# Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

# **Citation for published version**

Chakraborty, Arnab and Roy, Nirupam and Wang, Y and Datta, Abhirup and Beuther, H and Medina, S.-N. X. and Menten, K M and Urquhart, J.S. and Brunthaler, A and Dzib, S.A. (2019) Characterization of unresolved and unclassified sources detected in radio continuum surveys of the Galactic plane. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society . ISSN 0035-8711.

## DOI

## Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/79399/

# **Document Version**

Author's Accepted Manuscript

## **Copyright & reuse**

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

## Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

## Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk** 

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





# Characterization of unresolved and unclassified sources detected in radio continuum surveys of the Galactic plane

Arnab Chakraborty,<sup>1</sup>\* Nirupam Roy, <sup>2</sup> Y.Wang, <sup>3</sup> Abhirup Datta,<sup>1</sup> H. Beuther, <sup>3</sup> S.-N.X. Medina, <sup>4</sup> K. M. Menten, <sup>4</sup> J. S. Urquhart, <sup>5</sup> A. Brunthaler,<sup>4</sup> and S. A. Dzib <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Discipline of Astronomy, Astrophysics and Space Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore 453552, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

<sup>3</sup>Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Centre for Astrophysics and Planetary Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NH, UK

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

#### ABSTRACT

The continuum emission from 1 to 2 GHz of The HI/OH/Recombination line survey of the inner Milky Way (THOR) at  $\lesssim 18''$  resolution covers ~ 132 square degrees of the Galactic plane and detects 10387 sources. Similarly, the first data release of the Global View of Star Formation in the Milky Way (GLOSTAR) surveys covers ~ 16 square degrees of the Galactic plane from 4-8 GHz at 18" resolution and detects 1575 sources. However, a large fraction of the unresolved discrete sources detected in these radio continuum surveys of the Galactic plane remain unclassified. Here, we study the Euclidean-normalized differential source counts of unclassified and unresolved sources detected in these surveys and compare them with simulated extragalactic radio source populations as well as previously established source counts. We find that the differential source counts for THOR and GLOSTAR surveys are in excellent agreement with both simulation and previous observations. We also estimate the angular two-point correlation function of unclassified and unresolved sources detected in THOR survey. We find a higher clustering amplitude in comparison with the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-cm (FIRST) survey up to the angular separation of  $5^{\circ}$ . The decrease in angular correlation with increasing flux cut and the excellent agreement of clustering pattern of sources above 1 mJy with high z samples (z > 0.5) of the FIRST survey indicates that these sources might be high z extragalactic compact objects. The similar pattern of one-point and two-point statistics of unclassified and compact sources with extragalactic surveys and simulations confirms the extragalactic origin of these sources.

Key words: radio continuum: general – galaxies – surveys

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Continuum surveys of the Galactic plane at radio wavelength are an excellent way to study different source populations such as HII regions, planetary nebulae (PNe), radio stars etc (Bihr et al. 2016; Beuther et al. 2016). These surveys also help to understand different physical processes in the interstellar medium. There are several high-resolution surveys of the Galactic plane from near-infrared to mm wavelengths but only a few at radio wavelength (see Beuther et al. 2016 and references therein). The HI/OH/Recombination line survey of the inner Milky Way (THOR) and the Global View of Star Formation in the Milky Way (GLOSTAR) are two such surveys of the Galactic plane at radio wavelengths in high-resolution with unprecedented sensitivity.

THOR covers a large fraction of the first Galactic quadrant with the extended Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) in Cconfiguration at L-band from 1 to 2 GHz and detects 10387 sources (Beuther et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018). Wang et al. (2018) have classified a subset of these sources as HII regions, pulsars, X-ray sources, planetary nebulae, supernova remnants and extragalactic jets after comparing with multi-frequency catalogues. GLOSTAR covers the Galactic plane between  $-2^{\circ} < l < 60^{\circ}$  and  $|b| < 1^{\circ}$ , and then Cygnus X region from  $76^{\circ} < l < 83^{\circ}$  and -1 < b < 2 with the VLA in D- and B-configuration at C-band from 4 to 8 GHz. Medina et al. (2019) have analyzed a portion of the D-array data and published the first source catalogue consisting of 1575 sources. They have also identified the sources in the GLOSTAR survey by cross-correlating with different catalogues. However, a large number of sources remain unclassified in both surveys. Wang et al. (2018) have found that the unclassified sources and identified Galactic

