Quality Control in Automated Manufacturing Processes – Combined Features for Image Processing

B. Kuhlenkötter, X. Zhang, C.Krewet

In production processes the use of image processing systems is widespread. Hardware solutions and cameras respectively are available for nearly every application. One important challenge of image processing systems is the development and selection of appropriate algorithms and software solutions in order to realise ambitious quality control for production processes. This article characterises the development of innovative software by combining features for an automatic defect classification on product surfaces. The artificial intelligent method Support Vector Machine (SVM) is used to execute the classification task according to the combined features. This software is one crucial element for the automation of a manually operated production process.

Keywords: quality control, image processing, defect classification, support vector machine.

1 Introduction

The vision and image processing systems are widely employed in current manufacturing processes. These kinds of systems provide measures to inspect and control the manufacturing process of the producing location. In addition, the vision systems make automatic inspection and process examination possible with the help of "intelligent" software components. The project, on which this paper is based, is initiated in such a circumstance in order to associate and simplify the quality control of free-form surface manufacturing, specially shining water taps in sanitary industries.

The first stage in the fabrication of water taps is the casting of the rough part. The material that is mainly used is brass. After the casting process some other machining processes, like drilling, milling and threading are carried out. Then the water tap is ground and polished sequentially in order to obtain high surface quality. Finally, the end product is finished by electroplating. It is crucial to find out the potential defects existing on the workpiece surface after grinding and polishing before the final electroplating. If a defect is found on a part after electroplating, manufacturer has to painfully discard this part or remove the electroplated coating. In this case removing the coating is a very expensive process because its harmful to the evironment. Therefore cost will be saved if the defects can be detected at an early stage. In addition, it is always useful to find out which type of a defect has been identified. Once the type of a defect is known, the decision can be made whether the part is discarded or can be retouched with proper compensatory engineering processes and if an adjustment of a previous machining process is necessary.

So far the tasks of defect inspection and categorization are performed by human operators in a traditional "see and evaluation" way. It is a labour-intensive and therefore also cost-intensive job. This process is necessarily automated to improve the efficiency of defects inspection, releasing worker from unpleasant working environments, and finally reducing the overall manufacture cost, specially in the countries where wages are high. To automate the defects inspection and classification, a vision system is installed and integrated into the manufacturing chain. In our project, the inspection process takes place after the end of the grinding and polishing processes. If no defects are found on the surface, the workpiece is accepted for the next processing step. Otherwise, it is classified automatically in order to determine if a removal of the defect is possible or the workpiece should be rejected directly.

The vision system consists of a carrier, a camera system, a lighting system, other accessories and the software. The system hardware is responsible to provide a constant lighting environment and obtain the digital images under this constant circumstance. The software provides the solution to examine the images from the camera system, locating and classifing the defects on workpiece surfaces.

The challenge in our project now is to characterise and determine the type of defects from predefined categories and additional foulings (which are called pseudo-defects in our project) on the surface. To this end, this paper presents measures and considerations regarding both theoretical and practical aspects, to efficiently classify all defects as well as a separation of real-defects from pseudo defects.

2 Automatic classification system

2.1 Defects definition

The defects have been generally divided into 15 classes according to their physical attributes and the consequent handling operations. Fig. 1. gives samples for all defect categories. All defects mainly can be split up into two major categories, real-defects and pseudo-defects that are actually foulings, like dust or oil, on the surface or shades caused by uneven lighting on the free-form surfaces. The first ten defects in Fig. 1. are real-defects and the last five are pseudo--defects. The pseudo-defects are causing no quality problem; while the real-defects should be critically picked out because they not only spoil the aesthetic aspect but sometimes also result in malfunction of final products. The vision system considers both kinds as failures at first and distinguish them in the classification phase. Therefore, two indice have to be taken into account, the overall right classficaition ratio and wrong classification ratio between the real-defects and pseudo-defect. In addition, the wrong classification ratio from real- to pseudo-defects is more crucial than that from pseudo- to real-defects. In the previous case, a product with real-

mechanical	casting peel	pore and	fine lined mark	porosity
damage	_	embedding solids		
and the second sec		•		1:33 () (* 1) ⁴
crack	swirl	vapour lock	swirl fragment	turning defect
	Nº.	•	*	-
fluff	dust	burned residues	grease residues	polishing shade
V -		-A		With Street of Street

Fig. 1: Samples of 15 defect categories

-defects will be accepted as qualified and put to the next processing step, electroplating. This product cannot be sold to the customer after electroplating. All manufacturing costs, including the expensive electroplating, are wasted. Even if it is remediable, the electroplated coating has to be removed at first. This coating remove process is also expensive. In the second case, the product just run through one additional round of grinding or polishing, although this would not be necessary.

2.2 Classification framework

Four basic steps are necessary for the classification to work: defects location, defects segmentation, feature ex-

traction and classification. These four steps are executed sequentially in the system. Fig. 2. shows the framework of the whole system.

At the beginning, the system detects and locates the flaw in the greyscale image obtained from the camera system. Before encoding the bitmap into some meaningful feature values, the area of the defect in the image should be pre-processed and segmented. In this phase we get defect image units that are ready for the subsequent feature extraction. This paper will mainly focus on the later two parts: feature extraction and classification.

