1	
2	
3	Anti-tuberculosis IgG antibodies as a marker of active Mycobacterium tuberculosis
4	disease
5	
6	Ryan J. Welch ¹ , Kathleen M. Lawless ² and Christine M. Litwin ^{1,3} *
7	
8	Running title: Anti-Tuberculosis IgG Antibodies
9 10	¹ University of Utah, ARUP Institute for Clinical and Experimental Pathology, Salt Lake
11	City, Utah
12	² Virology and Immunology Laboratories, University Health System, San Antonio, Texas
13	³ Department of Pathology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah
14	
15	*Author for correspondence
16	Department of Pathology, Georgia Health Sciences University
17	1120 15 th St., Augusta, GA, 30912.
18	Phone: (706) 721-6319. Fax: (706) 721-7970.
19	E-mail: clitwin@georgiahealth.edu

20

UU IR Author Manuscript

22 ABSTRACT

21

23	Anti- <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> IgG antibodies may aid in the diagnosis of active <i>M</i> .
24	tuberculosis disease. We studied whether anti-M. tuberculosis IgG antibodies are
25	elevated in active <i>M. tuberculosis</i> disease and assessed factors contributing to false
26	positive and negative results. A retrospective study of 2,150 individuals tested by the
27	QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT-GIT) assay was conducted at University of Utah,
28	ARUP Laboratories, November 2008 to December 2010. All samples were tested with
29	the InBios Active TbDetect TM anti-TB IgG antibody assay. Of 1,044 patients with a
30	positive QFT-GIT, 59 (5.7%) were positive for <i>M. tuberculosis</i> antibodies. Fourteen of
31	1,106 (1.3%) with a negative or indeterminate QFT-GIT were positive for M .
32	tuberculosis antibodies. M. tuberculosis antibody tests were positive in 61.5% with
33	confirmed active <i>M. tuberculosis</i> disease and other mycobacterial infections. Over half
34	of the false negative <i>M. tuberculosis</i> antibody tests occurred in patients \ge 90 years of age.
35	False positives were seen in 12.9% of autoimmune patients. The odds ratio of being
36	positive on the QFT-GIT and the InBios TB IgG assay increased with confirmed M.
37	tuberculosis disease or highly suspected M. tuberculosis disease and was 86.7 (95%
38	confidence interval [CI], 34.4-218.5) in these two groups when compared to patients
39	negative on both tests. Although anti-M. tuberculosis antibodies can be detected in
40	patients with active <i>M. tuberculosis</i> disease, caution should be used in patients where
41	immunoglobulin levels may be decreased or in patients with autoantibodies.

42

43

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITORY THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

45 **INTRODUCTION**

46 Tuberculosis remains the leading single microbial illness globally with one third of the 47 world's population infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. In 2009, there were over 9.4 million new cases and 1.3 million deaths from *M. tuberculosis* (25). While 48 49 the host's immune system typically prevents the organism from spreading beyond the 50 primary site of infection, 5-10% of these latent *M. tuberculosis* infections progress to 51 active disease. Once the disease becomes active it is contagious and lethal with a 52 mortality rate of greater than 50% in untreated individuals (6). This is in sharp contrast to 53 the less than 5% mortality rate in regions implementing the World Health Organization's 54 (WHO) guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of *M. tuberculosis* (directly observed 55 treatment, short-course; DOTS) (25). Therefore, early diagnosis of active *M. tuberculosis* 56 is a crucial step in the success of treatment through rapid isolation of infected individuals 57 and the early initiation of prophylaxis.

58

59 Anti-M. tuberculosis IgG antibodies have been shown to increase in patients with active 60 disease (3, 11, 13, 16). While the function of anti-*M. tuberculosis* antibodies in providing 61 protective immunity is still under investigation, it has been proposed that they may be 62 utilized as a diagnostic marker of active disease (1, 2, 7). In response to this research, 63 InBios International (Seattle, WA) has developed the Active TbDetect IgG ELISA to 64 identify IgG antibodies against several immunodominant *M. tuberculosis* epitopes (2). In 65 our prior study, we evaluated the Anda-TB IgG, InBios TB IgG assay and the IBL M. 66 tubercuosis IgG ELISA in a pilot study of 18 patients positive for *M. tuberculosis* by 67 culture and/or ADD and 88 healthy U.S.-born individuals who tested negative by 68 QuantiFERON-Gold test (the previous generation test to the QFT-GIT assay) and had no

69 risk factors for *M. tuberculosis* infection (2). We found that Anda-TB IgG had a 70 sensitivity of 83.3% and specificity of 72.0%. The InBios TB IgG assay had a sensitivity 71 of 83.3% and specificity 98.9%. In that study, we identified an important limitation of the 72 M. tuberculosis IgG assays in the fact that both the InBios TB IgG assay and the Anda-TB IgG assay were positive in only 3 of 6 HIV patients with positive *M. tuberculosis* 73 74 culture and/or ADD for a sensitivity of only 50%. The InBios TB IgG assay, however, 75 showed promise as being a more specific assay than the Anda-TB IgG assay, with a 76 specificity of 98.9%. Therefore, we chose to examine the InBios assay performance 77 characteristics further in our current study.