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: arnab.phy.personal@gmail.com

### 2 A Chakraborty et al.

sources show different spatial and spectral index distributions. The Galactic sources are more concentrated in low longitude region and near the Galactic mid-plane. Also, the spectral index distribution shows a peak around  $\alpha \sim -1$  for unclassified sources in comparison with Galactic sources. However, they have also found a significant number of unclassified sources with  $\alpha \ge 0$  (Wang et al. 2018). Medina et al. (2019) have also found a similar distinctive behavior in spatial and spectral index distribution of unclassified sources in GLOSTAR catalogue over classified Galactic objects. The mean value of the spectral index of these unclassified sources  $\sim -0.5 \pm 0.3$ , which suggests that these sources are likely to be extragalactic origin (Medina et al. 2019). The clear difference in these distributions hinted that most of these unclassified sources are of extragalactic origin. However, the exact origin of this large sample is still unknown and there maybe are some exotic Galactic population. Here, we study various properties of this sample to check the consistency with the extragalactic population.

In this work, we study the one-point and two-point statistical properties of these unclassified and compact (or, unresolved) sources. We analyze the differential source counts as a function of flux density (one-point statistics) and compare the results with previous observations as well as with simulations. We have also estimated the angular two-point correlation function (ATCF: two-point statistics) of these sources using different flux density thresholds and compared with the FIRST survey. This comparative study of statistical properties of these sources allow us to identify whether they are of Galactic or extragalactic origin, which is also complementary to the previous findings of Wang et al. (2018) and Medina et al. (2019).

#### 2 DATA

In this section, we will briefly discuss about the radio catalogues used in this work to estimate the distribution of sources as a function of flux density and angular clustering of sources.

The details of the observations, data reduction, accumulation of source catalogue of THOR survey are discussed and described in Bihr et al. (2016), Beuther et al. (2016) and Wang et al. (2018). THOR survey covers the Galactic plane from 14.5° to 67.25° in Galactic longitude (l) and  $\pm 1.25^{\circ}$  in Galactic latitude (b). The observation was done with VLA in C configuration at L band from 1 to 2 GHz divided into 8 continuum spectral windows (SPWs). Wang et al. (2018) have selected two least RFI affected SPWs (out of 6 SPWs) centred at 1.82 GHz and 1.44 GHz, then smoothed them into the same resolution ( $\leq 18''$ ; see Table.1 of Wang et al. 2018) and then made an average image. They have used BLOBCAT (Hales et al. 2012) to extract sources from this averaged image with  $5\sigma$  as detection threshold and  $2.6\sigma$  as flooding threshold. After removing the sidelobe artifacts they have assembled a catalogue consisting of 10387 sources. However, a large number of sources, 9000 (86%), of this catalogue remain unclassified. The nature of these sources are unknown. Out of these unclassified sources, 7800 (75%) sources are unresolved. We have taken these unresolved and unclassified sources and estimated the one-point and two-point statistics.

The GLOSTAR-VLA survey (Brunthaler et al., in prep.) was done using VLA D- and B-configuration in C-band (4-8 GHz). Medina et al. (2019) have analyzed a portion of the D-array data of this survey, which covers 16 deg<sup>2</sup> region of the Galactic mid-plane spanning  $28^{\circ} < l < 36^{\circ}$  and  $|b| < 1^{\circ}$ . They made a combined mosaic image at an effective frequency of 5.8 GHz with 18'' resolution and extracted the source catalogue from that image using BLOBCAT. A total of 1575 sources were included in the final catalogue after removing artifacts and identifying multi-component sources. Medina et al. (2019) classified the sources as ionization fronts, pulsars, planetary nebulae, HII regions, stars, SNRs after cross-correlating with different catalogues. Here also a large number of radio sources remain unclassified. Medina et al. (2019) have also classified the sources as the unresolved/compact if the ratio between integrated and peak flux density (Y-factor) of a object is less than 1.2. There are 1284 (81%) unresolved sources, out of which 1105 (70%) sources are unclassified too. Similar to THOR, we have only analyzed the statistical properties of these unresolved and unclassified sources.