The feature extraction is the most important part in the system. It defines the rules to describe and express the defects







Fig. 3: The Gabor filter bank. The left image is the original image of a defect "vapour lock" and the images on the right side are the filtered images at different central frequencies. The orientation is 0°.

inside an image in a form that the classifier can understand and utilize to distinguish one class from others. The features are not limited to the shape feature, but also can be the texture features and some statistical features of the segmented images. After that, the features are applied as the training data to the classifier. Many artificial intelligent techniques have the capability of multi-class classification, e.g. Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) [1], Support Vector Machine (SVM) [2], Learning Vector Quantization (LVQ) etc. SVM is used in this paper.

3 Feature extraction and classification

3.1 Review of previous work

The theoretic methodology and practical considerations of this automatic classification system are presented in our previous article [3]. The article discussed various feature extraction methods and their mathematical bases, including shape features, features through different filter banks, statistical features based on co-occurrence matrix [4]. In general, feature extraction digitizes the defect images in a way that enlarges the distinctions among categories and discards the similarities at the same time. The filter bank technology is a widely used method for pattern recognition. A group of filters, which is called a filter bank, is employed and each filter in the bank contains intensity variations over a narrow range of frequency or orientation, specifying the regularity, coarseness and directionality of the original image [5]. The energy of each filtered image is used as a feature. The statistical features are, in comparison, extracted from the co-occurrence matrix of the original image. Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 illustrate filtered images by Gabor filter bank [6] and co-occurrence matrices respectively.

In addition, the classification structure was also introduced to combine these various features as a complete system, see Fig. 5. First, the classification task is conducted by using single kind of features, i.e. only one switch is closed in Fig. 5. The experimental results are shown in Table 1. It can be con-



Fig. 4: The co-occurrence matrixes of a pore, a vapour lock, a polishing shade and a casting peel (from left to right)

cluded from Table 1 that the shape feature is not suitable for this application. There are two reasons for that. The first one is that there are no clear differences in the shape between some defects, for example, Fluff and Pore, Fine Lined Mark and Polishing Shade. The second one is that the geometric information of some kinds of defects cannot be exactly defined. For example, it is not easy to describe and to differentiate the shape of a Swirl and a Polishing Shade. The pattern information is more effective than the simple geometric information in this sense. The Gabor features excel all other features, obtaining 74.4 % overall classification ratio. The results of statistical parameters and Laws filter are also very impressive and competitive.

In the second step, we combined features of different technologies together, i.e. more than one switch in Fig. 5 is closed. Through combining Gabor and statistical features, a 82.3 % classification ratio can be obtained. The real-to-pseudo wrong classification ratio of this model is about 2.5 %. The wrong classification ratio of pseudo-to-real is about 6.6 %. Table 2 shows the combined matrix of defects classification using Gabor and statistical features. One item in the combined matrix denotes the number of defects that are classified from one class (indicated by row elements) to another class (indicated by column elements). For example, we can conclude from the combined matrix in Table 2 that 494 from 573 Pores (Label 3)

Feature	Feature Num.	Training classification ratio (%)	Testing classification ratio (%)
Shape	8	46.6~%	61.8~%
Laws	25	88.7 %	70.1~%
DCT 3x3	9	98.9%	62.3~%
DCT 5x5	25	97.9~%	64.9%
Gabor	16	98.9 %	74.4 %
Statistical	15	76.5~%	72.1 %

Table 1: Training and testing classification ratio of varied features



Fig. 5: The structure of the classification system

Table 2. Classification result obtained by combining Gabor reatures and statistical reature	Table 2:	Classification	result obtained by	combining	Gabor features	and statistical feature
---	----------	----------------	--------------------	-----------	----------------	-------------------------

Labels	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	101	102	103	104	105	N	Class. Ratio (%)
1	19	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	26	73.1
2	0	21	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	30	70.0
3	0	1	545	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	11	4	3	0	3	573	95.1
4	0	0	2	40	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	48	83.3
5	0	0	19	0	37	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	64.9
6	0	0	8	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	32	53.1
7	0	0	20	0	0	0	29	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	3	57	50.9
8	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	87	66.7
9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	72.7
10	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	178	0	0	0	0	3	184	96.7
101	0	0	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	1	0	11	101	61.4
102	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	7	49	49.0
103	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	38	0	6	79	48.1
104	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	5	41	70.7
105	0	0	31	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	7	4	393	445	88.3
Reliability (%)	100	95.5	73.0	85.1	94.9	100	100	82.9	100	97.8	72.9	85.7	73.1	87.9	88.9	_	82.3

are correctly classified, 9 of them are recognized as Vapour Lock (Label 8) and 64 are identified as Polishing Shade (Label 105). In comparison, 39 defects, which are recognized as the Pore (Label 3), are actually Fluffs (Label 101). The combined matrix of an idealistic classification system has only non-zero values on the diagonal, meaning that none defects are wrongly classified. The last row of Table 2 means the reliability of the prediction. For instance, if the reliability of prediction of Pore (Label 3) is 73.0 %, it is 73.0 % probably correct when the system predicts that it is a pore. The last column is the right classification ratio of each class.

