



Identification and evolution of avian endogenous foamy viruses

Chen, Yicong; Wei, Xiaoman; Zhang, Guojie; Holmes, Edward C.; Cui, Jie

Published in:
Virus Evolution

DOI:
[10.1093/ve/vez049](https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vez049)

Publication date:
2019

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
[CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Citation for published version (APA):
Chen, Y., Wei, X., Zhang, G., Holmes, E. C., & Cui, J. (2019). Identification and evolution of avian endogenous foamy viruses. *Virus Evolution*, 5(2), [vez049]. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vez049>

Identification and evolution of avian endogenous foamy viruses

Yicong Chen,^{1,2,3,†} Xiaoman Wei,^{1,2,3,†} Guojie Zhang,^{4,5,6,7}
Edward C. Holmes,^{8,‡} and Jie Cui^{1,2,*,§}

¹Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China, ²Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, ³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁴State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China, ⁵China National GeneBank, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China, ⁶Section for Ecology and Evolution, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁷Center for Excellence in Animal Evolution and Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China and ⁸Marie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Charles Perkins Centre, School of Life and Environmental Sciences and Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jcui@ips.ac.cn

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9596-3552>

§<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8176-9951>

Abstract

A history of long-term co-divergence means that foamy viruses (family *Retroviridae*) provide an ideal framework to understanding virus-host evolution over extended time periods. Endogenous foamy viruses (EndFVs) are rare, and to date have only been described in a limited number of mammals, amphibians, reptiles and fish genomes. By screening 414 avian genomes we identified EndFVs in two bird species: the Maguari Stork (*Ciconia maguari*) and the Oriental Stork (*Ciconia boyciana*). Analyses of phylogenetic relationships, genome structures and flanking sequences revealed a single origin of EndFVs in *Ciconia* species. In addition, the marked incongruence between the virus and host phylogenies suggested that this integration event occurred independently in birds. In sum, by providing evidence that birds can be infected with foamy viruses, we fill the last major gap in the taxonomic distribution of foamy viruses and their animal hosts.

Key words: endogenous foamy viruses; birds; incongruence; cross-species transmission.

1. Introduction

Retroviruses (family *Retroviridae*) are viruses of substantial medical and economic significance as some are associated with severe infectious disease or are oncogenic (Hayward et al. 2015; Aiewsakun and Katzourakis 2017; Xu et al. 2018). Retroviruses

are also of evolutionary importance as they have occasionally invaded the host germ line, leading to the generation of endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) and hence genomic ‘fossils’ (Stoye 2012; Johnson 2015, 2019). ERVs are widely distributed in vertebrates (Hayward et al. 2013; Cui et al. 2014; Hayward et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2018) and provide important insights into the

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

origin and long-term evolution of retroviruses. However, some complex retroviruses such as lenti-, delta-, and spuma viruses, only relatively rarely appear as endogenized forms.

As well leaving a litany of endogenous copies in host genomes, foamy viruses are of particular importance because they exhibit a history of long-term co-divergence with their vertebrate hosts (Switzer et al. 2005). Endogenous foamy viruses (EndFVs) were first discovered in sloths (Katzourakis et al. 2009), and then found in several primate genomes (Han and Worobey 2012b, 2014; Katzourakis et al. 2014). The subsequent discovery of EndFV and EndFV-like copies in fish genomes indicated that foamy viruses may have a deep evolutionary history within the vertebrates (Han and Worobey 2012a; Ruboyianes and Worobey 2016; Aiewsakun and Katzourakis 2017). Recently, three novel EndFVs were identified in reptile genomes, although there is disagreement over their origins with some suggesting virus-host co-divergence over many millions of years (Wei et al. 2019), and others favoring cross-species transmission events (Aiewsakun et al. 2019; Wei et al. 2019). To date, no EndFVs have been identified in avian genomes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Genome screening and viral genome structure identification

