

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.jfda-online.com

Original Article

Viola cornuta and Viola x wittrockiana: Phenolic compounds, antioxidant and neuroprotective activities on *Caenorhabditis elegans*Cristina Moliner ^a, Lillian Barros ^b, Maria Inês Dias ^b, Inés Reigada ^a, Isabel C.F.R. Ferreira ^{b,**}, Víctor López ^{a,c}, Elisa Langa ^a, Carlota Gómez Rincón ^{a,*}^a Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad San Jorge, 50830, Villanueva de Gállego, Zaragoza, Spain^b Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal^c Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón-IA2 (CITA-Universidad de Zaragoza), 50013, Zaragoza, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 March 2019

Received in revised form

17 May 2019

Accepted 23 May 2019

Available online 2 July 2019

Keywords:

Antioxidant

Caenorhabditis elegans

LC-DAD-ESI/MSn

Neuroprotective potential

Polyphenols

Viola

ABSTRACT

Different *Viola* species are known for their traditional use as analgesic, antitussive, febrifuge, hypnotic, analgesic and anti-inflammatory medicinal agents. Additionally, they are considered edible flowers in certain cultures. Thus, the aim of this work was to characterize the phenolic composition and to assess the neuroprotective properties of *Viola cornuta* and *Viola x wittrockiana* using *in vitro* and *in vivo* methodologies with *Caenorhabditis elegans* as model. The identification of the phenolic compounds was carried out with a LC-DAD-ESI/MSn. The antioxidant activity of the extracts was determined *in vitro* using Folin-Ciocalteu, DPPH and FRAP assays and *in vivo* with a juglone-induced oxidative stress in *C. elegans*. The neuroprotective properties were evaluated measuring the ability to inhibit CNS enzymes (MAO A, AChE), and the capability to avoid paralyzing the *C. elegans* CL4176, an Alzheimer disease model. The phenolic content was higher in *V. x wittrockiana*, being quercetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside the predominant compound in the extract, which also exhibited a stronger antioxidant capacity *in vitro* and a higher response to lethal oxidative stress on *C. elegans* than *V. cornuta*. Only *V. x wittrockiana* showed inhibitory effect on CNS enzymes, such as acetylcholinesterase and monoamine oxidase A, but both had protective effect against the paralysis of *C. elegans*. These findings suggest that the studied *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana* could be interesting candidates for age related neurodegenerative disorder associated with oxidative stress.

Copyright © 2019, Food and Drug Administration, Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author. Fax +351 273 325405.

E-mail addresses: iferreira@ipb.pt (I.C.F.R. Ferreira), cgomez@usj.es (C.G. Rincón).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2019.05.005>1021-9498/Copyright © 2019, Food and Drug Administration, Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Disorders of the Central Nervous System (CNS) such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease are among the most prevalent pathologies in relation with oxidative stress and ageing. Despite the importance of medicines to improve the quality of life of these patients, most drugs just act as symptomatic treatments and the development of new drugs to treat or prevent them is limited [1].

In certain systems of traditional medicine, the use of various species of genus *Viola* has been reported as CNS agents [2,3], this fact is a good starting point to explore the potential of understudied species such as *V. cornuta* L. (horned violet) and *Viola x wittrockiana* Gams (pansy) for neuroprotective activities, and related bioactivities with neurodegeneration such as antioxidant and anti-ageing properties. Previous studies about the chemical composition and antioxidant activity of *V. x wittrockiana*, have already been performed [4–6]. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report about the phenolic composition and properties of *V. cornuta*.

New methods are currently being implemented and used for drug testing, particularly in the field of natural products. The use of mammals in experimentation is not only expensive and laborious, but also associated to ethical limitations. For these reasons, other models for screening and preliminary studies must be used. *C. elegans*, is, indeed, a good model because these organisms are small, easy handling and inexpensive. Moreover, signalling pathways and physiological processes relevant for humans are conserved in this species, and 60–80% of the human genes have homologues in *C. elegans* [7]. Additionally, the easy genetic manipulation of this nematode allows the existence of a wide range of mutant strains and the possibility of developing specific ones. Therefore, *C. elegans* is a powerful tool to test new bioactives, allowing researchers the chance to study their biological activity, and identifying primary or secondary targets, among other possibilities [7].

Thus, the goal of this research is the characterization of phenolic compounds and the assessment of antioxidant and neuroprotective properties of *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana* extracts, using both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Standards and reagents

Acetonitrile (99.9%) was of HPLC grade from Fisher Scientific (Lisbon, Portugal). Phenolic compound standards (apigenin-6-C-glucoside, quercetin-3-O-glucoside, quercetin-3-O-rutinoside, peonidin-3-O-glucoside) were from Extrasynthèse (Genay, France). Formic acid, DPPH[•] (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), TPTZ (2,4,6-tris(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine), ATCI (acetylthiocholine iodide), acetylcholinesterase (AChE), monoamine oxidase A (MAO A), clorgiline, tris-HCl and pyrogallol were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). DNTB (5,5'-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid)) and juglone (5-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone) were from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA, USA), Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was purchased from

Chem-lab (Zedelgem, Belgium). Water was treated in a Milli-Q water purification system (TGI Pure Water Systems, Greenville, SC, USA).

