Conifer Stored Resources and Resistance to a Fungus Associated with the Spruce Bark Beetle *Ips typographus*

Eleanor C. Lahr¹*, Paal Krokene²

1 Division of Biological Sciences, The University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, United States of America, 2 Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute, Ås, Norway

Abstract

Bark beetles and associated fungi are among the greatest natural threats to conifers worldwide. Conifers have potent defenses, but resistance to beetles and fungal pathogens may be reduced if tree stored resources are consumed by fungi rather than used for tree defense. Here, we assessed the relationship between tree stored resources and resistance to *Ceratocystis polonica*, a phytopathogenic fungus vectored by the spruce bark beetle *lps typographus*. We measured phloem and sapwood nitrogen, non-structural carbohydrates (NSC), and lipids before and after trees were attacked by *l. typographus* (vectoring *C. polonica*) or artificially inoculated with *C. polonica* alone. Tree resistance was assessed by measuring phloem lesions and the proportion of necrotic phloem around the tree's circumference following attack or inoculation. While initial resource concentrations were unrelated to tree resistance to *C. polonica*, over time, phloem NSC and sapwood lipids declined in the trees inoculated with *C. polonica*. Greater resource declines correlated with less resistant trees (trees with larger lesions or more necrotic phloem), suggesting that resource depletion may be caused by fungal consumption rather than tree resistance. *Ips typographus* may then benefit indirectly from reduced tree defenses caused by fungal resource uptake. Our research on tree stored resources represents a novel way of understanding bark beetle-fungal-conifer interactions.

Citation: Lahr EC, Krokene P (2013) Conifer Stored Resources and Resistance to a Fungus Associated with the Spruce Bark Beetle *Ips typographus*. PLoS ONE 8(8): e72405. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072405

Editor: Olle Terenius, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden

Received January 15, 2013; Accepted July 10, 2013; Published August 13, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Lahr, Krokene. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: E.C.L. was supported by a NSF graduate research fellowship, a NSF Nordic Research Opportunity fellowship, the Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute, and the Montana Institute on Ecosystems NSF EPSCoR program grant EPS-1101342. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Montana Institute on Ecosystems or the National Science Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: eleanorlahr@gmail.com

Introduction

Symbiotic relationships between blue-stain fungi and bark beetles have fascinated researchers since they were first described more than 100 years ago [1]–[5], and conifer resistance to beetles and fungi has been the subject of much research [6]-[12]. Still, the adaptive basis for the relationship between bark beetles and bluestain fungi has not been fully determined. One basis for bark beetle-fungal mutualisms may be that fungal phytopathogenicity helps beetles to overcome conifer defenses [13], [14], while alternatively, some mutualistic fungi provide important nutritional benefits to their beetle partner and do not seem to engage tree defenses [5], [15]-[19]. These different perspectives on the nature of beetle-fungal mutualisms may in fact be complementary because ultimately, bark beetles and their different fungal associates must all overcome tree resistance and obtain nutrients from the tree in order to thrive. Regardless of the proximate and ultimate basis for bark beetle-fungal mutualisms, mobilization of tree resources is a prerequisite for successful tree resistance and also for successful colonization of the tree by bark beetles and fungi.

While there have been studies on environmental factors that influence colonization of conifers by blue-stain fungi, such as temperature, oxygen level, and tree water potential [20]–[23], less is known about how tree stored resources influence fungal colonization or tree resistance. Conifers coordinate multiple

defenses to resist bark beetles and blue-stain fungi, including constitutive defenses, which are established before bark beetle attack and represent a fixed cost, and inducible defenses, which are mobilized in response to a beetle attack [13], [24]. Conifer defensive chemicals are primarily carbon based, including phenolics and terpenoid compounds [13], [25], [26]. Stored carbon reserves of non-structural carbohydrates and lipids may contribute to the activation of induced defenses like traumatic resin ducts and polyphenolic parenchyma cells, which are important components of resistance in Norway spruce and other conifers, while stored nitrogen may be an important contributor to the enzymatic and biosynthetic pathways underlying conifer defense [25]-[29]. However, stored mobile carbon compounds and nitrogen compounds also provide a potential food source for invading fungi [30]-[32], and conifer resistance to fungal pathogens may thus be complicated by the potential for tree stored resources to enhance fungal performance as well as tree resistance. Specifically, mobilization of stored resources for inducible defenses that provide long-term resistance could be precluded by fungal consumption of tree resources or by fungal redistribution of stored resources to benefit developing beetle larvae. A better appreciation of how tree resources may benefit the fungi is necessary to understand bark beetle-fungal interactions and their consequences for conifer resistance.

