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#### Abstract

Every year millions of children are born with a birth defect. Birth defects, which can be described as abnormalities of structure or function that is present from birth, are the leading cause of infant death in developed countries and a significant cause of morbidity and economic burden in low- or middle-income countries. This dissertation addresses the genetic basis of distal arthrogryposes (DAs), a subgroup of birth defects that are characterized by contractures of the distal joints of a limb.

Based on previous research of our laboratory, we hypothesized that DAs are defects of contractile apparatus in fast twitch skeletal myofibers and tested this hypothesis in four DA syndromes. We found that mutations of the embryonic myosin heavy chain gene cause DA2A and DA2B, whereas a missense mutation of the perinatal myosin heavy chain gene is responsible for DA7. Furthermore, we found mutations in the adult and extraocular myosin heavy chain genes in some DA5 patients.

Furthermore, we noticed some patients with similar findings who do not meet the diagnostic criteria of the known DA syndromes. We proposed one of these conditions to be named as DA10, and mapped this condition to the long arm of chromosome 2. We named the other condition as the CATSHL syndrome, which we showed to be caused by a loss-of-function mutation in the fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 gene.

The main contribution of this research is to benefit affected individuals and their families, since molecular testing can now be offered to them. In addition, through


further studies leading to a better understanding of normal and abnormal development, effective strategies for prevention and treatment of congenital limb malformations can be developed.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

Every year more than 8 million children are born with a birth defect. ${ }^{1}$ Also known as congenital malformations, birth defects are a global problem. They are the leading cause of infant death in developed countries. ${ }^{1}$ However, their impact is more severe in low- or middle-income countries, since birth defects cause a significant morbidity and economic burden.

Birth defects, in general, can be described as abnormalities of structure or function that are present from birth. They may or may not be clinically obvious at birth; sometimes they can be diagnosed only later in life. More than 7000 types of birth defects have been identified to date. ${ }^{1}$ The most common birth defects affect the heart, the central nervous system and the musculoskeletal system, including the limbs. ${ }^{1}$

Congenital limb malformations are the second most frequent structural birth defects in humans. ${ }^{1}$ The phenotypic spectrum of limb malformations can be very wide, ranging from subtle changes in morphology to aberrant patterning or complete absence of a limb. Mutations of more than two dozen genes are known to cause these limb malformations, underscoring the importance of expression of these genes in the correct place at the correct time for proper limb development and differentiation.

Vertebrate limbs develop from small buds that contain undifferentiated mesoderm (mesenchyme) cells. ${ }^{2}$ These buds differentiate simultaneously with outgrowth and eventually form specific limb structures. In human embryos, the first sign of a limb is seen at around 28 days, and the major structures of the limbs are fully present by the $8^{\text {th }}$ week. ${ }^{2}$ Despite extensive efforts from researchers in many fields of biomedicine, the exact mechanism by which individual limb structures are formed in proper places and how their growth is regulated is still not clear.

When a mutation is found to be the cause of a congenital malformation, the normal function of the mutated gene can be interpolated based on the observed phenotype. Thus, the results of such studies, combined with the accumulating knowledge of normal development, might lead to improved patient care including better diagnosis, counseling, prevention, and therapeutic options.

Research presented in this dissertation addresses the genetic basis of a subgroup of congenital malformation disorders, the distal arthrogryposes (DA). Distal arthrogryposes are a group of autosomal dominant disorders characterized by congenital contractures of the distal joints of a limb and limited proximal joint involvement, without primary neurological defects affecting the limbs. ${ }^{3}$ The extended classification of distal arthrogryposes includes 10 different syndromes ${ }^{3}$ (Table 1.1). In this classification, the distinguishing findings observed consistently in individuals affected with each syndrome were used to group these conditions, and DAs with more similar findings were grouped together.

Table 1.1. The revised and extended classification of distal arthrogryposes.

| Name | Other names | Unique findings | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DA1 | Digitoalar dysmorphism | Camptodactyly Clubfoot Dislocated hips | 4, 5 |
| DA2A | Freeman-Sheldon S. <br> Whistling Face S. <br> Windmill Vane Hand S. <br> Craniocarpotarsal Dystrophy | Severe contractures of facial muscles Scoliosis | 6 |
| DA2B | Sheldon-Hall S. | Mild contractures of facial muscles | 7 |
| DA3 | Gordon S. | Cleft palate <br> Short stature | 5, 8, 9 |
| DA4 | - | Scoliosis | 5,10 |
| DA5 | Oculomelic Amyoplasia | Ophthalmoplegia Ptosis | 11 |
| DA6 | - | Sensorineural hearing loss | 12 |
| DA7 | Hecht-Beals S. <br> Dutch Kentucky S. | Trismus Pseudocamptodactyly | 13, 14 |
| DA8 | Dominant Pterygium S. | Multiple pterygia | 15 |
| DA9 | Beals-Hecht S. Contractural Arachnodactyly | Tall stature Arachnodactyly Crumpled ears | 16 |

All DA syndromes have autosomal dominant inheritance and show phenotypic variability, even within families. Except for individuals with DA4 (OMIM 609128), intelligence is not affected in these conditions. Distal arthrogryposis type 1 (DA1, OMIM 108120) is the DA group with only distal limb contractures. Other DA syndromes have unique findings in addition to distal joint contractures. Contractures of the orofacial muscles are characteristic of DA2A (severe, OMIM 193700) and DA2B (mild, OMIM 601680). Cleft palate is usually seen in DA3 (OMIM 114300), whereas extraocular muscles are affected in DA5 (OMIM 108145). DA6 (OMIM 108200) is associated with camptodactyly and sensorineural hearing loss. Individuals affected with DA7 (OMIM 158300) also have camptodactyly; however, it occurs only with dorsoflexion of the hands and is hence called 'pseudocamptodactyly'. These patients also have difficulty in fully opening their mouth. Individuals affected with DA8 (OMIM 178110) have multiple pterygia involving the neck, knees, elbows, and the axilla. Finally, DA9 (OMIM 121050) is characterized by a marfanoid habitus, arachnodactyly, "crumpled" ears, and some cardiac abnormalities.

Previous research attempting to understand the genetic basis of distal arthrogryposis syndromes led to the identification of mutations in several families. Mutations in the gene encoding fibrillin 2 (FBN2) were shown to cause DA9. ${ }^{17}$ Fibrillin is a major component of the extracellular matrix. Mutations in fibrillin 1 (FBN1), a member of the same gene family, cause Marfan syndrome, in which heart and eye problems as well as arachnodactyly (similar to DA9) occur. ${ }^{15}$ More recently, a mutation affecting the skeletal tropomyosin-beta gene (TPM2) was shown to occur in a DA1 family, ${ }^{18}$ and mutations in the genes encoding the fast twitch skeletal muscle
isoforms of troponin I (TNNI2) and troponin T (TNNT3) were shown, by our lab, to cause some cases of DA2B. ${ }^{18,19}$

The initial work completed in our lab failed to account for the majority of DA cases. However, it led to the hypothesis that DAs are defects of the contractile apparatus in fast-twitch skeletal myofibers (Figure 1.1). I have tested this hypothesis by utilizing a candidate gene approach to find the molecular genetic basis of several DA syndromes. The general approach to these studies included recruiting families with these disorders, constructing pedigrees, and evaluating the clinical phenotypes of affected individuals.


Figure 1.1. Schematic representation of the contractile apparatus in skeletal muscles. Tropomyosin and troponin molecules together with the actin polymer form the thin filament. The regulatory and essential myosin light chains with the myosin heavy chain molecules form the thick filament.

Once the patients and families were classified into relevant DA groups, I took a functional candidate gene approach to identify the genes, which, when mutated, result in the respective DA syndromes. One of the major, and relatively less studied, components of the skeletal apparatus is the myosin chains. Myosins are ubiquitous motor proteins. They use ATP hydrolysis to convert chemical energy to mechanical force. The muscle myosin is a hexamer consisting of two heavy chains and two pairs of light chains (essential and regulatory light chains). In humans, six skeletal myosin heavy chain genes have been characterized. All skeletal myosin heavy chain genes are clustered in the short arm of chromosome 17 (Figure 1.2). Although not very well characterized in humans, the spatiotemporal expression pattern and the energy utilization and force production behavior of these genes are slightly different. ${ }^{20}$ MYH3 expression starts during the embryonic period and is followed by MYH4 and MYH8 expression in the fetal and perinatal periods, respectively. ${ }^{20}$ MYH1 and MYH2 are mainly expressed in adult skeletal muscles, and MYH 13 is strongly expressed in extraocular muscles. ${ }^{20}$ Since all DA patients have contractures at the time of birth, I focused on the MYH genes that are expressed early in development (i.e., embryonic, fetal and perinatal myosin heavy chain genes).


Figure 1.2. Genomic organization of the skeletal myosin heavy chain genes on the short arm of chromosome 17.

This dissertation is a collection of studies on four different syndromes. In Chapter 2, I present my data showing that mutations of the embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) gene cause about $95 \%$ of DA2A cases and one-third of DA2B cases. These two conditions are caused by different mutations (except p.T178I found in both DA2A and DA2B cases). In general, mutations causing DA2A were localized near the ATP binding site of the myosin head, whereas mutations causing DA2B were often on the outer parts of the exposed to the surface. The importance of this finding remains to be determined. DA2B seems to be more heterogeneous genetically, since mutations in TNNI2 and TNNT3 can also cause DA2B, but altogether mutations of these three genes account for only half of the cases.

In Chapter 3, I present my results showing that a missense mutation of the perinatal myosin heavy chain (MYH8) gene is responsible for all DA7 cases. Moreover, I show that the same missense mutation arose on two genetic backgrounds, arguing against a founder effect and suggesting that DA7 families do not share a recent common ancestor.

Previously it has been suggested that the same missense mutation (p.R674Q) is the cause of a variant form of Carney Complex, ${ }^{21}$ a multiple neoplasia syndrome in which affected individuals also have freckles and cardiac myxomas. ${ }^{22}$ After finding the p.R674Q mutation in a family with features of both Carney complex and DA7, Veugelers and colleagues suggested that this mutation causes Carney complex. ${ }^{21}$ My results clearly demonstrate that DA7 and Carney complex are two unrelated disorders. DA7 patients do not have Carney complex findings, and the p.R674Q mutation is not the cause of Carney complex.

Chapter 4 starts with the description of a new syndrome. We have described this condition in a large Utah/Idaho family. Affected individuals have camptodactyly, tall stature, and bilateral sensorineural hearing loss; hence we named this condition the CATSHL syndrome. Other findings observed in this family include kyphoscoliosis, microcephaly, and varying degrees of mental retardation. Originally this condition was regarded as a new DA syndrome based on the similarity of limb contractures to other DA syndromes. However, following detailed clinical analyses it seems more appropriate to classify this condition as a skeletal dysplasia rather than a DA.

This condition was mapped to the short arm of chromosome 4 before I took over the project. Among the genes in the linked region, I decided to screen the coding region of $F G F R 3$, based on the similarities of the findings in this family to Fgfr3-null mice. ${ }^{23,24}$ Furthermore, activating mutations of $F G F R 3$ cause short stature syndromes such as achondroplasia and hypochondroplasia. ${ }^{25}$ Based on homology modeling and effects of similar mutations in other receptor tyrosine kinases, ${ }^{26,27}$ I suggest the p.R621H mutation I found in all affected individuals of this family causes decreased activation of FGFR3 by affecting the kinase activity of the receptor.

Since the hypothesis that DAs are caused by defects of the contractile apparatus in skeletal muscles was confirmed for three of the DAs I worked with, I tested the same hypothesis for DA5. DA5 involves the extraocular muscles, and MYH2 and MYH13 are both are expressed in extraocular muscles, ${ }^{20}$ so I chose these genes as candidates. I had access to DNA samples from eight families. I found a missense mutation in MYH2 in one patient, causing the substitution of a conserved valine residue with isoleucine (p.V970I, Appendix A). In another family, the affected father and son had a p.R1718C
mutation in MYH2 as well as a p.G763S mutation in MYH13 (Appendix A). It is not clear at the moment if any of these genes is "the DA5" gene or not. However, both genes might be at least modifiers of the phenotype, and there might be other determinants of DA5. DA5 is transmitted as an autosomal dominant disorder, rather than in a complex inheritance pattern. It will therefore be worthwhile to follow up on this project since it might lead to the discovery of modifier genes and a new disease mechanism.

The revised classification of DAs left room for new designations and subtypes to be filled as novel conditions are discovered. One such condition affecting the plantar tendons, hips and elbows has been described recently. ${ }^{28}$ This condition is transmitted in an autosomal dominant fashion, and the affected individuals are of normal intelligence. We proposed that this condition should be classified as DA10 (Appendix B). I conducted a genome-wide linkage analysis using STR markers and found that the condition is linked to a 45 Mb region on chromosome 2 with a maximum LOD score of $3.96(\theta=0.000)$ with the marker D2S364 (Appendix B). Among the more than 50 genes within this region, I chose the genes encoding myosin light chain 1 (MLY1), myosin IIIB (MYO3B), and caspase $10(C A S P 10)$ as potential candidate genes based on their expression patterns and functions. I sequenced the entire coding regions of these genes but did not find a disease-causing mutation. It is possible that this condition might be caused by deletions or duplications, or by a mutation in the noncoding (intronic or regulatory) regions of one of the genes I screened. However, a mutation of another gene in this region is also a possibility.

In summary, the gene identification stage is completed for DA2A, DA7 and CATSHL syndrome (Table 1.2). The next step for these disorders would be characterization of the effects of the normal and mutant alleles of the responsible genes. This includes establishing detailed spatiotemporal expression patterns; gene-gene, gene-protein, and protein-protein interactions; running biochemical assays on allelic series; identifying regulatory mechanisms; and trying to recapitulate these phenotypes in animal models. Similar experiments are needed to further understand the etiopathogenesis of DA2B and DA5. However, since mutations affecting contractile proteins account for only a portion of the individuals affected with these conditions, gene identification studies and possibly further characterization of the phenotype should be conducted in parallel. Finally, the critical interval for DA10 needs to be further narrowed down. This might be possible through genotyping additional family members or by analyzing similar cases. If this is not feasible, SNP-haplotyping might be another approach. Alternatively, through a functional and positional candidate gene approach, more genes in that region can be sequenced.

The findings of this research demonstrate that defects of the contractile apparatus in skeletal muscles cause congenital contracture syndromes. This suggests that other DA syndromes might also be caused by defects of other sarcomeric proteins. Hence, gene identification studies should be conducted in carefully classified samples of other DA syndromes.