2.2. Plant material and preparation of extracts

Cultivated fresh flowers of *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana* were supplied and botanically identified by Dr Laura Carrera from Innoflower S.L. A voucher specimen was deposited in the herbarium of Universidad San Jorge with numbers 003–2014 (*V. cornuta*) and 004–2014 (*V. x wittrockiana*). Ethanolic extracts of flowers were obtained by percolation with a Soxhlet apparatus for 4 h. Solvent was removed from the extract with a rotary flash evaporator Buchi and the resulting extracts were preserved at –20 °C in order to avoid degradation until its use.

2.3. Analysis of phenolic compounds

The phenolic compound profile (non-anthocyanin compounds and anthocyanin compounds) was determined through an LC-DAD-ESI/MSn system using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UPLC (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA).

Non-anthocyanin compounds: These compounds were separated and identified as previously described by Bessada et al. [8]. The obtained extracts were re-dissolved at a concentration of 10 mg/mL with ethanol. A double online detection was performed using a DAD (280, 330 and 370 nm as preferred wavelengths) and a mass spectrometer (MS). The MS detection was performed in negative mode, using a Linear Ion Trap LTQ XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with an ESI source.

Anthocyanin compounds: These compounds were separated and identified as previously described by Gonçalves et al. [9]. The obtained extracts were re-dissolved at a concentration of 10 mg/mL with the acidified ethanol (0.05% TFA). For the double online detection, a 520 nm wavelength was used as preferred for the DAD and in a MS equipment described above, working in positive mode.

The identification of the phenolic compounds (non-anthocyanin and anthocyanin) was performed based on their chromatographic behaviour, and UV–vis and mass spectra by comparison with standard compounds, when available, and data reported in the literature giving a tentative identification. Data acquisition was carried out with Xcalibur[®] data system (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA). For quantitative analysis, a calibration curve for each available phenolic standard was constructed based on the UV–vis signal: apigenin-6-C-glucoside ($y = 107025x + 61,531$, $R^2 = 0.9989$), quercetin-3-O-glucoside ($y = 34843x - 160,173$, $R^2 = 0.9998$), and peonidin-3-O-glucoside ($y = 166905x - 442,698$, $R^2 = 0.9993$). For the identified phenolic compounds for which a commercial standard was not available, the quantification was performed through the calibration curve of the most similar compound. Results were expressed as mg/g of extract.

2.4. *In vitro* cell-free antioxidant activity assays

2.4.1. Determination of Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

Total polyphenol content of flower extracts was measured using a modified Folin-Ciocalteu method in a 96 well-

microplate [10]. Briefly, 9 μL of diluted extracts in ethanol were mixed with 201 μL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent in a well. After 5 min, 90 μL of 15% sodium carbonate were added and the plate was incubated at room temperature in the darkness for 40 min. The absorbance was read at 750 nm and pyrogallol was used as standard. Results were expressed as mg of pyrogallol equivalent per g of extract (mg PE/g extract).

2.4.2. DPPH[•] radical scavenging activity assay

Free radical scavenging activity of the extracts was evaluated with the DPPH[•] assay following the method described by López et al. [11]. Flower extracts were serially diluted in ethanol (2.5–250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) and 150 μL of these dilutions or ethanol were mixed with 150 μL of DPPH[•] (0.4 mg/mL, ethanol) in a plate. After 30 min in the dark at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. DPPH[•] radical-scavenging activity, % RSA, was calculated as:

$$\text{RSA (\%)} = [(A_{\text{DPPH}} - A_{\text{S}}) / A_{\text{DPPH}}] \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where A_{S} is the absorbance of solution containing the sample and A_{DPPH} is the absorbance of the DPPH[•] ethanol blank solution.

2.4.3. Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay (FRAP)

This assay was performed according to Fernández-Moriano et al. with minor modifications [12]. The FRAP reagent was prepared daily and contained 2.5 mL of a TPTZ solution (10 mmol/L in 40 mmol/L HCl), 2.5 mL of $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (20 mmol/L) and 25 mL of 0.3 mol/L sodium acetate buffer (pH 3.6). Then, 900 μL of FRAP reagent (warmed at 37 °C) was mixed with 90 μL of distilled water and 30 μL of flower extract (1 mg/mL in methanol) or methanol as reagent blank. Absorbance was measured after incubation at 37 °C for 10 min at wavelength of 595 nm. The antioxidant capacity of the extracts was estimated by interpolating absorbance on a calibration curve of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and expressed as $\mu\text{mol Fe}^{+2}$ per g of extract ($\mu\text{mol Fe}^{+2}/\text{g extract}$).

2.5. Neuroprotective potential

2.5.1. Acetylcholinesterase enzyme inhibitory activity

Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase enzyme (AChE) by the extracts was determined following the Ellman method described by Rhee et al. [13]. Briefly, 25 μL of ATCI (15 mM), 125 μL DNTB (3 mM in 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl buffer, pH = 8.3), 50 μL of Tris-HCl buffer, 25 μL of extracts sample (0.125–2 mg/mL in ethanol) or ethanol (control reaction) and 25 μL of AChE (0.22 U/mL) were mixed in each well of a microtiter plate. The absorbance was measured 5 times at 405 nm every 12 s. Percentage of inhibition was calculated as:

$$\text{Inhibition \%} = [1 - (V_{\text{S}} - V_{\text{C}})] \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where V_{S} is the reaction rate of the extract sample and V_{C} is the rate of control.

