CHARACTERIZATION OF A MICROSCALE THERMAL-ELECTRICAL FIELD-FLOW FRACTIONATION SYSTEM

Himanshu J. Sant¹, Bruce K. Gale²

State of Utah Center of Excellence for Biomedical Microfluidics, Departments of Bioengineering, and Mechanical Engineering, University of Utah, 50 S. Central Campus Drive, Rm. 2110, Salt Lake City UT 84112, USA ¹himanshu.sant@utah.edu, Phone: 801-581-6549 ²gale@eng.utah.edu, Phone: 801-792-7074, URL: www.mems.utah.edu

Abstract

A microscale thermal-electrical field-flow fractionation (ThEIFFF) channel is reported for the first time and preliminary characterization results show high retention at certain operating conditions including relatively high flow rates when compared to standard microscale electrical or thermal field-flow fractionation instruments. A new design is presented that simplifies manufacturing and assembly of the prototype and that can provide both an electrical field and a high temperature gradient ($\sim 10^6$ °C/m). Monodisperse particle retention is carried out with polystyrene nanoparticle samples to characterize the device. Retention ratios as low as 0.045 are observed with the ThEIFFF instrument. Size selectivity of 1.77 was achieved for ThEIFFF. The comparison with theory shows a marked deviation from the existing theory. Separation of a mixture of polystyrene particles is demonstrated for first time using a ThEIFFF system by separating 130 nm carboxylated polystyrene and 209 nm polystyrene particles.

Key Words: Separation, Field-Fractionation, Chromatography, Microfluidics, Nanoparticles

1 Introduction

This paper presents the first multifunctional microscale field-flow fractionation system that is capable of employing both electrical and thermal fields simultaneously. The first report on macroscale thermal-electrical field-flow fractionation was published in 1991 by Giddings (Liu and Giddings 1991) and consisted primarily of proof-of-concept experiments. This brief communication demonstrated retention of polystyrene particles in nonaqueous solutions and showed an increase in the retention of colloidal particles in the channel. Essentially no work was done on this technique until our group began working on it a few years ago (Sant and Gale 2001). Based on Giddings preliminary work, we hypothesized that if the operating conditions are tuned properly, the use of dual fields should increase the selectivity and improve the separation power of the instrument despite some of the inherent shortcomings of electrical field-flow fractionation (EIFFF) and thermal field-flow fractionation (ThFFF) separation systems. This work differs from Giddings' paper (Liu and Giddings 1991) in that we have used (i) an aqueous carrier (ii) fabricated and characterized a microscale version of ThEIFFF, and (iii) demonstrated separation results.

One of the main limitations of EIFFF is the low effective fields experienced in the channel due to the electrical double layer at the electrode and particle surfaces in aqueous environments (Gale et al. 2001; Gale et al. 2002). Low effective fields (typically 1-3% of the applied field) have limited the applications of this technique over the years by limiting the retention power of the systems. One solution to this problem is the use of cyclical or alternating electrical fields (Gale BK and Merugu S 2005; Lao et al. 2002), which produces much higher effective fields and can be tuned for specific separations, but can be used with only a limited range of carriers (Kantak et al.) and can be limited by low peak capacity (sample spreading at high retention) (Lao et al. 2002). These limitations can be somewhat offset by using sample relaxation and a DC off-set voltage (Merugu S and Gale BK 2007).

Similarly for thermal field-flow fractionation, the typical retention is found to be low with aqueous carriers. These reduced effective fields can limit the ability of these systems to independently separate small particles or low molecular weight compounds, since the fields produced may not be sufficient to overcome diffusion. If both electrical and thermal fields could be applied simultaneously, the range of particles that can be retained using microscale thermal and electrical systems might be extended. Hence, a dual system capable of applying both electrical and thermal fields would be an interesting development (Figure 1).

