

Quantification of photophobia in visual snow syndrome: a case-control study

Ozan E. Eren MD¹, Ruth Ruscheweyh MD¹, Andreas Straube MD¹, Christoph J.

Schankin MD^{1,2}

¹Department of Neurology, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, University Hospital - Großhadern, Munich, Germany

²Department of Neurology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern Switzerland

Character count title: 75 (incl. spaces)

Abstract word count: 149

Text body word count: 1607

Number of tables: 1

Number of figures (black and white): 1

Corresponding author

Priv.-Doz. Dr.med. Christoph J. Schankin

Department of Neurology

Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern

Freiburgstrasse, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland

Tel.: +41-31-632 6361, Fax : +41-31-632 0371

Email : christoph.schankin@insel.ch

Abstract

Objective

To quantify photophobia in visual snow syndrome (VSS), a debilitating migraine-associated visual disturbance manifesting with continuous “TV snow-like” flickering dots in the entire visual field and additional visual symptoms, such as photophobia.

Methods

Photophobia was compared between 19 patients with VSS and 19 controls matched for age, sex, migraine and aura using the Leiden Visual Sensitivity Scale (L-VISS).

Results

Patients with VSS had an increased L-VISS-score compared to matched controls [22.2±5.9 vs. 4.4±4.8; ANOVA, factors VSS and comorbid migraine: main effect for VSS ($F=100.70$; $p<0.001$), but not for migraine ($F<0.01$; $p=1.00$) or the interaction ($F=1.93$; $p=0.16$)]. An L-VISS-score of 14 identified VSS with a sensitivity and specificity of 95% (Receiver Operating Characteristic analysis, 0.986 ± 0.014 , $p\leq 0.001$).

Conclusion

Patients with VSS suffer continuously from photophobia at a level similar to chronic migraineurs during attacks. Although migraine and VSS share dysfunctional visual processing, patients with VSS might be more severely affected.

Key words

Visual sensitivity, migraine, aura, visual processing

Introduction

Patients with visual snow syndrome (VSS, ICHD-III A1.4.6) suffer from continuous TV-static-like tiny flickering dots in the entire visual field, i.e. visual snow (VS) and additional visual symptoms, such as palinopsia and photophobia^{1,2}. The syndrome is highly disabling due to the continuous presence and the lack of treatment options³. Its pathophysiology is unclear with some overlap with migraine and aura². The clinical picture of VS, palinopsia, enhanced entoptic phenomena and photophobia², hypermetabolism of the lingual gyrus⁴, and increased latency of N145 in visual evoked potentials⁵ suggest impairment of higher order visual cortex function, although there is also evidence of a more proximal dysfunction⁶.

Photophobia, i.e. “normal” light causing discomfort in the eye or head⁷, is a hallmark not only of VSS², but also of migraine attacks¹, where it can be attributed to a dysfunction of the extra striate visual cortex⁸, or the thalamus⁹, or both. Studying photophobia in VSS might improve our understanding of the common basis of VSS and migraine with possible implications for therapy in the future. Here, we used the validated Leiden Visual Sensitivity Scale (L-VISS) to investigate whether the extent of photophobia differs between VSS patients and controls.

Patients and methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the Ludwig Maximilians University Munich (227-15). All patients gave written informed consent.

Subject recruitment

Subjects were recruited from 2015 to 2017 using advertisements in social media with support from the self-help group “Eye on Vision Foundation” (www.eyeonvision.org). After being contacted by patients, we explained the study over the phone and assessed the inclusion criteria (age ≥ 18 years and presence of VS syndrome, subtype black and white)² and the exclusion criterion (history of illicit drug use). Eligible patients were invited to a visit at our out-patient clinic, where they all had a neurological exam and standard visual evoked potentials (VEPs) to exclude anterior visual pathway pathology. Controls were recruited from the outpatient headache clinic or among co-workers from our hospital. They were matched for age, sex, migraine and aura¹, and did not have VS. We did not specifically address other visual symptoms, and did not perform VEPs in controls. In patients with comorbid migraine, frequency of headache was approximated using Migraine Disability Assessment part A (MIDAS-A)¹⁰, and severity was assessed

using MIDAS or Headache Impact Test-6 (HIT-6)¹¹, or both. Headache diaries were not used.