2.5.2. Monoamine oxidase A enzyme inhibitory activity

Monoamine oxidase A (MAO A) inhibitory activity was measured by the peroxidase-linked assay described by

Stafford et al. [14]. Each test well contained 50 μL of flower extract (0.002–0.250 mg/mL) or solvent, 50 μL of chromogenic solution (0.8 mM vanillic acid, 417 mM 4-aminoantipyrine and 4 U/mL horseradish peroxidase), 100 μL of 2.5 mM tyramine and 50 μL of 8 U/mL MAO-A. All components were dissolved in potassium phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 7.6). Absorbance was measured at 490 nm every 5 min over a period of 30 min. Percentage of enzymatic inhibition was calculated using equation 2.

2.6. In vivo biological activity - C. elegans assays

2.6.1. Worm strain, maintenance and treatment

N2 (wild type), CL4176 *smg-1(cc546); dvl-27*, and SS104 *glp-4(bn2)* *C. elegans* strains were used in this study and obtained from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC, USA) USA Worms. They were maintained on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates seeded with a lawn of *E. coli* OP50 (CGC, USA), according to standardized methods. N2 was propagated at 20 °C, while transgenic CL4176 and SS104 were maintained at 16 °C.

For the assay, the plates were prepared from a stock solution of extracts in water. The solutions were added directly to the agar during preparation of plates to the final indicated concentration.

2.6.2. Oxidative stress resistance assay

The effect of the extracts on the response of wild type *C. elegans* to a lethal oxidative stress was performed following Surco-Laos et al. method with some modifications [15]. The synchronized population were prepared by bleaching adults and incubated in M9 buffer until the eggs hatched. L1 larvae were incubated on NGM plates containing *E. coli* OP50 and different concentrations of flower extract (0, 50, 100, 250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$). Afterwards, adult worms were subjected to lethal oxidative-stress by means of juglone (150 μM) in a microtiter plate. After 24 h of incubation at 20 °C, survival was measured by a touch-provoked movement. Worms that reacted to the mechanical stimulus were scored as alive, whereas non-responding worms were considered dead. Results were expressed as a percentage of survival rate and calculated as:

$$\% \text{ Survival rate} = (\text{Number of worms alive} \times 100) / \text{Total number of worms} \quad (3)$$

About 120 individuals per study group were evaluated in each assay.

2.6.3. Lifespan assay

Survival analysis was performed as described by Virk et al. with minor modifications [16]. Longevity of temperature-sensitive sterile *C. elegans* SS104 exposed to 4 different concentrations of flowers extracts were measured and compared to controls. Gravid adults were used to lay eggs onto fresh NGM OP50 plates at 15 °C. Eggs were raised at 15 °C till L4 stage, due to temperature sensitivity of mutant phenotypes. Once this phase was reached, animals were transferred into a 25 °C environment. After 24 h at that temperature, 25 worms were put onto each of 5 replicate NGM fresh plates containing the extract or water as vehicle (control group). Animals were transferred to fresh plates after 7 and 14 days and scored for

survival every 2–3 days. The scoring method was the same used as for the juglone oxidative stressed assays (2.6.2). Results were represented as Survival Rate %.

2.6.4. Paralysis assay

C. elegans CL4176 is a transgenic strain which expresses human β -amyloid peptide in muscle cells after up-shifting temperature from 16 to 25 °C, rapidly causing a paralysis. CL4176 worms were egg-synchronized onto fresh NGM plates with or without flower extract at appropriate concentrations. When the animals grew to L3 larvae, the expression of human A β 1–42 gene was induced by temperature upshifting from 15 to 25 °C 24 h later, paralysis was scored at 2 h intervals until all worms were paralyzed [17]. Worms were considered as paralyzed if there was no response or they only moved their head when gently touched with a platinum wire. For each assay, at least 100 nematodes were used per studied condition.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Three independent experiments were performed for the cited assays. Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism version 6.0c for Mac OS X (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Results were expressed as mean \pm standard errors mean (SEM). The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was estimated by means of a linear regression equation. Comparisons and *p* value calculations were made between treated and control animal using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test. The treatment effect on lifespan and paralysis was determined using the Log-Rank and Wilcoxon tests of fitting to the Kaplan–Meier survival model. Differences with *p* \leq 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phenolic compounds of *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana* flower extracts

The obtained extracts had a yield of 4.83% and 5.65% (mass of extract/mass of fresh flowers) for *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana*, respectively, and were analysed by LC-DAD-ESI/MSn. Nine non-anthocyanin phenolic compounds were found in *V. cornuta*, while ten and one anthocyanin compound were detected in *V. x wittrockiana* (Table 1). Representative chromatograms are shown in Fig. 1.

In consideration of the chromatographic characteristic reported in Table 1, peaks 1–3, 7, 9, 10 were identified as flavone glycoside derivatives (luteolin, apigenin, and chrysin derivatives). All of the mentioned compounds presented a fragmentation pattern characteristic of C-glycoside and were all identified taking into account the hierarchical fragmentation pattern described by Ferreres et al. [18,19] thus peak 10 ([M–H][–] at *m/z* 577), was identified taking into account the findings of Han et al. [20]. Moreover, compounds 7 and 9 ([M–H][–] at *m/z* 577) have been previously described in *Viola* sp., being identified as apigenin-C-hexosyl-C-deoxyhexoside (peak 7), apigenin-6-C-glucosyl-8-C-rhamnoside (violanthin, peak 9) [5,6].