Refer to the original paper [3] for more details about the feature extraction technologies, classifier design, numerical results and analyses.

3.2 Further work

From Table 2, we can see that the four most common defects are pore (Label 3), polishing phade (Label 105), turning defect (Label 10) and fluff (Label 101). The pore and polishing phade constitute majority of all defects. Some defects, e.g. crack and casting peel, have only few samples in the defect database. Based on this database, the classifier was inclined to classify the major categories correctly and neglected the wrong classification of minor categories because the right classification of major categories contributes much more to the overall classification ratio than the minor categories. The samples of the database should be enriched in order to make the classifier to identify also defects in minority.

Another fact is that some kinds of defects are not part of the new database due to improvements of both the manufacturing process and the vision system. For example, the mechanical damage occurs rarely in the new manufacturing process in our project and fluffs are nearly eliminated if the workpieces are blowed by high pressure air before they are fed to the vision system. Therefore, the number of classification target categories is reduced. A new database of defects was built by collecting the samples on the current manufacturing process. In this new database, the manual classification of pseudo-defects was reorganized with more consideration of their appearance.

Besides the features shown in Table 1, we use additionally the average and standard deviation of greyscale value of the defect image as greyscale features. The greyscale features should be localized considering different size of the various defects. The greyscale information are obtained in four areas in the defect image respectively, see Fig. 6. In this case, the number of greyscale features is eight, two of each area.



Fig. 6: Greyscale information in four areas

Table 3 shows the classification results by combining Gabor features, statistical features and additional greyscale features. The overall classification ratio is 81.1 % even that all defect types are balanced in batch compared to the result based on the old database. The real-to-pseudo wrong classification ratio is about 2.6 % while the pseudo-to-real is about 5.1 %. Human trained operators are achieving a similar rate.

4 Software implementation

Fig. 7 shows the architecture of the implemented software system. This software system provides a platform to manage defects data and evaluate the feature extraction technologies and classifier design. The defect database contains information for all collected defects, including manual classification results, defect images and other necessary entries. Each defect corresponds to a record in the database. The feature extraction reads out the information from the database and calculates the features of the defect. The features and the

Labels	2	3	4	6	7	8	10	101	102	103	104	105	N	Class. Ratio (%)
2	95	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	108	88.0
3	0	453	8	0	0	20	0	42	10	1	2	4	540	83.9
4	0	20	217	4	4	8	0	0	2	1	0	0	256	84.8
6	0	0	2	87	2	3	2	0	0	3	1	0	100	87.0
7	4	7	6	0	137	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	160	85.6
8	0	1	7	0	11	197	0	0	8	0	8	0	232	84.9
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	568	0	0	0	0	8	576	98.6
101	2	23	0	0	0	0	0	129	10	0	0	0	164	78.7
102	7	57	9	15	23	9	3	14	460	63	26	70	756	60.8
103	0	2	1	2	0	0	10	0	16	268	0	1	300	89.3
104	4	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	7	5	254	19	300	84.7
105	1	3	4	0	7	0	3	6	61	5	13	273	376	72.6
Reliability (%)	84.1	79.5	85.4	80.6	71.7	80.4	96.9	67.2	79.4	77.2	83.6	72.0	-	81.1

 Table 3: Classification result by combining Gabor features, statistical features and greyscale information. 1572 defects for training, 3868 for testing in which 3138 are classified in the right way.



Figure 7: Software architecture

manual classification results are used for training and testing the SVM models. The models can be used then by the classifier to perform identification task. The feature extraction and classifier design comprise the core component, which is called *Opticlass*, of the software.

The software system has the capability of self-learning. If the manufacturing process or the vision system is altered, the only part we should change is the classification model. We need no modification of the software code, it is only necessary to build new models using the new collected defects database. First, the training and testing files are generated by the software system based on the new database. After that, the SVM models are produced by the training and testing files. Finally, the new created models replace the old models in use.

Opticlass is a relatively independent software component. It can be one part of the off-line program and can also be