Another important aspect associated with both thermal and electrical systems is that the separation efficiency improves with miniaturization. Miniaturization of these two FFF subtypes provides increased resolution, faster analysis with lower power and reduced consumption of chemicals (Sant and Gale et al. 2007). After the first report of a microfabricated electrical FFF (ElFFF) channel (Gale et al. 1998), a number of communications on other µ-FFF subtypes including thermal (Edwards et al. 2002), dielectrophoretic (Muller et al. 2000), flow (Kang and Moon 2004), and cyclical electrical (Kantak et al. 2006) have been reported in the last few years, indicative of increased interest in developing microscale field-flow fractionation instruments. Several ThFFF reports with excellent results were provided by Janca *et al*, in the last few years that utilized a mesoscale system with a channel thickness of 100 µm and operating conditions that differ considerably from a system with a 25 µm channel (Janča 2005; Janča et al. 2009; Janča et al. 2010). It should also be noted that the fabrication and assembly methods used by Janca et al are the same as proposed by Giddings in the 1960s (Thompson et al. 1969), except that the channel thickness was reduced to 100 μ m for most of the work. Only one paper has reported retention results in a 25 µm thick ThFFF channel with relatively poor retention and without separation in a single run (Janča 2004).

In this work, we propose a microscale-thermal electrical FFF system that is fabricated using rapid prototyping techniques (Sant and Gale 2009). This dual field system is expected to produce higher retention and resolution than existing single field FFF microsystems.



Figure 1. Diagram of the operation of a FFF system showing the input and output ports, application of the field (thermal/electrical), the parabolic flow profile, and typical channel

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript

dimensions (not to scale). Electrical FFF requires channel electrodes at the depletion and accumulation walls, whereas thermal FFF employs a temperature gradient to induce the separation with the channel electrodes being replaced by hot and cold walls. It should be noted that "X" indicates the direction along the length of the channel and "Y" indicates the height direction of the channel.

The challenges associated with an integrated FFF design for providing both electrical and thermal fields are numerous. The primary challenge is the choice and function of the channel walls due to the inherent presence of electrochemical effects associated with ElFFF in aqueous environments and the high heat fluxes needed for a miniature thermal system. The system also needs to provide efficient heat exchange to induce the required temperature gradient (~ 10^6 °C/m) across the thin microchannel; otherwise a low temperature gradient and poor resolution result (Muller et al. 2000). An efficient design of an integrated system requires heating/cooling of the EIFFF channel electrodes, most likely with an external cooling system. Typically a thermal FFF system is made with copper (for higher thermal conductivity) as the channel walls (Schimpf 2000). Even though this approach can yield a high temperature gradient, copper's low electrochemical resistance makes it inappropriate for electrical FFF operation with aqueous carriers. The earliest microfabricated ThFFF system (Muller et al. 2000), with boron-doped silicon as the hot wall and glass as the cold wall, generated a poor temperature drop across the channel thickness. We have modified the basic design proposed in these reports by using silicon with high thermal conductivity as the cold wall and polished, very thin HDPE (high density polyethylene) with low thermal conductivity and high heat capacity as the hot wall. This arrangement was first communicated by us (Gale et al. 2001) and reported by others a short time later with glass as the hot wall (Bargiel et al 2004). A very thin hot wall ($\sim 1 \text{ mm}$) results in fast heating of the hot wall even with a low thermal conductivity high-density polyethelene (HDPE) wall. It should be noted that if proper insulation is not provided for a metal hot wall, considerable heat is lost to the ambient environment and a high energy input is required to maintain the steady and large temperature drop. In contrast the design modifications presented here result in a steady hot wall temperature and the high thermal conductivity of silicon allows for the fast removal of heat to maintain a low cold wall temperature. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the various

components of the micro thermal-electrical field flow fractionation (μ -ThElFFF) system prior to assembly.

2 Theory

2.1 Retention

General FFF retention theory is well developed and can be used to mathematically describe retention in the presence of simultaneous thermal and electrical fields. The retention ratio, R, is given by



Figure 2. Assembly diagram for the μ -ThElFFF device. The thermocouple array and heater consist of a thin film encapsulated in polyimide, and the microchannel is made from a 25 μ m thick double-sided adhesive tape. Copper wires in the figures will be used to connect the multimeter and power supply for the electrical field. Black circles on the sides of plastic slide indicate thermocouple holes for the thermocouple probes.

where t_0 and V_0 are the void time and volume, respectively and where t_r and V_r are the retention or elution time and volume, respectively.

