

PROMISING BAY LAUREL (*Laurus nobilis* L.) GENOTYPES FROM NATIVE FLORA FOR FRUIT PRODUCTION

Filiz Ayanoglu^{1*}, Durmuş Alpaslan Kaya¹, Ahmet Mert¹, Oğuzhan Koçer²,
Nadire Pelin Bahadırılı¹, Musa Türkmen¹

¹Mustafa Kemal University, Faculty of Agriculture, Field Crops Department,
Antakya, Hatay, Turkey

²Mustafa Kemal University, Institute of Science, Field Crops Department,
Antakya, Hatay, Turkey

*Corresponding author: filizayanoglu@gmail.com

Abstract

This study was conducted to determine bay laurel genotypes with different and high quality characteristics among bay laurel trees which grown intensively in Hatay flora of Turkey. 149 female trees were selected firstly and their berry aspects were determined. According to Turkish Standard Regulation of bay laurel (TSE 5205) for fatty acid composition, 48 genotype were selected out of 149 preselected genotypes.

Bay laurel berry weights of the genotypes varied between 0.77 and 1.76g. The ovality coefficient of the genotypes was between 0.58 and 0.89. The kernel weight of the genotypes was varied between 0.49 and 1.12 g and kernel ratio between 51.73% and 77.44 %. The dry matter ratio of the berries were varied between 44.89% and 69.44%, the berry oil ratio were between 18.92% and 37.85%, the berry flesh oil ratio were between 20.76% and 53.98% and the kernel oil ratio were between 11.75% and 27.49%. The fatty acid compositions of the berries of genotypes were analyzed and main compounds were determined. As regards to fatty acid composition, the value of lauric acid ranged between 12.74 and 31.19%, palmitic acid 12.35 and 19.91%, oleic acid 30.35 and 44.43% and linoleic acid 15.93 and 26.75%. Genotype K9 has attracted attention with a high lauric acid and low palmitic acid ratio. On the other hand genotype ER6 for berry weight, B30 for kernel weight and ER14 for kernel oil ratio were found to be promising genotypes. Studies should be continuing on these genotypes.

Keywords: Selection, fatty acid compositions, lauric acid.

Introduction

Bay laurel (*Laurus nobilis* L.) is an evergreen, dioecious plant in the form of a pyramidal-shaped tree or large bush of the *Laurus* genus of the Lauraceae family. (Heywood, 1978; Christenhusz and Byng, 2016). *L. nobilis* L., also known as Mediterranean bay laurel, is widely grown in Turkey, Greece, Italy, Spain,

Portugal, France, Syria, Morocco, Algeria, Mediterranean Islands and California (Baytop, 1999; Ross, 2001; Kumar et al., 2003; Rodriguez-Sanchez et al., 2009).

Bay laurel is one of the most important medicinal plants of Turkey and grows naturally starting from the province of Hatay along the Mediterranean, Aegean and Black Sea coasts and up to 1200 m in the inner parts of these coastal areas (Kayacık, 1977; Davis, 1982; Anonymous, 2016). In Turkey, 5500 tons of bay laurel seeds are produced. (Anonymous, 2014; Anonymous, 2016; Kurt et al. 2016). In addition, when the medicinal and aromatic plant exports values of recent years are examined, it is seen that bay laurel is one of the important plant as quantity and economic value (Şafak and Okan, 2004; Kurt et al., 2016).

The olive-like berries of bay laurel is green in color firstly, when it matures, it becomes a bright bluish black color. Bay laurel berries reach physiological maturity in October-November and are collected at about 40% moisture (Anonymous, 2012). In studies conducted with Soxhlet extraction and supercritical CO₂ extraction of bay laurel berries, it was determined that bay berries contain 15% - 35.87% fixed oil (Erden, 2005; Beis and Dunford, 2006; Marzouki et al., 2008; Baytöre, 2014; Karık et al., 2016). However, the fixed oil content obtained by traditional boiling method is about 10%. The berry flesh of bay laurel contains 26% and the kernel contains 18% fixed oils (Yazıcıoğlu and Karaali, 1983; Beis and Dunford, 2006). There are more than 20 fatty acids in the bay laurel oil (Hafizoglu and Reunanen, 1993). The main components of bay laurel fixed oil are lauric acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid and palmitic acid. Lauric acid is found only in the bay laurel berry kernel. Oil obtained from laurel berry is used in soap making, medicine and cosmetics industry. In recent years, parallel to the demand for natural products; the demand for bay laurel soap is increasing day by day and bay laurel berries are used as a natural anthocyanins instead of synthetic dyes in the food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries (Karık et al., 2016).