All 147 avian genomes available in GenBank as of June 2019 (Supplementary Table S1) and 267 genomes from the ‘Bird 10K’ program were screened for EndFVs using the TBLASTN algorithm (Altschul et al. 1990) and the protein sequences of representative exogenous foamy viruses, EndFVs and endogenous foamy-like viruses (Supplementary Table S2). A 35% sequence identity over a 30% region with an e-value set to 0.0001 was used to filter significant hits (Supplementary Table S3). Viral hits within large scaffolds (>20 kb) were assumed to represent *bona fide* ERVs. We then extended the flanking sequence of these hits to identify the viral long terminal repeats (LTRs) using BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1990), LTR Finder (Xu and Wang 2007) and LTRharvest (Ellinghaus et al. 2008). In accordance with the nomenclature proposed for ERVs (Gifford et al. 2018), EndFVs were identified in the genomes of the Maguari Stork (*Ciconia maguari*) and the Oriental stork (*Ciconia boyciana*). These were termed ‘ERV-Spuma.n-Cma’ and ‘ERV-Spuma.n-Cbo’, respectively (in which *n* represents the number of the viral sequences extracted from host genome) (Supplementary Table S4). Putative genome structures and conserved EndFV domains were identified using BLASTP, CD-search (Marchler-Bauer and Bryant 2004; Marchler-Bauer et al. 2017) and ORFfinder (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/>) at NCBI.

2.2 Molecular dating

ERV integration time can be approximately estimated using the relation $T = (D/R)/2$, in which *T* is the integration time (million years), *D* is the number of nucleotide differences per site between the pairwise LTRs, and *R* is the genomic substitution rate (nucleotide substitutions per site, per year). We used the previously estimated neutral nucleotide substitution rate for birds (1.9×10^{-9} nucleotide substitutions per site, per year; Zhang et al. 2014). Two full-length ERVs-Spuma-Cma containing a pairwise intact LTRs were used to estimate integration time in this manner (Supplementary Table S5). We excluded ERV-Spum.1-Cbo from this dating exercise due to its defective 5' LTR.

2.3 Phylogenetic analysis

To describe the evolutionary relationship of EndFVs to other representative retroviruses, sequences of the Pol (Supplementary Data Set S1) and concatenated Gag-Pol-Env proteins (Supplementary Data Set S2) were aligned using MAFFT 7.222 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and confirmed manually in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). A phylogenetic tree of these data was then inferred using the maximum likelihood (ML) method in PhyML 3.1 (Guindon et al. 2010), incorporating 100 bootstrap replicates to assess node robustness. The best-fit LG + Γ +I + F of amino acid substitution was selected for both Pol and concatenated Gag-Pol-Env protein data sets using ProtTest (Abascal et al. 2005).

2.4 Recombination analysis

To test for recombination in these data, we: (1) compared target site duplications (TSDs) flanking the ERVs, as it has previously been shown that ERVs not flanked by the same TSDs likely arose by provirus recombination (Hughes and Coffin 2001), and (2) screened for recombination in the pol and gag-pol-env nucleotide sequences of mammalian, tuatara, amphibian, lobe-finned fish and avian FVs/EndFVs using the Recombination Detection Program 4 (Martin et al. 2015).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Discovery and characterization of endogenous foamy viral elements in avian genomes

To identify potential foamy (-like) viral elements in birds, we collected 147 available bird genomes from GenBank (Supplementary Table S1) and 267 genomes from the ‘Bird 10K’ project (Zhang et al. 2015) and performed *in silico* TBLASTN, using the amino acid sequences of representative retroviruses as queries (Supplementary Table S2). This genomic mining identified sixteen significant hits in the Maguari Stork and the twelve in Oriental Stork (Supplementary Table S3). We designated these ERV-Spuma.n-Cma and Spuma.n-Cbo, respectively (Gifford et al. 2018) (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). We considered hits within large scaffolds (>20 kb in length) to represent *bona fide* ERVs. We then extended the flanking sequences of these EndFVs on both sides to search for LTRs, as these define the boundary of the viral elements. Through this analysis we discovered two full-length EndFVs in the Maguari stork genome and one in the Oriental stork genome. The low copy number of EndFVs found in both two bird species accords with the observation that avian genomes generally harbor small numbers of endogenous viruses (Cui et al. 2014).