Table 1.2. The revised and extended classification of distal arthrogryposes.

| Name | Known genes | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DA1 | Tropomyosin 2 (TPM2) | 18 |
| DA2A | Embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) | this work |
| DA2B | Troponin I2 (TNNI2) <br> Troponin T3 (TNNT3) <br> Embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) | $18$ $19$ <br> this work |
| DA3 | - |  |
| DA4 | - |  |
| DA5 | Adult skeletal myosin heavy chain 2 (MYH2) Extraocular myosin heavy chain (MYH13) | this work this work |
| DA6 | - |  |
| DA7 | Perinatal myosin heavy chain (MYH8) | 21, this work |
| DA8 | - |  |
| DA9 | Fibrillin 2 (FBN2) | 17 |
| DA10 | - |  |
| CATSHL | Fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) | this work |

The main contribution of this research is to benefit affected individuals and their families. First, a careful clinical description is vital for better diagnosis, which leads to effective management strategies. In addition, the extensive classification facilitates gene identification. Second, molecular testing can now be offered to affected individuals and their at-risk relatives. Third, through a better understanding of normal and abnormal development, effective strategies for prevention and treatment of congenital limb malformations can be developed.

This research created more questions than it answered. From a clinician's perspective, phenotypic variability observed in patients with mutations of the same gene remains unexplained. Also, the genetic heterogeneity observed in DA2B and DA5 needs to be explored. The role of FGF signaling in muscle and tendon development and differentiation is emerging and might provide insights into normal and abnormal development of these tissues. On the other hand, the spatiotemporal expression patterns and interactions of the proteins mutated in these patients are yet to be determined. As new families are reported and additional data become available, more disease genes or previously unknown functions of some genes will be discovered.
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## CHAPTER 2

# MUTATIONS IN EMBRYONIC MYOSIN HEAVY CHAIN (MYH3) CAUSE FREEMAN-SHELDON SYNDROME AND SHELDON-HALL SYNDROME 

The following chapter is a manuscript coauthored by myself, Ann Rutherford, Frank G. Whitby, Lynn B. Jorde, John C. Carey, and Michael J. Bamshad. This article is published in Nature Genetics in 2006 (volume 38, number 5, pages 561565). It is presented here with the permission of the coauthors and the publisher.

# Mutations in embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) cause Freeman-Sheldon syndrome and Sheldon-Hall syndrome 

Reha M Toydemir ${ }^{1}$, Ann Rutherford ${ }^{2}$, Frank G Whitby ${ }^{3}$, Lynn B Jorde ${ }^{1}$, John C Carey ${ }^{2}$ \& Michael J Bamshad ${ }^{4}$

The genetic basis of most conditions characterized by congenital contractures is largely unknown. Here we show that mutations in the embryonic myosin heavy chain (MYH3) gene cause Freeman-Sheldon syndrome (FSS), one of the most severe multiple congenital contracture (that is, arthrogryposis) syndromes, and nearly one-third of all cases of Sheldon-Hall syndrome (SHS), the most common distal arthrogryposis. FSS and SHS mutations affect different myosin residues, demonstrating that $M Y H 3$ genotype is predictive of phenotype. A structure-function analysis shows that nearly all of the MYH3 mutations are predicted to interfere with myosin's catalytic activity. These results add to the growing body of evidence showing that congenital contractures are a shared outcome of prenatal defects in myofiber force production. Elucidation of the genetic basis of these syndromes redefines congenital contractures as unique defects of the sarcomere and provides insights about what has heretofore been a poorly understood group of disorders.

Congenital contractures in children can be divided roughly into two categories, isolated congenital contractures (such as clubfoot) and multiple congenital contractures (that is, arthrogryposis). About 1 in 3,000 children is born with arthrogryposis, and although these cases are often sporadic, children with arthrogryposis are frequently found to have an underlying syndrome that is transmitted in a mendelian pattern ${ }^{i-4}$. The most common inherited arthrogryposis

Figure 1 Clinical characteristics of FSS and SHS. (a) Children with FSS have severe contractures of the face resulting in a very small mouth, pinched lips and H -shaped dimpling of the chin. (b) In contrast, children with SHS have milder facial contractures that result in deep nasolabial folds but do not cause pinched lips or dimpling of the chin. Children with FSS and SHS have similar contractures of the hands (c) and feet (d). However, calcaneovalgus defects are often present in children with SHS but not FSS. In addition, children with FSS frequently develop scoliosis, whereas scoliosis is rare in SHS. Images in $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ are reprinted from ref. 8 with permission from the publisher, the American Academy of Pediatrics.
syndromes primarily affect the joints of the hands and feet, causing camptodactyly and clubfeet (Fig. 1), and are therefore known as distal arthrogryposes. To date, ten different distal arthrogryposis syndromes have been characterized ${ }^{2}$.

FSS is the most severe of the distal arthrogryposes and is relatively well known among clinicians because affected children also have striking contractures of the orofacial muscles (Fig. 1a) ${ }^{5,6}$. These contractures result in down-slanting palpebral fissures, prominent nasolabial folds, 'H-shaped' dimpling of the chin, pinched lips and a very small oral orifice that is often only a few millimeters in diameter at birth. Hence, FSS is also known as 'whistling face syndrome'7. The facial contractures of FSS are similar to, albeit more dramatic than, those found in children with Sheldon-Hall syndrome (SHS), the most common of the distal arthrogryposis syndromes (Fig. lb). Accordingly, it is often difficult, particularly in children, to distinguish


[^0]

Figure 2 The spectrum of MYH3 mutations in Freeman-Sheldon syndrome (FSS) and SheldonHall syndrome (SHS). (a) Schematic illustration of the embryonic myosin molecule showing head, $1 Q$, coiled coil and tail domains. Mutations causing FSS (above) and SHS (below) localize mainly to the head domain. (b) An atomic model of the actin-myosin complex. A model of a short stretch of F -actin comprising five actin monomers is shown as a dark gray ribbon diagram surrounded by a semitransparent surface. Myosin heavy chain, essential light chain (ELC) and regulatory light chain (RLC) are shown as blue, orange and green ribbons, respectively. Distal arthrogryposis mutations are shown with oversized space-filling atoms, drawn at twice normal scale for emphasis, with a $2-\AA$ atomic radius. FSS mutations are colored red and SHS mutations yellow. FSS mutations R672, E498, Y583 and T178 are largely buried residues that are predicted to participate in formation of the nucleotide binding site in the $50-\mathrm{kDa}$ fragment groove. SHS mutations lying on the catalytic domain of the heavy chain are largely exposed to the surface but are not predicted to participate in direct actin-myosin interactions. FSS mutation V825D and SHS mutations K838E and del L841 are predicted to alter association with the RLC. T178 is colored red but was identified as both an FSS and SHS mutation.
$n=12$; Fig. 2a and Table 1). MYH3 encodes the embryonic myosin heavy chain, and the Arg672 residue is highly conserved in all human myosins and homologs of MYH3 studied to date (Supplementary Fig. 1 online).
In the six familial cases of FSS with an Arg672 substitution (that is, 6/20), the mutated allele segregated only with affected individuals (data not shown), and the sub-
between FSS and SHS. However, an accurate diagnosis is important because the natural history of FSS and SHS differ substantially, with FSS patients at much higher risk for strabismus, scoliosis and longterm physical disabilities ${ }^{8}$.
Several years ago, we discovered that some individuals with SHS have mutations in either TNNI2 or TNNT3, which encode isoforms of troponin I and troponin T, respectively ${ }^{9,10}$. These proteins are expressed mainly in fast-twitch myofibers and are part of the multimeric troponin-tropomyosin complex of the sarcomere or contractile apparatus of myofibers. No mutations in TNNI2 or TNNT3 were found in individuals with $\mathrm{FSS}^{9}$. However, on the basis of these results and the phenotypic overlap among distal arthrogryposis syndromes, we hypothesized that distal arthrogryposes are, in general, caused by mutations that perturb development and/or function of the sarcomere, resulting in diminished fetal movement and contractures.

To investigate whether FSS is caused by mutations in one or more genes that encode contractile proteins, we screened 28 FSS probands (seven familial and 21 sporadic) for mutations in genes that encode myosin heavy chains $(M Y H)$, giving priority to genes expressed during fetal and/or perinatal development (such as MYH1, MYH3, MYH4 and MYH8). In 20/28 ( $\sim 72 \%$ ) of FSS cases, we found a missense mutation in MYH3 predicted to cause substitution of Arg672 with either cysteine ( $2083 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{T} ; n=8$ ) or histidine ( $2084 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$;
stitution of Arg672 was confirmed to have arisen de novo in 10/14 sporadic cases in which parental DNA was available for analysis (Table 1). We did not find either mutation in 300 chromosomes from unrelated individuals of similar geographic ancestry. Sequencing of the remaining MYH3 exons in these cases uncovered only silent and presumably nonpathogenic variants. Furthermore, the Arg672 residue of embryonic myosin heavy chain is paralogous to Arg674 of the perinatal myosin heavy chain that is encoded by MYH8. Substitution of a glutamine at Arg674 of MYH8 was recently found to cause a distal arthrogryposis syndrome called trismus-pseudocamptodactyly (ref. 11 and R.M.T. and M.J.B., unpublished data). Like FSS, trismus-pseudocamptodactyly is characterized by contractures of the facial muscles, although the mouth is of normal size. These results show that substitution of Arg672 in the embryonic myosin heavy chain causes FSS. Additionally, genotypic data from five microsatellites bracketing MYH3 (data not shown) showed that no unrelated, affected individuals shared a mutant MYH3 haplotype, suggesting that the GC dinucleotide of MYH3 at nucleotide positions 2083-2084 is a mutational hotspot.

Of the eight remaining FSS cases without an Arg672 substitution, three probands were found to have private de novo (E498G, Y583S) or familial (V825D) missense mutations in MYH3 also predicted to cause substitution of highly conserved amino acids (Fig. 2a, Table 1 and

Table 1 MYH3 mutations in Freeman-Sheldon syndrome (FSS) and Sheidon-Hall syndrome (SHS)

| Nucleotide change | Exon | Familial | Sporadic (de novo cases) | Total | Amino acid change | Predicted effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ T | 5 |  | 3 (3) | 3 | T1781 | ATP binding ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $1562 A \rightarrow G$ | 14 |  | 1 (1) | 1 | E498G | Stabilization ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $1817 \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ | 15 |  | 1 (1) | 1 | Y583S | ATP binding ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2083C $\rightarrow$ T | 17 | 5 | 3 (3) | 8 | R672C | ATP binding ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $2084 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ | 17 | 1 | 11 (7) | 12 | R672H | ATP binding ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $2543 T \rightarrow A$ | 21 | 1 |  | 1 | V8250 | RLC interaction ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| Number of mutations |  | 7 | 19 (15) | 26 |  |  |
| Number of cases studied |  |  |  | 28 |  |  |
| SHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ | 5 |  | 2 (2) | 2 | T1781 | ATP binding ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $851 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ T | 8 |  | 1 (1) | 1 | S261F | Stabilization ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $944 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ | 9 | 1 |  | 1 | S292C | Stabilization ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $1192 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ | 11 | 1 |  | 1 | E375K | Actin interaction ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| $1618 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ | 14 |  | 1 | 1 | D517Y | Stabilizationg |
| $2375 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ | 20 |  | 1 | 1 | G769V | Stabilization ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $2581 \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}$ | 21 |  | 1 (1) | 1 | K838E | RLC interaction ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2590.2592delCTC | 21 | 1 | 1 | 2 | del L841 | RLC interaction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $4934 \mathrm{~A} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ | 33 | 1 |  | 1 | D1622A | Filament formation |
| $4979 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ T | 33 | 1 |  | 1 | Al637V | Filament formation |
| Number of mutations |  | 5 | 7 (4) | 12 |  |  |
| Number of cases studied |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |

${ }^{3}$ HSee Supplementary Note online for details.

Supplementary Fig. 1). Three sporadic cases shared a de novo $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ T mutation predicted to result in either a splicing defect or substitution of isoleucine for threonine at amino acid residue 178 (Fig. 2a and Table 1). However, sequencing of MYH3 cDNA from lymphoblasts confirmed that splicing is normal. We did not find any of these mutations in 300 chromosomes from unrelated individuals of similar geographic ancestry.
In two individuals with prototypic features of FSS, we did not identify any pathogenic MYH3 mutation. In these cases, the pathogenic mutation might be located in a noncoding or regulatory region of MYH3, or FSS could be caused by an undetected MYH3 deletion. FSS might also be genetically heterogeneous. Although none of these explanations can be excluded, the first two possibilities are more likely, as there is no direct evidence of locus heterogeneity in FSS (such as linkage to another region). Overall, mutations in the coding region of MYH3 account for $26 / 28(93 \%)$ of FSS cases studied herein.

All of the MYH3 mutations (R672H, R672C, E498G, Y583S and T1781) that cause FSS, except V825D, lie close to a groove that is a prominent feature of the myosin head (Fig. 2b). This groove lies between two parts of the large $50-\mathrm{kDa}$ domain that forms the ATP binding site. Examination of the crystal structure of myosin suggests that the MYH3 mutations that cause FSS can be tolerated without serious amino acid side-chain steric clashes or disruption of critical electrostatic interations. Therefore, these mutations are predicted not to destabilize the structure of myosin or to promote mis-folding of the protein. Instead, each of these mutations is predicted to create small, local structural changes in myosin that could affect the conformation of the nuclentide binding site or the myosin domain-domain interactions that take plate surrounding the groove during catalysis (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Note online). These domains are thought to have
a role in ATP hydrolysis, and the groove they form has been implicated as the binding site of several myosin inhibitors ${ }^{12}$. One effect of disrupting this groove might be to perturb the catalytic activity of myosin. V825D might also change myosin's catalytic activity, albeit by a different mechanism, because it is located in a domain required for binding of calmodulin to myosin. Altering the catalytic activity of myosin might impair the ability of the sarcomere to generate normal contractile force.
Because children with FSS and SHS have similar phenotypic characteristics, we screened MYH3 for mutations in 38 independent cases of SHS ( 12 familial and 26 sporadic) in whom no mutations in TNNT2 or TNNT3 had been found ${ }^{9,16}$. We found MYH3 mutations in $5 / 12$ ( $42 \%$ ) familial and $7 / 26(27 \%)$ sporadic cases, or $12 / 38$ ( $\sim 32 \%$ ) of all studied cases (Fig. 2a and Table 1). Two individuals with SHS (one sporadic and one familial case) had a 3-bp deletion of MYH3 that is predicted to encode a protein lacking Leu841, whereas all of the remaining cases had missense mutations predicted to affect highly conserved amino acid residues (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Collcitively, mutations in MYH3, TNNI2 and TNNT3 account for about half of all studied cases of SHS.
None of the SHS patients had the common Arg672 substitution that causes FSS, and only one mutation (T178I) was shared between FSS and SHS cases. Nevertheless, most of the amino acid substitutions that cause SHS also localize to the head domain of myosin. However, in contrast to substitutions causing FSS, none of the amino acid residues disturbed in SHS map to the groove near the ATP binding site of myosin. Instead, amino acid substitutions that cause SHS localize primarily to surfaces that we hypothesize interact with other proteins of the contractile apparatus such as actin and troponin (Fig. 2b). This prediction is consistent with the observation that mutations in the genes that encode actin (ACTA1) ${ }^{13,14}$ and troponin (TNNI2, TNNT3 $)^{9,10}$ also cause contractures. However, the contractures caused by mutations in ACTA1 are always accompanied by weakness and hypotonia, features that exclude the diagnosis of ESS or SHS.