There is no registered bay laurel species in Turkey yet. Laurel berries are collected from naturally grown trees. Naturally grown trees have a wide variation in berry yield, berry characteristics, fixed oil content and components of berries (Ayanoğlu et al., 2010; Karık et al., 2016). For this reason, problems may occur from time to time in compliance with standardization of oils. This study was carried out to develop new varieties with high berry and oil yield and superior berry characteristics and in accordance with standards for oil components.

Material and methods

In the experiment, bay laurel trees in flora of Hatay with different characteristics were selected and coordinates and altitudes of them were registered. Berry oils of pre-selected genotypes were extracted and oil content and fatty acids composition were determined. The obtained data were evaluated according to TSE standards and 48 genotypes determined to be in compliance with standards for fatty acids

composition. Berries of 48 genotypes examined for their pomological and chemical properties. In the experiment, berry samples were collected when they completely blackened period.

Some of the characteristics examined in berries of 48 female genotypes are berry weight (g), ovality coefficient, kernel weight (g), kernel ratio (%), dry matter ratio (%), berry oil ratio (%), berry flesh oil ratio (%) and kernel oil ratio (%). Pomological features were determined in 50 bay berries.

Oil extraction: Soxhlet extracts were obtained in 100 g dried and grounded samples from each genotype. Oil samples were kept at 4°C until chemical analysis which were duplicated.

Fatty acids composition: The components of the oils obtained from the berries of each genotypes were analysed by Hewlett Packard 6890 N model GCMS. After esterification of the oils, the composition of fatty acids was determined on GCMS. For this purpose, firstly 0.5 g of oil sample is taken and methanolic NaOH was added and boiled under reflux for 10 minutes. With the disappearance of the oil droplets, 10 ml of B3 methanol complex was added, boiled for 10 minutes and then added with hexane. Upper phase was taken into 2 ml vial bottle and read in GCMS. Capillary column, HP-Innowax 60 meters diameter 0.25 micrometer, helium was used as carrier gas.

Results and discussion

In pre-selection, 48 genotypes were selected according to fatty acid compositions. The altitude of the areas where 48 genotypes were collected ranged from 42 m to 985 m. The results of the researches were carried out on the berries are given below.

Fixed oil contents

The dry matter and fixed oil ratios of the berries of bay laurel genotypes varied considerably compared to the genotypes. The values of the dry matter and fixed oil ratios of 48 genotypes berries are given in Table 1. The lowest value for the dry matter content of the berries were taken from the genotype O10 with 44.89% and the highest value was taken from the genotype B13 with 69.44%. The average dry matter content of the berries gathered from the pre-selected genotypes was 61.80%. The lowest value for whole berries (together with the kernel and berry flesh) oil content was obtained from the genotype SK3 with 18.92% while the highest value was obtained from genotype ER16 with 37.85%. The average of fixed oil percentage of the berries of examined genotypes was 27.73%. As in other features, there is a considerable variation in the maintenance of fixed oil rates among genotypes (Table 1).

In the analysis to determine the fixed oil ratios contained only in the berry flesh of bay laurel berry, the lowest value for the ratio of flesh fixed oils was determined in genotype YY5 with 20.76% and the highest value of 53.98% in genotype ER16. The average berry flesh fixed oil ratio of the genotypes was 39.56% (Table 1).