78

80 Methods

Study Participants. Sample collection took place from November 2008 to December 2010 on samples originally sent to ARUP Laboratories (Salt Lake City, UT) for *M. tuberculosis* testing on the QFT-GIT assay. Two-thousand one-hundred and fifty consecutive samples were collected. Samples were stored at -70 to -20°C until testing was performed at which point they were stored at 2 to 4°C until testing was complete. The protocol used was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Utah (IRB #40573).

88

Following sample collection, histories were obtained through phone interviews with
ordering physicians. Relevant clinical information was obtained during the interview
process and doctors were fully informed of what information could be released according
to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA) of 1996. Patient
classifications are listed in Table 1.

94

95 QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube Assay. The QFT-GIT assay was run according to the 96 manufacturer's protocol. Patients had whole blood collected in three separate tubes: a TB 97 antigen tube containing three *M. tuberculosis* specific antigens ESAT-6, CFP-10 and 98 TB7.7, a mitogen tube containing phytohemagglutinin and a nil tube with no stimulants. 99 Following an incubation of 16 to 24 hours the plasma is separated by centrifugation and 100 run on an IFN- γ ELISA. The investigators that performed the QFT-GIT assay were 101 blinded to the clinical history of the patients. Patients were considered negative if the 102 antigen value minus the nil value was less than 0.35 IU/mL. Patients were considered 103 positive if the antigen value minus the nil value was greater than 0.35 IU/mL. Patients

were considered indeterminate if the mitogen value minus the nil value was less than 0.5
IU/mL or if the nil value was greater than 8.0 IU/mL.

106

107 *M. tuberculosis* IgG Testing. *M. tuberculosis* IgG testing was performed on the InBios Active TbDetectTM IgG ELISA (InBios International, Seattle, WA). The test was 108 109 performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, serum samples were 110 incubated in wells containing several *M. tuberculosis* specific antigens (Mtb81, Mtb8, 111 Mtb48, DPEP, 38kDa protein, and two additional proprietary antigens). Following a 112 conjugate incubation step, substrate was added and a color was developed. Our previous 113 study concluded the cutoff of 0.500 optical density (OD) at 450nm (OD₄₅₀) maximized 114 sensitivity and specificity (2). The equivocal reference range was defined as 0.425-0.499 115 optical density at 450nm. First a cutoff was determined by following the manufacturer's 116 recommendation as the average OD of normal serum (n=83) + 3 S.D. = 0.450 OD. The 117 equivocal range was then defined as the cutoff OD of $0.450 \pm 5.5\%$ (2). At the time the 118 InBios TB IgG assay was performed the clinical histories of the patients was unknown. 119

Statistical Analysis. Comparison of the InBios TB IgG assay positivity between the QFT-GIT positive and the QFT-GIT negative group were analyzed using a Yates' corrected Chi-square test. Odds ratios were calculated comparing the InBios TB IgG assay positivity rate in QFT-GIT negative samples when compared to each category of QFT-GIT positive patients. Statistical analysis was done using MedCalc version 10.6.1.0 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). Spreadsheets and additional calculations were performed using an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, Washington).

127 **Results**

128 Medical histories were obtained on 876 of the 1044 (83.9%) patients with a positive 129 QFT-GIT result and on a small subset (70 of 1006; 7.0%) of patients with a negative 130 QFT-GIT result. No histories were obtained on any of the 100 patients with QFT-GIT indeterminate results. Age and sex information was available for all patients included in 131 132 the study. The QFT-GIT positive patients consisted of 46.3% females with a mean age of 133 44 years (range <1 year to 97 years). The QFT-GIT negative patients consisted of 58.0% 134 females with a mean age of 45 years (range 1 year to 102 years), and the QFT-GIT 135 indeterminate patients consisted of 50.0% females with a mean age of 47 years (range <1 136 year to 84 years). Of the 876 patients with a positive QFT-GIT result and known history, 137 the WHO region of origin was known on 728 (Table 2). 138 139 Overall, 5.6% of patients positive on the QFT-GIT assay were positive on the InBios TB 140 IgG assay, while only 1.2% of patients negative on the QFT-GIT assay were positive on 141 the InBios TB IgG assay (Table 3). When separated by region, individuals from Africa 142 and Mexico/Central America had the highest positivity rates on the InBios TB IgG assay 143 at 9.7% and 9.6%, respectively. The U.S./Canada region had the most individuals 144 enrolled (339), where the country of origin was known, and had a positivity rate of 5.3% 145 (Table 2).

