provided by IDS OpenDocs

THE CENTRAL AFRICAN JOURNALS OF OF MEDICINE

Vol. 40, No. 11 ORIGINAL ARTICLES

CONTENTS

November, 1994

Condom use and the risk of HIV infection: who is being protected? Plasma cholesterol distribution in a rural Nigerian population - relationship to age, sex and body mass Cross sectional sero-epidemiological prevalence of poliovirus antibodies post immunization with three doses of TOPV Do traditional mosquito repellent plants work as mosquito larvicides?..... A preliminary investigation into psychological disorders in Mozambican refugees: prevalence and clinical features Acute poisoning in a paediatric intensive care unit in Harare Umbilical hernia in Bulawayo: some observations from a hospital based study CASE REPORTS Traveller's loiasis in Zimbabwe: a case report

| M T Mbizvo, S Ray, M Bassett, W McFarland, R Machekano, D Katzenstein294 | |
|---|---|
| R T Erasmus, C Uyot, T Pakeye299 | |
| S A Tswana, C Berejena, SR Moyo, O Mudyarabikwa303 | |
| N Lukwa | , |
| A P Recler | |
| I Chitsike | |
| G Mawera, GI Muguti | , |
| LM Bordon, M Maurice | |
| LM Bordon, L Chivete | 7 |

Symptomatic polycystic liver disease: a case

| e nver disease. a clase | LM Bordon, L Univere |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| SUPPORTED BY CIMAS | MEDICAL AID SOCIETY |

Do traditional mosquito repellent plants work as mosquito larvicides?

N LUKWA

SUMMARY

Plant derived larvicides were evaluated in Kamhororo, an area of Zimbabwe. Twenty five third and fourth instar An. gambiae s.s mosquito larvae were used per test according to the method of WHO. All larvicides were effective against the An. gambiae s.s mosquito larvae and were comparable to studies done in Tanzania using Orange peel extracts. The extracts of the plant Ocimum canum (LC_{so} =54,94 x 10³ ug/ml) were more effective in killing the larvae than Lippia javanica (LC_{so} =125,34mg x 10³ ug/ml). These concentrations are higher when considering commercial larvicides.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is a serious health problem in many African countries, including Zimbabwe¹ and the concept that malaria transmission can be interrupted by the use of residual insecticides has long been the basis for malaria control programmes. In Zimbabwe, mosquitoes are widely controlled by the use of residual spraying in rural areas and this is paid for the government.² This puts pressure on scarce resources to sustain the mosquito control programme, hence there is need to evaluate indigenous plants as mosquito larvicides.

Of all the plants mentioned as mosquito repellents, Lippia javanica and Ocimum canum were very common and therefore were evaluated as larvicides. The Bulawayo City council used Termephos "Abate®" to control nuisance mosquitoes¹⁰ and 300 litres of used oil to treat defective septic tanks. Coopex Larvicide® containing 2 pc permethrin was evaluated in Gokwe¹¹ and results suggest that the larvicide has no effect on the pupa but the emerging adults die later on.

Blair Research Laboratory P O Box CY 573 Causeway Harare Zimbabwe Studies have been done elsewhere to evaluate the effectiveness of natural plants as mosquito larvicides.^{5,7,8} Many plants produce chemicals with anti-feedant or insecticidal properties. These could potentially be exploited to provide pesticides which are safer and considerably less environmentally damaging than synthetic chemicals.³ Zebitz⁵ exposed fourth instar larvae of *Ae. aegypti* to water extracts of the neem tree and this resulted in disruption of growth. Alternatively, exposure of the first instar larvae caused a prolongation of the larval period and eventually about 90 pc mortality. However, organo-phosphorous compounds have been used world wide and larvicide resistance has been reported in some countries.⁴

Crude extracts have natural slow release formulations containing a range of chemicals with differing modes of action and insects would need to develop a wide range of enzymes to become resistant to all of them. Thus, the likelihood of resistance developing appears to be remote.^{*}

Mwaiko⁶ evaluated the efficacy of citrus oil extracts as mosquito larvae insecticides. Results revealed that lemon peel oil was more effective than orange peel oil, with a $LC_{50} = 28,84 \times 10^3$ ug and $LC_{95} = 89,12 \times 10^3$ ug. Therefore, preliminary studies were done to evaluate the effectiveness of the plants *Lippia javanica* and *Ocimum canum* as larvicides.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

1. Study area: Kamhororo Communal Area is in Gokwe district, Midlands province and is located at grid reference 18° 20'S x 30° E. The altitude is 300m above sea level. The area has several water bodies which are ideal for mosquito breeding all year round.

2. Preparation of the larvicides: Leaves of the plants *Lippia javanica* and *Ocimum canum* had been collected from previous field trips and therefore they were already dry when they were used. Each batch of leaves was pounded to powder using a laboratory homogenizer and the homogenate was separated using a fine sieve. One kilogramme of each repellent was dissolved in one litre of water and then 10ml of this preparation was pipetted and made up to 50ml with 70pc ethanol. Stock solutions of one litre of each repellent were prepared. The *Ocimum canum* preparation had a blue/green colouration whilst the *Lippia javanica* preparation had a brown colouration.