Table 1. Dry matter and fixed oil contents of bay laurel genotypes (%)

| Geno- types | Dry Matter Ratio | Berry Oil Ratio | Berry Flesh Oil Ratio | Kernel Oil Ratio | Geno- types | Dry Matter Ratio | Berry Oil Ratio | Berry Flesh Oil Ratio | Kernel Oil Ratio |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| YY5 | 64.28 | 24.30 | 20.76 | 11.75 | ER17 | 59.47 | 37.05 | 41.92 | 26.49 |
| YY8 | 61.04 | 25.90 | 35.53 | 16.26 | ER21 | 62.98 | 30.68 | 37.15 | 20.92 |
| ŞK3 | 65.21 | 18.92 | 21.91 | 18.92 | ER27 | 65.85 | 33.47 | 44.53 | 22.91 |
| ŞK5 | 62.48 | 26.49 | 36.32 | 16.66 | ER41 | 51.95 | 32.07 | 44.86 | 21.91 |
| ŞK6 | 52.18 | 27.29 | 21.12 | 17.33 | ER44 | 60.17 | 28.88 | 44.02 | 20.72 |
| O4 | 65.73 | 24.70 | 33.93 | 15.46 | ER46 | 57.78 | 26.10 | 43.91 | 22.31 |
| O10 | 44.89 | 27.09 | 32.07 | 16.14 | ER47 | 63.70 | 30.88 | 40.64 | 22.31 |
| E5 | 65.76 | 32.87 | 35.66 | 25.10 | ER48 | 63.33 | 24.30 | 38.61 | 22.31 |
| E6 | 63.33 | 28.88 | 37.72 | 25.30 | B3 | 58.42 | 27.49 | 41.09 | 18.92 |
| E8 | 63.93 | 22.31 | 47.01 | 17.33 | B5 | 63.00 | 24.90 | 44.02 | 16.73 |
| E9 | 60.60 | 31.67 | 48.02 | 25.70 | B13 | 69.44 | 28.06 | 41.09 | 19.07 |
| HB4 | 64.25 | 24.50 | 33.66 | 15.33 | B21 | 54.48 | 21.51 | 48.90 | 12.55 |
| K2 | 65.02 | 23.90 | 44.62 | 15.94 | B30 | 57.79 | 26.10 | 43.82 | 18.92 |
| K5 | 62.60 | 29.68 | 36.36 | 16.93 | B33 | 61.79 | 26.69 | 39.84 | 16.14 |
| K8 | 62.14 | 23.90 | 28.49 | 17.13 | B34 | 69.25 | 25.10 | 34.46 | 15.73 |
| K9 | 64.41 | 22.31 | 33.86 | 16.33 | D2 | 64.19 | 33.07 | 49.30 | 23.71 |
| K10 | 61.45 | 26.69 | 39.04 | 19.72 | D4 | 64.91 | 30.68 | 52.67 | 20.72 |
| K12 | 60.25 | 24.50 | 48.61 | 18.13 | D13 | 65.54 | 30.28 | 44.91 | 21.31 |
| K15 | 64.44 | 22.71 | 42.97 | 16.53 | H2 | 56.10 | 33.27 | 40.36 | 19.92 |
| BA7 | 64.22 | 24.50 | 33.53 | 16.14 | H10 | 65.54 | 33.07 | 34.92 | 13.73 |
| ER6 | 57.31 | 34.06 | 39.64 | 21.71 | SY2 | 58.93 | 25.70 | 42.97 | 13.75 |
| ER10 | 61.53 | 27.09 | 45.80 | 22.31 | SY3 | 60.09 | 25.70 | 38.25 | 18.13 |
| ER14 | 63.09 | 34.26 | 47.01 | 27.49 | S6 | 66.45 | 21.71 | 31.27 | 17.53 |
| ER16 | 67.73 | 37.85 | 53.98 | 22.51 | S8 | 66.79 | 26.49 | 42.23 | 14.34 |

Dry Matter: Min: 44.89; Max: 69.44; Mean: 61.80; STD: 4.63; CV: 7.49

Berry Oil Ratio: Min: 18.92; Max: 37.85; Mean: 27.73; STD: 4.28; CV: 15.43

Berry Flesh Oil Ratio: Min: 20.76; Max: 53.98; Mean: 39.56; STD: 7.42; CV: 18.76

Kernel Oil Ratio: Min: 11.75; Max: 27.49; Mean: 19.05; STD: 3.76; CV: 19.74

The lowest value obtained in the analysis of fixed oils in the kernels of bay laurel berries was taken from the genotype YY5 with 11.75% and the highest value with 27.49% from the genotype ER14. The average fixed oil content of the berry kernels of pre-selected bay laurel genotypes grown in Hatay region was determined as

19.05% (Table 1). Genotypes with high kernel fixed oil should be taken into consideration when evaluating future work. Because lauric acid is found in the kernel rather than berry flesh.

