe, and Tibet (Fig. 2b, c). The CCSF under RCP8.5 displays similar but stronger increases in most of the areas than does RCP4.5. There are negative effects in the Middle East and North Africa, as these regions experience CCSF decrease due to a drier climate.

Latitudinal change of CCSF, *R***, and [\text{HCO}_3^-]_{eq} trends.** Next, we consider spatial CCSF changes by summarizing the latitudinal variation trends of soil *p*CO₂, CCSF, *R*, and $[\text{HCO}_3^-]_{eq}$ (Fig. 3). This approach can help us to get a better understanding of how the regional CCSF responses to climate and land-use change may differ during the two periods (historical, and future under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5). As shown in Fig. 3a, the soil *p*CO₂ increasing trends in high latitudes are generally higher than those in the low latitudes. RCP8.5 scenarios show a larger *p*CO₂ increase. Figure 3b demonstrates the modelled $[\text{HCO}_3^-]_{eq}$ which shows consistent increasing trends in cool and humid regions, such as the mid and high

latitudes, but decreasing trends in lower latitudes. The more dramatic climate and land-use change scenario of the future (RCP8.5) results in a stronger negative [HCO3-]eq trend in low latitudes, and a more positive trend in high latitudes $(-0.0005 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ versus $0.0025 \text{ mmol } L^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). In contrast, runoff shows rising trends generally, especially at low latitudes under the two RCP scenarios (Fig. 3c), where there is a high proportion of land-use change from forest to crop. The latitudinal CCSF variation as shown in Fig. 3d behaves like the runoff changes, showing an increase in low latitudes and being 2.85-6.25 times greater than in high latitudes. Although [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} concentrations in high latitudes will experience dramatic increases, the CCSF variations in these regions are less significant when compared to their values in low latitudes. The southern mid latitudes are interesting regions, as here the changes are considerable. However, due to the small proportion of carbonate rock outcrops there (1.6%), those changes are less important for the global carbon-sink budget.

Discussion

From the Results section above, we have found that the coupling between natural and anthropogenic factors in different latitudinal zones results in large differences in the regional CCSF response. Thus, a better understanding of the sensitivity of carbonate weathering carbon flux to its different environmental drivers is crucial for estimating the role of CCSF in the global carbon cycle in the future. Therefore, the causes of CCSF variations under the climate and land-use change in different areas will be explored next.



Fig. 2 Spatial distribution of CCSF and its changes. a Annual average CCSF (carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux) in carbonate rock outcrops for the historical period (1950–2005) and its changes for the two differing climate and land-use change scenarios, **b** RCP4.5, and **c** RCP8.5. Note: nearly 72% of carbonate rock outcrop is distributed in the mid and high latitudes (30°–90°) and less in the low latitudes (0°–30°).

First, we made a comparison of modelled CCSFs with observed global data. The aim here is to test the accuracy of our model estimates of CCSF changes in the different climatic and land-use patterns around the world. Table 1 compares our results to other studies to check reliability. Our modelled CCSF variations are in good agreement with a variety of independent carbonate weathering carbon-flux estimates around the world, including those from the full range of latitudinal zones and with distinct climate and land-use conditions: the difference (error) is generally <10%. Accordingly, we judge that our model reliably predicts spatial CCSF differences and can be used for future estimation.

If our global mean CCSF (4.3 t C km⁻² yr⁻¹) is applied to the global carbonate area (i.e., ~50% of the continent surface⁵), we obtain a total annual global carbon sink of 0.32 Gt C yr⁻¹.

Temperature is a fundamental controlling factor in carbonate weathering as demonstrated by many studies^{6,9,15}. Generally, it is

found that [HCO₃⁻] variation is highly sensitivity to temperature, reaching maximum values in the temperature range (10–15 °C), i.e., both very low and high temperatures will limit carbonate weathering^{6,15}. This behavior is a result of competition between thermodynamic control of the weathering and the variability of soil CO₂ production by soil biota^{6,15}. [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} will be positively correlated to temperature below 15 °C (Fig. 4a). In the intertropical zone, the warm temperatures may considerably decrease the [HCO₃⁻]_{eq}. This is confirmed by inspecting the latitudinal trends of [HCO₃⁻]_{eq}. For instance, the strongest warming trends (+0.015 °C yr⁻¹ to +0.023 °C yr⁻¹) in high latitudes will significantly increase the [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} there. In contrast, rising temperatures in low latitudes will limit the carbonate dissolution, which results in a negative [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} trend (Fig. 3b). However, according to our results, latitudinal [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} variations do not always follow temperature variations alone. The impacts of