Otherwise compounds 6, 11, 12 and 13 were positively identified as myricetin-3-O-rutinoside quercetin-3-O-rutinoside (rutin), kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside, and isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside respectively, by comparison with authentic standards, as also by their MS fragmentation patterns, retention times and UV–vis characteristics. Rutin has also been previously described in *V. x wittrockiana* by other authors [5,6]. Compounds 4 ([M–H][–] at *m/z* 771), 5 ([M–H][–] at *m/z* 755), and 8 ([M–H][–] at *m/z* 739) were identified as myricetin, quercetin and kaempferol derivatives owing to the product ion observed at *m/z* 317, 301 and 285, respectively, as also to their UV spectra (λ_{\max} around 347–356 nm). All the peaks MS² fragments revealed the alternative loss of a deoxyhexosyl (–146 u) and deoxyhexosyl-hexoside (–308 u) residues, indicating location of each residue on different positions of the aglycone. González-Barrio et al. detected a similar compound to peak 5, being identified as quercetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside, thus this assumption was taken into account for this compounds identification [5]. For the remaining compounds (peak 4 and 8), similar reasoning's were also considered, being these peaks identified as myricetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside and kaempferol-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside, respectively.

Peak 14 ([M–H][–] at *m/z* 625) indicated that it corresponds to an anthocyanin derivative, such as a peonidin derivative, owing to the product ion observed at *m/z* 317. The observation of MS² fragments at *m/z* 479 (–146 u) and 317 (–162 u), indicated the alternative loss of each of the deoxyhexosyl and hexosyl moieties, respectively, pointing to their location on different positions of the aglycone, thus being identified as petunidin-O-deoxyhexoside-hexoside. This compound was only found in the flower extract of *V. x wittrockiana* and, to the authors knowledge, has not been previously reported.

For *V. cornuta*, the three major components, ordered from the highest to the lowest concentration, were identified as quercetin-3-O-rutinoside (peak 11), chrysin-6,8-di-C-glucoside (peak 10) and quercetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside (peak 5), respectively; whereas for *V. x wittrockiana* extract, the major components were quercetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside (peak 5), myricetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside (peak 4), and quercetin-3-O-rutinoside (peak 11). Other flavonoid glycosides derivatives were present in minor proportions, such as luteolin, apigenin, kaempferol, and isorhamnetin derivatives.

Anthocyanins compounds were not found in *V. cornuta*. As far as we know, the only research about its chemical composition was published by Farzad, Griesbach, & Weiss, (2002) [21], who studied *V. cornuta* (var. Yesterday Today and Tomorrow), which changed its colour from white to purple over 5–8 days. This work shows an increasing composition of anthocyanidins during colour change phases, a lack of them when petals were white and a maximum concentration when petals develop a purple colour. This is in concordance with the absence of anthocyanins in the extract, because their presence is related to violet and purple petal colours, being the sample studied of yellow petals. On the other hand, the polyphenol content of *V. x wittrockiana* flowers was higher than that described by González-Barrio et al. but the

Table 1 – Retention time (Rt), wavelengths of maximum absorption in the visible region (λ_{\max}), mass spectral data and tentative identification of the phenolic compounds present in *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana*.

Peak	Rt (min)	λ_{\max} (nm)	Molecular ion $[M-H]^-$ (m/z)	MS^2 (m/z)	Tentative identification	Reference	Quantification		t-Students test p-value
							<i>V. cornuta</i>	<i>V. x wittrockiana</i>	
<i>Non-anthocyanin phenolic compounds</i>									
1	6.82	263,294,348	609	519(24),489(100), 447(54),357(6),327(20)	Luteolin-O-hexoside-C-hexoside ^A	[19]	1.23 ± 0.04	nd	–
2	7.30	265,293,347	609	489(100),399(6),369(5)	Luteolin-6,8-di-C-glucoside ^A	[32]	nd	0.78 ± 0.001	–
3	9.77	334	593	503(29),473(100), 383(12),353(20),325(2)	Apigenin-6,8-di-C-glucoside ^A	[32]	0.58 ± 0.01	2.40 ± 0.03	<0.001
4	11.79	356	771	625(20),317(100)	Myricetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside ^B	DAD, ESI/MS	nd	10.3 ± 0.2	–
5	14.31	355	755	609(32),301(100)	Quercetin-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside ^B	[5]	1.27 ± 0.01	29.1 ± 0.4	<0.001
6	15.03	350	625	317 (100)	Myricetin-3-O-rutinoside ^B	Standard	nd	3.8 ± 0.1	–
7	15.44	336	577	473(100),457(28), 413(20),311(3)	Apigenin-C-hexosyl-C-deoxyhexoside ^A	[18]	0.53 ± 0.01	nd	–
8	16.41	347	739	593(21),285(100)	Kaempferol-3-O-(6-O-rhamnosylglucoside)-7-O-rhamnoside ^B	DAD, ESI/MS	nd	1.26 ± 0.001	–
9	16.59	334	577	473(100),457(30), 413(10),353(30),295(2)	Apigenin-6-C-glucosyl-8-C-rhamnoside (violanthin) ^A	[5,6]	1.05 ± 0.03	nd	–
10	16.91	268,335sh	577	559(18),517(5), 487(49),457(100), 413(6),311(5)	Chrysin-6,8-di-C-glucoside ^A	[20]	6.52 ± 0.04	4.80 ± 0.04	<0.001
11	17.61	355	609	609 (100)	Quercetin-3-O-rutinoside ^B	Standard [5,6];	7.5 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.3	<0.001
12	20.99	263,346	593	285 (100)	Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside ^B	Standard	0.71 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.01	<0.001
13	22.93	354	623	315 (100)	Isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside ^B	Standard	0.59 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.02	<0.001
Total non-anthocyanin phenolic compounds							19.9 ± 0.01	64 ± 1	<0.001
Peak	Rt (min)	λ_{\max} (nm)	Molecular ion $[M+H]^+$ (m/z)	MS^2 (m/z)	Tentative identification	Reference	<i>V. cornuta</i>	<i>V. x wittrockiana</i>	t-Students test p-value
<i>Anthocyanin phenolic compounds</i>									
14	33.48	530	625	479(20),317(100)	Petunidin-O-deoxyhexoside-hexoside ^C	DAD, ESI/MS	nd	5.05 ± 0.04	–
nd-not detected. Standard calibration curves: A - apigenin-6-C-glucoside ($y = 107025x + 61,531, R^2 = 0.9989$); B - quercetin-3-O-glucoside ($y = 34843x - 160,173, R^2 = 0.9998$); C - peonidin-3-O-glucoside ($y = 166905x - 442,698, R^2 = 0.9993$).									




