

**A FINITE INDEX SUBGROUP OF  $B_N(\mathcal{O}_S)$  WITH  
INFINITE DIMENSIONAL  
COHOMOLOGY**

by

Brendan Kelly

A dissertation submitted to the faculty of  
The University of Utah  
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Mathematics

The University of Utah

May 2014

Copyright © Brendan Kelly 2014

All Rights Reserved

# The University of Utah Graduate School

## STATEMENT OF DISSERTATION APPROVAL

The dissertation of Brendan Kelly

has been approved by the following supervisory committee members:

Kevin Wortman, Chair 3/7/2014  
Date Approved

Mladen Bestvina, Member 3/7/2014  
Date Approved

Jonathan Chaika, Member 3/7/2014  
Date Approved

Richard Wade, Member 3/7/2014  
Date Approved

Yair Glasner, Member 3/7/2014  
Date Approved

and by Peter Trapa, Chair/Dean of

the Department/College/School of Mathematics

and by David B. Kieda, Dean of The Graduate School.

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be the finite field with  $p$  elements, let  $S$  be a finite nonempty set of inequivalent valuations on  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}_S$  be the ring of  $S$ -integers. If  $\mathbf{B}_n$  is the solvable, linear algebraic group of upper triangular matrices with determinant 1, then the solvable  $S$ -arithmetic group  $\mathbf{B}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$  has a finite-index subgroup with infinite-dimensional cohomology group in dimension  $|S|$ .

# CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>CHAPTERS</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2. AN ALGEBRAIC RETRACT</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>3. A GOOD CHOICE OF UNIFORMIZERS</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>4. TWO SPACES <math>\Gamma</math> ACTS ON</b> .....	<b>7</b>
4.1 A tree for each place .....	7
4.2 A product of trees - $X$ .....	8
4.3 A space with a free $\Gamma$ action - $Y$ .....	10
<b>5. LOCAL PROPERTIES OF <math>X</math></b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>6. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT</b> .....	<b>17</b>
6.1 A family of cocycles on $\Gamma \backslash Y$ .....	17
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>21</b>

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

We begin by recalling definitions of various finiteness properties for groups.

**Definition 1** *A group  $G$  is said to be of type  $F_m$  if  $G$  acts freely on a contractible CW complex  $X$  and the  $m$ -skeleton of  $G \backslash X$  is finite.*

If a group  $G$  is of type  $F_m$ , then by definition (using the cellular chain complex of  $X$ ), there is a free resolution of the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is finitely generated up to dimension  $m$ . This suggests the following weakening of the finiteness condition  $F_m$ .

**Definition 2** *A group  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$  (with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) if there is a projective resolution of the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}$  that is finitely generated up to dimension  $m$ .*

It is clear that if a group is  $F_m$ , then it is  $FP_m$ . In [1], Bestvina-Brady give an example to show that  $FP_m$  is strictly weaker than  $F_m$  (see example 6.3.3).

Let  $S$  be a finite nonempty set of inequivalent valuations on a global field  $K$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_S$  be the ring of  $S$ -integers. Given an affine algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over  $K$ , we can realize  $\mathbf{G}(K)$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_n(K)$ . An  $S$ -arithmetic group is defined by restricting the entries of  $\mathbf{G}(K)$  to  $\mathcal{O}_S \subseteq K$ . Given a valuation  $v$  on  $K$  let  $K_v$  denote the completion of  $K$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_v$  induced by  $v$ .

For any field extension  $L/K$ , the  $L$ -rank of  $\mathbf{G}$ , denoted  $\text{rank}_L \mathbf{G}$ , is the dimension of a maximal  $L$ -split torus of  $\mathbf{G}$ . For any  $K$ -group  $\mathbf{G}$  and set of places  $S$ , we define the nonnegative integer

$$k(\mathbf{G}, S) = \sum_{v \in S} \text{rank}_{K_v} \mathbf{G}. \quad (1.1)$$

This number is called the sum of the local ranks.

Bux-Köhl-Witzel recently showed that every  $S$ -arithmetic subgroup  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_S)$  of a non-commutative  $K$ -isotropic absolutely almost simple group  $\mathbf{G}$  defined over a global function field  $K$  is of type  $F_{k(\mathbf{G}, S)-1}$  but not of type  $F_{k(\mathbf{G}, S)}$  [2]. Applying this theorem to  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p[t])$  shows that  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p[t])$  is of type  $F_{n-2}$  but not of type  $F_{n-1}$ .

For the case of solvable groups, the result is different in that it does not depend at all on the rank of the group. In [3], Bux shows that if  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Chevalley group and  $\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{G}$  is a Borel subgroup, then  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{O}_S)$  is of type  $FP_{|S|-1}$  but not type  $FP_{|S|}$ .

If a finitely-generated group  $G$  is of type  $FP_m$ , then  $H^m(G; R)$  is a finitely-generated  $R$ -module. However, if a group fails to be  $FP_m$ , then it is not necessarily the case that  $H^m(G; R)$  is an infinitely-generated  $R$ -module. For an example of this, let  $H$  be a nontrivial perfect group (the abelianization of  $H$  is trivial). Now let

$$G = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} H \tag{1.2}$$

then obviously  $G$  is not  $FP_1$  since it is not finitely generated and  $FP_1$  is equivalent to being finitely generated. However,  $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , since  $H_1$  is the abelianization of  $G$ . So asking if  $H_m(G, -)$  is finitely generated becomes an interesting question even when we know that  $G$  is not  $FP_m$ .

The group  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  is not an  $S$ -arithmetic group. However, many of the techniques used for  $S$ -arithmetic groups can be employed to gain results about finiteness properties of  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t])$ . In [4], Bux-Mohammadi-Wortman show that  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  is not  $FP_{n-1}$  and in [5], Cesa-Kelly demonstrate that certain principal congruence subgroups of  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  have infinite-dimensional cohomology in dimension  $(n - 1)$ . Knudson has shown that  $H_2(\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]); \mathbb{Z})$  is infinite dimensional [6]. In [7], Cobb gives a new proof of this theorem by studying the Euclidean building for  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q}((t^{-1})))$ .