#### **3 DIFFERENTIAL SOURCE COUNTS**

There are extensive study of source counts as a function of flux density at high frequency as well as at low frequency. It is well established that Euclidean-normalized differential source counts at 1.4 GHz show a flattening at  $\sim$  1 mJy corresponding to a rise in the source population of star-forming galaxies (SFGs) and radio-quiet AGNs (see de Zotti et al. 2010 for a detail review). We have estimated the Euclidean-normalized differential source counts of unclassified and unresolved sources detected in THOR and GLOSTAR survey and compared our findings with previous observations. We have binned the integrated flux density of sources in logarithmic space and adjusted the bins in such a way that the highest flux density bin includes a minimum of 3 sources. The raw source counts (N) in each bin are corrected for image area detection fraction or the visibility area. We have estimated the fraction of area (f) over which a source with a given flux density can be detected (its visibility area) and weighted the raw source counts by the reciprocal of that fraction (Windhorst et al. 1985). The corrected source counts (Nc = N/f) were then divided by the total image area ( $\Omega$  in steradians) and bin width ( $\Delta S$  in Jy). This gives the differential source counts as a function of flux density. We have normalized the differential source count distribution to Euclidean geometry by multiplying it with  $S^{2.5}$ , where S is the mean flux density of sources in each bin (Windhorst et al. 1985).

THOR catalogue is 94% complete above  $7\sigma$  (Bihr et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018), whereas, GLOSTAR catalogue is 95% complete above  $7\sigma$  threshold (Medina et al. 2019). In this analysis we choose sources with flux densities above  $7\sigma$  and hence no completeness correction was applied to the differential source counts. Resolution bias causes for underestimation of source counts of extended sources in peak flux density selection during source extraction. However, both surveys checked the resolved sources visually and categorized those separately in the final catalogue. We only use the unresolved/compact sources in this analysis, and hence, this bias is not an issue here. Eddington bias is significant near the detection threshold (5 $\sigma$  for these catalogues) due to steep source counts at low flux densities and the fact that this bias redistributes low flux density sources to high flux bins. However, the differential source counts will not be affected by this bias due to the imposed high flux cut (>7 $\sigma$ ) in this analysis. Any false detection of observational sidelobe artifacts as real sources will boost the source counts. However, both surveys identified these artifacts by visual inspection of all sources and excluded those from the final catalogue (Wang et al. 2018: Medina et al. 2019).

The Euclidean-normalized differential source counts for THOR and GLOSTAR catalogues are shown in Fig. 1, where the error bars are Poissonian. In the left panel of Fig. 1, we compare our findings with two simulated catalogues, the SKA Design Study



Figure 1. The Euclidean-normalized differential source counts of unclassified and unresolved sources detected in THOR and GLOSTAR survey compared with simulated radio sky (left panel) and previously observed source populations (right panel). For details of simulated catalogues and different observed source populations see text.

simulations (SKADS, Wilman et al. 2008) and the Tiered Radio Extragalactic Continuum Simulations (T-RECS, Bonaldi et al. 2019). The SKADS catalogue spans an area of 100 deg<sup>2</sup> with a minimum flux density of 1  $\mu$ Jy at 1.4 GHz and also includes four distinct source types: Fanaroff-Riley Class I (FRI) and Class II (FRII), radio-quiet AGNs (RQQ) and star-forming galaxies (SFGs). The source counts of SFGs, AGNs and RQQ taken from SKADS catalogue are also shown. There are three different settings available in T-RECS simulation for the two main radio source populations: AGNs and SFGs. We choose the 'medium' T-RECS catalogue, which covers 25 deg<sup>2</sup> with a minimum flux density of 10 nJy at 1.4 GHz and also incorporates the effect of clustering in their simulation (Bonaldi et al. 2019). The population of AGNs and SFGs in T-RECS simulation are also shown in Fig. 1.