Two MYH3 mutations (D1622A, A1637V) that cause SHS result in amino acid substitutions in the rod domain of myosin that might interfere with filament formation. Therefore, disruption of either the head or the rod domain of embryonic myosin can cause congenital contractures. This result is similar to the situation for MYH7 in which mutations in either the head or the rod domain can cause cardiomyopathy ${ }^{15}$.

Mutations in MYH3 accounted for $38 / 66(\sim 58 \%)$ of FSS and SHS cases, making MYH3 the most common cause of heritable congenital contractures identified to date. Moreover, MYH3 genotype was predictive of diagnosis in $33 / 38(\sim 87 \%)$ of cases (that is, two FSS and three SHS cases shared the T178I substitution). Given that individuals with FSS typically have more severe contractures than individuals with SHS, this observation suggests that there is a positive correlation between genotype and phenotype. However, phenotypic characteristics varied widely among individuals with the same mutation. For example, FSS cases with the most common MYH3 mutation, R672H,


Figure 3 Schematic illustration of the contractile complex of muscle. Mutations in genes that encode sarcomeric proteins can cause congenital contractures in either distal arthrogryposis syndromes (red) or myopathies (purple).
exhibited facial and limb contractures that varied from mild to severe. Therefore, the severity of contractures in individuals with MYH3 mutations is likely to be influenced as well by genetic and/or environmental modifiers such as the expression of other myosins or the intensity of fetal movements. No phenotypic differences distinguished SHS cases with mutations in MYH3 from those cases with mutations in TNNI2 or TNNT3.

The mechanism by which mutations in MYH3 cause different phenotypes is uncertain. Mutations in other myosin genes expressed in striated muscles (cardiac and skeletal muscle) have been associated with even more varied phenotypes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 1 online). Most notably, mutations in MYH7, which encodes a myosin heavy chain expressed in all striated muscles, cause dilated ${ }^{15}$ or hypertrophic ${ }^{16}$ cardiomyopathy, myosin storage myopathy ${ }^{17}$ and Laing-type distal myopathy ${ }^{18}$. The region of MYH7 in which mutations cause cardiomyopathy overlaps with those regions containing mutations causing skeletal myopathies. Similar to the shared MYH3 mutations that can cause either FSS or SHS, the mechanism by which MYH7 mutations cause such markedly different disorders remains to be determined.

In addition to MYH3 and MYH7, mutations in MYH2 have been reported to cause contractures in a rare condition called hereditary inclusion body myopathy ${ }^{19}$. However, mutations in MYH2, like those in MYH7, also cause weakness. In contrast, the individuals that we studied with mutations in MYH3 do not have weakness, progressive contractures or apparent histological abnormalities of skeletal muscle. MYH3 is expressed early in fetal development, and its expression rapidly declines after birth ${ }^{20,21}$. It is possible that other myosins might be able to compensate for defects in embryonic myosin. In Drosophila melanogaster, substitution of embryonic myosin for adult myosin results in normal myofiber assembly, but muscle function is impaired because the basal ATPase activities of the myosins differ-fetal and adult myosins are not functionally equivalent ${ }^{22,23}$. In humans, MYH3 expression predominates in myotubes fated to become fast myofibers and is gradually replaced by expression of other myosin genes (MYH1, MYH2 and MYH4). We speculate that although one or more of these myosins might be able to facilitate the development of structurally normal skeletal muscle in individuals with MYH3 mutations, the function of fetal muscles rich in fast-twitch myofibers is functionally impaired (causing increased or diminished force production). This hypothesis predicts that there is a critical period during fetal development when functional impairment of skeletal muscles leads to
contractures but causes few or no postnatal structural abnormalities or functional impairment (that is, weakness) of skeletal muscle. Further investigation will be needed to test this hypothesis.

The function of skeletal muscle depends on the production of force by the sarcomere, the fundamental unit of contraction in all muscle cells. This force is subsequently propagated to the extracellular matrix by multiple filamentous proteins that link the sarcomere to the sarcolemma. Many mutations in genes that encode proteins involved in force transmission have been shown to cause muscular dystrophies. Our findings demonstrate that defects of sarcomeric proteins are also a common cause of congenital contracture syndromes. These syndromes are unique myopathies because affected individuals show neither weakness nor postnatal muscle damage. This suggests that other sarcomeric proteins can compensate for defects of embryonic myosin. Manipulating these compensatory mechanisms might provide a new means of treating or preventing congenital contractures.

## METHODS

Subjects. All studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Utah. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and additional consent was obtained from parents to publish photos of the children shown in Figure 1. Cases were ascertained from a general genetics clinic at the University of Utah; by direct referral from clinical geneticists, orthopedists and plastic surgeons in the US, Europe and elsewhere and from the FSS Parents Support Group. Phenotypic data were collected from a self-administered questionnaire, review of medical records, phone interviews and photographs. The questionnaire was designed to solicit information about family history, prenatal history, physical characteristics, psychosocial development and medical/surgical interventions.

A referral diagnosis of FSS made by a clinical geneticist was required for inclusion. Subsequently, phenotypic data and photographs were reviewed by two of the authors (M.J.B. and J.C.C.) to determine whether referred cases met diagnostic criteria for FSS. The diagnostic criteria included the presence of two or more of the major clinical manifestations of distal arthrogryposis plus the presence of a small pinched mouth, prominent nasolabial folds and H -shaped dimpling of the chin ${ }^{8}$. Major diagnostic criteria of the upper limbs included ulnar deviation, camptodactyly, hypoplastic and/or absent flexion creases and/ or overriding fingers at birth. Major diagnostic criteria of the lower limbs included talipes equinovarus, calcaneovalgus deformities, a vertical talus andior metatarsus varus and camptodactyly. Cases not meeting these diagnostic criteria were excluded.
Diagnostic criteria for SHS included two or more of the major clinical manifestations of distal arthrogryposis plus deep nasolabial folds, a small oral opening, webbing of the neck and a small but protuberant chin. In contrast to individuals with classical FSS, patients with SHS have a larger oral opening and lack an H -shaped dimpling of the chin.

Mutation analysis. We extracted genomic DNA using standard protocols. We amplified each exon of MYH3 using HotStartaq DNA polymerase (Qiagen) following manufacturer's recommendations and using primers previously reported ${ }^{11}$. We purified PCR products by treatment with exonuclease I (New England Biolabs) and shrimp alkaline phosphatuse (USB), and we sequenced products using the dideoxy terminator method on an automatic sequencer (ABI 3100). The electropherograms of both forward and reverse strands were manually reviewed using Sequencher version 4.1 (Gene Codes).

We confirmed the presence of each mutation in each affected individual by restriction digestion performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs; Supplementary Fig. 2 online). When nocessary, we created a restriction enzyme recognition site by targeted mutagenesis. The primer sequences used to create such restriction sites are listed in Supplementary Table 2 online. We also used these restriction digests to screen for the presence of each putative mutation in a set of 300 chromosomes from unaffected individuals matched for geographic ancestry.
In order to analyze if the $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ T mutation affects splicing, we isolated total RNA from the lymphoblast culture of an individual with the $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$
mutation using the RNeasy Protect Kit (Qiagen) and synthesized cDNA with Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's recommendations We also synthesized CDNA using as a template RNA from an individual with the R 672 H substitution $(2084 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A})$ as a positive control. We performed the cINA amplification using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Structural analysis. We obtained the atomic coordinates of the X-ray crystal structure of chicken skeletal muscle myosin (Protein Data Bank), which consists of a model of the myosin subfragment-I proteolytic fragment, with carbon-alpha coordinates only for the myosin light chains ${ }^{24,25}$. The Gallus galhs myosin amino acid sequence is nearly identical to that of human myosin, and all amino acid residue numbers described here refer to the human sequence. We generated full atom models of the myosin light chains with the program MAXSPROUT ${ }^{26}$ and aligned atomic coordinates using the molecular graphics program ( $\mathrm{O}^{27}$. Atomic coordinates of F -actin were a gift of K . Holnes Max Planck Institut Für Medizinische Forschung) and are based on a model of the thin filament described previously ${ }^{28}$. An atomic model of the actin-myosin complex was a gift of R. Milligan (The Scripps Research Institute) ${ }^{24}$. We generated figures using the molecular graphics program PyMOL ${ }^{29}$.

URLs. Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man is found at http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/omim. The Ensembl Genome Browser website is http://www. ensemblorg. The Protein Data Bank is found at http://www.rcsb.org. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System is available at http://www.pymol.org.

Accession codes. Ensembl: MYH1, ENSG00000109061; MYH3, ENSGOONO0109063; MYH4, ENSG00000141048; MYH8, ENSG00000133020; MYH13, ENSG00000006788; OMIM: FSS, 193700; SHS, 601680; trismuspseudocamptodactyly, 158300; dilated cardiomyopathy, 115200 ; hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, 192600; myosin-storage myopathy, 608358; Laing-type distal myopathy, 160500; hereditary inclusion body myopathy, 605637. Protein Data Bank: chicken skelctal muscle myosin, 2MYS.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Genetics website.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Amino acid alignments of the regions surrounding the mutated residues in FSS (a) and SHS (b) patients. The Ensembl accession codes of the paralogous sequences are: MYH3, ENSG00000109063; MYH1, ENSG00000109061; MYH2, ENSG00000125414; MYH4, ENSG00000141048; MYH6, ENSG00000197616; MYH7, ENSG00000092054; MYH8, ENSG00000133020; MYH9, ENSG00000100345; MYH10, ENSG00000133026; MYH11, ENSG00000133392; MYH13
ENSG00000006788; MYH14, ENSG00000105357; The Ensembl accession codes of the orthologous sequences are: mouse (Mus musculus), ENSMUSG00000057003: rat (Rattus norvegicus), ENSRNOG00000031497; chimp (Pan troglodytes), ENSPTRG00000008773; chicken (Gallus gallus), ENSGALG00000000965; fish (Danio rerio) ENSDARG00000014711; frog (Xenopus tropicalis), ENSXETG00000016237; dog (Canis familiaris), ENSCAFG00000017575


Supplementary Figure 2. Electropherograms and restriction digests in FSS (a) and SHS (b) families with respective controls. The missense mutations are shown with arrows, deleted nucleotides are shown in red. U: uncut PCR, P: patient, C: control, B: blank.

Supplementary Note. Structure-function analysis of MYH3 mutations.
${ }^{a}$ R672, Y583, and T178 sidechains point into a cavity that lies adjacent to the nucleotide binding site. Mutations of these residues are predicted to alter the active site geometry surrounding the nucleotide binding site.
be498 forms a salt bridge interaction with R714, which provides stabilization of the subdomains. E498G mutation is expected to abolish this salt bridge, destabilizing subdomain interactions.
${ }^{c}$ V825D, K838E, and del L841 are predicted to alter regulatory light chain (RLC) interactions with the heavy chain (HC). Del L841 lies at the HC-RLC interface and deletion of this residue would likely result in extensive changes in HC-RLC interaction in the region. V825D mutation introduces a charged residue into an otherwise hydrophobic interaction, possibly destabilizing HC-RLC interaction. K838E causes a charge reversal in a region of the HC dominated by positive charge.
${ }^{d}$ S261 hydrogen bonds to residues in a loop (residues 450-458). S261F mutation disrupts this loop and causes steric clash, possibly altering stability of the large beta-sheet that forms the core of the head domain.
${ }^{e} \mathrm{~S} 292 \mathrm{C}$ is an isostructural mutation that might disrupt an important hydrogen bonding interaction, perhaps altering enzyme kinetics or the stability of a state of the head domain during catalysis.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ E375K results in charge reversal at a surface-exposed loop 25 angstroms distant from the actinmyosin interface, but is not predicted to alter the conformation of the loop nor that of a neighboring loop (residues 402-418) that makes contact with actin. The effect of this mutation might alter actin-myosin interaction during a different state of the contractile process. ${ }^{g}$ D517Y neutralizes a surface charge, but is not predicted to have a large structural consequence. This mutation might affect interactions between this surface and regions of the protein that are disordered in the existing crystal structure, or perhaps affects surface interactions when myosin undergoes conformational changes during catalysis.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ G769V mutation results in greater geometric constraint of the protein backbone where this residue lies in a turn at the start of a helix. Reduced flexibility in this turn might prevent access of a required conformational state during catalysis.

