



Title	Japanese perspective in surgery for thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms
Author(s)	Shiia, Norihiko; Washiyama, Naoki; Tsuda, Kazumasa; Yamanaka, Ken; Takahashi, Daisuke; Yamashita, Katsushi; Natsume, Kayoko; Takeuchi, Yuki; Kubota, Suguru; Matsui, Yoshiro
Citation	General thoracic and cardiovascular surgery, 67(1), 187-191 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11748-017-0838-1
Issue Date	2019-01
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/76439
Rights	The final publication is available at link.springer.com
Type	article (author version)
File Information	GTCS-D-17-00147.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1
2
3 Japanese Perspective in Surgery for Thoracoabdominal Aortic Aneurysms
4
5
6
7
8

9
10 Norihiko Shiiya, MD, PhD¹, Naoki Washiyama, MD, PhD¹, Kazumasa Tsuda, MD¹, Ken
11
12 Yamanaka, MD¹, Daisuke Takahashi, MD¹, Katsushi Yamashita, MD, PhD¹, Kayoko Natsume,
13
14 MD¹, Yuki Takeuchi, MD¹, Suguru Kubota, MD, PhD², Yoshiro Matsui, MD, PhD²
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 1) First Department of Surgery, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine
23
24

25 2) Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, Hokkaido University Graduate School of
26
27
28
29 Medicine
30
31
32
33
34

35 Correspondence
36
37

38 First Department of Surgery, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine
39
40

41 1-20-1, Handayama, Higashi-ku, Hamamatsu 431-3192, Japan
42
43

44 TEL +81-53-435-2276, FAX +81-53-435-2272
45
46

47 E-mail shiiyanor@hama-med.ac.jp
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Keywords: thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm, spinal cord protection, open surgical repair
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **Abstract**
4

5
6 **Objective**
7

8
9 Operative mortality and morbidity after thoracoabdominal aortic surgery remain high. We report our
10
11 strategy and outcomes, especially those of spinal cord protection.
12
13
14

15
16 **Methods**
17

18
19 Outcomes of 178 patients (age: 26 to 88 years) who underwent thoracoabdominal aortic replacement
20
21 were retrospectively analyzed. Sixty-five had aortic dissection, 14 had infected aneurysms and 22
22
23 presented with rupture. Operations were non-elective in 24 and redo through re-thoracotomy in 21.
24
25
26 Extent of replacement was Crawford-I in 39, II in 26, III in 78 and IV in 35. Staged repair was
27
28 recently preferred, which resulted in decrease in extent II repair and increase in redo since 2009.
29
30
31 Operations were performed under distal aortic perfusion and multi-segmental sequential repair to
32
33 maximize collateral blood flow, and deep hypothermic circulatory arrest was preserved for those
34
35 requiring open aortic anastomosis (n=20). A total of 166 separate grafts were used for intercostal
36
37 reconstruction in 88 patients, which was guided by preoperative feeding artery localization. Their
38
39 patency was studied by postoperative MD-CT in 74 patients for 145 grafts.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 **Results**
52

53
54 There were 3.9% hospital mortality and 5.1% spinal cord injury. Preoperative feeding artery
55
56 localization resulted in reduced number of reconstruction and improved patency, and grafts
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 connecting to the feeding artery was patent in 92%. Results of redo operations were not different (no
4
5
6 mortality and spinal cord injury) from the de novo operations.
7
8

9
10 **Conclusions**

11
12 Our concept of spinal cord protection, which was based on selective intercostal reconstruction
13
14 while maximizing spinal cord collateral blood flow, seems justified.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **Introduction**
4
5

6 Despite the advance in cardiovascular surgery, operative mortality and morbidity after
7 thoracoabdominal aortic surgery remain high. Although Coselli and colleagues[1] have reported
8 excellent outcomes in 3309 patients, there were 7.5% operative deaths, 5.4% permanent ischemic
9 spinal cord injury and 5.7% permanent renal failure even by this most experienced group. In the
10 2014 annual nationwide survey by the Japanese Association for Thoracic Surgery[2], there were 633
11 thoracoabdominal aortic operations in Japan, with 10.7% hospital mortality rate. To improve the
12 outcomes, reducing blood loss and protecting vital organs, especially the spinal cord, are pivotal. We
13 report our strategy and outcomes of open surgical repair for the thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Subjects and Methods**
32
33

