



## Original Research Article

**Evaluation of wound healing properties of bioactive fractions from the extract of *Butea monosperma* (Lam) stem bark.**Avula Muralidhar<sup>1\*</sup>, K. Sudhakar Babu<sup>1</sup>, T. Ravi sankar<sup>2</sup>, P. Reddanna<sup>3</sup>, J. Latha<sup>4</sup>**\*Corresponding author:****A.Muralidhar**

Department of Chemistry  
Sri Krishnadevaraya  
University  
Anantapur-515055,  
Andhra Pradesh, India.

**Email:**

avulamuralidhar@rediffmail.com.

<sup>2</sup>Research and Development,  
Sri Pharmaceuticals Ltd.,  
Hyderabad, India.

<sup>3</sup>School of Life Sciences,  
University of Hyderabad,  
Hyderabad. Andhra Pradesh,  
India.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Bio-  
technology, Sri  
Krishnadevaraya University  
College of Engineering  
&Technology, Sri  
Krishnadevaraya University,  
Anantapur - 515 055,  
Andhrapradesh, India.

**Abstract**

The study aims to evaluate the wound healing properties of bioactive fractions from the extract of *Butea monosperma* (Lam) stem bark. In this study the stem bark powder was extracted with ethanol, further the ethanolic extract was fractionated with different solvents (petroleum ether, benzene, chloroform and acetone) in increasing order of polarity. Thus prepared extracts were subjected to preliminary phytochemical analysis. The wound healing activity of the ethanolic extract and the fractions isolated from the stem bark of *Butea monosperma* were evaluated in excision, incision and dead space wound healing models using Albino wistar rats. The wound healing activity was assessed by the breaking strength in case of incision wounds, epithelialization and wound contraction in case of excision wound and granulation tissue dry weight, breaking strength and hydroxyproline content in case of dead space wound. The ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction showed the significant wound healing activity on all three wound models. The phytochemical investigations revealed the presence of alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, phenolic compounds and steroids. The increased rate of wound contraction and hydroxyproline content in the ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction treated animals provides a scientific base to the ethno medicinal use of *Butea monosperma*, which is largely attributable to the additive or synergistic effect of their constituents.

**Keywords:** *Butea monosperma*, Dead space wound, Excision wound, Incision wound.

**Introduction**

Wound is a breach in the normal tissue continuum, resulting in a variety of cellular and molecular sequelae. The basic principles of optimal wound healing which include minimizing tissue damage, debriding nonviable tissue, maximizing tissue perfusion and oxygenation, proper nutrition and moist wound healing environment have been recognized for many years [1]. A number of drugs ranging from simple non-

expensive analgesics to complex and expensive chemotherapeutic agents administered in the management of wound affect healing either positively or negatively [2]. Wounds are inescapable events of life which arise due to physical injury, chemical injury or microbial infections. Healing of wounds usually takes place in a direction away from its normal course and under healing, over healing or no healing of

wounds is common. Management of under healing wounds is a complicated and expensive program and research on drugs that increase wound healing is a developing area in modern biomedical sciences. Several drugs obtained from plant sources are known to increase the healing of different types of wounds. Though some of these drugs have been screened scientifically for evaluation of wound healing activity in different pharmacological models and patients, the potential of many of the traditionally used herbal agents remain unexplored. In few cases active chemical constituents were identified [3].

*Butea monosperma* (Lam) (Fabaceae) is a medicinal plant growing in Burma, India and Sri Lanka, The flowers are tonic, astringent, aprodiasic and diuretic. The decoction of the bark is traditionally used in cold, cough, fever, various forms of haemorrhages, in menstrual disorders and in the preparation of tonics and elixirs. The stem bark is reported to possess antitumour, antiulcer, antifungal and antidiarrhoeal activities [4-6]. It is also reported that the powder of the stem bark is used to apply on injury caused due to an axe, the juice of the stem is applied on goiter of human beings and the paste of the stem bark is applied in case of body swellings [7]. The roots are reported in the treatment of filariasis, night blindness, helmenthiasis, piles, ulcers, and tumors [8]. It is reported that the ethanolic extract of seeds of *Butea monosperma*, on oral administration showed antifertility activity in mice and in rats [9]. Palsonin an active principle isolated from *Butea monosperma* seeds and its piperzaine salt exhibited good anthelmintic activity in vitro on *Ascaris lumbricoides* and in vivo on *Taxicara canis* [8]. The petroleum ether extract and triterpene isolated from flowers of *Butea monosperma* exhibited anti convulsant activity [10, 11]. It has been reported that the methanolic extract of stem bark of *Butea monosperma* showed anti