Supplementary Table 1. Mutations reported in myosin genes expressed in striated muscles that cause inclusion body myopathy (blue), autosomal dominant myopathy (red), hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (black), atrial septal defect (light blue). dilated cardiomyopathy (pink), distal myopathy (dark blue), myosin storage myopathy (purple), hyalin body myopathy (brown), and Trismus-Pseudocamptodactyly syndrome (orange). The paralogous residues in MYH3 are shown in parentheses. Only missense and nonsense mutations are shown. Residues mutated in $\left({ }^{( }\right)$FSS and $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ SHS are also shown.

| MYH2 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| E706K | (E701) |
| V9701 | (V965) |
| LIO6IV | (L1056) |


| MYH7 |  |  | (Continued) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A355T | (A356) |  |  |
| K383N | (K384) |  |  |
| A385V | (A386) |  |  |
| L390V | (L391) |  |  |
| R403L | (R404) |  |  |
| R403N | (R404) |  |  |
| R403W | (R404) |  |  |
| V404L | (V405) |  |  |
| V404M | (V405) |  |  |
| V406M | (V407) |  |  |
| G407V | (G408) |  |  |
| V411I | (V412) |  |  |


| MYH7 (Continued) |  | MYH7 (Continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R694H | (R695) | Q882E | (Q883) |
| N696S | (N697) | E894G | (E895) |
| R712L | (R713) | E903K | (E904) |
| G716R | (G717) | C905F | (C906) |
| R719P | (R720) | L908V | (L909) |
| R7190 | (R720) | E921K | (E922) |
| R719w | (R720) | E924K | (E925) |
| R723C | (R724) | E924Q | (E925) |
| R723G | (R724) | E927K | (E928) |
| A728V | (S729) | D928N | (D929) |
| P731L | (P732) | E930K | (E931) |
| G733E | (G734) | E931K | (E932) |
| Q734E | (Q735) | E935K | (E936) |
| 1736M | (1737) | E949K | (E950) |
| 1736 T | (1737) | D953H | (D954) |
| G741A | (A742) | L961R | (L962) |
| G741R | (A742) | G1057S | (G1058) |
| G741R | (A742) | L1135R | (Q1136) |
| G741W | (A742) | E1218Q | (E1219) |
| E743D | (E744) | E1356K | (E1357) |
| V763G | (V764) | T1377M | (T1378) |
| 1786 | (F765) | A1379T | (A1380) |
| G768R | $(\mathrm{G} 769)^{2}$ | R1382W | (R1383) |
| E774V | (E775) | R1420W | (R1421) |
| D778E | (D779) | K1459N | (K1460) |
| D778G | (D779) | R1500P | (R1501) |
| D778V | (D779) | T1513S | (T1514) |
| E779X | (D780) | E1555K | (E1556) |
| S782N | (A783) | Al663P | (G1664) |
| R787H | (R788) | V1691M | (T1692) |
| L796F | (L797) | L1706P | (L1708) |
| A797T | (M798) | R1712W ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | (R1713) |
| M822V | (M823) | E1768K | (E1769) |
| V8241 | (V825) ${ }^{1}$ | S1776G | (S1777) |
| E846K | (E847) | A1777T | (A1778) |
| E8460 | (E847) |  | (R1846) |
| M852T | (M853) | T1854M | (R1846) |
| R858C | (K859) | H1901L | (H1902) |
| R869C | (K870) | T1929M | (S1930) |
| R869G | (K870) |  |  |
| R869H | (K870) |  | YH8 |
| R870C | (R871) | " | (R672) ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| R870H | (R871) |  |  |
| M877K | (L878) |  |  |

[^1]Supplementary Table 2. PCR primers and restriction enzymes used for mutation analysis. Nucleotides incorporated to create restriction sites for mutation screening and to create control restriction sites are shown in blue and red, respectively. Oligonucleotide primers were designed to analyze if the $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ mutation affected splicing. The cDNA of a patient with R 672 H mutation ( $2084 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ ) was also sequenced as a positive control.

| Mutations | Forward Primers | Reverse Primers | Enzymes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FSS Mutations |  |  |  |
| T1781 | 5-TGCTCCAACACTTTCTAATGAA-3' | 5'-GGGTAGAATCGGGAAGCTCT-3' | Nla III |
| G498R | 5-CCTTCCTTCTTGTACTCCTCCAGC-3' | 5'-TGACAGGAACCTGGGGCAATGAG-3' | Alu I |
| Y583R | 5-CACTGTAGTCCACGGTGCCTGC-3' | 5'-CCCACCGTAAGCTCTTCTCA-3' | Pst 1 |
| R672C/H | 5-TACACCGCGGCTGGTGCAGA-3' | 5'-AAGAACTACTCACCCTCATTTTGCG-3' | BstU I |
| V825D | 5'-ACACAAGCTGTGTGCAGAGG-3' | 5'-GCAAAAATCCCCACCAATAA-3' | HpyCH4 IV |
| SHS Mutations |  |  |  |
| S261F | 5'-CCCATAAGA'TGAATAGGAACTATTGG-3' | 5'-AAACTITCCCTGTTGACTGTAGA-3' | Pst I |
| S292C | 5'-CCCATAAGATGAATAGGAACTATTGG-3' | 5'-ATGAGCTCAGGCTTCTTGGTA-3' | Rsa I |
| E375K | 5`-ACCTTCTGTGCCATCCGACT-3' | 5'-GCCAACTGACTGACGTGCT-3' | Mly I |
| D517Y | 5'-GGAATGTTGACAGTCTTTGATTC-3' | 5'-TGCAGTAATGAGCAGAAGAGTC-3' | Rsa I |
| G769V | 5'-GGAAGAGAGGCCTGAACTACA-3' | 5'-TTTCTGAGAGAGACTCCCCTTC-3' | Cacs 1 |
| K838E | 5'-ACACAAGCTGTGTGCAGAGG-3' | 5'-GCAAAAATCCCCACCAATAA-3' | Ban II |
| del L84! | 5'-ACACAAGCTGTGTGCAGAGG-3' | 5'-GCAAAAATCCCCACCAATAA-3' | BseR I |
| D1622A | 5'- CTGCAGGGTAGTGGAGCTG-3' | 5'-GCCCAGCCTACATTTCTGAG-3' | Ava 1I |
| A1637V | 5'- CTGCAGGGTAGTGGAGCTG-3' | 5-GCCCAGCCTACATTTCTGAG-3' | Fnu4H I |
| Splice Site Mutation |  |  |  |
| $602 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ | 5'-TGATCGTGAAAACCAGTCCATTCT-3* | 5'-TTGGCCAGGTCCCCAGTAGCT-3' |  |
| $2084 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ | 5'-CTCTGCCCTTTTCAGGGAAAACC-3' | 5'-CTGGTGCAGAACAAGGCTGTGT-3' |  |

## CHAPTER 3

## TRISMUS-PSEUDOCAMPTODACTYLY SYNDROME IS CAUSED BY A RECURRENT MUTATION IN MYH8

The following chapter is a manuscript coauthored by myself, Harold Chen, Virginia K. Proud, Hans van Bokhoven, Rick Martin, Constantine A. Stratakis, Lynn B. Jorde, and Michael J. Bamshad. This article is published in American Journal of Medical Genetics in 2006 (volume 140A, number 22, pages 2387-2393). It is presented here with the permission of the coauthors and the publisher.
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Trismus-pseudocamptodactyly syndrome (TPS) is a rare autosomal dominant distal arthrogryposis (DA) characterized by an inability to open the mouth fully (trismus) and an unusual camptodactrly of the fingers that is apparent only upon dorsiflexion of the wrist (i.e., pseudocamptorlactyly). TPS is also known as Dutch-Kentucky syndrome because a Dutch founder mutation is presumed to be the origin of TPS cases in the Southeast LS, including Kentucky. To date only a single mutation, p.R674Q, in MYH8 has been reported to cause TPS. Several indivicluals with this mutation also had a so-called "variant" of Carney complex, suggesting that the pathogenesis of TPS and Carney complex night be shared. We screened MYH8 in four TPS pedigrees, including the original Dutch family in which TPS was reported. All four TPS families shared the p.R674Q substitution. However,
haplotype analysis revealed that this mutation has arisen independently in North American and European TPS pedigrees. None of the individuals with TPS studied had features of Carney complex, and p.R674Q was not found in 49 independent cases of Carney complex that were screened. Our findings show that distal arthrogryposis syndromes share a similar pathogenesis and are, in general, caused by disruption of the contractile complex of muscle. (c) 2006 Wilcy-Tiss. Inc.
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[^2]
## INTRODUCTION

Trismus-pseudocamptodactyly syndrome (TPS, OMIM \#158300) is a rare autosomal dominant disorder characterized by an inability to fully open the mouth (i.e., trismus) and an unusual camptodactyly of the fingers that is apparent only upon hyperextension of the wrist (i.e., pseudocamptodactyly). Additional reported features of TPS include clubfoot, shortened "bamstring" muscles, and short stature. The penetrance of TPS appears to be high,

[^3]although clinical characteristics vary widely within families, and no single feature, including either trismus or pseudocamptodactyly, is present in all affected individuals.

TPS was originally described by Hecht and Beals [1969] and Wilson et al. [1969]. Over the past 40 years, at least 20 families have been reported [De Jong, 1971; Horowitz et al., 1973; Mabry et al., 1974; Ter Haar and van Hoof, 1974; Yamashita and Arnet, 1980; Mercuri, 1981; Robertson et al., 1982; O'Brien et al., 1984; Tsukahara et al., 1985; Markus, 1986; Vaghadia and Blackstock, 1988; Chen et al., 1992; Teng et al., 1994; Karras and Wolford, 1995; Geva et al., 1997; Lano and Werkhaven, 1997; Adams and Rees, 1999; Seavello and Hammer, 1999; Lefaivre and Aitchison, 2003; Pelo et al., 2003; Skinner and Rees, 2004; Carlos et al., 2005; Guimaraes and Marie, 2005l. TPS is also known by the popular label, Dutch-Kentucky syndrome because a Dutch founder was proposed to have been the common ancestor of many of the cases reported in the Southeast US [Mabry et al., 1974]. However, while most reported cases are from North America, individuals with TPS have been reported from the Netherlands, Germany, United Kingdom, Japan, Belgium, and Guatemala [Tsukhara et al., 1985; Hertrich and Schuch, 1991; Rombouts and Verellen-Dumoulin, 1992; Hirano et al., 1994; Teng et al., 1994; Nagata et al., 1999; Carlos et al., 2005].

In Bamshad et al.'s [1996] re-organization of Hall et al.'s [1982] classification of the distal arthrogryposis (DA) syndromes, 10 different DA clisorders were categorized hierarchically according to their similarity with one another. TPS was labeled DA type 7 (DA7) because of its unusual hand contractures and lack of similarity to more common DAs such as DA rype 1, Freeman-Sheidon syndrome (FSS or DA2A), or Sheldon-Hall syndrome (SHS or DA2B). In retrospect, greater emphasis should probably have been given to the overlapping facial characteristics of TPS with FSS and SHS since all three conditions are characterized by a small mouth, and individuals with FSS or SHS occasionally have trismus. Moreover, TPS has been reported to be caused by a single mutation in MYH8, a gene that encodes the perinatal myosin heavy chain [Veugelers et al., 2004], while FSS and SHS recently were reported to be caused by mutations in the gene that encodes the embryonic myosin heavy chain, MYH3 [Toydemir et al., 2006]. Therefore, TPS, FSS, and SHS appear to have a similar molecular pathogenesis as well as overlapping clinical characteristics.

To further characterize the molecular basis of TPS and determine whether families reported from the Netherlands and Southeastern US shared a founder mutation in MYHB, we sequenced MYHB in four families with TPS and genotyped a set of microsatellites in the region bracketing MYH8 in order to reconstruct MYHS haplotypes. These four TPS
families include representatives from both the original Dutch kindred (Fig. 1A) and several TPS kindreds from the Southeast US (Fig. 1B-D). Furthermore, 3 of 19 members of the TPS family in which a MYH8 mutation was reported originally were also affected with a so-called "variant" of Carney complex, an autosomal dominant condition characterized by skin pigmentary abnormalities, myxomas, endocrine tumors or over activity, and schwannomas [Kirschner et al., 2000; Veugelers et al., 2004] that manifest with cardiac myxomas and spotty skin pigmentation. This observation prompted Veugelers et al. [2004] to conclude that the mutation in MYH8 that caused TPS also caused Carney complex and that the pathogenesis of the two disorders might overlap. Accordingly, we also screened 49 independent cases of Carney complex to determine whether they had the mutation reported to cause TPS.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

All studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Utah and the intramural program of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. Inclusion criteria included the presence of congenital contractures of two or more different body areas, including but not limited to pseudocamptodactyly of the fingers and trismus. If at least one affected family member met these criteria, the diagnostic criteria were relaxed for other family members such that only pseudocamptodactyly, camptodactyly, or trismus need be present to confirm the diagnosis of TPS.
Clinical descriptions of the families A and B (Fig. 1) have been published [Ter Haar and van Hoof, 1974; Chen et al., 1992]. After obtaining informed consent, genomic IDNA was extracted, using standard protocols, from peripheral lymphocytes from 19 affected and 12 unaffected individuals in four TPS families (Fig. 1) and 49 individuals with Carney complex who were negative for mutations in PRKAR1A, the only gene confirmed to date to cause Carney complex. The entire coding region of MYH8 was PCRamplified using previously reported primers [Veugelers et al., 2004] and HotstarTaq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. PCR products were purified by exonuclease I (New England Biolabs, Inc., Beverly, MA) and shrimp alkaline phosphatase (USB Corp., Cleveland, OH) treatment. Purified PCR products were sequenced using the ABI BigDye Terminator v.3.1 chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA) and an ABI 3100 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). The sequences were analyzed by the Sequencher 4.1 program (Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, MI).
The presence of the c.2021G>A mutation was confirmed in each family menber by restriction digestion with both BsiW I (New England Biolabs,


F:s. 1. Pedigrees of TPS Bamike (A-D). Filled symbots denote affected individuals and open symbols unaffected individtrals. Arrows indicate the proband

Inc.) and $T s p R 1$ (NEB, Inc.) performed according to the manufacturers' instructions. Using these restriction enzymes, 480 chromosomes from unrelated, presumably unaflected individuals matched for geographic ancestry were also screened.

Molecular modeling was done with PyMOL [DeLano, 2002], using the chicken myosin head structure as a model [Rayment et al., 1993a,b].

## RESULTS

In each affected individual in each TPS pedigree, we identified a guanine to adenine missense mutation at nucleotide position 2021 (c.2021G>A) of MYH8 (Fig. 2) that results in substitution of a highly conserved arginine residue with a glutamine (p.R674Q). This mutation was not observed in the
unaffected family members or in 180 control chromosomes. This mutation is identical to the mutation that was reported previously in a family with TPS and a variant of Carney complex [Veugelers et al., 2004].
To determine whether individuals from different TPS pedigrees with c. 2021G>A shared this mutation as a result of a recent common ancestor or recurrent mutation, we genotyped four microsatellites (D17S1879, D17S520, D17S1852, D17S1159) spanning a 500 kb region around $M Y H 8$ in an affected parent and an affected child from each TPS kindred. These genotypes were used to manually construct the c. $2021 \mathrm{G}>$ A-bearing MYH8 haplotypes segregating in each pedigree. Analysis of haplotype sharing among TPS pedigrees revealed that while each of the pedigrees ascertained in the US shared the same
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Fig. 2. The e 2021G > A mutation (red arrow) in MYHS causes an arginine to glutamine substitution. This mutation creates a TspR I site and destroys a BsiW I site. P: patient, C: control B: blank, U: uncut PCR product.

