

Long lived dust rings around HD169142

CLAUDIA TOCI,¹ GIUSEPPE LODATO,² DAVIDE FEDELE,³ LEONARDO TESTI,^{4,3,5} AND CHRISTOPHE PINTÉ⁶

¹*INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera,
Via Brera 28, 20121 Milan, Italy*

²*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano,
Via Giovanni Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy*

³*INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri,
Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125, Florence, Italy*

⁴*European Southern Observatory
Karl-Schwarzschild-Str 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

⁵*Excellence Cluster ORIGINS,
Boltzmann-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

⁶*Monash Centre for Astrophysics (MoCA) and School of Physics and Astronomy,
Monash University, Clayton Vic 3800, Australia*

(Received September 23, 2019; Revised November 04, 2019; Accepted November 15, 2019)

Submitted to ApJL

ABSTRACT

Recent ALMA observations of the protoplanetary disc around HD 169142 reveal a peculiar structure made of concentric dusty rings: a main ring at ~ 20 au, a triple system of rings at $\sim 55 - 75$ au in millimetric continuum emission and a perturbed gas surface density from the ^{12}CO , ^{13}CO and C^{18}O ($J = 2 - 1$) surface brightness profile. In this Letter, we perform three-dimensional numerical simulations and radiative transfer modeling exploring the possibility that two giant planets interacting with the disc and orbiting in resonant locking can be responsible for the origin of the observed dust inner rings structure. We find that in this configuration the dust structure is actually long lived while the gas mass of the disc is accreted onto the star and the giant planets, emptying the inner region. In addition, we also find that the innermost planet is located at the inner edge of the dust ring, and can accrete mass from the disc, generating a signature in the dust ring shape that can be observed in mm ALMA observations.

Keywords: hydrodynamics - planet-disc interactions - protoplanetary discs - radiative transfer - stars: individual (HD169142) - planetary systems

1. INTRODUCTION

The initial conditions for the formation of planets naturally determine the characteristics of the resulting planetary system (Mordasini et al. 2016) and are connected to the properties of the protoplanetary discs where planet formation occurs. Several substructures within these discs have been imaged in great detail with ALMA and VLT/SPHERE (e.g, Andrews et al. 2018; Benisty et al. 2015). The most common kind of substructure appear to be in the form of gaps and rings, which play an important role due to their possible connection with ongoing or subsequent formation of planets. Rings seem indeed to be common and they are found at any distance to the star, with no correlation between their location and the host star luminosity (Long et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2018), but occur with different morphologies (Liu et al. 2019). It is still under debate whether these structures are long lived and thus represent a feature that is related to an evolutionary stage of the system or they are transient structures, created and destroyed on shorter timescale

compared with the disc lifetime. This question is closely related to the estimate of the age of protoplanetary discs and has important implications on the dust and planetesimal evolution: a long-lived ring can be an ideal environment for grain growth (Brauer et al. 2008). Naturally, their lifetimes are dependent on the mechanism that generates them. Indeed, in order to explain their presence many theoretical models have been proposed, including dead zones edges (Pinilla et al. 2016) self-induced reconnection in magnetized discwind systems (Suriano et al. 2018), photoevaporation (Alexander et al. 2014) or dust processes (Birnstiel et al. 2015; Gonzalez et al. 2015). Another possibility is that the presence of planets embedded in the discs create pressure bumps in the gas, confining the dust in rings as discussed in Pinilla et al. (2012). The recent discoveries of planets inside gaps, either by direct imaging (Keppler et al. 2018) or by their kinematic signatures (Pinte 2018) and the fact that the location of gaps does not coincide with the expected snow lines (Huang et al. 2018; Long et al. 2018) lends credits to the idea that at least some of these gaps mark the presence of young planets (ALMA partnership 2015; Dipierro et al. 2015; Lodato et al. 2019). This is supported by the comparison of the direct and indirect detection of candidate protoplanets with synthetic images obtained performing radiative transfer and hydrodynamical simulations (see i.e Dipierro et al. 2015). According to current theories, a planet still embedded in its disc should accrete mass and open a partially depleted gap in the circumstellar disk (Crida et al. 2006). The accreted material is expected to orbit around the planet, forming a rotating circumplanetary disc. Up to date, a few candidates still embedded in their parental circumstellar discs have been claimed (Isella et al. 2019), but most still lack confirmation (Testi et al. 2015).