Retention ratio is the basic parameter used to evaluate retention and can be related to the retention parameter as

(1)

UU IR Author Manuscript

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript

$$R = 6\lambda \left[\coth\left(\frac{1}{2\lambda}\right) - 2\lambda \right],\tag{2}$$

where λ is the retention parameter, a nondimensional number that relates the physiochemical property of the sample to the field. In its general form, retention ratio, *R* and λ can be defined as

$$R = \frac{3d}{w} + \frac{6kT}{3\pi\eta dS_d Uw},\tag{3}$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{kT}{Fw} = \frac{D}{Uw},\tag{4}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature, w is the channel height, F is the force on the sample, D is the diffusion coefficient, U is the drift velocity of the sample in the direction of the applied field, S_d is the size selectivity, and w is the thickness of the microchannel. The drift velocity, which includes the effect of the applied field, is the particle velocity in the y-direction due to the interaction of the external field (see Figure 1). The drift velocities for electrical (U_E) and thermal FFF (U_T) systems are (Schure et al. 2000)

$$U_{E} = \mu E \,. \tag{5}$$

and

$$U_{T} = D_{T} \frac{\Delta T}{w}, \qquad (6)$$

where μ is the electrophoretic mobility of the particles, *E* is the effective electric field, D_T is the thermal diffusion coefficient, and ΔT is the temperature gradient. The electrophoretic mobility can be given by Henry's law as

$$\mu = \left(\frac{2\varepsilon kT}{3\eta e}\right) f(\kappa a),\tag{7}$$

where ε is the dielectric permittivity of the medium, κ^{-1} is the debye length, *a* is the particle radius, η is the carrier viscosity, *e* is the charge of the electron and *k* is the Boltzmann constant.

Force on the sample is additive in nature and can be related to the retention parameters for electrical and thermal system as UU IR Author Manuscript

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript

$$\frac{1}{R} = \frac{t_r}{t_0} = \frac{Fw}{6kT} = \frac{(F_T + F_E)w}{6kT},$$
(8)

where F_T and F_E correspond to the thermophoretic and electrophoretic forces on the sample in the presence of the thermal and electrical fields. From equations 2,4 and 8 we can find a relation for the collective λ for the thermal-electrical system as

$$\lambda_{TE} = \left[\frac{\lambda_T \lambda_E}{\lambda_T + \lambda_E}\right],\tag{9}$$

where λ_{TE} , λ_T and λ_E are the non-dimensional retention parameters for thermal-electrical, thermal, and electrical FFF respectively.

The steric-transition point in FFF is a strong function of the field strength and can be obtained by differentiating R (equation 3) with respect to d and equating the result to zero and is given by

$$d_i = \left(\frac{2S_d kT}{3\pi\eta U}\right)^{\frac{1}{S_d+1}},\tag{10}$$

where d_i is the steric-inversion diameter (Gale et al. 2001).

3 Experimental Materials and Methods

3.1 Materials

3.1.1 Samples. Polystyrene nanoparticles were purchased from Bangs Laboratories (Fishers, IN). Polystyrene particles with carboxylic groups on the surface typically have good retention in aqueous carriers in ThFFF (Schure et al. 2000). Polystyrene nanoparticles have high UV absorbance (~225 to 254 nm) and can easily be detected using an UV absorbance detector. These nanoparticles were diluted with DI water to 1% concentration by weight from the original sample to avoid detector saturation and overloading of the separation channel. Mainly three different types of particles: 91 nm, 130 nm (with a carboxylic surface group) and 209 nm polystyrene were used. The electrophoretic mobility of these samples ranged between 2.0 x 10^{-4} to 4.0×10^{-4} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) as measured using a zeta-potential analyzer (Zeta Plus, Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, NY). Sample injection volume for all experiments was $0.2 \mu L$.

3.1.2 Carrier. Filtered DI water (Model D 8611, Barnstead International, IA) of 18.2 M Ω -cm was used as the carrier for all the experiments. A 3 cm³ gastight glass syringe (Model 1005, Hamilton CO., NV) was used to deliver fresh carrier fluid for each run.

3.1.3 Electrode material. The original macroscale ThEIFFF reported by Giddings was made with copper channel walls (Liu et al. 1991). Copper, having a low electrochemical potential, is susceptible to vigorous electrochemical etching and deposition processes, which produces short-circuiting of the EIFFF system after only a few uses in an aqueous environment. On the other hand, our group has successfully used gold, platinum (Edwards et al. 2002), and graphite (Janča 2005) based electrodes to fabricate EIFFF systems. Other groups have successfully used titanium (Veen et al. 2005). While graphite was found to have reproducible performance over long periods of operation, its low thermal conductivity limits its use in this particular system. In addition gold and platinum are expensive to sputter deposit and hence, the choice of titanium was made as the electrode material for the prototypes. The resistance of these electrodes along the length of the channel was less than 5 Ω .