Here, we evaluate the relationship between conifer stored resources and resistance to the virulent fungal pathogen *Ceratocystis*

polonica vectored by the spruce bark beetle Ips typographus. This beetle is one of the most aggressive and destructive forest insect pests in Northern Europe and has killed millions of trees in periodic outbreaks [33]-[35]. Its main host tree, Norway spruce (Picea abies), is ecologically and economically important across Europe, and the pathogenicity of C. polonica to Norway spruce is well documented [10], [11], [27], [36]–[38]. In southern Norway, where this study took place, C. polonica is one of the most frequent fungal associates of I. typographus [33], [34], [39], [40]. We use the term "resistance" to refer to the overall ability of a tree to overcome the challenge of C. polonica colonization, an ability that can vary with tree age and factors associated with age, such as size, architecture, and functional priorities [41]. The size of phloem lesions and the proportion of necrotic phloem around the tree's circumference following fungal infection are measures of tree resistance and represent the tree's attempt to compartmentalize and kill a fungal pathogen via the hypersensitive response [6], [13].

To determine the relationship between tree stored resources and tree resistance or fungal consumption of resources, we developed the following predictions: (1) If stored resources benefit tree resistance more than they benefit C. polonica as a food source, trees with more initial resources and larger resource depletion over time will be more resistant to fungal colonization (Figure 1A). (2) If stored resources do not have a net influence on tree resistance, resistance will be independent of initial resource concentrations and resource depletion may benefit trees or fungi (Figure 1B). (3) If stored resources benefit C. polonica more than the tree, trees with higher initial resource concentrations and larger resource depletion over time will be less resistant to fungal colonization (Figure 1C). To test these predictions we measured nitrogen and two mobile carbon compounds (non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and lipids) before and twice after Norway spruce trees were attacked by I. typographus (vectoring C. polonica) or artificially inoculated with C. polonica. Our control, beetle attack, and fungal inoculation treatments were applied to genetically identical Norway spruce clones, allowing us to compare resource concentrations over time with measures of tree resistance, without the added variability between treatments caused by tree age or genetic background.

Materials and Methods

Study site and treatments

This study was conducted at Hogsmark Experimental Farm, Ås, Norway, a research forest owned and operated by the Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute. Permission for this research was granted by the Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute. Three ramets each from nine Norway spruce clones were selected and allocated to the following treatments: control, attack by *Ips typographus*, or inoculation with *Ceratocystis polonica* (Table 1). Trees were 51 years old at the time of the experiment. Mean tree diameter at breast height (1.4 m above the ground) was 20.6 ± 3.3 cm (mean \pm standard deviation) and did not differ significantly between treatments ($F_{(2, 24)} = 1.108$, p = 0.346). Mean daily temperatures at the study site ranged from $10.4-17.4^{\circ}$ C in June, $5.8-13.2^{\circ}$ C in August, and $0-6.2^{\circ}$ C in November.

The nine trees in the "beetle attack" treatment were baited with attractant pheromone on May 30, 2010 (Ipslure, Borregaard Inc., Sarpsborg, Norway), and were attacked by *I. typographus* the following week. In this part of its range *I. typographus* is univoltine, with tree colonization, reproduction, and larval development occurring during the summer, from late May to August. New adults leave the tree in autumn, overwinter in the ground, and reemerge and attack new trees the following spring when maximum

daytime temperatures reach 19-20°C. Beetle attack densities on trees were not measured, but seven trees in the beetle attack treatment had low attack densities and beetle colonization was unsuccessful. Two trees were attacked at densities typically occurring during an *I. typographus* attack (400 attacks m^{-2} ; [36], [42]) and these two trees were successfully colonized. The nine trees in the "inoculation" treatment were inoculated with C. polonica (isolate NFLI 93-208/115) on June 9-10, 2010. Trees were mass-inoculated at a density of 400 inoculations m⁻² around the main bole, from 0.8-2.0 m above the ground. Inoculations were performed by removing a bark plug with a 5 mm cork borer, inserting inoculum, and replacing the bark plug. Inoculum consisted of actively growing mycelium of C. polonica cultured on malt agar (2% malt and 1.5% agar). The number of fungal inoculations made per tree is shown in Table 1. Trees in the control treatment were not manipulated.

The resistance of inoculated trees was evaluated by measuring the length of five phloem lesions at the top and at the bottom of the inoculation band on November 1, 2010 (Table 1). Smaller phloem lesions indicate greater resistance to fungal pathogens [42]. Phloem lesions were too small or inconsistently occurring for measurement in the trees where beetle colonization was unsuccessful, described above, so to obtain comparable data on tree resistance across treatments we carefully shaved off the cork bark and measured the percentage necrotic phloem around the stem circumference at breast height of all 18 attacked and inoculated trees on June 9, 2011 (Table 1). Control trees were not measured, but since the trees were healthy-looking with no previous wounds or scars they were assumed to have no necrotic phloem [42].