inflammatory and analgesic activity [12]. Recently we have reported the in vitro and in vivo anti inflammatory activity of *Butea monosperma* stem bark extract and the anti inflammatory activity of flavonoid fraction isolated from the stem bark of *Butea monosperma* [13, 14]. It is reported the efficacy of *Butea monosperma* on dermal wound healing in rats [15]. Since a little information is available about the wound healing potential of *Butea monosperma* stem bark, it was considered worthwhile to study the wound healing potential of ethanolic stem bark extract and the fractions of *Butea monosperma* (Lam) on wistar rats.

## Materials and methods

### Plant Material

The stem bark of *Butea monosperma* was collected during July 2009 from Manipal, Udipi district, Karnataka state, India. The samples were authenticated by Dr. Gopalakrishna Bhat, Professor of Botany, Poorna Prajna College, Udipi, India. A herbarium specimen has been deposited at the college for further reference.

### Preparation of Plant Extracts

The bark was dried in the shed and coarsely powdered. The powder was extracted with ethanol in a soxhlet apparatus for 72h. The ethanolic extract was evaporated in vacuo giving the residue (24%). The ethanolic extract obtained was suspended in distilled water in small amounts and was extracted successively and exhaustively with petroleum ether (60-80°C), benzene, chloroform and acetone in the order of increasing polarity. The extract and fractions were concentrated in a rotary evaporator at reduced pressure.

### Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis

The ethanolic extract and the fractions isolated from it were screened for the presence of various phytoconstituents

according to the phytochemical methods described by Harborne [16].

### **Experimental Animals**

Adult Wistar strain rats (150 to 200 gm) were used for all the experiments in the present study. The animals were maintained under standard husbandry conditions in the animal house of the institute (temperature  $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) in a natural light-dark cycle and fed with standard rodent diet and water ad libitum. Ethical committee clearance was obtained from IAE (Institutional Animal Ethics Committee) of CPCSEA (Ref. No./IAEC/XII/08/CLBMCP/2009-2010).

### **Acute toxicity studies**

The acute toxicity of ethanolic extract and the various fractions of *Butea monosperma* stem bark extract was determined as per the OECD guideline no. 423 (Acute toxic class method) [17]. It was observed that the ethanolic extract and the fractions were not mortal even at  $2000 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  dose. Hence,  $1/10^{\text{th}}$  ( $200 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) of this dose was selected for this study.

### **Excision wound model**

The rats were inflicted with excision wounds as described by Morton and Malon [18]. An excision wound was inflicted by cutting away  $500 \text{ mm}^2$  full thickness of a pre-determined area on the depilated back of the rat. The rats were divided into seven groups of six animals each. Group 1(control) animals were topically applied with simple ointment base, Group 2 animals were topically applied with soframycin ointment and the remaining groups were topically treated with  $200 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  b.w. of test substances mixed with ointment base. Treatments were given once daily till the wound was completely healed. Epithelialization period was noted as the number of days after wounding required for the dead tissue remnants to fall off leaving no raw wound behind. Wound contraction rate was monitored by planimetric

measurement of the wound area on alternate days. This was achieved by tracing the wound on a graph paper. Reduction in the wound area was expressed as percentage of the original wound size [19].

### **Incision wound model**

The method of Ehrlich and Hunt was adopted for incision wound study [20]. The animals were anaesthetized under light ether, on the depilated backs of the animals, two paravertebral incisions of 6 cm length were made cutting through the full thickness of the skin. Interrupted sutures, 1 cm apart, were placed to approximate the cut edges of the skin. The rats were divided into seven groups of six animals each. Group 1(control) animals were topically applied with simple ointment base, Group 2 animals were topically applied with soframycin ointment and the remaining groups were topically treated with  $200 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  b.w. of test substances mixed with ointment base. The sutures were removed on the 8th post wound day and skin breaking strength was measured on the 10th day by continuous water flow technique [21].