3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Instrument fabrication and assembly. Figure 2 shows the schematic of the various components of the micro thermal-electrical field flow fractionation system prior to packaging or assembly. The microfluidic channel is cut using a knife plotter (Graphtec, CA) in a 0.0025 cm thick pressure sensitive tape (9019, 3M, MN) and was sandwiched between the two substrates (Janča 2004; Sant and Gale 2009) used as channel walls. The geometrical dimensions of the microchannel are 0.0025 cm thick, 8.0 cm length and 0.5 cm width. A polished high density polyethylene (HDPE) slide was used to fabricate the first channel wall and was machined to provide the input and output ports for sample injection and carrier liquid transport. HDPE is used rather than glass to simplify the fluidic connections (Upchurch Scientific, MA). A thin film of titanium (2000 Å) was sputter deposited on the polished side of the HDPE substrate to create one of the electrodes for the EIFFF part of the system. An identical titanium deposition was performed on the second or opposite channel wall, a silicon slide cut from a 100 mm diameter and 700 μ m thick silicon wafer.

All the thermal components (thin film heater, temperature sensor, controller (Minco, MN)) and cooler (Model 900, Fisher Scientific, PA) were external, as a part of our goal to realize simple, robust and inexpensive prototypes. A thin film heater with a thermocouple array was glued to the backside of the plastic slide with a deep groove to create a thin *hot wall* (1 mm thick). A set of thermocouple probes were connected to the holes drilled in the sides of plastic substrate for accurate temperature measurement. A temperature controller with feedback from the thermocouple array was used to control the thin film heater for the ThFFF part of the instrument. Similarly, holes were drilled in the heat exchanger on the *cold wall* side to access the silicon from the backside for the thermocouple probe. The difference in the temperatures of the hot wall and the cold wall was used as the overall temperature drop across the microsystem. It should be noted that the thermocouple arrangement and the digital thermometer (HH502, Omega Engineering Inc., Stamford, CT) used for the temperature measurement have $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C accuracy.

3.2.2 Packaging and experimental setup. As shown in Figure 3, a syringe pump (Model 220, KD Scientific Inc., MA) was used to deliver a constant flow of carrier fluid to the microsystem. The sample particles were injected using a microliter syringe (Model 701, Hamilton CO., NV) to the input port of the microchannel. All the fluidic connectors were purchased from Upchurch Scientific. The T-injector facilitated the simultaneous transport of the carrier fluid and nanoparticle sample, while also preventing the unwanted dispersion of the sample in the carrier stream by avoiding mixing before entering the The carrier fluid and sample particles passed through the ThElFFF microchannel. channel and eluted to a 9 µL flowcell (1.2 µL detector flowcell for separations in Figure 10) of a UV absorbance detector (Model 520, ESA Inc, MA) through PTFE tubing 4 cm long with 0.076 cm inner diameter. The elution time/retention time of the sample was measured by the first moment of the elution peak recorded electronically using data acquisition hardware (DAQ card, model PC 6023 E, National Instruments, Inc. TX) and the interface software (Lab VIEW 6.1, National Instruments, Inc. TX). DC power supplies (Agilent E 3642 A and Tektronix PS 280) were connected to the ElFFF and ThFFF parts of the instrument, respectively. A multimeter (Agilent 34401A, Agilent, CA) was used to continuously monitor the current between the EIFFF electrodes. The

temperature across the microchannel was also recorded as shown in the layout in Figure 2.

3.2.3 Retention and separation. A microscale ThEIFFF system has never been demonstrated in practice. The ThEIFFF system was characterized by conducting retention experiments using polystyrene nanoparticles (diameters ranging from 91 nm to 209 nm). The temperature drop for the thermal field ranged from 20 °C to 45 °C. The applied voltage for the electrical field ranged from 1.5 V to 4.5 V.



Figure 3. Schematic of the experimental setup for μ -ThElFFF system characterization. (a) The diagram shows the connections to the microsystem, (b) A diagram showing the instrumental experimental setup and the fluidic connection path.