Fatty acids compositions

In the analysis of the fixed oils obtained from the berries of each genotype, the fatty acids compositions were determined and given in Table 2.

Table 2. Fatty acids compositions of bay laurel genotypes (%)

| Geno- types | Lauric Acid | Palm. Acid | Oleic Acid | Linol. Acid | Geno- types | Lauric Acid | Palm. Acid | Oleic Acid | Linol. Acid |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| YY5 | 15.83 | 18.73 | 41.40 | 24.04 | ER17 | 18.09 | 17.63 | 37.71 | 22.87 |
| YY8 | 17.57 | 19.58 | 35.28 | 25.03 | ER21 | 12.75 | 19.60 | 38.32 | 25.99 |
| ŞK3 | 19.35 | 17.79 | 33.44 | 26.64 | ER27 | 18.09 | 17.92 | 34.59 | 25.09 |
| ŞK5 | 25.20 | 14.55 | 36.51 | 19.49 | ER41 | 22.22 | 17.28 | 37.08 | 23.43 |
| ŞK6 | 20.74 | 17.00 | 37.98 | 20.30 | ER44 | 15.87 | 17.58 | 39.26 | 23.18 |
| O4 | 16.20 | 17.33 | 39.89 | 23.26 | ER46 | 15.24 | 17.89 | 38.80 | 24.68 |
| O10 | 22.01 | 19.61 | 30.35 | 24.08 | ER47 | 21.69 | 18.48 | 36.73 | 19.60 |
| E5 | 25.03 | 14.78 | 31.62 | 24.99 | ER48 | 18.66 | 16.26 | 38.71 | 22.88 |
| E6 | 19.41 | 16.10 | 35.49 | 26.29 | B3 | 18.88 | 18.86 | 37.86 | 20.71 |
| E8 | 16.59 | 17.59 | 37.98 | 24.72 | B5 | 12.79 | 18.98 | 38.86 | 26.33 |
| E9 | 18.39 | 16.47 | 37.92 | 23.88 | B13 | 17.55 | 19.03 | 38.45 | 23.16 |
| HB4 | 21.79 | 16.32 | 34.49 | 23.38 | B21 | 20.08 | 19.91 | 33.14 | 23.68 |
| K2 | 31.17 | 14.93 | 37.97 | 15.93 | B30 | 18.98 | 17.83 | 39.94 | 21.69 |
| K5 | 25.55 | 14.27 | 36.80 | 19.65 | B33 | 13.65 | 18.46 | 39.01 | 24.41 |
| K8 | 24.24 | 16.48 | 35.58 | 21.35 | B34 | 26.70 | 16.48 | 33.71 | 23.10 |
| K9 | 31.19 | 12.35 | 34.22 | 19.20 | D2 | 19.87 | 18.29 | 33.17 | 25.23 |
| K10 | 22.02 | 15.05 | 40.21 | 22.71 | D4 | 18.90 | 18.83 | 36.54 | 23.73 |
| K12 | 17.55 | 19.70 | 34.79 | 24.83 | D13 | 21.51 | 19.63 | 32.67 | 23.99 |
| K15 | 23.77 | 14.87 | 32.79 | 24.50 | H2 | 14.67 | 15.95 | 44.43 | 21.89 |
| BA7 | 15.98 | 16.22 | 41.35 | 21.97 | H10 | 12.74 | 19.28 | 40.08 | 23.84 |
| ER6 | 16.57 | 18.57 | 38.08 | 23.90 | SY2 | 16.20 | 17.35 | 37.62 | 25.54 |
| ER10 | 20.29 | 15.08 | 40.22 | 21.63 | SY3 | 15.00 | 14.60 | 39.80 | 26.75 |
| ER14 | 15.32 | 14.49 | 36.77 | 18.42 | S6 | 23.35 | 15.81 | 34.85 | 19.70 |
| ER16 | 17.50 | 16.98 | 39.81 | 22.53 | S8 | 16.28 | 18.39 | 37.74 | 24.26 |