34 Outcomes of 178 patients who underwent thoracoabdominal aortic replacement under supervision
35 of the first author at two institutions (August 1994-January 2009 at Hokkaido University Hospital,
36 n=132, and March 2009-May 2017 at Hamamatsu University Hospital, n=46) were retrospectively
37 reviewed. There were 125 men and 53 women, with the age ranging from 26 to 88 (median 70) years
38 old. Sixty-five had aortic dissection, 14 had infected aneurysms and 22 presented with rupture.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 Operations were non-elective in 24. Seventy-five patients had a history of previous aortic operation;
52 ascending aorta to aortic arch in 38, descending aorta in 15, thoracic endovascular aortic repair in 2,
53 thoracoabdominal aorta in 6 and infrarenal abdominal aorta in 25. Twenty-one of them underwent
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 surgery through a re-thoracotomy. Extent of replacement was Crawford-I in 39, II in 26, III in 78
4
5
6 (extensive 41, localized Safi V 37) and IV in 35.
7

8 9 *Operative technique*

10
11 For the extent I or II repair, pleural cavity was entered through the fifth intercostal space. Incision
12
13 was extended into the fourth interspace dorsally and sixth anteriorly with division of costal margin at
14
15
16 sixth. Recently axillo-lateral incision[3] has been introduced instead of posterolateral thoracotomy to
17
18
19 better expose the aortic arch and preserve the thoracodorsal artery as a potential collateral source to
20
21
22 the spinal cord[4]. Care was taken not to manipulate the lung during heparinization, and separate
23
24
25 bronchial intubation was used to maintain the left lung deflated until heparin was reversed.
26
27
28 Abdominal aorta was exposed through a total retroperitoneal approach with circumferential division
29
30
31 of the diaphragm. For reconstruction of the visceral and renal arteries, a Dacron prosthesis provided
32
33
34 with four side-arm grafts was used.
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 *Circulatory adjuncts*

42
43
44 Femoro-femoral partial cardiopulmonary bypass with a closed-loop circuit incorporating a soft
45
46
47 reservoir bag was used for distal aortic perfusion with reduced heparin dosage[5, 6]. Deep
48
49
50 hypothermic total cardiopulmonary bypass was preserved for those requiring open aortic
51
52
53 anastomosis (n=20). Visceral and renal arteries were selectively perfused through a side-arm of the
54
55
56
57 circuit when necessary.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 *Spinal cord protection strategy*
4
5

6 Neurophysiological monitoring by evoked spinal cord potentials[7] (until January 2009, n=53) or
7
8
9 transcranial myogenic motor evoked potentials (TC-MEP) (since April 2006, n=53), cerebrospinal
10
11
12 fluid drainage (CSFD, since August 1996, n=122) and continuous naloxone infusion (1µg/kg/hour,
13
14
15 since April 1999, n=137) were used. Preoperative multi-detector row computed tomography
16
17
18 (MD-CT) to identify the spinal cord feeding artery was introduced in September 2001[8], which was
19
20
21
22 available in 116 patients. To maximize the collateral blood flow during reconstruction of the spinal
23
24
25 cord feeding arteries, site of cross-clamping and sequence and location of intercostal reconstruction
26
27
28 were determined preoperatively according to the information of spinal cord blood supply by MD-CT.
29
30
31 The purpose of neurophysiological monitoring has thus changed from intraoperative feeding artery
32
33
34 identification[7] to assessment of the adequacy of collateral blood flow. Maintaining blood flow to
35
36
37 the neighboring intercostal arteries was considered mandatory during reconstruction of a solitary
38
39
40 hairpin shaped feeding artery[9]. This was achieved by preceding reconstruction of a proximal
41
42
43 intercostal artery by segmental cross-clamping while the critical artery was perfused through distal
44
45
46 aortic perfusion[10]. Separate tube grafts were used for intercostal reconstruction in most cases. To
47
48
49 improve the patency of reconstruction, a larger size (12-mm) graft was recently preferred (**Figure 1**).
50
51
52 They were sutured to the aortic wall first, and were connected to the main graft afterward to make its
53
54
55
56
57 length shortest. Staged repair, where extent II repair was converted to the descending thoracic
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 replacement followed by extent III/IV repair, has been preferred since 2009 to allow extra-thoracic
4
5
6 collateral pathway development[11].
7

8
9
10 *Patency of separate tube graft reconstruction of the intercostal arteries*

11
12 A total of 166 intercostal arteries were reconstructed with the separate tube graft technique in 88
13
14 patients. Number of reconstructed tube grafts per patient was compared between those with and
15
16 without preoperative MD-CT for spinal cord feeding artery localization. Patency of reconstructed
17
18 intercostal arteries was studied by postoperative MD-CT in 74 patients for 145 grafts according to
19
20 the availability and findings of preoperative MD-CT.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 *Statistical analysis*