146

Patients were classified in terms of disease state and their reactivity on the QFT-GIT
assay. Each individual's active *M. tuberculosis* infection risk status and QFT-GIT result
was then compared with their qualitative InBios TB IgG antibody result (Table 4).
Patients who were positive on the OFT-GIT assay with low risk, medium risk, and high

risk for active *M. tuberculosis* infection had anti-*M. tuberculosis* IgG antibody positivity rates of 3.2%, 10.9% and 43.8%, respectively. Patients who were positive on the QFT-GIT assay with confirmed active mycobacterial disease had an anti-*M. tuberculosis* IgG antibody positivity rate of 61.5%. Patients who were positive on the QFT-GIT assay and being screened prior to biological treatment for pre-existing autoimmune disease had an anti-*M. tuberculosis* IgG positivity rate of 12.9%.

157

Eight out of 13 (61.5%) patients who were positive on the QFT-GIT assay with known 158 159 active mycobacterial disease were positive on the InBios TB IgG assay, all with 160 pulmonary disease (Table 5). Two had infections with non-tuberculous mycobacteria, 161 Mycobacterium fortuitum and Mycobacterium gordonae. Infections with non-tuberculous 162 mycobacteria have been known to follow M. tuberculosis infections. However, there was 163 no information regarding previous *M. tuberculosis* infection in these two patients. Five 164 patients with confirmed active *M. tuberculosis* infections were QFT-GIT positive, but 165 negative on the InBios TB IgG antibody assay. Three of the five patients were 90 years of age or greater. The 4th patient negative by the InBios TB IgG antibody assay was 166 immunosuppressed. The 5th patient had no history to suggest an explanation for a 167 168 negative InBios TB IgG antibody test.

169

Seven out of 16 patients with physician suspected active *M. tuberculosis* infection were positive on the InBios TB IgG assay (43.8%) (Table 6). Four of the positive patients had pulmonary disease. One patient was treated for *M. tuberculosis* meningoencephalitis in the past and another was suspected to have ocular *M. tuberculosis* infection. Nine patients had suspected active *M. tuberculosis* that were negative on the InBios TB IgG antibody

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITO THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

assay. Five had pulmonary disease. Two had suspected ocular *M. tuberculosis* infection.
One had suspected tuberculous peritonitis and another had suspected disseminated *M. tuberculosis* infection.

178

To measure the relationship between disease status and anti-*M. tuberculosis* IgG antibody
level odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the InBios TB
IgG positivity rate amongst disease free individuals (QFT-GIT negative) as an OR of
1.00. The crude odds ratio for all QFT-GIT positive individuals was 4.91 (95% CI, 2.62
to 9.19). Odds ratios varied from 2.69 (95% CI, 1.33 to 5.45) in individuals with a low
risk of active disease to 129.47 (95% CI, 36.94 to 453.71) in individuals with confirmed
active disease (Table 4).

186

To assess if BCG vaccination status had an effect on the InBios TB status, patients were
further stratified into vaccine status groups and odds ratios were calculated. The vaccine
status was known on 474 of the 1044 patients who were positive on the QFT-GIT assay.
The crude OR for BCG vaccinated QFT-GIT positive individuals was 2.09 (95% CI, 1.01
to 4.35, *P*=0.05). However, when stratified according to active *M. tuberculosis* infection,
BCG vaccination was never significantly associated with a positive InBios TB IgG result.

To determine the predictive ability of the quantitative QFT-GIT values to assess active *M. tuberculosis* disease, the means within each category of QFT-GIT positive patients
were compared. Means ranged from a low of 4.24 to a high of 5.28. There was no
significant difference in QFT-GIT values between risk groups. As the likelihood of active *M. tuberculosis* infection increased, the mean OFT-GIT result did not increase and none

UU IR Author Manuscript

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITOR THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

- 200 compare the mean result of each category with the low risk group (Table 7). Additionally
- 201 no correlation was seen when anti-M. tuberculosis IgG results (OD) were compared with
- 202 QFT-GIT levels (IU/mL) by scatter plot analysis (linear regression coefficient of
- 203 determination, $R^2=0.0023$).