This paper brings together techniques from [5] and [3] to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\Gamma_n$  be the finite-index subgroup of  $\mathbf{B}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$  such that the diagonal entries all are of the form  $\frac{f}{g}$  where  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  are monic polynomials. If  $p \neq 2$ , then  $H^{|S|}(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is an infinite-dimensional vector space.*

In Chapter 2, we show that dimension of  $H^k(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is the same as the dimension of  $H^k(\Gamma_2; \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Therefore, to prove Theorem 1, we will focus our attention on  $\Gamma_2 \subseteq \mathbf{B}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ . To simplify notation, in what follows, let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_2$ .

In Chapter 3, we show that  $\Gamma$  acts on  $X$  a product of trees and  $Y$ , a modified horosphere. The goal is to construct an infinite family of cocycles on  $\Gamma \backslash Y$  and show that they are independent.

## CHAPTER 2

### AN ALGEBRAIC RETRACT

In [3], Bux shows that the finiteness length of  $\mathbf{B}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$  does not depend on the rank of  $\mathbf{B}_n$  but instead only the number of places in  $S$ . This surprising result is uncovered for the group  $\mathbf{B}_n$  by linking the finiteness properties of  $\mathbf{B}_n$  and the finiteness properties of  $\mathbf{B}_2$ .

**Definition 3** *A retract between two groups  $G$  and  $H$  is given by a surjection  $G \rightarrow H$  and an inclusion  $H \rightarrow G$  such that the composition  $H \rightarrow G \rightarrow H$  is the identity. Denote a retract of groups by  $G \rightleftarrows H$ .*

In Section 4 of [3], it is shown that if there is a retract  $G \rightleftarrows H$ , then  $G$  and  $H$  have the same finiteness length.

**Lemma 2** *Suppose  $G \rightleftarrows H$  is a retract of groups. Then there is an injection between  $H^i(H; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $H^i(G; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

**Proof.** The proposition follows since functors and cofunctors preserve the structure of the retract. ■

**Lemma 3** *There is a retract  $\Gamma_n \rightleftarrows \Gamma$ .*

**Proof.**

$$\Gamma \simeq \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \Gamma_n$$
■



## CHAPTER 3

### A GOOD CHOICE OF UNIFORMIZERS

The valuations on  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$  arise in two manners. The first way to build a discrete valuation is to choose  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$ , an irreducible polynomial. Every element  $h \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)$  can be associated to a unique integer  $k$  by writing

$$h = f^k \frac{g}{q} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $g, q \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  and  $f$  does not divide  $g$  or  $q$ . Therefore, for each irreducible polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$ , we have a valuation

$$\nu_f(h) = k. \quad (3.2)$$

There is one more valuation that is not accounted for by a suitable choice of  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$ . Given a rational function

$$h = \frac{g}{q} \quad (3.3)$$

let

$$\nu_\infty(h) = \deg(q) - \deg(g). \quad (3.4)$$

For any discrete valuation  $\nu_i$  there is an element  $\pi_i \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)$  such that  $\nu_i(\pi_i) = 1$ . The element  $\pi_i$  is called a uniformizer and plays an important role in the construction for the Euclidean building corresponding to  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_{\nu_i})$ .

There are many choices for  $\pi_i$  and if you are working with one place (considering the  $|S| = 1$  case), it is not so important which element you choose to be your uniformizer. However, working in a setting with multiple places, it will be useful to make sure that we can limit the interaction between different valuations and uniformizers. The content of the Lemma 4 makes this assurance for us.

**Lemma 4** *There exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $\nu_i \in S$ , there exists  $\pi_{i,S} \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)$  with the following relationship*

$$\nu_i(\pi_{j,S}) = \begin{cases} d & : i = j \\ 0 & : i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Note that the elements  $\pi_{i,S}$  are not uniformizers if  $d \neq 1$ . Before we supply a proof for Lemma 4, consider the following example.

**Example 1** For this example, fix a prime  $p = 2$  and a set of inequivalent valuations  $S = \{\nu_t, \nu_\infty, \nu_{t+1}\}$ . The following choices of elements satisfy the lemma:

$$\pi_{t,S} = \frac{t^2}{t^2 + t + 1} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\pi_{t+1,S} = \frac{(t+1)^2}{t^2 + t + 1} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\pi_{\infty,S} = \frac{1}{t^2 + t + 1} \quad (3.8)$$

Note that there is no choice of elements such that

$$\nu_i(\pi_{j,S}) = \begin{cases} 1 & : i = j \\ 0 & : i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

**Proof.**[Lemma 4] Given a valuation  $\nu_i \in S$ , let  $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  be the monic irreducible polynomial that is associated to  $\nu_i$  (in the case where  $\nu_i = \nu_\infty$ , let  $f_i = 1/t$ ). We know that there is a monic irreducible polynomial associated to  $\nu_i$  since we are working over a finite field. Because there are infinitely many primes in  $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ , there is an irreducible polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  such that  $\nu_h$  is not equivalent to any of the valuations  $\nu_i \in S$ . Now let

$$\pi_{i,S} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_i^{\deg(h)}}{h^{\deg(f_i)}} & : \nu_i \neq \nu_\infty \\ \frac{1}{h} & : \nu_i = \nu_\infty \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

From the construction, we see that

$$\nu_i(\pi_{j,S}) = \begin{cases} \deg(h) & : i = j \\ 0 & : i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

The integer  $d$  in the lemma can be chosen to be the least integer  $d$  such that there is an irreducible polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  of degree  $d$  with  $\nu_h \notin S$ . ■

The elements  $\pi_{i,S}$  constructed in the previous lemma are elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . They are not elements of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . This can be witnessed by seeing that

$$\nu_h(\pi_{i,S}) < 0 \quad (3.12)$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq |S|$ . The following lemma shows that there cannot be a nontrivial element  $a \in \mathcal{O}_S$  such that  $\nu_i(a) > 0$  for all  $\nu_i \in S$ .

**Lemma 5** *If  $a \in \mathcal{O}_S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p(t)$  and  $\nu_i(a) > 0$  for all  $\nu_i \in S$ , then  $a = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Assume there is nonzero element  $a \in \mathcal{O}_S$  such that  $\nu_i(a) > 0$  for all  $\nu_i \in S$ . Then,  $a = \frac{g}{h}$  where  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  and either  $g$  or  $h$  has degree at least one. Since  $a \in \mathcal{O}_S$  and either  $\nu_\infty \in S$  or  $\nu_\infty \notin S$ ,  $\nu_\infty(a) \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $\deg(h) \geq \deg(g)$  and therefore  $\deg(h) > 0$ . Choose a prime polynomial  $p$  such that  $p$  divides  $h$ . Notice that  $\nu_p(a) < 0$ . Either  $\nu_p \in S$  or  $\nu_p \notin S$ . If  $\nu_p \in S$ , then by the hypothesis of the lemma  $\nu_p(a) > 0$ . If  $\nu_p \notin S$ , then  $\nu_p(a) \geq 0$  since  $a \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . This shows our assumption leads to a contradiction. ■

## CHAPTER 4

### TWO SPACES $\Gamma$ ACTS ON

In this chapter we record two spaces that  $\Gamma$  acts on.