To further elucidate the relationship between these novel avian EndFVs and other retroviruses, Pol gene sequences (>500 amino acid residue in length) were used in a phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1). Accordingly, our ML phylogenetic tree revealed that the EndFVs discovered in birds formed a close and well supported monophyletic group within the foamy virus clade compatible with the idea that these avian EndFVs might have a single origin. Notably, however, because they were most closely related to the EndFVs found in mammals rather than to those found in reptiles, the phylogenetic position of the avian EndFVs described here was incongruent with that of the host phylogeny (although the node associated with the tuatara EndFV was relatively poorly supported) (Fig. 2). This, and the overall rarity of EndFVs in birds, suggests that these avian EndFVs have an independent origin in birds and were not acquired through virus-host co-divergence, such that a non-avian retrovirus jumped




Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of retroviruses and endogenous retroviruses, including the EndFVs found in avian genomes. The tree was inferred using amino acid sequences of the Pol gene, and rooted using the remaining retroviral taxa (excluding the spumaviruses). The newly identified viral elements are labeled in red. *Indicates the EndFV found in the Maguari Stork genome, while †denotes the EndFV from the Oriental Stork genome. The scale bar indicates the number of amino acid changes per site.

into birds at some point during evolutionary history. No evidence for recombination was found in these data.

3.2 Genomic structure characterization

By searching for conserved domains against the Conserved Domains Database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd), we identified three typical foamy conserved domains in the three

full-length avian EndFVs: (1) the Spuma virus Gag domain (pfam03276; [Winkler et al. 1997](#)), (2) the Spuma aspartic protease (A9) domain (pfam03539) that is present in all mammalian foamy virus Pol proteins ([Aiewsakun and Katzourakis 2017](#)), and the (3) foamy virus envelope protein domain (pfam03408) ([Han and Worobey 2012a](#); [Wei et al. 2019](#); [Supplementary Fig. S2](#)). Furthermore, we identified an open reading frame 1 (ORF1) as an accessory gene in all three full-length avian EndFV genomes.




Figure 2. Evolutionary history of foamy viruses (left) and their vertebrate hosts (right). Associations between foamy viruses and their hosts are indicated by connecting lines. The avian EndFV and its host are labeled in red. Note that the avian EndFVs are more closely related to mammalian foamy viruses than the reptilian EndFV. Scale bars indicate the number of amino acid changes per site in the viruses and the host divergence times (MYA).

These ORF1 sequences exhibited high nucleotide similarity (>88%) with each other, although not to any known accessory genes from foamy viruses (and the ORF1 in ERV-Spuma.1-Cma was split in two, due to two insertions and a non-sense mutation; [Supplementary Fig. S1](#)). Interestingly, the internal promoter of this ORF was located between 3' end of the *env* gene and the 5' start of the ORF gene. This is inconsistent with exogenous foamy viruses whose internal promoters are located toward the 3' end of the *env* gene ([Campbell et al. 1994](#); [Lochelt et al. 1995](#)).

In summary, the genomes of the new EndFVs documented here contained a pair of LTRs, although with no sequence similarity to other EndFV LTRs, and exhibited a typical spuma virus structure, with three main ORF—*gag*, *pol*, and *env*—and one putative additional accessory gene, ORF 1 ([Fig. 3](#); [Supplementary Fig. S1](#)).

3.3 Vertical transmission of bird EndFVs

Surprisingly, ERV-Spuma.2-Cma and ERV-Spuma.1-Cbo shared 98% nucleotide sequence identity and contained the same deletion in the *pol* gene ([Supplementary Fig. S3](#)). By comparing the flanking sequences of these two EndFVs using BLASTN, we discovered that two scaffolds containing EndFV (BDFF02011124.1 in the Oriental stork and scaffold3222 in the Maguari Stork) shared 99% coverage with 98.66% sequence identity (e -value = 0.0). Furthermore, upon the ERV insertion, the target DNA fragment is also duplicated, resulting in TSDs that differs among ERV insertions ([Hughes and Coffin 2001](#)). We were able to identify the same TSD flanking these two avian EndFVs ([Supplementary Fig. S3](#)), confirming that they have been vertically inherited. As such, we can infer that the most recent common ancestor of

Maguari Stork and Oriental Stork, estimated to have existed between 3.2 and 13.2 million years ago ([Jetz et al. 2012](#)), carried these EndFVs. However, neither EndFVs nor any solo LTRs were present in other bird species from same order (Pelecaniformes), including the little egret (*Egretta garzetta*), crested ibis (*Nipponia nippon*), and Yellow-throated sandgrouse (*Pterocles gutturalis*). Clearly, the study of additional genomes sampled across the avian phylogeny is merited.