30
31 Data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation of the mean. An IBM SPSS Statistics 22
32
33 software (IBM Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for all statistical analyses. Pearson's χ^2 test was used for
34
35 comparison of nominal variables and t-test for continuous variables. A p-value less than 0.05 was
36
37
38 considered significant.
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Results**

45
46 One patient (0.6%) died within 30-days after surgery due to rupture of a remote penetrating ulcer.
47
48 Six other patients died during their hospital stay with a hospital mortality rate of 3.9% (7/178). Three
49
50 of them had infected aneurysms, developed paraplegia, and the cause of death was infection.
51
52 Ischemic spinal cord injury occurred in nine patients (5.1%) and all of them were immediate. Four of
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 them were paraplegia (2.2%), and all these four had infected aneurysms, including the three who
4
5
6 died in the hospital. No surviving patient required new postoperative permanent hemodialysis.
7
8

9
10 Since the introduction of staged repair strategy in 2009, extent II repair has become rare (n=1/46),
11
12 while completion of entire thoracoabdominal aortic replacement after previous descending thoracic
13
14 aortic replacement has increased from 5/132 to 6/46 (p=0.025). Homologous transfusion was not
15
16 required in 37% in the latter period. Results of the downstream operations after previous descending
17
18 thoracic replacement was not different (no mortality, no spinal cord injury) from the de novo
19
20 operation.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 *Patency of reconstructed intercostal arteries*

29
30
31 Overall patency of separate graft reconstruction of intercostal arteries was 70%. Introduction of
32
33 preoperative MD-CT for identification of the feeding artery resulted in reduced number of intercostal
34
35 reconstruction and improved patency, so that the number of patent arteries per patient was not
36
37 different (**Table 1**). Patency was 92% for the feeding arteries, which was better than that that in
38
39 patients without preoperative information (**Table 2**).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Discussion**

48
49
50 Since the introduction of collateral network concept by Griep and colleagues[12], the main focus
51
52 of spinal cord protection during aortic surgery has moved from identification and reconstruction of
53
54 the spinal cord feeding arteries to maximizing collateral blood flow. Indeed, Acher and
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 colleagues[13] have already reported excellent results in their pioneering work in 1990's using rapid
4
5
6 intercostal closure to avoid steal phenomenon, continuous naloxone infusion and CSFD. The lower
7
8
9 incidence of spinal cord injury after thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) may also support
10
11
12 this concept, because no intercostal artery is reattached during TEVAR but pulsatile blood flow,
13
14
15 which is proved important in maintaining collateral pressure[14], is maintained throughout the
16
17
18 procedure both proximally and distally to the repair site.
19
20
21

22 Without intercostal reconstruction, however, delayed onset injury is a problem, which accounts for
23
24
25 85% of paraplegia in a series by Etz and colleagues[15] and is due to reduced flow reserve that lasts
26
27
28 for 72 hours in their experimental model[16]. This is why maintenance of high blood pressure and
29
30
31 CSFD for 72 hours after surgery are generally recommended. The most effective method to maintain
32
33
34 flow reserve, however, is intercostal reconstruction. The present result with no delayed onset injury
35
36
37 supports this concept. Risk of immediate injury due to extra time of aortic crossclamping for
38
39
40 intercostal reconstruction should then be weighed against the risk of delayed onset injury. Our
41
42
43 concept is to use the collateral network to avoid ischemia during intercostal reconstruction.
44
45
46

47 Presence of rich collateral network has already been reported back in 1980[17], but the efforts to
48
49
50 maximize collateral blood flow by the use of distal aortic perfusion, CSFD and meticulous control of
51
52
53 back-bleeding did not reduce the incidence of paralysis until recently. This may be explained by the
54
55
56 anatomy of spinal cord blood supply. Svensson and colleagues[18] have reported that narrowing of
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 the anterior spinal artery just above its junction with the hairpin-shaped great radicular artery prevent
4
5
6 the blood flow of lower thoracic spinal cord from being increased by distal aortic perfusion. This
7
8
9 means that anatomy of spinal cord blood supply cannot be explained by the commonly used single
10
11
12 water tank model in most cases in which a solitary hair-pin shaped spinal cord feeding artery is
13
14
15 present, but may better be explained by the model of three tanks connected through a narrow canal
16
17
18
19 **(Figure 2)**. Collateral blood flow through the paraspinous network may frequently be insufficient
20
21
22 despite CSFD and back-bleeding control because distal aortic perfusion is usually non-pulsatile and
23
24
25 low-pressure. Therefore spinal cord injury may develop when aortic crossclamping is prolonged.
26
27