#### 4.1 A tree for each place

For each place  $\nu \in S$ , let  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu$  be the completion of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$  with respect to  $\nu$ . Let  $A_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu : \nu(x) \geq 0\}$  be the valuation ring associated to the field  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu$ . An  $A_\nu$ -lattice of  $V_\nu = \mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu \times \mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu$  is an  $A_\nu$ -submodule of  $V_\nu$  of the form  $L = A_\nu e_1 \oplus A_\nu e_2$  where  $e_1, e_2$  is the standard basis of  $V$ .

To build the Euclidean building for  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu)$ , take for vertices homothety classes of  $A_\nu$ -lattices (two lattices  $L$  and  $L'$  are homothetic if  $\lambda L = L'$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu$ ). Choose an element  $\omega_\nu \in A_\nu$  such that  $\nu(\omega_\nu) = 1$ . There is an edge between two lattice classes  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$  if there are representative lattices  $L, L'$  such that

$$\omega_\nu L < L' < L. \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $X_\nu$  denote the tree that is constructed in this way. The tree  $X_\nu$  is a regular tree where each vertex has valence equal to the cardinality of the residue field  $A_\nu/\omega_\nu A_\nu$ . The tree can be realized as a union of lines each isometric to  $\mathbb{R}$ . These lines are called apartments. Fix a basis  $e_1, e_2$  for  $V_\nu$ . The standard apartment is the orbit of the two edges

$$\{\omega_\nu^0 e_1, e_2\} - - - - \{\omega_\nu^1 e_1, e_2\} - - - - \{\omega_\nu^2 e_1, e_2\} \quad (4.2)$$

under the action of diagonal matrices. The vertices of the standard apartment have representative lattices of the form  $\{\omega_\nu^k e_1, e_2\}$ . The stabilizer of the vertex  $\{\omega_\nu^k e_1, e_2\}$  contains matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\nu(f) \geq k$ .

Consult Chapter 2 of Serre's book *Trees* [8] or Brown's book *Buildings* [9] for more details.

## 4.2 A product of trees - $X$

Since  $\Gamma$  embeds diagonally into  $\prod_{\nu \in S} \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu)$  and each  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu)$  acts on  $X_\nu$ , the group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $X = \prod_{\nu \in S} X_\nu$ . The product  $X$  is a Euclidean building with apartments isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^{|S|}$ . The standard apartment in  $X$  is the product of the standard apartments from each of the factors:

$$\mathcal{A}_S = \prod_{\nu \in S} \mathcal{A}_\nu. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, all of the vertices in  $\mathcal{A}_S$  can be described as an  $|S|$ -tuple, where  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{|S|}$  describes the point associated to the following point in the product

$$\prod_{\nu_i \in S} \{\omega_{\nu_i}^{a_i} e_1, e_2\} \in X. \quad (4.5)$$

**Lemma 6** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_S^*$  denote the units of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ . For every  $1 \leq i, j \leq |S|$ , the element  $\frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_j)}}{\pi_{j,S}^{\deg(f_i)}}$  is a quotient of monic polynomials in  $\mathcal{O}_S^*$ .*

**Proof.** The units in  $\mathcal{O}_S$  are exactly the elements  $a$  such that  $a$  and  $a^{-1}$  are both in the ring of  $S$ -integers. We will show that  $\frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_j)}}{\pi_{j,S}^{\deg(f_i)}} \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$  by showing that  $\frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_j)}}{\pi_{j,S}^{\deg(f_i)}} \in \mathcal{O}_S$  and not making use of the fact that  $i < j$  or  $j < i$ .

$$\frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_j)}}{\pi_{j,S}^{\deg(f_i)}} = \frac{f_i^{\deg(f_j)\deg(h)}}{h^{\deg(f_i)\deg(f_j)}} \frac{h^{\deg(f_i)\deg(f_j)}}{f_j^{\deg(f_i)\deg(h)}} \quad (4.6)$$

$$= \frac{f_i^{\deg(f_j)\deg(h)}}{f_j^{\deg(f_i)\deg(h)}} \quad (4.7)$$

To show that this is an  $S$ -integer, we will show that  $\nu\left(\frac{f_i^{\deg(f_j)\deg(h)}}{f_j^{\deg(f_i)\deg(h)}}\right) \geq 0$  for all  $\nu \notin \{\nu_{f_i}, \nu_{f_j}\}$ . The only possible  $\nu$  to present a challenge is showing that  $\nu_\infty\left(\frac{f_i^{\deg(f_j)\deg(h)}}{f_j^{\deg(f_i)\deg(h)}}\right) \geq 0$ . However, since the denominator and numerator have the same degree  $\nu_\infty\left(\frac{f_i^{\deg(f_j)\deg(h)}}{f_j^{\deg(f_i)\deg(h)}}\right) = 0$ .

This shows that  $\frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_j)}}{\pi_{j,S}^{\deg(f_i)}} \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$ . ■

**Lemma 7** *The convex hull of  $\Gamma \cdot (0, 0, \dots, 0) \cap \mathcal{A}_S$  contains a  $(|S| - 1)$ -dimensional flat. Using the coordinates described above, the convex hull of  $\Gamma \cdot (0, 0, \dots, 0) \cap \mathcal{A}_S$  is the span of the vectors*

$$v_1 = (\deg(f_1), -\deg(f_2), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (4.8)$$

$$v_2 = (0, \deg(f_2), -\deg(f_3), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (4.9)$$

$$v_3 = (0, 0, \deg(f_3), -\deg(f_4), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\vdots \quad (4.11)$$

$$v_{|S|-1} = (0, \dots, \deg(f_{|S|-1}), -\deg(f_{|S|})). \quad (4.12)$$

Furthermore,  $\Gamma \cdot (0, 0, \dots, 0) \cap \mathcal{A}_S$  is quasi-isometric to this  $(|S| - 1)$ -dimensional flat.