Fig. 3 Latitudinal distribution of relevant variable trends. a Soil pCO_2 , **b** $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, **c** R (runoff), and **d** CCSF trends for three cases (the historical period, and the future period for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5). The shaded areas are the northern high latitudes (60°N-90°N, light gray) and the low latitudes (30°S-30°N, light pink).

changing precipitation and land use control soil pCO_2 distribution (Fig. 4d). Discussed together with temperature, these factors are also equally significant and therefore control the actual global $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ distribution (Fig. 4b, c). For example, we observe three $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ peaks on the global graph (Fig. 4a). Two of them are not located in the theoretical region of maximum dissolution (10–15 °C), a feature that has not received much attention. We argue that the higher $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ in these regions is mainly caused by changes in land-use patterns (Fig. 4c), soil pCO_2 (Fig. 4d), and increased precipitation (Fig. 4b). According to our analysis, the CCSF fluctuations are strongly depending on the runoff, rather than on $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ or temperature (Figs. 1–3) alone. Precipitation, temperature, and vegetation cover are key factors that control runoff in many models (Fig. 4) and thus also CCSF variations.

We employ long-term spatial regression analysis to detect relationships between CCSF and the variables, runoff, and equilibrium HCO_3^- concentration. Figure 5 compares the individual impacts of $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, and *R* on the annual CCSF fluctuations. The results show that the regional variations of CCSF were

and land-use	e conditions.	een our moo	lelled results and	other studies in diffe	erent latitudinal zones with o	lifferent climate
	1 - 4 ¹ 4 - 1 ¹ 1	T (0 C)	D (1)	Mate 1		T 1.1

Location	Latitudinal zone	T (°C)	<i>P</i> (mm yr ⁻¹)	Main land-use type	CCSF (t C km ⁻² yr ⁻¹) in other study	This study
	(low/mid/high)					
Guizhou	Low	15	1225-1425	Forest/crop/grass	7.86-10.90 ⁽¹⁾	7.63-11.16
Xijiang	Low	14-22	800-1200	Forest/crop/grass	7.31 ⁽²⁾	7.30
Kikori	Low	21	4330	Forest	29.36 ⁽³⁾	29.19
Thailand	Low	26	3168	Forest	42.30 ⁽⁴⁾	40.60
Puerto Rico	Low	24	2100	Forest/grass/crop	19.77 ⁽⁵⁾	28.24
Florida	Low	21.1	1336	Forest	9.49-10.05 ⁽⁶⁾	11.04
Slovenia	Mid	6-11	800-3000	Forest/grass/crop	15.16-32.89 ⁽⁷⁾	16.49-26.76
Southern Alps	Mid	9	1300	Forest	11.91 ⁽⁸⁾	11.58
Siberia	High	−7 to −14	250-400	Forest/non forest	1.52-2.15 ⁽⁹⁾	1.49-3.30
Mackenzie	High	-1	250-1500	Forest/non forest	4.94 ⁽¹⁰⁾	3.44

typically driven by trends in runoff (global mean $R^2 > 0.95$, P < 0.001) but not [HCO₃⁻⁻]_{eq}. The substantial variability of CCSF is responding to differing runoff, as noted also in other studies^{11,12}. The reason why CCSF is more sensitive to runoff than to [HCO₃⁻⁻] has been attributed chiefly to the chemostatic behavior of the latter¹¹.

To better explain the dominant control of this behavior, we divide global CCSF variations into three latitudinal zones (0°–30°, 30°–60°, and 60°–90°) with different mean temperatures, as shown in Fig. 6. [HCO₃⁻] shows a significant positive relationship with CCSF only for the high latitudes (60°–90°), while the correlation declines towards the equator (Fig. 6a). Runoff, however, shows a significant ($R^2 > 0.96$, P < 0.001) positive relationship with CCSF across all latitudinal zones (Fig. 6b). More importantly, it is noticed that when the [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} decreases in low latitudes due to global warming, the accompanying increase in runoff overwhelms the temperature effect, leading to net increases in CCSF. Therefore, based on the results of our model, we suggest that global CCSF variations are highly dynamic and mainly determined by the hydrological cycle (runoff).