The young Herbig Ae/Be star HD 169142 has an age of 6_{-3}^{+6} Myr and a mass of $M_{\star} = 1.65 M_{\odot}$. Its distance is 117 ± 4 pc (Gaia Collaboration 2016). According to Panić et al. (2008) observations in the 1.3 mm dust continuum and CO ($J = 2 - 1$) emission line the disc has an inclination of 13 degrees, a position angle of 5 degrees and a total gas mass of $\sim 0.6 - 3.0 \times 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$, confirmed by subsequent observations (Fedele et al. 2017; Perez et al. 2019, hereafter FD17 and PS19). This source has been observed in the thermal mid-infrared emission (Honda et al. 2012), near-infrared emission (Reggiani et al. 2014; Pohl et al. 2017; Ligi et al. 2018; Bertrang et al. 2018), near-infrared polarimetric and scattered light images (Pohl et al. 2017). Gas and dust are physically decoupled in HD 169142. The source shows two dust rings in continuum emission: an inner one between $\sim 20 - 35$ au, heavily depleted of dust and gas (FD17; Macias et al 2018, hereafter ME18) and an outer one at $\sim 55 - 85$ au, recently resolved as a system of three thin rings by observations of PS19, while CO isotopologous emission maps of FD17 point out that the gas has an inner cavity (~ 50 au), with surface density reduced by a factor of $\sim 30 - 40$ (FD17, ME18).

Many authors (FD17, Pohl et al. 2017; Ligi et al. 2018; Bertrang et al. 2018, ME18, PS19) pointed out the presence of giant planets in the disc ($M > \text{few } M_J$ masses, hereafter M_J), an inner one located inside the inner dust cavity ($R < 20$ au) and an outer one in the gap between the two dust rings ($35 < R < 55$ au) to explain the signature double-ring morphology. The splitting of the outer ring in concentric rings have been modeled by PS19 with the presence of a single migrating low mass planet ($\sim 10^{-2} M_J$). Potential signatures due to the presence of a candidate massive protoplanet could have been detected in this source via direct imaging in the radio/near-infrared (Reggiani et al. 2014; Ligi et al. 2018; Gratton et al. 2019), but the nature of the candidates still have to be confirmed.

In this letter we model the two prominent dust rings and the cavity of HD 169142 including in the system two giant planets, in order to check whether this substructure is a transient or is actually a long lived feature, giving constrains on the ratio between the masses and the position of the planets. We present the results assuming a single value for the gas and dust mass of the protoplanetary disc, showing that we can obtain a long lived dust ring trapped between two giant planets planets with resonant orbits. In a forthcoming paper we will present a more general study on this mechanism.

2. HYDRODYNAMICAL SIMULATION

We perform 3D global simulations of dust and gas disc with two embedded giant protoplanets using the smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code PHANTOM (see Lodato et al. 2010; Price et al. 2018). We assume a single grain size of 1.00 mm, employ the single-fluid algorithm based on the terminal velocity approximation (Laibe & Price 2014). The conservation of the dust mass is granted due to the prescription of Ballabio et al. (2018).

We consider a star with mass $1.65 M_{\odot}$ and two planets allowed to migrate and accrete mass. They are initialized at a location of 18 and 55 au and with masses of $M_1 = 1.5 M_J$ and $M_2 = 0.5 M_J$ respectively, so that $M_2 / M_1 < 1$ initially. They are massive enough to open a gap in the gas surface density according to Crida et al. (2006) but are initially smaller in masses and further out in position with respect to previous models (see i.e Pohl et al. 2017), in order to

account for the accretion of dust and gas and the migration of planets during the simulation. Our time unit is the period of the outer planet at its initial position (55 au, $T_{\text{orb}} \sim 320$ yr).

We represent the protoplanetary bodies and the central star using sink particles (Bate et al. 1995) with accretion radii of 1/8 of the Hill radius (Dipierro et al. 2015) for the planets (0.14 and 0.4 au respectively). For the central star, due to the fact that our aim is to model the gas and dust dynamics at ~ 20 au, we chose an accretion radius of 5 au, not considering the innermost part of the disc behaviour. We also tested our results for an accretion radius of 1 au, finding no significant differences. We choose not to include a third body (as predicted by PS19), used to model also the outer ring splitting. As already stated, our aim is to characterise the inner structure as a function of the two giant planets. Dust and gas can be accreted onto the sinks, representing a condition that mimics a real accretion scenario and the planets are free to migrate due to planet-disc interaction, planet-planet interaction and viscous disc evolution. Thus, in our simulations, all the material that enters the sink radius is considered as instantaneously accreted. This may over-estimate the accretion onto the planet, and we remark that in the following, when we refer to the “planet mass”, this should actually be considered as the mass of the planet and of its circumplanetary disc.