**Proof.** The first remark is that  $\mathcal{O}_S^*$  contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}^{|S|-1}$  as a finite index subgroup. This follows by an application of Dirichlet's unit theorem (see Theorem 5.12 [10]). Such a subgroup containing only  $\frac{f}{g}$  with  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$  and  $f, g$  monic polynomials is constructed in Lemma 6. This demonstrates that the orbit of  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  under the orbit of diagonal elements in  $\Gamma$  is quasi-isometric to an  $(|S| - 1)$ -dimensional flat.

From Lemma 6, we know that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_{i+1})}}{\pi_{i+1,S}^{\deg(f_i)}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\pi_{i+1,S}^{\deg(f_i)}}{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_{i+1})}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{B}_2(\mathcal{O}_S). \quad (4.13)$$

This shows that

$$2d \cdot v_i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_{i+1})}}{\pi_{i+1,S}^{\deg(f_i)}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\pi_{i+1,S}^{\deg(f_i)}}{\pi_{i,S}^{\deg(f_{i+1})}} \end{pmatrix} (0, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (4.14)$$

is in the convex hull of the orbit and therefore, the convex hull of the orbit contains  $\text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{|S|-1})$ . ■

Let  $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{O}$  denote the  $(|S| - 1)$ -dimensional flat described in Lemma 7.

**Lemma 8** *The sequence of points  $x_m = \{(-m, -m, \dots, -m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_S$  is unbounded in the quotient  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S) \backslash X$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is modeled after a result of Bux-Wortman (see [11] Lemma 2.2).

The group  $G = \prod_{\nu \in S} \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t))$  acts on  $X$  component wise. The valuations  $\nu_i \in S$  define a metric on  $G$  such that the point stabilizers are bounded subgroups. To show that

$x_m$  is unbounded in  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)\backslash X$ , it suffices to prove that the preimage of  $x_m$  is unbounded under the canonical projection

$$\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)\backslash G \rightarrow \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)\backslash X. \quad (4.15)$$

Let  $D_i \in \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t))$  be the diagonal matrix with entries  $\pi_{i,S}$  and  $\pi_{i,S}^{-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |S|$ . Now take  $D = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{|S|}) \in G$  and observe that

$$D^{-m} \cdot (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = (-2dm, -2dm, -2dm, \dots, -2dm). \quad (4.16)$$

If  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)D^{-m}$  were bounded in  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)\backslash G$  then there would exist a global constant  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a matrix

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S) \quad (4.17)$$

such that the values of the entries of  $M_n D_i^n$  under  $\nu_i$  are bounded below by  $C$ . This would imply that for each  $\nu_i \in S$ ,

$$C \leq \nu_i(a_n) \left( \frac{1}{\pi_{i,S}} \right)^n = \nu_i(a_n) - n \cdot d \quad (4.18)$$

therefore,  $\nu_i(a_n) \geq 1$  whenever  $n \cdot d \geq 1 - C$  which by Lemma 5 implies that  $a_n = 0$ . However, the same argument also shows that  $c_n = 0$ , but this implies that  $M_{1-C} \notin \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ . ■

Lemma 8 also shows that the sequence of points  $x_m$  is unbounded in the quotient  $\Gamma \backslash X$ .

### 4.3 A space with a free $\Gamma$ action - $Y$

Notice that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$  is not free. The  $\Gamma$  point stabilizers are finite groups, and there is no bound on the order of the point stabilizers. This section contains a construction of a complex,  $Y_S$ , which is  $|S|$ -connected and has a  $\Gamma$ -action. There will be a map from  $Y_S$  to the building  $X$ .

Let  $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the unit speed geodesic ray based at  $x_0$  that passes through  $x_m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\beta_c(x) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} (\tau - d(x, c(\tau)))$ . This is called the *Busemann function* associated to  $c$ . The function is well studied and provides a notion of height in the building  $X$ . Given  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , the inverse image  $\beta_c^{-1}(x)$  is called a horosphere and the inverse image of  $\beta_c^{-1}[x, \infty)$  is called a horoball. The ray  $c$  represents a point in the visual boundary of  $X$  and is fixed by  $\prod_{\nu \in S} \mathbf{B}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbf{B}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$  fixes every horosphere based at  $c$ .

Let  $Y_0$  be a horosphere associated to  $c$ . In [12], Bux shows that  $Y_0$  is  $(|S| - 2)$ -connected. Our goal is to build an  $|S|$ -connected space,  $Y$ , containing  $Y_0$  such that  $\Gamma$  acts freely outside of  $Y_0$ , and a map  $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$  that extends the inclusion  $Y_0 \subseteq Y$  and that is  $\Gamma$  equivariant.

If  $Y_0$  is not  $(|S| - 1)$ -connected, there is some map of an  $|S| - 2$  dimensional sphere  $f : S^{|S|-2} \rightarrow Y_0$  whose image is not contractible in  $Y_0$ . Using the inclusion map  $\psi : Y_0 \rightarrow X$  and the fact that  $X$  is  $|S|$ -connected, there is a  $(|S| - 1)$ -disk,  $\Delta^{|S|-1} \subseteq X$  such that  $\partial\Delta^{|S|-1} = f(S^{|S|-2})$

Let

$$Y'_1 = Y_0 \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma\Delta^{|S|-1} / \sim \quad (4.19)$$

where the boundary of the disk  $\gamma\Delta^{|S|-1}$  is identified with its image  $\gamma f(\partial\Delta^{|S|-1})$  in  $Y_0$ . The inclusion map from  $Y_0$  to  $X$  can be extended to  $\psi'_1$  by mapping the disk  $\gamma(\Delta^{|S|-1}) \subseteq Y'_1$  to  $\gamma\Delta^{|S|-1} \subseteq X$ . Continue this process till you have constructed an  $(|S| - 1)$ -connected space  $Y_1$ . Along with  $Y_1$ , we get a map  $\Gamma$ -equivariant  $\psi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X$ .