Bit Wey Records Commands Help Bit Reconstruction Stream participation Manual L. Stream participation Stream participation Bit Reconstruction Branchester Network Stream participation Manual L. Stream participation Stream participation 1 Stream participation Stream participation Stream participation Manual L. Stream participation Stream participation 2 Stream participation Stream participation Stream participation Stream participation Stream participation Stream participation 3 Stream participation 3 Stream participation 10 Stream participation Stream pa	😨 all-	-re:1 - Feh	ler							_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _			
Image: Total and the state of the stat	Eile Yiew Records Commands Help												
D Failzetti Regologetti Norva Trage Narva Xu. Marva Xu.	i 🖉	I 🖄 📲 🗍	H H I 	D D T	7 📉 🤋								
1 341 V/2 2 31 202 202 full 3 1/3 31 Fu	ID	FailureID	lInspObjectID	b∀iewNo	sAreaType	lAutoClas	ManualCl	sImageFilena	^				
2 342 172 3 3.1 202 202 ful_3[173_3] 1 4 344 172 3 3.1 202 202 ful_3[173_3] 1 5 345 172 3 3.1 202 202 ful_3[173_3] 1 6 346 172 3 3.2 15 15 ful_3[173_35 1 7 347 172 3 3.2 15 15 ful_3[173_35 1 9 349 174 3 3.2 15 202 ful_4[174_35 1 10 350 176 3 3.1 204 204 ful_5[176_3] 1 12 352 176 8 8.2 203 ful_7[17,35 1 13 353 177 3 3.2 15 15 ful_9[178_3] 1 14 354 177 3 3.1 202 ful_9[178_3] 1 15 355 178 3.1 202 ful_9[178_3] 1 <td>1</td> <td>341</td> <td>173</td> <td>3</td> <td>31</td> <td>202</td> <td>202</td> <td>fail 3 173 31</td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	1	341	173	3	31	202	202	fail 3 173 31	*				
3 933 173 3 3,1 202 202 fail 3,173,31 * 5 345 173 3 3,2 15 15 fail 3,173,31 * 6 346 173 3 3,2 15 15 fail 3,173,31 * 7 347 173 8 8,2 204 202 fail 3,173,35 * 9 349 174 3 3,2 15 203 fail 4,174,35 * 9 349 174 3 3,2 15 202 fail 4,174,35 * 10 350 176 3 3,1 204 204 fail 6,3176,35 * 11 353 177 3 3,1 204 204 fail 6,179,31 * * 14 354 177 3 3,1 204 204 fail 1,10,31 * * 15 365 178 3 3,1 204 204 fail 1,10,31 * * 12 361	2	342	173	3	31	202	202	fail 3 173 31		Eingebr.Pastereste			
4 944 173 3 3_1 202 202 Fail_3 [173_3] * 6 946 173 3 3_2 15 15 Fail_3 [173_3] * 7 97 173 3 3_2 15 15 Fail_3 [173_35] * 8 946 174 3 3_2 203 Call_1 74_35] * 10 950 176 3 3_1 204 204 Fail_6 [176_31] * 11 951 176 3 3_1 204 204 Fail_6 [176_31] * 12 952 176 8 8_2 203 Fail_6 [176_31] * * 13 953 177 3 3_2 15 15 Fail_6 [178_31] * * 15 956 178 3 3_1 202 Fail_8 [178_31] * * 19 959 180 3 3_1 204 204 Fail_1 3 [13_12_5] * * 23 961 181	3	343	173	3	3 1	202	202	fail 3 173 31	۳				
5 945 173 3 3,2 15 15 ful_3,173,35 Image: Constraint of the second	4	344	173	3	3 1	202	202	fail 3 173 31	۳	$\underline{64 \times 64}$			
6 346 173 3 3.2 15 15 fail_173.35 7 347 173 8 8.2 204 202 fail_1373.65 Image: fail_14174.35 9 349 174 3 3.2 15 202 fail_173.35 Image: fail_173.35 Image: fail_14174.35 9 349 176 3 3.1 204 204 fail_174.35 Image: fail_173.35 Image: fail_173.35 11 85 176 3 3.1 204 204 fail_174.35 Image: fail_174.35	5	345	173	3	3.2	15	15	fail 3 173 35	*				
7 947 173 8 8.2 204 202 fail_177_35 F 9 949 174 3 3.2 203 204 fail_174_35 F 10 80 176 3 3.1 204 204 fail_6_176_31 F 11 351 176 8 8.2 15 15 fail_6_176_31 F 12 352 176 8 8.2 15 15 fail_6_176_31 F 14 364 177 3 3.2 203 fail_9_179_31 F 15 355 178 3 3.1 202 fail_8_178_31 F 16 366 178 3 3.1 202 fail_9_179_31 F 19 399 180 3 3.1 204 204 fail_12_182_31 F 21 361 162 3.1 204 204 fail_12_182_31 F 22 362 183 1 2.2 203 203 fail_12_182_31 <td>6</td> <td>346</td> <td>173</td> <td>3</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>15</td> <td>15</td> <td>fail 3 173 35</td> <td></td> <td></td>	6	346	173	3	3.2	15	15	fail 3 173 35					
8 949 174 3 3.2 203 Color of the state o	7	347	173	8	8.2	204	202	fail 3 173 85					
9 949 174 3 3.2 15 202 fal_4 1/4 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5 1/5	8	348	174	3	3.2	203	203	fail 4 174 35	*	Histogram and Percentile			
10 S0 176 3 3.1 204 204 fail_0176_31 11 S51 176 3 3.1 204 204 fail_0176_31 12 S2 176 8 8.2 15 fail_0176_35 Image: Signal Signa	9	349	174	3	3.2	15	202	fail 4 174 35		rinstogram and Percentile			
11 951 176 9 9 1 204 fail_6_176_31 12 952 176 8 6.2 15 15 fail_0_177_35 × 14 954 177 3 3.2 15 15 fail_0_177_35 × 15 955 178 3 3.1 202 202 fail_0_177_35 × 16 956 178 3 3.1 202 202 fail_0_179_31 + 19 959 180 3 3.1 202 203 fail_10_180_31 × 22 962 181 3 3.1 204 204 fail_12_182_31 × 23 963 182 3 3.1 204 204 fail_12_182_31 × 24 964 182 3 3.2 203 203 fail_12_182_35 × 28 966 184 3 3.1 202 202 fail_14_184_31 5 5 29 966 184 3	10	350	176	3	3.1	204	204	fail 6 176 31	*				
12 352 176 6 8 2 15 15 fail_176_85 13 353 177 3 3.2 203 203 fail_177_35 14 354 177 3 3.2 203 fail_8178_31 15 355 178 3 3.1 202 fail_8178_31 17 357 179 3 3.1 202 fail_9178_31 20 600 180 3 3.1 204 202 fail_9178_31 21 961 180 3 3.1 204 204 fail_11.181.31 22 962 181 3 3.1 204 204 fail_12.182.31 23 863 182 3 3.1 204 204 fail_13.183.25 24 364 182 3 3.1 204 204 fail_13.183.25 28 969 184 3 3.1 202 202 fail_14.1	11	351	176	3	3.1	204	204	fail 6 176 31					