Sample collection and biochemical analysis

Phloem and sapwood were collected from all trees at three time points; (1) on May 30, 2010, prior to any treatment; (2) on August 18, 2010, shortly after the next *I. typographus* generation was expected to be fully developed; and (3) on October 16, 2010, near the end of the growing season. At each time point we collected one phloem sample using a 30 mm diameter arch punch, and 2–3 samples of the entire sapwood depth using a 5 mm hand increment borer, from each tree at breast height. Samples were oven dried at 75°C for 48 hours, and ground to powder in an IKA A11B grinder followed by an IKA MF10 grinder with a 0.5 mm mesh screen (IKA, Staufen, Germany).

We measured sapwood lipids (acylglycerols) and phloem and sapwood nitrogen and non-structural carbohydrates (NSC; glucose, fructose, sucrose, and starch). Lipid analysis was performed only for sapwood tissue, as lipid translocation and storage is much less significant in phloem tissue [43]. Lipid concentrations were analyzed using a photometric method [44]. Briefly, 10-14 mg wood powder was extracted in 1 mL aqueous NaOH for 30 minutes, and glycerol was converted to glycerol-3phosphate. The amount of liberated glycerol was determined in a 96-well microplate reader at 340 nm (model EL800, BioTek Instruments Inc, Winooski, Vermont, U.S.A.). NSC in the phloem and sapwood was analyzed using a similar photometric method [45]. Briefly, 12–14 mg of wood or bark powder was extracted in 1.6 mL distilled water at 100°C for one hour. An aliquot of this extract was used to determine low molecular weight carbohydrates following enzymatic breakdown of fructose and sucrose to glucose. Enzymatic breakdown of starch to glucose by a fungal amylase ('Clarase', Genencor International Inc., Rochester, New York, U.S.A.) was done using a second aliquot of wood or bark extract. This enzymatic digest occurred at 40°C overnight. Glucose was converted to gluconate-6-phosphate, and this conversion was measured in a 96-well microplate reader at 340 nm. Sample



Figure 1. Predictions illustrating possible changes in tree stored resources in relation to tree resistance. (A) If stored resources are more beneficial to the tree than the fungus, trees with higher initial resource concentrations and more resource depletion over time will be more resistant to fungal colonization. (B) Tree resistance may be independent of initial resource concentrations and cause complex changes in tree resources over time. Independent of initial resource concentrations, more resistant trees may have more resource depletion and successfully resist fungal colonization (solid line). Alternatively, less resistant trees may have more resource depletion because fungi consume resources (dashed line). (C) If stored resources are overall more beneficial to the fungus than to the tree, trees with higher initial resource concentrations and more resource depletion over time will be less resistant to fungal colonization. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072405.q001

nitrogen content was measured using an elemental analyzer (model EA 1110, CE Instruments, Wigan, U.K.) at the University of Montana Environmental Biogeochemistry Laboratory.

Statistical analysis

Bivariate correlations were used to compare phloem lesion length and the percentage of necrotic phloem with initial tree resource concentrations and with the percentage change in resource concentrations over time (Figure 2). A general linear model with repeated measures was used to evaluate the effect of treatment on tree resource concentrations over time (Table 2, Figure 3). Sampling date was a repeated measures factor and tree diameter was included as a covariate. Response variables included sapwood lipids, nitrogen, and NSC, and phloem nitrogen and NSC (Table 2). Due to a lack of correlation among response variables (for eight of nine correlations, $|\mathbf{r}| \leq 0.161$), multiple univariate tests were performed instead of a multivariate approach. Statistical analyses were performed in PASW Statistics 18 (IBM Statistics).

Results

The proportion of necrotic phloem around the tree's circumference and the length of phloem lesions varied between Norway spruce clones in the fungal inoculation treatment, indicating variation between clones in overall resistance to *C. polonica* (Table 1). The percentage of necrotic phloem around the tree's circumference was generally high in the fungal inoculation treatment; seven of nine trees had more than 95% necrotic phloem, and these seven trees appeared to be dead or dying one year following treatment (Table 1). In contrast, only three of nine trees attacked by *I. typographus* had more than 50% necrotic phloem, and only two of those trees appeared dead by the following year (Table 1).