We set up the discs in PHANTOM using the setup from Lodato et al. (2010). The scenario is modeled with the central star surrounded by a disc of 10^6 SPH particles, extending from $R_{\text{in}} = 5$ to $R_{\text{out}} = 150$ au. The surface density profile $\Sigma(R)$ is

$$\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_0 \left(\frac{R}{R_0} \right)^{-p} \exp \left[-(R/R_0)^{(2-q)} \right] \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_{\text{in}}}{R}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $R_0 = 100$ au, p and q are two indexes and the density normalization $\Sigma_0 = 3.6 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ is set to have an initial total gas mass of $\sim 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$. We assume a locally isothermal equation of state where the sound speed profile is $c_s = c_{s,\text{ref}}(R/R_{\text{ref}})^{-q}$. The aspect ratio in the gas is given by $H/R = (H/R)_{\text{ref}}(R/R_{\text{ref}})^{(1/2-q)}$. We also assumed an aspect ratio value of $H/R = 0.07$ at $R = R_{\text{ref}}$ and $p = q = 0.5$ as found in FD17. We set the SPH α_{AV} viscosity to obtain an effective Sakura & Sunyaev (1973) viscosity $\alpha_{SS} = 0.005$.

The initial dust mass is $1.2 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$, close to the value found by FD17 based on the 1.3 continuum observation. The dust surface density has initially the same functional form of the gas, but is scaled down assuming a gas-to-dust ratio of 80 as inferred from FD17 CO isotopologous measurements. For our chosen dust size of $a = 1$ mm (and assuming an internal density of the dust of $\rho_d = 3 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$), the initial Stokes number, defined as $\sim St = \rho_d a / \Sigma_{gas}$, is smaller than 1. This implies that dust and the gas are initially coupled in the disc.

2.1. Radiative transfer model

To directly compare (Pinte et al. 2006) with observations of HD 169142 we post-process our simulation using the Monte Carlo radiative transfer code MCFOST (Pinte 2009). The code directly maps from the SPH particle to the radiative transfer grid physical quantities such as density and temperature thanks to a Voronoi tessellation that matches each cell with an SPH particle, without any interpolation. The astronomical silicates and carbons are assumed from Draine (2003). The grain size distribution has a slope of -3.5 and goes from $0.03 \mu\text{m}$ to 3 mm . To irradiate the disc the star is treated as an isotropic source with stellar spectral models obtained from the 5Myr isochrones from Siess et al. (2000), with $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 8000 \text{ K}$ and a radius $R \sim 2.2 R_{\odot}$. The source is located at the distance of 117 pc, adopting the disc inclination and position angle of 13 and 5 degrees.

3. RESULTS

Our reference profile for the gas and dust distributions is the DALI thermochemical model from FD17, that models the dust surface density profile Σ_{dust} with two dust rings (20 – 35 au and 56 – 83 au) and the gas density profiles Σ_{gas} with an inner cavity (13 – 56 au) reduced by a factor 30 - 40. However, we considered an update of the published model of FD17, limited by the spatial resolution of the available data at the time. Based on the ^{13}CO J=3-2 ALMA archive data from Honda et al. (2012), the gas cavity appears to be located at $\sim 20 - 56$ au.

Fig. 1 shows the temporal evolution of the dust and gas surface density profiles, Σ_{dust} and Σ_{gas} , in our simulation. Going from black to red, Σ_{dust} and Σ_{gas} are displayed as a function of time, that we recall is normalised to the orbital period of the outer planet at the initial position (55 au, $T_{\text{orb}} \sim 320$ yr).

The inner planet carves a cavity in the dust and in the gas, with a depletion factor of $\sim 10^{-4}$ and $\sim 10^{-1}$ respectively inwards of its orbit. The outer planet first migrates (see Fig. 2) while accreting the material, then opens a gap in dust and in gas (with depletion factor $\sim 10^{-4}$ and $\sim 10^{-1}$ respectively in dust and gas) at his ‘equilibrium’ location.




Figure 1. Σ_{dust} (top) and Σ_{gas} (bottom) as a function of the radius R for increasing time. In black the initial surface density profiles. Our time unit is normalised with the initial orbital period of the outer planet (~ 320 yr).




Figure 2. Dynamics of the two planets. Top right: the masses of the planets; top left: the ratio between the outer and inner periods and masses; the orbital semi-major axis of the outer (lower left) and inner planets (lower right) as a function of the normalised time.