The flow rate range for these experiments was 0.5 to 2.0 ml/hr. The sample injection volume was 0.2 μ L. The retention time is converted to retention volume by multiplying by the carrier flow rate after subtracting the time taken by the sample to reach from the microsystem through the post-column tubing to the detector flowcell. The amplitude of the detector response for the same sample volume and operating conditions for different channel wall materials (glass, graphite and titanium) was observed for sample recovery rates. The μ -ThEIFFF system was characterized by measuring the retention of monodisperse polystyrene particle samples. All the experiments were geared towards obtaining data on retention time as this information can be converted directly to retention

ratio. This retention ratio value was used to gauge the performance of the μ -ThElFFF system by comparing the experimental results with the theoretical predictions.

The main objectives of the characterization experiments were to (i) determine the optimal protocols and operational conditions and (ii) study the effect of important parameters that govern retention in a ThEIFFF system. The most important parameters of interest are temperature gradient and voltage across the system. Other factors to be considered are flow rate, carrier composition, and sample size.

Resolution is the main performance characteristic for any separation system and μ -ThElFFF was used to fractionate a nanoparticle sample mixture not resolved by individual μ -ThFFF and μ -ElFFF systems of 25 μ m channel thickness.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Fabrication Results

A photograph of the completed μ -ThElFFF microsystem is shown in Figure 4. Use of plastic as the hot wall was found to provide a robust approach to connect fluidic connections for the microsystem as shown in Figure 4, and there were no leaks during normal operation as reported with other systems (Sant and Gale 2007). It should be mentioned that the tape adhesion becomes ineffective at very high temperatures (> 85°C) and the system would be replaced if any leakage ensued.



Figure 4. A picture of a ThEIFFF microsystem. The channel electrode and heater configuration along with fluidic connections are shown.

4.2 Voltage-Current Characteristics for ElFFF

The measured current across the EIFFF channel is a better indicator of effective field and the associated retention than the applied voltage (Gale et al. 2002). This voltagecurrent relationship is different for every electrode material and carrier solution and must be characterized regularly. Titanium-based EIFFF systems typically require somewhat higher voltages than other EIFFF electrode materials for any current to flow due to the natural oxide layer that forms on the electrode surface.

The turn-on voltage for the titanium-based electrode system was measured to be about 3.7V, which is much higher than that found for gold, platinum or graphite-based electrodes. We have not seen any bubbles associated with electrolysis that affect the retention over a long period of system usage.

The voltage-current relationship was also measured in the presence of a temperature gradient across the channel height, with no significant change in the relationship. This means that the effect of the temperature gradient on the *effective field* generated by the EIFFF part of the system should not be significant. However, the particle electrophoretic mobility is a function of temperature (equation 7) and it will be of interest to see whether temperature affects the electrical component of the retention in ThEIFFF.

4.3 Sample Recovery

Our experience with thermal FFF systems has shown that the sample recovery is lower for microsystems with plain glass or plastic channel walls when compared to the sample recovery in systems coated with a thin titanium layer. It was observed that particle adsorption progressively increases with time and system usage, especially under high fields. It should be noted that all of the experiments completed in this work do not use any detergents in the carrier solution, which is often helpful in increasing sample recovery. Future experiments may need to include a small fraction of detergent in the carrier solution to help to reduce sample adsorption on the channel walls.

4.4 ThEIFFF Retention Behavior

A series of experiments at flow rates ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 ml/hr were conducted and found that the retention of the polystyrene particles increases in TEFFF mode. The dataset in Table 1 shows a significant improvement in retention with ThEIFFF field. Applying electrical and thermal fields simultaneously results in increased retention as seen by peaks 6 and 7 in comparison to only electrical (peaks 2 and 3) or thermal (peaks 4 and 5) field application as shown in Figure 5.

The required sample relaxation time for FFF scales with the square of the channel height (for thermal and electrical subtypes) and only a few seconds of relaxation should be required for microsystems (Gale et al 2001). Accordingly, all of the following experiments use a 15 s stop-flow to relax the sample. Another advantage of sample relaxation is improvement in the repeatability of the microsystem. Without any equilibration, the retention of the particles was found to be unpredictable, but a clearer trend in retention emerged when sample relaxation was employed.

Table 1 Representative elution data for the experimental runs in ThElFFF for 130 nm (carboxylated) particles and 1.5 ml/hr flow rate.