Both measures of tree resistance to *C. polonica* inoculation, the proportion of necrotic phloem around the tree's circumference and phloem lesion lengths, were negatively correlated with percentage resource change following inoculation (Figure 2). The trees with highest percentage of necrotic phloem (trees with less

Table 1. Treatment details and outcome for individual Norway spruce trees attacked by *lps typographus*, inoculated with the fungus *Ceratocystis polonica*, or left untreated as control.

Treatment	Clone	Diameter (cm)	Inoculations (number) Necrotic Phloem (Phloem Lesions (cm) ^b	Outcome ^c		
Attack	108	26.7	-	35	-	alive		
Attack	109	24.0	-	11	-	alive		
Attack	113	21.0	-	20	-	alive		
Attack	114	27.2	-	32	-	alive		
Attack	123	21.2	-	12	-	alive		
Attack	124	16.7	-	100	-	dead		
Attack	125	17.8	-	31	-	alive		
Attack	127	22.3	-	53	-	alive		
Attack	129	19.6	-	100	-	dead		
Inoculation	108	19.4	293	100	4.5±0.7	dead		
Inoculation	109	23.6	355	50	5.0±2.1	alive		
Inoculation	113	19.6	295	98	8.0±1.5	dying		
Inoculation	114	22.6	341	95	7.9±2.5	dying		
Inoculation	123	21.2	319	45	6.5±1.1	alive		
Inoculation	124	17.2	259	100	8.3±0.9	dead		
Inoculation	125	16.7	252	95	7.0±0.9	dying		
Inoculation	127	20.2	305	100	9.1±1.6	dead		
Inoculation	129	15.6	235	100	8.8±1.5	dead		
Control	108	24.5	-	0	-	alive		
Control	109	23.1	-	0	-	alive		
Control	113	23.2	-	0	-	alive		
Control	114	22.6	-	0	-	alive		
Control	123	21.3	-	0	-	alive		
Control	124	16.2	-	0	-	alive		
Control	125	17.4	-	0	-	alive		
Control	127	20.1	-	0	-	alive		
Control	129	15.1	-	0	-	alive		

^aControl trees were not measured, but healthy trees generally have no necrotic phloem (e.g. Krokene and Solheim 1998).

^bPhloem lesion length is mean \pm standard deviation.

^cOutcome is a qualitative assessment of tree health one year after the start of the experiment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072405.t001

resistance) had the greatest depletion of phloem NSC and sapwood lipids from May to October (Figure 2). Similarly, trees with the largest phloem lesions had the greatest decline in sapwood lipids from May to October (Figure 2). Change in phloem NSC from May to October was not correlated with phloem lesion length (Figure 2), but trees with larger phloem lesions had a nearsignificant correlation with a decline in phloem NSC from May to August ($R^2 = 0.399$, p = 0.068). Other significant correlations showed that trees with more necrotic phloem had a greater decline in phloem NSC from May to August ($\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.613$, p = 0.013) and trees with larger phloem lesions had a greater decline in sapwood nitrogen from May to August ($R^2 = 0.444$, p = 0.050). Overall, tree resistance to C. polonica was not significantly correlated with initial resource concentrations $(\mathbf{R}^2 = 0.000 - 0.272, p = 0.150 - 0.979)$, for phloem lesion length; $R^2 = 0.012 - 0.348$, p = 0.094 - 0.783, for percentage necrotic phloem).

Treatment (beetle attack, fungal inoculation, control) had some independent effects on tree resource concentrations, and also interacted with sampling date to influence resource concentrations over time (Table 2, Figure 3). A pronounced decline in phloem NSC occurred following beetle attack and, in particular, fungal inoculation. This decline occurred entirely between May and August in both treatments. Sapwood NSC concentrations were more variable; beetle-attacked trees significantly increased in NSC, but NSC was also initially lower in this treatment. A significant treatment × date interaction occurred for sapwood lipids, which declined consistently from May to October in fungal-inoculated trees. No significant changes in nitrogen occurred in any treatment.

Discussion

While conifer defense against bark beetles and pathogens has been the subject of much research [6], [13], [14], [46], the potential for tree stored resources to enhance fungal performance rather than tree resistance has received little attention. In this study, we assessed three resource-change scenarios (Figure 1) that could occur following the introduction of the beetle-vectored fungus *C. polonica* to Norway spruce. We found that although initial tree resource concentrations were unrelated to tree resistance to *C*.