Figure 3. Comparison between the Σ_{gas} and Σ_{dust} profiles after $160 T_{orb}$ and the update DALI model of FD17. The green and red regions are the observed locations of the rings and the gap respectively.

The dust ring produced between the two planets is preserved for at least ~ 500 orbits, i.e. for over $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ yr. At the same time, the gas is accreted on the star and on the planets. The density profiles of the gas and dust in our simulation are due to a combination of factors. For the gas, the “cavity” is produced by the torques exerted by the outer planet onto the disc, that prevents the gas outside the outer planet to refill the inner regions, that are emptied by viscous accretion. For the dust, the two prominent rings are created by the usual trapping at pressure maxima (indeed, we have verified that the ring location coincides exactly with pressure maxima). This generates a gas cavity with a width of ~ 50 au, lowering the gas surface density and increasing the value of the Stokes number that quickly

becomes larger than unity, helping the dust trapping due to the pressure gradient in preventing the dust migration and thus preserving the dust ring.

The outer dust ring is located outside the outer planet and shrinks for dust migration as the disc evolves for the first $\sim 150 T_{\text{orb}}$. Later on ($t > 200 T_{\text{orb}}$), the dust ring radius increases with time and the ring moves outward as the maximum of the gas pressure moves outward, in agreement with a dust trapping due to pressure bump scenario (Pinilla et al. 2012). As time passes by, the width of the gap grows as the mass of the second planet grows, as expected from Crida et al. 2006 and Dipierro & Laibe 2017. As visible in Fig 1, during the process (at $\sim 100 - 200$ orbits) the inner edge of the inner ring spreads inwards, making the dust surface density profile skewed (compare the green and cyan lines with the blue and red lines, that refers to early and later time respectively), as found also in PS19.

Fig. 2 shows the dynamics of the planets. In the first ~ 50 orbits the system relaxes out of the initial conditions: the outer planet rapidly migrates to ~ 50 au (lower left panel), while the inner planet remains at ~ 17 au, slowly migrating outward (lower right panel). This leads the orbits of the two objects to become in a 5:1 period resonance (upper right panel). Fig. 2 also shows the masses of the two planets as a function of time (upper left panel). After the first $\sim 100 T_{\text{orb}}$ the inner planet has already accreted almost all of the available mass, reaching the value of $\sim 2.5 M_J$, while the outer planet continues to accrete mass from the external ($R > 60$ au) reservoir of gas, overcoming the mass of the inner planet (initially $M_1 > M_2$, while at the end of the simulation $M_2 > M_1$). This leads to a small change in the position of the two planets, the inner actually migrating outwards. We underline that, while the outer planet is located almost in the middle of the outer gap, our simulation shows that the inner planet is actually located at the inner edge of the ring. The outward migration of the planet generates the skewness of the ring shown in Fig. 1: it is actually dust accreted on the planet via a dusty circumplanetary disc.

Our best agreement with the results of the updated version of thermo-chemical model of FD17 is obtained after $160 T_{\text{orb}} = 5 \times 10^4$ yr and it is shown in Fig. 3. The total mass of the disc is $\sim 6 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$ and the dust mass is $\sim 0.9 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$. The inner planet is located at ~ 17.4 au and the outer at ~ 50 au, with almost equal masses, $\sim 2.4 M_J$.

Fig. 4 displays the synthetic observations of our simulation. First and second line panels show respectively our model continuum emission at 1.3 mm convolved with a $0.02''$ (image a.1) and $0.2''$ beam (image b.1), in good agreement with the observed fluxes of PS19 and FD17 (images a.2 and b.2 respectively). Third and fourth line show 3.1 mm at $0.16''$ resolution (image c.1) and 0.890 mm at $0.02''$ (image d.1) simulated observations of our disc, in good agreement with ME18 observations (c.2 and d.2 respectively). Our continuum emission images has been obtained supposing a chemical composition for the dust grains of 70% silicates and 30% carbonaceous material, with a porosity level of 10%. Panels e.1 and e.2 show the azimuthally averaged profile of our model and PS19 respectively. The ring profile is well reproduced and the value of the flux is consistent with the observation.