Fig. 1 – Phenolic profile of *V. cornuta* recorded at 370 nm (A), and *V. x wittrockiana* recorded at 370 nm (B) and 520 nm (C). Numbers 1 to 14 refers to peaks from Table 1.

anthocyanin proportion was at the same level [5]. Authors reported flavonols as the main compounds, such as quercetin and myricetin, which were also the major phenolic compounds in the present study. Additionally, *V. x wittrockiana* flowers also showed a higher concentration of flavonoid when compared to the ones previously reported by Vukics et al. in violet *V. x wittrockiana* flowers [6]. The differences could be related to the type of extraction, as well as the origin and period of flower harvesting.

The high polyphenol content of both extracts suggests that the flowers of both species can be an interesting source of antioxidants and bioactive molecules.

3.2. In vitro cell-free antioxidant activity assays (TPC, DPPH assay, FRAP)

Three different antioxidant methods were used to evaluate the reducing capacity for both flower extracts (results given in Table 2). Firstly, TPC was determined in comparison to pyrogallol standard, being significantly higher on *V. x wittrockiana* ($p \leq 0.001$) than in *V. cornuta*, with mean values of 80.18 ± 0.007 and 54.92 ± 0.008 mg PE/g extract, respectively. These values differ from those obtained through the chromatographic analysis of phenolic compounds, being that the quantity in both species were overestimated. The difference could be attribute to the presence of other non-phenolic reducing compounds that can react with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, such as proteins, thiols or vitamins [22]. Due to non-specificity, TPC is currently used as a parameter of antioxidant-reducing activity. Our results of *V. x wittrockiana* are higher than those from the study by González-Barrio et al. who reported a TPC of 44.88 ± 1.43 mg GAE/g dry weight of extract obtained by maceration [5].

The free radical scavenging activity was determined by the DPPH assay. As observed in Table 2, both studied ethanolic extracts inhibited around 85% of the DPPH radicals at the maximum dose tested (250 μ g/mL). The extract of *V. x wittrockiana* flowers showed a higher DPPH radical-scavenging activity (IC_{50} , 26.1 ± 0.8 μ g/mL) in comparison to *V. cornuta* extract (IC_{50} , 39 ± 2 μ g/mL) and significant differences were found between them ($p \leq 0.01$). Similar DPPH inhibition was found by González-Barrio et al. in a *V. x wittrockiana* flower extract obtained by maceration (71.20%) [5]. Besides, our results seem to be better to the data obtained for *V. x wittrockiana* carried out by Fernandes et al. [23,24].

The FRAP assay estimates the antioxidant activity related to the capacity of the samples to reduce Fe^{3+} -TPTZ to Fe^{2+} .

TPTZ. Lower FRAP values were found for *V. cornuta* 24 ± 2 μ mol Fe^{2+} /g extract, while *Viola wittrockiana* revealed a slightly higher value 35 ± 2 μ mol Fe^{2+} /g extract ($p \leq 0.05$). As far as we know, there is no previous data of reducing power for *V. cornuta*, however, for yellow flowers of *V. x wittrockiana* the reported value was 33.1 μ mol Fe^{2+} /g fresh weigh basis [4] and 2063.7 μ mol Fe^{2+} /g dry weight of sample [5]. Comparison is difficult due to the fact that results are expressed in relation to the mass of the dry plant material instead of the extracts.

The measurement of free radical scavenging activity and iron ion reduction ability shows a potent antioxidant effect in both species. This activity could be related to the presence of polyphenols.

3.3. Enzyme inhibition bioassays

The in vitro neuroprotective potential was measured through the MAO-A and AChE inhibitory activity, which are connected with neurodegenerative disorders like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Results are summarized in Table 2. Interestingly, *V. x wittrockiana* extract showed inhibitory activity against both enzymes, while *V. cornuta* extract did not show any activity. Flower extract of *V. x wittrockiana* inhibited MAO A with a IC_{50} value of 0.3 ± 0.1 μ g/mL. This inhibition could be due to quercetin and related flavonoids [25]. On the other hand, the IC_{50} value for AChE was 1.5 ± 0.1 mg/mL. This activity may also be due to the higher proportions of quercetin derivatives, which also have shown potential AChE inhibitory effect [26]. Thus, this is the first time the *V. x wittrockiana* has shown potential to inhibit CNS enzymes.