To obtain a space  $Y$  which is  $|S|$ -connected, begin by choosing some  $f : S^{|S|-1} \rightarrow Y_1$  with a noncontractible image in  $Y_1$ . For an arbitrary  $|S|$ -disk,  $\Delta^{|S|}$ , let

$$Y'_2 = Y_1 \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma\Delta^{|S|} / \sim \quad (4.20)$$

where the boundary of  $\gamma\Delta^{|S|}$  is identified with the sphere  $\gamma f(\partial\Delta^{|S|})$  in  $Y_1$ . Repeat this process until the resulting space is  $|S|$ -connected, and call this space  $Y$ . Note that the major difference in this step of the construction and the previous step is that there is no induced cellular map from  $Y$  (which is  $|S| + 1$ -dimensional), to the building  $X$  (which is  $|S|$ -dimensional). However,  $\psi$  can be extended to a map from  $Y$  to  $X$  by mapping each  $(|S| + 1)$ -cell continuously. The map is not unique, but this will not be a problem.

Let  $U$  be the subgroup of  $\prod_{\nu \in S} \mathbf{B}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu)$  with matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.21)$$

Let  $U_m$  be the subgroup of  $U$  that fixes  $x_m$ . The group  $U$  is isomorphic to the field  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu$  and  $U_m$  is a subspace of this vector space. Therefore, we can write  $U = U_m \times U^m$ . Let  $g_m : X_S \rightarrow U^m \setminus X$  be the quotient map. Notice that  $X_S = U\mathcal{A}_S$ .

Let  $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the unit speed geodesic ray based at  $x_0$  that passes through  $x_m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\beta_c(x) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} (\tau - d(x, c(\tau)))$ . This is called the *Busemann function* associated to  $c$ . The function is well studied and provides a notion of height in the building  $X$ . Given  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , the inverse image  $\beta_c^{-1}(x)$  is called a horosphere and the inverse image of  $\beta_c^{-1}[x, \infty)$  is called a horoball. The ray  $c$  represents a point in the visual boundary of  $X_S$  and is fixed by  $\prod_{\nu \in S} \mathbf{B}_2(\mathbb{F}_p(t)_\nu)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbf{B}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$  fixes every horosphere based at  $c$ .

**Lemma 9** *The  $\Gamma$  orbit of  $x_0$  has bounded height with respect to the Busemann function  $\beta_c$ .*



**Proof.** See Theorem 6.2 in [13]. ■

**Lemma 10** *There exists an  $N$  such that for  $m > N$ , given any chain  $\sigma \subseteq X_{S,\Gamma}$  with  $(\partial\sigma)^0 \subseteq \Gamma x$  then  $g_m(\psi(\sigma)) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)$  is supported on  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$ .*

**Proof.** To begin, we choose  $N$  such that for  $m > N$ ,  $\beta_c(x_m) > \beta_c(\Gamma x_0)$ . Assume otherwise. Then there is a chamber  $C_1 \subseteq \text{supp}(g_m(\psi(\sigma)) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m))$  such that  $C_1 \not\subseteq \text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$ . This means that there is a face  $F_1$  of  $C_1$  such that for every  $x \in F_1$

$$\beta_c(x) \geq \beta_c(x_m). \quad (4.22)$$

Because of Lemma 9, this means that  $F_1 \not\subseteq \partial(\psi(\sigma))$  and therefore, there is another chamber  $C_2$  such that  $C_1 \cap C_2 = F_1$  and  $C_2 \subseteq (g_m(\psi(\sigma)))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be an apartment that contains  $C_1$  and contains the point at infinity fixed by  $U$ . Every chamber  $C' \subseteq X$  for which  $C' \cap C_1 = F_1$  is either in  $\mathcal{A}_1$  or is equal to  $uC_1$  for some  $u \in U$ . We can write  $u = u^*u_*$  for some  $u^* \in U^m$  and  $u_* \in U_m$ . But  $U_m$  fixes  $C_1$  so  $uC_1 = u^*C_1$  and  $g_m(u^*C_1) = C_1$ . Since  $C_2 \neq C_1$ , it must be the case that  $C_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$ .

The above shows that there is only one  $C'$  in the image of  $g_m$  such that  $C' \cap C_1 = F_1$  and that there is a face  $F_2$  of  $C_2$  such that for every  $x \in F_2$

$$\beta_c(x) \geq \beta_c(x_m). \quad (4.23)$$

This process can be repeated indefinitely. However, this would imply that the support of  $g_m(\psi(\sigma))$  contains infinitely many cells, which is absurd. ■

## CHAPTER 5

### LOCAL PROPERTIES OF $X$

In this section we define local properties.

**Definition 4** *Given a polysimplicial complex  $C$  and a vertex  $x \in C$  the link of  $x$  denoted  $Lk(x)$  is a subcomplex of  $C$  consisting of the polytopes  $\tau$  that are disjoint from  $x$  and such that both  $x$  and  $\tau$  are faces of some higher-dimensional simplex in  $C$ .*

In this section, for each  $x \in X$ , we will construct a local cocycle  $\phi \in H^{|S|-1}(Lk(x); \mathbb{F}_p)$ . The cocycle will be extended to a global cocycle of  $\Gamma \backslash Y$  by making use of the map  $\psi$  and an averaging technique. As in Section 3.1, let  $A_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p(t) : \nu(x) \geq 0\}$  be the valuation ring associated to  $\nu$ . The quotient  $\mathbb{F}_\nu = A_\nu / \omega_\nu A_\nu$  is a finite field called the residue field.

For a vertex  $x \in X_\nu$ , the link of  $x$  can be understood several ways. Consistent with the general theory of Euclidean buildings, you can see  $Lk(x)$  as the spherical building for  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ . However, in this special case, you can see the link of  $x$  as  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ , the projective line over the field  $\mathbb{F}_\nu$ . The stabilizer of  $x$  in  $\Gamma$  acts on  $Lk(x)$ . The action fixes the point  $[1 : 0]$  that corresponds to infinity in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ .

**Definition 5** *The join of two complexes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  denoted  $C_1 \star C_2$  is*

$$C_1 \times C_2 \times [0, 1] / \sim, \tag{5.1}$$

where  $(x, y, 0) \sim (x, y', 0)$  and  $(x, y, 1) \sim (x', y, 1)$  for all  $x, x' \in C_1$  and  $y, y' \in C_2$

The link of a vertex  $(x, y) \in C_1 \times C_2$  is the join  $Lk(x) \star Lk(y)$ . This shows that if you have a vertex  $x \in X$ , then  $Lk(x)$  is the join of  $|S|$  spherical buildings one for each  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ .

The join of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_1})$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_2})$  is a complete bipartite graph. The edges in the graph correspond to elements in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_1}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_2})$ . In general, given  $x \in X$ , the link of  $x$  is a simplicial complex that is analogous to a complete bipartite graph. The analogy is made precise by the following lemma.