An interesting feature of our simulation, as mentioned above, is the creation of a distinct dust feature at the inner edge of the inner ring, probably due to dusty material accreted from the disc disposed around the inner planet in a circumplanetary disc. This is clearly visible also in our simulated ALMA observations in Fig. 4. Such a feature might have been already observed in the high-resolution of PS19 (see the radially extended feature in their Fig. 2, at an angle of ~ 40 degrees). In order to confirm this hypothesis, one might check the evolution of the feature with time, due to the Keplerian rotation of the inner planet, which has a period of ~ 60 yr assuming a distance of ~ 18 au. Fig. 5 shows a zoom of the inner part of our model. The top panel refers to simulated ALMA band 6 observations, while the lower panel refers to ALMA band 7. From left to right the plot refer to three different times in the simulations, separated by three years each for the band 6 and two years each for the band 7. Future observations of this system might clearly detect such shift in the azimuthal location of the feature, as the planet is expected to rotate by a 5 degree angle in one year.

4. DISCUSSION

The presence of two giant planets shapes the disc, explaining the presence of the two rings in the dust and the cavity in the gas. The dust gap quickly opens (after $40 - 60 T_{\text{orb}}$) due to pure gravitational effects (Crida et al. 2006) and dust radial drift (Dipierro & Laibe 2017). The gas gap opens at slower rate due to the effects of the interaction between the planets and the disc and the viscous evolution of the disc itself. The presence of the planets creates two pressure bumps, where the dust rings are trapped. After $\sim 100 - 120 T_{\text{orb}}$, due to the high masses of the planets the inner part (with the gas cavity and the dust ring) starts to be disconnected from the outer part, that includes the outer dust ring at $\sim 50 - 70$ au and a reservoir in gas that extends for radii larger than ~ 150 au.




Figure 4. Comparison between simulated observations of our disc model and ALMA observations. a.1,b.1,c.1,d.1, e.1: model, a.2,b.2,c.2,d.2,e.2: observations from PS19 (1.3 mm continuum emission), FD17 (1.3 mm), ME18 (3.1 and 0.89 mm) respectively . The grey filled ellipse in the lower left corner indicates the simulated beam size.




Figure 5. Zoom of the inner part of the model. The inner planet is located close to the inner edge of the ring, generating an accretion feature visible in our model and expected to move covering ~ 5 degrees in ~ 1 yr. Top: ALMA band 6 observations at three different times separated by tree years each. Bottom: ALMA band 7 observations at three different times separated by two years each. The grey filled ellipse in the lower left corner indicates the simulated beam size.

The outer planet is still accreting material from the gas reservoir, increasing its mass. This interaction between the outer planet and the disc prevents also the refilling of the inner gas gap. However, the star is also accreting material from the inner part of the disc due to viscous accretion. As a consequence, the gas surface density decreases with time, creating a cavity for $R < 50$ au. This reduces the effect of the pressure bump in the inner part of the disc, but increases the value of the Stokes number. The global effect is that the inner dust ring is preserved in time. We do not reproduce the outer ring splitting including a third planet, as this is out of the purpose of the present study, but we note that the interpretation of PS19 (a third planet) is a likely possibility.

The longevity of this configuration allows the formation and migration of outer protoplanets as found in PS19. The dust trapped in the inner region can grow in size, explaining what observed in the 3.0 mm observation of ME18. Indeed, we also obtain the absence of dust grains inside the cavity and the gap with a surface density reduction (compared to the assumed initial structure) of $\sim 30 - 40$ and a gas to dust ratio of ~ 1 as found in FD17 and ME18.

The planetary system displayed in our simulation, with two giant planets in orbital resonances, is a common feature of the observed exoplanetary systems and present also in our Solar System. Our simulation also seems to point out that giant planets tend to reach orbital resonances while still embedded in the parental disc. This fact, combined with the gravitational interaction between them, led in our configuration to a small outward migration of the inner planet, that orbits close to the inner edge of the innermost dust ring and not in the center of the cavity as expected. This behaviour is not dependent on the initial condition of the planets, free to migrate.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We run hydrodynamic simulations and reproduce long lived dust rings between two giant planets, a scenario that can model the observations of the inner region of the protoplanetary disc HD 169142.

We included two giant planets free to migrate, initially not in orbital resonant positions, obtaining our best fit with masses of $\sim 2.4 M_J$ at 17.4 and 50 au, in a resonant locking of 5:1. The total mass of the disc is $\sim 6 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ and the dust mass is $\sim 0.9 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$.

Our result shows that is possible to form and preserve a dust rings between the orbits of two giant planets for at least $\sim 2 \times 10^5$ yr, a significant fraction of the disc lifetime. The dust is initially trapped in the pressure maximum between the two planets. As the gas surface density decreases inside the gas gap, the value of the Stokes number increases to values larger than unity, helping the pressure bump in preserving the dust to migrate.