3.4. Flower extracts increases survival of *C. elegans* under lethal oxidative stress

For the oxidative resistance assays, nematodes were pre-treated with different doses of flower extracts and then exposed to juglone, a pro-oxidant agent. Both extracts reduced the toxicity of juglone increasing the survival rate with respect to the control group (Fig. 2). The best response to oxidative stress were found in the group treated with 100 μ g/mL, showing an increase of 6.8% survival rate for *V. cornuta* ($p \leq 0.001$) and 10.75% for *V. x wittrockiana* ($p \leq 0.01$) with regards to the control group. For *V. cornuta*, all tested doses showed a significant increase of survival. On the other hand, the treatment with *V. x wittrockiana* had a noteworthy effect on survival rate at concentrations of 250 and 100 μ g/mL ($p \leq 0.05$). Our results report a better protective potential for *V.*

Table 2 – Antioxidant and enzyme inhibition activity of flower extracts. Results are represented as mean \pm SEM of three independent replicates. Control substances were used in the assays (ascorbic acid for DPPH, galantamine for AChE and clorgyline for MAO-A).

	TPC mg PE/g extract	DPPH IC_{50} μ g/mL	FRAP μ mol Fe^{2+} /g extract	AChE IC_{50} mg/mL	MAO A IC_{50} mg/mL
<i>V. cornuta</i>	54.92 ± 0.008	39 ± 2	25 ± 2	ND	ND
<i>V. wittrockiana</i>	80.18 ± 0.007	26.1 ± 0.8	35 ± 2	1.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1
t-Students test p-value	≤ 0.001	≤ 0.01	≤ 0.05	–	–
Control substance	–	3.17 ± 0.03	–	$6.3 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.02$	$4.9 \times 10^{-4} \pm 0.01$

ND, no detected activity. p-values were calculated to compared differences between the two extracts.

x wittrockiana than for *V. cornuta*, which is in accordance with the phenolic content and the *in vitro* antioxidant activity previously described.

Other authors have demonstrated that compounds present in the extracts have revealed a protective effect against oxidative stress on *C. elegans*. Surco-Laos et al. reported an increased survival rate in worms pre-treated with quercetin exposed to juglone-induced oxidative stress [15], also myricetin treatment reduced oxidative damage of biomolecules [27].

3.5. *V. cornuta* flower extract extends the lifespan of *C. elegans*

C. elegans is a widespread model to study aging because of its short lifespan and well conserved key mechanism of ageing [7]. As illustrated in Fig. 3, *V. x wittrockiana* showed no impact on lifespan extension, but at the highest dose tested (250 µg/mL) had a negative effect in the survival curve compared with control ($p < 0.01$). According to previous works, higher doses of quercetin, which is the major compound found in the tested

extract, shorten worm lifespan being *in vivo* pro-oxidant and toxic effects responsible for this fact [28].

In the case of *V. cornuta*, all concentrations had a positive effect on lifespan, significant differences were found in the survival curves of the treatments with the control group ($p \leq 0.05$). The present study does not allow us to conclude which mechanisms are involved in lifespan lengthening, but similar experiments were carried out with the majority of phytochemicals present in this extract such as quercetin and chrysin. The life-extending effect in wild type *C. elegans* exposed to 30 µg/mL of quercetin was observed by Grünz et al., who then repeated the assay using strain TK22, which has a hypersensitivity to oxidative stress, to assess if it was related to antioxidant properties, thus no effect in lifespan was found [27]. However, quercetin reduced mitochondrial ROS levels of nematodes. Furthermore, chrysin also increased lifespan maybe due to AMPK activation [29].

3.6. Neuroprotective effects of *V. x wittrockiana* extract in *C. elegans*

In order to determine the protective effect of the edible flowers against β -amyloid toxicity, *C. elegans* CL4176 were exposed to different concentrations of extracts, from egg stage until paralysis. The time to develop paralysis was analysed using survival curves. As shown in Fig. 4, paralysis was delayed with both extracts; apparently, treatments with *V. x wittrockiana* were better than with *V. cornuta*. The paralysis time for 50% of nematodes (PT₅₀) was not different between treatment groups of *V. cornuta* and control with a value of 28 h, but all treatment concentrations caused a significant increased lifespan ($p < 0.01$). On the other hand, *V. x wittrockiana* extract delayed the onset of paralysis in a dose–response manner. Compared to the control group, *V. x wittrockiana* extract at 50 µg/mL showed the same value of PT₅₀ which correspond to 44 h, however, this value was delayed up to 9.09% and 54.44% at 100 and 250 µg/mL, respectively, for treated groups ($p < 0.001$).

Experimental and clinical evidence suggest that oxidative stress plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer disease. This phenomenon also occurs in *C. elegans* CL4176, where oxidative stress precedes to β -amyloid accumulation [17]. The antioxidant potential observed *in vitro* as well as the improvement in response to lethal oxidative stress in *C. elegans* can explain the protective effect of *V. cornuta* extract in this neurodegenerative model. The results obtained from *V. x wittrockiana* possibly due to a synergy of inhibitory enzymatic and antioxidant activity, as well as the polyphenol content of the extract. The neuroprotective effects of the main polyphenols have never been evaluated in this model.

It is important to highlight that, in this nematode, there are key enzymes related to neurotransmitter metabolism, such as AChE and MAO [30], being acetylcholinesterase inhibitors one of the cornerstones of Alzheimer disease treatment. Flavonoids extracted from plants, such as quercetin, have been reported to possess an outstanding preclinical efficacy as AChE inhibitors with potential to be candidates for clinical applications such as treating Alzheimer's disease and other cholinergic dysfunctions [31].