For a long time human activities were not considered in global carbonate weathering models. However, recent studies^{4,11,17} have found that land use does play a significant role in CCSF control and should be considered in carbon-sink models. On the one hand, consideration of land use can help us to explain why similar climate conditions present highly scattered [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} distributions in different datasets^{6,15}. As indicated in Fig. 4, the latitudinal [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} curves should show similarities to temperature and/or precipitation trends if climatic factors are considered alone. However, we find that the three $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ peaks occur in three latitudinal zones (50-70°N, 0-10°S, and 40-50 S°) that have a high proportion of forest cover. Globally, as the proportion of forested areas increase, soil pCO₂ and [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} increases. In contrast, when grass and crop cover increase, soil pCO_2 and $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ decreases (Fig. 4c, d). Land-use change can also dramatically alter water balances. In northern high latitudes where precipitation is low and forest cover is high, runoff (R) decreases sharply (Fig. 4e-g). In contrast, the increasing cropland area in low latitudes drastically increases net runoff. Based on our simulation, the role of land-use change will be even more important in the future. From 2006 to 2100, cropland proportion in low latitudes will increase by a factor of two (from 8% to 16%), resulting in decreased [HCO3-]eq and increased runoff. In the historical period (1950-2005), mid and high latitudes dominated the increase of the annual TCS (100%, 7.7×10^4 t C yr⁻¹). During the continuing climate and land-use changes expected in the future (2006–2100), this situation will reverse. Although the carbonate rock outcrops in low latitudes constitute only 28% of the terrestrial carbonate area, the higher sensitivity of CCSF to climatic and anthropogenic changes in these areas in the future will contribute 61-68% of the TCS increase (5.6×10^4 t C yr⁻¹ to 8.1×10^4 t C yr⁻¹). More importantly, the drastic land-use transition (mainly to agricultural land use following deforestation) will contribute 42–50% of total TCS increase in spite of the [HCO₃⁻]_{eq} decline. Therefore, we stress that the CCSF shows great sensitivity to anthropogenic impacts. Human land-use activities will significantly alter the CCSF and are as important as climatic drivers in certain areas.

The global annual average temperature in carbonate regions in the historical period (1950-2005) was 17 °C, which already exceeded the temperature range for maximum carbonate dissolution (Fig. 4a). If global warming continues in the future, the higher global mean temperatures will constrain carbonate weathering. In low latitudes, although the climate change will promote the soil CO₂, land-use transitions to agriculture after deforestation in this warming background will decrease $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ in the future. Carbonate weathering will show less sensitivity to the overheated environment in these regions. Our results find that increasing precipitation will offset the negative impacts of temperature and deforestation there. In the future, we believe that the CCSF fluctuations will become larger, sensitively responding to climate and land-use changes, and the increasing carbonate weathering flux from terrestrial waters to oceans may promote the biological carbon consumption by organisms in these systems^{5,23}. Therefore, this increasing flux can be a considerable carbon sink that against the rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration in the future, potentially become a negative feedback to global warming.

Our model still needs some improvements for future studies. For example, a growing body of evidence finds that the elevated CO_2 in the atmosphere (CO_{2atm}) will affect the primary productivity of ecosystems by the so-called CO_2 fertilization effect²⁴. Rising CO_{2atm} will also alter the soil CO_2 and water balances, and thus impact CCSF: it must be considered in global carbon-sink modeling. In addition, land-use change can prompt changes in subsurface flow paths and mineral water interaction, thus the resultant fluxes of solutes from landscapes. Given thermodynamic controls on carbonate weathering, water fluxes through the landscape will have the biggest control on $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, which need to be accounted for in land-use change dynamics. Meanwhile, anthropogenic N and S inputs from use of fertilizers¹⁹ or



Fig. 4 Latitudinal variations of relevant variables. $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ (dark-shaded area in **a**-**d**) and *R* (runoff, light-shaded area in **e**-**g**) in relation with mean temperature (black line in **a** and **e**), mean precipitation (blue line in **b** and **f**), land-use type (multicolor lines in **c** and **g**), and soil pCO_2 (purple lines in **d**) in the historical period (1950-2005). Three $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ peaks occur in three latitudinal zones (50-70 °N, 0-10 °S, and 40-50 S°). The dashed line in **a** is the upper temperature limit (15 °C) for maximum carbonate dissolution. The highest runoff (*R*) can be found in the tropical zone and the area close to 40 °S.

coal combustion²⁵ have become additional drivers of carbonate weathering. The carbonate dissolution produced by nitrate or sulfuric acids will lead to increased $[HCO_3^{-}]_{eq}$ as a CO₂ source. For example, Perrin et al.¹⁹ found this CO₂ source by nitric acid due to agriculture contribution is not negligible, since it could reach 6–15% of CO₂ uptake by natural silicate weathering and could consequently partly counterbalance this natural CO₂ sink. However, to give an estimate of this flux in the future may be difficult, which is out of the focus of this contribution.