13 333 177 3 3,2 203 fail_1,177,35 * 14 554 177 3 3,2 15 15 fail_1,177,35 * 15 555 178 3 3,1 202 202 fail_1,177,35 * 15 555 178 3 3,1 202 202 fail_1,179,31 * 19 359 180 3 3,1 204 202 fail_1,181,31 * 20 360 180 3 3,1 204 204 fail_1,181,31 * 21 361 181 3 3,1 204 204 fail_1,181,31 * 22 362 181 3 3,1 204 204 fail_1,181,33 * 23 363 122 3 3,1 204 204 fail_1,141,184,31 * 24 364 182 3 3,1 202 202 fail_1,141,184,31 * * 368 194 3 3,1 <td>12</td> <td>352</td> <td>176</td> <td>8</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>15</td> <td>15</td> <td>fail 6 176 85</td> <td></td> <td>l iluat</td>	12	352	176	8	8.2	15	15	fail 6 176 85		l iluat			
14 354 177 3 3,2 15 15 fall 7,177,35 15 15 355 178 3 3,1 202 202 $fall, g, 179, 31$ 1 17 357 179 3 3,1 202 202 $fall, g, 179, 31$ 1 19 359 180 3 3,1 202 202 $fall, g, 179, 31$ 1 20 360 180 3 3,1 204 202 $fall, 10, 180, 31$ 1 21 361 181 3 3,1 203 203 $fall, 11, 181, 31$ 1 22 362 181 3 $3,1$ 203 203 $fall, 12, 182, 23$ 1 24 364 182 3 $3,2$ 203 203 $fall, 12, 182, 23$ 1 24 364 182 3 $3,1$ 202 202 $fall, 14, 184, 31$ 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 <td>13</td> <td>353</td> <td>177</td> <td>3</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>203</td> <td>203</td> <td>fail 7 177 35</td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	13	353	177	3	3.2	203	203	fail 7 177 35	*				
15 355 178 3 2.1 202 202 fails 178 3 1 ✓ 16 356 178 3 3.1 204 202 fails 178 3 1 ✓ 19 359 180 3 3.1 204 202 fails 179 3 1 ✓ 19 359 180 3 3.1 204 202 fails 10, 100, 3.1 ✓ 21 361 181 3 3.1 204 204 fail 11, 181, 3.1 ✓ 22 362 181 3 3.1 204 204 fail 12, 182, 3.1 ✓ 24 364 182 3 3.1 204 204 fail 13, 183, 15 ✓ 25 365 183 1 1.2 205 204 fail 14, 144, 34 5 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.6 6 68 fail 14, 144, 34 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 <td>14</td> <td>354</td> <td>177</td> <td>3</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>15</td> <td>15</td> <td>fail 7 177 35</td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	14	354	177	3	3.2	15	15	fail 7 177 35	*				
16 556 178 3 3,1 203 202 fail 0,170,31 17 357 179 3 3,1 202 202 fail 0,170,31 20 300 180 3 3,1 202 203 fail 0,180,31 21 361 181 3 3,1 204 204 fail 0,180,31 22 362 181 3 3,1 203 203 fail 1,181,31 23 363 182 3 3,2 203 203 fail 1,181,31 • 24 364 182 3 3,2 203 203 fail 1,31,33,15 • 25 365 183 1 1,2 205 204 fail 1,31,33,15 • 24 364 182 3 3,1 202 202 fail 1,41,164,31 • 30 370 184 3 3,1 202 202 fail 1,51,165,31 • • 31 371 185 3 3,1 203 202	15	355	178	3	3.1	202	202	fail 8 178 31	*				
17 957 179 3 3,1 204 202 fail 9,179,31 19 399 180 3 3,1 204 203 fail 10,180,31 21 361 181 3 3,1 204 204 fail 11,181,31 22 362 181 3 3,1 204 204 fail 11,181,31 23 363 182 3 3,1 204 204 fail 13,183,15 24 364 182 3 3,1 204 204 fail 13,183,15 25 365 183 1 1.2 205 204 fail 13,183,15 27 367 183 2 2.2 204 204 fail 14,184,31 30 370 184 3 3,1 202 202 fail 15,185,31 31 371 185 3 3,1 203 202 fail 15,185,31 32 372 185 3 3,1 203 202 fail 15,185,31 fail 5,186,31 33 <td< td=""><td>16</td><td>356</td><td>178</td><td>3</td><td>3.1</td><td>203</td><td>202</td><td>fail 8 178 31</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	16	356	178	3	3.1	203	202	fail 8 178 31					
19 359 180 3 3,1 202 203 fail_10,180,31 20 360 180 3 3,1 204 204 fail_0,180,31 Image: Constraint of the state of the	17	357	179	3	3.1	204	202	fail 9 179 31					
20 360 180 3 3_1 204 204 fail 10, 180, 31 1 21 361 181 3 3_1 203 203 fail 11, 181, 31 1 22 363 182 3 3_1 15 fail 12, 182, 31 1 23 363 182 3 3_1 204 204 fail 12, 182, 31 1 24 364 182 3 3_1 22 205 204 fail 13, 183, 15 5 27 367 183 2 2.2 204 204 fail 14, 144, 31 1 28 368 194 3 3_1 202 202 fail 14, 144, 31 1 </td <td>19</td> <td>359</td> <td>180</td> <td>3</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>202</td> <td>203</td> <td>fail 10 180 31</td> <td></td> <td></td>	19	359	180	3	3.1	202	203	fail 10 180 31					
21 361 101 3 3,1 203 fal_11,101,31 Image: constraint of the second of the s	20	360	180	3	3.1	204	204	fail 10 180 31	*				
22 362 181 3 3_1 15 15 fail 11 181_31 23 363 182 3 3_1 204 204 fail 12_182_31 Image: statistic statist at therefore statistic statistic statistic statistic	21	361	181	3	3.1	203	203	fail 11 181 31	*				
23 363 182 3 3_1 204 204 fail_12_182_31 24 364 182 3 3_2 203 203 fail_12_182_35 25 365 183 1 1_2 205 204 fail_13_183_15 27 367 183 2 2_2 204 204 fail_13_183_15 28 368 184 3 3_1 202 202 fail_14_184_31 Mean arxcale: 213.0 30 370 184 3 3_1 202 202 fail_15_185_31 Mean arxcale: 213.0 31 371 185 3 3_1 203 202 fail_15_185_31 Mean arxcale: 213.0 Bardard Devision: 33.0 A A	22	362	181	3	3.1	15	15	fail 11 181 31					
24 364 182 3 3,2 203 203 fail_12_182_35 Image: Constraint of the state of	23	363	182	3	3.1	204	204	fail 12 182 31	*				