Lauric acid: Min: 12.74; Max: 31.19; Mean: 19.35; STD: 4.27; CV: 22.07

Palmitic acid: Min: 12.35; Max: 19.91; Mean: 17.19; STD: 1.80; CV: 10.47

Oleic acid: Min: 30.35; Max: 44.43; Mean: 37.08; STD: 2.86; CV: 7.71

Linoleic acid: Min: 15.93; Max: 26.75; Mean: 23.09; STD: 2.34; CV: 10.13

The genotype with the highest lauric acid ratio in the study was K9 with 31.19% and the genotype with the lowest value was H10 with 12.74%. The genotype K9 has also attracted attention with a low palmitic acid ratio (12.35%). The genotype with the highest rate of palmitic acid was B21 with 19.91%. The average oleic acid content of the genotypes was determined to be 37.08% and the highest value was determined in the genotype H2 with 44.43% and the lowest value was found in the genotype O10 with 30.35%. The mean linoleic acid content of the genotypes was 23.09% and the K2 genotype was the lowest with 15.93% and the SY3 genotype was the highest with the linoleic acid ratio of 26.75%.

As it can be understood from the Table 2, the most common fatty acid in bay berry is oleic acid. However, the characteristic of bay laurel fixed oil is that it is originated from lauric acid. Lauric acid is only present in the kernel of the berry and according to Turkish Standardization (TSE 5215), bay laurel oil can not be exported if lauric acid is less than 12.5%. For this reason, the amount of lauric acid is the foreground for bay laurel oil. As a matter of fact, genotypes containing about 30% of lauric acid were determined in the study. Especially, K2 and K9 have become genotypes that attract attention in this respect. As regards to fixed oil composition the results showed similar variations with Karik et al. (2016) that studied in flora of Turkey. These results were also within the range of fatty acids composition previously reported in literature (Marzouki et al., 2008).

Pomological characteristics

The weights in bay laurel berry revealed quite large variations among genotypes (Coefficient of Variation 19.08). The values of the berry weights of 48 female genotypes are given in Table 3. The lowest berry weights of the genotypes were taken from D13 with 0.77 g and the highest value from ER6 with 1.76 g. The average weight of the berries was found to be 1.31 g. The distribution of berry weight generally appears to be concentrated between 1.00 g and 1.50 g.

In order to obtain information about the berry shape of the bay laurel plants growing in the region, round or long shape, ovality coefficients were determined by calculating the ratio of the berry length and berry width of berries. Genotypes with 1 ovality coefficient are round form berry types. The plant with the highest ovality coefficient of the berry was genotype D13 with 0.89, while the lowest ovality coefficient was obtained from the genotype ER14 with 0.58. According to this results, the most rounded genotype is D13 and the longest genotype is genotype ER14. Average ovality coefficient of laurel trees grown in the region was determined as 0.73.

It has been determined that there are also quite large variations among kernel weights of bay laurel genotypes. The values for the kernel weights of the 48 female bay laurel genotypes are given in Table 3. The lowest value for the kernel weights was taken from B13 with 0.49 g and the highest value with 1.12 g from genotype B30. The average kernel weight of the berries was found to be 0.82 g.

In the study, the lowest value for kernel ratios of genotypes were obtained from the genotype K12 with 51.73% and the highest value from genotype K2 with 77.44%. The average kernel ratios of the berries were determined as 63.33%.