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITORY THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

204 **Discussion**

205 It has been observed that during active *M. tuberculosis* disease a humoral response occurs 206 in the host, which can be measured using anti-*M. tuberculosis* antibodies. 207 Immunoglobulin G antibodies directed against several M. tuberculosis antigens have been proposed as potential markers of tuberculosis of which, Mtb81, Mtb8, Mtb48, DPEP 208 209 (MPT32), 38 kDa protein and two proprietary antigens are contained on the InBios TB 210 IgG assay (2). Both anti-Mtb81 and anti-MPT32 antibodies have been previously shown 211 to be highly specific markers of active *M. tuberculosis* disease; however, individually 212 they lack sufficient sensitivity (12, 19). The 38 kDa has been well characterized as an 213 immunodominant protein present in *M. tuberculosis* culture filtrates, and although anti-38 214 kDa antibodies offers good specificity, they suffer from low sensitivity when utilized 215 alone (4, 9, 19-21). Individually these antibodies may be highly specific, however, used 216 alone they lack sensitivity due to the heterogeneous antibody response to M. tuberculosis 217 (4, 15). Therefore, InBios developed their assay with a combination of antigens in an 218 attempt to maximize sensitivity and specificity.

219

Recently, the WHO published a policy statement regarding commercial serodiagnostic
tests for diagnosis of tuberculosis. Based on a bivariate meta-analysis of commercially
available tests including 67 studies, they concluded that *M. tuberculosis* antibody tests
not be used for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extra-pulmonary *M. tuberculosis*infections (24). In their summary statement, they stated specifically that the Anda-TB
IgG (the most commonly evaluated test in their study) had a pooled sensitivity of 76% in
smear-positive patients and 59% in smear-negative patients. Only a brief analysis of our

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSIT

previously published smaller pilot study on *M. tuberculosis* IgG antibody testing of three
commercial *M. tuberculosis* antibody ELISAs was included in the WHO analysis (2).

230 The present study has identified some additional limitations of the InBios TB-IgG assay in terms of sensitivity and specificity. Out of 13 individuals with confirmed active 231 232 disease, five were negative on the InBios TB-IgG assay. Three of these five false 233 negatives were in patients 90 years or older. The lack of *M. tuberculosis* antibodies in 234 these individuals may be due to decreased levels of immunoglobins that can be observed 235 in immunosenescence. Several changes in the humoral immune response have been 236 documented in aging individuals including a decreasing responsiveness to vaccinations 237 and a loss of previously established protective immunity (10, 17, 22, 23). This issue 238 with sensitivity of the assay could be considered a general limitation of all immunoassays 239 that measure antibodies to antigens, and not necessarily unique to the InBios TB IgG 240 assay.

241

242 One of the other two false negative patients was undergoing immunosuppression therapy 243 for a renal transplant, which could potentially cause a false negative result on an antibody 244 detection based assay due to a decrease in IgG levels (5, 8, 18). The final patient had no 245 history that would explain a negative antibody result. Unfortunately, in our present 246 study, no patients with suspected or active *M. tuberculosis* infection were known to be 247 co-infected with HIV. But as demonstrated in our previous study, HIV patients could potentially be negative with the InBios TB IgG assay due to their immunodeficiency. We 248 249 conclude that if patients are immunosuppressed, immunodeficient or are at risk for

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITO THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

immunosenescence due to advanced age, that *M. tuberculosis* antibody tests should not
be depended upon for screening of active *M. tuberculosis* disease.

252

253 Only 1.2% of QFT-GIT negative patients and 3.2% of QFT-GIT positive known low risk 254 patients were positive with the InBios TB IgG assay, indicating a specificity of greater 255 than 96.8%. However, it should be noted that 12.9% of patients in our study with 256 autoimmune disease were positive with the InBios TB IgG assay. Autoantibodies associated with autoimmune and chronic diseases, especially anti-DNA antibodies and 257 258 rheumatoid factors often exhibit polyspecific properties which can cause false positive 259 results in many ELISAs (14). These autoantibodies are a likely cause of the false 260 positives in these autoimmune patients.