MYHS haplotype, this haplotype was not shared with the Dutch TPS kindred (Fig. 3). Therefore, the hypothesis that TPS families in the Southeast US share c.2021G > A-bearing MYH8 haplotypes as a consequence of a recent shared Dutch ancestor with TPS is rejected.
None of the individuals studied herein with TPS were reported to have multiple hyper-pigmented macules and/or cardiac myxomas like those previously reported in several individuals with TPS caused by c.2021G > A [Veugelers et al., 2004]. The c. $2021 \mathrm{G}>$ A mutation was not found in any of the 49 Carney complex cases that were screened, consistent with the absence of TPS stigmata in any Carney complex patient studied by an international consortium [Stratakis et al., 2004].

## DISCUSSION

These results demonstrate that (1) all cases of TPS studied to date are caused by an identical c. 2021G $>\mathrm{A}$ mutation in MYH8 that causes a p.R674Q substitution; (2) c.2021G $>\mathrm{A}$ has arisen independently at least twice; (3) Dutch and US TPS pedigrees do not share a founder mutation; and (4) c. 2021G > A might be associated with increased risk of cardiac myxomas but it rarely, if ever, causes Carney complex.


[^4]The molecular etiology of TPS has been further clarified with the characterization of four new and putatively inclependent TPS pedigrees. The observation that MYH8-c. $2021 \mathrm{G}>$ A haplotypes are identical suggests that all of the TPS cases from North America studied to date are likely descendants of a common ancestor and therefore represent only a single founder mutation. However, MYH8-c.2021G $>\mathrm{A}$ in the Dutch TPS kindred appears to have arisen independently, indicating that $\mathrm{c} .2021 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ has arisen at least twice. Whether this is a mutational hotspot and or whether other mutations in MYH8 cause TPS will require testing of additional inclividuals with TPS, ideally those with a geographic ancestry outside of Europe.
The arginine residue affected by the $\mathrm{c} .2021 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ mutation is conserved in all known human genes that encode myosin heavy chains and homologs of MYH8 in a variety of species (Fig. 4). This observation suggests that this arginine residue plays a critical role in the normal function of myosin heavy chain 8 . Based on homology modeling, substitution of glycine for this arginine residue is not likely to cause major structural perturbation of myosin, but this arginine does lie on the surface of a groove between the two major domains of the myosin head near the ATP binding site (Fig. 5). Therefore, the c. 2021G > A mutation might disrupt the catalytic activity of myosin.
To date, the only TPS cases reported with characteristics of Carney complex are those in the family reported by Veugelers et al. [2004]. None of the TPS cases studied herein had any of the features of Carney complex, nor have individuals with Carney complex accompanied by trismus and/or pseudocamptodactyly been reported [Stratakis et al., 2004]. Additionally, we did not find $\mathrm{c} .2021 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ in 49 independent cases of Carney complex, nor have other mutations in MYH8 been reported to cause Carney complex [Stratakis et al., 2004].

|  | Arg674 |  | Arg674 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MYH8 | HPHFVRCIIPN | human | HPHFVRCIIPN |
| MYH1 | HPHFVRCIIPN | mouse | HPHFVRCIIPN |
| MYH2 | HPHEVRCIIPN | rat | HPHEVRCIIPN |
| MYH3 | HPHEVRCIIPN | chimp | HPHEVRCIIPN |
| MYH4 | HPHFVRCIIPN | chicken | HPHEVRCIIPN |
| MYH6 | HPHFVRCIIPN | fish | HPHFVRCLIPN |
| MYH7 | HPHEVRCIIPN | frog | HPHEVRCIIPN |
| MYH9 | NPNFVRCIIPN | dog | HPHFVRCLIPN |
| MYH10 | NPNEVRCIIPN |  |  |
| MYH11 | TPNEVRCIIPN |  |  |
| MYH13 | HPHEVRCLIPN |  |  |

Fig. 4. Conservation of Arg674 in MYH8 paralogs and orthologs.

These results suggest that the etiologies of Carney complex and ГPS are independent, and that the observation of both disorders segregating in a single pedigree is likely to be coincidental. MYH8 appears to be expressed in the developing chick heart [Machida et al., 2000]. Therefore, it is possible that mutations in MYH8 influence susceptibility to isolated cardiac myxoma. However, while our study was not designed to test the relationship between MYH8 mutations and risk for cardiac myxoma, none of the individuals with TPS that we studied were
reported to have a cardiac myxoma VYHS is expressed only in the perinatal period and primarily in the skeletal muscles of the limbs and to a more limited extent in the muscles of the face. Therefore, both the temporal and spatial expression of MYH8 are consistent with the following: the contractures in TPS appear prenatally, are limited to the limbs and face, and are non-progressive. The spatial expression pattern of MYH8 is similar to that of MYH3, the gene that encodes the fetal myosin heavy chain. Mutations in MYH3 cause congenital contractures of


Fig. 5. Structural model of actin-myosin complex. The ribbon diagram of a short stretch of F-actin (gray) and myosin head (blue) is shown. The c. 2021G $>$ A mutation causes a substitution of the Arg674 (red) which is near the ATP binding site (an ATP molecule is shown in green).
the face and limbs in Freeman-Sheldon syndrome and Sheldon-Hall syndrome [Toydemir et al., 2006]. This observation confirms that these three distal arthrogryposis syndromes have shared parhogenesis and is consistent with the hypothesis that distal arthrogryposis syndromes are, in general, caused by disruption of the contractile complex of fast-twitch myofibers.
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# A Novel Mutation in FGFR3 Causes Camptodactyly, Tall Stature, and Hearing Loss (CATSHL) Syndrome 
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#### Abstract

Activating mutations of FGFR3, a negative regulator of bone growth, are well known to cause a variety of short-limbed bone dysplasias and craniosynostosis syndromes. We mapped the locus causing a novel disorder characterized by camptodactyly, tall stature, scoliosis, and hearing loss (CATSHL syndrome) to chromosome $4 p$. Because this syndrome recapitulated the phenotype of the Fgfr3 knockout mouse, we screened FGFR3 and subsequently identified a heterozygous missense mutation that is predicted to cause a p. R 621 H substitution in the tyrosine kinase domain and partial loss of FGFR3 function. These findings indicate that abnormal FGFR3 signaling can cause human anomalies by promoting as well as inhibiting endochondral bone growth.


Fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) is one of five distinct membrane-spanning tyrosine kinases that participate in a variety of developmental processes. Mutations in FGFR3 cause at least half a dozen different disorders, including achondroplasia (ACH [MIM 100800]), hypochondroplasia (HCH [MIM 146000]), thanatophoric dysplasia I and II (MIM 187600), Muenke syndrome (MIM 602849), Crouzon syndrome with acanthosis nigricans (MIM 187600), severe ACH with developmental delay and acanthosis nigricans (SADDAN) syndrome,' and lacrimo-auriculo-dental-digital (LADD [MIM 149730]) syndrome. ${ }^{2.3}$ FGFR3 is a negative regulator of bone growth, and all mutations characterized to date cause constitutive FGFR3 activation and impair endochondral bone growth. ${ }^{3}$
We evaluated a large Utah pedigree in which 27 living affected family members spanning four generations (from a total of 35 affected individuals in seven generations; see fig. 1) were affected with dominantly inherited camptodactyly, tall stature, and hearing loss or CATSHL (pronounced "cat-shul") syndrome (fig. 2). Phenotypic information and DNA were available from 20 of 27 affected individuals. Adult height in males was $>97$ th percentile in 5 of 5 men, with a mean height of 77 inches, and adult height in females was $>75$ th percentile in 9 of 9 and $>97$ th percentile in 8 of 9 women, with a mean height of 70 inches. Camptodactyly of the hands and/or feet (fig. 2) was present in $18(90 \%)$ of 20 individuals, and $17(85 \%)$ of 20 had hearing loss ( 14 of 20 were documented as having hearing loss, and 3 of 20 acknowledged having hearing loss but refused formal testing). Of 20 individuals, 12 ( $60 \%$ ) had developmental delay and/or mental retardation, and several of these had microcephaly (head circumference $<2$ nd percentile), Several had scoliosis and/or a
pectus excavatum (fig. 2), although the frequency of occurrence might be underestimated because many family members elected not to undergo chest and/or spine examination. No individual had characteristics of LADD syndrome or craniosynostosis syndromes caused by mutations in FGFR3. Marfan syndrome was considered a possible diagnosis, but no affected individuals who were examined had severe myopia, lens dislocation, or aorticroot abnormalities. Therefore, the diagnosis of Marfan syndrome was excluded.
Radiographic findings included tall vertebral bodies with irregular borders and broad femoral metaphyses with long tubular shafts (data not shown). Several affected individuals had a single osteochondroma of the femur, the tibia, or a phalanx; pectus abnormalities; and/or severe thoracolumbar kyphoscoliosis (fig. 2). On audiological exam, each tested individual had bilateral sensorineural hearing loss and absent otoacoustic emissions (fig. 3). By report, the hearing loss was congenital or developed in early infancy, progressed variably in early childhood, and ranged from mild to severe. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging revealed that the brain, middle ear, and inner ear were structurally normal.
To identify the locus for CATSHL syndrome, we performed a genomewide linkage scan, on 20 affected individuals, that reveaied a significantly positive LOD score of 3.76 (recombination fraction $[\theta] 0.001$ ) with marker D4S412 (table 1), located on the tip of chromosome 4 p . A multipoint LOD score estimated from markers saturating this region was 5.1 and reached its maximum at D4S43 (table 2). No other region of the genome harbored markers with a significantly positive LOD score. Haplotype analysis delimited a critical interval of $\sim 7 \mathrm{Mb}$ (fig. 1) that contained

[^5]

Figure 1. Pedigree of the family with CATSHL syndrome. Filled symbols indicate either affected individuals (black) or individuals of unknown status (gray), and open symbols indicate unaffected individuals. Genotypes for $D 453038, D 4 S 43, D 4 S 127$, and $D 4 S 412$ are listed, and the disease haplotype segregating with each affected individual is boxed. The height (in centimeters) of each affected adult is indicated in italics.


Figure 2. Clinical characteristics of CATSHL syndrome. $A$, Tall stature, pectus excavatum, and scoliotic deformity of the spine. Camptodactyly of the hands ( $B$ and $C$ ) and feet ( $D$ and $E$ ). $F$, Anterior-posterior radiograph of the thoracolumbar spine, showing $\sim 80^{\circ}$ lateral curvature of the lumbar spine. $G$, Radiograph of the hand of an individual with camptodactyly.
$\sim 30$ genes, including $F G F R .3$ (Genbank accession number NM_000142). Because the features of CATSHL syndrome overlapped with those of mice homozygous for a Fgfr 3 null allele, ${ }^{\text {th }}$ we screened affected individuals for FGFR3 mutations by direct DNA sequencing.
In all affected family members tested $(n=20)$, we discovered a $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ missense mutation at nucleotide position $+1862(\mathrm{c} .1862 \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A})$ that creates a novel DraIII restriction site (fig. 4) and a histidine $\rightarrow$ arginine substitution ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{R} 621 \mathrm{H}$ ). R621 is located in the catalytic loop of the
tyrosine kinase domain of FGFR3, and it is invariant in the tyrosine kinase superfamily (fig. 4c). No unaffected family members had this variant, nor was it found in 500 chromosomes from individuals matched for geographic ancestry (Western Europe).

The catalytic loop plays a critical role in the transfer of a phosphate ion to its target sites. On the basis of homology modeling done using the crystal structure of FGFR1, the homologous amino acid residue (i.e., R627) is predicted to be critical for the transfer of phosphate. ${ }^{6}$ The


Figure 3. Representative audiograms of two individuals with CATSHL syndrome that demonstrate sensorineural hearing loss. Puretone response in the left ear is indicated by a cross ( $x$ ) and response in the right ear by an open circle ( $O$ ). Responses in the $500-$ $8,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ range were obtained in the mild sloping to severe hearing loss range, bilaterally.
p.R621H substitution may therefore interfere with the ability of FGFR3 to transfer phosphate to its peptide substrate, resulting in loss of function (fig. 5). This prediction is supported by experiments in which site-directed mutagenesis of the homologous amino acid residue in the kinase domain of the insulin receptor (i.e., R1136) and the C-terminal Src Kinase virtually inactivates the receptor. ${ }^{7.8}$
The anomalies observed in humans with p. R 621 H recapitulate the defects identified in $\mathrm{Fgfr}^{-1}$ mice. ${ }^{+5}$ The skeletal phenotype of $\mathrm{Fgfr} 3^{-2}$ mice is characterized by elongated long bones (particularly the femur) and long vertebral bodies that predispose the animals to thoracic kyphoscoliosis and tail kinks. Like the Fgfr3 mice, only

Table 1. Two-Point Linkage Data for All Chromosomes

The table is available in its entirety in the online edition of The American Journal of Human Genetics.
bones formed by endochondral ossification are affected in CATSHL syndrome, and the bones most notably affected are the long bones and vertebral bodies. Fgfr $3^{-1}$ mice also exhibit profound sensorineural deafness that is caused by cochlear defects, including absence of inner and outer pillar cells in the organ of Corti and reduced innervation of the outer hair cells. ${ }^{+5}$ However, the middle
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Figure 4. Identification of loss-of-function mutation in FGFR3 that causes CATSHL syndrome. \(A, A\) heterozygous \(G \rightarrow A\) FGFR3 mutation creates a novel DraIII restriction site. B, Restriction digest with DraIII that confirmed homozygosity for the uncut wild-type FGFR3 allele ( 419 bp ) in unaffected individuals (open symbols), whereas affected individuals (filled symbols) were heterozygous for a wildtype allele ( 419 bp ) and a mutant allele that cut into two fragments ( 318 and 101 bp ). C, Amino acid alignment of different FGFRs. Arginine at codon 621 of the activation domain is conserved among human FGFR1, \(-2,-3\), and -4 (top), in all vertebrate FGFR3s characterized to date (middle), and in other receptor tyrosine kinases (bottom).
ear ossicles and the gross structure of the inner ear of Fgfr3' mice are normal. Likewise, individuals with p.R621H had sensorineural hearing loss, normal conductive hearing, and no gross abnormalities of the middle or inner ear. In contrast to the static deafness observed in Fgfr \(3^{-i-}\) mice, the hearing loss in individuals with the p.R621H substitution was progressive. This difference may be a result of the residual activity of the wild-type copy of FGFR3 in individuals with CATSHL syndrome. It also suggests that the support cells of the organ of Corti might require FGFR3 for maintenance as well as formation, an inference consistent with the expression of Fgfr3 in pillar cells of the adult rat." This requirement may be dose-sensitive, because some individuals with constitutively activating mutations in FGFR3 also develop sensorineural hearing loss. \({ }^{10}\)

Table 2. Results of the Multipoint Linkage Analysis
The table is available in its entirety in the online edition of The American Journal of Human Genetics.