Along with simulated radio catalogues we have also compared differential source counts with observed source populations at lowfrequency as well as high-frequency in the right panel of Fig. 1. The differential source counts from other observations include : the TIFR GMRT Sky Survey at 150 MHz (TGSS-ADR1; Intema et al. 2017), GaLactic and Extragalactic All-sky MWA survey at 154 MHz (GLEAM; Franzen et al. 2019), BOOTES field at 150 MHz using LOFAR (Williams et al. 2016), Super-CLASS supercluster at 325 MHz with the GMRT (Riseley et al. 2016), ELAIS-N1 field at 400 MHz using uGMRT (Chakraborty et al. 2019), Lockman Hole field at 1.4 GHz with the LOFAR (Prandoni et al. 2018), the 1.4 GHz source counts based on observation with VLA by Condon (1984), the Phoenix Deep Survey at 1.4 GHz with ATCA (Hopkins et al. 2003), COSMOS field at 3 GHz with VLA (Smolčić et al. 2017). In all cases we have scaled the source counts to 1.4 GHz using a spectral index,  $\alpha = -0.8$ .

We have found that the normalized differential source counts of THOR and GLOSTAR survey are in good agreement with both simulated source models as well as observed source counts. There is a flattening in source population below 1 mJy for THOR catalogue and it closely follows the T-RECS source models. This flattening is attributed to the rise in the source population of SFGs and RQ-AGNs. However, the contribution of SFGs and RQ-AGNs to the

**Table 1.** Best-fit values of amplitude (A) and power-law index ( $\gamma$ ) of w( $\theta$ ) for the unclassified and compact sources in THOR survey and for the all and z > 0.5 samples in the FIRST survey. The best fitted values for various flux density thresholds are also shown.

Survey	S <sub>min</sub> [mJy]	$\log_{10}(A)$	γ
THOR	0.4 (7σ) 1 2	$-1.38 \pm 0.01$ $-1.71 \pm 0.02$ $-1.78 \pm 0.01$	$1.60 \pm 0.02$ $1.79 \pm 0.04$ $1.88 \pm 0.04$
FIRST	all $z > 0.5$	$-2.30 \pm 0.76$ $-1.88 \pm 0.46$	$1.82 \pm 0.02$ $2.04 \pm 0.12$

source population below 1 mJy at 1.4 GHz is not well understood and previous observations also find a discrepancy in comparison with SKADS simulation (Smolčić et al. 2017; Bonaldi et al. 2019). It should be noted that for the current analysis only a small part of the GLOSTAR survey catalogue is used as the data analysis and source identification for the full survey region is not complete yet. Also, there is a possibility of non-detection of steep spectrum extragalactic sources in higher frequency GLOSTAR survey (4-5 GHz), which may be the reason for the difference between THOR and GLOSTAR source counts. However, a more detailed comparison will be more appropriate only after the catalogue from the entire survey area is available. The Euclidean-normalized differential source counts of these unclassified and compact sources detected in both surveys are consistent with simulation and observations. This strikingly similar feature confirms that these sources are of extragalactic origin.

#### 4 THE ANGULAR TWO-POINT CORRELATION FUNCTION

We have also estimated the ATCF of unclassified and compact sources detected in THOR. We have used the LS estimator proposed



**Figure 2.** The two-point angular correlation function of unclassified and unresolved sources detected in THOR survey with flux densities above 0.4 mJy ( $7\sigma$ ), 1 mJy and 2 mJy.Also, the angular clustering of THOR sources compared with the FIRST survey Lindsay et al. (2014) and plotted in log-scale.

by Landy & Szalay (1993),

ı

$$v(\theta) = \frac{DD(\theta) - 2DR(\theta) + RR(\theta)}{RR(\theta)}$$
(1)

, where DD, DR and RR corresponds to pair counts at a separation of angle  $\theta$  for data-data, data-random and random-random catalogue respectively. We have generated a artificial random catalogue containing a large number of randomly distributed sources across the survey area. However, due to non-uniform noise across the survey area all sources with different flux densities cannot be detected across the entire area. In order to incorporate the effect of non-uniform noise in the random catalogue, we have injected 1000 artificial point sources in random positions in each noise map of THOR survey with flux densities drawn randomly from SKADS 1.4 GHz catalogue. Then we have extracted the sources following the same criterion as described in Wang et al. (2018) from this simulated map. The extracted source catalogue gives one realization of a artificial random catalogue where the effect of non-uniform noise is also taken care of. We repeat this process until the artificial random catalogue is 20 times the original data catalogue.

