



**42º Congresso Técnico e Científico da Associação Portuguesa de  
Técnicos de Natação - APTN**  
26 a 28 de abril de 2019

**COMISSÃO CIENTÍFICA**

Daniel Marinho  
Hugo Louro  
Pedro Morouço

Mário Costa  
Mário Espada  
Nuno Batalha  
Ricardo Fernandes

**EDITORES**

Aldo Matos da Costa  
Nuno Domingos Garrido

Mário Jorge Costa  
Ana Teresa Conceição

Os trabalhos publicados no presente suplemento foram submetidos à apreciação da Comissão Científica do 42º Congresso Técnico e Científico da Associação Portuguesa de Técnicos de Natação - APTN realizado entre os dias 26 e 28 de abril de 2019 no Município de Portimão. O conteúdo dos artigos é única e exclusivamente da responsabilidade dos seus autores. A Comissão Científica da APTN não assume qualquer tipo de responsabilidade pelas opiniões e afirmações expressas pelos autores. É permitida a reprodução parcial dos textos e sua utilização sem fins comerciais, desde que devidamente citada a fonte/referência.

The papers published in these proceedings were submitted to the Scientific Committee of the 42º Technical Scientific Congress of the Associação Portuguesa de Técnicos de Natação - APTN, held on April's 26, 27 and 28<sup>th</sup> of 2019, at Portimão, Portugal. Authors are exclusively responsible for the content of the manuscript published. The editors and the Scientific Committee of the 42º Congresso Técnico e Científico da Associação Portuguesa de Técnicos de Natação - APTN assume no responsibility for the opinions and statements expressed by the authors. Partial reproduction of the texts and their use without commercial purposes is allowed, provided that the source/reference is duly mentioned.

# Non-linear properties of front crawl swimming propulsive forces: a pilot study

Raul F. Bartolomeu<sup>1,2</sup>, Mário J. Costa<sup>2,3</sup>, Pedro Rodrigues<sup>3</sup>, Tiago M. Barbosa<sup>2,4,5</sup>

RESUMO | ABSTRACT

1. Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal; 2. Centro de Investigação em Ciências do Desporto, Ciências da Saúde e Desenvolvimento Humano, CIDESD, Portugal; 3. Instituto Politécnico da Guarda, Portugal; 4. Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal; 5. Nanyang Technological University, Singapura

## INTRODUCTION

The complex patterns of the human aquatic locomotion have already demonstrated non-linear properties. So far, this non-linear approach was just conducted using kinematic data. It remains unclear if other performance-related parameters, such as the propulsive force, show similar patterns. The aim of this study was to assess the non-linear properties of the propulsive forces in three different front crawl conditions comparing outputs from both dominant and non-dominant limbs.

## METHODS

Eleven male swimmers were recruited for this study ( $15.87 \pm 3.08$  years old,  $63.15 \pm 12.99$  kg). All subjects had at least two years of experience in competing at regional and/or national level. Every subject performed 4 maximal bouts of 25m at three different conditions of the front crawl stroke: i) full body stroke (sensors in the hands, FBH); ii) full body stroke (sensors in the feet, FBF); iii) arms' stroke-pull only (sensors in the hands, AS) and; iv) leg kicking only (sensors in the feet, LK). A differential pressure system (Aquanex System, DU2V, STR, EUA) with two sensors was used. Fractal Dimension and Sample Entropy were the non-linear parameters computed according to Higuchi (1988) and Richman and Moorman (2000) procedures, respectively. A paired sample T-test and a two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc correction were performed ( $p \leq 0.05$ ).

## RESULTS

Paired samples T-test showed no difference between dominant and non-dominant limbs in every condition and variable (table 1). Two-way repeated measures ANOVA showed no main effects of condition or limb in SampEn. However, it showed significant and strong main effect of condition in FD ( $F_3=40.012$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $\eta_p^2=0.784$ ) (table 2).

Table 1

*Sample entropy and Fractal dimension values obtained in each condition for both dominant and non-dominant limb.*

|  | Full Body Hand<br>Mean $\pm$ 1 SD<br>(95CI) | Full Body Feet<br>Mean $\pm$ 1 SD<br>(95CI) | Arm stroke<br>Mean $\pm$ 1 SD<br>(95CI) | Leg kicking<br>Mean $\pm$ 1 SD<br>(95CI) |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Sample Entropy (SampEn, dimensionless) |   |   |   |  |
| Dominant limb                          | 0.388 $\pm$ 0.083<br>0.324 – 0.452          | 0.307 $\pm$ 0.176<br>0.172 – 0.442          | 0.354 $\pm$ 0.108<br>0.271 – 0.437      | 0.251 $\pm$ 0.261<br>0.05 – 0.451        |
| Non Dominant Limb                      | 0.351 $\pm$ 0.099<br>0.275 – 0.427          | 0.283 $\pm$ 0.156<br>0.171 – 0.395          | 0.316 $\pm$ 0.084<br>0.252 – 0.381      | 0.286 $\pm$ 0.31<br>0.048 – 0.524        |
| Fractal Dimension (FD, dimensionless)  |   |   |   |  |
| Dominant limb                          | 1.936 $\pm$ 0.01<br>1.929 – 1.942           | 1.96 $\pm$ 0.014<br>1.951 – 1.968           | 1.942 $\pm$ 0.008<br>1.937 – 1.947      | 1.97 $\pm$ 0.009<br>1.964 – 1.976        |
| Non Dominant Limb                      | 1.929 $\pm$ 0.018<br>1.918 – 1.940          | 1.954 $\pm$ 0.013<br>1.946 – 1.963          | 1.94 $\pm$ 0.012<br>1.933 – 1.948       | 1.967 $\pm$ 0.011<br>1.961 – 1.974       |

Table 2

*Main effects and interactions between the conditions and limbs.*

|                         | df | F-ratio | p-value | $\eta_p^2$ |
|-------------------------|----|---------|---------|------------|
| Sample Entropy (SampEn) |    |         |         |            |
| Condition               | 3  | 0.820   | 0.495   | 0.093      |
| Limb                    | 1  | 1.546   | 0.249   | 0.162      |
| Condition * Limb        | 3  | 2.571   | 0.078   | 0.243      |
| Fractal Dimension (FD)  |    |         |         |            |
| Condition               | 3  | 40.012  | <0.001  | 0.784      |
| Limb                    | 1  | 2.849   | 0.12    | 0.206      |
| Condition * Limb        | 3  | 0.456   | 0.715   | 0.04       |

### DISCUSSION

The propulsive force of the front crawl stroke generated by the upper and lower limbs, in both full body and segmental strokes presented non-linear properties.

Post-hoc Bonferroni correction showed that FD presented no difference between FBH and AS. However, both of them are statistically less complex than both FBF and LK. LK on the other hand, is significantly the most complex condition of all. Under the light of the non-linear pedagogy, it is important for the coach to know that the complexity of the force pattern depends on the segments that are in action, so he can manipulate one of the key factors that underpin performance, in order to provide an effective training program.

---

#### Funding

This research was supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science (SFRH/BD/142009/2018).

---

### REFERENCES

- Higuchi, T. (1988). Approach to an irregular time series on the basis of the fractal theory. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 31(2), 277–283. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789\(88\)90081-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(88)90081-4)
- Richman, J. S., & Moorman, J. R. (2000). Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy. *American Journal of Physiology. Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 278(6), H2039-2049. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.2000.278.6.H2039>