### **Dead Space Wound model**

Dead space wounds were created through a small transverse incision made in the lumbar region. A polypropylene tube ( $2.5 \times 0.5 \text{ cm}$ ) was implanted subcutaneously beneath the dorsal paravertebral lumbar skin [22]. The day of the wound creation was considered as day zero. The animals were divided in to six groups of six animals each. Group 1 was the control group that received 2 mL of 1% carboxymethyl cellulose. The remaining groups were administered each with  $200 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  b.w. of test substances orally, once daily for 10 days. Granulation tissue formed on the polypropylene tube was harvested by careful dissection on day 10 and the breaking strength of the granulation tissue was measured. The granulation tissue was dried in an oven at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  overnight and the

dry weight was noted. Acid hydrosylate of the dry tissue was used for the determination of the hydroxyproline content [23].

### Statistical Analysis

The experimental results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. Results were analyzed by the one- way ANOVA followed by Tukey-kramer post hoc multiple comparison test using Graph pad InStat version 3.00. P value

of  $<0.05$  was considered as statistically significant.

### Results

#### Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis

The preliminary phytochemical analysis of the ethanolic extract and the various fractions showed the presence of alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, phenolic compounds and steroids (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Phytochemical screening of ethanolic extract and its fractions of stem bark of *Butea monosperma*.

Extracts	Steroids	Alkaloids	Flavonoids	Phenolic compounds	Tannins
ETEXT	+	+	+	+	+
PETFR	+	-	-	-	-
BENFR	-	-	-	-	-
CHLFR	-	+	-	-	+
ACEFR	+	-	+	+	-

ETEXT: ethanolic extract, PETFR: petroleum ether fraction BENFR: benzene fraction, CHLFR: chloroform fraction, ACEFR: acetone fraction.

+ denotes the presence of the respective class of compounds.

- denotes the absence of the respective class of compounds.

**Table 2:** Effect of ethanolic extract of *Butea monosperma* stem bark and its fractions on percentage of wound contraction and period of epithelization in excision wound model.

Groups	% Wound contraction				Period of epithelization (days)
	4 <sup>th</sup> day	8 <sup>th</sup> day	12 <sup>th</sup> day	16 <sup>th</sup> day	
Control	5.12 $\pm$ 0.09	56.67 $\pm$ 0.67	83.0 $\pm$ 0.58	85.17 $\pm$ 0.79	22.0 $\pm$ 0.37
Standard	61.83 $\pm$ 1.52**	58.67 $\pm$ 0.72	85.17 $\pm$ 0.95	98.33 $\pm$ 0.33**	15.83 $\pm$ 0.31**
ETEXT	54.33 $\pm$ 1.50**	58.0 $\pm$ 0.86	85.0 $\pm$ 0.52	95.50 $\pm$ 0.76**	17.0 $\pm$ 0.37**
PETFR	32.67 $\pm$ 1.23**	54.67 $\pm$ 1.38	84.17 $\pm$ 0.60	86.83 $\pm$ 0.87	21.17 $\pm$ 0.48
BENFR	38.67 $\pm$ 1.15**	55.0 $\pm$ 0.52	84.50 $\pm$ 0.43	86.67 $\pm$ 0.67	21.67 $\pm$ 0.42
CHLFR	41.67 $\pm$ 0.88**	55.67 $\pm$ 0.84	83.67 $\pm$ 0.49	88.0 $\pm$ 0.57	21.83 $\pm$ 0.48
ACEFR	58.50 $\pm$ 0.76**	58.5 $\pm$ 0.85	85.50 $\pm$ 0.56	96.0 $\pm$ 0.37**	16.67 $\pm$ 0.42**

Standard: Soframycin ointment, ETEXT: ethanolic extract, PETFR: petroleum ether fraction BENFR: benzene fraction, CHLFR: chloroform fraction, ACEFR: acetone fraction. Each value is the Mean  $\pm$  S.E.M for 6 rats.

\*\*P<0.001 compared with control.