3.4 Estimation of ERV insertion times

To approximately estimate the insertion time of EndFVs in two birds, we utilized the LTR-divergence based on the degree of sequence divergence between the 5' and 3' LTRs with a known host nucleotide substitution rate ([Dangel et al. 1995](#); [Johnson and Coffin 1999](#)). Accordingly, the two intact pairwise LTRs of ERVs-Spuma-Cma were selected for time estimation ([Supplementary Table S5](#)). This analysis revealed insertion times of 3.15 and 13.95 MYA (million years ago), close to the estimated time of common ancestor of Maguari Stork and Oriental Stork ([Jetz et al. 2012](#)). However, the presence of multiple premature stop codon suggests the invasion was ancient, and all estimates of integration times should be treated with caution ([Kijima and Innan 2010](#)). Clearly, the discovery of additional EndFVs will shed more light on the time-scale of these retroviral integration events.

In sum, we describe the presence and evolution of two novel avian EndFVs. This discovery fills the last major gap in our understanding of the taxonomic distribution of the foamy viruses and helps reveal the evolutionary interactions between retroviruses and their hosts over extended time periods.




Figure 3. Genomic organization of exogenous foamy viruses (acc: NC_001364) and avian EndFVs. LTR, long terminal repeat; Pro, protease; RT, reverse transcriptase; RH, ribonuclease H; IN, integrase.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *Virus Evolution* online.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Special Key Project of Biosafety Technologies (2017YFC1200800) for the National Major Research and Development Program of China. J.C. is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31671324 and 31970176) and CAS Pioneer Hundred Talents Program. E.C.H. is supported by an ARC Australian Laureate Fellowship (FL170100022). G.Z. is supported by Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB31020000) and Villum Foundation. We thank B10K consortium for early access to the bird genomes produced by B10K.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- Abascal, F., Zardoya, R., and Posada, D. (2005) 'ProtTest: Selection of Best-Fit Models of Protein Evolution', *Bioinformatics*, 21: 2104–5.
- Aiewsakun, P., and Katzourakis, A. (2017) 'Marine Origin of Retroviruses in the Early Palaeozoic Era', *Nature Communications*, 8: 13954.
- , Simmonds, P., and Katzourakis, A. (2019) 'The First Co-Opted Endogenous Foamy Viruses and the Evolutionary History of Reptilian Foamy Viruses', *Viruses*, 11: 641.
- Altschul, S. F. et al. (1990) 'Basic Local Alignment Search Tool', *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215: 403–10.
- Campbell, M. et al. (1994) 'Characterization of the Internal Promoter of Simian Foamy Viruses', *Journal of Virology*, 68: 4811–20.
- Cui, J. et al. (2014) 'Low Frequency of Paleoviral Infiltration across the Avian Phylogeny', *Genome Biology*, 15: 539.
- Dangel, A. W. et al. (1995) 'Complement Component C4 Gene Intron 9 as a Phylogenetic Marker for Primates: Long Terminal Repeats of the Endogenous Retrovirus ERV-K(C4) Are a Molecular Clock of Evolution', *Immunogenetics*, 42: 41–52.
- Ellinghaus, D., Kurtz, S., and Willhoeft, U. (2008) 'LTRharvest, an Efficient and Flexible Software for de Novo Detection of LTR Retrotransposons', *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9: 18.
- Gifford, R. J. et al. (2018) 'Nomenclature for Endogenous Retrovirus (ERV) Loci', *Retrovirology*, 15: 59.
- Guindon, S. et al. (2010) 'New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0', *Systematic Biology*, 59: 307–21.
- Han, G. Z., and Worobey, M. (2012a) 'An Endogenous Foamy-like Viral Element in the Coelacanth Genome', *PLoS Pathogens*, 8: e1002790.
- , and — (2012b) 'An Endogenous Foamy Virus in the Aye-Aye (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*)', *Journal of Virology*, 86: 7696–8.
- , and — (2014) 'Endogenous Viral Sequences from the Cape Golden Mole (*Chrysochloris asiatica*) Reveal the Presence of Foamy Viruses in All Major Placental Mammal Clades', *PLoS One*, 9: e97931.
- Hayward, A., Cornwallis, C. K., and Jern, P. (2015) 'Pan-Vertebrate Comparative Genomics Unmasks Retrovirus Macroevolution', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences United States of America*, 112: 464–9.
- , Grabherr, M., and — (2013) 'Broad-Scale Phylogenomics Provides Insights into Retrovirus-Host Evolution', *Proceedings of*