The combined effect between the planets-disc interaction and the gas accretion on the planets and on the star leads to the shaping of a decreased (at least one order of magnitude) region in the gas surface density profile ($R < 50$ au), as expected from the CO lines observations of FD17. The fact that our code allows planet to accrete and migrate reaching minimum energy configurations and resonances is crucial for obtaining this results.

While the outer planet is located at the centre of the gap, the inner one is close to the inner edge of the dust ring and can eventually accrete material from it, generating a feature that might be observable and can explain the ALMA 1.3 mm observation of SP19. Further observations with ALMA are crucial to understand if this feature is really due to a protoplanet accreting in the cavity.

This configuration is relevant also to explain the dynamics of observed cases as PDS 70 (Keppler et al. 2018), where the outer planet is located at the edge of the dust ring and is actively accreting material. However, in the case of PDS70 the inner planet has a mass that is larger than the outer one, a situation that is opposite to our scenario.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank the referee for constructive comments that improved this manuscript. This work and CT are supported by the PRIN-INAF 2016 The Cradle of Life - GENESIS-SKA (General Conditions in Early Planetary Systems for the rise of life with SKA). CT, DF and LT acknowledge financial support provided by the Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research. CT and LT through the grant Progetti Premiali 2012 iALMA (CUP C52I13000140001), DF from project SIR (RBSI14ZRHR); LT acknowledges the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Ref no. FOR 2634/1ER685/11-1 and the DFG cluster of excellence ORIGINS (www.origins-cluster.de). GL and LT received funding from the EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement 823823 (Dustbusters RISE project). CP acknowledges funding from the Australian Research Council via FT170100040 and DP180104235 and from ANR of France (ANR-16-CE31-0013). This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA 2013.1.00592.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ.

REFERENCES

- ALMA Partnership, 2015, *ApJL*, 808, L1, L3
 Alexander R. et al., 2014, *Protostars and Planets VI*
 Andrews S. M. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 869, L41
 Ballabio G. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 2766
 Bate M. R. et al., 1995, *MNRAS*, 277, 362
 Benisty M., et al. 2015, *A&A*, 578, L6
 Bertrang, G. H.-M. et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 474, 5105.
 Birnstiel T. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 813, L14
 Brauer, F. et al., 2008, *A&A*, 480, 859
 Crida et al., *Icarus* 181, 587
 Dipierro G. et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, L73
 Dipierro G. & Laibe, G., 2017, *MNRAS*, 479, 2
 Draine B. T., 2003, *ApJ*, 598, 1017
 Fedele, D. et al., 2017, *A&A*, 600, A72.
 Fressin F. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 766, 81
 Gaia Collaboration 2016, *A&A*, 595, A2
 Gonzalez J.F., et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 454, L36
 Gratton, R. et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1901.06555
 Isella A. et al, 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1906.06308
 Keppler, M. et al. 2018, arXiv:1806.11568
 Honda, M. et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 752, 143.
 Huang, J. et al, 2018, *ApJ*, 852, 2.
 Laibe & Price 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 3, 21
 Ligi, R. et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 473, 1774
 Lin D. N. C., Papaloizou J., 1986, *ApJ*, 307, 395
 Liu Y., et al., 2019, *A&A*, 622, A75
 Lodato G., Price D. J., 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 1212
 Lodato, G. et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 453
 Long F., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 869, 17
 Macias, E. et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 865, 37.
 Mordasini, C. et al, 2016, *APJ*, 832, 41
 Osorio, M. et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 791, L36
 Panić, O. et al. , C. 2008, *A&A*, 491, 219
 Pinte, C. et al., 2006, *A&A*, 459, 3, 797
 Pérez, S. et al, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1902.05143
 Pinte C. et al, 2009, *A&A*, 498, 967
 Pinte, C., Price, D. J., Menard, F., et al. 2018, arXiv:1805.10293
 Pinilla P. et al, 2012, *A&A*, 545, A81
 Pinilla P. et al, 2016, *A&A*, 596, A81
 Pohl, A. et al., 2017, *ApJ*, 850, 52.
 Price D. J. et al., 2018, *PASA*, 35, e031
 Reggiani, M. et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, L23
 Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337
 Siess L. et al., 2000, *A&A*, 358, 593
 Suriano, S. S. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 477, 1239, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty717
 Testi et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 812, 2
 Wagner, K. et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 863, L8