The skeletal phenotypes of both Fgfr3' mice and individuals with CATSHL syndrome also are similar to those of sheep with a naturally occurring condition called "ovine hereditary chondrodysplasia" or "spider lamb syndrome" (SLS). \({ }^{11,12}\) SLS is a codominant condition characterized by modestly increased long-bone length in heterozygotes and elongated "spider-like" legs, a "humped and twisted spine," flexion contractures of the legs, and deformed ribs and sternebra in homozygotes. \({ }^{12}\) SLS is caused by a substitution of glutamic acid for valine at


Figure 5. A, Ball-and-stick model of the active-site region of the catalytic domain of FGFR1. The model is based on the \(0.2-\mathrm{nm}\) crystal structure of the tyrosine kinase domain of the human FGFR1 (RSCB Protein Data Bank entry 1FGK). R627 of FGFR1 is homologous to R621 of FGFR3. B, Hypothetical model of FGFR3, showing position of histidine side chain when substituted for R621.
amino acid position 700 (p.V700E) in the tyrosine kinase of Fgfr3, where it is predicted to cause a loss of FGFR3 function. \({ }^{12}\) Therefore, both p.R621H and p.V700E cause a dominantly inherited loss of FGFR3 function and similar skeletal anomalies.

For several reasons, it is unlikely that the loss of function caused by p.R621H results from haploinsufficiency. First, mice heterozygous for an Fgfr 3 null allele are phenotypically normal. \({ }^{4.5}\) Second, deletion of \(F G F R 3\), which occurs in most patients with Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome (WHS [MIM 194190]), is not associated with any of the skeletal defects observed in the individuals with p.R621H. \({ }^{13}\) However, it is possible that other genes that are typically deleted in patients with WHS mask the effect of \(\operatorname{FGFR} 3\) hemizygosity. Third, the fibroblasts of individuals affected with CATSHL syndrome express both wild-type and mutant (i.e., p.R621H-containing) FGFR3 RNA in nearly equal proportions, and the expression levels of all five FGFRs in patients are similar to those of normal individuals. Furthermore, both mutant and wild-type FGFR3 localizes to its normal position in the cell membrane (data not shown). These observations suggest that p.R621H might, instead, cause loss of FGFR3 function by a dominant negative mechanism.
Proper FGF signaling requires dimerization of FGFR molecules on the cell surface. Dimerization subsequently promotes the intracellular autophosphorylation of critical tyrosine residues in the activation loop of the receptor. \({ }^{6}\) This stabilizes the tyrosine kinase domain in the active conformation, leading to phosphorylation of other tyrosine residues in the activation domain and binding of target proteins. p.R621H-FGFR3 might form a heterodimer with wild-type FGFR3 that reduces or abolishes kinase activity. This mechanism has been shown to underlie the dominant negative effect of several amino acid substitutions in the activation domain of the insulin receptor (M1M 147670), another tyrosine kinase receptor, that cause dominantly inherited insulin resistance. \({ }^{14,15}\)