We have used the publicly available code TreeCorr<sup>1</sup> (Jarvis et al. 2004) to estimate  $w(\theta)$ . We have binned the sources between 0.1 deg to 50 deg with bin width of 0.1 deg in log space. We have estimated  $w(\theta)$  of the unclassified and compact sources in THOR survey with flux densities above 0.4 mJy ( $7\sigma$ ), 1 mJy and 2 mJy. These flux cuts help us to compare our results with the FIRST survey, which is limited to 1 mJy at 1.4 GHz and also 95% complete at 2 mJy. We expect that beyond 1 mJy flux density threshold, the point source completeness of THOR is more than 99%. The ATCF,  $w(\theta)$ , with Poisson error bars is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. We have also estimated the 'bootstrap' errors (Ling, Frenk & Barrow 1986) and found that it is larger than the Poisson errors by a factor of two

or three in small scales. Note that Cress et al. (1996) have found a similar trait in error estimates for the FIRST survey.

We have found that for three flux cuts the behavior of  $w(\theta)$  is consistent with each other. There is a decrease in correlation with increasing flux density threshold. In the right panel of Fig. 2, we have compared  $w(\theta)$  with the FIRST survey (Lindsay et al. 2014). They have analyzed the angular clustering of all the FIRST sources with flux density above 1 mJy at 1.4 GHz and also studied the clustering of sources with redshifts below and above z = 0.5. They have found that the high *z* sources are strongly clustered and mainly hosted by massive haloes. Siewert et al. (2019) have found a similar features in angular correlation function for LoTSS-DR1 radio sources.

We found that at large scales  $(\theta > 5^\circ)$ ,  $w(\theta)$  is dominated by systematics and this work is limited up to this scale. The noise properties are an issue even at  $7\sigma$  threshold and better understanding of noise distribution across the survey is required. Hence, we limit our analysis upto  $5^\circ$ . We fit the data points in Fig. 2 between 0.1 deg to 5 deg to a power-law of the form:

$$w(\theta) = A(\theta/\deg)^{1-\gamma}$$
(2)

We run Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) and Metropolis-Hastings algorithm to estimate the parameters by minimizing the  $\chi^2$  value. The best fitted values of A and  $\gamma$  for that different flux cuts are mentioned in the Table 3. We also estimate the angular correlation with two different subsets of the whole data set and find that the amplitude of clustering is consistent within the errorbars. We find higher flux cuts exhibit smaller correlation amplitude. Also, the clustering amplitude is higher in comparison with the FIRST survey for all sources. However, the angular correlation for 1 mJy flux threshold is in good statistical agreement with z > 0.5 samples of the FIRST survey (Lindsay et al. 2014). This pattern of ATCF suggests that most of these unclassified and compact objects in THOR survey (~ 55%) may be extragalactic sources at high redshifts.

The excess correlation may be due to the additional correlation present in the artificial random catalogue. This can be the result of unaccounted inhomogeneity of completeness (significant near  $5\sigma$ detection threshold) and noise variation across the FoV in generating the random catalogue. However, the artificial catalogue was generated by simulating sources in the noise plane and then extracting those simulated sources. Hence, we do expect that the variation of noise and completeness across the survey area are taken care of during this procedure. Also, the high flux cuts used in this analysis ensures that variation of completeness do not affect the result significantly.

#### 5 CONCLUSION

THOR and GLOSTAR surveys have a large sample of unclassified sources with unknown origin. Here, we study the possibility that they have properties of extragalactic population.

First, we have estimated the differential source counts of unclassified and compact sources in THOR and GLOSTAR survey. There is an excellent agreement of differential source counts with other extragalactic surveys and simulated catalogues. This confirms that these sources are of extragalactic origin.

Furthermore, we studied the ATCF of THOR sources using different flux cuts. We found that the clustering amplitude is higher than the FIRST survey. However, the angular correlation for sources with flux densities above 1 mJy is in agreement with the clustering

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://github.com/rmjarvis/TreeCorr

of high *z* sources in the FIRST survey. We also found that as we increase the flux density threshold the clustering amplitude decreases. These features suggest that most of these unclassified THOR and GLOSTAR sources could be extragalactic with high redshifts. However, further multi-wavelength (optical, infrared etc) study of these sources are needed to confirm these findings.