Fig. 2 – Effects of (A) *V. cornuta* and (B) *V. x wittrockiana* extracts on the response to a lethal oxidative stress induced by juglone (150 µM) on *C. elegans*. Three independent biological replicates were performed. Differences compared to control group were considered significant at $p \leq 0.05$ (*), $p \leq 0.01$ () and $p \leq 0.001$ (***).**




Fig. 3 – Effects of (A) *V. cornuta* and (B) *V. x wittrockiana* extracts on lifespan of *C. elegans* SS104. Three replicates were used per treatment. The mean lifespan was 14 days in all groups, except in nematodes treated with 100 µg/mL was 12 days. Results of lifespan experiments were analysed using Kaplan–Meier survival model and for significance by means of a long rank pairwise comparison test between the control and treatment groups. Differences in survival curves between treatment and control groups were found in:(A) 25 *, 50 ***, and 250** µg/mL; (B): 250 (**) µg/mL. Differences compared to control group were considered significant at $p \leq 0.05$ (*), $p \leq 0.01$ (**) and $p \leq 0.001$ (***).




Fig. 4 – Effect of (A) *V. cornuta* and (B) *V. x wittrockiana* extracts on A β -induced paralysis in transgenic *C. elegans* CL4176. Three replicates were examined per treatment. Statistical significance difference between the curves was analysed by log-rank (Kaplan–Meier) statistical test which compares the survival distributions between the control and treatment groups. Differences in survival curves between treatment and control groups were found in:(A) 50 **, 100*** and 250* $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$; (B): 50, 100 and 250 (***) $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Differences compared to control group were considered significant at $p \leq 0.05$ (*), $p \leq 0.01$ (**) and $p \leq 0.001$ (***).

4. Conclusion

To summarize, our study reveals that both edible flowers, *V. cornuta* and *V. x wittrockiana*, are a good source of phenolic compounds. These two flowers have demonstrated *in vitro* and *in vivo* antioxidant activity but *V. x wittrockiana* shows higher levels of phenolic compounds and bioactivity. Moreover, the potential of these extracts as agents to prevent certain CNS diseases has been mainly established for *V. x wittrockiana*.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

Acknowledgments

Universidad San Jorge is acknowledged for financial support and providing Cristina Moliner with a PhD scholarship. Inno-flower S.L. is thanked for supplying fresh flowers. The authors are also grateful to the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT, Portugal) and FEDER under Programme PT2020 for financial support to CIMO (UID/AGR/00690/2013) and L. Barros contract; to FEDER-Interreg España-Portugal programme for financial support through the project 0377_Iberphenol_6_E.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2019.05.005>.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pangalos MN, Schechter LE, Hurko O. Drug development for CNS disorders: strategies for balancing risk and reducing attrition. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2007;6:521–32. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2094>.
- [2] Feyzabadi Z, Jafari F, Kamali SH, Ashayeri H, Badiie Aval S, Esfahani MM, et al. Efficacy of *Viola odorata* in treatment of chronic insomnia. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2014;16. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.17511>.
- [3] Guzmán Gutiérrez SL, Reyes Chilpa R, Bonilla Jaime H. Medicinal plants for the treatment of “nervios”, anxiety, and depression in Mexican Traditional Medicine. *Rev Bras Farmacogn* 2014;24:591–608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjfp.2014.10.007>.
- [4] Benvenuti S, Bortolotti E, Maggini R. Antioxidant power, anthocyanin content and organoleptic performance of edible flowers. *Sci Hortic (Amsterdam)* 2016;199:170–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.12.052>.
- [5] González-Barrio R, Periago MJ, Luna-Recio C, Garcia-Alonso FJ, Navarro-González I. Chemical composition of the edible flowers, pansy (*Viola wittrockiana*) and snapdragon (*Antirrhinum majus*) as new sources of bioactive compounds. *Food Chem* 2018;252:373–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.102>.
- [6] Vukics V, Kery A, Guttman A. Analysis of polar antioxidants in heartsease (*Viola tricolor* L.) and garden pansy (*Viola x wittrockiana* Gams.). *J Chromatogr Sci* 2008;46:823–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/chromsci/46.9.823>.
- [7] Calvo DR, Martorell P, Genovés S, Gosálbez L. Development of novel functional ingredients: need for testing systems and solutions with *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 2016;54:197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.05.006>.
- [8] Bessada SMF, Barreira JCM, Barros L, Ferreira ICFR, Oliveira MBPP. Phenolic profile and antioxidant activity of *Coleostephus myconis* (L.) Rchb. f.: an underexploited and highly disseminated species. *Ind Crops Prod* 2016;89:45–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.04.065>.
- [9] Gonçalves GA, Soares AA, Correa RCG, Barros L, Haminiuk CWI, Peralta RM, et al. Merlot grape pomace hydroalcoholic extract improves the oxidative and inflammatory states of rats with adjuvant-induced arthritis. *J Funct Foods* 2017;33:408–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2017.04.009>.
- [10] Les F, Prieto JM, Arbonés-Mainar JM, Valero MS, López V. Bioactive properties of commercialised pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) juice: antioxidant, antiproliferative and enzyme inhibiting activities. *Food Funct* 2015;6:2049–57. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fo00426h>.
- [11] López V, Akerreta S, Casanova E, García-Mina JM, Cavero RY, Calvo MI. *In vitro* antioxidant and anti-rhizopus activities of lamiaceae herbal extracts. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* 2007;62:151–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-007-0056-6>.