It has been speculated that polymorphisms in FGFR3 might influence adult height. \({ }^{16}\) This hypothesis is supported by the observation that several FGFR3 mutations cause such mild forms of HCH that the height of affected individuals falls within the normal spectrum. \({ }^{1 / 6}\) On the other hand, \(\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{V} 700 \mathrm{E}\) is positively correlated with longbone length in sheep, and the height of \(\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{R} 621 \mathrm{H}\) heterozygotes overlaps with individuals on the taller end of the normal height spectrum. Analogous to the positive association between the level of FGFR3 activation and bonegrowth inhibition (i.e., higher levels of FGFR3 activation cause more-severe limb shortening), our results indicate that increases in long-bone length are associated with FGFR3 impairment. This observation suggests that human stature might be influenced by FGFR3 activity in a dosedependent fashion.
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Table 4.1. Two-Point Linkage Data for All Chromosomes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Chromosome and Marker} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{LOD at \(=\theta\)} \\
\hline & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{1:} \\
\hline D1S407 & -8.31 & -3.36 & -1.70 & -0.98 & -0.36 & -0.11 & -0.02 \\
\hline D1S396 & -18.90 & -4.72 & -2.44 & -1.45 & -0.54 & -0.14 & 0.01 \\
\hline D1S1150 & -9.94 & -6.38 & -3.76 & -2.47 & -1.21 & -0.55 & -0.17 \\
\hline D1S1162 & -7.09 & -3.57 & -2.11 & -1.44 & -0.74 & -0.35 & -0.11 \\
\hline D1S410 & -5.60 & -3.00 & -1.99 & -1.47 & -0.94 & -0.59 & -0.29 \\
\hline D1S406 & -7.05 & -5.43 & -3.26 & -2.24 & -1.23 & -0.67 & -0.29 \\
\hline D1S1174 & -7.19 & -5.10 & -2.90 & -1.91 & -1.04 & -0.59 & -0.26 \\
\hline D1S1153 & -11.15 & -6.18 & -4.84 & -3.39 & -1.77 & -0.92 & -0.38 \\
\hline D1S1165 & -16.48 & -6.43 & -3.53 & -2.27 & -1.08 & -0.48 & -0.15 \\
\hline D1S370 & -13.00 & -1.93 & -0.64 & -0.18 & 0.13 & 0.19 & 0.13 \\
\hline D1S384 & -10.80 & -0.70 & 0.13 & 0.48 & 0.67 & 0.60 & 0.37 \\
\hline D1S408 & -5.54 & -2.18 & -1.31 & -0.88 & -0.46 & -0.24 & -0.10 \\
\hline D1S373 & -14.13 & -2.71 & -1.15 & -0.48 & 0.07 & 0.23 & 0.19 \\
\hline D1S1164 & -5.71 & -2.34 & -1.44 & -1.00 & -0.53 & -0.27 & -0.10 \\
\hline D1S399 & -0.12 & -0.12 & -0.10 & -0.08 & -0.04 & -0.02 & 0.00 \\
\hline D1S389 & -10.87 & -1.65 & -0.27 & 0.20 & 0.44 & 0.39 & 0.24 \\
\hline DIS517 & -21.05 & -6.02 & -3.05 & -1.77 & -0.67 & -0.21 & -0.03 \\
\hline D1S404 & -7.73 & -3.39 & -1.75 & -0.99 & -0.32 & -0.05 & 0.04 \\
\hline D1S211 & -18.09 & -8.51 & -5.11 & -3.44 & -1.77 & -0.88 & -0.33 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{2:} \\
\hline D2S262 & -16.13 & -5.90 & -3.18 & -2.04 & -1.03 & -0.53 & -0.22 \\
\hline D2S272 & -15.04 & -5.59 & -2.89 & -1.78 & -0.78 & -0.31 & -0.08 \\
\hline D2S265 & -5.63 & -2.40 & -1.10 & -0.61 & -0.24 & -0.11 & -0.06 \\
\hline D2S1248 & -12.95 & -5.42 & -2.60 & -1.45 & -0.51 & -0.15 & -0.02 \\
\hline D2S1262 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.15 & 0.12 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.01 \\
\hline D2S274 & -16.98 & -4.69 & -2.08 & -1.12 & -0.39 & -0.14 & -0.04 \\
\hline D2S1265 & -11.21 & -2.75 & -1.26 & -0.64 & -0.15 & 0.02 & 0.05 \\
\hline D2S275 & -20.46 & -5.61 & -2.75 & -1.58 & -0.58 & -0.18 & -0.04 \\
\hline D2S1268 & -4.42 & -1.08 & -0.27 & 0.07 & 0.28 & 0.27 & 0.16 \\
\hline D2S1244 & -13.82 & -6.01 & -3.09 & -1.83 & -0.73 & -0.26 & -0.06 \\
\hline D2S273 & -10.56 & -2.84 & -1.47 & -0.90 & -0.39 & -0.15 & -0.03 \\
\hline D2S1242 & -16.27 & -5.38 & -2.93 & -1.88 & -0.90 & -0.42 & -0.15 \\
\hline D2S1279 & -18.57 & -5.16 & -2.86 & -1.82 & -0.81 & -0.31 & -0.07 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{3:} \\
\hline D3S1539 & -4.69 & -0.64 & -0.01 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.26 & 0.15 \\
\hline D3S1537 & -4.33 & -0.99 & -0.19 & 0.14 & 0.35 & 0.34 & 0.22 \\
\hline D3S2303 & -13.19 & -3.81 & -1.68 & -0.82 & -0.15 & 0.08 & 0.10 \\
\hline D3S2327 & -7.22 & -1.56 & -0.17 & 0.32 & 0.56 & 0.46 & 0.24 \\
\hline D3S2304 & -6.27 & -4.51 & -2.72 & -1.83 & -0.90 & -0.41 & -0.13 \\
\hline D3S1514 & -14.59 & -3.20 & -1.25 & -0.54 & -0.03 & 0.11 & 0.09 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.1. Continued
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Chromosome & \multicolumn{7}{c}{ LOD at \(=\theta\)} \\
\cline { 2 - 8 } and Marker & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline D3S2329 & -6.88 & -1.13 & -.48 & -0.25 & -0.09 & -0.03 & 0.00 \\
D3S1542 & -16.77 & -5.28 & -2.86 & -1.76 & -0.74 & -0.26 & -0.05 \\
D3S2318 & -4.31 & -1.03 & -0.39 & -0.18 & -0.06 & -0.02 & 0.00 \\
D3S1667 & -19.00 & -7.21 & -5.50 & -3.87 & -2.10 & -1.12 & -0.47 \\
D3S2322 & -13.33 & -5.50 & -4.77 & -3.53 & -1.92 & -1.01 & -0.41 \\
D3S1512 & -3.90 & -3.85 & -2.57 & -1.75 & -0.99 & -0.58 & -0.27 \\
D3S1545 & -6.52 & -5.80 & -4.15 & -2.88 & -1.60 & -0.88 & -0.38 \\
D3S1530 & -12.12 & -6.55 & -3.67 & -2.38 & -1.17 & -0.56 & -0.21 \\
D3S2305 & -14.58 & -8.47 & -5.23 & -3.42 & -1.73 & -0.86 & -0.34 \\
4: & & & & & & & \\
D4S3360 & 1.07 & 1.04 & 0.92 & 0.77 & 0.48 & 0.25 & 0.09 \\
D4S3038 & 3.72 & 3.65 & 3.36 & 2.99 & 2.21 & 1.43 & 0.68 \\
D4S412 & 3.76 & 3.68 & 3.34 & 2.90 & 2.04 & 1.21 & 0.48 \\
D4S3023 & 1.81 & 1.76 & 1.58 & 1.37 & 0.98 & 0.64 & 0.32 \\
D4S2285 & 0.22 & 0.21 & 0.18 & 0.13 & 0.04 & 0.01 & 0.00 \\
D4S431 & 2.12 & 2.07 & 1.87 & 1.62 & 1.16 & 0.74 & 0.36 \\
D4S3007 & -9.34 & -1.65 & -0.43 & -0.04 & 0.15 & 0.14 & 0.08 \\
D4S1511 & -3.33 & -0.62 & 0.12 & 0.37 & 0.45 & 0.33 & 0.16 \\
D4S1525 & -4.34 & -0.72 & 0.07 & 0.37 & 0.51 & 0.42 & 0.24 \\
D4S2289 & -17.89 & -6.69 & -3.81 & -2.51 & -1.22 & -0.57 & -0.21 \\
D4S2282 & -19.67 & -8.67 & -4.75 & -3.00 & -1.42 & -0.67 & -0.25 \\
D4S2295 & -5.44 & -5.35 & -3.79 & -2.62 & -1.42 & -0.74 & -0.30 \\
D4S1631 & -13.56 & -7.69 & -5.13 & -3.40 & -1.72 & -0.84 & -0.32 \\
D4S2308 & -16.06 & -8.84 & -5.57 & -3.65 & -1.83 & -0.90 & -0.34 \\
D4S1517 & -8.37 & -7.41 & -4.86 & -3.24 & -1.71 & -0.91 & -0.38 \\
D4S2284 & -16.72 & -3.97 & -1.99 & -1.22 & -0.57 & -0.26 & -0.09 \\
D4S1531 & -5.47 & -5.37 & -3.72 & -2.56 & -1.38 & -0.72 & -0.30 \\
D4S1527 & 0.18 & 0.17 & 0.15 & 0.12 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.01 \\
D4S2286 & -19.82 & -4.23 & -1.73 & -0.78 & -0.05 & 0.17 & 0.17 \\
D4S1515 & -19.69 & -5.91 & -2.67 & -1.42 & -0.41 & -0.03 & 0.08 \\
D4S2292 & -16.65 & -3.42 & -1.50 & -0.75 & -0.13 & 0.10 & 0.12 \\
D4S1529 & -16.01 & -5.20 & -2.52 & -1.47 & -0.60 & -0.23 & -0.06 \\
D4S1530 & -14.94 & -3.61 & -1.56 & -0.75 & -0.09 & 0.12 & 0.13 \\
D4S2299 & -11.69 & -3.60 & -1.68 & -0.97 & -0.34 & -0.06 & 0.03 \\
5: & & & & & & & \\
D5S593 & -16.18 & -5.31 & -2.67 & -1.64 & -0.80 & -0.42 & -0.19 \\
D5S580 & -13.41 & -6.12 & -5.40 & -3.96 & -2.16 & -1.16 & -0.05 \\
D5S1377 & -19.84 & -7.28 & -3.80 & -2.35 & -1.06 & -0.47 & -0.16 \\
D5S612 & -12.72 & -6.45 & -3.55 & -2.29 & -1.14 & -0.56 & -0.22 \\
D5S1347 & -12.96 & -7.06 & -5.56 & -3.95 & -2.08 & -1.10 & -0.47 \\
& -0.51 & -0.26 & -0.13 & -0.04 & -0.02 & -0.01 \\
\hline & & & & & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 4.1. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Chromosome & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{LOD at \(=\boldsymbol{\theta}\)} \\
\hline and Marker & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline D5S1346 & -12.59 & -8.95 & -5.43 & -3.62 & -1.92 & -1.02 & -0.43 \\
\hline D5S592 & -12.15 & -5.75 & -2.92 & -2.92 & -1.73 & -0.69 & -0.24 \\
\hline D5S613 & -18.40 & -8.51 & -4.65 & -2.94 & -1.36 & -0.59 & -0.18 \\
\hline D5S1392 & -12.36 & -6.07 & -3.38 & -2.17 & -1.03 & -0.46 & -0.15 \\
\hline D5S1349 & -11.17 & -5.57 & -2.78 & -1.67 & -0.76 & -0.35 & -0.12 \\
\hline D5S1398 & -11.19 & -3.83 & -1.74 & -0.94 & -0.34 & -0.12 & -0.02 \\
\hline D5S1354 & -5.69 & -2.16 & -0.94 & -0.47 & -0.11 & 0.01 & 0.03 \\
\hline FBN2 & -1.60 & -1.05 & -0.51 & -0.30 & -0.14 & -0.06 & -0.02 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{6:} \\
\hline D6S942 & -17.17 & -4.92 & -2.51 & -1.48 & -0.56 & -0.16 & 0.01 \\
\hline D6S399 & -5.23 & -1.08 & -0.45 & -0.23 & -0.07 & -0.02 & 0.00 \\
\hline D6S394 & -6.78 & -1.01 & 0.19 & 0.54 & 0.63 & 0.45 & 0.20 \\
\hline D6S400 & -6.76 & -0.63 & 0.02 & 0.26 & 0.40 & 0.37 & 0.22 \\
\hline D6S948 & -8.78 & -5.39 & -2.76 & -1.70 & -0.79 & -0.37 & -0.14 \\
\hline D6S395 & -12.19 & -7.84 & -4.65 & -3.04 & -1.52 & -0.75 & -0.30 \\
\hline D6S954 & -17.04 & -8.27 & -4.36 & -2.72 & -1.26 & -0.58 & -0.22 \\
\hline D6S939 & -10.07 & -6.59 & -3.32 & -2.01 & -0.91 & -0.41 & -0.15 \\
\hline D6S979 & -4.81 & -2.11 & -1.11 & -0.64 & -0.24 & -0.07 & -0.01 \\
\hline D6S935 & -14.81 & -4.69 & -2.17 & -1.20 & -0.43 & -0.12 & 0.00 \\
\hline D6S393 & -16.61 & -6.76 & -3.83 & -2.52 & -1.24 & -0.56 & -0.18 \\
\hline D6S392 & -16.44 & -3.81 & -1.66 & -0.79 & -0.11 & 0.12 & 0.13 \\
\hline D6S1011 & -4.63 & -0.61 & -0.01 & 0.16 & 0.21 & 0.13 & 0.04 \\
\hline D6S439 & -15.87 & -2.73 & -1.22 & -0.57 & -0.01 & 0.19 & 0.18 \\
\hline D6S291 & -10.86 & -0.72 & 0.07 & 0.40 & 0.60 & 0.55 & 0.35 \\
\hline D6S105 & -4.06 & -0.72 & 0.07 & 0.37 & 0.51 & 0.42 & 0.24 \\
\hline D6S276 & -0.08 & -0.08 & -0.06 & -0.05 & -0.03 & -0.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline 509-8B2 & -11.50 & -7.27 & -4.38 & -2.84 & -1.42 & -0.71 & -0.29 \\
\hline 509-12B1 & -11.50 & -7.27 & -4.38 & -2.84 & -1.42 & -0.71 & -0.29 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{7:} \\
\hline D7S1484 & -12.19 & -7.84 & -4.65 & -3.04 & -1.52 & -0.75 & -0.30 \\
\hline D7S620 & -17.17 & -4.92 & -2.51 & -1.48 & -0.56 & -0.16 & 0.01 \\
\hline D7S1504 & -6.76 & -0.63 & 0.02 & 0.26 & 0.40 & 0.37 & 0.22 \\
\hline D7S1512 & -11.50 & -7.27 & -4.38 & -2.84 & -1.42 & -0.71 & -0.29 \\
\hline D7S1526 & -4.06 & -0.72 & 0.07 & 0.37 & 0.51 & 0.42 & 0.24 \\
\hline D7S1485 & -16.61 & -6.76 & -3.83 & -2.52 & -1.24 & -0.56 & -0.18 \\
\hline D7S1517 & -11.72 & -5.91 & -3.49 & -2.36 & -1.26 & -0.68 & -0.29 \\
\hline D7S1520 & -11.50 & -7.27 & -4.38 & -2.84 & -1.42 & -0.71 & -0.29 \\
\hline D7S618 & -0.08 & -0.08 & -0.06 & -0.05 & -0.03 & -0.01 & 0.00 \\
\hline D7S1522 & -17.13 & -7.57 & -4.16 & -2.67 & -1.32 & -0.64 & -0.24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{8:} \\
\hline D8S391/D8S307 & -12.02 & -2.17 & -0.82 & -0.29 & 0.12 & 0.21 & 0.16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.1. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Chromosome and Marker} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{LOD at \(=\boldsymbol{\theta}\)} \\
\hline & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline D8S492 & -19.05 & -4.64 & -1.79 & -0.67 & 0.17 & 0.39 & 0.30 \\
\hline D8S405 & -0.16 & 0.35 & 0.76 & 0.80 & 0.64 & 0.41 & 0.20 \\
\hline D8S499 & -13.98 & -5.10 & -2.36 & -1.24 & -0.29 & 0.07 & 0.14 \\
\hline D8S1097 & -10.06 & -2.82 & -1.44 & -0.88 & -0.37 & -0.13 & -0.02 \\
\hline D8S366 & -19.19 & -3.21 & -1.24 & -0.51 & 0.04 & 0.19 & 0.17 \\
\hline D8S562 & -8.68 & -5.57 & -3.03 & -1.87 & -0.88 & -0.43 & -0.18 \\
\hline D8S343 & -12.98 & -7.50 & -4.17 & -2.65 & -1.24 & -0.55 & -0.17 \\
\hline D8S384 & -6.49 & -4.92 & -2.74 & -1.77 & -0.85 & -0.40 & -0.14 \\
\hline D8S378 & -23.90 & -10.12 & -5.31 & -3.28 & -1.46 & -0.62 & -0.19 \\
\hline D8S386 & -15.01 & -4.21 & -2.04 & -1.14 & -0.37 & -0.07 & 0.03 \\
\hline D8S315 & -11.65 & -4.35 & -1.90 & -0.90 & -0.15 & 0.06 & 0.06 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{9:} \\
\hline D9S759 & -32.37 & -12.01 & -6.93 & -4.53 & -2.26 & -1.09 & -0.40 \\
\hline D9S770 & -15.35 & -6.85 & -3.92 & -2.61 & -1.34 & -0.66 & -0.24 \\
\hline D9S235 & -6.13 & -1.44 & -0.75 & -0.46 & -0.19 & -0.07 & -0.01 \\
\hline D9S248 & -12.64 & -5.99 & -3.22 & -2.06 & -0.98 & -0.44 & -0.15 \\
\hline D9S768 & -14.62 & -3.84 & -1.85 & -1.08 & -0.43 & -0.17 & -0.04 \\
\hline D9S249 & -6.21 & -3.91 & -2.07 & -1.28 & -0.56 & -0.22 & -0.05 \\
\hline D9S774 & -5.55 & -3.62 & -1.72 & -1.04 & -0.55 & -0.35 & -0.17 \\
\hline D9S762 & -8.14 & -4.26 & -2.54 & -1.61 & -0.71 & -0.28 & -0.07 \\
\hline D9S752 & -17.60 & -4.33 & -1.70 & -0.71 & 0.03 & 0.23 & 0.19 \\
\hline D9S15 & -8.35 & -0.78 & -0.17 & 0.02 & 0.10 & 0.07 & 0.02 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10:} \\
\hline D10S526 & -8.21 & -4.22 & -2.13 & -1.22 & -0.42 & -0.09 & 0.02 \\
\hline D10S1152 & -26.40 & -8.78 & -4.60 & -2.85 & -1.28 & -0.55 & -0.17 \\
\hline D10S527 & -5.18 & -3.79 & -2.25 & -1.53 & -0.83 & -0.44 & -0.19 \\
\hline D10S509 & -25.19 & -8.98 & -4.77 & -3.02 & -1.41 & -0.62 & -0.18 \\
\hline D10S524 & -3.48 & -0.22 & 0.32 & 0.42 & 0.36 & 0.23 & 0.11 \\
\hline D10S523 & -19.32 & -5.38 & -2.68 & -1.61 & -0.67 & -0.25 & -0.06 \\
\hline D10S521 & -14.28 & -8.63 & -6.02 & -4.04 & -2.12 & -1.09 & -0.44 \\
\hline D10S528 & -11.23 & -4.84 & -2.23 & -1.26 & -0.52 & -0.24 & -0.09 \\
\hline D10S1134 & -12.69 & -8.11 & -6.11 & -4.20 & -2.23 & -1.14 & -0.45 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{11:} \\
\hline D11S1923 & -10.56 & -6.38 & -4.16 & -2.84 & -1.52 & -0.79 & -0.32 \\
\hline D11S1301 & -15.36 & -4.61 & -1.97 & -0.96 & -0.16 & 0.09 & 0.12 \\
\hline D11S1298 & -18.45 & -7.48 & -3.94 & -2.43 & -1.06 & -0.42 & -0.10 \\
\hline D11S1291 & -16.77 & -6.03 & -2.77 & -1.49 & -0.45 & -0.05 & 0.07 \\
\hline D11S1302 & -12.23 & -2.08 & -0.73 & -0.26 & 0.02 & 0.06 & 0.02 \\
\hline D11S1899 & -9.74 & -2.33 & -1.11 & -0.71 & -0.39 & -0.21 & -0.07 \\
\hline D11S1304 & -5.68 & -4.70 & -4.28 & -3.91 & -2.37 & -1.37 & -0.63 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.1. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Chromosome and Marker} & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{LOD at \(=\theta\)} \\
\hline & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{12:} \\
\hline VWFII & -4.72 & -2.71 & -1.24 & -0.66 & -0.21 & -0.07 & -0.02 \\
\hline D12S369 & -8.85 & -6.95 & -4.04 & -2.68 & -1.34 & -0.64 & -0.23 \\
\hline D12S802 & -9.91 & -1.98 & -0.69 & -0.23 & 0.09 & 0.14 & 0.10 \\
\hline D12S799 & -16.09 & -7.85 & -6.07 & -4.14 & -2.09 & -1.01 & -0.36 \\
\hline D12S297 & -0.08 & -0.08 & -0.06 & -0.05 & -0.03 & -0.01 & -0.00 \\
\hline D12S303 & -15.30 & -5.21 & -2.81 & -1.73 & -0.73 & -0.27 & -0.06 \\
\hline D12S296 & -6.12 & -2.57 & -1.31 & -0.78 & -0.32 & -0.12 & -0.03 \\
\hline D12S300 & -7.60 & -6.65 & -5.78 & -4.22 & -2.31 & -1.23 & -0.51 \\
\hline D12S807 & -8.99 & -6.30 & -3.70 & -2.46 & -1.30 & -0.69 & -0.30 \\
\hline D12S834 & -17.97 & -4.87 & -2.48 & -1.42 & -0.49 & -0.12 & -0.01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{13:} \\
\hline D13S250 & -6.53 & -3.59 & -1.97 & -1.23 & -0.54 & -0.21 & -0.05 \\
\hline D13S252 & -9.35 & -5.99 & -3.73 & -2.57 & -1.38 & -0.73 & -0.30 \\
\hline D13S305 & -5.55 & -4.70 & -2.99 & -2.10 & -1.17 & -0.64 & -0.28 \\
\hline D13S242 & -15.33 & -4.85 & -2.35 & -1.26 & -0.35 & -0.01 & -0.36 \\
\hline D13S258 & -5.30 & -4.39 & -2.27 & -1.35 & -0.55 & -0.20 & -0.04 \\
\hline D13S628 & -3.18 & -0.87 & -0.26 & -0.07 & 0.03 & 0.02 & 0.00 \\
\hline D13S254 & 0.22 & 0.21 & 0.19 & 0.15 & 0.09 & 0.04 & 0.01 \\
\hline D13S248 & -6.84 & -2.96 & -1.45 & -0.80 & -0.24 & -0.02 & 0.04 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{14:} \\
\hline D14S781 & -19.19 & -8.22 & -4.59 & -3.00 & -1.49 & -0.71 & -0.26 \\
\hline D14S122 & -10.31 & -1.58 & 0.24 & 0.79 & 0.96 & 0.74 & 0.36 \\
\hline D14S121 & -10.50 & -2.59 & -1.15 & -0.59 & -0.15 & 0.01 & 0.05 \\
\hline D14S562 & -12.38 & -5.00 & -2.25 & -1.18 & -0.36 & -0.11 & -0.06 \\
\hline D14S119 & -7.11 & -2.34 & -1.03 & -0.54 & -0.16 & -0.04 & -0.01 \\
\hline D14S140 & -15.56 & -5.41 & -3.01 & -1.93 & -0.93 & -0.46 & -0.19 \\
\hline D14S553 & -3.55 & 0.46 & 0.94 & 0.96 & 0.70 & 0.37 & 0.12 \\
\hline D14S118 & -11.44 & -3.17 & -1.54 & -0.85 & -0.27 & -0.05 & 0.00 \\
\hline D14S126 & 0.20 & 0.19 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.03 & 0.01 & 0.01 \\
\hline D14S131 & 0.20 & 0.19 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.03 & 0.01 & 0.01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{15:} \\
\hline D15S540 & -4.56 & -1.01 & -0.36 & -0.12 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.06 \\
\hline D15S537 & -10.62 & -0.20 & 0.38 & 0.53 & 0.52 & 0.40 & 0.22 \\
\hline D15S195 & -5.85 & -4.90 & -2.73 & -1.75 & -0.84 & -0.39 & -0.14 \\
\hline D15S192 & -8.23 & -5.90 & -4.07 & -2.79 & -1.49 & -0.77 & -0.30 \\
\hline D15S533 & -21.58 & -7.39 & -3.85 & -2.35 & -1.01 & -0.39 & -0.10 \\
\hline D15S184 & -27.70 & -5.42 & -2.16 & -0.96 & -0.08 & 0.16 & 0.18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{16:} \\
\hline D16S423 & -7.77 & -1.39 & -0.13 & 0.28 & 0.48 & 0.41 & 0.23 \\
\hline D16S475 & -7.25 & 1.19 & 1.62 & 1.59 & 1.24 & 0.81 & 0.40 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.1. Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Chromosome & \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{LOD at \(=\theta\)} \\
\hline and Marker & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline D16S680 & -8.13 & -2.19 & -0.78 & -0.26 & 0.07 & 0.13 & 0.09 \\
\hline D16S683 & 0.24 & 0.23 & 0.18 & 0.13 & 0.06 & 0.03 & 0.01 \\
\hline D16S490 & -9.29 & -2.02 & -0.51 & 0.05 & 0.39 & 0.38 & 0.23 \\
\hline D16S746 & -14.39 & -3.91 & -2.13 & -1.26 & -0.45 & -0.09 & 0.03 \\
\hline D16S487 & -19.07 & -5.04 & -2.23 & -1.08 & -0.15 & 0.16 & 0.18 \\
\hline D16S671 & -3.95 & -0.67 & -0.02 & 0.20 & 0.33 & 0.31 & 0.19 \\
\hline D16S676 & -15.29 & -3.88 & -1.34 & -0.43 & 0.18 & 0.28 & 0.19 \\
\hline D16S418 & -2.28 & 0.03 & 0.58 & 0.70 & 0.64 & 0.46 & 0.23 \\
\hline D16S3024 & -14.67 & -2.29 & -0.42 & 0.21 & 0.54 & 0.49 & 0.29 \\
\hline D16S291 & -8.42 & -1.18 & 0.03 & 0.39 & 0.50 & 0.38 & 0.19 \\
\hline D16S287 & -12.08 & -3.13 & -1.12 & -0.36 & 0.17 & 0.28 & 0.21 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{17:} \\
\hline D17S695 & -11.97 & -6.68 & -3.70 & -2.38 & -1.13 & -0.50 & -0.16 \\
\hline D17S919 & -4.85 & -1.55 & -0.82 & -0.50 & -0.21 & -0.08 & -0.02 \\
\hline D17S900 & -15.94 & -2.43 & -0.97 & -0.39 & 0.03 & 0.11 & 0.08 \\
\hline D17S750 & -23.38 & -4.43 & -1.76 & -0.74 & 0.02 & 0.22 & 0.19 \\
\hline D17S515 & -16.07 & -5.98 & -3.08 & -1.84 & -0.72 & -0.22 & -0.01 \\
\hline D17S722 & -9.99 & -4.56 & -2.45 & -1.59 & -0.86 & -0.47 & -0.20 \\
\hline D17S914 & -10.53 & -3.03 & -1.36 & -0.61 & -0.01 & 0.14 & 0.10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{18:} \\
\hline D18S818 & 1.09 & 1.05 & 0.91 & 0.74 & 0.43 & 0.20 & 0.06 \\
\hline D18S391 & -9.49 & -4.01 & -2.33 & -1.48 & -0.66 & -0.27 & -0.07 \\
\hline D18S53 & -4.71 & -0.67 & -0.07 & 0.11 & 0.16 & 0.10 & 0.03 \\
\hline D18S819 & -12.08 & -6.23 & -3.42 & -2.22 & -1.12 & -0.56 & -0.22 \\
\hline D18S383 & -8.84 & -2.44 & -1.15 & -0.66 & -0.28 & -0.12 & -0.03 \\
\hline D18S51 & -22.46 & -6.36 & -3.02 & -1.72 & -0.64 & -0.22 & -0.06 \\
\hline D18S390 & -17.86 & -4.77 & -2.11 & -1.09 & -0.29 & -0.01 & 0.04 \\
\hline D18S380 & -11.53 & -3.18 & -1.34 & -0.64 & -0.11 & 0.08 & 0.10 \\
\hline D18S64 & -24.62 & -5.36 & -2.58 & -1.48 & -0.60 & -0.24 & -0.08 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{19:} \\
\hline D19S549 & -5.52 & -2.15 & -1.28 & -0.86 & -0.45 & -0.23 & -0.09 \\
\hline D19S395 & -27.00 & -10.06 & -5.72 & -3.76 & -1.89 & -0.91 & -0.33 \\
\hline D19S403 & -4.81 & -1.27 & -0.65 & -0.43 & -0.24 & -0.13 & -0.06 \\
\hline D19S400 & -5.79 & -4.34 & -2.19 & -1.29 & -0.53 & -0.22 & -0.09 \\
\hline D19S393 & -2.57 & -0.27 & 0.28 & 0.40 & 0.34 & 0.18 & 0.04 \\
\hline D19S553 & -7.17 & -1.68 & -0.27 & 0.24 & 0.51 & 0.42 & 0.20 \\
\hline D19S727 & -10.53 & -7.24 & -4.18 & -2.69 & -1.26 & -0.55 & -0.17 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{20:} \\
\hline D20S165 & -6.41 & -3.01 & -1.62 & -0.96 & -0.38 & -0.14 & -0.03 \\
\hline D20S156 & -16.10 & -6.37 & -3.49 & -2.27 & -1.15 & -0.57 & -0.21 \\
\hline D20S161 & -4.51 & -1.22 & -0.54 & -0.27 & -0.04 & 0.04 & 0.05 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.1. Continued
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrrr}
\hline Chromosome & \multicolumn{8}{c}{ LOD at \(=\boldsymbol{\theta}\)} \\
\cline { 2 - 9 } and Marker & 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.10 & 0.20 & 0.30 & 0.40 \\
\hline D20S438 & -18.48 & -4.85 & -2.19 & -1.17 & -0.35 & -0.07 & 0.00 \\
D20S423 & -19.72 & -7.87 & -4.30 & -2.77 & -1.36 & -0.66 & -0.24 \\
D20S428 & -10.24 & -3.10 & -1.72 & -1.14 & -0.59 & -0.30 & -0.11 \\
D20S149 & -6.98 & -3.48 & -1.94 & -1.26 & -0.61 & -0.27 & -0.08 \\
D20S94 & -18.96 & -4.02 & -2.00 & -1.20 & -0.50 & -0.19 & -0.04 \\
D20S164 & 0.22 & 0.21 & 0.17 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.01 & 0.00 \\
21: & & & & & & & \\
D21S1414 & -5.14 & -3.85 & -2.30 & -1.58 & -0.86 & -0.46 & -0.19 \\
D21S1409 & -16.31 & -8.05 & -4.75 & -3.11 & -1.56 & -0.77 & -0.30 \\
D21S1245 & -5.06 & -2.33 & -1.30 & -0.80 & -0.33 & -0.11 & -0.02 \\
D21S1413 & -10.30 & -4.63 & -2.47 & -1.57 & -0.77 & -0.38 & -0.16 \\
D21S1246 & -5.43 & -1.14 & -0.50 & -0.28 & -0.10 & -0.03 & 0.00 \\
D21S1411 & -14.02 & -3.63 & -1.93 & -1.14 & -0.59 & -0.30 & -0.11 \\
22: & & & & & & & \\
D22S533 & -5.92 & -2.73 & -1.74 & -1.16 & -0.53 & -0.21 & -0.05 \\
D22S528 & 0.50 & 0.48 & 0.39 & 0.28 & 0.14 & 0.05 & 0.02 \\
D22S417 & -14.00 & -7.52 & -4.43 & -2.99 & -1.57 & -0.80 & -0.31 \\
D22S526 & -10.79 & -4.84 & -2.20 & -1.19 & -0.38 & -0.07 & 0.02 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTE.-Two-point linkage analyses were performed with the MLINK program of the FASTLINK* package, under the assumptions of autosomal dominant inheritance and full penetrance. The disease-allele frequency was set at 0.0001 .
*Lathrop GM, Lalouel J-M, Julier C, Ott J (1984) Strategies for multilocus analysis in humans. PNAS 81:3443-3446.