**Excision wound model**

Excision wounds heal by contraction (wound closure) and epithelization, the percentage of wound closure or closure rate includes by recording the changes in wound area at fixed intervals of time, viz. 4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> day after treatment with ethanolic extract and its different fractions. The percentage of wound contraction in all the test groups was significant statistically when compared to control on the 4<sup>th</sup> day, there was no statistical significance in the percentage of wound contraction during the 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> days. But on 16<sup>th</sup> day there was a statistically significant difference in percentage of wound contraction. The maximum percentage of wound closure on the 16<sup>th</sup> day was observed with standard drug, soframycin (98.33%) and ethanolic extract of *Butea monosperma* stem bark (95.5%). Amongst different fractions, the acetone fraction (96.0%) showed maximum

percentage of wound closure than the other fractions and it was comparable to that of ethanolic extract. The ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction were also significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) reduced the epithelialization period of excision wounds (Table 2).

**Incision wound model**

Incision wounds heal by granulation and collagenation. The mean wound breaking strength or tensile strength of wound in control group was 147.33 g, while in the case of ethanolic extract (218.33 g) and the acetone fraction treated group (212.83 g), it was found that the mean time for epithelialization and mean scar area were reduced significantly, there by increasing the mean tensile strength compared to control group. The mean wound breaking strength in case of soframycin treated group was 333.83 g (Table 3).

**Table 3:** Effect of ethanolic extract of *Butea monosperma* stem bark and its fractions on wound breaking strength in incision wound model.

Groups	Mean wound breaking strength (g)
Control	147.33±1.23
Standard	333.83±5.12**
ETEXT	218.33±1.71**
PETFR	155.83±2.26
BENFR	151.0±2.59
CHLFR	163.33±1.33*
ACEFR	212.83±2.02**

Standard: Soframycin ointment, ETEXT: ethanolic extract, PETFR: petroleum ether fraction BENFR: benzene fraction, CHLFR: chloroform fraction, ACEFR: acetone fraction. Each value is the Mean ± S.E.M for 6 rats. \* $P < 0.01$ ; \*\* $P < 0.001$  compared with control.

**Table 4:** Effect of ethanolic extract of *Butea monosperma* stem bark and its fractions on dry weight, tensile strength and hydroxyproline content in dead space wound model.

Groups	Dry weight (mg)	Tensile strength (g)	Hydroxyproline ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ )
Control	42.83±0.60	326.5±4.75	21.48±0.17
ETEXT	68.0±0.58**	393.0±5.16**	23.06±0.28**
PETFR	43.5±0.92	321.5±3.55	21.57±0.21
BENFR	45.17±0.70	328.0±3.35	20.96±0.08
CHLFR	45.5±0.85	329.83±5.16	21.84±0.08
ACEFR	69.33±0.42**	405.83±4.73**	23.50±0.17**

ETEXT: ethanolic extract, PETFR: petroleum ether fraction BENFR: benzene fraction, CHLFR: chloroform fraction, ACEFR: acetone fraction. Each value is the Mean ± S.E.M for 6 rats. \*\* $P < 0.001$  compared with control.

### Dead Space Wound model

The mean dry weight of granulation tissue in the control group was 42.83 mg, which was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increased to 68.0 mg in ethanolic extract treated group followed by acetone fraction (69.33 mg) when compared to the control group. The breaking strength in control group was 326.5 g, where as the ethanolic extract (393.0 g) and acetone fraction (405.83 g) showed significant increase in breaking strength when compared to the control group. The hydroxyproline content was significantly increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) in ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction treated groups when compared to the control group (Table 4).

### Discussion

The results of the present investigations revealed that the ethanolic extract of the stem bark of *Butea monosperma* and its acetone fraction possess significant wound healing activity in excision, incision and dead space wound models. In spite of tremendous development in the field of synthetic drugs during recent era, they are found to have some or other side effects, whereas plants still hold their own unique place, by the way of having no side effects. Therefore, a systematic approach should be made to find out the efficacy of plants against wounds so as to exploit them as herbal wound healing agents.

Experimental assessment of the wound healing activity of ethanolic extract and its fractions showed increased rate of wound contraction and epithelialization and increased granuloma tissue formation in ethanolic extract and acetone fraction treated animals. Topical application of the ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction on excision wounds accelerated wound contraction and reduced epithelialization period in rats. Wound healing involves regeneration of specialized cells by proliferation of