Figure 2. Correlations between tree resource change and resistance following inoculation of the fungus *Ceratocystis polonica.* Percentage change in phloem non-structural carbohydrates (left panels) and sapwood lipids (right panels) from May to October is shown. Norway spruce resistance was measured as phloem lesion length (upper panels) and percentage necrotic phloem around the stem circumference (lower panels). Shorter lesions and less necrotic phloem signify a more resistant tree. Dotted lines show equal resource levels in May and October, whereas positive values denote a decline in resource levels over time (i.e. resource depletion). Linear trend lines are shown for clarity. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072405.g002

polonica, over time, phloem non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and sapwood lipids declined in the trees inoculated with *C. polonica* (Figure 3). Furthermore, trees that were less resistant (those with larger phloem lesions or more necrotic phloem) had greater resource declines (Figure 2). Overall, these data on resource depletion correspond with the scenario described by Figure 1B and suggest that at an inoculation density that leads to successful colonization, tree stored resources were consumed by fungi instead of being mobilized for tree resistance via carbon-based inducible defenses.

Interestingly, tree resistance to *C. polonica* was unrelated to initial resource concentrations, even though conifers have effective constitutive defenses against fungal colonization that include physical and chemical barriers [13], [29], [37], [47] and much

research has linked bark beetle host preference with diminished tree defenses [7], [48]. Our Figure 1B describes two different scenarios that may result from this starting point. Independent of initial resource concentrations, more resource depletion over time may occur because trees mobilize their resources and resist fungal colonization, or more resource depletion over time may occur because fungi consume more resources, leaving trees less resistant. Our data support the latter scenario, which could occur if resource consumption enhances fungal colonization to such an extent that tree resistance breaks down, or if fungal consumption of resources prevents tree resistance. Overall, stored resource appear more beneficial to *C. polonica* than to the host tree.

We also observed greater declines in phloem NSC and sapwood lipids in trees inoculated with *C. polonica* than in trees attacked by *I*. Table 2. General linear model with repeated measures showing effects on resource concentrations in Norway spruce sapwood and phloem.

		Sapwood			Sapwood		Sapwood			Phloem			Phloem		
		Lipids			NSC		Nitrogen		NSC		Nitrogen				
	df	F	р	df	F	р	df	F	р	df	F	р	df	F	р
Intercept		0.105	0.749		4.042	0.056		8.467	0.009		6.055	0.022		41.118	0.000
Treatment	2, 23	0.888	0.425	2, 23	12.172	0.000	2, 23	0.007	0.993	2, 23	10.272	0.001	2, 23	1.379	0.276
Diameter	1, 23	5.359	0.030	1, 23	4.796	0.039	1, 23	0.102	0.753	1, 23	0.013	0.909	1, 23	0.336	0.569
Date	2, 22	0.579	0.454	2, 22	0.244	0.786	2, 18	1.439	0.263	2, 22	8.538	0.002	2, 18	2.317	0.144
Date \times Treatment	2, 22	9.770	0.001	2, 22	1.411	0.236	2, 18	2.314	0.095	2, 22	3.328	0.018	2, 18	1.526	0.243
Date imes Diameter	4, 44	0.617	0.440	4, 44	0.366	0.697	4, 36	1.424	0.267	4, 44	5.396	0.012	4, 36	1.920	0.182

Treatment (control, beetle attack, fungal inoculation) is a factor, sampling date is a repeated measures factor, and tree diameter is a covariate. Degrees of freedom (df) are numerator, denominator. Bold p-values indicate significant effects.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072405.t002

typographus vectoring *C. polonica* (Figure 3). These differences between treatments may have occurred because fungal growth in beetle-attacked trees was initially inhibited by constitutive tree

defenses against *I. typographus*, or because *I. typographus* may introduce other, less pathogenic fungi in addition to *C. polonica* [40] that could reduce the abundance of *C. polonica*. However, *I.*



Figure 3. Change in tree resources following treatment. Change in non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and nitrogen (N) in the phloem and sapwood and change in lipids in the sapwood is shown for control, fungal-inoculated, and beetle-attacked trees. Error bars indicate ±1 standard error. Significant ANOVA effects are indicated in the lower left corner of the panels. Note differences in y-axis scale between the sapwood and phloem for NSC and nitrogen. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072405.g003

typographus predominantly vectors *C. polonica* in this area of southern Norway [39], [40]. Instead, treatment differences were likely caused by weak beetle attacks on the experimental trees due to above average temperatures in May 2010 that stimulated early beetle emergence. Many beetles had likely already emerged from hibernation when we placed our pheromone lures, resulting in lower than expected attack density on our experimental trees.