25 365 183 1 1,2 205 204 fail_13_183_15 27 367 183 2 2,2 204 204 fail_13_183_25 28 368 184 3 3,1 202 202 fail_14_184_31 30 370 184 3 3,1 202 202 fail_14_184_35 31 371 185 3 3,1 202 202 fail_15_185_31 32 372 185 3 3,1 202 fail_16_186_31 33.06 33 373 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16.186_31 34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_2.187_55 36 376 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2.187_55 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3.188_75 42 382	24	364	182	3	3.2	203	203	fail 12 182 35	*				
27 367 183 2 2.2 204 204 fail 13 183 25 ▼ 28 366 184 3 3.1 202 202 fail 14 184 31 3 29 369 184 3 3.1 202 202 fail 14 184 31 3 30 370 184 3 3.1 202 202 fail 15 185 31 3 31 371 185 3 3.1 202 202 fail 15 185 31 3 3 32 372 185 3 3.1 203 202 fail 15 185 31 3 3 3 3 1 203 202 fail 15 185 31 3 3 3 3 3 1 203 202 fail 15 186 31 T 5 5 1 <td>25</td> <td>365</td> <td>183</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>205</td> <td>204</td> <td>fail 13 183 15</td> <td></td> <td>Histogram statistics</td>	25	365	183	1	1.2	205	204	fail 13 183 15		Histogram statistics			
28 368 184 3 3,1 202 202 fail_14_184_31 29 369 184 3 3,1 204 204 fail_14_184_31 30 370 184 3 3,2 203 fail_14_184_35 31 371 185 3 3,1 202 202 fail_15_185_31 32 372 185 3 3,1 203 202 fail_15_185_31 33 373 186 3 3,1 203 202 fail_16_186_31 34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_186_31 35 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_2_187_55 37 377 187 6 5_2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 41 381 188 7 7.2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 41 384 191 5 5.2 15 fail_6_191_75 44	27	367	183	2	2.2	204	204	fail 13 183 25	*	Grayscale band: [0,255]			
29 369 184 3 3,1 204 204 fail_14_184_31 30 370 184 3 3,2 203 203 fail_15_185_31 31 371 185 3 3,1 202 202 fail_15_185_31 32 372 185 3 3,1 202 202 fail_15_185_31 33 373 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_6_31 34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_6_31 35 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_2_187_55 36 376 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 44 384 191 5 5,2 15 fail_6_191_75 44 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75	28	368	184	3	3.1	202	202	fail 14 184 31		Mean gravscale: 219.28			
30 370 184 3 3.2 203 203 fail 14 184 35 31 371 185 3 3.1 202 202 fail 15 185 31 1 32 372 185 3 3.1 202 202 fail 15 185 31 1 33 373 186 3 3.1 204 204 fail 16 186 31 • 34 374 186 3 3.1 204 204 fail 16 186 31 • 35 375 186 4 4.1 68 68 fail 2.187.55 • 36 376 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail 2.187.55 • 38 378 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail 2.187.55 • 42 382 188 8 1.1 15 15 fail 6.191.75 • • 44 384 191 5 5.2 15 fail 6.191.75 • • • • fail 6.191.75 • •	29	369	184	3	3.1	204	204	fail 14 184 31		Standard Deviation: 33.06			
31 371 185 3 3,1 202 202 fail_15_185_31 32 372 185 3 3,1 203 202 fail_15_185_31 33 373 186 3 3,1 203 202 fail_16_186_31 34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_186_31 35 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_2_187_55 36 376 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 37 377 187 6 5.2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 41 381 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 41 381 188 7 7.2 68 68 fail_3_188_81 44 384 191 5 5.2 15 fail_6_191_75 fail_6_191_75 45 385 191 7 7.2 15	30	370	184	3	3.2	203	203	fail 14 184 35					
32 372 185 3 3,1 203 202 fail_15_185_31 33 373 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_186_31 34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_186_31 35 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_2_187_55 36 376 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 37 377 187 6 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3_198_75 41 384 191 5 5,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 44 384 191 5 5,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 fail_6_191_75 46 386 191<	31	371	185	3	3.1	202	202	fail 15 185 31					
33 373 186 3 3,1 68 68 fail_16_186_31 ▼ 34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_186_31 ▼ 35 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_16_186_31 ▼ 36 376 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 38 379 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 38 379 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 38 379 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 ▼ 42 382 188 8 8,1 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ 44 384 191 5 5,2 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ 46 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ 46 386	32	372	185	3	3.1	203	202	fail 15 185 31					