Table 3. Pomological characteristics of bay laurel genotypes

| Geno- types | Berry weight | Ovality Coef. | Kernel Weight | Kernel Ratio | Geno- types | Berry weight | Ovality Coef. | Kernel Weight | Kernel Ratio |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| YY5 | 1.41 | 0.76 | 1.02 | 72.48 | ER17 | 1.24 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 57.58 |
| YY8 | 1.45 | 0.77 | 0.91 | 62.74 | ER21 | 1.53 | 0.72 | 1.03 | 67.32 |
| ŞK3 | 1.27 | 0.61 | 0.90 | 70.98 | ER27 | 1.21 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 64.30 |
| ŞK5 | 1.18 | 0.75 | 0.74 | 62.75 | ER41 | 1.62 | 0.61 | 0.99 | 60.99 |
| ŞK6 | 1.01 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 65.82 | ER44 | 1.46 | 0.72 | 0.86 | 58.77 |
| O4 | 1.12 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 62.75 | ER46 | 1.44 | 0.83 | 0.89 | 61.94 |
| O10 | 1.17 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 61.98 | ER47 | 1.25 | 0.67 | 0.82 | 65.92 |
| E5 | 0.97 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 66.80 | ER48 | 1.12 | 0.74 | 0.67 | 60.00 |
| E6 | 1.00 | 0.74 | 0.68 | 68.20 | B3 | 1.10 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 59.45 |
| E8 | 1.58 | 0.78 | 1.04 | 65.95 | B5 | 1.40 | 0.75 | 1.06 | 75.73 |
| E9 | 1.54 | 0.80 | 0.98 | 63.38 | B13 | 0.83 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 59.13 |
| HB4 | 1.17 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 62.75 | B21 | 1.33 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 58.86 |
| K2 | 1.35 | 0.60 | 1.05 | 77.44 | B30 | 1.67 | 0.68 | 1.12 | 67.26 |
| K5 | 0.98 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 54.23 | B33 | 1.08 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 72.17 |
| K8 | 1.58 | 0.62 | 0.97 | 61.34 | B34 | 1.61 | 0.72 | 1.01 | 62.71 |
| K9 | 1.33 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 71.04 | D2 | 0.99 | 0.83 | 0.62 | 63.03 |
| K10 | 1.45 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 55.30 | D4 | 1.29 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 60.93 |
| K12 | 1.50 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 51.73 | D13 | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.51 | 66.75 |
| K15 | 1.10 | 0.86 | 0.70 | 63.43 | H2 | 1.65 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 61.94 |
| BA7 | 1.31 | 0.84 | 0.94 | 72.00 | H10 | 1.21 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 61.98 |
| ER6 | 1.76 | 0.61 | 0.93 | 52.90 | SY2 | 1.52 | 0.62 | 0.85 | 55.59 |
| ER10 | 1.32 | 0.70 | 0.89 | 67.58 | SY3 | 1.74 | 0.75 | 0.98 | 56.07 |
| ER14 | 1.06 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 64.34 | S6 | 1.67 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 61.15 |
| ER16 | 1.07 | 0.74 | 0.65 | 60.37 | S8 | 1.47 | 0.62 | 0.88 | 59.55 |

Berry weight: Min: 0.77; Max: 1.76; Mean: 1.31; STD: 0.25; CV: 19.08

Ovality Coefficient: Min: 0.58; Max: 0.89; Mean: 0.73; STD: 0.08; CV: 10.96

Kernel Weight: Min: 0.49; Max: 1.12; Mean: 0.82; STD: 0.16; CV: 19.51

Kernel Ratio: Min: 51.73; Max: 77.44; Mean: 63.33; STD: 5.65; CV: 8.92

The kernel ratios and kernel weight of the berry appear as an important criterion in bay laurel selection. Because lauric acid is only present in the kernel of bay laurel

berries. For this reason, in order to obtain genotypes with high lauric acid ratio, genotypes with higher kernel ratio and kernel oil contents should be given priority in selection.

Conclusions

It can be concluded that there is a great variation among the genotypes in the flora. In the study genotype K9 has attracted attention with a high lauric acid and low palmitic acid ratio. On the other hand genotype ER6 for berry weight, B30 for kernel weight and ER14 for kernel oil ratio were found to be promising genotypes. Studies should be continuing on this genotype.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by TÜBİTAK (Project number 108O878).