261

262 Additional specificity issues with the InBios TB IgG assay were identified concerning 263 cross-reactivity with other mycobacteria. In the present study, two patients that were 264 positive with both the InBios TB IgG assay and the QFT-GIT, were culture positive with 265 Mycobacterium fortuitum and Mycobacterium gordonae. The QFT-GIT assay is known 266 to only cross-react with three non-tuberculous mycobacteria including Mycobacterium 267 kansasii, Mycobacterium szulgae and Mycobacterium marinum. Cross-reactions with M. 268 fortuitum and M. gordonae have not been previously reported with the QFT-GIT. Cross-269 reactions with non-tuberculous mycobacteria in the InBios TB IgG assay have not been 270 previously investigated, except with the *Mycobacterium bovis* bacillus Calmette-Guérin 271 (BCG) (2). Since infections with non-tuberculous mycobacteria can follow M. 272 *tuberculosis* infections, it is possible that the InBios TB IgG assay was detecting 273 antibodies to a previous or concurrent *M. tuberculosis* infection. However, there was no

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITO

information regarding previous *M. tuberculosis* infection in these two patients. Further
studies of the InBios TB IgG assay will need to be conducted to examine the potential for
cross-reactivity.

277

278 The InBios TB IgG assay does not appear to cross-react with *Mycobacterium bovis* 279 bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG). This is in contrast to the Anda-TB IgG assay, which we 280 found to be highly cross-reactive with BCG in our previous study (2). In the present 281 study, BCG vaccination was never significantly associated with a positive InBios TB IgG 282 result. In our previous study we found that only one of 25 (4%) serum samples from 283 BCG-vaccinated individuals were positive in the InBios TB IgG assay, indicating that the 284 assay did not significantly cross-react with BCG (2). In that same study, the Anda-TB 285 IgG assay detected antibodies in 14 out of the 25 (56.0%) serum samples, indicating a 286 high degree of cross-reaction in BCG vaccinated individuals.

287

288 Some of the overall limitations of the study include the relatively small number of M. 289 tuberculosis culture confirmed/ADD cases despite the inclusion of over 2,000 patients in 290 the study. However, the inclusion of a high number of patients at low risk for active M. 291 *tuberculosis* disease makes the analysis of the specificity of the assay very reliable. Our 292 study also had the potential limitation of the possible introduction of bias in the method 293 of medical history collection via phone interviews. Lastly, an additional limitation was 294 that there were no children under the age of 16 that had active *M. tuberculosis* disease in 295 the study, which limits any conclusions that can be made about the pediatric population 296 with regards to this assay.

297

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITOR THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

- 299 established methods for diagnosing *M. tuberculosis* infection with the caveat that false
- 300 negatives can occur in immunosuppressed patients or elderly patients. Additionally,
- 301 patients with autoimmune disorders are at risk of having a false positive result from
- 302 interference of the assay by autoantibodies.

304 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 305 This study was supported by the University of Utah, ARUP Institute for Clinical and
- 306 Experimental Pathology[®].

308 **REFERENCES**

309

310	1.	Abebe, F., and G. Bjune. 2009. The protective role of antibody responses during
311		Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Clin Exp Immunol 157:235-243.
312	2.	Anderson, B. L., R. J. Welch, and C. M. Litwin. 2008. Assessment of three
313		commercially available serologic assays for detection of antibodies to
314		Mycobacterium tuberculosis and identification of active tuberculosis. Clin
315		Vaccine Immunol 15: 1644-1649.
316	3.	Azzurri, A., G. V. Kanaujia, O. Y. Sow, B. Bah, A. Diallo, G. Del Prete, and
317		M. L. Gennaro. 2006. Serological markers of pulmonary tuberculosis and of
318		response to anti-tuberculosis treatment in a patient population in Guinea. Int J
319		Immunopathol Pharmacol 19:199-208.
320	4.	Demkow, U., M. Filewska, D. Michalowska-Mitczuk, J. Kus, J. Jagodzinski,
321		T. Zielonka, Z. Zwolska, M. Wasik, and E. Rowinska-Zakrzewska. 2007.
322		Heterogeneity of antibody response to myobacterial antigens in different clinical
323		manifestations of pulmonary tuberculosis. J Physiol Pharmacol 58 Suppl 5:117-
324		127.
325	5.	Duchini, A., J. A. Goss, S. Karpen, and P. J. Pockros. 2003. Vaccinations for
326		adult solid-organ transplant recipients: current recommendations and protocols.
327		Clin Microbiol Rev 16:357-364.
328	6.	Frieden, T. R. 2002. Can tuberculosis be controlled? Int J Epidemiol 31:894-899
329	7.	Glatman-Freedman, A., and A. Casadevall. 1998. Serum therapy for
330		tuberculosis revisited: reappraisal of the role of antibody-mediated immunity
331		against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 11:514-532.