28
29 To overcome this, we Japanese surgeons have focused on the communication between
30
31 neighboring intercostal arteries as an effective collateral source even through non-pulsatile
32
33
34 low-pressure perfusion. We started using the multi-segmental sequential intercostal reconstruction to
35
36
37 maintain blood flow to the neighboring intercostal arteries during reconstruction of a supposed
38
39
40 feeding artery[10], which was based on the finding that no spinal cord injury occurred when two or
41
42
43 less segments were clamped simultaneously[19]. In our previous study[10], introduction of this
44
45
46 technique resulted in decreased incidence of intraoperatively detected spinal cord ischemia from
47
48
49 90% to 27% in 43 extent I or II repair. In addition, we[9] have also reported that, among the 30
50
51
52 patients in whom a feeding artery was involved in the extent of repair, neurological monitoring
53
54
55 detected ischemia in 11 (37%), and blocking back-bleeding was effective in reversing ischemia in 8
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 of them, which means that collateral flow was not sufficient in only 10% (3/30) in this strategy.
4
5

6 In the multi-segmental sequential repair technique, intercostal reconstruction with the patch
7
8 technique is difficult because of the proximity of the distal clamp, and separate tube grafts have
9
10 preferably been used. In this technique, patency has always been a concern. In the present study,
11
12 although overall patency was low, 92% of the grafts connecting to the feeding artery remained patent,
13
14 and occlusion of the graft did not result in paralysis. Higher patency for the feeding artery may be
15
16 explained by higher flow. Of course this result is biased because greater care was taken in
17
18 reconstructing those connecting to the feeding artery. Nevertheless, it shows that carefully
19
20 reconstructed grafts connecting to the spinal cord feeders remain patent in most cases, and occlusion
21
22 of the unnecessary grafts does not lead to paralysis.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Conclusions**

35
36
37 Our strategy for open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair has been successful in achieving
38
39 reduced mortality and morbidity. The concept of spinal cord protection, which was based on
40
41 selective intercostal reconstruction while maximizing spinal cord collateral blood flow, seems
42
43
44 justified.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **References**
4
5

- 6 1. Coselli JS, LeMaire SA, Preventza O, de la Cruz KI, Cooley DA, Price MD et al. Outcomes of
7 3309 thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repairs. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;151:1323-37.
8
9
10
11 2. Committee for Scientific Affairs JATS, Masuda M, Okumura M, Doki Y, Endo S, Hirata Y et al.
12 Thoracic and cardiovascular surgery in Japan during 2014 : Annual report by The Japanese
13 Association for Thoracic Surgery. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;64:665-97.
14
15
16
17
18
19 3. Inoue Y, Minatoya K, Oda T, Seike Y, Tanaka H, Sasaki H. Novel surgical incision for treatment
20 of extensive aortic aneurysm: a case of straight incision with rib-cross (SIRC) approach. *Gen Thorac*
21 *Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;64:55-7.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 4. Yoshioka K, Tanaka R, Kamada T, Abiko A. Three-dimensional demonstration of the collateral
30 circulation to the artery of Adamkiewicz via the thoracodorsal artery with multi-slice computed
31 tomography angiography. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2010;37:1234.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 5. Shiiya N, Matsuzaki K, Kunihara T, Yasuda K. Use of a soft reservoir bag in a fully
40 heparin-coated closed-loop cardiopulmonary bypass system for distal aortic perfusion during aortic
41 surgery. *J Artif Organs* 2005;8:85-90.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 6. Shiiya N, Matsuzaki K, Kunihara T, Sugiki H. Heparin reduction with the use of cardiomy
50 suction is associated with hyperfibrinolysis during distal aortic perfusion with a heparin-coated
51 semi-closed cardiopulmonary bypass system. *J Artif Organs* 2006;9:214-9.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3 7. Shiiya N, Yasuda K, Matsui Y, Sakuma M, Sasaki S. Spinal cord protection during
4
5
6 thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair: results of selective reconstruction of the critical segmental
7
8
9 arteries guided by evoked spinal cord potential monitoring. *J Vasc Surg* 1995;21:970-5.
10
11
- 12 8. Maruyama R, Kamishima T, Shiiya N, Asano T, Matsuzaki K, Miyasaka K et al. MDCT scan
13
14 visualizes the Adamkiewicz artery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;76:1308.
15
16
17
- 18 9. Shiiya N, Wakasa S, Matsui K, Sugiki T, Shingu Y, Yamakawa T et al. Anatomical pattern of
19
20 feeding artery and mechanism of intraoperative spinal cord ischemia. *Ann Thorac Surg*
21
22 2009;88:768-71; discussion 72.
23
24
25
- 26 10. Shiiya N, Kuniyama T, Matsuzaki K, Yasuda K. Evolving strategy and results of spinal cord
27
28 protection in type I and II thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*
29
30 2005;11:178-85.
31
32
33
- 34 11. Etz CD, Zoli S, Mueller CS, Bodian CA, Di Luozzo G, Lazala R et al. Staged repair significantly
35
36 reduces paraplegia rate after extensive thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *J Thorac*
37
38 *Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:1464-72.
39
40
41
- 42 12. Griep RB, Griep EB. Spinal cord perfusion and protection during descending thoracic and
43
44 thoracoabdominal aortic surgery: the collateral network concept. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;83:S865-9;
45
46 discussion S90-2.
47
48
49
- 50 13. Acher CW, Wynn MM. Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm. How we do it. *Cardiovasc Surg*
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 1999;7:593-6.
4
5