Successful beetle and fungal colonization occurred in two of nine beetle-attacked trees, and similar to the fungal inoculation treatment, these two trees had a high percentage of necrotic phloem and appeared dead by the following year (Table 1). Also similar were the large declines in phloem NSC and sapwood lipids that occurred in the two successfully attacked trees; however the small sample size prevents statistical comparison. Treatment differences might also occur if fungal performance improves in the absence of beetles, provided that fungal inoculation density is above the threshold required for successfully overcoming tree resistance [36]. Improved fungal performance in the absence of beetles could occur if there is competition for resources between these organisms at any time during their close association in the host tree. For example, even bark beetles and fungi with a mutualistic relationship overall [16], [19] may potentially compete for food resources within the tree if beetles ingest phloem tissue before it is colonized by fungi.

When I. typographus vectors C. polonica, the effects of fungal resource consumption are unlikely to provide direct nutritional benefits to developing beetle larvae. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that C. polonica or other associated fungi provide nutritional benefits to I. typographus, we did not observe an increase in phloem resource concentrations following fungal inoculation that would support this. Specifically, we observed no increase in nitrogen in phloem colonized by fungi (Figure 3). Nitrogen is an important limiting nutrient in insect development [49], [50] and fungi associated with other bark beetles have been shown to increase the nitrogen concentration in the phloem around developing beetle larvae [16], [19]. But, unlike bark beetles that do benefit from fungal-derived nutrients, I. typographus has a shorter development time in the tree (typically 2-3 months) and overwinters in the ground rather than the host tree. These differences could inherently limit the ability of *I. typographus* larvae

References

- VonSchrenk H (1903) The "bluing" and "red rot" of the western yellow pine with special reference to the Black Hills Forest Reserve. US Bur Plant Ind Bull 36: 1–40.
- Craighead FC (1928) Interrelation of tree-killing bark beetles (*Dendroctonus*) and blue stains. J For 26: 886–887.
- Paine TD, Raffa KF, Harrington TC (1997) Interactions among Scolytid bark beetles, their associated fungi, and live host conifers. Ann Rev Entomol 42: 179– 206.
- Klepzig KD, Six DL (2004) Bark beetle-fungal symbiosis: Context dependency in complex associations. Symbiosis 37: 189–205.
- Six DL, Wingfield MJ (2011) The role of phytopathogenicity in bark beetlefungus symbioses: A challenge to the classic paradigm. Ann Rev Entomol 56: 255–272.
- Berryman AA (1972) Resistance of conifers to invasions by bark beetle-fungus associations. Bioscience 22: 598–602.
- Raffa KF, Berryman AA (1982) Physiological differences between lodgepole pines resistant and susceptible to the mountain pine beetle and associated microorganisms. Environ Entomol 1: 486–492.
- Raffa KF, Berryman AA (1983) The role of host plant resistance in the colonization behavior and ecology of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Ecol Monograph 53: 27–49.
- Dunn JP, Lorio PL (1991) Effects of girdling on carbohydrate supply and resistance of loblolly pine to southern pine beetle (*Dendroctonus frontalis* Zimm) attack. For Ecol Manage 50: 317–330.
- Krokene P, Christiansen E, Solheim H, Franceschi VR, Berryman AA (1999) Induced resistance to pathogenic fungi in Norway spruce. Plant Physiol 121: 565–569.

to benefit from *C. polonica* within the time period that the two organisms share the host tree. Particulars of the bark beetle life cycle may therefore be important to consider when generalizing about nutritional benefits versus pathogenicity in bark beetle-associated fungi. However, even without providing direct nutritional benefits, resource uptake by fungi may indirectly benefit *I. typographus* by precluding tree allocation to resistance, by enhancing fungal growth to such an extent that tree defenses are overwhelmed [14], or by affecting tree function via interruption of water transport [51]–[54]. These possible effects of fungi on the host tree could all indirectly benefit *I. typographus* and deserve further study.

Conifer resistance to bark beetles and fungi is the subject of a great deal of research and this study demonstrates that insights into tree resource dynamics may improve our understanding of conifer resistance to fungal pathogens. While we observed changes in tree stored resource concentrations following fungal inoculation (Table 2, Figures 2 & 3), our results do not suggest that stored resources benefit host tree resistance (Figure 1A). Instead, our data suggest that tree stored resources may benefit fungi (Figure 1B). This in turn could indirectly benefit beetles by reducing tree resource allocation to defense or via negative effects of fungal growth on tree function. Additional research is needed to further investigate these effects in other bark beetle systems, and the predictions outlined in Figure 1 may prove useful in understanding tree resistance to bark beetles and fungi in other conifer species.

Acknowledgments

We thank Matt Young for analyzing the nitrogen samples, and Anna Sala, Dan Atwater, Jen Palladini, and one anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on this manuscript. E.L. also thanks Ari Hietala, Mari Kjos, Nina Nagy, Halvor Solheim, and the other researchers and staff at the Norwegian Forest and Landscape Institute for their help and hospitality during her stay.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ECL PK. Performed the experiments: ECL PK. Analyzed the data: ECL PK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: ECL PK. Wrote the paper: ECL PK.