3. Collection of larvae: Anopheles gambiae s.s mosquito larvae were collected a day before the start of each experiment. After collection, they were transferred to clean water and left to acclimatize until the following morning.

4. Susceptibility tests: The tests were carried out according to the standard method described by WHO.9 Twenty five third and fourth instar mosquito larvae were placed in 500ml yellow plastic containers containing 200ml of water at a depth of 7cm and the water temperature was noted to be 23°C. The mosquito larvae were left to acclimatize for 15 minutes. After that, 1 ml of each plant preparation, at different concentrations, was placed in a new set of plastic containers and 1 ml of 70pc ethanol was used in the control tests. The contents of the first set of containers containing the larvae were tipped into the new set of containers which had been serially labelled. Finally, the test containers were placed in a tent which was being used as the field insectary. All tests were done in duplicate and mortality counts were done after 24 hours.

RESULTS

The effectiveness of Lippia javanica as a mosquito larvicide: Higher dosages of the plant extracts were used as compared to the commercial larvicides (Abate 2 x 10³ ug/ml). It was possible to reach a 100 pc mortality rate with a concentration of 240 x 103 ug/ml. Mwaiko⁶ found that 79,9 x 10³ ug/ml of orange peel extracts were sufficient to cause a mortality rate of

Table I: Evaluation of the plant Lippia javanica as a mosquito larvicide.

| Concen | tration | Average pc | | | | |
|----------|---------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| (ug/ml x | 10³) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Mortalities |
| | W:X:Y | Z | W:X:Y:Z | W:X:Y:Z | W:X:Y | :Z 12 |
| 40 | 1:2:22: | 0 | 1:0:24:0 | 3:1:21:0 | 2 :1:22 | :0 |
| 80 | 2:3:20: | 0 | 2:2:21:0 | 2:1:22:0 | 1:3:21 | :0 16 |
| 120 | 10:1:14 | 4:0 | 10:0:15:0 | 9:0:1 6:0 | 7:2:16 | :0 60 |
| 160 | 17:0:8: | 0 | 14:1:10:0 | 17:2: 6:0 | 15:2:6 | :0 68 |
| 200 | 21:1:3: | 0 | 24:0:1:0 | 20:0:5:0 | 22:0:3 | :0 88 |
| 240 | 25:0:0: | 0 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0 | :0 100 |
| Control | 0:0:25: | 0 | 1:24:0:0 | 2:0:23:0 | 0:0:25 | :0 4 |

Key: W = Dead larva; X = Moribund larvae; Y = Alive larvae; Z = Emerged pupa 79,34 pc as compared to almost the same concentration which caused a mortality rate of 16 pc. A preparation⁶ of the orange peel extracts (39,95 x 103 ug/ml) was sufficient to cause a 28,57 pc mortality as compared to *L. javanica* of a 40 x 10³ ug/ml (12 pc) [Table I].

For computing the LC_{50} and LC_{95} , the package SPSS.PC programme was used. The LC_{50} of the plant *Lippia javanica* was 125,34 x 10³ ug/ml as compared to Bitter orange extracts⁶ (50,11 x 10³ ug/ml) whilst the LC_{95} was 215,27 x 10³ ug/ml (165,95 x 10³ ug/ml for Bitter orange. The mortalities increased with the increase of the concentrations of the larvicides.

The effectiveness of Ocimum canum as a mosquito larvicide: The results show that 95×10^3 ug/ml was enough to give a 100 pc mortality rate (Table II). There was a 8 pc mortality rate when the plant extract was used at a concentration of 19 x 10³ ug/ml as compared to orange extracts⁶ (5,46 pc for 19,97 x 10³ ug/ml) and the efficacy is not very different. When the plant extract was used at a concentration of 95×10^3 ug/ ml, there was a 100 pc mortality rate as compared to the Bitter orange⁶ preparation (79,6 for 90 x 10³ ug/ml).

The LC₅₀ of this larvicide was $58,94 \times 10^3$ ug/ml and that of Bitter orange was $50,11 \times 10^3$ ug/ml, the order was almost similar. The LC₅₀ of *Ocimum canum* was 92,18 x 10³ ug/ml as compared to Bitter orange (165,95 x 10³ ug/ml).