Table 4.2. Results of the Multipoint Linkage Analysis
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\hline Position or Marker & Location Score \\
\hline-49.9999 & 1.8770 \\
-45.0000 & 2.0890 \\
-40.0000 & 2.3220 \\
-35.0000 & 2.5770 \\
-30.0000 & 2.8550 \\
-20.0000 & 3.4870 \\
-15.0000 & 3.8420 \\
-10.0000 & 4.2240 \\
-5.0000 & 4.6330 \\
-0.0001 & 5.0690 \\
D4S3038 & \\
0.0001 & 5.0690 \\
0.1092 & 5.0710 \\
0.2184 & 5.0740 \\
0.3276 & 5.0760 \\
0.4367 & 5.0790 \\
0.5459 & 5.0820 \\
0.6551 & 5.0840 \\
0.7643 & 5.0870 \\
0.8735 & 5.0890 \\
0.9827 & 5.0920 \\
1.0917 & 5.0940 \\
D4S114 & \\
1.0919 & 5.0940 \\
1.1471 & 5.0950 \\
1.2024 & 5.0960 \\
1.2577 & 5.0960 \\
1.3131 & 5.0970 \\
1.3684 & 5.0980 \\
1.4237 & 5.0990 \\
1.4790 & 5.0990 \\
1.5343 & 5.1000 \\
1.5896 & 5.1010 \\
1.6448 & 5.1010 \\
\(D 4 S 43\) & 5.1010 \\
1.6450 & 5.1010 \\
1.7428 & 5.1010 \\
1.8408 & 5.1010 \\
1.9387 & 5.1010 \\
2.0367 & 5.1010 \\
2.1346 & \\
2.2326 & 3306
\end{tabular}

Table 4.2. Continued
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\hline Position or Marker & Location Score \\
\hline 2.4285 & 5.1010 \\
2.5265 & 5.1010 \\
2.6243 & 5.1010 \\
D4S127 & \\
2.6245 & 5.1010 \\
2.6909 & 5.1010 \\
2.7573 & 5.1000 \\
2.8237 & 5.1000 \\
2.8902 & 5.1000 \\
2.9566 & 5.0990 \\
3.0231 & 5.0990 \\
3.0895 & 5.0990 \\
3.1559 & 5.0980 \\
3.2224 & 5.0980 \\
3.2887 & 5.0980 \\
D4S412 & \\
3.2889 & 5.0980 \\
8.2888 & 4.6600 \\
13.2888 & 4.2500 \\
18.2888 & 3.8670 \\
23.2888 & 3.5100 \\
28.2888 & 3.1800 \\
33.2888 & 2.8760 \\
38.2888 & 2.5960 \\
43.2888 & 2.3400 \\
48.2888 & 2.1050 \\
53.2887 & 1.8920 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

NOTE.-Multipoint linkage analysis was performed using SimWalk*, with markers on the short arm of chromosome 4, under the assumptions of dominant inheritance and a disease allele frequency of 0.001 .
*Sobel E and Lange K (1996) Descent graphs in pedigree analysis: applications to haplotyping, location scores, and marker sharing statistics. Am J Hum Genet 58:13231337.

\section*{APPENDIX A}

\section*{DISTAL ARTHROGRYPOSIS TYPE 5 IS}

\section*{CAUSED BY DEFECTS OF MYOSIN}

The following abstract, coauthored by myself, Lynn B. Jorde, and Michael J. Bamshad, was presented at the 2006 annual meeting of the American Society of Human Genetics held in New Orleans and published in the American Journal of Human Genetics in 2006 (volume 76, Supplement, page 74).

Distal arthrogryposis type 5 is caused by defects of myosin

\author{
Toydemir \(\mathrm{R}^{1}\), Jorde LB \({ }^{1}\), Bamshad \(\mathrm{M}^{2,3}\) \\ \({ }^{1}\) Department of Human Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT \\ \({ }^{2}\) Departments of Pediatrics and Genome Science, University of Washington, Seattle, WA \({ }^{3}\) Children's Hospital and Regional Medical Center, Seattle, WA
}

The distal arthrogryposes (DA) are a group of syndromes characterized by congenital contractures of the hands and feet, limited proximal joint involvement, autosomal dominant inheritance, reduced penetrance, and variable expressivity. To date, 10 different DA syndromes have been characterized. Among the DAs, DA5 is unique since in addition to contractures of the skeletal muscles, affected individuals have ocular abnormalities such as ptosis, ophthalmoplegia, and strabismus. Based on our previous findings, which showed DAs are caused by mutations that encode proteins of contractile apparatus of myofibers, we hypothesized that DA5 might be caused by contractile proteins that are expressed in both skeletal and extraocular muscles. Two such proteins are myosin heavy chain IIa and myosin heavy chain 13 that are encoded by MYH2 and MYH13, respectively. We screened the entire coding region of these genes in 8 independent cases of DA5. In two cases, we found missense mutations in MYH2 that caused substitutions of highly conserved amino acid residues. Neither of these mutations was found in more than 200 chromosomes from controls matched for geographic ancestry. Additionally, one of the two DA5 cases with a MYH2 mutation also had a mutation in MYH13. This mutation also alters a highly conserved amino acid
residue and it is not found in the healthy population. Our results suggest that DA5 is genetically heterogeneous, and mutations in MYH2 cause a subset of DA5 cases. In addition, mutations in other contractile proteins might modify the phenotype associated with MYH2 mutations or, alternatively, MYH2 might in some cases modify a phenotype caused by mutations in genes that encode other contractile proteins.

\section*{APPENDIX B}

\section*{A NEW AUTOSOMAL DOMINANT DISTAL ARTHROGRYPOSIS SYNDROME CHARACTERIZED BY PLANTAR TENDON CONTRACTURES IN A LARGE UTAH KINDRED MAPS TO 2q}

The following abstract, coauthored by myself, David A. Stevenson, Kathryn Swoboda, Hilary Coon, and Michael J. Bamshad, was presented at the 2006 annual meeting of the American Society of Human Genetics held in New Orleans and published in the American Journal of Human Genetics in 2006 (volume 76, Supplement, page 282).

A new autosomal dominant distal arthrogryposis syndrome characterized by plantar tendon contractures in a large Utah kindred maps to \(2 q\)

\author{
Stevenson DA \({ }^{1}\), Toydemir \(R^{2}\), Swoboda \(K^{1,3}\), Coon \(H^{4}\), Bamshad M \({ }^{5}\) Departments of \({ }^{1}\) Pediatrics, \({ }^{2}\) Human Genetics, \({ }^{3}\) Neurology, and \({ }^{4}\) Psychiatry (Neurodevelopmental Genetics Program), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT \\ \({ }^{5}\) Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA
}

The distal arthrogryposis (DA) syndromes are a distinct group of disorders characterized by contractures of two or more different body areas. More than a decade ago, we revised the classification of DAs and distinguished several new syndromes. This classification facilitated the identification of nearly half a dozen genes (i.e., TNNI2, TNNT3, MYH3, MYH8, and TPM2) that encode components of the contractile apparatus of fast-twitch myofibers and when defective cause DA. We now report the characterization of a novel DA disorder in a large five-generation Utah family in which plantar tendon shortening was transmitted among 14 affected individuals in an autosomal dominant pattern. Contractures of hips, elbows, wrists, and fingers varied in severity among affected individuals. All affected individuals had normal neurological examinations; electromyography and creatinine kinase levels on selected individuals were normal. We have tentatively labeled this condition distal arthrogryposis type 10 (DA10). A genome-wide linkage scan showed a maximum LOD score of 3.96 at marker D2S364 on chromosome 2 q near a region containing several genes that encode contractile proteins.```
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