surviving cells and connective tissue response characterized by the formation of granulation tissue [24]. It is also characterized by haemostasis, reepithelialization and remodeling of the extracellular matrix. Epithelialization, which is the process of epithelial renewal after injury, involves the proliferation and migration of epithelial cells towards the centre of the wound while wound contraction is largely due to the action of myofibroblasts [25, 26]. Thus, the effect of ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction on wound contraction and epithelialization suggest it may enhance epithelial cells migration and proliferation, as well as the formation, migration and action of myofibroblasts. On chronic oral administration, ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction enhanced the granuloma tissue formation in dead space wounds. Granuloma tissue formed on an inert foreign body in a dead space comprises an accumulation of modified macrophages [24], histological giant cells and undifferentiated connective tissue consisting largely of collagen [24, 26, 27]. Increase in granuloma tissue in dead space wound is associated with enhanced collagen maturation and increased protein content as well as angiogenesis [28-30]. in the wound. These processes are indicators of new tissues generation and suggest that ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction may stimulate mechanisms associated with tissue regeneration. Closely related to this is the effect of growth factors secreted by macrophages on wounds. Macrophages secrete peptide growth factors that exert pro-healing effect by stimulating regeneration, fibroblast proliferation and activation and angiogenesis [24]. It is, therefore, likely that in addition to enhancing wound contraction and epithelialization, the ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction may also stimulate processes associated with tissue regeneration.

Ethanollic extract and the acetone fraction significantly increased the skin breaking strength and hydroxyproline content which was a reflection of increased collagen levels by increased cross linking of collagen fibres. In addition, increase in dry granulation tissue weight indicated the presence of higher protein content [31]. The breakdown of collagen liberates free hydroxyproline and its peptides and elevated level of hydroxyproline is the index of increased collagen turnover.

From the above studies it is quite apparent that the ethanolic extract and its acetone fraction of *Butea monosperma* stem bark possesses significant wound healing activity, which was evident by the increased rate of wound contraction, reduction in the period of epithelialization, increase in collagen deposition, breaking strength and hydroxyproline in granulation tissue.

### Conclusion

The ethanolic extract of *Butea monosperma* stem bark and its acetone fraction showed wound healing property. We propose that the additive and synergistic activity of phytochemicals such as flavonoids, steroids, tannins, phenolic compounds and alkaloids present in the ethanolic extract and the acetone fraction of *Butea monosperma* were responsible for its potent wound healing property. The present investigation offers scientific evidence to the folkloric accounts of the use of stem bark in treating cuts and wounds.

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks to the Department of animal sciences, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad for providing the facilities necessary to carry out the work.

### References

1. Pierce GF, Mustoe TA. Pharmacologic enhancement of wound healing. *Annu Rev Med.* 1995;46:467-481.
2. Prasad D, Rao CM. Wound healing profile of ketorolac, metronidazole and tinidazole administered postsurgically. *Ind J Exp Biol.* 1995;33:845-847.
3. Biswas TK and Mukherjee B. Plant medicines of Indian origin for wound healing activity: a review, [Int J Low Extrem Wounds](#). 2003;2;25-39.
4. Bandara BMR, Kumar NS, Wimalasiri KMS. J. *Ethanopharmacol.* 1989;25:73.
5. Bandara BMR, Kumar NS, Wimalasiri KMS. *J. Natl. Sci.Comu (Sri Lanka)*. 1990;18:97.
6. Gunankunru A, Padmanaban K, Thirumal P, Pritila J, Parimala G, Vengtesan N, Gunasekhar N, Perianayagam JB, Sharma SK, Pillai KK, et al. *J. Ethanopharmacol.* 2005;98:241-244.
7. Patil DA, Shubhangi Pawar, Patil MV. *Ethnobotany of Butea monosperma (Lam.) in North Maharashtra, India. Natural Product Radiance.* 2006;5(4):323-325.
8. Raj RK, Kurup PA. Anthelmintic activity, toxicity and other pharmacological properties of palasonin, the active principle of *Butea frondosa* seeds and its piperazine salt. *Indian J Med Res.* 1968;56(12):1818-25.
9. Razdan MK, Kapila K, Bhide NK. Antifertility effect and some pharmacological actions of *Butea frondosa* seed extracts. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol.* 1969 Oct;13(4):239-49.
10. Kasture VS, Chopde CT, Deshmukh VK. Anticonvulsive activity of