Table II: Evaluation of the plant Ocimum canum as a mosquito larvicide.

| Concer (ug/ml) | | est No. 2 | 3 | | verage po lortalities |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|
| | W:X:Y:Z | W:X:Y:Z | W:X:Y:Z | W:X:Y:Z | . 8 |
| 19 | 1:2:22:0 | 1:1:23:0 | 0:2:23:0 | 2:0:23:0 | |
| 38 | 3:0:22:0 | 1:1;23:0 | 2:2:21:0 | 2:1:22:0 | 16 |
| 57 | 6:0:19:0 | 5: 2:18 :0 | 5:1:19:0 | 6:0:19:0 | 36 |
| 76 | 24:0:1:0 | 23:1:1:0 | 20:4:1:0 | 21:3:1:0 | 96 |
| 95 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 100 |
| 110 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 25:0:0:0 | 100 |
| Control | 2:0:23:0 | 2:1:22:0 | 1:0:24:0 | 2:0:23:0 | 8 |

Key: W = Dead larva; X = Moribund larvae; Y = Alive larvae; Z = Emerged pupa

The average number of larvae per experiment was taken and the figures rounded off to the nearest whole number. The average mortality rates were not corrected using Abbots's formula because control mortality reates never exceeded the maximum required 20 pc. All dead and moribund larvae were counted as dead. There were no larve which pupated during the experiments.

DISCUSSION

The trials were done in order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the plant derived larvicides and therefore were carried out in laboratory similated conditions. Higher dosages of the plant extracts were used as compared to the commercial larvicides (Abate 2 x 10³ ug/ml). Mwaiko⁶ found that 79,9 x 10³ ug/ml of orange peel extracts were sufficient to cause a mortality rate of 79,34 pc as compared to almost the same concentration of *Lippia javanica* which caused a mortality rate of 16 pc.

The LC₅₀ of the plant Lippia javanica was $125,34 \times 10^3$ ug/ml as compared to Bitter orange extracts⁶ (50,11 x 10^3 ug/ml) whilst the LC₉₅ of the plant was $215,27 \times 10^3$ ug/ml (165,95 x 10^3 ug/ml for Bitter orange). The mortalities increased with the increase of the concentrations of the larvicides. Lippia javanica is not as effective as the orange preparations.⁶

When Ocimum canum extracts were used at a concentration of 95 x 10³ ug/ml, there was a 100 pc mortality rate as compared to the Bitter orange⁶ preparation (79,6 pc for 90 x 10³ ug/ml) and the plant appeared to be a better candidate. The LC₅₀ of this larvicide was 58,94 x 10³ ug/ml and that of Bitter orange was 50,11 x 10³ ug/ml, the order was almost similar. The LC₉₅ of Ocimum canum was 92,18 x 10³ ug/ml as compared to Bitter orange (165,95 x 10³ ug/ ml).

The use of alcohol (ethanol) as a solvent had no impact on larvae mortalities as evidenced by the low control mortalities. Twenty four hour mortality rates were reasonably high as the concentration of the larvicides were increased. Plants can be used as larvicides at higher dosages as compared to commerial larvicides. When plants are compared to other natural larvicides as orange peels, the efficacy is not very different. However, it is not possible to use the extracts as they are at mass level unless they have been purified and the active ingredients concentracted.

This investigation represents preliminary observations on the susceptibility of *An. gambiae* mosquito larvae to plant derived larvicides. Further investigation will concentrate on the identification of the active ingredients of these plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This investigation was made possible by the tireless support given by the Blair Research Malaria team. Special acknowledgements go to Mr P Mashamba and Mr F Kanhukamwe for their support during the experiments. Last but not least, I would like to thank Mr S Mharakurwa for analysing data using the SAPSS.PC package.

REFERENCES

- St Aminah, Marthis HL, Seregeg IG. Resistance of two insect development inhibitors in domestic water containers in Jarkata, Indonesia. WHO/VBC,1981;81:832.
- 2. Taylor P. The malaria problem in Zimbabwe. Epidemiology. Cent Afr J Med 1985;(31):9: 163-73.
- 3. Reuben R, Raghunatha RD. Biological control of mosquitoes in paddy fields: Neem tree. Appropriate technology in vector control. Curtis CF, editor. CRC Press 1990;181.
- 4. White GB. The present importance of domestic mosquitoes (*Culex pipiens fatigans* Wielderman) in East Africa and recent steps towards their control. *E Afr Med J* 1971;48:286.
- 5. Zebitz CPW. Effect of some crude and azadirachtin enriched neem Azadirachita indica seed kernal extracts on larvae of Ae. aegypti. Entomol Exp Appl 1984;35:11.
- Mwaiko GL. Citrus peel oil extracts as mosquito larvae insecticides. E Afr Ed J 1992;69:223-26.
- Bubo I, Nakanishi K. Biological control methods suitable for community use: formulations of neem. Appropriate technology in vector control. CRC Press, 1990;153.
- Curtis CF. Insect proofing of sanitation systems

 working document. Inter-country workshop
 on development tools and methods for vector
 control in primary health care, Searo, New
 Delhi. October, 1991.
- 9. Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of mosquito larvae to insecticides. 1981;WHO/VBC:801:1-6.

CENTRAL AFRICAN JOURNAL OF MEDICINE

. . .

- 10. City of Bulawayo Health Department Annual Report 1989.
- 11. Freeman T. To investigate the efficacy of environmental control of malaria in rural Zimbabwe (Unpublished), 1992.

 $\odot \odot \odot \odot$

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution – NonCommercial - NoDerivs 3.0 License.

To view a copy of the license please see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