- [12] Fernández-Moriano C, González-Burgos E, Divakar PK, Crespo A, Gómez-Serranillos MP. Evaluation of the antioxidant capacities and cytotoxic effects of ten parmeliaceae lichen species. *Evidence-Based Complement Altern Med* 2016;201:11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3169751>.
- [13] Rhee IK, Meent M, Van De, Ingkaninan K, Verpoorte R. Screening for acetylcholinesterase inhibitors from Amaryllidaceae using silica gel thin-layer chromatography in combination with bioactivity staining. *J Chromatogr A* 2001;915:217–23.
- [14] Stafford GI, Pedersen PD, Jäger AK, Van Staden J. Monoamine oxidase inhibition by southern African traditional medicinal plants. *South Afr J Bot* 2007;73:384–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2007.03.001>.
- [15] Surco-Laos F, Cabello J, Gómez-Orte E, González-Manzano S, González-Paramás AM, Santos-Buelga C, et al. Effects of O-methylated metabolites of quercetin on oxidative stress, thermotolerance, lifespan and bioavailability on *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Food Funct* 2011;2:445–56. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c1fo10049a>.
- [16] Virk B, Correia G, Dixon DP, Feyst I, Jia J, Oberleitner N, et al. Excessive folate synthesis limits lifespan in the *C. elegans*: *E. coli* aging model. *BMC Biol* 2012;10:67. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-10-67>.
- [17] Drake J, Link CD, Butterfield DA. Oxidative stress precedes fibrillar deposition of Alzheimer's disease amyloid beta-peptide (1–42) in a transgenic *Caenorhabditis elegans* model. *Neurobiol Aging* 2003;24:415–20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580\(02\)00225-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580(02)00225-7).
- [18] Ferreres F, Sousa C, Valentão P, Andrade PB, Seabra RM, Gil-Izquierdo A. New C-deoxyhexosyl flavones and antioxidant properties of *Passiflora edulis* leaf extract. *J Agric Food Chem* 2007;55:10187–93. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf072119y>.
- [19] Ferreres F, Gil-Izquierdo A, Andrade PB, Valentão P, Tomás-Barberán FA. Characterization of C-glycosyl flavones O-glycosylated by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* 2007;1161:214–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.05.103>.
- [20] Han J, Ye M, Xu M, Sun J, Wang B, Guo D. Characterization of flavonoids in the traditional Chinese herbal medicine-Huangqin by liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci* 2007;848:355–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2006.10.061>.
- [21] Farzad M, Griesbach R, Weiss MR. Floral color change in *Viola cornuta* L. (Violaceae): a model system to study regulation of anthocyanin production. *Plant Sci* 2002;162:225–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452\(01\)00557-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(01)00557-X).
- [22] Everette JD, Bryant QM, Green AM, Abbey YA, Wangila GW, Walker RB. A thorough study of reactivity of various compound classes towards the Folin-Ciocalteu. *J Agric Food Chem* 2014;58:8139–44. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf1005935.A>.
- [23] Fernandes L, Casal S, Pereira JA, Pereira EL, Saraiva JA, Ramalhosa E. Physicochemical, antioxidant and microbial properties of crystallized pansies (*Viola x wittrockiana*) during storage. *Food Sci Technol Int* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1082013219833234>.
- [24] Fernandes L, Ramalhosa E, Baptista P, Pereira JA, Saraiva JA, Casal SIP. Nutritional and nutraceutical composition of pansies (*Viola x wittrockiana*) during flowering. *J Food Sci* 2019;84:490–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14482>.
- [25] Chimenti F, Cottiglia F, Bonsignore L, Casu L, Casu M, Floris C, et al. Quercetin as the active principle of *Hypericum hircinum* exerts a selective inhibitory activity against MAO-A: extraction, biological analysis, and computational study. *J Nat Prod* 2006;69:945–9. <https://doi.org/10.1021/np060015w>.
- [26] Katalinić M, Rusak G, Domaćinović Barović J, Šinko G, Jelić D, Antolović R, et al. Structural aspects of flavonoids as inhibitors of human butyrylcholinesterase. *Eur J Med Chem* 2010;45:186–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2009.09.041>.
- [27] Grünz G, Haas K, Soukup S, Klingenspor M, Kulling SE, Daniel H, et al. Structural features and bioavailability of four flavonoids and their implications for lifespan-extending and antioxidant actions in *C. elegans*. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2012;133:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2011.11.005>.
- [28] Pallauf K, Duckstein N, Rimbach G. A literature review of flavonoids and lifespan in model organisms. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2016;76:145–62. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665116000720>.
- [29] Lashmanova E, Zemskaya N, Proshkina E, Kudryavtseva A, Volosnikova M, Marusich E, et al. The evaluation of geroprotective effects of selected flavonoids in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Front Pharmacol* 2017;8:884. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2017.00884>.
- [30] Rand JB, Duerr JS, Frisby DL. Neurogenetics of vesicular transporters in *C. elegans*. *FASEB J* 2000;14:2414–22. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.00-0313rev>.
- [31] Khan H, Marya Amin S, Kamal MA, Patel S. Flavonoids as acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: current therapeutic standing and future prospects. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2018;101:860–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.03.007>.
- [32] Ferreres F, Llorach R, Gil-Izquierdo A. Characterization of the interglycosidic linkage in di-, tri-, tetra- and pentaglycosylated flavonoids and differentiation of positional isomers by liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. *J Mass Spectrom* 2004;39:312–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.586>.