Experiment	Electrical Field		Temperature Drop	Retention Ratio
	Voltage, V	Current, µA		
1	3.5	25	30	0.0833
2	3.9	35	40	0.0454



Figure 5. The applied field for fractograms is (1) no field, (2) 3.5 V, 30 μ A, (3) 3.6 V, 35 μ A, (4) 35 °C temperature difference, (5) 40 °C temperature difference, (6) 3 V, 20 μ A and 30 °C temperature difference, (7) 3.3 V, 30 μ A and 25 °C temperature difference.

4.4. 1 Retention comparison of different FFF modes. The effectiveness of applying a combined thermal-electrical field over individual electrical and thermal fields is depicted in Figure 6. It can be seen that the retention of nanoparticles is relatively low when ElFFF and ThFFF are operated independently. It should be noted that for the given operating conditions, ThFFF generates better retention (lower retention ratio) than is seen for ElFFF operating alone, and helps explain the limited interest that has been seen in ElFFF. Figure 6 shows enhanced retention of nanoparticles using ThElFFF compared to nanoparticles experiencing only one field and similar operating conditions. Interestingly, the magnitude of the currents (in the ElFFF part of the operation) required to achieve a given retention actually decreases (i.e. retention produced per unit of current falls), thus much higher retentions can be achieved if ThElFFF is operated at even higher currents.



Figure 6. Comparison of retention behavior of the different modes of FFF for 209 nm polystyrene particles.

The reasons behind the non-trivial standard deviations in Figure 6 may be related to complex interactions between sample properties (particle size, diffusion coefficients, electrophoretic mobility) and operational parameters (flow rate, flow profile, electrode condition, cooling wall condition, and uniformity of temperature across the system).

4.4.2 Retention with combined fields. Earlier we established that an increase in retention can be obtained for ThEIFFF in comparison with independent EIFFF or ThFFF operation, but a closer look at the retention behavior of ThEIFFF with a change in the combined fields is required to complete the characterization experiments.

Retention data shown in Figure 7 indicate that as the temperature gradient is increased for ThEIFFF, the amount of retention increases significantly even when small currents are applied as the EIFFF part of the operation. The additive nature of ThEIFFF

results in enhanced retention with the use of electrical fields to augment the temperature gradient.

4.4.3 Size selectivity. Figure 8 shows a linear relation between retention and particle size for ThEIFFF, which matches with conventional FFF theory. In addition, the measured slope of 1.77 in Figure 8 is the size selectivity of ThEIFFF system. This measurement is slightly higher than that for thermal field-flow fractionation, which has size selectivity of about 1.5, and much higher than that for EIFFF, which has a predicted size selectivity of 1.0.

4.4.4 Comparison with theory. Figure 9 plots the measured retention ratio as a function of the theoretical retention ratio to see how closely the experiments compare to the theory. The line marked as "Ideal Retention Ratio" is a plot of theoretical retention ratio on both axes and would indicate a perfect match with theory. This straight line is used to compare the deviation of experimental data for a range of operating conditions.



Figure 7. Comparison of retention behavior with a change in the imposed temperature gradient and the applied electric field for 209 nm polystyrene particles. The *x*-axis shows the temperature drop in °C for the thermal part of the combined ThElFFF operation. The legend symbols indicate the current in μ A for the ElFFF part of the combined ThElFFF operation.

THE UNIVERSITY OF U

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript



Figure 8. The plot of retention dependence on size for ThElFFF. The operating condition for this set of run are 30 μ A and 40 °C for the polystyrene particle sizes of 91 nm, 130 nm and 209 nm, respectively.

It can be seen that both electrical and thermal FFF experimental results can show retention ratios as much as double the theoretical predictions. But it should be noted that for both electrical and thermal FFF a number of variables make it difficult to exactly predict the retention. For example, the effective field in ElFFF is not a straightforward ratio of applied voltage and channel height, but depends on factors such as ionic strength and pH of the carrier, surface charge on the particle in addition to not-so-well understood wall repulsion and particle-particle repulsion effects.

A partial explanation of the deviation from theory may involve the expected small steric transition point and secondary effects such as wall repulsion (Tri et al. 2000) that may be heightened with temperature. The steric transition point refers to the particle size at which the retention deviates from the normal mode and becomes solely dependent on the particle size itself. The calculated steric transition diameter (equation 10) for ThEIFFF for the operating conditions used in Figure 6 is close to 275 nm. The wall repulsion effects would also compress the particle cloud thickness more than predicted using normal-mode retention theory could result in an even earlier steric transition. The wall repulsion effects are not very well understood and theory behind it is not well developed for microsystems, especially ThEIFFF (Tri et al. 2000).