- the National Academy of Sciences United States of America, 110: 20146–51.
- Hughes, J. F., and Coffin, J. M. (2001) 'Evidence for Genomic Rearrangements Mediated by Human Endogenous Retroviruses during Primate Evolution', *Nature Genetics*, 29: 487–9.
- Jetz, W. et al. (2012) 'The Global Diversity of Birds in Space and Time', *Nature*, 491: 444.
- Johnson, W. E. (2015) 'Endogenous Retroviruses in the Genomics Era', *Annual Review of Virology*, 2: 135–59.
- (2019) 'Origins and Evolutionary Consequences of Ancient Endogenous Retroviruses', *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17: 355–70.
- , and Coffin, J. M. (1999) 'Constructing Primate Phylogenies from Ancient Retrovirus Sequences', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences United States of America*, 96: 10254–60.
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013) 'MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability', *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30: 772–80.
- Katzourakis, A. et al. (2014) 'Discovery of Prosimian and Afrotherian Foamy Viruses and Potential Cross Species Transmissions Amidst Stable and Ancient Mammalian co-Evolution', *Retrovirology*, 11: 61.
- et al. (2009) 'Macroevolution of Complex Retroviruses', *Science*, 325: 1512.
- Kijima, T. E., and Innan, H. (2010) 'On the Estimation of the Insertion Time of LTR Retrotransposable Elements', *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 27: 896–904.
- Kumar, S. et al. (2018) 'MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms', *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35: 1547–9.
- Lochelt, M. et al. (1995) 'The Human Foamy Virus Internal Promoter Is Required for Efficient Gene Expression and Infectivity', *Virology*, 206: 601–10.
- Marchler-Bauer, A. et al. (2017) 'CDD/SPARCLE: Functional Classification of Proteins via Subfamily Domain Architectures', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45: D200–D203.
- , and Bryant, S. H. (2004) 'CD-Search: Protein Domain Annotations on the Fly', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32: W327–331.
- Martin, D. P. et al. (2015) 'RDP4: Detection and Analysis of Recombination Patterns in Virus Genomes', *Virus Evolution*, 1: vev003.
- Ruboyianes, R., and Worobey, M. (2016) 'Foamy-like Endogenous Retroviruses Are Extensive and Abundant in Teleosts', *Virus Evolution*, 2: vew032.
- Stoye, J. P. (2012) 'Studies of Endogenous Retroviruses Reveal a Continuing Evolutionary Saga', *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 10: 395–406.
- Switzer, W. M. et al. (2005) 'Ancient co-Speciation of Simian Foamy Viruses and Primates', *Nature*, 434: 376–80.
- Wei, X. et al. (2019) 'A Reptilian Endogenous Foamy Virus Sheds Light on the Early Evolution of Retroviruses', *Virus Evolution*, 5: vez001.
- Winkler, I. et al. (1997) 'Characterization of the Genome of Feline Foamy Virus and Its Proteins Shows Distinct Features Different from Those of Primate Spumaviruses', *Journal of Virology*, 71: 6727–41.
- Xu, X. et al. (2018) 'Endogenous Retroviruses of Non-Avian/Mammalian Vertebrates Illuminate Diversity and Deep History of Retroviruses', *PLoS Pathogens*, 14: e1007072.
- Xu, Z., and Wang, H. (2007) 'LTR_FINDER: An Efficient Tool for the Prediction of Full-Length LTR Retrotransposons', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35: W265–268.
- Zhang, G. et al. (2014) 'Comparative Genomics Reveals Insights into Avian Genome Evolution and Adaptation', *Science*, 346: 1311–20.
- et al. (2015) 'Genomics: Bird Sequencing Project Takes Off', *Nature*, 522: 34.