- Albizzia lebeck, Hibiscus rosa sinesis and *Butea monosperma* in experimental animals. J Ethnopharmacol. 2000 Jul;71(1-2):65-75.
11. Kasture VS, Deshmukh VK, Chopde CT. Anxiolytic and anticonvulsive activity of *Sesbania grandiflora* leaves in experimental animals. Phytother Res. 2002 Aug;16(5):455-60.
  12. Carey M William, Krishna Mohan G. Antiinflammatory and Analgesic Activity of *Butea monosperma* (Lam) Stem Bark in Experimental Animals. Pharmacologyonline. 2007;2:88-94.
  13. Muralidhar A, Sudhakar Babu K, Ravi Shankar T, Reddanna P, Reddy GV, Latha J. In vitro and In vivo Anti Inflammatory Activity of *Butea monosperma* Stem Bark Extract. International Journal of Pharmacy & Therapeutics. 2010;1(2):44-51.
  14. Muralidhar A, Sudhakar Babu K, Ravi Sankar T, Reddanna P, Reddy GV, Latha J. Anti Inflammatory Activity of Flavonoid faction isolated from the stem bark of *Butea monosperma* (Lam): A mechanism based study. International Journal of Phytopharmacology. 2010;1(2):124-132.
  15. Sumitra M, Manikandan P, Suguna L. Efficacy of *Butea monosperma* on dermal wound healing in rats. Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology. 2005;37:566-573.
  16. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods: A guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis, 2nd edition. London: Chapman and Hall; 1998;p 282.
  17. OECD, 2002. Acute oral toxicity. Acute oral toxic class method guideline 423 adopted 23.03.1996. In: Eleventh Addendum to the OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals organisation for economical co-operation and development. Paris. June 2000.
  18. Morton JJP, Malone MH. Evaluation of vulnerary activity by an open wound procedure in rats. Arch Int Pharmacodyn. 1972;196:117-126.
  19. Nayak SB, Raju SS, Eversley M, Ramsubhag A. Evaluation of wound healing activity of *Lantana camara* L.- A Preclinical Study. Phytother Res. 2009;23:241-5.
  20. Ehrlich HP, Hunt TK. The effects of cortisone and anabolic steroids on the tensile strength of healing wounds. Ann Surg. 1969Aug;170(2):203-206.
  21. Lee KH. Studies on the mechanism of action of salicylates III. Effect of vitamin A on the wound healing retardation action of aspirin. J Pharma Sci. 1968 July;57(7):1238-1240.
  22. Nayak S, Rao SG, Murthy KD, Somayaji SN, Bairy KL. Pyramid environment reduces the wound healing suppressant properties of dexamethasone in albino rate. Indian J Expt Biol. 2003Jun;41(6):645-648.
  23. Neuman RE, Logan MA. The determination of hydroxyproline, J Biol Chem. 1950;184:299.
  24. Whaley K and Burt AD. Inflammation, Healing and Repair. In: Muir's Textbook of Pathology, MacSween, R.M.N. and K. Whaley (Eds.). 13th Edn., Arnold, London ISBN: 0340569573, 1996;p.112-165.
  25. Cotran RS, Kumar V, Robbins SL and Schoen FJ. Inflammation and Repair. In: Robbins Pathologic Basis of Disease, 5th Edn, W.B. Saunders Company Pennsylvania. ISBN: 0-7216-5032-5, 1994;p.51-92.
  26. Mohan H. Inflammation and Healing. In: Textbook of Pathology, 5th Edn., Jaypee Brothers, New



- Delhi, ISBN: 81-8061-368-2, 2005;p 133-179.
27. Bairy KL, Rao CM. Wound healing profile of Ginkgo biloba. J Natural Remedies. 2001;1:25-27.
28. Azad S. Essentials of Surgery. Paras Medical Publications, Hyderabad, ISBN: 8181911180, 2002;p 1.
29. Swamy KH, Krishna V, Shankarmurthy K, Rahiman AB, Mankani KL, Mahadevan KM, et al. Wound healing activity of embelin isolated from the ethanol extract of leaves of Embelia ribes Burm. J Ethnopharmacol. 2007;109:529-34.
30. Harish, BG, Krishna V, Kumar HS, Ahamed KB, Sharath R and Swamy KH. Wound healing activity and docking of glycogen-synthase-kinase- 3- $\beta$ -protein with isolated triterpenoid lupeol in rats. Phytomedicine. 2008;15:763-767.
31. Manjunatha BK. Wound healing activity of Solanum violaceum Ortg. Indian Drugs. 2006;43:835.