34 374 186 3 3,1 204 204 fail_16_186_31 ▼ 35 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_16_186_31 ▼ 36 375 186 4 4,1 68 68 fail_0_186_41 ▼ 36 375 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_0_187_55 ▼ 38 378 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_0_187_55 ▼ 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_0_191_55 ▼ 42 382 188 8 8,1 15 fail_0_191_55 ▼ 44 384 191 5 5,2 15 fail_0_191_75 ▼ 45 385 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_0_191_75 ▼ 46 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_0_191_75 ▼ 46 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 <td>33</td> <td>373</td> <td>186</td> <td>3</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>68</td> <td>68</td> <td>fail 16 186 31</td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	33	373	186	3	3.1	68	68	fail 16 186 31	*				
35 375 186 4 4_1 68 68 fail_16_186_41 * 36 376 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 * 37 377 187 6 5.2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 * 38 378 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 * 41 381 188 7 7.2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 * 42 382 188 8 8.1 15 15 fail_6_191_55 * 44 384 191 5 5.2 15 fail_6_191_75 * 46 386 191 7 7.2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * 46 386 191 7 7.2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 5 fail_6_191_75 * 46 386 191 7 7.2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * , 47 387 191 8<	34	374	186	3	3.1	204	204	fail 16 186 31	*	The second s			
36 376 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 37 377 187 6 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 38 378 187 5 5,2 68 68 fail_2_187_55 ▼ 41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3_188_75 ▼ 42 382 188 8 8,1 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ 44 384 191 5 5,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ 45 385 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ 46 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ▼ ↓ 47 387 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ↓ ↓ fail_3_173_743K 800x950_256 256 34% (612,1) ↓ 47 387 191 8 8,2 15 <	35	375	186	4	4 1	68	68	fail 16 186 41	*	 Second Antipage Vision Second S			
37 377 187 6 5.2 68 68 fail_2.187_55 • 38 378 187 5 5.2 68 68 fail_2.187_55 • 41 381 188 7 7.2 68 68 fail_3.188_75 • 42 382 188 8 8.1 15 15 fail_3.188_91 • 44 384 191 5 5.2 15 fail_6.191_55 • 45 385 191 7 7.2 15 15 fail_6.191_75 • 46 386 191 7 7.2 15 15 fail_6.191_75 • 47 387 191 8 8.2 15 15 fail_6.191_85 • • fail_3.173_743K_800x950_256_34% (612,1)	36	376	187	5	5.2	68	68	fail 2 187 55	*	A SC VENNING STREET HITLE STREET			
38 378 187 5 5_2 68 68 fail_2187_55 * 41 381 188 7 7_2 68 68 fail_3188_75 * 42 382 188 8 8_1 15 15 fail_3188_81 * 44 384 191 5 5_2 15 fail_6_191_75 * 45 385 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * 46 386 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * • 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 • • • fail_3_173_743K 800x950_256_34% (612,1) *	37	377	187	6	5.2	68	68	fail 2 187 55	*				
41 381 188 7 7,2 68 68 fail_3,188,75 • 42 382 188 8 8,1 15 15 fail_3,188,75 • 44 384 191 5 5,2 15 fail_6,191,75 • 45 385 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6,191,75 • 46 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6,191,75 • • 47 387 191 8 8,2 15 15 fail_6,191,75 • • • 47 387 191 8 8,2 15 5 fail_6,191,75 • • • • fail_3,173,743K 800x950 256 34% (612,1) • 47 387 191 8 8,2 15 15 fail_6,191,75 • • • fail_3,173,743K 800x950 256 34% (612,1) • 47 387 191 8 8,2 <t< td=""><td>38</td><td>378</td><td>187</td><td>5</td><td>5.2</td><td>68</td><td>68</td><td>fail 2 187 55</td><td>*</td><td></td></t<>	38	378	187	5	5.2	68	68	fail 2 187 55	*				
42 382 188 8 8.1 15 15 fail_3_188_81 • 44 384 191 5 5_2 15 15 fail_6_191_55 • 45 385 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 • 46 386 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 • 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_85 • • 48 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 • • • • 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 5 fail_6_191_85 •	41	381	188	7	7.2	68	68	fail 3 188 75	*				
44 384 191 5 5_2 15 15 fail_6_191_55 * 45 385 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * 46 386 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 * fail_3_173_743K 800x950_256_34% (612,1)	42	382	188	8	8.1	15	15	fail 3 188 81	*				
45 385 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ✓ 46 386 191 7 7,2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ✓ jfail_3_173_743K_800x950_256_34%_(612,1) 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 ✓ jfail_3_173_743K_800x950_256_34%_(612,1)	44	384	191	5	5.2	15	15	fail 6 191 55	*	No. Contraction			
46 386 191 7 7_2 15 15 fail_6_191_75 47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_85 ✓ Jail 10	45	385	191	7	7.2	15	15	fail 6 191 75	*				
47 387 191 8 8_2 15 15 fail_6_191_85 ♥ _ fail_3_173_743K 800x950 256 34% (612,1)	46	386	191	7	7.2	15	15	fail 6 191 75					
	47	387	191	8	8 2	15	15	fail 6 191 85	۳ _	fail 3 173 743K 800x950 256 34% (612.1)			
Paraty Paraty Paraty Paraty Paraty Paraty Paraty Paraty	Poodv			17		Page	1 Total Da	ao 20 Bocord	150 Dr	proved Nump 1 Total Num 067			