Measurement of visual sensitivity

Visual sensitivity was measured using Leiden Visual Sensitivity Scale (L-VISS), a 9 items 5-point Likert-type response scale validated in migraine patients^{12, 13}. Subjects with comorbid migraine had been free of headache for at least 48 hours before filling in the questionnaire. No subject reported having a migraine attack within the 48 hours following study participation.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25 (IBM Corp. Released 2017. Armonk, NY). Statistical significance was assumed at $p \leq 0.05$ (two-tailed). Normal distribution was assessed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov-Test. Group characteristics were compared using chi-square test, t-test, and Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. Group differences in L-VISS-score were assessed with ANOVA, using VSS and comorbid migraine as factors. For the comparison of pre-specified subgroups stratified for migraine and aura comorbidity, t-test was used. Values are expressed as

mean±standard deviation (SD), or median (25th; 75th percentile). Cut off values to differentiate between VSS and controls were calculated using Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis.

Data Availability Statement

Anonymized data will be shared by request from any qualified investigator.

Results

Subjects

Nineteen patients with VSS (7 females; 13 with comorbid migraine, 6 of them with typical visual migraine aura; one patient had visual aura without headache) were compared to 19 matched control subjects (7 females; 13 with comorbid migraine, 9 of them with typical visual migraine aura). Migraine was episodic in all subjects except for one VSS patient and one control subject, who had chronic migraine. Group characteristics including severity of comorbid migraine did not differ (**Table**). Patients with VSS were on the following medication, started for VSS treatment, not for migraine prophylaxis: lamotrigine (n=1), antihypertensives (n=2), and antidepressants (n=5). None had any effect on VSS. Control subjects did not use any migraine prophylactics. In all VSS patients, neurological exam, ophthalmological exam (performed before presentation to our center), standard structural brain MRI, and routine visual evoked potentials (N75-P100 amplitude and P100 latency) were within normal limits. Details of visual evoked potentials in a group of VSS patients overlapping with our population have been reported recently⁵.

Visual sensitivity

Patients with VSS had an increased L-VISS-score compared to age-, sex-, migraine- and aura-matched controls (22.2 ± 5.9 vs. 4.4 ± 4.8 , **Figure a**). In ANOVA, there was a main effect of VSS ($F=100.70$; $p<0.001$), but not of comorbid migraine ($F<0.01$; $p=1.00$), and no interaction between the two ($F=1.93$; $p=0.16$). After stratification for the presence of migraine and aura, all VSS subgroups had increased L-VISS-scores compared to the respective control group: no comorbid migraine (VSS vs. controls: 24.3 ± 7.6 vs. 2.2 ± 3.7 ; $T=6.4$; $df=10$; $p<0.001$), comorbid migraine without aura (22.3 ± 4.1 vs. 4.0 ± 2.7 ; $T=7.7$; $df=8$; $p<0.001$), comorbid migraine with aura (20.1 ± 5.8 vs. 6.1 ± 5.9 ; $T=4.8$; $df=14$; $p<0.001$; **Figure b**).

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis between VSS and controls showed an area under the ROC curve of 0.986 ± 0.014 ($p \leq 0.001$). An L-VISS-score of 14 points differentiated best between both groups with a sensitivity and specificity of 95% each.

Discussion

Patients with VSS have increased visual sensitivity measured with the Leiden Visual Sensitivity Scale (L-VISS) independent of comorbid migraine and aura. The L-VISS is a self-report instrument developed to quantify visual sensitivity to light (photophobia) on an almost continuous linear scale. It has excellent internal consistency, test-retest reproducibility, and correlates well with established psychophysical tests (pattern glare and light discomfort test) making it an important method for quantifying visual sensitivity¹².

While the L-VISS-score in our control group (4.4 ± 4.8) was in the range of healthy controls (3.6 ± 2.8) and interictal migraineurs (11.3 ± 5.4) from the Perenboom study¹², patients with VSS (22.2 ± 5.9) almost reached values found in patients with chronic migraine with aura during the attacks (25.8 ± 7.9)¹². Since all subjects with comorbid migraine were studied in the interictal phase, a bias due to assessment of VSS patients during migraine attacks is highly unlikely. Further, the group differences were not driven by migraine severity since both groups were similarly affected by migraine according to HIT-6 and MIDAS scores. The extent of sensitivity to light in patients with VSS reaches a level similar to that of migraineurs during their headache attacks, when patients typically withdraw from daily routine into dark places. The relevant difference is that photophobia is continuous in VSS and restricted to attacks in migraine. This study quantifying one of the major symptoms of VSS is relevant for daily clinical

practice. It relates the suffering of patients with VSS to the suffering of migraine and emphasises the impact of VSS on visual function.