In this study, we have assembled a new model to explore spatialtemporal global CCSF fluctuations over the historical period, 1950–2005, and extended it to the end of this century 2100 AD. Besides natural fluctuations in temperature and carbondioxide concentration, anthropogenic land-use changes have been considered. The results show that there will be widespread and consistent increases in global CCSF, ranging from +9.8% (RCP4.5) to +17.1% (RCP8.5), that are chiefly due to increasing runoff (+12% to +20.9%) and $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ (+2.1% to +2.6%). For the full period, 1950–2100, due to the increased runoff caused by both land-use transition and increasing rainfall, CCSF variations in low latitudes are expected to become the largest. Although the low latitudes contain only 28% of terrestrial

carbonate rock outcrops, the CCSF increase here accounts for 61-68% of the TCS in the future. The warming trend in mid and high latitudes will accelerate the carbonate dissolution but the total impact is less important. In future, the increase of runoff will dominate CCSF increases, due to the chemostatic behavior of HCO_3^{-} . Global warming, by contrast, will lead to lower $[HCO_3^{-}]_{eq}$ in tropical regions due to the warmer temperatures. However, land-use changes and the accompanying rise in water



Fig. 5 Latitudinal CCSF variation with relevant variables. CCSF (carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux, blue line in **a** and **b**) in relation to **a** $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$ (dark grey-shaded area) and **b** *R* (runoff, light-gray shaded area) in the historical period (1950–2005). CCSF shows a significant positive relationship to runoff (*R*) across all latitudes.

flux could well counteract this impact, leading a higher net CCSF. Our study highlights the significant role of land-use change in global CCSF variation, which needs to be considered in future global CCSF models.

Methods

Selection of database. To simulate the CCSF fluctuations from the historical period to the end of this century, we use a long-term statistical climate dataset from the NASA Earth Exchange Global Daily Downscaled Projections (NEX-GDDP) CMIP5 archive (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5). This estimates spatiotemporal variations in climate change²⁶, including a global dataset of reconstructed (1950–2005) historical precipitation, maximum and minimum near-surface temperatures, and future predictions (along the concentration pathways, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, from 2006 to 2100). We calculate the mean temperature by using the average value of daily maximum and minimum temperatures. From the NEX-GDDP model suite, we select the Earth System Model of the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL-ESM2M), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), which is one of the most robust models considering interactions between each sphere.

Land-use harmonization products provided by the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report give opportunities for estimating the impacts of a wide range of land-use trends on long-term terrestrial ecosystem processes²⁷. The land-use harmonization dataset (LUH; http://luh.umd.edu/data.shtml) provides the annual land-use grid dataset from a long-term historical period and also provides the future land-use predictions under the different RCP scenarios (CMIP5). The fraction of each land-use type is described on a 0.25° grid in the LUH report, with the historical reconstruction period and four land-use change scenarios for future predictions. We choose the two representative concentration pathways, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, which correspond to the NEX-GDDP climate data. LUH provides seven land-use types (primary forest, secondary forest, pasture, crop, primary non forest, secondary non forest, and urban) and we reclassified each LUH land-use report into five different broad land cover types (forest, grass, non forest, crop, and urban) in each pixel.

For the spatial distribution of global carbonate rock, we use the v3.0 version world map of carbonate rock outcrops provided by the Geography and Environmental Science Department, University of Auckland (http://:www.sges. aukland.ac.nz/sges_research/karst.shtm). This map only displays the outcrop of karstic solid rocks. It does not include carbonate rock types that are covered by later consolidated strata. The carbonate rock types in the natural environment consist chiefly of limestone (CaCO₃) and dolostone (Ca(Mg)CO₃). Due to the uncertainties of precisely distinguishing limestone from globally less common dolostone in the geological maps, we calculated CCSF by assuming that all carbonate outcrops are calcite in this study.

Atmospheric CO₂ (CO_{2atm}) is also an important factor in the air-water-rock system. We added CO_{2atm} as an additional parameter for both historical and future emissions following the two pathways (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5). The historical CO_{2atm} trends and different future emission prediction data (til 2100) were obtained from Potsdam Institute for climate impact research (http://www.pik-potsdam.de/~mmalte/rcps/index).



Fig. 6 Relationship between CCSF and relevant variables. a CCSF (carbonate weathering carbon-sink flux) and $[HCO_3^-]_{eq}$, and **b** CCSF and *R* (runoff) in the historical period (1950-2005), normalized into three global latitudinal zones. Runoff dominates the CCSF variation across different latitudinal zones. [HCO_3^-]_{eq} shows a high ($R^2 = 0.86$) positive relation with CCSF only in high latitudes (60°-90°), where runoff is low.