This study shows that most of these compact sources detected in different surveys of the Galactic plane are originally of extragalactic origin. So, it is essential to identify or characterize sources detected in these surveys very precisely. There should be one-to-one cross-matching of the sources with other high-frequency catalogues while searching for Galactic compact objects (e.g. compact HII regions, compact components in star forming regions) to avoid possible contamination of extragalactic sources. The ongoing and upcoming surveys with the current or future radio telescopes, like MWA (Tingay et al. 2013), ASKAP (Norris et al. 2011), MeerKAT (Jarvis et al. 2016), SKA (Koopmans et al. 2015) etc, should be cautious about this possible contamination in stuying the Galactic objects.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the anonymous reviewer and the scientific editor for suggestions and comments that have helped to improve this work. AC would like to acknowledge DST for providing INSPIRE fellowship. AC would like to thank James J. Condon for providing the 1.4 GHz source counts over private communication. NR acknowledges support from the Max Planck Society through the Max Planck India Partner Group grant. H.B. and Y.W. acknowledge support from the European Research Council under the Horizon 2020 Framework Program via the ERC Consolidator Grant CSF-648505. H.B. further acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft in the Collab-orative Research Center (SFB 881) "The Milky Way System" (subproject B1).

#### REFERENCES

- Beuther H., et al., 2016, A&A, 595, A32
- Bihr S., et al., 2016, A&A, 588, A97
- Bonaldi A., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 2
- Chakraborty A., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 243
- Condon J. J., 1984, ApJ, 287, 461
- Cotton W. D., 2008, PASP, 120, 439
- Cress, C. M., Helfand, D. J., Becker, R. H., Gregg, M. D., White, R. L. 1996. The Angular Two-Point Correlation Function for the FIRST Radio Survey. The Astrophysical Journal 473, 7.
- de Zotti G., Massardi M., Negrello M., Wall J., 2010, A&ARv, 18, 1
- Franzen T. M. O., et al., 2019, PASA, 36, e004
- Hales C. A., Murphy T., Curran J. R., Middelberg E., Gaensler B. M., Norris R. P., 2012, MNRAS, 425, 979
- Hopkins A. M., Afonso J., Chan B., Cram L. E., Georgakakis A., Mobasher B., 2003, AJ, 125, 465
- Intema H. T., Jagannathan P., Mooley K. P., Frail D. A., 2017, A&A, 598, A78
- Jarvis M., Bernstein G., Jain B., 2004, MNRAS, 352, 338
- Jarvis M., et al., 2016, Proceedings of MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA. 25-27 May, 2016 Stellenbosch, South Africa (MeerKAT2016). Available at "https://pos.sissa.it/cgi-bin/ reader/conf.cgi?confid=277, id.6. (arXiv:1709.01901)

Koopmans L., Pritchard J., Mellema G., et al., 2015, Proceedings of Advancing Astrophysics with the Square Kilometre Array (AASKA14).
9 -13 June, 2014. Giardini Naxos, Italy.Available at: http://pos.sissa.it/cgi-bin/reader/conf.cgi?confid=215, id.1. (arXiv:1505.07568)

Landy S. D., Szalay A. S., 1993, ApJ, 412, 64

Lindsay S. N., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 440, 1527

- Ling E. N., Frenk C. S., Barrow J. D., 1986, MNRAS, 223, 21P
- McMullin J. P., Waters B., Schiebel D., Young W., Golap K., 2007, ASPC..376, 127, ASPC..376
- Medina S.-N. X., et al., 2019, A&A, 627, A175
- Norris R. P., et al., 2011, PASA, 28, 215
- Prandoni I., Guglielmino G., Morganti R., Vaccari M., Maini A., Röttgering H. J. A., Jarvis M. J., Garrett M. A., 2018, MNRAS, 481, 4548
- Riseley C. J., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 462, 917
- Siewert T. M., et al., 2019, arXiv, arXiv:1908.10309
- Smolčić V., et al., 2017, A&A, 602, A1
- Tingay S. J., et al., 2013, PASA, 30, e007
- Wang Y., et al., 2018, A&A, 619, A124
- Wilman R. J., Miller L., Jarvis M. J., et al., 2008, MNRAS, 388, 1335
- Williams W. L., van Weeren R. J., Röttgering H. J. A., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 2385
- Windhorst R. A., Miley G. K., Owen F. N., Kron R. G., Koo D. C., 1985, ApJ, 289, 494

This paper has been typeset from a  $T_EX/LAT_EX$  file prepared by the author.