- Erbilgin N, Krokene P, Christiansen E, Zeneli G, Gershenzon J (2006) Exogenous application methyl jasmonate elicits defenses in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) and reduces host colonization by the bark beetle *Ips typographus*. Oecologia 148: 426–436.
- Scott JJ, Oh DC, Yuceer MC, Klepzig KD, Clardy J, Currie CR (2008) Bacterial protection of beetle-fungus mutualism. Science 322: 63.
- Franceschi VR, Krokene P, Christiansen E, Krekling T (2005) Anatomical and chemical defenses of conifer bark against bark beetles and other pests. New Phytol 167: 353–376.
- Lieutier F, Yart A, Salle A (2009) Stimulation of tree defenses by Ophiostomatoid fungi can explain attack success of bark beetles on conifers. Ann For Sci 66: 801.
- Barras SJ (1973) Reduction of progeny and development in the southern pine beetle following removal of symbiotic fungi. Can Ent 105: 1295–1299.
- Ayres MP, Wilkens RT, Ruel JJ, Lombardero MJ, Vallery E (2000) Nitrogen budgets of phloem-feeding bark beetles with and without symbiotic fungi. Ecology 81: 2198–2210.
- Six DL (2003) Bark beetle-fungus symbioses. In Bourtzis K, Miller TA, editors. Insect Symbiosis. Boca Raton: CRC Press. pp. 97–114.
- Bentz BJ, Six DL (2006) Ergosterol content of fungi associated with *Dendroctonus ponderosae* and *Dendroctonus rufipennis* (Colcoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 99: 189–194.
- Bleiker KP, Six DL (2007) Dietary benefits of fungal associates to an eruptive herbivore: potential implication of multiple associates on host population dynamics. Environ Entomol 36: 1384–1396.
- Solheim H, Krokene P (1998) Growth and virulence of mountain pine beetle associated blue-stain fungi Ophiostoma clavigerum and Ophiostoma montium. Can J Bot 76: 561–566.

- Klepzig KD, Flores-Otero J, Hofstetter RW, Ayres MP (2004) Effects of available water on growth and competition of southern pine beetle associated fungi. Mycol Res 108: 183–188.
- Hofstetter RW, Dempsey TD, Klepzig KD, Ayres MP (2007) Temperaturedependent effects on mutualistic, antagonistic, and commensalistic interactions among insects, fungi and mites. Community Ecol 8: 47–56.
- Six DL, Bentz BJ (2007) Temperature determines symbiont abundance in a multipartite bark beetle-fungus ectosymbiosis. Microb Ecol 54: 112–118.
- Krokene P, Nagy NE, Krekling T (2008) Traumatic resin ducts and polyphenolic parenchyma cells in conifers. In Schaller A, editor. Induced Plant Resistance to Herbivory. Berlin: Springer Science and Business Media. pp. 147– 169.
- Gershenzon J (1994) Metabolic costs of terpenoid accumulation in higher plants. J Chem Ecol 20: 1281–1328.
- Keeling CI, Bohlmann J (2006) Genes, enzymes and chemicals of terpenoid diversity in the constitutive and induced defence of conifers against insects and pathogens. New Phytol 170: 657–675.
- Nagy NE, Franceschi VR, Solheim H, Krekling T, Christiansen E (2000) Wound-induced traumatic resin duct development in stems of Norway spruce (Pinaceae): Anatomy and cytochemical traits. Am J Bot 87: 302–313.
- Franceschi VR, Krokene P, Krekling T, Christiansen E (2000) Phloem parenchyma cells are involved in local and distant defense responses to fungal inoculation of bark-beetle attack in Norway spruce (Pinaceae). Am J Bot 87: 314–326.
- Martin D, Tholl D, Gershenzon J, Bohlmann J (2002) Methyl jasmonate induces traumatic resin ducts, terpenoid resin biosynthesis, and terpenoid accumulation in developing xylem of Norway spruce stems. Plant Physiol 129: 1003–1018.
- Entry JA, Cromack K, Kelsey RG, Martin NE (1991) Response of Douglas-fir to infection by *Armillaria cstoyae* after thinning or thinning plus fertilization. Phytopathology 81: 682–689.
- Entry JA, Martin NE, Kelsey RG, Cromack K (1992) Chemical constituents in root bark of five species of western conifer saplings and infection by *Armillaria astoyae*. Phytopathology 82: 393–397.
- Wainhouse D, Ashburner R, Ward E, Rose J (1998) The effect of variation in light and nitrogen on growth and defence in young Sitka Spruce. Func Ecol 12: 561–572.
- Christiansen E, Bakke A (1988) The spruce bark beetle of Eurasia. In: Berryman AA, editor. Dynamics of Forest Insect Populations. New York: Plenum Press. pp. 479–503.
- Bakke A (1989) The recent *Ips typographus* outbreak in Norway experiences from a control program. Holarctic Ecol 12: 515–519.
- Wermelinger B (2004) Ecology and management of the spruce bark beetle Ips <u>bypographus</u> - a review of recent research. Forest Ecol Manage 202: 67–82.
- Christiansen E (1985) *Ceratocystis polonica* inoculated in Norway spruce: bluestaining in relation to inoculum density, resinosis, and tree growth. Eur J For Pathol 15: 160–167.
- Krokene P, Solheim H, Krekling T, Christiansen E (2003) Inducible anatomical defense responses in Norway spruce stems and their possible role in induced resistance. Tree Physiol 23: 191–197.