Calculating equilibrium [Ca²⁺] in a karst system. The calcium equilibrium concentration $[Ca^{2+}]_{eq}$ [mol m⁻³] for a solution saturated with respect to calcite can be derived to very high accuracy from the analytical expression⁹:

$$\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}^{3} = \frac{K_{1}K_{\mathrm{C}}K_{\mathrm{H}}}{4K_{2}\gamma_{\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}\gamma_{\mathrm{HCO}_{2}}^{2}}p\mathrm{CO}_{2}$$
(2)

where K_1 , K_2 , K_c , and K_H are the temperature-dependent equilibrium constants for the chemical reactions, γ_{Ca2+} and γ_{HCO3}^- are the activity coefficients for calcium and bicarbonate, respectively, and pCO_2 (in atm) is the carbondioxide partial pressure⁹.

Calculation of pCO₂ for carbonate weathering. CO₂ is a key driving factor for carbonate dissolution. It is present in the atmosphere and will be enhanced by soil respiration. The pCO_2 along the soil-rock or atmosphere-rock interface controls the saturation state of carbonate chemistry for ground water, thereby determining the amount of carbonate that can be dissolved in a karst aquifef^{6,9}. In this study, soil pCO_2 is calculated by the method given by the Gwiazada and Broecker²⁸ and more recently modified by Gaillardet et al.⁶, who conclude that CO₂ production by respiration in the root zone (CO₂^{pr} in g C m² yr⁻¹) can be assumed to be 75% of the ecosystem net primary production (NPP). Meanwhile, a power function is used to define the pCO_2 profile by solving the complete CO₂ atifusion equation in soil. It is assumed at the basis of the root production zone, the soil CO₂ recentes maximum and becomes constant below this horizon: soil pCO_2 can thus be expressed as a function of atmospheric CO₂ concentration, temperature, and NPP, shown as below⁶:

$$pCO_{2(Soil)} = pCO_{2(atm)} + \frac{A \times 0.75 \times NPP}{T^2}$$
(3)

where $A = 1.03 \times 10^6$, a conversion unit constant, pCO_{2atm} is the atmospheric CO₂ pressure in ppmv. NPP is net primary productivity in grams of dry matter per meter square per year (g m⁻² yr⁻¹), T is the surface temperature expressed in K and pCO_2 (soil) is the maximum CO₂ pressure reached below the root zone in ppmv. In the $r_{1,2,2,3}^{(MM)}$ original version of Eq. (3), the soil pCO_2 also depends on the mean root depth, soil porosity, and tortuosity^{13,28}. However, the rooting depths of global vegetations vary in different plants species. According to the data from 475 soil profiles around the world, the majority of rooting depths among different vegetation types are similar in most regions²⁹. As suggested by Schenk and Jackson²⁹, for predictions on a global scale, it may be undesirable to assign fixed rooting depths to different vegetation types. Moreover, it has been found that the forest, scrub, and grass have a similar soil horizon 50-80 cm that contain 90% of the root biomass³⁰, and this horizon is matching well with the mean root depth in the soil pCO2 model, we mentioned above²⁸. On the other hand, recent studies show that different land use may have similar soil porosity (forest, cultivated land, and grassland), even after revegetation or deforestation for agriculture activities^{31,32}. Human activities may impact the soil porosity at the surface soil layers³¹, but may not alter the subsurface soil layers where the soil pCO₂ reaching maximum. Soil tortuosity depends on porosity as found by Jin and Jury³³. Due to these evidences, we think the recommended soil porosity and tortuosity by Goddéris et al.¹³ and Gaillardet et al.⁶ are feasible in present research. Here, by using Eq. (3) we estimate the soil pCO_2 differences by NPP. We used the Miami model to calculate NPP in forest ecosystems³⁴. The model assumes that the climate limits the vegetation primary production and that NPP increases with both increasing temperature and increasing precipitation:

$$NPP_{(T,P)} = \min\left\{\frac{\frac{3000}{1+e^{1.315-0.119\times T}}}{3000\times (e^{-0.000664\times P})}\right\}$$
(4)