**Lemma 11** *Given a vertex  $x \in X$ , the link of  $x$  is a simplicial complex that can be described by the following:*

1. *The vertices of the  $Lk(x)$  can be enumerated by elements of  $\sqcup_{\nu \in S} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ . In the disjoint union, each  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$  is considered distinct and therefore, the vertices are partitioned into  $|S|$  different sets.*
2. *The edges of  $Lk(x)$  correspond to choosing two vertices from different sets in the disjoint union  $\sqcup_{\nu \in S} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$*
3.  *$Lk(x)$  is a flag complex.*

**Proof.** The vertices of  $C_1 \star C_2$  correspond to the disjoint union  $C_1^0 \sqcup C_2^0$ . Therefore by induction, given  $x \in X$ , the vertices exactly correspond to elements of  $\mu \in \sqcup_{\nu \in S} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ .

Given two polysimplicial complexes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , the edges in  $C_1 \star C_2$  are edges from  $C_1$ , edges from  $C_2$ , and edges between vertices in  $C_1$  and vertices in  $C_2$ . Because

$$\text{Lk}(x) = (\dots (\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_3}) \star (\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_2}) \star \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\nu_1}))) \dots), \quad (5.2)$$

given any two vertices  $y_1, y_2 \in \text{Lk}(x)$  that come from different elements of the partition, there is an edge between  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ .

The fact that  $\text{Lk}(x)$  is a flag complex is deduced from the well-known fact that  $\text{Lk}(x)$  is a spherical building. ■

The previous lemma gives an understanding of  $\text{Lk}(x)$  that is important in defining a cocycle  $\phi \in H^{|S|-1}(\text{Lk}(x); \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

In each place, there is a distinguished point at infinity  $[1 : 0]$ . It is set apart from the rest of the vertices in  $\text{Lk}(x)$  because it is fixed under the stabilizer of  $x$ . There is a distinguished chamber  $\mathcal{C}_\infty \subseteq \text{Lk}(x)$  where each vertex of  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$  corresponds to a different point  $[1 : 0]$  in one of the partition sets  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\nu)$ . This allows us to define the following set of “downward facing” chambers

$$\text{Lk}(x)^\downarrow = \bigcup_{\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}_\infty = \emptyset} \mathcal{C}. \quad (5.3)$$

Let  $P_m$  be the  $\Gamma$  stabilizer of the point  $x_m = (-m, -m, -m, \dots, -m) \in \mathcal{A}$ . The stabilizer of  $x_m$  consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \quad (5.4)$$

with  $\nu_i(f) \geq -m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |S|$ . The diagonal entries are equal to 1 since we have chosen  $\Gamma$  to have diagonal entries of the form  $\frac{f}{g}$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are monic polynomials. Because

$P_m$  acts on  $X$  and fixes  $x_m$ ,  $P_m$  acts on  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$ . Notice that for every  $g \in P_m$ ,  $g$  pointwise fixes the chamber  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$  and therefore, there is also a  $P_m$  action on  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$ .

**Lemma 12** *There is a cocycle  $\phi \in H^{|S|-1}(\text{Lk}(x_m); \mathbb{F}_p)$  that is  $P_m$  invariant on cycles that are supported on  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$ .*

**Proof.** The Solomon-Tits theorem informs us that a rank  $(|S| - 1)$  spherical building has the homotopy type of a connect sum of  $(|S| - 1)$ -spheres. Furthermore, given a chamber  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a basis for homology given by all the apartments that contain  $\mathcal{C}$ . A convenient index for this basis is representing any apartment  $\mathcal{A}$  that contains  $\mathcal{C}$  by the unique chamber in  $\mathcal{A}$  that is opposite  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The fact that  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$  is a spherical building is well known. Since  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$  is the join of a set of finite points, it is also a spherical building. The basis we will use for  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$  will be given by choosing  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ . Any chamber given by the point  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|})$  with each  $a_i \neq [1 : 0]$  is opposite  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ . Let the basis element that corresponds to the chamber given by the points  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|})$  be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n}$ . In this way, any cycle  $\sigma \in H_{|S|-1}(\text{Lk}(x_m), \mathbb{F}_p)$  can be written

$$\sigma_m = \sum c_i \mathcal{C}_{a_{1,i}, a_{2,i}, \dots, a_{|S|,i}}. \quad (5.5)$$

The field  $\mathbb{F}_\nu$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p[t]/f$  for some irreducible monic polynomial  $f$ . So elements of  $\mathbb{F}_\nu$  can be uniquely expressed as polynomials with degree less than  $\deg(f)$ . For an element  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{\nu_i}$ , define  $\widetilde{a_{\nu_i}}$  to be the degree 0 term of  $a_i$ . Now define a cocycle  $\phi_m$  such that

$$\phi_m(\sigma_m) = \sum c_i \widetilde{a_{1,i}} \widetilde{a_{2,i}} \dots \widetilde{a_{|S|,i}} \text{ where } c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p \quad (5.6)$$

We can choose a basis for homology for  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$  by choosing the chamber with vertices  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$ . An apartment in the basis for homology is given by selecting a chamber opposite  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Any chamber opposite  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$  has vertices  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|})$  with  $a_i \neq 0$  and  $a_i \neq \infty$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq |S|$ .

A combinatorial approach to labeling each chamber in the apartment that contains  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is to look at the product

$$(a_1 - 0)(a_2 - 0)(a_3 - 0) \dots (a_{|S|} - 0). \quad (5.7)$$

This product is the sum  $2^{|S|}$  terms. Each term in the product is a string of length  $|S|$  of  $a_i$ s and 0s and corresponds to a chamber. The sign of each term will give an orientation to each chamber such that the sum of the chambers is the apartment.