332	8.	Goldfarb, N. S., R. K. Avery, M. Goormastic, A. C. Mehta, R. Schilz, N.
333		Smedira, L. Pien, M. T. Haug, S. M. Gordon, L. K. Hague, J. M. Dresing, T.
334		Evans-Walker, and J. R. Maurer. 2001. Hypogammaglobulinemia in lung
335		transplant recipients. Transplantation 71:242-246.
336	9.	Greenaway, C., C. Lienhardt, R. Adegbola, P. Brusasca, K. McAdam, and D.
337		Menzies. 2005. Humoral response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens in
338		patients with tuberculosis in the Gambia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 9:1112-1119.
339	10.	Gruver, A. L., L. Hudson, and G. D. Sempowski. 2007. Immunosenescence
340		of ageing. J Pathol 211: 144-156.
341	11.	Gupta, S., N. Shende, A. S. Bhatia, S. Kumar, and B. C. Harinath. 2005. IgG
342		subclass antibody response to mycobacterial serine protease at different stages of
343		pulmonary tuberculosis. Med Sci Monit 11:CR585-588.
344	12.	Hendrickson, R. C., J. F. Douglass, L. D. Reynolds, P. D. McNeill, D. Carter,
345		S. G. Reed, and R. L. Houghton. 2000. Mass spectrometric identification of
346		mtb81, a novel serological marker for tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 38:2354-
347		2361.
348	13.	Hoff, S. T., M. Abebe, P. Ravn, N. Range, W. Malenganisho, D. S. Rodriques,
349		E. G. Kallas, C. Soborg, T. Mark Doherty, P. Andersen, and K. Weldingh.
350		2007. Evaluation of Mycobacterium tuberculosisspecific antibody responses in
351		populations with different levels of exposure from Tanzania, Ethiopia, Brazil, and
352		Denmark. Clin Infect Dis 45:575-582.
353	14.	Levinson, S. S., and J. J. Miller. 2002. Towards a better understanding of
354		heterophile (and the like) antibody interference with modern immunoassays.

Clinica Chimica Acta **325:**1-15.

355

356	15.	Lyashchenko, K., R. Colangeli, M. Houde, H. Al Jahdali, D. Menzies, and M.
357		L. Gennaro. 1998. Heterogeneous antibody responses in tuberculosis. Infect
358		Immun 66: 3936-3940.
359	16.	Mizusawa, M., M. Kawamura, M. Takamori, T. Kashiyama, A. Fujita, M.
360		Usuzawa, H. Saitoh, Y. Ashino, I. Yano, and T. Hattori. 2008. Increased
361		synthesis of anti-tuberculous glycolipid immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgA with
362		cavity formation in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Clin Vaccine Immunol
363		15: 544-548.
364	17.	Ongradi, J., B. Stercz, V. Kovesdi, and L. Vertes. 2009. Immunosenescence
365		and vaccination of the elderly, I. Age-related immune impairment. Acta Microbiol
366		Immunol Hung 56: 199-210.
367	18.	Pollock, C. A., J. F. Mahony, L. S. Ibels, R. J. Caterson, D. A. Waugh, J. V.
368		Wells, and A. G. Sheil. 1989. Immunoglobulin abnormalities in renal transplant
369		recipients. Transplantation 47:952-956.
370	19.	Samanich, K. M., M. A. Keen, V. D. Vissa, J. D. Harder, J. S. Spencer, J. T.
371		Belisle, S. Zolla-Pazner, and S. Laal. 2000. Serodiagnostic potential of culture
372		filtrate antigens of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 7:662-
373		668.
374	20.	Silva, V. M., G. Kanaujia, M. L. Gennaro, and D. Menzies. 2003. Factors
375		associated with humoral response to ESAT-6, 38 kDa and 14 kDa in patients with
376		a spectrum of tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 7:478-484.
377	21.	Steingart, K. R., N. Dendukuri, M. Henry, I. Schiller, P. Nahid, P. C.
378		Hopewell, A. Ramsay, M. Pai, and S. Laal. 2009. Performance of purified

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITORY	University of Utah Institutional Repository		
THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH	Author Manuscript		
379 antigens	for serodiagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a meta-ar		

antigens for serodiagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a meta-analysis. Clin