Similarly there is not a good model to predict thermal diffusion coefficient and hence, it is difficult to predict the retention ratio for thermal FFF. All of these factors likely contribute to the deviations observed in Figure 9.

INSTITUTIONAL REPOSITO

University of Utah Institutional Repository Author Manuscript



Figure 9. Retention data for 209 nm particles at different operating conditions. Each data point for the theoretical retention ratio is calculated based on the corresponding operating conditions used for experimental runs and plotted against each other to show the deviation for the experimental data from the "ideal retention ratio" line. Ideal retention ratio trace is a plot of theoretical retention ratio on both axes.

Figure 9, however, also shows that for some experimental data the deviation from theory is relatively small and that, overall, higher retention is generated using ThEIFFF when compared to ThFFF or EIFFF alone. These results indicate that the ThEIFFF system would induce better resolution than individual thermal or electrical systems and could potentially be used as a separation tool.

4.5 Separation

Figure 10 shows the high-speed separation of PS particles of 130 nm and 209 nm diameter with at 2.0 ml/hr in ThEIFFF mode. It should be noted that the plate height or separation efficiency of the elution peak is a major performance characteristic that limits the resolution ability of the separation system. In this case, the separation runs with 9 μ L detector flow cells were not able to resolve this set of particles, so a 1.2 μ L volume detector flow cell was used instead with the injected sample volume reduced to 0.1 μ L. This reduction in the detector flow cell and sample volumes resulted in the reduction in instrumental plate height to allow a size-based separation with reasonable resolution. The fractograms titled "EIFFF" and "ThFFF" show no particle resolution with wide peaks. The fractogram titled "ThEIFFF" in Figure 10 shows the separation of PS 130 nm and 209 nm particles using ThEIFFF. The slow elution of 209 nm particles results in increased "lagging" in the fractogram.

improved if the postcolumn tubing and external detector flow cell is replaced by an onchip detector.



Figure 10. Fractogram showing the binary separation of the polystyrene nanoparticle mixture using μ -ThElFFF. The diameters of the particles being separated are 130⁻nm (carboxylated) and 209 nm. The separation is carried out in a single run with field of 3.6 V, 25 μ A and 30 °C temperature difference at a flow rate of 2.0 ml/hr. The individual runs of ElFFF and ThFFF are operated at 25 μ A and 30 °C temperature difference at a flow rate of 2.0 ml/hr.

5 Concluding Remarks

This report shows that the integrated μ -ThElFFF system is able to provide both high temperature gradients (higher than any previously reported microscale ThFFF system) and act as a multifunctional tool with high effective fields.

A combination ThEIFFF instrument requires a unique manufacturing approach and optimized operating conditions to enhance the retention of samples. Sample relaxation (stop-flow for 15 s) is found to improve the repeatability of the microsystem but overall repeatability is not very high and will require a tighter control over the carrier properties to enhance the performance of the system. For some conditions, ThEIFFF can produce nearly twice the retention that can be generated by only EIFFF or ThFFF. Also, for the experiments performed in this work, the effect of the thermal field is more pronounced than the electrical field when ThEIFFF is being operated in *additive* mode. The deviation of the experimental data from current theoretical models indicates the need of better models to predict effective field and thermal diffusion coefficients for electrical and thermal FFF systems in future for a wide range of operating conditions.

One of the potentially more appealing advantages of the demonstrated ThElFFF system is the increased range of size and type of the sample that can be analyzed with FFF microsystems. This microsystem also has the potential to contribute to not-so-well understood thermophoresis studies and electrophoretic analysis of samples of clinical and environmental interest. While operation of the thermal and electrical system in conjunction can increase the complexity of the retention mechanism, it can provide higher effective fields, lower plate heights and better resolution than can be obtained by stand-alone ThFFF or ElFFF systems of the same geometrical dimensions.