A previous study demonstrated that comorbid migraine worsens VSS by increasing the risk of having additional visual symptoms, such as palinopsia or photophobia⁴. This might suggest that VSS patients with comorbid migraine might also have higher levels of photophobia than VSS patients without migraine. This hypothesis was not confirmed by our data showing that the visual sensitivity measured in L-VISS is excessive in VSS independent from comorbid migraine or aura. Instead, comorbid migraine might increase the risk of having photophobia in VSS⁴, but when present, the suffering from visual sensitivity is severe, but uncoupled from migraine. This is important for our understanding of the interaction of migraine and VSS. Migraine might increase the risk of developing VSS with additional symptoms, but VSS may not follow a migrainous modulation. This is supported by VSS symptoms being constant without fluctuations during migraine attacks², and by the tenacious resistance to migraine pharmacological therapy³.

The mechanism of VSS remains enigmatic. In migraine, photophobia has been attributed to thalamic or thalamocortical dysfunction⁹ and to hyperactivity or hyperresponsiveness of the visual cortex⁸. In migraine, such hyperresponsiveness can be

reduced by the migraine prophylactics topiramate¹⁴ and beta-blockers¹⁵ or by the antidepressant fluoxetine¹⁶. In our study, only patients with VSS were on migraine prophylaxis for the treatment of VSS. Assuming a correlation between hyperresponsiveness and L-VISS score¹², this would result in a reduction of L-VISS. In contrast, L-VISS score was increased in VSS suggesting that a bias from treatment with migraine prophylactics is unlikely. For VSS, hypermetabolism of the visual association cortex⁴, alterations of visual evoked potentials⁵, and a potential thalamocortical dysrhythmia¹⁷ suggest involvement of mechanisms similar to migraine. Understanding how these mechanisms are differently conducted in both conditions, and how the primary visual cortex is involved⁶, might be necessary to generate hypotheses on how to treat VSS.

The limitations of this study are the small sample size in the subgroups stratified for comorbid migraine and the approximation of migraine burden by using scales instead of headache diaries. The prevalence of VSS is unknown and so is the sex distribution. Patients were recruited based on their interest in participating without aiming at a male-female ratio typical for migraine. Therefore, it remains to be determined if there is a bias resulting from the male predominance in our study. Although excessive visual sensitivity in VSS is independent from comorbid migraine, the direct effect of comorbid migraine or aura on visual sensitivity in VSS could not be assessed and would require

future studies using larger numbers of subjects, ideally without migraine prophylactic therapy.

Conclusion

Increased sensitivity to light in patients with visual snow syndrome is independent from comorbid migraine or aura. The suffering from light sensitivity is continuous in VSS and in the range of photophobia during migraine attacks. The uncoupling of light sensitivity from migraine might explain the failure of migraine preventives in VSS.

Clinical implications

- This study quantifies photophobia in patients with visual snow syndrome.
- Photophobia is continuous at a level comparable to migraineurs during attacks emphasizing the disability caused by visual snow syndrome.
- Excessive photophobia in visual snow syndrome is independent from comorbid migraine or migraine aura.

Acknowledgements

We thank all patients who have taken part in the study. The study was supported by the self-help group for visual snow (Eye On Vision Foundation) by communicating the study to patients.

Author contributions

Conception and design of the study: O.E.; C.J.S.

Acquisition and analysis of data: O.E.; R.R.; C.J.S.

Drafting a significant portion of the manuscript: O.E.; R.R.; A.S.; C.J.S.

Ethics or Institutional Review Board Approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics committee of the Ludwig Maximilians University Munich (227-15). All patients gave written informed consent.

Funding

Deutsche Migräne- und Kopfschmerzgesellschaft (www.dmkg.de), Eye on Vision Foundation (www.eyevision.org), Baasch Medicus Foundation, Friedrich-Baur Foundation

Declaration of conflicting interests

Dr. Eren reports grants from Friedrich-Baur Foundation during the conduct of the study.

Dr. Ruscheweyh reports personal fees and/or other from Allergan, Novartis, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Hormosan outside the submitted work.

Dr. Straube reports personal fees from Allergan, Bayer, Sanofi, Desitin, Electrocore, Eli Lilly, Teva Pharmaceuticals, and grants from German Research Council, Kröner-Fresenius Foundation, Ludwig-Maximilian University, Friedrich-Baur Foundation outside the submitted work.