380 Vaccine Immunol 16:260-276.

- 381 22. Weinberger, B., D. Herndler-Brandstetter, A. Schwanninger, D. Weiskopf,
- 382 and B. Grubeck-Loebenstein. 2008. Biology of immune responses to vaccines
- 383 in elderly persons. Clin Infect Dis 46:1078-1084.
- 384 23. Weksler, M. E., and P. Szabo. 2000. The effect of age on the B-cell repertoire. J
- 385 Clin Immunol **20:**240-249.
- 386 24. WHO. 2011. Commerical serodiagnostic tests for diagnosis of tuberculosis:
- 387 policy statement. Report. World Health Organization.
- 388 25. WHO. 2010. Global tuberculosis control. World Health Organization.
- 389

390 391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

UU IR Author Manuscript

403 TABLE 1. Patient classification schema based on physician interviews.

Risk of Active M.	
tuberculosis (TB) Disease	
(total number of patients)	Description
Low (790)	Low active risk patients being screened for TB including immigrants, students and healthcare workers.
Medium (95)	Physician suspected TB with not more than one secondary symptom.
High (16)	Physician suspected TB with two or more secondary symptoms including night sweats, weight loss, fever, vomiting, severe cough and unresponsiveness to antibiotics.
Confirmed (13)	Physician diagnosed TB; positive AFB smear, culture or amplified direct detection method.
Autoimmune (33)	Patients being screened for TB before biological therapy for autoimmune disease.

405

406 TABLE 2. WHO region of origin distribution for a subset of patients with a known

407 clinical history and country of origin.

WHO Region	Number of Persons	Percentage of Total	% InBiosTB IgG Positive (n)	
U.S.A./Canada	344	46.6	5.3 (18)	
Southern Asia/Southeastern Asia	161	22.1	6.3 (10)	
Mexico/Central America	74	10.2	9.6 (7)	
Africa	62	8.5	9.7 (6)	
Central Asia/Eastern Asia/Russia	36	5.0	5.6 (2)	
Western Asia	32	4.4	3.1 (1)	
Europe	14	1.9	7.1 (1)	
Caribbean	5	0.7	40.0 (2)	
Oceania	3	0.4	0 (0)	
South America	2	0.3	0 (0)	
Total	728	100.0	6.5 (47)	

408

UU IR Author Manuscript

409	TABLE 3.	Comparison	between the	QFT-GIT	assay and the	InBios TB IgG assay
-----	----------	------------	-------------	---------	---------------	---------------------

	InBios IB IgG assay							
	Positive	Negative	Equivocal	Total				
QFT-GIT								
Positive	59	972	13	1044				
Negative	12	971	23	1006				
Indeterminate	2	95	3	100				
Total	73	2038	39	2150				

UU IR Author Manuscript

411 Table 4. InBios TB IgG positivity rate and odds ratios for each category separated by patient histories. Equivocal InBios TB IgG and

412 indeterminate QFT-GIT results were excluded.

Category	ory Pos Neg		Positivity Rate (%)	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P Value
QFT-GIT Negative	12	971	1.2	1.0	
Screen	23	691	3.2	2.69 (1.33-5.45)	0.006
Medium	10	82	10.9	9.87 (4.14-23.53)	< 0.001
High	7	9	43.8	62.94 (20.13-196.80)	< 0.001
Confirmed	8	5	61.5	129.47 (36.94-453.71)	< 0.001
Autoimmune Screen	4	27	12.9	11.99 (3.63-39.58)	< 0.001

TB IgG Result

413

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript

TABLE 5. Clinical Histories, PPD and TB IgG antibody results of QFT-IT positive 415 416 patients with confirmed mycobacterial infections.*

No.	Age	Country	PPD	BCG	Chest	TB	TB	History
		of Origin		vaccine	X-ray	IgG Result	IgG Interp	
1	36	U.S.	ND	No	POS	2.141	POS	POS Culture TB Pulmonary
2	70	U.S.	NEG	No	POS	1.867	POS	POS ADD Pulmonary
3	22	Mexico	UN K	Yes	POS	1.391	POS	POS Smear AFB Pulmonary Cavitary lesions on Chest X-ray
4	79	U.S.	POS	No	POS	1.282	POS	POS Culture <i>M. fortuitum</i> Pulmonary
5	67	U.S.	ND	No	UNK	0.968	POS	POS smear AFB TB bronchitis Dx. Exp.to active TB
6	55	India	UN K	UNK	UNK	0.940	POS	POS culture <i>M. gordonae</i> Pulmonary
7	32	UNK	UN K	UNK	UNK	0.886	POS	POS ADD Pulmonary
8	47	Mexico	POS	Yes	UNK	0.565	POS	POS Culture TB POS smear AFB Pulmonary
9	43	Mexico	POS	UNK	POS	0.106	NEG	Immune suppressed; S/P renal transplant; abdominal lymph node POS AFB, POS PCR
10	90	U.S.	ND	No	POS	0.086	NEG	POS smear AFB Pulmonary
11	52	U.S.	POS	No	POS	0.085	NEG	POS Culture TB POS ADD Pulmonary
12	90	Vietnam	ND	UNK	UNK	0.064	NEG	Ankle Aspirate POS smear AFB
13	92	U.S.	NEG	No	POS	0.061	NEG	POS smear AFB POS ADD Pulmonary