where NPP is net primary production for ecosystem (NPP is the amount of organic matter in g of dry matter m⁻²), *T* [C] is the annual mean surface temperature, and *P* [m s⁻¹] is the annual mean precipitation. Though recent studies found that the response of NPP to changes in precipitation and temperature varies between ecosystems, the Miami model results are used to obtain a close approximation of NPP values in forest ecosystems, and probably overestimates NPP in non-tree-dominated (grass, shrub, and crop) ecosystems that are largely controlled by precipitation variation and use/land cover, we employed another model which includes the NPP estimation in ecosystems without trees. This NCEAS model³⁵ is as follows:

$$NPP_{(non-tree)} = 6116 \times \left[1 - \exp(-6.05 \times 10^{-5} \times P)\right]$$
(5)

where NPP $_{(non-tree)}$ is net primary production (g C m² yr⁻¹) in non-tree-dominated ecosystems, and *P* the annual mean precipitation. Due to land-use reclassification in the LUH dataset, we used the NCEAS model to calculate the NPP for grass, non forest, and crop.

The pCO_2 in urban areas is set equal to the atmospheric level due to the absence of soil. In addition, the pCO_{2atm} trends under different RCP scenarios (1950–2100) given by the Potsdam Institute for climate impact research http://www.pik-potsdam.de/~mmalte/rcps/ are integrated into our model. It is assumed to be 320 ppm in 1950 AD according to the existing records. In the 2100, as predicted by RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, pCO_{2atm} will reach 538 ppm and 945 ppm, respectively.

Runoff variation estimation by climate and land-use change. In our prior CCSF modeling studies, long-term runoff changes were calculated by resolving the

balance equation between precipitation and evapotranspiration¹². During the past few decades, the historical global water cycle seems to have strengthened³⁶. For a long time, water yield has been mainly considered to depend on natural factors but recent studies have emphasized that anthropogenic factors, such as land-use and land-cover changes can be another factor driving runoff perturbations in areas with maior human interventions²¹. Generally, in forest-dominated catchments, the evapotranspiration is higher than in grass-dominated catchments under similar climatic conditions, because of the different water consumption capacities of plants³⁷. Agricultural activities and urbanization can also alter the vegetation cover, soil properties and thereby change water yield and runoff patterns. Here, we use the model recommended by Zhang et al.³⁷ to separately estimate the evapotranspiration of forest and of grass lands. In addition, in order to estimate the hydrological changes of other land-cover/land-use types in the LHU dataset, we additionally introduce three extended models (for crop, non forest, and urban) that are based on the standard function given by Zhang et al.³⁷. Our extension functions are based on a three-year water balance study in a karst simulation test site that detects the water yields of five different land uses in karst terrain¹⁷. The final modified model can be expressed as:

$$ET_{sum} = fET_{f} + gET_{g} + nET_{n} + cET_{c} + uET_{u}$$
(6)

where ET_{sum} (mm) is the total annual evapotranspiration, *f*, *g*, *n*, *c*, and *u* are the ratios of forest, grass, non forest, crop, and urban cover in e ach pixel, respectively (f+g+n+c+u=1), and ET_6 , ET_8 , ET_6 , and ET_u (mm) are the corresponding annual evapotranspiration from different land uses.

Maximal potential dissolution method used for CCSF estimate. To obtain the global CCSF variations for long-time periods, we replace *R* in Eq. (1) by R = P-ET, with *P* the total precipitation (m yr⁻¹) and ET the evapotranspiration (m yr⁻¹). We assume that the total dissolved carbon can be approximated by the bicarbonate alone, [DIC] = [HCO₃⁻¹], which is valid for pH values around 8. In this pH range, reduced electroneutrality states that for each bicarbonate molecule, two calcium atoms are present, thus [HCO₃⁻¹] = 2[Ca²⁺]. Thus, after multiplying Eq. (1) with the atomic mass of carbon, 12 g mol⁻¹, Eq. (1) can be reformulated to the annual CCSF (t C km⁻² yr⁻¹; refs. ^{11,12}):

$$CCSF = 12(P - ET)[Ca^{2+}]_{eq}$$
⁽⁷⁾

where $[Ca^{2+}]_{eq}$ is the concentration of calcium ion at equilibrium (mol m⁻³). The annual TCS (t C yr⁻¹) for a given karst area can be calculated by:

$$= 12(P - \text{ET})S[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{eq}}$$
(8)

where S [km²] is the land surface area of the carbonate outcrops.

TCS

Data availability

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are publicly available in the web pages provided in the article. The equilibrium model and all relevant data are available from the corresponding author upon request. The source data underlying Figs. 1, 3–6 are provided as a Source Data file.