This combinatorial approach makes evaluating  $\phi_m$  (up to sign) on the apartment  $\mathcal{A}_{0,a}$  that contains  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|})$  straightforward

$$\phi_m(\mathcal{A}_{0,a}) = (\tilde{a}_1 - 0)(\tilde{a}_2 - 0)(\tilde{a}_3 - 0) \dots (\widetilde{a_{|S|}} - 0). \quad (5.8)$$

The  $P_m$  action on  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$  fixes all the vertices that correspond to  $[1 : 0]$ . Therefore, the action stabilizes  $\text{Lk}(x)^\perp$ . Specifically, for any  $u \in P_m$ , there is a  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|S|}) \in \prod_{\nu \in S} \mathbb{F}_p$  such that

$$u \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|}) = (a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2, \dots, a_{|S|} + u_{|S|}). \quad (5.9)$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{0,a}$  be the apartment that contains opposite chambers  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|S|})$  and  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then  $u\mathcal{A}_{b,a}$  contains the chambers  $(a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2, \dots, a_{|S|} + u_{|S|})$  and  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|S|})$ . Therefore,

$$\phi_m(u\mathcal{A}_{b,a}) = ((a_1 + u_1) - (u_1)) \dots ((a_{|S|} + u_{|S|}) - (u_{|S|})) \quad (5.10)$$

$$= (a_1 - 0)(a_2 - 0)(a_3 - 0) \dots (a_{|S|} - 0) \quad (5.11)$$

$$= \phi_m(\mathcal{A}_{0,a}). \quad (5.12)$$

Because  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$  is  $(|S| - 1)$  dimensional,  $\phi$  is a top dimensional cochain and therefore represents an element of cohomology. ■

**Remark 1** *Lemma 12 is what requires us to pass from  $\mathbf{B}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$  to  $\Gamma_n$ . In  $\mathbf{B}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$ , the point stabilizers include diagonal matrices that do not leave  $\phi$  invariant. However, if  $p = 3$ , then we could work with  $\mathbf{B}_2(\mathcal{O}_S)$  since the only additional matrix in the stabilizer of a point is the diagonal matrix with a 2 in both entries. This diagonal matrix acts trivially on the  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$ .*

## CHAPTER 6

### PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this chapter, we prove the main result.

#### 6.1 A family of cocycles on $\Gamma \backslash Y$

For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\Phi_m : C_{|S|+1}(\Gamma \backslash Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \quad (6.1)$$

as follows: given an  $(|S| + 1)$ -cell  $\Gamma B$  in  $\Gamma \backslash Y$ , let

$$\Phi_m(\Gamma B) = \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi(\gamma^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)). \quad (6.2)$$

**Lemma 13** *The map  $\Phi_m$  is well defined. In particular, it is independent of choices of coset representatives  $\gamma P_m$  and representative  $\gamma B$  for an  $(|S| + 1)$ -cell in  $\Gamma \backslash Y$ .*

**Proof.** First we check that replacing  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma p_\gamma$  (changing the coset representatives) does not change the value of  $\Phi_m$ :

$$\sum_{(\gamma p_\gamma) P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi((\gamma p_\gamma)^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.3)$$

$$= \sum_{(\gamma p_\gamma) P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi(p_\gamma^{-1} \gamma^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.4)$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(p_\gamma^{-1} g_m \psi(\gamma^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.5)$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi(\gamma^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.6)$$

$$= \Phi_m(\Gamma B) \quad (6.7)$$

Next we check that choosing a different lift of  $\Gamma B$  does not change the value of  $\Phi_m(\Gamma B)$ .  
If  $y \in \Gamma$ , then

$$\Phi_m(\Gamma yB) = \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi(\gamma^{-1} yB) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.8)$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi((y^{-1} \gamma)^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.9)$$

$$= \sum_{y \gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi((y^{-1} y \gamma)^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.10)$$

$$= \sum_{y \gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi(\gamma^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.11)$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(g_m \psi(\gamma^{-1} B) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) \quad (6.12)$$

$$= \Phi_m(\Gamma B) \quad (6.13)$$

■

**Lemma 14** *The chain map  $\Phi_m$  is a representative for a cohomology class in  $H^{|S|}(Y; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

**Proof.** In order to show that  $\Phi_m$  is a cocycle, we will demonstrate that it is trivial on boundaries of  $|S| + 1$ -disks, and thus is in the kernel of the coboundary map.

Let  $\Gamma B^{|S|+1}$  be an  $(|S| + 1)$ -cell in  $\Gamma \setminus Y$ , corresponding to the  $(|S| + 1)$ -cell  $B^{|S|+1}$  in  $Y$ . Then  $\Gamma(\partial B^{|S|+1})$  is an  $|S|$ -sphere in  $\Gamma \setminus Y$  and  $\partial B^{|S|+1}$  is an  $|S|$ -sphere in  $Y$ . Since the product of trees  $X$  contains no nontrivial  $|S|$ -spheres, the image of  $B^{|S|}$  under the map  $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$  is trivial. Thus,

$$\Phi_m(\Gamma(\partial B^{|S|+1})) = \sum_{g P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_m(\psi(\Gamma^{-1} \partial B^{|S|+1}) \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) = 0 \quad (6.14)$$

■

**Lemma 15** *The cohomology class that  $\Phi_m$  represents is nontrivial.*

**Proof.** To prove this lemma, we will construct an explicit cycle  $\sigma_m$  such that  $\Phi_m(\sigma_m) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\delta_m$  be the  $|S|$ -simplex in  $\mathcal{A}$  that is spanned by the following vectors:

$$v_{1,m} = (dm \cdot \deg(f_1), -dm \cdot \deg(f_2), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (6.15)$$

$$v_{2,m} = (0, dm \cdot \deg(f_2), -dm \cdot \deg(f_3), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (6.16)$$

$$v_{3,m} = (0, 0, dm \cdot \deg(f_3), -dm \cdot \deg(f_4), 0, \dots, 0), \quad (6.17)$$

$$\vdots \quad (6.18)$$

$$v_{|S|-1,m} = (0, \dots, dm \cdot \deg(f_{|S|-1}), -dm \cdot \deg(f_{|S|})), \quad (6.19)$$

$$v_{|S|,m} = (-dm \cdot \deg(f_1), 0, \dots, 0, dm \cdot \deg(f_{|S|})) \quad (6.20)$$

$$v_{|S|+1,m} = (-dm, -dm, \dots, -dm, -dm) \quad (6.21)$$

Note that the face spanned by  $v_{1,m}, \dots, v_{|S|,m}$  is contained within  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . The technique to construct  $\sigma_m$  will be to use the action of unipotent elements in  $\Gamma$  to create a cycle with boundary contained in  $\Gamma\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}}$

For  $k \leq |S|$ , let  $F_k$  be the face of  $\delta_m$  that is spanned by  $v_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |S| + 1$  and  $i \neq k$ . Let

$$f_{k,m} = \prod_{i=1}^{|S|} \frac{\pi_{i,S}^{m \deg(f_k)}}{\pi_{k,S}^{m \deg(f_i)}}. \quad (6.22)$$

We have that

$$\nu_i(f_{k,m}) = \begin{cases} m \deg(f_i) & : i \neq k \\ -md \sum_{i \neq k} \deg(f_j) & : i = k \end{cases} \quad (6.23)$$

The matrix

$$u_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \quad (6.24)$$

fixes the face  $F_k$  and does not fix any other face of  $\delta_m$  since for an individual factor  $u_k$  fixes  $\{\omega_\nu e_1, e_2\}$  only if  $\nu(f) \geq k$ . The group

$$U = \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|S|} \rangle \quad (6.25)$$

is abelian.