417

*M. tuberculosis (TB), Amplified Direct Detection (ADD), Positive (POS), Negative 418

(NEG), Not Done (ND), Unknown (UNK), Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB) 419

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript

420 Table 6. Clinical Histories, PPD and TB IgG antibody results of Quantiferon Positive

421 Patients with Physician suspected TB.

1	1100 111		n saspee	tea ID.				
No	Age	Country	PPD	BCG	Ches	TB	TB	History
		of		vaccine	t X-	IgG	IgG	
		Origin			ray	Result	Interp	
1	70	China	POS	Yes	POS	2.111	POS	Chronic cough; Chest X-ray
								suspicious for TB
2	16	Somalia	POS	No	POS	1.065	POS	Pulmonary nodules on Chest X-
		TT G		**	DOG	1 0 0 0	DOG	ray;no sputum production
3	55	U.S.	ND	Yes	POS	1.000	POS	Bronchiectasis on Chest X-ray;
	70	TT C		N		0.00	DOG	possible exposure to active IB
4	/8	U.S.	ND	No	UNK	0.80	POS	Rapid 15 lb weight loss; exposure
~	<i>C</i> 1	т 1'	DOC	N	INUZ	0.002	DOG	to active TB years ago
3	51	India	POS	NO	UNK	0.692	POS	Serpiginous chorioretinitis
(15	ΠC	ND	N.	DOG	0.040	DOG	Suspicious for ocular TB.
6	45	U.S.	ND	NO	POS	0.940	POS	Empyema and necrotizing
7	40	Mariaa	DOG	LINIZ	DOG	0.554	DOG	Tracted for TD
/	40	Mexico	P05	UNK	POS	0.554	P05	Treated for TB
								anget hematurie
0	70	Delrictor	LINIZ	LINIV	DOG	0.200	NEC	Chronic cough: Chost V roy
0	70	Pakistali	UINK	UNK	PO5	0.390	NEG	suspicious for TP
0	64	Mexico	POS	LINIK	NEG	0.273	NEG	Suspicious for ocular TB
<u>)</u> 10	82	IIS	ND	No	NEG	0.273	NEG	Suspected tuberculous peritonitis:
10	02	0.5.	ND	INO	NEG	0.141	NEU	granulomas on biopsy: 25 lb
								weight loss.
11	50	US	ND	No	UNK	0.138	NEG	Question of disseminated TB:
11	50	0.5.	T LD	110	OTH	0.150	TILO	numerous cutaneous lesions.
								necrotizing granulomas. AFB
								negative.
12	61	U.S.	ND	No	UNK	0.103	NEG	Treated for TB as a child:
								suspicious for ocular TB
13	02	US	NEG	No	POS	0.000	NEG	Papid 10 lb weight loss with
15	92	0.5.	NEO	INU	105	0.099	NEO	fatigue: Chest CT shows lesions
								consistent with TB
14	86	US	POS	No	POS	0.085	NEG	Thoracentesis showed
17	00	0.5.	105	110	105	0.005	NLO	lymphocytic exudate consistent
								with active TB End stage renal
								disease
15	24	Mexico	ND	Yes	POS	0.078	NEG	Pulmonary symptoms cavitary
10			1,12	100		0.070		lesions on Chest X-ray: negative
								smear for AFB
16	22	Ethiopia	POS	UNK	POS	0.063	NEG	9 week history of non-productive
		1						cough; no sputum production
			L				1	

422 *M. tuberculosis (TB), Amplified Direct Detection (ADD), Positive (POS), Negative

(NEG), Not Done (ND), Unknown (UNK), Acid Fast Bacilli (AFB)

424 TABLE 7. Mean QuantiFERON-Gold In-Tube Results

	Category	Mean Result (IU/mL)	P value
	Screen	4.27	
	Medium	4.24	0.61
	High	4.59	0.75
	Confirmed	5.28	0.37
	Autoimmune Screen	4.30	0.96
425			
426			
427			
428			
429			
430			
431			
432			
433			
434			
435			
436			
437			
438			