Fig. 8: User interface of the software system

directly integrated into the software of the vision system to online classify the occurred defects. Moreover, *Opticlass* is extendable. With enrichment of feature extraction methods and various implementations of classifiers, *Opticlass* is not strictly limited to our project to classify defects on water taps in sanitary industries but also applicable to other digital image based classification tasks. Fig. 8 shows the user interface of the software system.

5 Summary

This article introduces a vision system that inspects and identifies the defects on water taps in sanitary industrie. With this vision system the quality control process is fully automated without intervention of human operators. The vision system is divided into two parts, the hardware part and the software part. The hardware part cleans up product surfaces and then takes photos under a constant illuminating condition. The software part does the image processing and realizes the automatic identification. This paper presents the two major software components, features extraction and classifier design. A large range of methods is introduced to present defect images. It is possible to obtain about 81.1 % overall classification ratio for 13 predefined defects by combining the Gabor filter features, statistical features and grayscale features.

The software structure and implementation is also described. The collected defects are stored in a uniform database. Therefore, the data of defects can be easily handled by the software. The core components, feature extraction and classifier design, are implemented independently. They service not only our simulation software platform offline but also can be integrated into the vision system and work online. The modularity of the software ensures that the vision system has the capability of self-learning and extendibility to other applications.

Acknowledgment

This research and development project is funded by the "Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung" (BMBF)

within the framework of "Research for the production of tomorrow" and supervised by the project supporter of the BMBF for Production and Manufacturing technologies (PFT), research centre in Karlsruhe.

References

- [1] Haykin, S.: *Neural Networks A Comprehensive Foundation*. Macmillan College Publ. Co., 1995.
- [2] Vapnik, V.: *The nature of statistical learning theory*. New York : Springer, 2000.
- [3] Zhang, X., Krewet, C.; Kuhlenkötter, B.: Automatic Classification of Defects on the Product Surface in Grinding and Polishing. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*. Vol. 46 (2006), No. 1, p. 59–69.
- [4] Haralick, R. M.: Statistical and Structural Approaches to Texture. In: "Proceeding of IEEE Bd. 67", 1979, p. 786–804.
- [5] Laws, K.: Textured Image Segmentation. PhD Dissertation, University of Southern California, 1980.
- [6] Daugman, J. G.: Complete Discrete 2D Gabor Transformation by Neural Networks for Image Analysis and Compression. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*. Vol. **36** (1988), No. 7, p. 1169–1179.

Dr.-Ing. Bernd Kuhlenkötter phone: +490 231 755 5615 e-mail: bernd.kuhlenkoetter@udo.edu

M.-Eng. Xiang Zhang

Dipl.-Ing. Carsten Krewet

Industrial Robotics and Handling Systems Robotics Research Institute

Dortmund University Otto Hahn-Strasse 8 44221 Dortmund, Germany