- Zhao T, Krokene P, Björklund N, Långström B, Solheim H, Christiansen E (2010) The influence of *Ceratocystis polonica* inoculation and methyl jasmonate application on terpene chemistry of Norway spruce, *Picea abies*. Phytochem 71: 1332–1341.
- Solheim H (1991) The early stages of fungal invasion in Norway spruce infested by the bark beetle *Ips typographus*. Can J Bot 70: 1–5.
- Krokene P, Solheim H (1996) Fungal associates of five bark beetle species colonizing Norway spruce. Can J For Res 26: 2115–2122.
- 41. Boege K, Marquis RJ (2005) Facing herbivory as you grow up: the ontogeny of resistance in plants. Trends Ecol Evol 20: 441–448.
- Krokene P, Solheim H (1998). Pathogenicity of four blue-stain fungi associated with aggressive and nonaggressive bark beetles. Phytopathology 88: 39–44.
- Simonich SL, Hites RA (1995) Organic pollutant accumulation in vegetation. Environ Sci Technol 29: 2905–2914.
- Hoch G, Peterbauer T, Richter A (1999) Purification and characterization of stachyose synthase from lentil (*Lens culinaris*) seeds: Galactopinitol and stachyose synthesis. Arch Biochem Biophys 366: 75–81.
- Hoch G, Popp M, Körner C (2002) Altitudinal increase of mobile carbon pools in *Pinus cembra* suggests sink limitation of growth at the Swiss treeline. Oikos 98: 361–374.
- 46. Krokene P, Nagy NE, Fossdal CG, Solheim H (2013) Conifer defense against insects and fungi. In: Seifert KA, DeBeer ZW, Wingfield MJ, editors. The Ophiostomatoid fungi: expanding frontiers. CBS Biodiversity Series 12. Utrecht, The Netherlands: CBS Press. In press.
- 47. Bohlmann J, Croteau R (1999) Diversity and variability of terpenoid defenses in conifers: moleculat genetics, biochemistry and evolution of the terpene synthase gene family in grand fir (Abies grandis). In Chadwick DJ, Goode JA, editors. Insect Plant Interactions and Induced Plant Defense. West Sussex, United Kingdom: John Wiley and Sons Ltd. pp. 132–146.
- Boone CK, Aukema BH, Bohlmann J, Carroll AL, Raffa KF (2011) Efficacy of tree defense physiology varies with bark beetle population density: a basis for positive feedback in eruptive species. Can J For Res 41: 1174–1188.
- Mattson WJ (1980) Herbivory in relation to plant nitrogen content. Ann Rev Ecol Syst 11: 119–161.
- White TCR (1993) The inadequate environment: Nitrogen and the abundance of animals. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Ballard RG, Walsh MA, Cole WE (1983) The penetration and growth of bluestain fungi in the sapwood of lodgepole pine attacked by mountain pine beetle. Can J Bot 62: 1724–1729.
- Yamaoka Y, Swanson RH, Hiratsuka Y (1990) Inoculation of lodgepole pine with four blue-stain fungi associated with mountain pine beetle, monitored by a heat pulse velocity (HPV) instrument. Can J For Res 20: 31–36.
- Croisé L, Lieutier F, Cochard H, Dreyer E (2001) Effects of drought stress and high density stem inoculations with *Leptographium wingfieldii* on hydraulic properties of young Scots pine trees. Tree Physiol 21: 427–436.
- Kuroda K (2001) Responses of *Quercus* sapwood to infection with the pathogenic fungus of a new wilt disease vectored by the ambrosia beetle *Platypus quercivorus*. J Wood Sci 47: 425–429.