Received: 22 August 2019; Accepted: 26 November 2019; Published online: 17 December 2019

References

- Schimel, D. S. Terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle. *Glob. Change Biol.* 1, 77–91 (1995).
- Arora, V. K. & Boer, G. J. Uncertainties in the 20th century carbon budget associate with land use change. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16, 3327–3348 (2010).
- 3. Gislason, S. R. et al. Direct evidence of the feedback between climate and weathering. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **277**, 213–2222 (2009).
- Raymond, P. A., Oh, N. H., Turner, R. E. & Broussard, W. Anthropogenically enhanced fluxes of water and carbon from the Mississippi River. *Nature* 451, 449–452 (2008).
- Liu, Z., Dreybrodt, W. & Wang, H. A new direction in effective accounting for the atmospheric CO₂ budget: Considering the combined action of carbonate dissolution, the global water cycle and photosynthetic uptake of DIC by aquatic organisms. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* **99**, 162–172 (2010).
- Gaillardet, J., Calmels, D., Romero-Mujalli, G. Z. & Hartmann, J. Global climate control on carbonate weathering intensity. *Chem. Geol.* https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.05.009 (2018).
- Gaillardet, J., Dupre, B., Louvat, P. & Allegre, C. J. Global silicate weathering and CO₂ consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers. *Chem. Geol.* 159, 3–30 (1999).
- Gombert, P. Role of karstic dissolution in global carbon cycle. *Glob. Planet. Change* 33, 177–184 (2002).
- 9. Dreybrodt, W. Processes in Karst Systems (Springer, Berlin, 1988).

ARTICLE

- Liu, Z., Dreybrodt, W. & Liu, H. Atmospheric CO₂ sink: silicate weathering or carbonate weathering? *Appl. Geochem.* 26, 292–294 (2011).
- Zeng, C., Liu, Z., Zhao, M. & Yang, R. Hydrologically-driven variations in the karst-related carbon sink fluxes: Insights from high-resolution monitoring of three karst catchments in Southwest China. *J. Hydrol.* 533, 74–90 (2016).
- Zeng, S., Jiang, Y. & Liu, Z. Assessment of climate impacts on the karst-related carbon sink in SW China using MPD and GIS. *Glob. Planet. Change* 144, 171–181 (2016).
- Goddéris, Y., Williams, J. Z., Schott, J., Pollard, D. & Brantley, S. L. Time evolution of the mineralogical composition of Mississippi Valley loess over the last 10kyr: Climate and geochemical modelling. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 6357–6374 (2010).
- Andrews, J. A. & Schlesinger, W. H. Soil CO₂ dynamics, acidification, and chemical weathering in a temperate forest with experimental CO₂ enrichment. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cy* 15, 149–162 (2001).
- Romero-Mujalli, G. J. & Hartmann, B. Temperature and CO₂ dependency of global carbonate weathering fluxes - Implications for future carbonate weathering research. *Chem. Geol.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.08.010 (2018).
- Macpherson, G. L., Sullivan, P. L., Stotler, R. L. & Norwood, B. S. Increasing groundwater CO₂ in a mid-continent tallgrass prairie: controlling factors. *E3S Web Conf.* 98, 06008 (2019).
- Zeng, Q. et al. Carbonate weathering-related carbon sink fluxes under different land uses: A case study from the Shawan Simulation Test Site, Puding, Southwest China. *Chem. Geol.* 474, 58–71 (2017).
- Beaulieu, E., Goddéris, Y., Donnadieu, Y., Labat, D. & Roelandt, C. High sensitivity of the continental-weathering carbon dioxide sink to future climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* 5, 346–349 (2012).
- Perrin, A. S., Probst, A. & Probst, J. L. Impact of nitrogenous fertilizer on carbonate dissolution in small agricultural catchment: implications for weathering CO₂ uptake at regional and global scales. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72, 3015–3213 (2008).
- Drake, T. W. et al. Increasing alkalinity export from large Russian arctic river. Environ. Sci. Tech. 52, 8302–8308 (2018).
- Piao, S. et al. Changes in climate and land use have a larger direct impact than rising CO₂ on global river runoff trends. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 15242–15247 (2007).
- Fekete, B. M., Vörösmarty, C. & Grabs, W. High-resolution fields of global runoff combining observed river discharge and simulated water balances. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 16, 15–1 (2002).
- Riebesell, U. et al. Enhanced biological carbon consumption in a high CO₂ ocean. *Nature* 450, 545–548 (2007).
- Norby, R. J., DeLucia, E. H. & Gielen, B. Forest response to elevated CO₂ is conserved across a broad range of productivity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 102, 18052–18056 (2005).
- Lerman, A. L., Wu, L. & Mackenzie, F. T. CO₂ and H₂SO₄ consumption in weathering and material transport to the ocean, and their role in the global carbon balance. *Mar. Chem.* **106**, 326–350 (2007).
- 26. Thrasher, B. et al. Downscaled climate projections suitable for resource management. *Eos Trans. AGU* 94, 321–321 (2013).
- 27. Hurtt, G. C. et al. Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100: 600 years of global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands. *Climatic Change* **109**, 117 (2011).
- Gwiazda, R. H. & Broecker, W. S. The separate and combined effects of temperature, soil pCO₂ and organic acidity on silicate weathering in the soil environment: formulation of a model and results. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 8, 141–155 (1994).
- Schenk, H. & J. Jackson, R. B. The global biogeography of roots. *Ecol. Monogr.* 72, 311–328 (2002).
- Schulze, E.-D., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O. E., Jobbagy, E. & Buchmann, N. Rooting depth, water availability, and vegetation cover along an aridity gradient in Patagonia. *Oecologia* 108, 503–5511 (1996).
- Jiao, F., Wen, Z. M. & An, S. S. Changes in soil properties across a chronosequence of vegetation restoration on Loess Plateau of China. *Catena* 86, 100–166 (2011).
- Khaledian., Y., Kiana, F., Ebrahimi, S., Brevik, E. & Aitkenhead-Peterson, J. Assessment and monitoring of soil degradation during land use change using multivariate analysis. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 28, 128–141 (2016).
- Jin, Y. & Jury, W. A. Characterizing the dependence of gas diffusion coefficient on soil properties. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 60, 66–71 (1996).
- Lieth, H. Modeling the primary productivity of the world. In *Primary* Productivity of the Biosphere 237–263 (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1975).
- Del Grosso, S. et al. Global potential net primary production predicted from vegetation class, precipitation, and temperature. *J. Ecol.* 89, 2117–2126 (2008).
- 36. Gedney, N. et al. Detection of a direct carbon dioxide effect in continental river runoff records. *Nature* **439**, 835–838 (2006).