References

Bargiel S, Dziuban JA, Górecka-Drzazga (2004) A micromachined system for the separation of molecules using thermal field-flow fractionation method. Sens Actuators A 110(1-3):328-335

Edwards TE, Gale BK, Frazier AB (2002) A Microfabricated Thermal Field Flow Fractionation System. Anal Chem 74(6):1211-1216

Gale BK, Caldwell KD, Frazier AB (1998) A Micromachined Electrical Field- Flow Fractionation System. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 45(12):1459-1469

Gale BK, Caldwell KD, Frazier AB (2001) Geometric Scaling Effects in Electrical Field-Flow Fractionation. 1. Theoretical Analysis. Anal Chem 73(10):2345-2352

Gale BK, Caldwell KD, Frazier AB (2002) Geometric Scaling Effects in Electrical Field-Flow Fractionation. 2. Experimental Verification. Anal Chem 74(5):1024-1030

Gale BK, Merugu S (2005) Cyclical Electrical Field Flow Fractionation. Electrophoresis 26:1623-1632

Janča J (2004) Effect of channel width on the retention of colloidal particles in polarization, steric, and focusing micro-thermal field-flow fractionation. J Chromatogr A 1046(1-2):167-173

Janča J (2005) Polarization, steric, and focusing micro-thermal field-flow fractionation principles, theory, instrumentation, and applications in polymers and particles analysis. Anal Chim Acta 540(1):187-196

Janča J, Stejskal J (2009) On the retention mechanisms and secondary effects in microthermal field-flow fractionation of particles. J Chromatogr A 1216(52):9071-9080

Janča J, Halabalova V, Růžička J (2010) Role of the shape of various bacteria in their separation by Microthermal Field-Flow Fractionation. J Chromatogr A 1217(51):8062-8071

Kang D, Moon M (2004) Miniaturization of Frit Inlet Asymmetrical Flow Field-Flow Fractionation. Anal Chem 76(13):3851-3855

Kantak AS, Merugu S, Gale BK (2006) Characterization of a Microscale Cyclical Electrical Field Flow Fractionation System. Lab Chip 6(5):645-654

Kantak AS, Merugu S, Gale BK (2006) Effect of Carrier Ionic Strength in Microscale Cyclical Electrical Field-Flow Fractionation. Anal Chem 78(8):2557-2564

Lao AIK, Trau D, Hsing I (2002) Miniaturized Flow Fractionation Device Assisted by a Pulsed Electric Field for Nanoparticle Separation. Anal Chem 74(20): 5364–5369

Liu G, Giddings JC (1991) Separation of particles in nonaqueous suspensions by thermalelectrical field-flow fractionation. Anal Chem 63(3):296-299

Merugu S, Gale BK (2007) Combining Normal and Cyclical Field Flow Fractionation. In: 13th International Symposium on Field-and Flow-based Separation. Salt Lake City, Utah; June 27-30, 2007 Muller T, Schnelle T, Gradl G, Shirley S, Fuhr G (2000) J Liq Chromatogr Related Technol 23(1):47-59

Sant HJ, Gale BK (2001) A Microfabricated Thermal Electric Field Flow Fractionation. In: 5th International Conference on Miniaturized Chemical and Biochemical Analysis Systems. Monterrey, California, October 21-25, 2001

Sant HJ, Gale BK (2007) Microscale Field-flow fractionation: Theory and Practice. In: Hardt S, Schönfeld F (ed) Microfluidic Technologies for Miniaturized Analysis Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, pp 471-522

Sant HJ, Gale BK (2009) Flexible fabrication, packaging, and detection approach for microscale chromatography systems. Sens Actuators B 141(1):316-321

Schimpf ME (2000) Thermal Field-Flow Fractionation. In: Schimpf ME, Caldwell KD, Giddings JC (ed) Field-Flow Fractionation Handbook, Wiley-Interscience, New York, pp 239-256

Schure M, Schimpf ME, Schettler P (2000) Retention-Normal Mode. In: Schimpf ME, Caldwell KD, Giddings JC (ed) Field-Flow Fractionation Handbook, Wiley-Interscience, New York, pp 31-48

Thompson GH, Myers MN, Giddings JC (1969) Thermal field-flow fractionation of polystyrene samples. Anal Chem 41(10):1219-1222

Tri N, Caldwell KD, Beckett R (2000) Development of Electrical Field-Flow Fractionation. Anal Chem 72(8):1823-1829

Veen R, Fromell K, Caldwell KD (2005) Shifts in polystyrene particle surface charge upon adsorption of the Pluronic F108 surfactant. J Colloid Interface Sci 288(1):124-128