References

- Anonymous (2012). Hatay Defne Envanteri ve Üretim Planlaması. T.C. Hatay Valiliği, Yayın No: 14, Hatay.
- Anonymous (2014). Ormancılık İstatistikleri 2012. T.C. Orman ve Su İşleri Bakanlığı, Türkiye İstatistik Kurumu Matbaası, Ankara.
- Anonymous (2016). Defne Eylem Planı 2016-2020. Orman ve Su İşleri Bakanlığı. Orman Genel Müdürlüğü, Ankara.
- Ayanoğlu, F., Mert, A., Kaya, D. A., and Köse, E. (2010). Hatay Yöresinde Doğal Olarak Yetişen Defne (*Laurus nobilis* L.) Bitkisinin Kalite Özelliklerinin Belirlenmesi ve Seleksiyonu. Tubitak Projesi Sonuç Raporu (108O878).
- Baytop, T. (1999). Türkiye’de Bitkiler ile Tedavi, Geçmişte ve Bugün 2. Baskı. İstanbul, Türkiye: Nobel Tıp Kitabevleri.
- Baytöre, F. (2014). Yalova İlinde Farklı Yüksekliklerde Doğal Olarak Yetişen Defne (*Laurus nobilis* L.) Populasyonlarında Bazı Morfolojik ve Kalite Özellikleri ile Ontogenetik Varyabilitenin Belirlenmesi. Namık Kemal Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Tarla Bitkileri Anabilim Dalı, Tekirdağ.
- Beis, S.H. and N.T. Dunford (2006) Supercritical fluid extraction of daphne (*Laurus nobilis* L.) seed oil. J Amer Oil Chem Soc 83(11): 953-957.
- Christenhusz, M.J.M. and Byng, J.W. (2016). The number of known plants species in the world and its annual increase. Phytotaxa 261 (3): 201–217.
- Davis, P. H. (1982). Flora of Turkey and East Aegean Islands. Vol. 7. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.
- Erden, Ü. (2005). Akdeniz Defnesi (*Laurus nobilis* L.)’nde Mevsimsel Varyabilite ve Optimal Kurutma Yöntemlerinin Araştırılması. Çukurova Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Tarla Bitkileri Bölümü, Yüksek Lisans Tezi.
- Hafizoglu, H. and Reunanen, M. (1993), Studies on the components of *Laurus nobilis* L. from Turkey with special references to laurel berry fat. Lipid-Fett., 95, 304-308.

- Heywood, V. H. (1978). Flowering Plants of the World. Oxford University Press.
- Karık, Ü., Çiçek, F., Oğur, E., Tutar, M. and A. yas, F. 2016. Türkiye’de yayılış gösteren defne (*Laurus nobilis* L.) popülasyonlarının meyve özellikleri. *Anadolu, J. Of AARI*, 26 (1): 1 – 16.
- Kayacık, H. (1977). Orman ve Park Ağaçlarının Özel Sistematiği: 2. Angiospermae (Kapalı Tohumlular). İstanbul Üniversitesi, Orman Fakültesi, Yayın No: 247, İstanbul.
- Kumar, S., Singh, J. and Sharma, A. (2003). Bay Leaves. In: Peter, KV, Editör. Handbook of Herbs and Spices. Vol. I. Abington Woodhead Publishing Limited, 52-61.
- Kurt, R., Karayılmazlar, S., İmren, E. and Çabuk, Y. (2016). Türkiye Ormancılık Sektöründe Odun Dışı Orman Ürünleri. İhracat Analizi. *Journal of Bartın Faculty of Forestry*, 18(2): 158-167.
- Marzouki, H., Nasri, N., Jouaud, B., Bonnet, C., Khaldi, A., Bouzid, S. and Fady, B. (2009). Population genetic structure of *laurus nobilis* L. inferred from transferred nuclear microsatellites. *Silvae Genet* 58 (5–6): 270-276.
- Rodriguez-Sanchez, F., Guzman, B., Valido, A., Vargas, P. and Arroyo, J. (2009). Late neogene history of the laurel tree (*Laurus* L., Lauraceae) based on phylogeographical analyses of Mediterranean and Macaronesian populations. *J Biogeogr* 36: 1270–1281.
- Ross, I. A. (2001). Medicinal Plants of the World Chemical Constituents, Traditional and Modern Medicinal Uses. Vol., 2. New York, USA: Springer Science Business Media,
- Şafak, İ. and Okan, T. (2004). Kekik, Defne ve Çam Fıstığının Üretimi ve Pazarlaması, Doğu Akdeniz Ormancılık Araştırma Müdürlüğü Doa Dergisi (*Journal of Doa*), 10: 101-129.
- Yazıcıoğlu T, Karaali A 1983. On the Fatty Acid Composition of Turkish Vegetable Oils. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*. 85:(1) 23–29.