Dr. Schankin reports grants from Deutsche Migräne- und Kopfschmerzgesellschaft, Eye on Vision Foundation, Baasch Medicus Foundation during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Novartis, Eli Lilly, Allergan, Almirall, Amgen, and personal fees and other from Teva Pharmaceuticals outside the submitted work.

References

1. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. *Cephalalgia*. 2018; 38: 1-211.
2. Schankin CJ, Maniyar FH, Digre KB and Goadsby PJ. 'Visual snow' - a disorder distinct from persistent migraine aura. *Brain*. 2014; 137: 1419-28.
3. van Dongen RM, Waaijer LC, Onderwater GLJ, Ferrari MD and Terwindt GM. Treatment effects and comorbid diseases in 58 patients with visual snow. *Neurology*. 2019; 93: e398-e403.
4. Schankin CJ, Maniyar FH, Sprenger T, Chou DE, Eller M and Goadsby PJ. The relation between migraine, typical migraine aura and "visual snow". *Headache*. 2014; 54: 957-66.
5. Eren O, Rauschel V, Ruscheweyh R, Straube A and Schankin CJ. Evidence of dysfunction in the visual association cortex in visual snow syndrome. *Ann Neurol*. 2018; 84: 946-9.
6. McKendrick AM, Chan YM, Tien M, et al. Behavioral measures of cortical hyperexcitability assessed in people who experience visual snow. *Neurology*. 2017; 88: 1243-9.
7. Digre KB and Brennan KC. Shedding light on photophobia. *J Neuroophthalmol*. 2012; 32: 68-81.
8. Denuelle M, Bouilloche N, Payoux P, Fabre N, Trotter Y and Geraud G. A PET study of photophobia during spontaneous migraine attacks. *Neurology*. 2011; 76: 213-8.
9. Younis S, Hougaard A, Nosedá R and Ashina M. Current understanding of thalamic structure and function in migraine. *Cephalalgia*. 2019; 39: 1675-82.
10. Stewart WF, Lipton RB, Dowson AJ and Sawyer J. Development and testing of the Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) Questionnaire to assess headache-related disability. *Neurology*. 2001; 56: S20-8.
11. Kosinski M, Bayliss MS, Bjorner JB, et al. A six-item short-form survey for measuring headache impact: the HIT-6. *Qual Life Res*. 2003; 12: 963-74.
12. Perenboom MJL, Zamanipoor Najafabadi AH, Zielman R, Carpay JA and Ferrari MD. Quantifying visual allodynia across migraine subtypes: the Leiden Visual Sensitivity Scale. *Pain*. 2018; 159: 2375-82.
13. Zamanipoor Najafabadi AH, Perenboom MJL, Zielman R, Carpay JA and Ferrari MD. Visual sensitivity in migraine: development and validation of the visual sensitivity questionnaire (PO324). *Cephalalgia*. 2015; 35: 172.
14. Aurora SK, Barrodale PM, Vermaas AR and Rudra CB. Topiramate modulates excitability of the occipital cortex when measured by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Cephalalgia*. 2010; 30: 648-54.

15. Sandor PS, Afra J, Ambrosini A and Schoenen J. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with beta-blockers and riboflavin: differential effects on the intensity dependence of auditory evoked cortical potentials. *Headache*. 2000; 40: 30-5.
16. Ozkul Y and Bozlar S. Effects of fluoxetine on habituation of pattern reversal visually evoked potentials in migraine prophylaxis. *Headache*. 2002; 42: 582-7.
17. Lauschke JL, Plant GT and Fraser CL. Visual snow: A thalamocortical dysrhythmia of the visual pathway? *J Clin Neurosci*. 2016; 28: 123-7.

Figure

Enhanced visual sensitivity in visual snow syndrome (VSS) compared to matched controls (C)

Patients with visual snow syndrome have increased visual sensitivity measured with Leiden Visual Sensitivity Scale (L-VISS) when compared to controls matched for age, sex, migraine and aura (in a, ANOVA with factors VSS and comorbid migraine). In subgroup analysis (in b, t test) stratified for no comorbid migraine (M-), comorbid migraine without (MO), and migraine with aura (MA), the difference persists.

Individual values are shown as dots (VSS) or circles (controls). Mean values are shown as horizontal bars (* $p < 0.001$).

Table

Characteristics of study population including additional visual symptoms in patients with VSS (not present in control population).