6 14. Etz CD, Di Luozzo G, Zoli S, Lazala R, Plestis KA, Bodian CA et al. Direct spinal cord
7 perfusion pressure monitoring in extensive distal aortic aneurysm repair. *Ann Thorac Surg*
8
9 2009;87:1764-73; discussion 73-4.
10
11
12
13

14 15. Etz CD, Luehr M, Kari FA, Bodian CA, Smego D, Plestis KA et al. Paraplegia after extensive
16 thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair: does critical spinal cord ischemia occur
17
18 postoperatively? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;135:324-30.
19
20
21
22
23

24 25. Etz CD, Homann TM, Luehr M, Kari FA, Weisz DJ, Kleinman G et al. Spinal cord blood flow
26 and ischemic injury after experimental sacrifice of thoracic and abdominal segmental arteries. *Eur J*
27
28 *Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;33:1030-8.
29
30
31
32

33 34. Dommissie GF. The arteries, arterioles, and capillaries of the spinal cord. Surgical guidelines in
35 the prevention of postoperative paraplegia. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1980;62:369-76.
36
37
38
39

40 41. Svensson LG, Rickards E, Coull A, Rogers G, Fimmel CJ, Hinder RA. Relationship of spinal
42 cord blood flow to vascular anatomy during thoracic aortic cross-clamping and shunting. *J Thorac*
43
44 *Cardiovasc Surg* 1986;91:71-8.
45
46
47
48

49 50. Shiiya N, Matsui Y, Murashita T, Sasaki S, Sakuma M, Yasuda K. Effects of multiple small
51 segmental resection and hypothermia with regard to causes of spinal cord injury and selection of
52
53 reconstruction methods in thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms. *Jpn J Vasc Surg* 1997;6:531-36;
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

article in Japanese.

1
2
3 **Table 1**
4

5
6 Number and patency of separate tube graft reconstruction of the intercostal arteries according to the
7
8
9 availability of preoperative spinal cord feeding artery localization
10

MD-CT for feeding artery localization	Not available	Available	P-value
Number of aortic segments repaired	9.3±3.6	9.6±2.7	0.681
Number of reconstructed grafts	2.4±1.2	1.6±0.7	0.001
Number of patent grafts	1.6±1.1	1.2±0.8	0.127
Patency	61% (42/69)	78% (59/76)	0.028

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 MD-CT: Multi-detector row computed tomography
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **Table 2**
4

5
6 Patency of separate tube graft reconstruction of the intercostal arteries according to the availability
7
8
9 and findings of preoperative spinal cord feeding artery localization
10

MD-CT finding	Patency
Arteries feeding the spinal cord	92% (23/25)
Arteries unrelated to spinal cord blood supply	69% (24/35)
Feeding artery not identified	75% (12/16)
MD-CT not available	61% (42/69)

27
28
29 p=0.034
30

31
32 MD-CT: Multi-detector row computed tomography
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure legends

Figure 1 3D computed tomography showing the patency of a 12-mm graft for intercostal reconstruction.

Note that the diameter to length ratio of the graft is nearly one, so that vortical flow within the graft is maintained and reaches the orifice of intercostal artery.

Figure 2 A proposed model of spinal cord blood supply.

A model of three tanks connected through a narrow canal can explain why distal aortic perfusion is frequently insufficient despite high blood pressure and cerebrospinal fluid drainage.

Figure 1



Figure 2