- Zhang, L., Dawes, W. R. & Walker, G. R. Response of mean annual evapotranspiration to vegetation changes at catchment scale. *Water Resour. Res.* 37, 701–708 (2001).
- Xu, Z. & Liu, C. Chemical weathering in the upper reaches of Xijiang River draining the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau, Southwest China. *Chem. Geol.* 239, 83–895 (2007).
- Ferguson, P. R., Dubois, K. D. & Veizer, J. Fluvial carbon fluxes under extreme rainfall conditions: inferences from the Fly River, Papua New Guinea. *Chem. Geol.* 281, 283–292 (2011).
- Pitman, J. I. Carbonate chemistry of groundwater from tropical tower karst in south Thailand. Water Resour. Res. 14, 961–967 (1978).
- Giusti, E. V. Hydrogeology of the Karst of Puerto Rico, Vol. 1012 (US Govt. Print. Off, 1978).
- Moore, P. J., Martin, J. B. & Screaton, E. J. Geochemical and statistical evidence of recharge, mixing, and controls on spring discharge in an eogenetic karst aquifer. J. Hydrol. 376, 443–455 (2009).
- 43. Szramek, K. et al. Weathering intensity of calcite versus dolomite in carbonate-bearing temperate zone watersheds: carbonate geochemistry and fluxes from catchments within the St. Lawrence and Danube river basins. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 8, Q04002 (2007).
- Sarazin, G. & Ciabrini, J. P. Water geochemistry of three mountain streams from carbonate watersheds in the Southern French Alps. *Aquat. Geochem.* 3, 233–265 (1997).
- Huh, Y., Tsoi, M. Y., Zaitsev, A. & Edmond, J. M. The fluvial geochemistry of the rivers of Eastern Siberia: I. Tributaries of the Lena River draining the sedimentary platform of the Siberian Craton. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 62, 1657–1676 (1998).
- Millot, R., Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B. & Allègre, C. J. Northern latitude chemical weathering rates: clues from the Mackenzie River Basin, Canada. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 67, 1305–1329 (2003).

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Dr. Derek Ford for insightful comments on previous versions of this manuscript. This study has been financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41430753, U1612441, and 41921004) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Author contributions

Z.L. was the leader of the project financially supported by NSFC. G.K. and Z.L. designed the modelling. S.Z. ran the model and wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13772-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.L. or G.K.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Yves Godderis and other, anonymous, reviewers for their contributions to the peer review of this work. Peer review reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019