Let  $\mathcal{P}\{u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}\}$  be the power set of the set  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{|S|}\}$ . The chain

$$\sigma_m = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}\{u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}\}} (-1)^{|\phi|} \mathbf{M}(\phi) \delta_m \quad (6.26)$$

is homeomorphic to a  $|S|$ -cell ( $\mathbf{M}$  is the multiplication map in the group). We can calculate the boundary of  $\sigma_m$

$$\partial(\sigma_m) = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}\{u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}\}} \sum_{i=1}^{|S|+1} (-1)^{|\phi|} \mathbf{M}(\phi) F_i \quad (6.27)$$

$$= \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{P}\{u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}\}} (-1)^{|\phi|} F_{|S|+1} \quad (6.28)$$

$$\subseteq \Gamma\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{O}} \quad (6.29)$$



By how  $Y$  was constructed, there is a cycle  $\widetilde{\sigma}_m \subseteq Y$  such that  $\psi(\widetilde{\sigma}_m) = \sigma_m$ . In Chapter 3, the labels of the vertices in  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$  were chosen with only one constraint. The vertex labeled  $\infty$  was fixed by the stabilizer of  $x_m$ . So we are free to choose the other labels. Choose the labels such that the value of  $\phi_m(\delta_m \cap \text{Lk}(x_m)) = 1$  and therefore,  $\Phi_m(\sigma_m) = |\mathcal{P}\{u_1, \dots, u_{|S|}\}| = 2^m$  because  $\psi$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant on  $\text{Lk}(x_m)^\downarrow$ .  $\blacksquare$

By how  $Y$  was constructed there is a cycle  $\widetilde{\sigma}_m \subseteq Y$  such that  $\psi(\widetilde{\sigma}_m) = \sigma_m$ . In Chapter 3 the labels of the vertices in  $\text{Lk}(x_m)$  were chosen with only one constraint. The vertex labeled  $\infty$  was fixed by the stabilizer of  $x_m$ . So we are free to choose the other labels

**Remark 2** *Lemma 15 is the reason we need  $p \neq 2$ . Here the cycle we build evaluates to a power of 2 under  $\Phi_m$ . For  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\Phi_m(\sigma_m) = 2^m \neq 0$ .*

The following proves Theorem 1.

**Theorem 16**  $H^{|S|}(Y; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is infinite dimensional

**Proof.** To show that  $H^{|S|}(Y; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is infinite dimensional, we will show that  $\Phi_k(\widetilde{\sigma}_m) = 0$  whenever  $k > m$ . We begin by showing that this will suffice. Choose any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It must be the case that  $\Phi_N$  and  $\Phi_{N-1}$  are independent since  $\Phi_N(\sigma_{N-1}) \neq 0 = \Phi_{N-1}(\sigma_{N-1})$ . By induction, we can show that  $\{\Phi_N, \Phi_{N-1}, \dots, \Phi_1\}$  is independent. Assume that we have shown that  $\{\Phi_N, \Phi_{N-1}, \dots, \Phi_k\}$  is independent, then we know that  $\{\Phi_N, \Phi_{N-1}, \dots, \Phi_{k-1}\}$  is an independent set because

$$\Phi_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1}) \neq 0 = \sum_{i>k-1}^N a_i \Phi_i(\sigma_{k-1}) \quad (6.30)$$

Now we prove that  $\Phi_k(\widetilde{\sigma}_m) = 0$  whenever  $k > m$ .

$$\Phi_k(\Gamma\widetilde{\sigma}_m) = \sum_{\gamma P_m \in \Gamma/P_m} \phi_k(\psi(\gamma^{-1}\widetilde{\sigma}_m) \cap \text{Lk}(x_k)) \quad (6.31)$$

$$= \phi_k(\sigma_m \cap \text{Lk}(x_k)) \quad (6.32)$$

To show that this evaluates to 0, observe that there is no chamber in  $F_m \cap \text{Lk}(x_k)$  for  $k > m$ .  $\blacksquare$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bestvina and N. Brady, “Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups,” *Inventiones mathematicae*, vol. 129, pp. 445–470, 1997.
- [2] K.-U. Bux, R. Kohl, and S. Witzel, “Higher Finiteness Properties of Reductive Arithmetic Groups in Positive Characteristic: The Rank Theorem,” *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 177, pp. 311–366, 2013.
- [3] K.-U. Bux, “Finiteness properties of soluble arithmetic groups over function fields,” *Geometry Topology*, vol. 8, pp. 611–644, 2004.
- [4] K.-U. Bux, A. Mohammadi, and K. Wortman, “ $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z}[t])$  is not  $FP_{n-1}$ ,” *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, vol. 85, pp. 151–164, 2010.
- [5] M. Cesa and B. Kelly, “Congruence subgroups of  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  with infinite dimensional cohomology,” *preprint*, 2013.
- [6] K. Knusdon, “Homology and finiteness properties of  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ ,” *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2008.
- [7] S. Cobb, “ $H^2(\mathbf{SL}_2\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]; \mathbb{Q})$  is infinite dimensional,” *Preprint*, 2013.
- [8] J. Serre, *Trees*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 1977.
- [9] K. Brown, *Buildings*. Springer, 1988.
- [10] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*. Academic Press, 1994.
- [11] K.-U. Bux and K. Wortman, “A geometric proof that  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$  is not finitely presented,” *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, vol. 6, pp. 839–852, 2006.
- [12] K.-U. Bux, “Finiteness properties of certain metabelian arithmetic groups in the function field case,” *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, vol. 75, pp. 308–322, 1997.
- [13] D. Morris and W. Wortman, “Horospherical limit points of  $S$ -arithmetic groups,” *Preprint*, 2013.